

Overview and Key Findings Across Grade Levels

With information on a half-million students worldwide, including more than 33,000 U.S. students in more than 500 U.S. public and private schools, the Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) is the largest, most comprehensive, and most rigorous international study of schools and students ever conducted. During the 1995 school year, students from 41 nations, including our country's major trading partners, were assessed at three different grade levels (fourth, eighth, and in the final year of secondary school) to compare their mathematics and science achievement.

TIMSS researchers also looked at schools, curricula, instruction, lessons, textbooks, policy issues, and the lives of teachers and students to understand the educational context in which mathematics and science learning take place. By combining multiple methodologies and scientific sampling procedures that go beyond simple student achievement score comparisons and questionnaires, TIMSS created a fair and comprehensive portrait of how U.S. mathematics and science education differs from that of other nations. The richness of TIMSS includes a videotape study of eighth grade mathematics teaching, which observed 231 classrooms in Japan, Germany, and the United States, and an analysis of over 1,100 textbooks and curriculum frameworks from about 50 countries.

At the fourth grade, U.S. students were above the international average in both science and mathematics. In the eighth grade, U.S. students scored above the international average in science and below the international average in mathematics. At the end of secondary schooling (twelfth grade in the U.S.), U.S. performance was among the lowest in both science and mathematics, including among our most advanced students.

Because precise scores cannot be determined with perfect accuracy, it is not appropriate to compare U.S. scores to other countries by rank alone. Therefore, nations have been grouped into bands in the figures that follow, according to whether their performance is significantly higher than, not significantly different from, or significantly lower than the U.S.

Key findings from the *Pursuing Excellence* series of reports for each grade level, as well as overall comparative findings, are detailed inside.

HIGHLIGHTS FROM TIMSS


THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE STUDY



Achievement

- Among the 26 participating nations at this grade level, U.S. students scored above the international average in mathematics, and were outperformed by 7 countries (Figure 1).
- In science, U.S. students were outperformed by one country, Korea (Figure 2).
- In mathematics, U.S. students' performance exceeded the international average in Whole Numbers; Fractions and Proportionality; Data Representation, Analysis and Probability; Geometry; and Patterns, Relations and Functions. Our students were below the international average in Measurement, Estimation, and Number Sense.
- In science, U.S. fourth-grade students were outperformed by one or two other nations in Earth Science; Life Science; and Environmental Issues and the Nature of Science. In Physical Science, U.S. students were outperformed by 5 other nations.
- If an international talent search were to select the top 10 percent of all fourth graders, 9 percent of U.S. fourth graders would be included in mathematics, and 16 percent would be included in science.

FIGURE 1—GRADE 4
NATIONS' AVERAGE MATHEMATICS
PERFORMANCE COMPARED WITH THE U.S.




SOURCE: National Center for Education Statistics. (1997). *Pursuing Excellence: A Study of U.S. Fourth-Grade Mathematics and Science Achievement in International Context*. Figure 1. Washington, D.C.: NCES.

- NOTES:
1. Nations not meeting international guidelines are shown in parentheses.
 2. Latvia is designated LSS because only Latvian-speaking schools were tested.
 3. The international average is the average of the national averages of the 26 nations.

- ↑ Nations with average scores significantly higher than the U.S.
- = Nations with average scores not significantly different from the U.S.
- ↓ Nations with average scores significantly lower than the U.S.

FIGURE 2—GRADE 4

NATIONS' AVERAGE SCIENCE PERFORMANCE COMPARED WITH THE U.S.



SOURCE: National Center for Education Statistics. (1997). *Pursuing Excellence: A Study of U.S. Fourth-Grade Mathematics and Science Achievement in International Context*. Figure 2. Washington, D.C.: NCES.

NOTES:

1. Nations not meeting international guidelines are shown in parentheses.
2. Latvia is designated LSS because only Latvian-speaking schools were tested.
3. The international average is the average of the national averages of the 26 nations.



Nations with average scores significantly higher than the U.S.



Nations with average scores not significantly different from the U.S.



Nations with average scores significantly lower than the U.S.

Curriculum


- The number of topics included in U.S. textbooks and curriculum guides was above the international average in fourth-grade mathematics and somewhat below the international average in fourth-grade science.



Achievement

- U.S. students scored below the international average of 41 nations in mathematics (Figure 3).
- U.S. students scored above the international average in science (Figure 4).
- In mathematics, U.S. students scored at about the international average in Data Representation, Analysis and Probability; Algebra; and Fractions and Number Sense; and below the international average in Geometry; Measurement; and Proportionality.
- In science, U.S. students scored above the international average in Earth Science; Life Science; and Environmental Issues and the Nature of Science. They scored at the international average in Chemistry and in Physics.
- If an international talent search were to select the top 10 percent of all eighth graders, 5 percent of U.S. eighth graders would be included in mathematics, and 13 percent would be included in science.

FIGURE 3—GRADE 8
NATIONS' AVERAGE MATHEMATICS
PERFORMANCE COMPARED WITH THE U.S.




SOURCE: National Center for Education Statistics. (1996). *Pursuing Excellence: A Study of U.S. Eighth-Grade Mathematics and Science Teaching, Learning, Curriculum, and Achievement in International Context*. Figure 1. Washington, D.C.: NCES.

- NOTES:
1. Nations not meeting international guidelines are shown in parentheses.
 2. Latvia is designated LSS because only Latvian-speaking schools were tested.
 3. The international average is the average of the national averages of the 41 nations.
 4. The country average for Sweden may appear to be out of place; however, statistically, its placement is correct.

- ▲ Nations with average scores significantly higher than the U.S.
- ▬ Nations with average scores not significantly different from the U.S.
- ▼ Nations with average scores significantly lower than the U.S.

FIGURE 4—GRADE 8

NATIONS' AVERAGE SCIENCE PERFORMANCE COMPARED WITH THE U.S.



SOURCE: National Center for Education Statistics. (1996). *Pursuing Excellence: A Study of U.S. Eighth-Grade Mathematics and Science Teaching, Learning, Curriculum, and Achievement in International Context*. Figure 2. Washington, D.C.: NCES.

NOTES:

1. Nations not meeting international guidelines are shown in parentheses.
2. Latvia is designated LSS because only Latvian-speaking schools were tested.
3. The international average is the average of the national averages of the 41 nations.
4. The country average for Scotland (or Spain) may appear to be out of place; however, statistically, its placement is correct.

↑ Nations with average scores significantly higher than the U.S.

= Nations with average scores not significantly different from the U.S.

↓ Nations with average scores significantly lower than the U.S.

Curriculum

- The U.S. eighth-grade mathematics curriculum is less focused than other countries based on an analysis of the intended curriculum in each of the 41 TIMSS countries. The U.S. eighth-grade science curriculum more closely reflects international practices.
- Compared to Germany and Japan, the U.S. eighth-grade mathematics curriculum appears less advanced based on the topics covered and the time devoted to each topic. The content being taught in U.S. eighth-grade mathematics classrooms is at about a seventh-grade level in comparison to other countries. However, the TIMSS study did not assess the level of advancement in the U.S. eighth-grade science curriculum.
- In the TIMSS videotapes of instructional practices, 40 percent of U.S. eighth-grade mathematics lessons included arithmetic topics such as whole number operations, fractions, and decimals, whereas these topics were much less common in Germany and Japan. In contrast, German and Japanese eighth-grade lessons were more likely to cover algebra and geometry.


Teaching

- Eighth-grade U.S. mathematics teachers' typical goal is to teach students how to do something, while Japanese teachers' goal is to help students learn how to do something and also understand mathematical concepts so that they can solve future problems.

- Ninety-five percent of U.S. teachers stated that they were either "very aware" or "somewhat aware" about current ideas in the teaching and learning of mathematics. However, in the videotape study only a few teachers were observed to apply the key concepts of current reform measures in their classrooms. The TIMSS findings suggest that Japanese rather than U.S. or German lessons more often resembled the recommendations of experts and the U.S. reform movement. U.S. lessons typically focused on acquiring mathematical skills rather than conceptual understanding, and were less coherently presented.
- Developing mathematical concepts – that is proving, deriving, or explaining in some detail – is rare among U.S. teachers in comparison to German and Japanese teachers.
- U.S. teachers rarely developed mathematical concepts, in contrast to German and Japanese teachers who usually did. The average percentage of topics that were developed was 22 percent in the U.S., whereas the average was 77 percent in Germany and 83 percent in Japan.
- In the judgment of independent mathematics and mathematics education experts, none of the U.S. lessons evaluated in the TIMSS videotape study was considered to contain a high-quality sequence of mathematical ideas, compared to 39 percent of Japanese lessons, and 28 percent of German lessons (Figure 5).
- New teachers in the U.S. receive less on-the-job training and mentoring than do new teachers in Japan and Germany.

FIGURE 5—GRADE 8

PERCENTAGE OF LESSONS RATED AS HAVING LOW, MEDIUM, AND HIGH QUALITY OF MATHEMATICAL CONTENT




SOURCE: National Center for Education Statistics. (1999). *The TIMSS Videotape Classroom Study: Methods and Findings from an Exploratory Research Project on Eighth-Grade Mathematics Instruction in Germany, Japan, and the United States*. Figure 34. Washington, D.C.: NCES.

Achievement of All Students

- A sample of all students at the end of secondary school (twelfth grade in the U.S.) was assessed in mathematics and science general knowledge. The mathematics and science general knowledge assessments were a test of the mathematics and science needed to function effectively in society as adults.
- The content of the mathematics general knowledge assessment represented about a seventh-grade level of curriculum for most TIMSS nations, but was most equivalent to the ninth-grade curriculum in the United States. The science general knowledge content was most equivalent to ninth-grade curriculum internationally, and to eleventh-grade curriculum in the United States.
- U.S. twelfth graders scored below the international average and among the lowest of the 21 participating nations in both mathematics and science general knowledge (Figures 6 and 7). The U.S. outperformed only South Africa and Cyprus on both assessments.
- The U.S. international standing on the general knowledge assessments of TIMSS was stronger in science than in mathematics.
- TIMSS is a fair and accurate comparison of mathematics and science achievement at the end of secondary schooling in the participating nations. The enrollment rate for secondary education in the U.S. is typical of other TIMSS countries, so our general population is not being compared to more select groups in other countries.

FIGURE 6—FINAL YEAR OF SECONDARY SCHOOL
NATIONS' AVERAGE MATHEMATICS GENERAL
KNOWLEDGE PERFORMANCE COMPARED WITH THE U.S.



SOURCE: National Center for Education Statistics. (1998). *Pursuing Excellence: A Study of U.S. Twelfth-Grade Mathematics and Science Achievement in International Context*. Figure 1. Washington, D.C.: NCES.

NOTES:

1. Nations not meeting international guidelines are shown in parentheses.
2. The international average is the average of the national averages of the 21 nations.



↑ Nations with average scores significantly higher than the U.S.

= Nations with average scores not significantly different from the U.S.

↓ Nations with average scores significantly lower than the U.S.

Final Year of Secondary School

■ U.S. students in their final year of secondary school were less likely to be taking mathematics or science than were their counterparts in other countries. While 66 percent of graduating students in the U.S. were currently taking mathematics, the average in all the countries participating in the general knowledge assessments was 79 percent. The same pattern was also true for science (53 percent for the United States and 67 percent for all the TIMSS countries).



FIGURE 7—FINAL YEAR OF SECONDARY SCHOOL
NATIONS' AVERAGE SCIENCE GENERAL
KNOWLEDGE PERFORMANCE COMPARED WITH THE U.S.



SOURCE: National Center for Education Statistics. (1998). *Pursuing Excellence: A Study of U.S. Twelfth-Grade Mathematics and Science Achievement in International Context*. Figure 5. Washington, D.C.: NCES.

NOTES:


1. Nations not meeting international guidelines are shown in parentheses.
2. The international average is the average of the national averages of the 21 nations.

- ↑ Nations with average scores significantly higher than the U.S.
- = Nations with average scores not significantly different from the U.S.
- ↓ Nations with average scores significantly lower than the U.S.

Achievement of Advanced Students

- The advanced mathematics and the physics assessments were administered to a sample of the top 10-20 percent of students in each of the 16 nations participating in these portions of TIMSS. In the advanced mathematics assessment, U.S. students who had taken or were taking pre-calculus, calculus, or AP calculus were compared to advanced mathematics students in other countries. In the physics assessment, U.S. students who had taken or were taking physics or AP physics were compared to advanced science students in other countries.
- The average scores of U.S. physics and advanced mathematics students were below the international average and among the lowest of the 16 countries that administered the physics and the advanced mathematics assessments (Figures 8 and 9). The U.S. outperformed no other country on either assessment.
- When one compares just U.S. twelfth graders with Advanced Placement calculus instruction to all advanced mathematics students in other nations, their performance was at the international average and significantly higher than 5 other countries.

**FIGURE 8—FINAL YEAR OF SECONDARY SCHOOL
AVERAGE MATHEMATICS
PERFORMANCE OF ADVANCED
MATHEMATICS STUDENTS IN ALL COUNTRIES**



SOURCE: National Center for Education Statistics. (1998). *Pursuing Excellence: A Study of U.S. Twelfth-Grade Mathematics and Science Achievement in International Context*. Figure 9. Washington, D.C.: NCES.

NOTES:

1. Nations not meeting international guidelines are shown in parentheses.
2. The international average is the average of the national averages of the 16 nations.



↑ Nations with average scores significantly higher than the U.S.



= Nations with average scores not significantly different from the U.S.




↓ Nations with average scores significantly lower than the U.S.

- When one compares just U.S. twelfth graders with Advanced Placement physics instruction to all advanced science students in other nations, their performance was below the international average and significantly higher than only 1 other country.
- More countries outperformed U.S. students in physics than in advanced mathematics (Figures 8 and 9). This differs from results for mathematics and science general knowledge, where more countries outperformed the U.S. in mathematics than in science.



FIGURE 9—FINAL YEAR OF SECONDARY SCHOOL
AVERAGE PHYSICS PERFORMANCE
OF ADVANCED SCIENCE STUDENTS IN ALL COUNTRIES



SOURCE: National Center for Education Statistics. (1998). *Pursuing Excellence: A Study of U.S. Twelfth-Grade Mathematics and Science Achievement in International Context*. Figure 16. Washington, D.C.: NCES.

NOTES:

1. Nations not meeting international guidelines are shown in parentheses.
2. The international average is the average of the national averages of the 16 nations.



Nations with average scores significantly higher than the U.S.



Nations with average scores not significantly different from the U.S.



Nations with average scores significantly lower than the U.S.

Achievement

- Our students' performance was stronger in science than in mathematics in fourth grade, in eighth grade, and in the twelfth-grade general knowledge assessment relative to the other countries participating in TIMSS.
- U.S. students' international standing was stronger at the fourth grade than at the eighth grade in both mathematics and science relative to the 25 other countries that participated in TIMSS at both grade levels.
- U.S. students' international standing was stronger at the eighth grade than at the twelfth grade in both mathematics and science relative to the international averages for the 19 other countries that participated in TIMSS at both levels.
- There was no significant gender gap in fourth-grade or eighth-grade mathematics achievement or eighth-grade science achievement in the U.S. The U.S. was one of ten countries, out of 26, with a gender gap favoring males in fourth-grade science achievement.
- There was no significant gender gap among U.S. twelfth-grade students on the mathematics general knowledge assessment. There was a gender gap favoring males among U.S. twelfth graders in science general knowledge, physics, and advanced mathematics.

Contexts of Learning

- The amount of homework does not appear to be related to U.S. performance compared to other nations. U.S. fourth graders are assigned about as much homework as students in other countries, U.S. eighth graders spend about as much time outside of school studying as students in Japan and Germany, all U.S. twelfth graders spend less time on homework, and U.S. advanced twelfth graders were assigned homework more often.
- The amount of instructional time does not appear to be related to U.S. performance compared to other TIMSS nations. U.S. fourth graders spend more class time on mathematics and science than do their average international counterparts. U.S. eighth graders spend more time in mathematics classes per year than students in Germany and Japan.
- The amount of television watching also does not appear to be strongly related to U.S. performance compared to other nations. Heavy television watching is as common among U.S. eighth graders as it is among their Japanese counterparts, and U.S. twelfth graders spend, on average, the same amount of time watching television or videos as the international average.
- Although U.S. twelfth-grade students are more likely to have jobs outside of school than their international counterparts and work longer hours, this does not appear to be related to the relatively poor U.S. performance on the final year of secondary school general knowledge assessments in comparison to their international counterparts.

Overall Comparative Findings

FIGURE 10—OVERALL COMPARATIVE FINDINGS

U.S. PERFORMANCE RELATIVE TO THE INTERNATIONAL AVERAGE AT A GLANCE

Content Area	Fourth Grade	Eighth Grade	Final Year of Secondary School	Advanced Math & Science Students
Mathematics Overall	Above	Below	Below	—
Science Overall	Above	Above	Below	—
Advanced Mathematics	—	—	—	Below
Physics	—	—	—	Below

SOURCES:

National Center for Education Statistics. (1997). *Pursuing Excellence: A Study of U.S. Fourth-Grade Mathematics and Science Achievement in International Context*. Figure 1, Figure 2. Washington, D.C.: NCES.

National Center for Education Statistics. (1996). *Pursuing Excellence: A Study of U.S. Eighth-Grade Mathematics and Science Teaching, Learning, Curriculum, and Achievement in International Context*. Figure 1, Figure 2. Washington, D.C.: NCES.

National Center for Education Statistics. (1998). *Pursuing Excellence: A Study of U.S. Twelfth-Grade Mathematics and Science Achievement in International Context*. Figure 1, Figure 5, Figure 9, Figure 16. Washington, D.C.: NCES.



For more information you can visit us on the World Wide Web:

<http://nces.ed.gov/timss>

You can also contact the TIMSS customer service number:

(202) 219-1333

or send e-mail to:

timss@ed.gov



MARCH 1999