

# CONTENTS

---

	Page
Explanation of the Committee Amendments .....	1
Purpose .....	2
Relationship of Authorization to Appropriations .....	2
Summary of Authorization in the Bill .....	2
Summary Table of Authorizations .....	3
Rationale for the Committee Bill .....	12
Hearings .....	18
<b>DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>TITLE I—PROCUREMENT .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>OVERVIEW .....</b>	<b>19</b>
Aircraft Procurement, Army .....	22
Overview .....	22
Items of Special Interest .....	27
AH-64 modifications .....	27
Air traffic control .....	27
Aircraft survivability equipment (ASE) .....	27
CH-47 cargo helicopter modifications .....	28
Longbow .....	28
UH-60 modifications .....	28
Missile Procurement, Army .....	29
Overview .....	29
Items of Special Interest .....	33
Missile procurement army (MPA) transfers .....	33
Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army .....	33
Overview .....	33
Items of Special Interest .....	38
Abrams upgrade program .....	38
Bradley base sustainment .....	38
Ammunition Procurement, Army .....	39
Overview .....	39
Items of Special Interest .....	44
Army ammunition procurement .....	44
Remote area denial artillery munition (RADAM) .....	44
White phosphorus production facility .....	44
Other Procurement, Army .....	44
Overview .....	44
Items of Special Interest .....	56
Artillery accuracy equipment .....	56
Combat support medical .....	56
Combat training centers instrumentation support .....	56
Deployable universal combat earthmovers (DEUCE) .....	57
Earthmoving scrapers .....	57
High mobility trailers .....	57
Improved high frequency radio (IHFR) .....	58
Modification of in service equipment .....	58
Nonsystem training devices .....	58
Product improved combat vehicle crewman (PICVC) .....	59
Reserve component automation system (RCAS) .....	59
Ribbon bridge .....	59
Special equipment for user testing .....	60
Super high frequency (SHF) terminal .....	60
Tactical unmanned aerial vehicle (TUAV) .....	61
Water distributors .....	61

IV

	Page
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army .....	61
Overview .....	61
Items of Special Interest .....	63
Chemical agents and munitions destruction .....	63
Aircraft Procurement, Navy .....	63
Overview .....	63
Items of Special Interest .....	69
AV-8B modifications .....	69
Calibration test equipment .....	69
E-2 modifications .....	69
F/A-18E/F .....	70
HH/UH-1N reclamation and conversion program .....	70
Joint primary air training system (JPATS) .....	70
SH-60 series modifications .....	70
T-45 training system (TS) .....	71
Tactical air reconnaissance pod system (TARPS)-completely digital (CD) .....	71
Weapons Procurement, Navy .....	71
Overview .....	71
Items of Special Interest .....	76
MK 46 Mod 0 lightweight machine gun .....	76
Tomahawk missile .....	76
Trident II missile .....	76
Ammunition Procurement Navy/Marine Corps .....	77
Overview .....	77
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy .....	81
Overview .....	81
Items of Special Interest .....	85
Completion of prior year shipbuilding programs .....	85
Minehunter small waterplane area twin hull (SWATH) .....	85
Outfitting .....	85
SSGN conversion .....	86
Other Procurement, Navy .....	86
Overview .....	86
Items of Special Interest .....	97
Operating forces industrial plant equipment .....	97
Other navigation equipment .....	97
Other supply support equipment .....	97
Other training equipment .....	98
Radar support .....	98
Satellite communications systems .....	98
Procurement, Marine Corps .....	99
Overview .....	99
Items of Special Interest .....	105
Container family .....	105
Expeditionary warfare .....	105
Family of construction equipment .....	106
Night vision equipment .....	106
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force .....	106
Overview .....	106
Items of Special Interest .....	114
B-2 .....	114
C-130 .....	114
C-17 .....	115
CV-22 .....	115
Defense airborne reconnaissance program (DARP), line 55 .....	116
F-15 modifications .....	116
F-16 modifications .....	117
Fixed aircrew standardized seats .....	117
MC-130 simulation training upgrades .....	118
Predator unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) .....	118
Ammunition Procurement, Air Force .....	119
Overview .....	119
Missile Procurement, Air Force .....	122
Overview .....	122
Other Procurement, Air Force .....	126
Overview .....	126

	Page
Items of Special Interest .....	133
Air national guard air traffic control tower radio upgrade .....	133
Combat arms training system (CATS) .....	133
Laser eye protection .....	133
Senior scout .....	134
Supply asset tracking system (SATS) .....	134
Theater air control system improvement (TACSI) .....	134
Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	135
Overview .....	135
Items of Special Interest .....	141
Chemical/biological defense procurement program .....	141
Anthrax vaccination immunization program .....	141
Chemical/biological defense collective protection shelters .....	141
Portable intelligence collection and relay capability (PICRC) .....	141
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense .....	141
Overview .....	141
Items of Special Interest .....	144
Chemical agents and munitions destruction .....	144
Review of program for destruction of lethal chemical agents and munitions .....	144
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	145
Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations .....	145
Sections 101–107—Authorization of Appropriations .....	145
Subtitle B—Army Programs .....	145
Section 111—Extension of Multiyear Contract for Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles .....	145
Section 112—Repeal of Limitation on Number of Bunker Defeat Muni- tions that May Be Acquired .....	145
Subtitle C—Air Force Programs .....	145
Section 121—Responsibility of Air Force for Contracts for All Defense Space Launches .....	145
Section 122—Multiyear Procurement of C–17 Aircraft .....	145
Subtitle D—Chemical Munitions Destruction .....	146
Section 141—Destruction of Existing Stockpile of Lethal Chemical Agents and Munitions .....	146
TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION .....	147
OVERVIEW .....	147
Army RDT&E .....	149
Overview .....	149
Items of Special Interest .....	160
Advanced display technology .....	160
All Source Analysis System .....	160
Applied communications and information networking program .....	161
Army missile defense systems integration .....	161
Aviation engineering development .....	161
Brooks Air Force Base energy and sustainability laboratory .....	161
Collaboration in biotechnology research .....	162
Combat ready food safety .....	162
Combustion-driven eye safe laser .....	162
Comanche .....	162
Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology .....	163
Crusader .....	163
Dismounted situational awareness system .....	163
Electronics and electronic devices .....	164
Electronic warfare (EW) development .....	164
Environmental quality technology .....	164
Full authority digital engine control .....	164
Funding transfers to support transformation .....	165
High-energy laser-low aspect target tracking .....	165
Hybrid track technology .....	165
Hyperspectral long-wave imager for the tactical environment .....	166
Infantry support weapons .....	166
International medical program global satellite system .....	166
Landmine warfare/barrier engineering development .....	167
Lightweight x-band radar antenna .....	167
Medical advanced technology .....	167
Medical technology .....	167

	Page
MedTeams .....	168
Missile and rocket advanced technology .....	168
Missile technology .....	168
Night vision advanced technology .....	169
Passive millimeter-wave imaging .....	169
Silent sentry surveillance test .....	169
Soldier-Centered Design Tools for the Army Transformation .....	169
Survival radios .....	170
Tactical high energy laser .....	170
Tactical unmanned aerial vehicle .....	170
Weapons and munitions .....	171
Weapons and munitions advanced technology .....	171
Navy RDT&E .....	171
Overview .....	171
Items of Special Interest .....	184
Advanced anti-radiation guided munition (AARGM) .....	184
Advanced composite sail phase II .....	184
Advanced multi-band surveillance systems .....	184
Aegis combat systems engineering .....	185
Aviation-shipboard information technology initiative .....	185
Combat systems integration .....	185
Common command and decision system .....	186
Wideband optically multiplexed beam-forming architecture .....	186
Common picture applied research .....	186
Hybrid fiber optic wireless communication .....	186
SEADEEP .....	187
E2-C2 eight-blade composite propeller .....	187
Electronic warfare (EW) development .....	187
Electro optical framing reconnaissance .....	188
Embedded software engineering research initiative .....	188
Expeditionary warfare testbed-supporting arms technology insertion .....	188
Extending the littoral battlespace .....	189
F/A-18 improvements .....	189
Fuel cell second source .....	189
Joint helmet mounted cueing system (JHMCS) .....	189
Force protection advanced technology .....	190
Advanced water jet AWJ-21 .....	190
DC Homopolar Motor .....	190
Direct ship service fuel cell .....	190
Electric propulsion/ship power systems distributed test bed .....	191
Littoral support craft-experimental .....	191
SEALs Mark V patrol craft modification .....	192
Funding transfers to support transformation .....	192
Land attack standard missile .....	193
Laser aim scoring system (LASS) .....	193
Laser welding and cutting .....	194
Marine Corps ground combat/support system .....	194
Metrology Projects .....	195
Multipurpose processor .....	195
Navy's intelligent agent security module .....	195
Navy logistics productivity .....	196
Compatible processor upgrade program .....	196
Rapid retargeting .....	196
Non-acoustic anti-submarine warfare .....	196
Oceanographic survey of continental shelf beyond U.S. exclusive economic zone .....	197
Organ transfer technology .....	197
Photovoltaic energy savings initiative .....	198
Power projection advanced technology .....	198
Affordable weapon .....	198
DP-2 thrust vectoring system concept demonstration .....	198
Precision targeting systems modernization and enhancement .....	199
Project Bear Trap .....	199
Radiation-hardened electronics applications .....	200
SPAWAR enhanced modeling and simulation initiatives .....	200
Submarine electrical power .....	200
Supply Chain Best Practices .....	201

VII

	Page
Surface navy integrated undersea tactical technology .....	201
Surface ship torpedo defense .....	201
Telemedicine for minimally invasive surgery .....	201
Titanium watertight door and hatch cover .....	202
Torpedo rapid COTS insertion .....	202
Vacuum electronics .....	203
VECTOR study and analysis .....	203
Warfighter sustainment advanced technology .....	204
Naval environmental compliance operations monitoring system .....	204
Real time heart rate variability monitor .....	204
Warfighter sustainment applied research .....	204
Commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) carbon fiber qualification .....	204
Detection and identification of human pathogens .....	204
Formable aligned carbon thermo sets .....	205
Knowledge-based ship system diagnosis and repair .....	205
Air Force RDT&E .....	205
Overview .....	205
Items of Special Interest .....	216
Access to space .....	216
Advanced aerospace sensors .....	216
Aerospace propulsion .....	216
Aging landing gear life extension (ALGLE) program .....	216
Airborne reconnaissance systems .....	217
Assessment relating to gasoline and diesel engine fuel systems .....	217
Bipolar wafer cell nickel-metal hydride battery .....	217
Commercial imagery strategy .....	218
Free electron laser .....	218
Funding transfers to support transformation .....	218
GPS jammer detection and location system .....	219
High Accuracy Network Demonstration System .....	219
Joint precision approach landing system .....	219
Joint STARS multi-platform radar technology insertion program .....	220
Joint strike fighter (JSF) alternate engine .....	220
Low cost autonomous attack system .....	220
Low cost launch technology .....	221
Major T&E investment .....	221
Materials technologies for aging aircraft .....	221
Missile Technology demonstration-3B .....	221
Non-space SIGINT architecture .....	222
Precision location and identification (PLAID) .....	222
Satellite planning information network (SPIN) .....	223
Space and missile rocket propulsion .....	223
Special aerospace metals and manufacturing processes .....	223
Synthetic Theater Operations Research Model .....	224
Texas regional institute for environmental studies .....	224
Defense-Wide RDT&E .....	224
Overview .....	224
Items of Special Interest .....	233
Aircraft affordability initiative .....	233
Backscatter mobile truck system .....	233
Ballistic Missile Defense Organization (BMDO) .....	233
Technology .....	234
Ballistic missile defense system .....	234
Terminal defense segment .....	234
Midcourse defense segment .....	235
Boost defense segment .....	235
Sensors segment .....	236
Chemical/biological defense research, development, test and evaluation program .....	236
Research in percutaneous, optical, and pulmonary effects of mustard agent .....	237
Optical computing device materials for chemical sensors .....	237
Chemical/biological regenerative air filtration systems .....	237
Chemical and biological mass spectrometer .....	238
Mobile chemical agent detector .....	238
Asymmetric protocols for biological defense .....	238
Complex systems design .....	238

VIII

	Page
Counterproliferation analysis and planning system .....	239
Defense imagery and mapping program .....	239
Distributed common ground station/networking ISR assets .....	239
Distributed operational testing capabilities .....	240
Electrostatic decontamination system .....	240
Facial recognition technology .....	241
Funding transfers to support transformation DW .....	241
DARPA “Exoskeleton Project” .....	241
Global infrastructure data conversion initiative & document exploitation .....	242
High energy laser research and development .....	242
Implantable cardioverter defibrillator .....	243
Joint Technology Applications Analysis Pilot Program .....	243
Medical free electron laser .....	244
Microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) sensors .....	244
More efficient science and technology investment .....	244
Special operations forces acquisition .....	245
Tactical missile recycling .....	245
Thermobaric warhead development .....	245
U.S.-Israel boost phase intercept .....	246
Warfighter rapid acquisition programs .....	246
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense .....	247
Overview .....	247
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	250
Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations .....	250
Section 201—Authorization of Appropriations .....	250
Section 202—Amount for Basic and Applied Research .....	250
Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations .....	250
Section 211—Cooperative Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Research Program .....	250
Section 212—Advanced Land Attack Missile Program .....	250
Section 213—Collaborative Program for Development of Advanced Radar Systems for Naval Applications .....	251
Subtitle C—Ballistic Missile Defense .....	252
Section 231—Transfer of Responsibility for Procurement for Missile Defense Programs from Ballistic Missile Defense Organization to Military Departments .....	252
Section 232—Repeal of Program Element Requirements for Ballistic Missile Defense Programs .....	253
Section 233—Support of Ballistic Missile Defense Activities of the Department of Defense by the National Laboratories of the Department of Energy .....	253
Section 234—Missile Defense Testing Initiative .....	253
Section 235—Missile Defense System Test Bed Facilities .....	254
Subtitle D—Other Matters .....	254
Section 241—Establishment of unmanned aerial vehicle joint operational test bed system .....	254
Section 242—Demonstration Project to Increase Small Business and University Participation in Office of Naval Research Efforts to Extend Benefits of Science and Technology Research to Fleet .....	255
Section 243—Management Responsibility for Navy Mine Countermeasures Programs .....	256
Section 244—Program to Accelerate the Introduction of Innovative Technology in Defense Acquisition Programs .....	256
TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	258
OVERVIEW .....	258
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST .....	289
Budget Request Adjustments .....	289
Department of the Army Adjustments: [in millions of dollars] .....	289
Department of the Navy Adjustments: [in millions of dollars] .....	289
Department of the Air Force Adjustments: [in millions of dollars] .....	289
Office, Secretary of Defense Adjustments: [in millions of dollars] .....	289
Defense-wide Activities Adjustments: [in millions of dollars] .....	290
Advisory and Assistance Services .....	290
Excess Foreign Currencies Reductions .....	290
Strategic Sourcing (A-76) .....	290
Other Items of Special Interest .....	291

## IX

	Page
Corrosion Prevention and Control .....	291
Information Systems .....	292
Enterprise Resource Planning .....	292
Environmental Issues .....	292
Environmental Restoration Activities .....	292
Vernon Hills NIKE Missile Site .....	293
Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Issues .....	293
Access to Slot Machines .....	293
Military Exchange Private Label Manufacturers .....	293
Other Issues .....	294
Army's Capital Investment Program for Depot Facilities .....	294
Army Workload and Performance System .....	294
Automated Document Conversion System Program .....	295
Automatic Inventory Technology .....	295
Commercial Technologies for Maintenance Activities .....	295
Distance Learning Implementation Program .....	296
Hunter Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle .....	296
Navy-Marine Corps Intranet .....	296
Non-nuclear Ship Maintenance .....	297
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	297
Subtitle A—Authorization Of Appropriations .....	297
Section 301—Operation and Maintenance Funding .....	297
Section 302—Working Capital Funds .....	297
Section 303—Armed Forces Retirement Home .....	297
Section 304—Transfer From National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund .....	297
Subtitle B—Environmental Provisions .....	298
Section 311—Inventory of Explosive Risk Sites at Former Military Ranges .....	298
Section 312—National Security Impact Statements .....	298
Section 313—Reimbursement for Certain Costs in Connection with Hooper Sands Site, South Berwick, Maine .....	298
Section 314—River Mitigation Studies .....	298
Section 315—Elimination of Annual Report on Contractor Reimburse- ment for Costs of Environmental Response Actions .....	298
Subtitle C—Commissaries and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentalities .	298
Section 321—Reserve Component Commissary Benefits .....	298
Section 322—Reimbursement for Noncommissary Use of Commissary Facilities .....	298
Section 323—Civil Recovery for Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality Costs Related to Shoplifting .....	299
Subtitle D—Workforce and Depot Issues .....	299
Section 331—Fiscal year 2002 Limitations on Workforce Reviews .....	299
Section 332—Applicability of Core Logistics Capability Requirements to Nuclear Aircraft Carriers .....	299
Section 333—Continuation of Contractor Manpower Reporting System in Department of the Army .....	299
Section 334—Limitation on Expansion of Wholesale Logistics Mod- ernization Program .....	300
Section 335—Pilot Project for Exclusion of Certain Expenditures from Limitation on Private Sector Performance of Depot-Level Mainte- nance .....	300
Section 336—Protections for Purchasers of Articles and Services Manu- factured or Performed by Working-Capital Funded Industrial Fa- cilities of the Department of Defense .....	300
Subtitle E—Defense Dependents Education .....	300
Section 341—Assistance to Local Educational Agencies that Benefit Dependents of Members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense Civilian Employees .....	300
Section 342—Availability of Auxiliary Services of Defense Dependents' Education System for Dependents Who Are Home School Students	300
Section 343—Report Regarding Compensation for Teachers Employed in Teaching Positions in Overseas Schools Operated by the Depart- ment of Defense .....	301
Subtitle F—Other Matters .....	301

	Page
Section 351—Availability of Excess Defense Personal Property to Support Department of Veterans Affairs Initiative to Assist Homeless Veterans .....	301
Section 352—Continuation of Limitations on Implementation of Navy-Marine Corps Intranet Contract .....	301
Section 353—Completion and Evaluation of Current Demonstration Programs to Improve Quality of Personal Property Shipments of Members .....	301
Section 354—Expansion of Entities Eligible for Loan, Gift, and Exchange of Documents, Historical Artifacts, and Obsolete Combat Materiel .....	301
Subtitle G—Service Contracting Reform .....	302
Section 361—Short Title .....	302
Section 362—Required Cost Savings Level for Change of Function to Contractor Performance .....	302
Section 363—Applicability of Study and Reporting Requirements to New Commercial or Industrial Type Functions .....	302
Section 364—Repeal of Waiver for Small Function .....	302
Section 365—Requirement for Equity in Public-Private Competitions ....	302
Section 366—Reporting Requirements Regarding Department of Defense's Service Contractor Workforce .....	302
TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS .....	303
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	303
Subtitle A—Active Forces .....	303
Section 401—End Strengths for Active Forces .....	303
Section 402—Revision in Permanent End Strength Minimum Levels ....	303
Subtitle B—Reserve Forces .....	303
Section 411—End Strengths for Selected Reserve .....	303
Section 412—End Strengths for Reserves on Active Duty in Support of the Reserves .....	304
Section 413—End Strength for Military Technicians (Dual Status) .....	304
Section 414—Fiscal Year 2002 Limitation on Non-Dual Status Technicians .....	304
Section 415—Limitations on Numbers of Reserve Personnel Serving on Active Duty or Full-Time National Guard Duty in Certain Grades for Administration of Reserve Components .....	305
Subtitle C—Other Matters Relating to Personnel Strengths .....	305
Section 421—Increase in Percentage by Which Active Component End Strengths for any fiscal year may be increased .....	305
Section 422—Active Duty End Strength Exemption for National Guard and Reserve Personnel Performing Funeral Honors Functions .....	305
Section 423—Increase in Authorized Strengths for Air Force Officers on Active Duty in the Grade of Major .....	305
Subtitle D—Authorization of Appropriations .....	305
Section 431—Authorization of Appropriations for Military Personnel ....	305
TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY .....	307
OVERVIEW .....	307
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST .....	307
Alternative Recruiting Media .....	307
Army Reserve Military Technician Positions .....	308
Defense Prisoner of War/Missing in Action Office .....	308
Improved Use of Existing Military Centers for Scientific and Technological Education .....	309
Review of General and Flag Officer Authorizations .....	309
Uniting Through Reading .....	309
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	310
Subtitle A—General Personnel Management Authorities .....	310
Section 501—Enhanced Flexibility for Management of Senior General and Flag Officer Positions .....	310
Section 502—Original Appointments in Regular Grades for Academy Graduates and Certain other New Officers .....	310
Section 503—Temporary Reduction of the Time-in-Grade Requirement for Eligibility for Promotion for Certain Active-Duty List Officers in Grades of First Lieutenant and Lieutenant (Junior Grade) .....	310
Section 504—Increase in Senior Enlisted Active Duty Grade Limit for Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force .....	311



	Page
Section 505—Authority for Limited Extension of Medical Deferment of Mandatory Retirement or Separation .....	311
Section 506—Authority for Limited Extension on Active Duty of Members Subject to Mandatory Retirement or Separation .....	311
Section 507—Clarification of Disability Severance Pay Computation .....	311
Section 508—Officer in Charge of United States Navy Band .....	311
Section 509—One-Year Extension of Expiration Date for Certain Force Management Authorities .....	311
Subtitle B—Reserve Component Personnel Policy .....	311
Section 511—Placement on Active-Duty List of Certain Reserve Officers on Active Duty for a Period of Three Years or Less .....	312
Section 512—Expanded Application of Reserve Special Selection Boards .....	312
Section 513—Exception to Baccalaureate Degree Requirement for Appointment of Reserve Officers to Grades Above First Lieutenant .....	312
Section 514—Improved Disability Benefits for Certain Reserve Component Members .....	312
Section 515—Time-in-Grade Requirement for Reserve Component Officers with a Non-Service Connected Disability .....	312
Section 516—Reserve Members Considered to be Deployed for Purposes of Personnel Tempo Management .....	313
Section 517—Funeral Honors Duty Performed by Reserve and Guard Members to be Treated as Inactive-Duty Training for Certain Purposes .....	313
Section 518—Members of the National Guard Performing Funeral Honors Duty While in Non-Federal Status .....	313
Section 519—Use of Military Leave for Funeral Honors Duty by Reserve Members and National Guardsmen .....	313
Subtitle C—Joint Specialty Officers and Joint Professional Military Education .....	313
Section 521—Nominations for Joint Specialty .....	313
Section 522—Joint Duty Credit .....	314
Section 523—Retroactive Joint Service Credit for Duty in Certain Joint Task Forces .....	315
Section 524—Revision to Annual Report on Joint Officer Management ..	315
Section 525—Requirement for Selection for Joint Specialty Before Promotion to General or Flag Officer Grade .....	315
Section 526—Independent Study of Joint Officer Management and Joint Professional Military Education Reforms .....	316
Section 527—Professional Development Education .....	316
Section 528—Authority for National Defense University to Enroll Certain Private Sector Civilians .....	316
Section 529—Continuation of Reserve Component Professional Military Education Test .....	316
Subtitle D—Military Education and Training .....	317
Section 531—Defense Language Institute Foreign Language Center .....	317
Section 532—Authority for the Marine Corps University to Award Degree of Master of Strategic Studies .....	317
Section 533—Increase in Number of Foreign Students Authorized to be Admitted to the Service Academies .....	317
Section 534—Increase in Maximum Age for Appointment as a Cadet or Midshipman in Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps Scholarship Programs .....	317
Section 535—Active Duty Participation as a Cadet or Midshipman in Senior ROTC Advanced Training .....	317
Section 536—Authority to Modify the Service Obligation of Certain ROTC Cadets in Military Junior Colleges Receiving Financial Assistance .....	317
Section 537—Modification of Nurse Officer Candidate Accession Program Restriction on Students Attending Educational Institutions with Senior Reserve Officers' Training Programs .....	318
Section 538—Repeal of Limitation on Number on Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) Units .....	318
Section 539—Reserve Health Professionals Stipend Program Expansion .....	318
Section 540—Housing Allowance for the Chaplain for the Corps of Cadets, United States Military Academy .....	318

XII

	Page
Subtitle E—Decorations, Awards, and Commendations .....	318
Section 541—Authority for Award of the Medal of Honor to Humbert R. Versace for Valor During the Vietnam War .....	318
Section 542—Review Regarding Award of Medal of Honor to Certain Jewish American and Hispanic American War Veterans .....	318
Section 543—Authority to Issue Duplicate Medal of Honor .....	319
Section 544—Authority to Replace Stolen Military Decorations .....	319
Section 545—Waiver of Time Limitations for Award of Navy Disting- guished Flying Cross to Certain Persons .....	319
Section 546—Korea Defense Service Medal .....	319
Section 547—Cold War Service Medal .....	319
Section 548—Option to Convert Award of Armed Forces Expeditionary Medal Awarded for Operation Frequent Wind to Vietnam Service Medal .....	319
Subtitle F—Matters Relating to Voting .....	320
Section 551—Voting Assessments and Assistance for Members of the Uniformed Services .....	320
Section 552—Electronic Voting Demonstration Project .....	320
Subtitle G—Matters Relating to Military Spouses and Family Members ...	320
Section 561—Improved Financial and Other Assistance to Military Spouses for Job Training and Education .....	320
Section 562—Authority to Conduct Surveys of Dependents and Sur- vivors of Military Retirees .....	321
Section 563—Clarification of Treatment of Classified Information Con- cerning Persons in a Missing Status .....	321
Section 564—Transportation to Annual Meeting of Next-of-Kin of Per- sons Unaccounted for from Conflicts after World War II .....	321
Section 565—Amendments to Charter of Defense Task Force on Domes- tic Violence .....	321
Subtitle H—Military Justice and Legal Matters .....	322
Section 571—Requirement that Courts-Martial Consist of Not Less than 12 Members in Capital Cases .....	322
Section 572—Right of Convicted Accused to Request Sentencing by Military Judge .....	322
Section 573—Codification of Requirement for Regulations for Delivery of Military Personnel to Civil Authorities When Charged with Cer- tain Offenses .....	323
Section 574—Authority to Accept Voluntary Legal Services for Mem- bers of the Armed Forces .....	323
Subtitle I—Other Matters .....	323
Section 581—Shipment of Privately Owned Vehicles When Making Per- manent Change of Station Moves Within the United States .....	323
Section 582—Payment of Vehicle Storage Costs in Advance .....	323
Section 583—Permanent Authority for Use of Military Recruiting Funds for Certain Expenses at Department of Defense Recruiting Functions .....	323
Section 584—Clarification of Military Recruiter Access to Secondary School Directory Information About Students .....	323
Section 585—Repeal of Requirement for Final Comptroller General Re- port Relating to Army end Strength Allocations .....	324
Section 586—Posthumous Army Commission in the Grade of Captain in the Chaplains corps to Ella E. Gibson for Service as Chaplain of the First Wisconsin Heavy Artillery Regiment During the Civil War .....	324
Section 587—National Guard Challenge Program .....	324
Section 588—Payment of FEHBP Premiums for Certain Reservists Called to Active Duty in Support of Contingency Operations .....	324
Section 589—18-month Enlistment Pilot Program .....	324
Section 590—Per Diem Allowance for Lengthy or Numerous Deploy- ments .....	325
Section 591—Congressional Review Period for Change in Ground Com- bat Exclusion Policy .....	326
TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS .....	327
OVERVIEW .....	327
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST .....	328
Additional Reporting Instructions Concerning the Supplemental Sub- sistence Allowance for Low-Income Members with Dependents .....	328

XIII

	Page
Personal and Family Financial Management Programs .....	328
The Right of Former Prisoners of War Forced to Work as Slave Laborers During World War II to Sue Japanese Corporations for Mis-treatment .....	329
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	329
Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances .....	329
Section 601—Increase in Basic Pay for Fiscal Year 2002 .....	329
Section 602—Basic Pay Rate for Certain Reserve Commissioned Officers with Prior Service as an Enlisted Member or Warrant Officer ..	329
Section 603—Subsistence Allowances .....	329
Section 604—Eligibility for Basic Allowance for Housing While Between Permanent Duty Stations .....	330
Section 605—Uniform Allowance for Officers .....	330
Section 606—Family Separation Allowance for Certain Members Electing to Serve Unaccompanied Tour of Duty .....	330
Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays .....	330
Section 611—One-Year Extension of Certain Bonus and Special Pay Authorities for Reserve Forces .....	330
Section 612—One-Year Extension of Certain Bonus and Special Pay Authorities for Nurse Officer Candidates, Registered Nurses, and Nurse Anesthetists .....	331
Section 613—One-Year Extension of Other Bonuses and Special Pays Authorities .....	331
Section 614—Conforming Accession Bonus for Dental Officers Authority with Authorities for Other Special Pay and Bonuses .....	331
Section 615—Additional Type of Duty Resulting in Eligibility for Hazardous Duty Incentive Pay .....	331
Section 616—Equal Treatment of Reservists Performing Inactive-Duty Training for Receipt of Aviation Career Incentive Pay .....	331
Section 617—Secretarial Discretion in Prescribing Submarine Duty Incentive Pay Rates .....	331
Section 618—Imposition of Critical Wartime Skill Requirement for Eligibility for Individual Ready Reserve Bonus .....	332
Section 619—Installment Payment Authority for 15-year Career Status Bonus .....	332
Section 620—Accession Bonus for New Officers .....	332
Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances .....	332
Section 631—Minimum Per Diem Rate for Travel and Transportation Allowance for Travel Performed Upon a Change of Permanent Station and Certain Other Travel .....	332
Section 632—Payment or Reimbursement of Temporary Subsistence Expenses .....	332
Section 633—Increased Weight Allowance for Transportation of Baggage and Household Effects for Junior Enlisted Members .....	332
Section 634—Reimbursement of Members for Mandatory Pet Quarantine Fees for Household Pets .....	333
Section 635—Availability of Dislocation Allowance for Married Member, Whose Spouse is a Member, Assigned to Military Family Housing ..	333
Section 636—Elimination of Prohibition on Receipt of Dislocation Allowance by Members Ordered to First Duty Station .....	333
Section 637—Partial Dislocation Allowance Authorized for Housing Moves Ordered for Government Convenience .....	333
Section 638—Allowances for Travel Performed in Connection with Members Taking Authorized Leave Between Consecutive Overseas Tours .....	333
Section 639—Funded Student Travel as Part of School-Sponsored Exchange Programs .....	334
Subtitle D—Retirement and Survivor Benefit Matters .....	334
Section 641—Contingent Authority for Concurrent Receipt of Military Retired Pay and Veterans' Disability Compensation .....	334
Subtitle E—Other Matters .....	334
Section 651—Funeral Honors Duty Allowance for Retired Members .....	334
TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS .....	335
OVERVIEW .....	335
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST .....	336
Health Care Benefits for Members of the Reserve Components .....	336
Military Health Care System Information Management .....	336

XIV

	Page
North Chicago Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center and Naval hospital, Great Lakes, Illinois .....	337
TRICARE in Illinois .....	337
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	338
Subtitle A—TRICARE Program .....	338
Section 701—Implementing Cost-Effective Payment Rates Under the TRICARE Program .....	338
Section 702—Waiver of Nonavailability Statement or Preauthorization Requirement .....	338
Section 703—Improvements in Administration of the TRICARE Pro- gram .....	338
Section 704—Sub-Acute and Long-Term Care Program Reform .....	339
Section 705—Reimbursement of Travel Expenses of a Parent, Guardian or Responsible Family Member of a Minor Covered Beneficiary .....	339
Subtitle B—Other Matters .....	339
Section 711—Prohibition Against Requiring Military Retirees to Re- ceive Health Care Solely Through the Department of Defense .....	339
Section 712—Trauma and Medical Care Pilot Program .....	339
Section 713—Enhancement of Medical Product Development .....	340
Section 714—Repeal of Obsolete Report Requirement .....	340
Section 715—Clarifications and Improvements Regarding the Depart- ment of Defense Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Care Fund .....	340
TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS .....	341
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST .....	341
Extraordinary Contractual Actions .....	341
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	341
Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management .....	341
Section 801—Acquisition Milestones .....	341
Section 802—Acquisition Workforce Qualifications .....	341
Section 803—Two-Year Extension of Program Applying Simp342lified Procedures to Certain Commercial Items .....	342
Section 804—Contracts for Services to Be Performed Outside the United States .....	342
Section 805—Codification and Modification of “Berry Amendment” Re- quirements .....	342
Subtitle B—Erroneous Payments Recovery .....	342
Section 811—Short Title .....	342
Section 812—Identification of Errors Made by Executive Agencies in Payments to Contractors and Recovery of Amounts Erroneously Paid .....	342
Section 813—Disposition of Recovered Funds .....	343
Section 814—Sources of Recovery Services .....	343
Section 815—Management Improvement Programs .....	343
Section 816—Reports .....	343
Section 817—Relationship to Authority of Inspectors General .....	343
Section 818—Privacy Protections .....	343
Section 819—Definition .....	343
TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANGEMENT .....	344
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST .....	344
Regional Centers and China Center .....	344
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	344
Section 901—Further Reductions in Defense Acquisition and Support Workforce .....	344
Section 902—Sense of Congress on Establishment of an Office of Trans- formation in the Department of Defense .....	345
Section 903—Revised Joint Report On Establishment of National Col- laborative Information Analysis Capability .....	346
Section 904—Elimination of Triennial Report by Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff on Roles and Missions of the Armed Forces .....	346
Section 905—Repeal of Requirement for Semiannual Reports Through March 2003 on Activities of Joint Requirements Oversight Council .....	346
Section 906—Correction of References to Air Mobility Command .....	346
Section 907—Organizational Alignment Change for Director for Expedi- tionary Warfare .....	347

	Page
TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS .....	348
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST .....	348
Arms Control Implementation .....	348
Counter-Drug Activities .....	348
Overview .....	348
Items of Special Interest .....	349
Counter-drug tanker operations .....	349
Operation Caper Focus .....	349
Peru support .....	349
Southwest border fence .....	349
Tethered Aerostat Radar System .....	349
OTHER MATTERS .....	350
Classification of Foreign Military Training Reports .....	350
Counter-Drug Forward Operating Locations .....	350
Information Security Scholarship Program .....	350
Potential Reallocation of Radio Frequency Spectrum for Third Generation Mobile Wireless Communications .....	350
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	352
Subtitle A—Financial Matters .....	352
Section 1001—Transfer Authority .....	352
Section 1002—Incorporation of Classified Annex .....	352
Section 1003—Limitation on Funds for Bosnia and Kosovo Peacekeeping Operations for Fiscal Year 2002 .....	352
Section 1004—Increase in Limitations on Administrative Authority of the Navy to Settle Admiralty Claims .....	353
Subtitle B—Naval Vessels .....	353
Section 1011—Revision in Types of Excess Naval Vessels for which Approval by Law Is Required for Disposal to Foreign Nations .....	353
Subtitle C—Counter-Drug Activities .....	353
Section 1021—Extension of Reporting Requirement Regarding Department of Defense Expenditures to Support Foreign Counter-Drug Activities .....	353
Section 1022—Authority to Transfer Tracker Aircraft Currently Used by Armed Forces for Counter-Drug Purposes .....	354
Section 1023—Authority to Transfer Tethered Aerostat Radar System Currently Used by Armed Forces for Counter-Drug Purposes .....	354
Subtitle D—Reports .....	354
Section 1031—Requirement that Department of Defense Reports to Congress be Accompanied by Electronic Version .....	354
Section 1032—Report on Department of Defense Role in Homeland Security Matters .....	354
Section 1033—Revision of Annual Report to Congress on National Guard and Reserve Component Equipment .....	354
Subtitle E—Other Matters .....	355
Section 1041—Department of Defense Gift Authorities .....	355
Section 1042—Termination of Referendum Requirement Regarding Continuation of Military Training on Island of Vieques, Puerto Rico, and Imposition of Additional conditions on closure of Live-Fire Training Range .....	355
Section 1043—Repeal of Limitation on Reductions in Peacekeeper ICBM Missiles .....	355
Section 1044—Sense of Congress on the Importance of the Kwajalein Missile Range/Ronald Reagan Defense Initiative Test Site at Kwajalein Atoll .....	355
Section 1045—Transfer of Vietnam Era F-4 Aircraft to Nonprofit Museum .....	356
Section 1046—Bomber Force Structure .....	356
Section 1047—Technical and Clerical Amendments .....	356
TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL .....	357
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	357
Section 1101—Undergraduate Training Program for Employees of the National Imagery and Mapping Agency .....	357
Section 1102—Pilot Program for Payment of Retraining Expenses .....	357
Section 1103—Payment of Expenses to Obtain Professional Credentials .....	357
Section 1104—Retirement Portability Elections for Certain Department of Defense and Coast Guard Employees .....	357

	Page
Section 1105—Removal of Requirement that Granting Civil Service Compensatory Time be Based on Amount of Irregular or Occasional Overtime Work .....	357
Section 1106—Applicability of Certain Laws to Certain Individuals As- signed to Work in the Federal Government .....	358
Section 1107—Limitation on Premium Pay .....	358
Section 1108—Use of Common Occupational and Health Standards as a Basis for Differential Payments Made as a Consequence of Exposure to Asbestos .....	358
Section 1109—Authority for Designated Civilian Employees Abroad to Act as a Notary .....	358
Section 1110—“Monroney Amendment” Restored to its Prior Form .....	358
TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO OTHER NATIONS .....	359
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	359
Section 1201—Clarification of Authority to Furnish Nuclear Test Moni- toring Equipment to Foreign Governments .....	359
Section 1202—Acquisition of Logistical Support for Security Forces .....	359
Section 1203—Report on the Sale and Transfer of Military Hardware, Expertise, and Technology from States of the Former Soviet Union to the People’s Republic of China .....	360
Section 1204—Limitation on Funding for Joint Data Exchange Center ..	360
Section 1205—Extension of Authority to Provide Assistance Under Weapons of Mass Destruction Act for Support of United Nations- Sponsored Efforts to Inspect and Monitor Iraqi Weapons Activities ..	360
Section 1206—Repeal of Requirement for Reporting to Congress on Military Deployments to Haiti .....	361
Section 1207—Report by Comptroller General on Provision of Defense Articles, Services, and Military Education and Training to Foreign Countries and International Organizations .....	361
Section 1208—Limitation on Number of Military Personnel in Colom- bia .....	361
TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION WITH STATES OF THE FORMER SOVIET UNION .....	362
OVERVIEW .....	362
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST .....	364
Arms Elimination Projects in Russia .....	364
Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine .....	365
Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia .....	365
Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia .....	365
Defense and Military Contacts .....	367
Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia .....	367
Fissile Material Processing and Packaging .....	368
Fissile Material Storage Facility .....	368
Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia .....	368
Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security .....	368
Other Assessments and Administrative Support .....	368
Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan ..	369
Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine .....	369
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	369
Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds .....	369
Section 1302—Funding Allocations .....	369
Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of Reports .....	369
Section 1304—Report on Use of Revenue Generated by Activities Car- ried Out Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs .....	370
Section 1305—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Second Wing of Fissile Material Storage Facility .....	370
Section 1306—Prohibition on Use of Funds for Construction or Refur- bishment of Fossil Fuel Energy Plants .....	370
Section 1307—Reports on Activities and Assistance Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs .....	370
Section 1308—Report on Responsibility for Carrying Out Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs .....	370
Section 1309—Chemical Weapons Destruction .....	370
TITLE XIV—DEFENSE SPACE REORGANIZATION .....	371

	Page
OVERVIEW .....	371
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	371
Section 1401—Short Title .....	371
Section 1402—Authority to Establish Position of Under Secretary of Defense for Space, Intelligence, and Information .....	371
Section 1403—Authority to Designate Under Secretary of the Air Force as Acquisition Executive for Space of the Department of Defense ....	371
Section 1404—Major Force Program Category for Space Programs .....	371
Section 1405—Comptroller General Assessment of Implementation of Recommendations of Space Commission .....	371
Section 1406—Commander of Air Force Space Command .....	372
Section 1407—Authority to Establish Separate Career Field in the Air Force for Space .....	372
DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS .....	373
PURPOSE .....	373
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW .....	373
TITLE XXI—ARMY .....	396
SUMMARY .....	396
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST .....	396
Planning and Design .....	396
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	396
Section 2101—Authorized Army Construction and Land Acquisition Projects .....	396
Section 2102—Family Housing .....	396
Section 2103—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units .....	396
Section 2104—Authorization of Appropriations, Army .....	396
Section 2105—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2001 Project .....	396
TITLE XXII—NAVY .....	398
SUMMARY .....	398
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST .....	398
Improvements to Military Family Housing .....	398
Planning and Design .....	398
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	398
Section 2201—Authorized Navy Construction and Land Acquisition Projects .....	398
Section 2202—Family Housing .....	398
Section 2203—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units .....	398
Section 2204—Authorization of Appropriations, Navy .....	399
Section 2205—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2000 Project .....	399
TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE .....	400
SUMMARY .....	400
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST .....	400
Improvements to Military Family Housing .....	400
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	400
Section 2301—Authorized Air Force Construction and Land Acquisition Projects .....	400
Section 2302—Family Housing .....	400
Section 2303—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units .....	400
Section 2304—Authorization of Appropriations, Air Force .....	400
Section 2305—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2001 Project .....	401
TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES .....	402
SUMMARY .....	402
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	402
Section 2401—Authorized Defense Agencies Construction and Land Ac- quisition Projects .....	402
Section 2402—Energy Conservation Project .....	402
Section 2403—Authorization of Appropriations, Defense Agencies .....	402
Section 2404—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2001 Project .....	402
Section 2405—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2000 Project .....	402
Section 2406—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 1999 Project .....	403

XVIII

	Page
Section 2407—Modification of Authority To Carry Out Fiscal Year 1995 Project .....	403
Section 2408—Prohibition on Expenditures to Develop Forward Operating Location on Aruba for United States Southern Command Counter-Drug Detection and Monitoring Flights .....	403
TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION INFRA-STRUCTURE .....	404
SUMMARY .....	404
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	404
Section 2501—Authorized NATO Construction and Land Acquisition Projects .....	404
Section 2502—Authorization of Appropriations, NATO .....	404
TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES .....	405
SUMMARY .....	405
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST .....	405
Planning and Design, Air National Guard .....	405
Unspecified Minor Construction, Army National Guard .....	405
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	405
Section 2601—Authorized Guard and Reserve Construction and Land Acquisition Projects .....	405
TITLE XXVII—EXPIRATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS .....	406
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	406
Section 2701—Expiration of Authorizations and Amounts Required to be Specified by Law .....	406
Section 2702—Extensions of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 1999 Projects .....	406
Section 2703—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 1998 Projects .....	406
Section 2704—Effective Date .....	406
TITLE XXVIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS .....	407
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	407
Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes .....	407
Section 2801—Increase in Certain Unspecified Minor Military Construction Project Thresholds .....	407
Section 2802—Exclusion of Unforeseen Environmental Hazard Remediation From Limitation on Authorized Cost Variations .....	407
Section 2803—Repeal of Annual Reporting Requirement on Military Construction and Military Family Housing Activities .....	407
Section 2804—Permanent Authorization for Alternative Authority for Acquisition and Improvement of Military Housing .....	407
Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration .....	407
Section 2811—Use of Military Installations for Certain Recreational Activities .....	407
Section 2812—Base Efficiency Project at Brooks Air Force Base, Texas ..	408
Subtitle C—Defense Base Closure and Realignment .....	408
Section 2821—Lease Back of Base Closure Property .....	408
Subtitle D—Land Conveyances Generally .....	408
Part I—Army Conveyances .....	408
Section 2831—Transfer of Jurisdiction, Rock Island Arsenal, Illinois .....	408
Section 2832—Modification of Land Conveyance, Fort Dix, New Jersey ..	408
Section 2833—Lease Authority, Fort Derussy, Hawaii .....	408
Section 2834—Land Exchange and Consolidation, Fort Lewis, Wash- ington .....	409
Section 2835—Land Conveyance, Whittier-Anchorage Pipeline Tank Farm, Anchorage, Alaska .....	409
Part II—Navy Conveyances .....	409
Section 2841—Transfer of Jurisdiction, Centerville Beach Naval Station, Humboldt County, California .....	409
Section 2842—Land Conveyance, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Toledo, Ohio .....	409
Section 2843—Modification of Authority for Conveyance of Naval Computer and Telecommunications Station, Cutler, Maine .....	410
Section 2844—Modification of Land Conveyance, Former United States Marine Corps Air Station, Eagle Mountain, Texas .....	410



XIX

	Page
Section 2845—Land Transfer and Conveyance, Naval Security Group Activity, Winter Harbor, Maine .....	410
Part III—Air Force Conveyances .....	410
Section 2851—Water Rights Conveyance, Andersen Air Force Base, Guam .....	410
Section 2852—Reexamination of Land Conveyance, Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado .....	411
Subtitle E—Other Matters .....	411
Section 2861—Transfer of Jurisdiction for Development of Armed Forces Recreational Facility, Park City, Utah .....	411
Section 2862—Selection of Site for United States Air Force Memorial and Related Land Transfers for the Improvement of Arlington Cemetery, Virginia .....	411
Section 2863—Management of the Presidio of San Francisco .....	412
Section 2864—Effect of Limitation on Construction of Roads or Highways, Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, California .....	412
Section 2865—Establishment of World War II Memorial at Additional Location on Guam .....	413
TITLE XXIX—FORT IRWIN MILITARY LAND WITHDRAWAL .....	415
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	415
Section 2901—Short Title .....	415
Section 2902—Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands for National Training Center .....	415
Section 2903—Map and Legal Description .....	415
Section 2904—Management of Withdrawn and Reserved Lands .....	415
Section 2905—Water Rights .....	415
Section 2906—Environmental Compliance and Environmental Response Requirements .....	415
Section 2907—West Mojave Coordinated Management Plan .....	415
Section 2908—Release of Wilderness Study Areas .....	415
Section 2909—Training Activity Separation From Utility Corridors .....	415
Section 2910—Duration of Withdrawal and Reservation .....	415
Section 2911—Extension of Initial Withdrawal and Reservation .....	415
Section 2912—Termination and Relinquishment .....	416
Section 2913—Delegation of Authority .....	416
DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATION AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS .....	417
TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS .....	417
OVERVIEW .....	417
Environmental and Other Defense Activities .....	435
Overview .....	435
Items of Special Interest .....	435
Defense Environmental Management Privatization .....	435
Hanford Site Operations, Richland, Washington .....	435
Plutonium Stabilization and Packaging .....	436
Post 2006 Completion .....	436
National Nuclear Security Administration .....	436
Overview .....	436
Items of Special Interest .....	436
Budget Structure of the National Nuclear Security Administration .....	436
Computer Security .....	438
Critical Weapons Components .....	438
Defense Nuclear Counterintelligence Budget .....	438
Directed Stockpile Work .....	438
Facilities and Infrastructure .....	439
International Nuclear Safety .....	439
Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention and the Nuclear Cities Initiative .....	440
National Ignition Facility .....	440
National Nuclear Security Administration's Planning, Programming, and Budgeting System .....	441
National Nuclear Security Administration's Reorganization Plan .....	441
Naval Reactors Program .....	442
Recruitment and Retention .....	442
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	442

	Page
Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations .....	442
Section 3101—National Nuclear Security Administration .....	442
Section 3102—Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management .....	443
Section 3103—Other Defense Activities .....	443
Section 3104—Defense Environmental Management Privatization .....	443
Section 3105—Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal .....	443
Subtitle B—Recurring General Provisions .....	443
Section 3121—Reprogramming .....	443
Section 3122—Limits on General Plant Projects .....	443
Section 3123—Limits on Construction Projects .....	443
Section 3124—Fund Transfer Authority .....	443
Section 3125—Authority for Conceptual and Construction Design .....	444
Section 3126—Authority for Emergency Planning, Design and Construction Activities .....	444
Section 3127—Funds Available for All National Security Programs of the Department of Energy .....	444
Section 3128—Availability of Funds .....	444
Section 3129—Transfers of Defense Environmental Management Funds at Field Offices of the Department of Energy .....	444
Section 3130—Transfers of Weapons Activities Funds at National Security Laboratories and Nuclear Weapons Production Facilities .....	444
Subtitle C—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations .....	445
Section 3131—Termination Date of Office of River Protection, Richland, Washington .....	445
Section 3132—Organizational Modifications for National Nuclear Security Administration .....	445
Section 3133—Consolidation of Nuclear Cities Initiative Program with Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention Program .....	445
Section 3134—Disposition of Surplus Plutonium at Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina .....	445
Section 3135—Support for Public Education in the Vicinity of Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico .....	446
TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD .....	447
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	447
Section 3201—Authorization .....	447
TITLE XXXIII—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE .....	448
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	448
Section 3301—Definitions .....	448
Section 3302—Authorized Uses of Stockpile Funds .....	448
Section 3303—Disposal of Excess Materials from the National Defense Stockpile .....	448
Section 3304—Expedited Implementation of Authority to Dispose of Cobalt From National Defense Stockpile .....	448
TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES .....	449
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	449
Section 3401—Authorization of Appropriations .....	449
TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION .....	450
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST .....	450
Merchant Marine Academy .....	450
Ship Scrapping .....	450
Title XI Loan Guarantee Program .....	451
Transfer of the Maritime Security Program to the Department of Defense .....	451
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS .....	451
Section 3501—Authorization of Appropriations for Fiscal Year 2002 .....	451
Section 3502—Define “War Risks” to Vessels to Include Confiscation, Expropriation, Nationalization, and Deprivation of the Vessels .....	451
Section 3503—Holding Obligor’s Cash as Collateral Under Title XI of Merchant Marine Act, 1936 .....	452
Departmental Data .....	453
Department of Defense Authorization Request .....	453
Military Construction Authorization Request .....	453
Committee Position .....	454
Communications From Other Committees .....	454
Fiscal Data .....	460

XXI

	Page
Congressional Budget Office Estimate .....	460
Congressional Budget Office Cost Estimate .....	460
Committee Cost Estimate .....	483
Oversight Findings .....	483
General Performance Goals and Objectives .....	484
Constitutional Authority Statement .....	485
Statement of Federal Mandates .....	485
Roll Call Votes .....	485
Changes in Existing Law Made by the Bill, as Reported .....	493
Additional and Dissenting Views .....	706
Additional views of Lane Evans .....	708
Additional views of Tom Allen .....	710
Additional views of Ike Skelton, John Spratt, Lane Evans, Silvestre Reyes, Tom Allen, John B. Larson, Loretta Sanchez, James R. Langevin, Rick Larsen, Ellen O. Tauscher, Robert E. Andrews, Cynthia A. McKinney, Adam Smith, Jim Turner, Rod R. Blagojevich, Susan A. Davis, Solomon P. Ortiz, James H. Maloney, Marty Meehan, Vic Snyder, Robert A. Brady, Mike Thompson, Neil Abercrombie, Ciro D. Rodriguez, Baron P. Hill .....	706
Dissenting Views of Cynthia A. McKinney .....	713

## TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

### OVERVIEW

The committee continues to believe that compensation programs are critical to successful recruiting and retention. Accordingly, the committee recommends a pay raise that combines both across-the-board and targeted increases for mid-grade noncommissioned officers and officers, a new officer accession bonus, and a number of enhancements to special and incentive pays and bonuses.

In addition, the committee recognizes that the rate of reimbursement for the cost of permanent changes of station (PCS) has dropped to 62 cents for every dollar expended by service members and their families. The committee is committed to improving PCS reimbursement rates and to that end proposes a series of initiatives in this bill. These measures include:

(1) An increase in the maximum amount for temporary lodging expense (TLE) from \$110 to \$180 per day and authorization for officers to receive TLE at their first duty stations.

(2) Advanced payment of vehicle storage costs.

(3) Shipment of privately owned vehicles inside the United States.

(4) Family separation allowances for members serving unaccompanied overseas tours because family members have been medically disqualified from overseas assignment.

(5) A \$500 partial dislocation allowance when ordered out of government quarters.

(6) More flexible allowances for travel performed by members between consecutive overseas services.

(7) An increase in the reimbursement rate for the cost of pet quarantine services.

Because the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness testified that he will seek to reform further the PCS reimbursement system, the committee recommends a series of additional measures but delays their implementation date for one year, until January 1, 2003. The committee expects the Secretary of Defense, following completion of the Department of Defense review of the PCS reimbursement system, will make recommendations with regard to the implementation of the following initiatives:

(1) Authority to pay dislocation allowance (DLA) at a member's first duty station.

(2) Increased weight allowance for transportation of household goods for junior enlisted personnel.

(3) Increasing the PCS reimbursement rate for military personnel to the same rate as paid federal civilian employees.

(4) Payment of housing allowance to junior enlisted personnel while traveling between PCS stations.

(5) Dislocation allowance for military couples moving into government quarters.

Finally, the committee would authorize uniformed service retirees to receive disability compensation from the Department of Veterans Affairs without reducing retired pay. Implementation of the provision would be contingent on the President submitting offsets for the increased entitlement spending in a budget request and Congress enacting legislation to provide those specific offsets.

#### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

##### Additional Reporting Instructions Concerning the Supplemental Subsistence Allowance for Low-Income Members with Dependents

Section 402a of title 37, United States Code, as amended by section 604 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106-398), directs the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress annual reports specifying the number of members of the armed forces who received the supplemental subsistence allowance during the preceding year. The first report is required on March 1, 2002 and the last report is required on March 1, 2006. The committee is concerned that the reports include sufficient information about the recipients to allow the merits of the program to be evaluated.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to include in the first annual report and all reports that follow, statistical analyses of the recipient population regarding rank, family size, non-dependent family members, job specialties, and service. The report shall also make distinctions among recipients based on duty locations being in the United States or overseas (including Alaska and Hawaii), residences being government housing, privatized government housing, or private sector housing, and family income including or not including wage earners in addition to the service member.

##### Personal and Family Financial Management Programs

The committee is concerned that the secretaries of the military departments are not providing service members sufficient training on the management of personal and family finances, and when personal financial problems do occur, the secretaries are not providing adequate supervision to ensure that service members and their families regain financial security.

Accordingly, the committee directs the secretaries of the military departments to conduct a comprehensive examination of the personal financial management programs operated within their respective departments. The examination shall include at a minimum an assessment of the severity and type of personal financial challenges confronting service members, the magnitude of personal debt accumulated by service members, the adequacy of training and assistance programs available to service members, and the merits of other programs recommended to meet the needs of service members.

The committee further directs the Secretary of Defense to consolidate and review the examinations conducted by the secretaries

## TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

### OVERVIEW

The committee continues to believe that compensation programs are critical to successful recruiting and retention. Accordingly, the committee recommends a pay raise that combines both across-the-board and targeted increases for mid-grade noncommissioned officers and officers, a new officer accession bonus, and a number of enhancements to special and incentive pays and bonuses.

In addition, the committee recognizes that the rate of reimbursement for the cost of permanent changes of station (PCS) has dropped to 62 cents for every dollar expended by service members and their families. The committee is committed to improving PCS reimbursement rates and to that end proposes a series of initiatives in this bill. These measures include:

(1) An increase in the maximum amount for temporary lodging expense (TLE) from \$110 to \$180 per day and authorization for officers to receive TLE at their first duty stations.

(2) Advanced payment of vehicle storage costs.

(3) Shipment of privately owned vehicles inside the United States.

(4) Family separation allowances for members serving unaccompanied overseas tours because family members have been medically disqualified from overseas assignment.

(5) A \$500 partial dislocation allowance when ordered out of government quarters.

(6) More flexible allowances for travel performed by members between consecutive overseas services.

(7) An increase in the reimbursement rate for the cost of pet quarantine services.

Because the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness testified that he will seek to reform further the PCS reimbursement system, the committee recommends a series of additional measures but delays their implementation date for one year, until January 1, 2003. The committee expects the Secretary of Defense, following completion of the Department of Defense review of the PCS reimbursement system, will make recommendations with regard to the implementation of the following initiatives:

(1) Authority to pay dislocation allowance (DLA) at a member's first duty station.

(2) Increased weight allowance for transportation of household goods for junior enlisted personnel.

(3) Increasing the PCS reimbursement rate for military personnel to the same rate as paid federal civilian employees.

(4) Payment of housing allowance to junior enlisted personnel while traveling between PCS stations.

of the military departments, identify the best practices from each examination, and assess the need to improve and standardize the programs operated by the secretaries of the military departments. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report the findings of his review to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by March 31, 2002.

**The Right of Former Prisoners of War Forced to Work as Slave Laborers During World War II to Sue Japanese Corporations for Mistreatment**

The committee believes that the inhumane treatment of U.S. prisoners of war (POWs) at the hands of Japanese corporations that benefited from their efforts as slave laborers during World War II is a matter that requires new attention by the United States Government. The committee believes that these POWs are deserving of the opportunity to seek a just settlement from the Japanese corporations in the courts. The committee believes that the success of other claimants in gaining reparations from the Japanese nation for World War II misconduct signals a new era of reconciliation that must now include the U.S. POWs forced to work as slave laborers. The committee believes that the United States government should reconsider its formal opposition to the court actions filed by the U.S. POW slave laborers from World War II and apply the resources of the Department of State and the Department of Justice to the task of assisting these deserving former POWs to obtain proper settlements to their claims.

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

### SUBTITLE A—PAY AND ALLOWANCES

#### Section 601—Increase in Basic Pay for Fiscal Year 2002

This section would increase basic pay a minimum of six percent for all enlisted members of the uniformed services and a minimum of five percent for all officers effective January 1, 2002. The section would provide additional increases to mid-grade and senior non-commissioned officers, and mid-grade officers to maintain incentives to serve throughout the enlisted career and to increase incentives to retain junior officers and highly skilled enlisted members in a competitive private sector economy.

This raise fulfills the President's commitment to add \$1.0 billion to pay for the uniformed services. The combined across-the-board and targeted raise would be the equivalent of a 6.9 percent across-the-board raise and would reduce the pay gap between military and private sector pay increases over time from 10.4 percent to 7.5 percent.

#### Section 602—Basic Pay Rate for Certain Reserve Commissioned Officers with Prior Service as an Enlisted Member or Warrant Officer

This section would authorize reserve component officers in pay grades O-1, O-2, or O-3 who are not on active duty but have accumulated a minimum of 1,460 points credited toward reserve retire-

ment while serving as a warrant officer or as a warrant officer and enlisted member to be paid at the same rate as active duty officers credited with at least four years of service as a warrant officer or as a warrant officer and enlisted member.

#### Section 603—Subsistence Allowances

This section would make clarifying changes of an administrative nature to the basic allowance for subsistence (BAS) program to facilitate the termination of the transitional BAS program effective January 1, 2002.

#### Section 604—Eligibility for Basic Allowance for Housing While Between Permanent Duty Stations

This section would require the secretaries of the military departments to pay members of the uniformed services in pay grades below E-4 (with less than 4 years of service) a temporary housing allowance while on travel or leave status between permanent duty stations.

#### Section 605—Uniform Allowance for Officers

This section would clarify that an additional allowance of \$200 for uniforms may be paid to an officer so long as any previous allowance received did not exceed \$400.

#### Section 606—Family Separation Allowance for Certain Members Electing to Serve Unaccompanied Tour of Duty

This section would require the secretaries of the military departments to pay family separation allowance to members of the uniformed services who elect to serve unaccompanied tours of duty because the movement of dependents of the member to the permanent duty station is denied for certified medical reasons.

### SUBTITLE B—BONUSES AND SPECIAL AND INCENTIVE PAYS

#### Section 611—One-Year Extension of Certain Bonus and Special Pay Authorities for Reserve Forces

This section would extend the authority for the special pay for health care professionals who serve in the selected reserve in critically short wartime specialties, the selected reserve reenlistment bonus, the selected reserve enlistment bonus, special pay for enlisted members of the selected reserve assigned to certain high priority units, the selected reserve affiliation bonus, the ready reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus, and the prior service enlistment bonus until December 31, 2002. The provision would also extend the authority for repayment of educational loans for certain health care professionals who serve in the selected reserve until January 1, 2003.



Section 612—One-Year Extension of Certain Bonus and Special Pay Authorities for Nurse Officer Candidates, Registered Nurses, and Nurse Anesthetists

This section would extend the authority for the nurse officer candidate accession program, the accession bonus for registered nurses, and the incentive special pay for nurse anesthetists until December 31, 2002.

Section 613—One-Year Extension of Other Bonus and Special Pay Authorities

This section would extend the authority for the aviation officer retention bonus, reenlistment bonus for active members, enlistment bonus for active members, special pay for nuclear qualified officers extending the period of active service, nuclear career accession bonus, the nuclear career annual incentive bonus, and the retention bonus for members with critical skills to December 31, 2002.

Section 614—Conforming Accession Bonus for Dental Officers Authority with Authorities for Other Special Pay and Bonuses

This section would conform the expiration date of the accession bonus for dental officers with the expiration dates of other special pays and bonuses. The section would extend the authority to pay accession bonuses to dental officers until December 31, 2002.

Section 615—Additional Type of Duty Resulting in Eligibility for Hazardous Duty Incentive Pay

This section would authorize members of the uniformed services to be paid hazardous duty incentive pay for duties involving regular participation as a member of a team conducting visit, board, search, and seizure aboard vessels in support of maritime interdiction operations.

Section 616—Equal Treatment of Reservists Performing Inactive-Duty Training for Receipt of Aviation Career Incentive Pay

This section would entitle qualified reserve aviators to be paid the full amount of monthly Aviation Career Incentive Pay in the same amount as paid to active duty aviators with the same number of years of aviation service.

Section 617—Secretarial Discretion in Prescribing Submarine Duty Incentive Pay Rates

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to prescribe the amount of submarine duty incentive pay by grade and years of service within a maximum of \$1,000 per month. The committee believes this new flexibility will allow the Secretary to respond more quickly to recruiting and retention problems within the submarine force.

Section 618—Imposition of Critical Wartime Skill Requirement for Eligibility for Individual Ready Reserve Bonus

This section would amend the eligibility criteria for payment of a bonus to individuals enlisting, reenlisting, or extending an enlistment in the individual ready reserve. The bonus would no longer be paid to individuals with combat or combat support skills, but would be paid to individuals with a skill or specialty designated by the service secretary as critically short to meet wartime requirements.

Section 619—Installment Payment Authority for 15-Year Career Status Bonus

This section would authorize members of the uniformed services to elect to be paid the 15-year career status bonus in a lump sum or one of a series of annual installment options. Section 322 of title 37, United States Code, requires the 15-year career status bonus for military members entering service on or after August 1, 1986, to be paid in a lump sum of \$30,000. The options for annual installment payments would be \$15,000 per year over two years, \$10,000 per year over three years, \$7,500 per year over four years, or \$6,000 per year over five years.

Section 620—Accession Bonus for New Officers

This section would authorize the service secretaries to pay an accession bonus of up to \$100,000 to officer candidates who enter into written service agreements to accept commissions as officers.

SUBTITLE C—TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION ALLOWANCES

Section 631—Minimum Per Diem Rate for Travel and Transportation Allowance for Travel Performed Upon a Change of Permanent Station and Certain Other Travel

This section would require the service secretaries to pay members of the uniformed services ordered to change permanent duty stations the per diem rate established in the federal travel regulation for civilian employees authorized per diem while changing permanent duty stations.

Section 632—Payment or Reimbursement of Temporary Subsistence Expenses

This section would increase from \$110 to \$180 per day the maximum amount that may be paid to members of the uniformed services as reimbursement for temporary lodging and subsistence expenses incurred in the United States as result of a permanent change of station. The section would also authorize payment for temporary lodging and subsistence expenses to officers reporting to their first permanent duty station.

Section 633—Increased Weight Allowance for Transportation of Baggage and Household Effects for Junior Enlisted Members

This section would increase the maximum weight allowance for shipment of household effects for enlisted military members in

grades E-4 and below. The new allowance for members in pay grade E-4 with less than two years of service would be 8,000 pounds for members with dependents, and 7,000 pounds for members without dependents. The new allowance for members in pay grades E-1 through E-3 would be 8,000 pounds for members with dependents, and 5,000 pounds for members without dependents.

Section 634—Reimbursement of Members for Mandatory Pet Quarantine Fees for Household Pets

This section would authorize an increase from \$275 to \$675 per change of station in the amount of reimbursement for pet quarantine fees the service secretaries may pay to members of the uniformed services.

Section 635—Availability of Dislocation Allowance for Married Member, Whose Spouse is a Member, Assigned to Military Family Housing

This section would require service secretaries to pay members of the uniformed services who are married to other members and have no dependents a dislocation allowance when the members are assigned to military family housing at a new permanent duty station. The section would specify that only one member of the married couple may receive such a dislocation allowance.

Section 636—Elimination of Prohibition on Receipt of Dislocation Allowance by Members Ordered to First Duty Station

This section would authorize the payment of dislocation allowance to members of the uniformed services ordered from their homes to their first duty stations.

Section 637—Partial Dislocation Allowance Authorized for Housing Moves Ordered for Government Convenience

This section would authorize the service secretaries to pay a \$500 partial dislocation allowance to members of the uniformed services who are ordered to occupy or vacate government family housing to permit privatization, renovation, or other reason unrelated to changes in permanent station.

Section 638—Allowances for Travel Performed in Connection with Members Taking Authorized Leave Between Consecutive Overseas Tours

This section would authorize the service secretaries to designate the locations to which members of the uniformed services may travel at government expense while on leave between consecutive overseas tours. Section 411b of title 37, United States Code, specifies that the distance that a member of the uniformed services may travel at government expense when authorized leave tours may not exceed the distance from the overseas duty location to the member's home of record. The committee believes the service secretaries can best determine the travel destination that is most advantageous to the member and cost effective to the government.

Section 639—Funded Student Travel as Part of School-Sponsored Exchange Programs

This section would expand the circumstances for which the service secretaries may pay service members stationed overseas a transportation allowance for dependent students. The section would authorize a transportation allowance for students participating in programs of less than one year located outside the continental United States and approved by the institution in the continental United States normally attended. The section would allow the allowance to be paid so long as the cost of that transportation does not exceed the cost of roundtrip transportation to the institution normally attended.

SUBTITLE D—RETIREMENT AND SURVIVOR BENEFIT MATTERS

Section 641—Contingent Authority for Concurrent Receipt of Military Retired Pay and Veterans' Disability Compensation

This section would authorize retirement qualified members of the uniformed services to receive Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) disability compensation without a reduction in retired pay. In the case of a member who receives a disability retirement, the section would allow the retired pay to be reduced, but only to the extent that the member's retired pay exceeds the amount of retired pay to which the member would have been entitled based solely on the member's years of service. The effective date of the section would be contingent upon completing both of the actions outlined below.

(1) The President must submit a legislative proposal in an annual budget request that fully offsets the "PayGo" costs of the initiative.

(2) Following the submission of the legislative initiative by the President, Congress must enact legislation with the express purpose of offsetting the "PayGo" costs of the initiative.

The committee is opposed to reducing military members' retired pay to offset the receipt of compensation for service connected disabilities paid by the VA. The committee believes that retirees are entitled to receive both the retired pay for which they contributed years of faithful service and the VA compensation for a service connected disability intended to recognize a lifelong limitation on earning potential.

SUBTITLE E—OTHER MATTERS

Section 651—Funeral Honors Duty Allowance for Retired Members

This section would authorize the secretaries of the military departments to pay retired members an allowance for performing funeral honors duty.

## TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS

### OVERVIEW

Enactment of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398), brought with it the most significant expansion of health care benefits since implementation, in 1965, of the Civilian Health And Medical Program of the Uniformed Services. The committee commends the Secretary of Defense for the smooth implementation of the TRICARE Senior Pharmacy benefit, which will bring critically important prescription drugs to Medicare eligible military retirees and their eligible family members. The committee is aware that in fiscal year 2002 the Department of Defense must achieve an equally smooth implementation of the new benefits provided to Medicare eligible military retirees and their eligible beneficiaries under the TRICARE For Life program. With this in mind, the committee limited its recommended changes to TRICARE in fiscal year 2002 to only those needed to facilitate implementation of TRICARE For Life or to significantly improve already existing programs.

The committee was gratified that the President's defense health budget request for fiscal year 2002 was based on more realistic cost and budgeting assumptions. This combined with a commitment to fully fund the Defense Health Program (DHP), including the significantly improved benefit, led to a \$6 billion, one-year increase in the DHP funding. Nevertheless, the committee remains concerned that funds appropriated for the DHP are disproportionately allocated toward purchasing care in the private sector while the direct care system of military treatment facilities continues to languish from a lack of investment in maintenance and repair of facilities. As a consequence, fewer eligible beneficiaries are able to receive the care they need in the venue they prefer, the military hospitals and clinics of the direct care system. The committee is concerned that this dearth of funding for the direct care system forces patients into the private sector further driving up the cost of the defense health program and severely limiting the resources available for treating patients in the direct care system. At the behest of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, the committee has, for the time being, refrained from segregating DHP funds authorized for the operations of military treatment facilities from those funds required to purchase care in the private sector. The committee expects to be kept informed of the Under Secretary's efforts to allocate defense health resources in a manner that will maximize the effectiveness of the entire DHP.

The committee is pleased with the extent to which the defense health personnel in the office of the Secretary of Defense engaged the private non-governmental groups representing the interests of the beneficiaries of the military health care system during delibera-

tions on the implementation of the TRICARE Senior Pharmacy and TRICARE For Life programs. The committee encourages the Secretary to continue to reach out to beneficiaries of the military health care system and to begin to seek similar participation from other key stakeholders including the Department of Defense's managed care support contractors. Early participation of the managed care support contractors could help avoid the unexpected budget overruns experienced for the last few years.

The committee also notes the relatively minuscule efforts made by the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs toward increasing medical resource sharing. While the committee requires some specific actions to improve sharing, the committee expects the Secretary of Defense to increase efforts with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to seek more opportunities to maximize the use of all health care resources in providing services to beneficiaries of the two health care delivery systems keeping in mind the missions of the two Departments.

#### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

##### Health Care Benefits for Members of the Reserve Components

The committee is aware that the TRICARE health benefits program is a valuable active component recruitment and retention tool and might be a useful tool in the recruitment and retention of national guard and reserve members. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study of options for providing TRICARE benefits to all national guard and reserve personnel and their families. The study should evaluate, at a minimum, permitting national guard and reserve personnel to buy into the TRICARE standard level of benefits. In addition to determining the annual premium amount if national guard and reserve members paid the entire amount, the study should also consider the option of cost sharing the annual premium charge between the government and the military member and, alternatively, between the employer and the military member. The committee expects the Secretary of Defense to consider the views of national guard and reserve personnel and their families, the non-governmental groups representing the interests of members of the national guard and reserves, and the employers of national guard and reserve members. For each cost sharing option, the study should evaluate the propensity of both military members and employers to participate in the program. The report shall be submitted to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by March 31, 2002.

##### Military Health Care System Information Management

The committee notes and applauds the efforts made by the Department of Defense (DOD) to establish and modernize its medical-related clinical, cost, budget, and management information systems. The committee also notes that medicine and the unique missions of military medicine are changing rapidly and is interested in learning the state of the Department's information systems, any gaps or shortcomings in the data collected or its accuracy and time-

liness, and new initiatives that need to be established to correct shortcomings identified. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to undertake a comprehensive study of DOD medical data systems that are designed to facilitate and/or track management, clinical treatment, system performance evaluation, costs, manpower, and enrollment. The study should examine the capability of present and planned systems to meet stated goals and objectives, progress on implementing systems, shortcomings in existing systems, data systems necessary to implement the new TRICARE For Life benefit, and an assessment of the ability of the Department of Defense to exchange clinical and management information with other federal and state agencies and private sector health services providers in a timely and reliable manner. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to undertake the study by engaging a federally funded research and development center with experience and expertise in information systems, military health care systems, and military affairs. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit an interim report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by March 29, 2002, and a final report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by March 31, 2003.

#### North Chicago Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center and Naval Hospital, Great Lakes, Illinois

The committee notes the current resource sharing agreement between the North Chicago Veterans Affairs Medical Center (NCVAMC) and the Naval Hospital, Great Lakes, Illinois (NHGL). These two facilities are in close proximity and offer significant additional opportunities to share services, programs, and facilities. The Department of the Navy is engaged in conducting an economic analysis of the potential requirement for a modern facility to replace the aging NHGL. The Department of Veterans Affairs has an underused inpatient facility at the NCVAMC. The committee directs the Secretary of the Navy and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to develop a plan to jointly make maximum use of the NCVAMC. The Secretary of the Navy and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall consult on further development of joint health care delivery infrastructure, including any future option to replace the NHGL, or to renovate the NCVAMC to better accommodate needs of the Navy to support the Great Lakes Naval Training Station's health care needs. If the two Secretaries determine to further renovate the NCVAMC or propose construction of a new facility, the committee expects these future health care facilities to be jointly operated by the Department of Veterans Affairs and the United States Navy.

#### TRICARE in Illinois

The committee notes that many TRICARE beneficiaries enrolled for their care in the area of Naval Training Center, Great Lakes, Illinois, are having difficulty securing specialty care under TRICARE. The committee is concerned that many beneficiaries must resort to seeking care outside of the state of Illinois because

of the lack of a robust network of specialty care providers willing to provide services under TRICARE in Illinois. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by March 31, 2002, on actions taken to augment the Northern Illinois TRICARE provider network and the extent to which the dearth of network specialty providers has been relieved.

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

### SUBTITLE A—TRICARE PROGRAM

#### Section 701—Implementing Cost-Effective Payment Rates Under the TRICARE Program

This section would implement reforms of TRICARE payment methods to bring consistency of payment methods to all TRICARE programs. The section would require the Secretary of Defense to base TRICARE program payment rates on payment rates used by the Medicare program, or similar rates based on Medicare payment methods. The payment rates would apply to health care services for civilian sector institutional and other non-institutional providers, except where the Secretary of Defense determines the rates to be impractical. The section would also prohibit balance billing of beneficiaries by institutional providers for any amount in excess of the CHAMPUS/TRICARE payment amount, and limit balance billing by non-institutional providers to the 15% rate allowed by Medicare.

#### Section 702—Waiver of Nonavailability Statement or Preauthorization Requirement

This section would amend section 721 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) by requiring the Secretary of Defense to notify the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services at least sixty days prior to implementing a prior authorization requirement under section 721 and mandating a maximum period for exception decisions made under the authority. The section would also prescribe the geographic limits affected by any specific prior authorization requirement.

#### Section 703—Improvements in Administration of the TRICARE Program

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into new contracts for support of delivery of health care under TRICARE by providing flexibility in the choice of contract vehicle. The section would also permit the Secretary of Defense to reduce the minimum nine-month start-up for new contracts. The committee recognizes that the health care environment in the United States has changed significantly since the first managed care support contracts were awarded in 1994 and that, as a result, the Secretary of Defense may require more flexibility in selecting the most effective contract type for future managed care support contracts.



#### Section 704—Sub-Acute and Long-Term Care Program Reform

This section would reform the Department of Defense Program for care provided in skilled nursing facilities or at home. Full implementation of the new TRICARE For Life benefits makes it essential to coordinate the benefits structure provided in skilled nursing facilities and through home health programs. The committee is also aware of the unique challenges faced by active duty families supporting a severely disabled family member and includes a subsection which would significantly enhance active duty families' ability to care for these family members, especially when the active duty sponsor is deployed. The committee is also interested in the future relationship between the TRICARE sub-acute care benefit and benefits offered under the Long Term Care Security Act (Public Law 106-265). The section would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services on the feasibility and desirability of establishing direct benefit linkages between TRICARE and the Long Term Care Security Act.

#### Section 705—Reimbursement of Travel Expenses of a Parent, Guardian or Responsible Family Member of a Minor Covered Beneficiary

This section would amend section 1074i of title 10, United States Code, by requiring the Secretary of Defense to reimburse the reasonable travel expenses of a parent or guardian of a minor who is required to travel for specialty care services outside the limits specified in section 1074i of title 10, United States Code. The committee is concerned that the original provision could be interpreted in a way that would not authorize reimbursement for the reasonable travel expenses of a parent or guardian of a minor eligible beneficiary.

### SUBTITLE B—OTHER MATTERS

#### Section 711—Prohibition Against Requiring Military Retirees to Receive Health Care Solely Through the Department of Defense

This section would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from implementing a policy of forced choice enrollment by military retirees who are eligible for care in the health care facilities and programs of both the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs. The committee was pleased with the establishment of the President's Task Force to Improve Health Care Delivery for Our Nation's Veterans (Task Force) and expects the Task Force to address the issue of mandatory enrollment during its deliberations and in the final report. Therefore, the committee believes any mandatory enrollment policy implemented prior to the Task Force's deliberations and report would be premature.

#### Section 712—Trauma and Medical Care Pilot Program

This section would direct the Secretary of Defense to conduct a pilot program under which the Brooke Army Medical Center and the Wilford Hall Air Force Medical Center in San Antonio, Texas,

(the medical centers) may charge civilians who are not covered TRICARE beneficiaries, fees representing the actual costs of trauma and other medical care provided. The section would also permit the medical centers to use the funds collected under the program for various activities related to trauma training and operation of the medical centers.

#### Section 713—Enhancement of Medical Product Development

This section would amend section 980 of title 10, United States Code, by authorizing the Secretary of Defense to waive the prohibition against the use of human subjects in research in order to advance research into the treatment of combat casualties. The committee is concerned that current restrictions on the use of human subjects in medical research severely limits the ability to conduct focused trauma treatment research. As a result, many products with direct applicability to the treatment of battle casualties are precluded from the kinds of tests required for approval of the Food and Drug Administration.

#### Section 714—Repeal of Obsolete Report Requirement

This section would repeal a reporting requirement in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65; 1-U.S.C. 1074g note) by striking subsection 701(d). The committee notes that the reporting requirement was superseded by enactment of the TRICARE Senior Pharmacy program.

#### Section 715—Clarifications and Improvements Regarding the Department of Defense Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Care Fund

This section would clarify that the Department of Defense Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Care Fund (Fund) covers all health care programs and activities of the Department of Defense through which health care services are provided to Medicare-eligible military retirees and their eligible dependents, including those programs and activities purchased in the private sector and the programs and activities through which health care services are provided in the direct care system of military treatment facilities. The section would also clarify the applicability of the Fund to the Coast Guard, the commissioned corps of the Public Health Service, and the commissioned corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. The section would also authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into agreements with the service secretaries responsible for the other uniformed services to arrange for contributions into the Fund by the other service secretaries.

## TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT AND RELATED MATTERS

### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### Extraordinary Contractual Actions

The committee is concerned with the Department of Defense (DOD) use of the authority granted in section 1431 of title 50, United States Code, to enter into contracts when it is deemed that such contract would facilitate the national defense. Executive Order 10789, expands upon the statutory authority by stating that contractual provisions which provide that the United States will hold harmless and indemnify the contractor against any claims or losses shall apply only to claims or losses arising out of risks that the contract defines as unusually hazardous or nuclear in nature. In December 2000, DOD entered into an indemnification contract with an entity providing satellite communications and agreed to indemnify the contractor against claims for damages from unusually hazardous risk associated with certain satellites. It is the committee's understanding that the unusually hazardous risk is the concern that some satellites would fall out of orbit and descend into the Earth's atmosphere. The committee questions whether this concern meets the required standard of an unusually hazardous or nuclear risk. In addition, the committee notes that the contractor relies upon the same satellite constellation to provide military and commercial communications. In other words, the DOD is providing indemnification to a contractor for work that is not unique or solely provided to DOD. The committee expects that DOD will, in the future, use the available statutory discretion with greater prudence.

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### SUBTITLE A—ACQUISITION POLICY AND MANAGEMENT

##### Section 801—Acquisition Milestones

This section would amend various sections of title 10, United States Code, to update references to the phases of acquisition to reflect changes in Department of Defense acquisition policy.

##### Section 802—Acquisition Workforce Qualifications

This section would amend section 1724 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to hire an individual into the acquisition workforce on a three-year probationary period if the individual has a college degree or 24 semester credit hours in business. This probationary period would allow the individual to complete the education requirements defined in title 10, United

States Code. This section would also provide an additional exception to the education requirements for those individuals in the contingency contracting force. This section would also clarify the committee's original intent to grandfather all employment qualifications in effect prior to enactment of section 808 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106-398).

**Section 803—Two-Year Extension of Program Applying Simplified Procedures to Certain Commercial Items**

This section would extend for two years a pilot program authorizing the Secretary of Defense to use simplified acquisition procedures for the purchase of commercial items not greater than \$5.0 million.

**Section 804—Contracts for Services to Be Performed Outside the United States**

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to contract with individuals or organizations to perform services in countries with which the United States has no Status of Forces Agreement (SOFA). In nations with which the United States has negotiated a SOFA, that agreement establishes the procedures by which the Secretary of Defense employs foreign nationals in support of the armed forces. This provision would provide the Secretary of Defense with the authority to contract for local national labor in the absence of a SOFA.

**Section 805—Codification and Modification of “Berry Amendment” Requirements**

This section would codify the Department of Defense (DOD) domestic source requirements commonly known as the “Berry Amendment”. The provision would also require DOD to notify Congress and the public of a decision to waive the domestic source requirement. The waiver would not come into effect until 30 days after congressional notification.

**SUBTITLE B—ERRONEOUS PAYMENTS RECOVERY**

**Section 811—Short Title**

This section would name the subtitle as the “Erroneous Payments Recovery Act of 2001.”

**Section 812—Identification of Errors Made by Executive Agencies in Payments to Contractors and Recovery of Amounts Erroneously Paid**

This section would require executive agencies to conduct a program to recover erroneously made payments. Each agency may determine the types of contracts for which recovery activities are most appropriate, based on policy guidelines and procedures the Office of Management and Budget issues.

#### Section 813—Disposition of Recovered Funds

This section would authorize funds collected under a recovery audit to be available to reimburse executive agencies' and auditors' costs. This section would also authorize a portion of the returned funds to be available to support a management improvement program.

#### Section 814—Sources of Recovery Services

This section would ensure that executive agencies consider various auditing services within both government and the private sector when carrying out a recovery audit program.

#### Section 815—Management Improvement Programs

This section would provide an executive agency the option to carry out a management improvement program in order to address problems that contribute to the occurrence of erroneous payments.

#### Section 816—Reports

This section would require the Director of the Office of Management and Budget to submit an annual report to Congress evaluating executive agencies' recovery audits, the costs the agencies have incurred, and the amount actually recovered.

#### Section 817—Relationship to Authority of Inspectors General

This section would ensure that nothing in this subtitle impairs the authority of an inspector general.

#### Section 818—Privacy Protections

This section would prohibit a nongovernmental entity from disclosing the identity of an individual for any purpose other than auditing activity.

#### Section 819—Definition

This section would define an executive agency.

## TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### Regional Centers and China Center

The committee notes the interest of the Department of Defense in consolidating the legislative authorities under which it operates five regional centers for security studies. However, the Department's proposal would go beyond merely consolidating existing authorities and would broaden and expand the authority to operate some of these centers by allowing DOD to absorb additional costs that are currently not borne by the Department. The committee does not support this action.

Moreover, the committee is concerned about the policy guidance given to the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies on the status of Taiwanese nationals participating in conferences with representatives from the People's Republic of China. Accordingly, the committee directs the Secretary to report to the committee no later than December 31, 2001 on the guidance issued by the Department to the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies regarding contact with officials from Taiwan and the People's Republic of China and the participation of Chinese and Taiwanese nationals in conferences, symposia, and other activities of the center. In addition, the report should include a description of how the Department's guidance is being implemented by the center.

Finally, the committee notes that the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65) established a Center for the Study of Chinese Military Affairs and required the Department to submit a report to the committee by February 1, 2001 detailing the proposed budget and timetable for initial and full operations of the center. This report has not yet been submitted. The committee is concerned that the Department has not acted expeditiously to implement the requirements established in Public Law 106-65. Consequently, the committee directs the Secretary to submit the required report expeditiously, along with an explanation of the reasons for the delay and any recommendations the Secretary has for ensuring the viability of the center.

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 901—Further Reductions in Defense Acquisition and Support Workforce

This section would reduce the number of personnel assigned to the defense acquisition and support workforce by 13,000 in fiscal year 2002.

The committee continues to believe that the Department of Defense must significantly reorganize and streamline its acquisition structure for a number of reasons. First, the military services' priorities of interoperability and jointness and the increasing sophistication of systems will require advances in the way weapons systems are acquired. Second, at a time of tightening budget constraints, the Department must ensure that combat needs are not crowded out by bloated support costs, including excessive overhead costs from the acquisition workforce.

The committee believes that personnel reductions must be a significant part of any effort to bring the acquisition support costs of the Department in line with the resources dedicated to its combat missions. However, the committee is also concerned with the trend since the mid-1990s to outsource acquisition functions while reducing the number of personnel in acquisition organizations. According to the report required by section 343 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65), the Department paid 734,000 full-time contractors in fiscal year 1999 to perform many acquisition functions at the same time it maintained over 300,000 civilian and military employees in acquisition assignments. The committee is disturbed by this trend and believes it must be addressed as part of a fundamental effort to reform the defense acquisition system.

#### Section 902—Sense of Congress on Establishment of an Office of Transformation in the Department of Defense

This section would express the sense of Congress on the Administration's decision to establish an Office of Transformation within the Department of Defense (DOD). The committee believes the armed services must implement transformation to meet operational challenges and exploit opportunities resulting from changes in the threat environment and the emergence of new technologies. The committee notes and supports steps that the services have taken so far to promote transformation. However, the committee also notes that the findings of a 1999 Defense Science Board report on transformation concluded that there was no overall DOD vision for transformation, no "road map", no metrics to measure progress, and little sense of urgency. The establishment of an Office of Transformation will begin to address these shortfalls.

To assure the effectiveness of the Office of Transformation, the committee believes three key elements must be in place: The mission and functions of the office must be adequately defined; the Director of Transformation must have direct access to the Secretary of Defense; and the Director should have control of sufficient funding to sponsor key transformation efforts.

The committee believes that the mission of the Director of Transformation should be to develop force transformation strategies ensuring linkage to the military strategic functions of preparing the future military and dissuading potential military competitors. The Director should make recommendations to the Secretary for ensuring a continuous and broadly focused transformation process. The Director should also collaborate on service and joint acquisition and experimentation efforts, selectively fund experimentation efforts,

identify promising operational concepts and technologies, and sponsor other transformation activities as appropriate.

The committee believes the Director should have control of funding adequate for sponsoring selective prototyping efforts, wargames, and studies and analyses, as well as for appropriate staffing of the Office of Transformation. To accomplish these tasks, the committee believes that it is critical that this office be provided sufficient budgetary resources to accomplish its mission.

#### Section 903—Revised Joint Report On Establishment of National Collaborative Information Analysis Capability

This section would require the Secretary of Defense and the Director of Central Intelligence to submit a revised joint report assessing the alternatives for the establishment of a national collaborative information analysis capability. The report, which would include draft legislation required to establish the preferred architecture, would be required to be submitted coincident with the submission of the budget request for fiscal year 2003.

#### Section 904—Elimination of Triennial Report by Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff on Roles and Missions of the Armed Forces

This section would amend title 10 to consolidate reporting requirements related to the roles of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and missions of the armed forces. The current law requires that the Chairman review the assignment of the roles and missions of the armed forces every three years, or at the request of the President or the Secretary of Defense, and to prepare a separate report, which is not required to be submitted to Congress. This section would eliminate the current reporting requirement, and require that the Department of Defense's assessment of the roles and missions of the armed services be conducted as part of the Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) process. The results of the assessment would be included in the final report of the QDR and submitted to Congress.

#### Section 905—Repeal of Requirement for Semiannual Reports Through March 2003 on Activities of Joint Requirements Oversight Council

This section would repeal section 916 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106-398) that requires the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to submit a semiannual report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services on specific activities of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council through March 1, 2003.

#### Section 906—Correction of References to Air Mobility Command

This section would change all references to "Military Airlift Command" contained in title 10 and title 37, United States Code, to "Air Mobility Command."



Section 907—Organizational Alignment Change for Director for Expeditionary Warfare

This section would amend section 5038(a) of title 10, United States Code, with respect to the specific office of the Deputy Chief of Naval Operations within which the Director for Expeditionary Warfare shall be located.

## TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION

The budget request contained \$228.5 million for arms control implementation activities, representing a slight increase from the fiscal year 2001 appropriated level of \$223.6 million. The committee recommends \$223.6 million, a decrease of \$4.9 million from the budget request.

The budget request contained an increase in the operations and maintenance accounts for the Defense Threat Reduction Agency's arms control implementation program of \$18.7 million over the fiscal year 2001 appropriated level. This reflects a 30 percent increase. The committee recommends a \$4.9 million reduction to the request without prejudice.

#### COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

##### Overview

The Department of Defense budget request for fiscal year 2002 for counter-drug activities sustains the Department's level of effort in this important area by providing aircraft and ships for detection and monitoring, military personnel, intelligence support, communications systems, and training to domestic and foreign law enforcement agencies. The committee is aware that the Department is currently considering significant changes to its counter-drug program as part of a broader assessment of non-traditional activities. While the committee continues to support a robust counter-drug role for the Department, the committee believes that such a review is appropriate. However, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report in advance of any reorganization initiatives in this area proposed to be implemented during fiscal year 2002.

The Department of Defense budget request for fiscal year 2002 contained \$820.4 million for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities, in addition to \$166.8 million for operational tempo, which is included within the operating budgets of the military services. This represents a net decrease of \$161.2 million from the fiscal year 2001 budget in execution primarily as a result of \$184.1 million provided to the Department in emergency supplemental appropriations for Colombia contained in the Emergency Supplemental Act, 2000 (Public Law 106-246), which was largely obligated in fiscal year 2001.

The committee recommends an authorization for fiscal year 2002 Department of Defense counter-drug activities as follows:

[Dollars in thousands]

FY02 Drug Interdiction & Counter-Drug Request .....	\$820,381
Educate America's Youth .....	25,262
Increase Safety of Citizens .....	78,489
Reduce Health & Social Costs .....	77,650
Shield America's Frontiers .....	334,459
Break Drug Sources of Supply .....	304,521
Recommended Decreases:	
Tethered Aerostat Radar System .....	5,900
Peru Support .....	4,000
Counter-Drug Tanker Operations .....	1,800
Recommended Increases:	
Operation Caper Focus .....	5,000
Southwest Border Fence .....	6,700
Recommendation .....	\$820,381

### Items of Special Interest

#### *Counter-drug tanker operations*

The budget request contained \$2.0 million for KC-135 tanker operations in support of counter-drug E-3 Airborne Early Warning and Control System (AWACS) missions. The committee notes that the prior year budget request was only \$200,000 for this activity. Accordingly, the committee recommends a decrease of \$1.8 million for this activity.

#### *Operation Caper Focus*

The committee is aware that the fiscal year 2002 budget request does not fully support Operation Caper Focus, an important initiative to disrupt maritime narcotics trafficking in the Eastern Pacific. The committee continues to support this important operation and, therefore, recommends an increase of \$5.0 million for this purpose.

#### *Peru support*

The budget request contained \$9.2 million for Peru for counter-drug support under section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105-85). The committee is aware that not more than \$5.0 million will be obligated in fiscal year 2001 for Peru support under section 1033 and, therefore, recommends a decrease of \$4.0 million. The committee also notes that the authority under section 1033 with respect to Peru expires at the end of fiscal year 2002.

#### *Southwest border fence*

The Southwest border continues to be a heavily utilized drug trafficking corridor into the United States. The committee continues to support fence and road-building activities in this area. Accordingly, the committee recommends an increase of \$6.7 million for this purpose.

#### *Tethered Aerostat Radar System*

The budget request contained \$42.9 million for the Tethered Aerostat Radar System (TARS). The committee supports the transfer of the TARS program to another federal agency in fiscal year 2002 and, therefore, directs that no fiscal year 2002 funding be used for purposes of facility enhancement or aerostat modernization. Ac-

cordingly, the committee recommends a decrease of \$5.9 million in the TARS program.

## OTHER MATTERS

### Classification of Foreign Military Training Reports

The committee is aware that the reports required by section 2011 of title 10, United States Code, and section 2416 of title 22, United States Code, concerning Department of Defense training of foreign military personnel are classified due to the increasing amount of detail with respect to the U.S. military units involved. The committee understands the basis for a certain level of classification but also believes that the information contained in these reports regarding foreign military units trained is important and should, where appropriate, be made available in an unclassified form to the general public.

### Counter-Drug Forward Operating Locations

The committee is aware that the requirement for two Caribbean forward operating locations (FOLs) at Curacao and Aruba, Netherlands Antilles, has been impacted by the decision of the Department of Defense to no longer support Air National Guard F-16 deployments to Curacao. The Emergency Supplemental Act, 2000 (Public Law 106-246) contained \$43.9 million for infrastructure improvements at Curacao including airfield pavement improvements, installation of aircraft rinse facilities, and construction of a maintenance hanger. As a result of the departure of Air National Guard aircraft from Curacao, the committee believes the Curacao FOL will be sufficient to accommodate Customs Service aircraft and personnel that currently operate from Aruba. Consequently, the committee recommends a provision (sec. 2408) that would prohibit military construction expenditures to develop Aruba as a Forward Operating Location.

### Information Security Scholarship Program

The committee is concerned that the Department of Defense has not developed criteria to evaluate the information assurance programs at institutions of higher education not designated as Centers of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education. The Department currently requires individuals who have computer and network security skills necessary to meet specific information security assurance requirements. Increasing the number of eligible schools will result in additional qualified individuals. The committee urges the Department to develop the criteria necessary to allow qualified institutions of higher education to participate in the Information Security Scholarship Program.

### Potential Reallocation of Radio Frequency Spectrum for Third Generation Mobile Wireless Communications

Section 1062 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65) amended the National Telecommunications and Information Administration Act (section 901 et. seq. of title 47 United States Code) to provide that the DOD

shall not surrender the use of a band of frequencies for which it is the primary user unless an alternative band or bands of frequencies is provided as a replacement and the Secretaries of Commerce and Defense, and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff certify that the alternative band or bands provides comparable technical characteristics to maintain essential military capability. Section 1064 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105-261) requires the private sector to pay relocation costs, in advance, to incumbent Federal entities required to relocate to accommodate commercial uses.

The committee notes the growth of mobile wireless telecommunications and the development of third generation (3G) mobile wireless communications services. An October 2000 Presidential executive memorandum stated the need and urgency for the United States to select radio frequency spectrum (from frequency bands previously identified for consideration by the World Radiocommunication Conference 2000) to satisfy the future needs of citizens and business for mobile voice, high-speed data, and Internet-accessible wireless capability and directed Federal agencies to carry out the selection of the spectrum. The National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) and the Federal Communications Commission have identified the 1755-1850 MHz and 2500-2690 MHz bands as candidates.

The committee notes that the telecommunications and Internet industry has launched a campaign for reassignment of the frequency bands in question from primary use by the federal government to primary use (if not exclusive use) by the private sector. The industry is particularly interested in the 1755-1850 MHz band because of action by European countries to begin 3G operations in this band. A potential business base of as much as \$500 billion is anticipated. Draft legislation that has been proposed that would call for the federal government to vacate at least 60 MHz of the 1755-1850 MHz band by 2004 and the remainder of the band by 2008.

The committee also notes that the Department of Defense (DOD) uses the 1755-1780 MHz band for critical national defense systems, including satellite control, precision guided weapons data links, tactical radio relay, precision guided munitions and combat training systems. The Department argues that uncoordinated sharing of the frequency band with other users is not feasible. The costs of relocating DOD systems from the band to another suitable band (if such a band could be identified) are estimated at \$2-4 billion and relocation could not be accomplished until 2010 and beyond for most DOD non-space systems and until 2017 and beyond for legacy space systems (as late as 2030 for some satellites).

The committee further notes the General Accounting Office report "Defense Spectrum Management: More Analysis Needed to Support Spectrum Use Decision for the 1755 to 1850 MHz Band," GAO-01-795, July 2001. The report makes recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Commerce to more accurately assess the potential impact of reallocation of frequency band and provide the time and guidance to complete the required

planning and analysis before any decision on reallocation of the band might be made.

The committee recognizes the competing issues of national security and economic interest that affect the proposals for potential reallocation of radio frequency spectrum currently reserved for use by the Department of Defense and other Federal agencies to 3G mobile wireless communication services. However, the committee believes that the first priority for the federal government is to ensure the national security of the United States and its people.

The committee reemphasizes the requirement contained in Section 1062 of Public Law 106-65 that alternate radio frequency spectrum with comparable technical characteristics to maintain essential military capability operational capabilities must be available for use by the DOD, before frequency currently reserved for use by the DOD can be reallocated. Noting the GAO report that a decision to reallocate the 1755-1850 MHz band is premature and that more adequate information is required before such a decision might be made, the committee intends to carefully review this matter and take further legislative action as necessary to ensure that national security interests are not compromised in this critical area.

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

### SUBTITLE A—FINANCIAL MATTERS

#### Section 1001—Transfer Authority

This section would provide fiscal year 2002 transfer authority to the Department of Defense for amounts up to \$2.0 billion.

#### Section 1002—Incorporation of Classified Annex

This section would incorporate the classified annex into the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002.

#### Section 1003—Limitation on Funds for Bosnia and Kosovo Peacekeeping Operations for Fiscal Year 2002

This section would limit the amount of funds available for peacekeeping operations in Bosnia and Kosovo to the amounts contained in the budget request, \$1,315,600,000 for operations in Bosnia and \$1,528,600,000 for operations in Kosovo. This section would authorize the president to waive the limitation after submitting to the Congress a written certification that the waiver is necessary to the national security interests of the United States. This section would also require a written certification that the exercise of the waiver will not adversely affect the readiness of U.S. military forces; a report setting forth the reasons for the waiver, a discussion of the impact of the involvement of U.S. military forces in Balkans peacekeeping operations on U.S. military readiness; and a supplemental appropriations request for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2002 costs associated with U.S. military forces participating in, or supporting, Bosnia or Kosovo peacekeeping operations.

Section 1004—Increase in Limitations on Administrative Authority of the Navy to Settle Admiralty Claims

This section would increase the administrative authority of the Navy to settle admiralty claims. It would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to settle, or compromise, and pay any and all admiralty claims against the United States amounting to not more than \$15 million. Any claim exceeding \$15 million would require the Navy to certify that claim to Congress. This section would further authorize the Secretary of the Navy to delegate his authority to settle claims to any person he designates when the amount paid is not more than \$1 million. This section would also increase the amount the United States might receive from an admiralty claim to \$15 million. It would further allow the Secretary of the Navy to delegate his authority to receive claims when that amount is not more than \$1 million.

SUBTITLE B—NAVAL VESSELS

Section 1011—Revision in Types of Excess Naval Vessels for which Approval by Law Is Required for Disposal to Foreign Nations

This section would amend Section 7307 of title 10, United States Code to revise and clarify the circumstances under which the Navy must seek statutory authority in order to transfer or dispose of excess naval vessels. Current law requires Congress to enact legislation specifically authorizing a transfer to another nation of any ship exceeding 3,000 tons in weight or less than 20 years of age. If the ship is less than 3,000 tons in weight or over 20 years of age, the Secretary of the Navy is required to notify the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services of the proposed transfer and wait 30 in-session days before making the transfer. This section would permit the transfer of non-combatant naval vessels, as well as leased or loaned vessels previously authorized by Congress for transfer to a foreign nation without the requirement for a statutory enactment. The committee, for the purposes of this section, considers a combatant naval vessel to be a large, heavily armed ship that is designed primarily to engage enemy forces on the high seas. This would include battleships, cruisers, destroyers, frigates, submarines, and aircraft carriers. The committee considers non-combatants to include logistics and combat support ships such as T-AO's (oilers), LST's, YTB's, and T-AGOS. This change will provide the Navy with greater flexibility in transferring surplus and excess ships, thereby reducing the Navy's cost of maintenance and safe stowage.

SUBTITLE C—COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

Section 1021—Extension of Reporting Requirement Regarding Department of Defense Expenditures to Support Foreign Counter-Drug Activities

This section would extend the reporting requirement contained in section 1022 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106-398) that requires the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional de-

fense committees on the total amount, type and legal basis for foreign counter-drug assistance provided by the Department of Defense. This section would require the Secretary to report to Congress by April 15, 2002, on expenditures made during fiscal year 2001.

**Section 1022—Authority to Transfer Tracker Aircraft Currently Used by Armed Forces for Counter-Drug Purposes**

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to transfer all Tracker aircraft to another federal agency in fiscal year 2002. Should the Secretary not exercise the transfer authority provided in this section by September 30, 2002, this section would prohibit the Department of Defense from using the Tracker aircraft for counter-drug purposes after that date.

**Section 1023—Authority to Transfer Tethered Aerostat Radar System Currently Used by Armed Forces for Counter-Drug Purposes**

This section would provide the authority for the Secretary of Defense to transfer all Tethered Aerostat Radar System (TARS) assets to another federal agency in fiscal year 2002. Should the Secretary not exercise the transfer authority provided in this section by September 30, 2002, this section would prohibit the Department of Defense from using the Tethered Aerostat Radar System for counter-drug purposes after that date.

**SUBTITLE D—REPORTS**

**Section 1031—Requirement that Department of Defense Reports to Congress be Accompanied by Electronic Version**

This section would amend chapter 23 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Department of Defense to submit to Congress electronic versions of all unclassified required reports, to include certifications, notifications, or other written communications. The committee believes that this requirement is consistent with the Department's intention to make greater use of electronic media and will facilitate broader dissemination of, and wider access to, official DOD information.

**Section 1032—Report on Department of Defense Role in Homeland Security Matters**

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study on the appropriate role of the Department of Defense in homeland security matters and to submit the results of that study to Congress at the same time the President submits the budget request for fiscal year 2003.

**Section 1033—Revision of Annual Report to Congress on National Guard and Reserve Component Equipment**

This section would amend section 10541 of title 10, United States Code, to modify the timing and contents of the Secretary of Defense's annual report to Congress on national guard and reserve equipment.



## SUBTITLE E—OTHER MATTERS

## Section 1041—Department of Defense Gift Authorities

This section would clarify items which may be loaned or given under section 7545 of title 10, United States Code.

Section 1042—Termination of Referendum Requirement Regarding Continuation of Military Training on Island of Vieques, Puerto Rico, and Imposition of Additional Conditions on Closure of Live-Fire Training Range

This section would repeal the requirement, contained in sections 1503, 1504, and 1505 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398), for a referendum on the future of U.S. military training on the island of Vieques, Puerto Rico. This section would also allow the Secretary of the Navy to cease training exercises on Vieques provided the Chief of Naval Operations and Commandant of the Marine Corps certify that an alternative training facility is available. This section would require that the new facility be available and fully capable of supporting pre-deployment training immediately upon the cessation of operations on Vieques. In order to make the certification, this section would also require that the new facility be able to support an equivalent or superior level of training for U.S. Navy and Marine Corps units on the east coast. This training is defined as the ability to support, at a single location, coordinated live-fire operations including the simultaneous use of large-scale tactical airstrikes, naval surface fire support, artillery, and amphibious landing operations, as were conducted on Vieques prior to April 19, 1999. This section would also require that if training operations cease on Vieques, the Navy retain the facility on the eastern end of the island in the event it must be reactivated for use as a training range in time of national emergency, and allow the Navy to enter into an agreement with the Department of the Interior for the management of that land.

Section 1043—Repeal of Limitation on Reductions in Peacekeeper ICBM Missiles

This section would modify section 1302 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85), as amended, to allow for the retirement of the Peacekeeper ICBM force.

Section 1044—Sense of Congress on the Importance of the Kwajalein Missile Range/Ronald Reagan Defense Initiative Test Site at Kwajalein Atoll

This section would express the sense of Congress that the missile defense range and test site on Kwajalein Atoll is of vital importance to the security of the United States and that the Department of Defense should work to continue its long-term relationship with this test site.

#### Section 1045—Transfer of Vietnam Era F-4 Aircraft to Nonprofit Museum

This section would permit the Secretary of the Air Force to convey, without consideration, one surplus F-4 aircraft to a nonprofit museum. This section would also require that any aircraft transferred under this authority would be completely demilitarized prior to transfer and that the conveyance would be at no cost to the United States.

#### Section 1046—Bomber Force Structure

This section would prohibit the Department of Defense from retiring, dismantling, transferring, or reassigning any of the 93 B-1B Lancer bombers in service as of June 1, 2001, until Congress has received a series of studies and reports. These reports include, the National Security Strategy, the Quadrennial Defense Review, the Secretary of Defense Annual Report to the President and the Congress, the Revised Nuclear Posture Review, a report from the Secretary of Defense on changes to the 1992 and 1995 bomber studies that warrant changes to the bomber fleet and plans regarding new missions for decommissioned B-1 units, a new Secretary of Defense bomber study on the role, force structure and cost effectiveness of the manned bomber in the future national security environment, and a General Accounting Office study on the same issues as the Secretary of Defense bomber study.

The committee believes that the Department's request to reduce the B-1 fleet from 93 aircraft to 60 and consolidate the remaining B-1Bs at two operating bases was premature. This section would restore \$100 million to the Air National Guard Operations and Maintenance Account, Budget Activity 1, Aircraft Operations line to keep the Air National Guard B-1s operational into fiscal year 2002 until the results of the various reports and studies have been analyzed. The committee expects that any bomber force structure modifications will be made in the context of the emerging security environment as outlined in these various documents.

#### Section 1047—Technical and Clerical Amendments

This section would make a number of technical and clerical amendments to existing law of a non-substantive basis.

## TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 1101—Undergraduate Training Program for Employees of the National Imagery and Mapping Agency

This section would authorize the National Imagery and Mapping Agency (NIMA) to establish an undergraduate training program to recruit employees with critical skills. The committee notes that the National Security Agency has had success with a similar program and expects that NIMA would use this new authority to recruit highly talented new personnel to the agency.

#### Section 1102—Pilot Program for Payment of Retraining Expenses

This section would authorize the Department of Defense (DOD) to establish a pilot program to pay retraining expenses for DOD employees scheduled for involuntary separation. Under the pilot program, the Secretary of Defense may pay retraining incentives to encourage private industry to hire and retrain displaced DOD employees.

#### Section 1103—Payment of Expenses to Obtain Professional Credentials

This section would authorize federal agencies to pay for employee credentials, professional licenses, and professional certification. The committee believes this new authority will enable federal agencies to assist involuntarily separated employees to qualify for new employment and will also provide agencies with an important new recruiting and retention incentive.

#### Section 1104—Retirement Portability Elections for Certain Department of Defense and Coast Guard Employees

This section would amend sections 8347 and 8461 of title 5, United States Code, to repeal the requirement that an employee who transfers between appropriated fund and nonappropriated fund employment be vested in the retirement system of the position the employee is vacating before the employee is permitted to choose to remain in that retirement system.

#### Section 1105—Removal of Requirement that Granting Civil Service Compensatory Time be Based on Amount of Irregular or Occasional Overtime Work

This section would amend section 5543 of title 5, United States Code, to repeal the requirement that compensatory time only be granted to federal employees if the overtime performed is cat-

egorized as irregular or occasional. Removal of these restrictions will provide federal managers and employees with more flexibility in the use of compensatory time.

**Section 1106—Applicability of Certain Laws to Certain Individuals Assigned to Work in the Federal Government**

This section would amend section 3374 of title 5, United States Code, to clarify that state and local government officials detailed to work in federal agencies are subject to the same standards of official conduct that apply to other federal employees.

**Section 1107—Limitation on Premium Pay**

This section would amend section 5547 of title 5, United States Code, to change the period used for limiting the amount of overtime pay an employee may earn from a biweekly to an annual basis, permitting more flexibility in scheduling overtime across the federal government.

**Section 1108—Use of Common Occupational and Health Standards as a Basis for Differential Payments Made as a Consequence of Exposure to Asbestos**

This section would amend sections 5343 and 5545 of title 5, United States Code, to establish a common standard for payment of hazardous duty differential pay for reason of exposure to asbestos for prevailing rate and general schedule federal employees.

**Section 1109—Authority for Designated Civilian Employees Abroad to Act as a Notary**

This section would amend section 1044a of title 10, United States Code, to authorize certain Department of Defense civilian employees serving abroad to act as notaries. This change will provide better legal assistance services for military members, civilian employees, and their families assigned overseas.

**Section 1110—“Monroney Amendment” Restored to its Prior Form**

This section would amend section 5343 of title 5, United States Code, to require the Department of Defense to establish wage schedules and rates for prevailing wage employees based on the nearest wage area that is most similar to the wage area for which wage rates are being established when there are insufficient positions in the local industry upon which to establish wage schedules and rates.

## TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO OTHER NATIONS

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 1201—Clarification of Authority to Furnish Nuclear Test Monitoring Equipment to Foreign Governments

This section would amend section 2565 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the transfer of title to foreign governments of U.S. nuclear test monitoring equipment on the territory of other countries.

#### Section 1202—Acquisition of Logistical Support for Security Forces

This section would amend the Multinational Force and Observers (MFO) Participation Resolution (Public Law 97–132) that authorizes the United States to deploy peacekeepers and observers to the Sinai to assist Egypt and Israel fulfill the Camp David Accords. This section would authorize the President to approve contracting out the logistical and aviation support for the MFO mission currently performed by United States soldiers. This section would also provide that U.S. sponsored contract support could be provided to the MFO mission without reimbursement by the MFO organization if the President determines that such action enhances or supports the national security of the United States. The committee believes that approving contract support for the MFO will enhance the operational capabilities of the MFO force. The committee intends that the replacement of U.S. forces by contractors should not be viewed as a lessening of U.S. support for the MFO mission.

Currently, administrative and technical support is provided by the Army's 1st Support Battalion pursuant to international agreements with both Israel and Egypt. The agreements stipulate the type of unit functions to be performed by the MFO in order to comply with its treaty verification mission, particularly aviation and logistical support. Ninety-nine U.S. soldiers flying ten UH–1H helicopters provide aviation support for the MFO. One hundred and fifty soldiers assigned to the U.S. Logistical Support Unit provide general logistical support. The United States Army intends to retire all UH–1H helicopters during fiscal year 2003. Procuring and operating UH–60 Blackhawk helicopters would significantly increase the cost of conducting the MFO mission and no replacement helicopters are currently in the Army's future year defense plan for the MFO. Approving contractor support for the MFO will allow that mission to continue to operate UH–1H helicopters at reduced cost without any impact in mission accomplishment.

Section 1203—Report on the Sale and Transfer of Military Hardware, Expertise, and Technology from States of the Former Soviet Union to the People's Republic of China

This section would amend section 1202 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65) to require the Secretary of Defense to submit, as part of the existing report requirement, a one-time report to the Congress no later than March 1, 2002 on the transfer of equipment, expertise, and technology from former Soviet states to the People's Republic of China.

The committee notes the strengthening political and military relationship between Russia and China and is concerned that growing military cooperation between these two countries may adversely affect U.S. national security interests. The committee is also troubled by reports regarding the proliferation of military technologies from other former Soviet states.

Accordingly, the committee believes it important for the Secretary to assess the nature and scope of military cooperation between China and the states of the former Soviet Union and to assess the impact of such cooperation on the ability of China's People's Liberation Army to modernize and strengthen its military capabilities and to pose a threat to U.S. national security interests, particularly in Asia.

Section 1204—Limitation on Funding for Joint Data Exchange Center

This section would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 2002 funds for activities associated with the Joint Data Exchange Center in Moscow, Russia, until 30 days after the Secretary of Defense submits to Congress the agreement required by section 1231 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) and an agreement exempting the United States from Russian taxes and liability.

The committee is concerned by Russia's apparent unwillingness to move forward expeditiously with this project by agreeing to the same kinds of tax and liability exemptions that apply to other U.S.-Russia cooperative programs. The committee urges the Department of Defense to redouble its efforts to seek Russia's agreement to such exemptions.

Section 1205—Extension of Authority to Provide Assistance Under Weapons of Mass Destruction Act for Support of United Nations-Sponsored Efforts to Inspect and Monitor Iraqi Weapons Activities

This section would extend the authority under section 1505 of the Weapons of Mass Destruction Control Act of 1992 (section 5859a of title 22, United States Code) for the Department of Defense to expend up to \$15.0 million in fiscal year 2002 in support of the United Nations organization established for the purpose of comprehensively accounting for all Iraqi weapons of mass destruction items, facilities, and capabilities. The section would also change the requirement for quarterly reports by the Department of Defense under section 1505 to an annual report.

Section 1206—Repeal of Requirement for Reporting to Congress on  
Military Deployments to Haiti

This section would repeal the report required by section 1232 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65) concerning military deployments to Haiti.

Section 1207—Report by Comptroller General on Provision of Defense Articles, Services, and Military Education and Training to Foreign Countries and International Organizations

This section would require the Comptroller General of the United States to study the benefits, costs, and readiness impact to U.S. Armed Forces with regard to defense articles, services, or military education and training provided under the authority of sections 506, 516, and 552 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (sections 2318, 2321j, 2348a of title 22, United States Code) or any similar provision of law. The provision would require the Comptroller General to submit to Congress an interim report no later than April 15, 2002, and a final report by August 1, 2002, on the findings of the study.

The committee is concerned with the increasing amount of foreign assistance provided by the Department of Defense under sections 506, 516, and 552 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (sections 2318, 2321j, 2348a of title 22, United States Code) and other statutory drawdown authorities that furnish defense articles, services, and education and training to foreign countries or international organizations. The committee understands that the Department has executed 45 drawdowns from 1992 to 1999 with a total cost to the Department of \$1.0 billion. While the committee recognizes the Department must continue to support legitimate emergency assistance through the drawdown process, the committee is concerned with the trend of annual drawdowns compensating for a diminished foreign military financing (FMF) program. The committee believes that a comprehensive assessment and report to Congress by the Comptroller General on the topic will provide insight as to the merit of the various drawdown activities.

Section 1208—Limitation on Number of Military Personnel in  
Colombia

This section would restrict funds available to the Department of Defense to support or maintain more than 500 U.S. military personnel on duty in Colombia at any time. This section would exclude from the numerical limitation any U.S. military personnel who are in Colombia for a period of not more than 30 days, unless expressly authorized by law, for the purpose of rescuing or retrieving U.S. military or governmental personnel. This section would also exempt from the limitation U.S. military personnel assigned to the U.S. Embassy in Colombia as an attaché, as part of the security assistance office, or the Marine Corps security contingent; service members participating in natural disaster relief efforts; and non-operational transient military personnel.

## TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION WITH STATES OF THE FORMER SOVIET UNION

### OVERVIEW

The budget request contained \$403.0 million for cooperative threat reduction (CTR) activities, representing a decrease of \$39.7 million from the amounts appropriated for fiscal year 2001. The request included \$246.9 million for destruction and dismantlement, \$65.5 million for fissile materials and nuclear weapons safety and storage, \$41.7 million for plutonium reactor shutdown activities in Russia, \$17.0 million for biological weapons proliferation prevention in the former Soviet Union, \$18.7 million for defense and military contacts, and \$13.2 million for other program support, including administrative and management costs.

The committee recommends the budget request.

The committee has traditionally supported the overriding goal of the CTR program to reduce the threat to the United States posed by the former Soviet Union's residual weapons of mass destruction. Nevertheless, in recent years the committee has raised numerous concerns. These include: the expansion in the program's scope; the Department's willingness—especially in the absence of prior congressional consultation—to absorb project costs that Russia, in particular, has not funded; the difficulty in determining whether assistance provided is accomplishing intended objectives; the lack of appropriate transparency agreements; the challenge of ensuring that assistance provided is not directly or indirectly facilitating the process of arms modernization; possible duplication and redundancies in similar projects executed by multiple federal agencies; and whether CTR activities are more appropriately funded outside the Department of Defense.

The committee continues to believe that the focus of the CTR program should be the elimination of those weapons that pose the most serious and direct threat to U.S. security—first and foremost, strategic nuclear weapons and associated infrastructure. The committee notes that the CTR program was originally envisioned as a short-term emergency effort to reduce the threat posed to the United States by the thousands of nuclear weapons and their delivery vehicles left behind after the demise of the Soviet Union. However, the original focus of the CTR program has expanded significantly in scope since its inception. As a result, some CTR activities fall more appropriately outside the purview of the Department of Defense, particularly those activities that serve a broader non-proliferation or foreign policy goal. Two years ago, in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65), Congress restricted the obligation of fiscal year 2000 CTR funds pending a report by the Secretary of Defense on whether DOD is the appropriate executive agency to implement various



CTR projects and, if not, to propose a plan for migrating responsibility for those projects to other agencies. The committee has yet to receive this report.

With this in mind, the committee believes it is time to take a fresh look at the CTR program and how to execute it. As a DOD program, CTR activities compete for scarce resources with the numerous other, more traditional, defense programs pursued by the Department. In light of this competition, the committee believes the Department must provide a rationale for the execution of CTR programs under DOD and options for transitioning responsibility for these programs to another federal agency or agencies, as appropriate. Accordingly, the committee recommends a provision (section 1308) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress no later than March 15, 2002, explaining the rationale for DOD's oversight and management of the CTR program; providing justification for each CTR project that the Department believes should remain within the funding and management responsibility of DOD; and detailing the various transition options and how the Department proposes to implement them, as appropriate.

If the Department of Defense is to retain funding and management responsibility for the overall CTR program or any of the projects contained within, the committee believes the Secretary must seek to ensure that the program is subject to the same kinds of stringent management, accountability, and results-oriented standards that apply to other defense programs. The committee believes that the oversight provided by Congress since the program's inception has served to improve the overall management of the program and to increase its effectiveness. Nevertheless, the committee remains troubled that the Department has not complied with the various reporting requirements mandated by law that are designed to enhance congressional visibility and oversight of the CTR program. In particular, the committee is troubled by the Department's failure to submit a number of reports required by title XIII of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106-398). The committee recalls that last year Congress agreed to consolidate a variety of reporting requirements into a single report on the basis of DOD assurances that the consolidated report would be submitted to Congress in a timely manner and in accordance with the statutory mandate. The committee has not yet received this report. Accordingly, the committee recommends a provision (sec. 1303) that would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 2002 CTR funds until 30 days after the consolidated report is submitted.

The committee's support for the CTR program has been predicated upon a belief that the assistance provided would produce the desired national security benefits. Unfortunately, in an increasing number of cases, the achievement of these benefits is difficult or impossible to quantify. Moreover, as a June 2001 General Accounting Office report concluded, the CTR program now provides a significantly greater percentage of assistance in the form of services rather than equipment. Therefore, the Department's traditional audit and examination procedures are insufficient to assist Congress in determining whether this assistance is being used as in-

tended and achieving the desired objectives. In addition, a March 2001 report by the DOD Inspector General found that the lack of adequate performance goals for the CTR program meant that program managers “could not successfully demonstrate that the CTR Directorate was executing the CTR program efficiently and effectively or identifying opportunities to improve program effectiveness.” Consequently, the committee recommends a provision (sec. 1307) that would amend section 1308 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) to provide for more complete and effective oversight of the CTR program.

Finally, the committee understands that, with the change in Administrations and the delay in staffing key policy positions within DOD, the Department has had little opportunity to focus on and evaluate many of the policy assumptions underpinning the programmatic decisions reflected in the Department’s fiscal year 2002 CTR proposal. Consequently, many of the proposals contained in the Department’s budget submission are essentially unchanged from those of the previous Administration. The committee expects the Department to consider carefully and fully the concerns the committee has identified with respect to the CTR program as the Department prepares its budget and program request for fiscal year 2003.

#### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

##### Arms Elimination Projects in Russia

The budget request contained \$133.4 million for strategic offensive arms elimination projects in Russia, a 33 percent decrease from the fiscal year 2001 appropriated amount of \$177.8 million. The committee recommends the budget request.

The committee remains concerned that Russia may convert SS–18 ICBM silos to support the deployment of modern SS–27 “Topol” ICBMs and that SS–18 missile elimination activities may facilitate Russia’s ability to convert these silos. Last year, the committee directed the Secretary of Defense “to focus the Department’s SS–18 elimination effort at locations where missile silos are to be eliminated, not converted, to ensure that CTR assistance is not used in support of Russia’s strategic modernization program.” Although DOD policy does not support conversion activities, the CTR program has assisted in the removal of SS–18 ICBMs from silos at locations where the silos may be converted. The committee reiterates its view that CTR assistance should be targeted at those sites where SS–18 missiles, silos, and related infrastructure will be eliminated.

The committee also notes that the March 2001 report on the CTR program by the DOD Inspector General concluded that more than \$64.5 million in program funds was used “to facilitate the removal of weapons of mass destruction by enhancing the value of salvageable materials and developing commercial by-products for Russia and Ukraine.” The report notes, “As a result of those efforts, Russia and Ukraine could generate revenue of about \$72.8 million without agreements on how the revenue should be used.” The committee believes the Department should seek to negotiate agreements re-

garding the use of these revenues in order to prevent them from being used for purposes that run contrary to the objectives of the CTR program. Accordingly, the committee recommends a provision (section 1304) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on DOD's plans for monitoring the use of such revenues.

#### Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine

The budget request contained \$51.5 million for strategic offensive arms elimination projects in Ukraine, a 77 percent increase from the fiscal year 2001 appropriated amount of \$29.1 million. The committee recommends the budget request.

The committee notes the successful completion of the Tu-26 Blackjack bomber elimination project and the initiation of efforts to eliminate Tu-22 Backfire bombers. The committee supports these efforts, along with accelerated efforts to eliminate Ukraine's remaining ICBMs, silos, and associated infrastructure.

#### Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention

The budget request contained \$17.0 million for biological weapons proliferation prevention activities in the former Soviet Union, a 42 percent increase from the fiscal year 2001 appropriated level of \$12.0 million. The committee recommends the budget request.

Although generally supportive of efforts to prevent the proliferation of biological weapons expertise, the committee remains concerned over the lack of transparency with respect to Russia's biological weapons programs, the risks that collaborative research on "defensive" biotechnology can be applied to offensive weapons purposes, the perpetuation of a knowledge and skills base among Russian scientists that may increase their attractiveness to foreign states seeking to develop biological weapons, the difficulty of verifying that assistance provided is not being diverted to illicit purposes, and the lack of an "exit strategy" for this activity. The committee does not believe DOD should finance the activities of former Soviet biological weapons scientists on a permanent basis, and calls upon the Department to establish criteria for the completion of this program.

#### Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia

The budget request contained \$50.0 million for chemical weapons elimination activities in Russia, including \$15.0 million for the elimination of chemical weapons production facilities and \$35.0 million for construction of a chemical weapons destruction facility in Shchuch'ye, Russia. The request also contained a provision that would repeal section 1305 of Public Law 106-65, which prohibits any funding for activities related to the Shchuch'ye facility. This is the second consecutive year the Department has requested a repeal of the existing prohibition and a restart of funding for this activity.

The committee recommends the budget request.

Although the committee approves the budget request for activities related to the construction of a chemical weapons destruction facility in Russia, the committee remains concerned about the relative priority of this project within the overall CTR program. More-

over, the committee continues to have serious reservations about the wisdom of proceeding with the Shchuch'ye project in light of ongoing cost, schedule, and other concerns.

The committee recognizes Russia's declared intention to place greater priority on its chemical weapons elimination effort, in accordance with its commitments under the Chemical Weapons Convention, and Russia's effort to increase its financial commitment to this effort. In addition, the committee notes that Russia is seeking to make it possible to use the Shchuch'ye facility to eliminate nerve agents currently stored at other stockpile sites. However, the committee notes that under section 1309 of Public Law 106-398 the Department was required to submit a report in January 2001, regarding Russian and international funding for chemical weapons elimination activities in Russia. This report has not yet been provided.

The committee notes that U.S. commitments to date have involved funding for the construction of the Shchuch'ye pilot destruction facility, not for its scale-up, operation, or maintenance. These costs are to be assumed by Russia. However, the committee remains skeptical regarding Russia's ability to absorb these costs. In the view of the committee, the United States will likely be compelled to absorb additional costs, beyond the nearly \$900 million estimated for the U.S. share of funding, to eliminate chemical weapons at Shchuch'ye if Russia is unwilling or unable to do so.

With this in mind, the committee believes that U.S. funding for this activity should be conditioned on a variety of actions that demonstrate Russia's commitment to the elimination of its chemical weapons stockpile. Accordingly, the committee recommends a provision (sec. 1309) that would require the Secretary of Defense to certify to Congress that Russia: (1) has made a full and accurate disclosure of its chemical weapons stockpile; (2) has committed to invest at least \$25.0 million annually in chemical weapons elimination; (3) has developed a practical plan for chemical weapons elimination; (4) has provided legal authority for the elimination of all nerve agents at a single site; and (5) has agreed to destroy its chemical weapons production facilities at Volgograd and Novocheboksarsk.

The committee acknowledges that the National Security Council (NSC)—after conducting a review of U.S. nonproliferation assistance programs with Russia—has recommended moving ahead with the Shchuch'ye project. The committee believes the NSC's recommendation is based, in part, on commitments to the project made to Russia by the prior Administration. The committee notes that political commitments by the Executive Branch to support projects in Russia must be premised on congressional approval and funding. For this reason, the committee is troubled by the fact that the Department concluded an agreement with Russia in November 2000 reiterating its commitment to the Shchuch'ye project after Congress had prohibited funding for it in 1999 and reaffirmed this prohibition earlier in 2000. The committee believes the Department's action was inappropriate and contrary to the clearly expressed position of Congress.

The committee is also aware of the Department's desire to use unobligated fiscal year 1999 CTR funds to initiate construction of

the Shchuch'ye facility and understands that a DOD notification to this effect has been prepared for transmittal to Congress. The committee does not support using prior year funds to initiate an action, the completion of which is precluded by existing law, unless and until the existing prohibition is lifted or modified.

#### Defense and Military Contacts

The budget request contained \$18.7 million for defense and military contacts with the states of the former Soviet Union, a 108 percent increase over the fiscal year 2001 appropriated level of \$9.0 million. The committee recommends the budget request.

Last year, the CTR program funded approximately 350 defense and military contacts with the states of the former Soviet Union. This year's budget request would support 500 events. However, the committee believes the utility of these activities is difficult to quantify and expects the Department to address this issue in the report required by section 1308 of this title.

#### Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia

The budget request contained \$41.7 million for the elimination of plutonium production in Russian nuclear reactors, a 30 percent increase from the fiscal year 2001 appropriated level of \$32.1 million. The committee recommends the budget request, subject to the prohibition described below.

The committee notes that the Department has decided to abandon its support for core conversion. The Department believes the goal of eliminating weapons-grade plutonium production is best served by the construction of fossil fuel plants, including the refurbishment of coal-burning boilers, as a less expensive substitute for the energy needs of the local communities in Russia. Although the committee supports the goal of shutting down Russia's nuclear power plants, the committee believes this goal serves broader U.S. nonproliferation and foreign policy objectives and should be funded through sources external to the Department of Defense. In addition, the committee notes that the budget request would be targeted exclusively for the building and refurbishment of fossil fuel plants and not for any activities directly related to shutting down Russia's plutonium producing nuclear reactors. The committee does not believe that the construction of fossil fuel plants in Russia is an activity appropriate for DOD to fund. This view led Congress to pass section 1307 of Public Law 106-398, which prohibited fiscal year 2001 CTR funds from being used for this activity. Accordingly, the committee recommends a provision (sec. 1306) that would make permanent the fiscal year 2001 prohibition on using CTR funds for this purpose.

The committee is also troubled by the Department's failure to submit the report required by section 1307 of Public Law 106-398 that would identify the costs of building fossil fuel plants, as well as other non-CTR funding sources that could be used for carrying out this activity. Consequently, the committee lacks the requisite information to determine how the Department arrived at its conclusion regarding the comparative costs of core conversion vis-a-vis

fossil fuel plants and the reasons why DOD believes it should be involved in this activity.

#### Fissile Material Processing and Packaging

The budget request did not contain funding for this activity. The committee understands that Russia, after initially requesting U.S. assistance in the dismantlement and processing of fissile material removed from nuclear warheads, refused to agree to the necessary safeguards to ensure the material could not be re-fabricated for use in other nuclear weapons. Consequently, this project has been terminated. The committee understands that unobligated prior year funds for this activity have been re-obligated for other projects.

#### Fissile Material Storage Facility

The budget request did not contain funding for this activity. The committee notes that Russia is no longer seeking assistance to build a second wing at the Mayak storage facility and that sufficient funds remain to complete activity on the first wing. Accordingly, the committee supports the Department's action in refusing to seek additional funds for this activity and recommends a provision (section 1306) that would prohibit CTR funds from being used for the design, planning, or construction of a second wing. The committee notes that Russia has consistently refused to agree to transparency measures that would allow the United States to verify that the fissile material stored at the facility in Mayak, Russia, is from dismantled nuclear weapons and reiterates its view that the Department should continue to seek an agreement with Russia on this issue.

#### Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia

The budget request contained \$56.0 million for nuclear weapons storage security in Russia, a 38 percent decrease from the fiscal year 2001 appropriated level of \$89.7 million. The committee recommends the budget request, but reiterates the need for the Secretary of Defense to seek an agreement with Russia allowing appropriate U.S. access to nuclear weapons storage sites for which CTR assistance is provided.

#### Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security

The budget request contained \$9.5 million for nuclear weapons transportation security in Russia, a 32 percent decrease from the fiscal year 2001 appropriated level of \$14.0 million. The committee recommends the budget request. The committee notes that these costs were previously paid by Russia and again urges the Department to seek an agreement that would once again shift the burden of financial responsibility for this activity back to Russia.

#### Other Assessments and Administrative Support

The budget request contained \$13.2 million for other program support, including management and administrative costs, project development, and audits and examinations, a slight increase over

the fiscal year 2001 appropriated level of \$13.0 million. The committee recommends the budget request.

The committee notes that a portion of these funds has traditionally been applied to new initiatives in the concept development stage. The committee understands that Russia has proposed various initiatives for CTR consideration, including initiatives involving conventional weapons or delivery platforms. The committee believes that the statutory language of section 1303 of Public Law 106–398, which prohibits the use of CTR funds for conventional elimination purposes—including, for example, general purpose submarines—should be strictly adhered to and that CTR funds should not be expended on concept development studies designed to assess the viability of elimination projects specifically prohibited under the statutory prohibition.

#### Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan

The budget request contained \$6.0 million for weapons of mass destruction infrastructure elimination activities in Kazakhstan. This would include funding for activities related to the elimination of facilities used to support the deployment and operation of weapons of mass destruction, including infrastructure at former bomber bases. The committee recommends the budget request.

#### Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine

The budget request contained \$6.0 million for weapons of mass destruction infrastructure elimination activities in Ukraine. This would include funding for activities related to the elimination of facilities used to support the deployment and operation of weapons of mass destruction, including facilities for storage and maintenance of nuclear weapons. The committee recommends the budget request.

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Program and Funds

This section would specify the kinds of programs to be funded under this title and would make fiscal year 2002 Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) funds available for obligation for three years.

#### Section 1302—Funding Allocations

This section would allocate fiscal year 2002 funding for various CTR purposes and activities.

#### Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of Reports

This section would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 2002 CTR funds until 30 days after reports required by sec-

tion 1308 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) are submitted.

**Section 1304—Report on Use of Revenue Generated by Activities Carried Out Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs**

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report describing plans to monitor the use of revenue generated by CTR activities in Russia and Ukraine.

**Section 1305—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Second Wing of Fissile Material Storage Facility**

This section would prohibit the use of CTR funds for the design, planning, or construction of a second wing for the fissile material storage facility in Mayak, Russia.

**Section 1306—Prohibition on Use of Funds for Construction or Refurbishment of Fossil Fuel Energy Plants**

This section would prohibit the use of CTR funds for construction or refurbishment of fossil fuel energy plants in Russia.

**Section 1307—Reports on Activities and Assistance Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs**

This section would amend section 1308 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) to modify the report on activities and assistance under CTR programs in order to provide for more complete and effective oversight of the CTR program.

**Section 1308—Report on Responsibility for Carrying Out Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs**

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report containing an assessment of CTR projects currently under the auspices of DOD and describing options for transferring responsibility for CTR projects to other agencies, as appropriate.

**Section 1309—Chemical Weapons Destruction**

This section would modify the existing prohibition on the use of CTR funds for construction of a chemical weapons destruction facility in Russia by requiring the Secretary of Defense to certify that Russia has met various requirements prior to the obligation or expenditure of funds for this activity.



## TITLE XIV—DEFENSE SPACE REORGANIZATION

### OVERVIEW

The committee commends the efforts of the Commission to Assess United States National Security Space Management and Organization, and has reviewed the contents of the report to Congress as required by section 1623 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65). The committee believes that many of the recommendations contained in the commission report are significant and should be considered for implementation. The committee believes, therefore, that the President and the Secretary of Defense should have specific discretionary authority to impose new organizational and programmatic arrangements with regard to space matters.

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 1401—Short Title

This section would refer to this title as the “Defense Space Reorganization Act of 2001.”

#### Section 1402—Authority to Establish Position of Under Secretary of Defense for Space, Intelligence, and Information

This section would authorize the President, through December 31, 2003, to establish the position of Under Secretary of Defense for Space, Intelligence, and Information with specific duties as prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.

#### Section 1403—Authority to Designate Under Secretary of the Air Force as Acquisition Executive for Space of the Department of Defense

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to designate the Under Secretary of the Air Force as the acquisition executive for all space-related programs in the Department of Defense.

#### Section 1404—Major Force Program Category for Space Programs

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to create a major force program category for space under section 221 of title 10, United States Code.

#### Section 1405—Comptroller General Assessment of Implementation of Recommendations of Space Commission

This section would require the Comptroller General of the United States to assess the actions taken by the Secretary of Defense to implement the recommendations of the Commission to Assess

United States National Security Space Management and Organization and report to Congress by February 15 in both 2002 and 2003.

Section 1406—Commander of Air Force Space Command

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to require that the officer serving as commander of Air Force Space Command not serve simultaneously as commander of United States Space Command or as commander of the United States element of the North American Air Defense Command.

Section 1407—Authority to Establish Separate Career Field in the Air Force for Space

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to establish a separate career field for officers in space doctrine, space operations, and management of space systems for the Air Force.

## **DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS**

### **PURPOSE**

The purpose of Division B is to provide military construction authorizations and related authority in support of the military departments during fiscal year 2002. As approved by the committee, Division B would authorize appropriations in the amount of \$10,324,712,000 for construction in support of the active forces, reserve components, defense agencies for fiscal year 2002.

### **MILITARY CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW**

The Department of Defense requested authorization of appropriations of \$5,904,795,000 for fiscal year 2002 for military construction, including \$532,200,000 for activities associated with base closure and realignment, and \$4,066,517,000 for family housing construction and support. The committee recommends \$6,359,343,000 for military construction, including \$532,200,000 for activities associated with base closure and realignment, and \$3,965,369,000 for family housing construction and support for fiscal year 2002.

The committee remains concerned about the condition of the Nation's military installations and facilities and their effect on military readiness. The committee is pleased, however, that the Administration's fiscal year 2002 budget request contained a 13 percent increase from the program enacted by Congress for fiscal year 2001 and contained a 24.5 percent increase in the amount requested by the previous Administration for fiscal year 2001. The committee is encouraged that the fiscal year 2002 budget request would begin to reverse the trend of underfunding critical military infrastructure and expects it to represent sustained investment rather than a momentary spike. The committee recommends an increase in new budget authority for these programs of \$353,400,000.

In an effort to continue to improve the quality of life for military personnel and their families, the committee reiterates its support for the authorities provided in subchapter IV, chapter 169 of title, 10, United States Code. The Military Housing Privatization Initiative remains a central component of the ultimate resolution of the military housing crisis. The committee recommends permanent authority for this program.

A tabular summary of the authorizations provided in Division B for fiscal year 2002 follows:

**TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING**

(Dollars in Thousands)

Account Title	FY 2002		FY 2002	
	Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	Committee Request	Committee Recommendation
Military Construction, Army	1,760,541	(73,940)		1,686,601
Military Construction, Navy	1,071,408	88,246		1,159,654
Military Construction, Air Force	1,068,250	103,254		1,171,504
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	694,558	144,399		838,957
NATO Security Investment Program	162,600	0		162,600
BRAC IV	532,200	0		532,200
Military Construction, Army National Guard	267,389	37,526		304,915
Military Construction, Air National Guard	149,072	48,400		197,472
Military Construction, Army Reserve	111,404	61,613		173,017
Military Construction, Navy Reserve	33,641	19,650		53,291
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	53,732	25,400		79,132
<b>SUBTOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION</b>	<b>5,904,795</b>	<b>454,548</b>		<b>6,359,343</b>
Family Housing Construction, Army	291,542	(16,388)		275,154
Family Housing Support, Army	1,108,991	(62,788)		1,046,203
Family Housing Construction, Navy	304,400	28,366		332,766
Family Housing Support, Navy	918,095	(17,510)		900,585
Family Housing Construction, Air Force	518,237	(6,725)		511,512
Family Housing Support, Air Force	869,121	(26,103)		843,018
Family Housing Construction, Defense-Wide	250	0		250
Family Housing Support, Defense-Wide	43,762	0		43,762
Family Housing Improvement Fund	2,000	0		2,000
Homeowners Assistance Fund	10,119			10,119
<b>SUBTOTAL MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING</b>	<b>4,066,517</b>	<b>(101,148)</b>		<b>3,965,369</b>
<b>TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING</b>	<b>9,971,312</b>	<b>353,400</b>		<b>10,324,712</b>

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
1	Alabama	Army	Anniston AD	Rebuild Shop And Facility	2,850	2,850		2,850
2	Alabama	Army	Anniston AD	Component Maintenance Facility	2,300	2,300		2,300
3	Alabama	Army	Fort Rucker	Commande Simulator Training Facility	11,400	11,400		11,400
4	Alabama	Army	Fort Rucker	Aircraft Parts Warehouse	0	6,800	6,800	6,800
5	Alabama	Army	Redstone Arsenal	Dining Facility	7,200	7,200		7,200
6	Alabama	Army	Redstone Arsenal	Patriot Unit Training Equipment Site	0	8,700	8,700	8,700
7	Alabama	Air Force	Maxwell AFB	Add/Alter SOS Academy Facility	9,000	9,000		9,000
8	Alabama	Air Force	Maxwell AFB	Replace OTS Dormitory (120 Rooms)	11,800	11,800		11,800
9	Alabama	Air Force	Maxwell AFB	Squadron Officer School Dormitory	13,600	13,600		13,600
10	Alabama	Army National Guard	Maxwell AFB	Unit Training Equipment Site	7,498	7,498		7,498
11	Alabama	Army National Guard	Huntsville	Readiness Center, Addition And Alteration	5,333	5,333		5,333
12	Alabama	Air Force Reserve	Maxwell AFB	Replace Maintenance Hangar	9,900	9,900		9,900
13	Alabama	Air Force Reserve	Maxwell AFB	Replace Fuel Cell Maintenance Facility	7,300	7,300		7,300
14	Alaska	Army	Fort Richardson	Barracks Complex D Sheet Phase	45,000	45,000		45,000
15	Alaska	Army	Fort Wainwright	Assembly Building	4,200	4,200		4,200
16	Alaska	Army	Fort Wainwright	Power Plant Cooling Tower	23,000	23,000		23,000
17	Alaska	Air Force	Eareckson AFB	Upgrade Wastewater System	4,800	4,800		4,800
18	Alaska	Air Force	Elmendorf AFB	Add/Alter Aircraft Fuel System Maintenance Hangar	12,200	12,200		12,200
19	Alaska	Air Force	Elmendorf AFB	Dormitory	20,000	20,000		20,000
20	Alaska	Defense Logistics Agency	Eielson AFB	Replace Bulk Fuel Storage Tanks	8,800	8,800		8,800
21	Alaska	Air National Guard	Elmendorf AFB	Upgrade 206th Combat Communications Facilities	5,000	5,000		5,000
22	Alaska	Tri-Care Management Activity	Fort Wainwright	Hospital Replacement (Phase III)	18,500	18,500		18,500
23	Arizona	Army	Fort Huachuca	Effluent Reuse System	6,100	6,100		6,100
24	Arizona	Army	Yuma Proving Ground	Range Improvements	0	3,100	3,100	3,100
25	Arizona	Navy	MCAS Yuma	Air Traffic Control Tower	6,750	6,750		6,750
26	Arizona	Navy	MCAS Yuma	Station Ordnance Area	7,160	7,160		7,160
27	Arizona	Navy	MCAS Yuma	Land Acquisition	8,660	8,660		8,660
28	Arizona	Air Force	Davis-Monthan AFB	Child Development Center	0	6,200	6,200	6,200
29	Arizona	Air Force	Davis-Monthan AFB	Dormitory	8,700	8,700		8,700
30	Arizona	Air Force	Davis-Monthan AFB	Replace Aircraft Reclamation/Parts Process Complex	8,600	8,600		8,600

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
31	Arizona	Air Force	Luke AFB	Add/Alter Child Development Center	0	4,500	4,500	4,500
32	Arizona	Army National Guard	Marana	Aviation Maintenance Hangar	14,358	0	14,358	14,358
33	Arizona	Army National Guard	Papago Park Ml Reservation	Aviation Armory Addition	0	1,104	1,104	1,104
34	Arizona	Army Reserve	Mesa	USAF Center/Organizational Maintenance Shop	10,900	0	10,900	10,900
35	Arizona	Air Force Reserve	Luke AFB	Add/Alter Squadron Operations Building 976	0	1,400	1,400	1,400
36	Arkansas	Army	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase VI)	26,000	(26,000)	0	0
37	Arkansas	Air Force	Little Rock AFB	C-130J Flight Simulator Facility	10,600	0	10,600	10,600
38	Arkansas	Chemical Demilitarization	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase VI)	0	26,000	26,000	26,000
39	Arkansas	Army Reserve	Conway	Reserve Center/Organizational Maintenance Shop	0	5,625	5,625	5,625
40	California	Army	Defense Language Institute	Barracks	0	5,900	5,900	5,900
41	California	Army	Fort Irwin	Maneuver Area Training Equipment Site	0	23,000	23,000	23,000
42	California	Navy	MAGTFIC Twentynine Palms	Reserve Support Facilities	8,760	0	8,760	8,760
43	California	Navy	MAGTFIC Twentynine Palms	Academic Instruction Bldg	9,860	0	9,860	9,860
44	California	Navy	MAGTFIC Twentynine Palms	Enlisted Dining Facility	11,930	0	11,930	11,930
45	California	Navy	MAGTFIC Twentynine Palms	Vehicle Wash Station	5,360	0	5,360	5,360
46	California	Navy	MAGTFIC Twentynine Palms	Ammunition Storage Facilities	9,540	0	9,540	9,540
47	California	Navy	MCAS Camp Pendleton	Aircraft Hangar Improvement	4,470	0	4,470	4,470
48	California	Navy	MCAS Miramar	Missile Magazine	0	3,680	3,680	3,680
49	California	Navy	MCB Camp Pendleton	Indoor Physical Fitness Facility	13,460	0	13,460	13,460
50	California	Navy	MCB Camp Pendleton	Iron/Manganese Plant (Phase II)	11,180	0	11,180	11,180
51	California	Navy	MCB Camp Pendleton	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	21,600	0	21,600	21,600
52	California	Navy	MCB Camp Pendleton	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Marine E1/E4	21,200	0	21,200	21,200
53	California	Navy	MCB Camp Pendleton	Boat Maintenance Facility	11,980	0	11,980	11,980
54	California	Navy	MCB Camp Pendleton	Helo Outlying Landing Field	3,910	0	3,910	3,910
55	California	Navy	MCB Camp Pendleton	Regimental Maintenance Complex	13,160	0	13,160	13,160
56	California	Navy	NAB Coronado	Training Facility	8,610	0	8,610	8,610
57	California	Navy	NAF El Centro	Transient Student Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	23,520	0	23,520	23,520
58	California	Navy	NAS Lemoore	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	10,010	0	10,010	10,010
59	California	Navy	NAVIC China Lake	Combined Propulsion and Explosives Lab (Phase I)	0	10,100	10,100	10,100
60	California	Navy	Pt Mugu San Nich Island	Supply Pier	13,730	0	13,730	13,730

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
61	California	Navy	Pt Mugu San Nich Island	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	29,675			29,675
62	California	Navy	NCRC Port Huenele	Port Improvements	12,400			12,400
63	California	Navy	NCTC Port Huenele	Auto Vehicle Maintenance Noncombat	3,780			3,780
64	California	Navy	NS San Diego	Replace Pier 10/11 (Increment I)	17,500			17,500
65	California	Navy	NS San Diego	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	47,240			47,240
66	California	Air Force	Beale AFB	Communications Operations Center	0	7,900		7,900
67	California	Air Force	Edwards AFB	Base Operations Facility	0	5,000		5,000
68	California	Air Force	Edwards AFB	Consolidated Support Facility	11,700			11,700
69	California	Air Force	Edwards AFB	ADAL Terminal Area Control Facility	4,600			4,600
70	California	Air Force	Los Angeles AFB	Consolidated Base Support Complex	23,000			23,000
71	California	Air Force	Travis AFB	Radar Approach Control Center	0	3,300		3,300
72	California	Air Force	Travis AFB	Replace Support Facility	6,800			6,800
73	California	Air Force	Vandenberg AFB	Missile Transport Bridge	11,800			11,800
74	California	Defense Logistics Agency	Def Dist Dep Tracy	Replace General Purpose Warehouse	30,000			30,000
75	California	Special Operations Command	NS San Diego	SOF Seal Team Five Building	13,650			13,650
76	California	Army National Guard	Fort Irwin	Maneuver Area Training Equipment Site	21,953			21,953
77	California	Army National Guard	Lancaster	Readiness Center (ADRS)	4,530			4,530
78	California	Navy Reserve	NSWSES Port Huenele	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	1,000			1,000
79	California	Air Force Reserve	March AFB	Fire/Crash Rescue Station	0	7,200		7,200
80	California	Tri-Care Management Activity	MCB Camp Pendleton	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement (Las Pulgas)	4,050			4,050
81	California	Tri-Care Management Activity	MCB Camp Pendleton	FHOTC Support Facilities	3,150			3,150
82	California	Tri-Care Management Activity	MCB Camp Pendleton	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement (Horne)	4,300			4,300
83	California	Tri-Care Management Activity	MCB Camp Pendleton	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement (Las Flores)	3,800			3,800
84	California	Tri-Care Management Activity	NAVHOSP Twentynine Palms	Hospital LDRP Conversion	1,600			1,600
85	Colorado	Army	Fort Carson	Barracks Complex - Nelson Blvd (Phase I)	25,000			25,000
86	Colorado	Army	Pueblo Depot Activity	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase II)	11,000	{11,000}		0
87	Colorado	Air Force	Air Force Academy	ADAL Athletic Facilities (Phase II)	11,400			11,400
88	Colorado	Air Force	Air Force Academy	Upgrade Potable Water System - Cadet Area	6,400			6,400
89	Colorado	Air Force	Air Force Academy	Install Air Conditioning - Enlisted Dorm	1,300			1,300
90	Colorado	Air Force	Air Force Academy	Replace Control Tower	6,400			6,400

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
91	Colorado	Air Force	Buckley AFB	Dormitory	11,200			11,200
92	Colorado	Air Force	Buckley AFB	Fitness Center	12,000			12,000
93	Colorado	Air Force	Schriever AFB	SBIRS Mission Control Station Backup	19,000			19,000
94	Colorado	Air Force	Schriever AFB	Secure Area Logistics Facility	0	11,400		11,400
95	Colorado	Chemical Demilitarization	Pueblo Depot Activity	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase III)	0	11,000		11,000
96	Colorado	Army Reserve	Fort Carson	Alter AFR Center/New USAPC	9,394			9,394
97	Colorado	Tri-Care Management Activity	Schriever AFB	Hospital Addition/Clinic Alteration	4,000			4,000
98	Connecticut	Air National Guard	Orange ANG Station	Replace Air Control Squadron Complex	12,000			12,000
99	District of Columbia	Army	Fort McNair	Physical Fitness Training Center	11,600			11,600
100	District of Columbia	Navy	NAF Washington	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Replacement	9,810			9,810
101	District of Columbia	Air Force	Bolling AFB	Alter/Alter Chapel Center	2,900			2,900
102	Florida	Navy	NS Pensacola	Consolidated Fire Station	0	3,700		3,700
103	Florida	Navy	NAS Key West	Air Traffic Center/Operations Building	11,400			11,400
104	Florida	Navy	NAS Whiting Field Milton	Airfield Approach Lighting	2,140			2,140
105	Florida	Navy	NS Mayport	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	16,420			16,420
106	Florida	Air Force	Cape Canaveral AFS	Replace Fire/Crash Rescue Station	7,800			7,800
107	Florida	Air Force	Eglin AFB	Command And Control (C2) Test Operations Center	11,400			11,400
108	Florida	Air Force	Hurlburt Field	Consolidated Communication Facility	4,000			4,000
109	Florida	Air Force	Hurlburt Field	Dining Facility/Fitness Center	6,400			6,400
110	Florida	Air Force	MacDill AFB	Mission Planning Center (Phase I)	10,000			10,000
111	Florida	Air Force	Tyndall AFB	Communications Management Facility	0	5,300		5,300
112	Florida	Air Force	Tyndall AFB	F-22 Fuels System Maintenance Hanger	3,050			3,050
113	Florida	Air Force	Tyndall AFB	F-22 Squad Ops/AMU and Hanger	12,000			12,000
114	Florida	Special Operations Command	Hurlburt Field	SOF CV-22 Training Device Support Facility	10,200			10,200
115	Florida	Special Operations Command	Hurlburt Field	SOF Readiness Supply Package Facility	3,200			3,200
116	Florida	Special Operations Command	MacDill AFB	SOF Renovate Command And Control Facility	9,500			9,500
117	Florida	Special Operations Command	MacDill AFB	SOF Public Access Building	2,500			2,500
118	Florida	Army Reserve	St. Petersburg	Armed Forces Reserve Center (Phase II)	0	34,056		34,056
119	Florida	Air National Guard	Camp Blanding	Replace Weather Training Complex	6,900			6,900
120	Florida	Navy Reserve	Jacksonville	Maine Corps Reserve Center	0	8,650		8,650



TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
121	Florida	Navy Reserve	NAR Jacksonville	Maintenance Hangar-OH Space	3,744			3,744
122	Florida	Navy Reserve	NAS Jacksonville	Readiness Support Site	2,500			2,500
123	Florida	Air Force Reserve	Homesstead AFB	Communications Facility	0	2,000		2,000
124	Florida	Tri-Care Management Activity	Hurlburt Field	Medical Clinic Addition/Alteration	8,800			8,800
125	Florida	Tri-Care Management Activity	NS Mayport	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement	24,000			24,000
126	Georgia	Army	Fort Benning	Runway Extension	6,900			6,900
127	Georgia	Army	Fort Benning	Passenger Processing Facility	17,000			17,000
128	Georgia	Army	Fort Gillem	Criminal Investigation Forensic Lab	29,000			29,000
129	Georgia	Army	Fort Gillem	Explosive Ordnance Detachment Operations Bldg	5,600			5,600
130	Georgia	Army	Fort Gordon	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	23,000			23,000
131	Georgia	Army	Fort Gordon	Information Systems Facility	11,000			11,000
132	Georgia	Army	Fort Stewart/Hunter AAF	Soldier Service Center	10,200			10,200
133	Georgia	Army	Fort Stewart/Hunter AAF	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	13,600			13,600
134	Georgia	Army	Fort Stewart/Hunter AAF	Education Center	16,000			16,000
135	Georgia	Air Force	Moody AFB	C-130 Maintenance Hangar	0	4,900		4,900
136	Georgia	Air Force	Robins AFB	Large Item Aircraft Support Equip Paint Facility	3,050			3,050
137	Georgia	Air Force	Robins AFB	Replace KC-135 Squadron Operations	7,800			7,800
138	Georgia	Air Force	Robins AFB	Fire Training Facility	3,800			3,800
139	Georgia	Special Operations Command	Fort Benning	SOF Tactical Equipment Complex	5,100			5,100
140	Georgia	Air National Guard	Robins AFB	Replace Ops And Training Facility	6,100			6,100
141	Georgia	Air Force Reserve	Robins AFB	Add/Alter AFRC HQ (Phase II)	2,000			2,000
142	Georgia	Tri-Care Management Activity	Fort Stewart/Hunter AAF	Consolidated Troop Medical Clinic	11,000			11,000
143	Georgia	Tri-Care Management Activity	MCLB Albany	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement	5,800			5,800
144	Hawaii	Army	NPWC Pearl Harbor	Shipping Operations Building	11,800			11,800
145	Hawaii	Army	Pohakuloa	Command And Range Control Building	5,100			5,100
146	Hawaii	Army	Schofield Barracks	Barracks Complex - Wilson Street (Phase I c)	23,000			23,000
147	Hawaii	Army	Wheeler AAF	Barracks Complex - Aviation (Phase Vi a)	50,000			50,000
148	Hawaii	Navy	Camp Smith	CINCPAC HQ (Increment II)	37,580			37,580
149	Hawaii	Navy	MCB Kaneohe	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	24,920			24,920
150	Hawaii	Navy	NAVMAG Luahalaia	Ammo Wharf Shore Power	6,000			6,000

**TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
151	Hawaii	Navy	NPWC Pearl Harbor	Sewer Force Main	16,900			16,900
152	Hawaii	Navy	NS Pearl Harbor	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Modernization	17,300			17,300
153	Hawaii	Navy	NS Pearl Harbor	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Modernization	23,300			23,300
154	Hawaii	Navy	NSY Pearl Harbor	Drydock Support Facility	7,900			7,900
155	Hawaii	Navy	NSY Pearl Harbor	Electric Distribution System Improvements	12,100			12,100
156	Hawaii	Defense Logistics Agency	Hickam AFB	Replace Hydrant Fuel System	29,200			29,200
157	Idaho	Air Force	Mountain Home AFB	Replace Aircraft Parking Apron	14,600			14,600
158	Idaho	Army National Guard	Gowen Field	Readiness Center (Phase I)	8,117			8,117
159	Illinois	Navy	NTC Great Lakes	Recruit Barracks	41,130			41,130
160	Illinois	Navy	NTC Great Lakes	Recruit Barracks	41,130			41,130
161	Illinois	Navy Reserve	MCFRC Great Lakes	Reserve Center Renovation	4,426			4,426
162	Indiana	Army	Newport AD	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase IV)	66,000	(66,000)		0
163	Indiana	Navy	NSWC Crane	Microwave Devices Engineering Facility	0		9,110	9,110
164	Indiana	Navy	NSWC Crane	Special Warfare Munitions Engineering Facility	5,820			5,820
165	Indiana	Chemical Demilitarization	Newport AD	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase IV)	0		66,000	66,000
166	Indiana	Air National Guard	Fort Wayne IAP	Parking Apron	0		8,500	8,500
167	Indiana	Air Force Reserve	Grissom AFB	Replace Service Complex (Phase III)	13,200			13,200
168	Iowa	Army National Guard	Estherville	Readiness Center	2,713			2,713
169	Iowa	Air National Guard	Sioux City	KC-135 Aircraft Parking Apron/Hydrant Refueling Sys	14,400			14,400
170	Iowa	Air National Guard	Sioux City	KC-135 Construct Fuel Cell/Corrosion Control	8,300			8,300
171	Iowa	Air National Guard	Sioux City	Sioux-Upgrade Expand Taxiway	4,300			4,300
172	Kansas	Army	Fort Riley	Child Development Center	6,800			6,800
173	Kansas	Army	Fort Riley	Modified Record Fire Range	4,100			4,100
174	Kansas	Army National Guard	Fort Riley	Organization Maintenance Shop	645			645
175	Kansas	Air Force	McCornell AFB	Health and Wellness Center	0		5,100	5,100
176	Kentucky	Army	Blue Grass AD	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase II)	3,000		(3,000)	0
177	Kentucky	Army	Fort Campbell	Passenger Processing Facility	11,400			11,400
178	Kentucky	Army	Fort Campbell	Electrical Substation	10,000			10,000
179	Kentucky	Army	Fort Campbell	Deployment Staging Complex	3,300			3,300
180	Kentucky	Army	Fort Campbell	Deployment Staging Complex/Hall	3,300			3,300

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
181	Kentucky	Army	Fort Campbell	Barracks Complex - Market Garden Rd (Phase III)	47,000			47,000
182	Kentucky	Army	Fort Campbell	Deployment Staging Complex/Air	3,300			3,300
183	Kentucky	Army	Fort Campbell	Expand Keyhole Hardstand Area	10,600			10,600
184	Kentucky	Chemical Demilitarization	Blue Grass AD	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase II)	0	3,000	3,000	3,000
185	Kentucky	Army Reserve	Fort Knox	USAR Center	14,846			14,846
186	Louisiana	Army	Fort Polk	Education Center	10,800			10,800
187	Louisiana	Army	Fort Polk	Readiness And Operations Facility	10,400			10,400
188	Louisiana	Army National Guard	Camp Beauregard	Readiness Center	5,392			5,392
189	Louisiana	Army National Guard	Carville	Readiness Center	5,677			5,677
190	Louisiana	Navy Reserve	MCRFC Lafayette	Marine Reserve Training Center	5,200			5,200
191	Louisiana	Navy Reserve	NAS JRB New Orleans	Armed Forces Reserve Center (Phase II)	0	10,000	10,000	10,000
192	Louisiana	Navy Reserve	NAS JRB New Orleans	GSE Complex	2,270			2,270
193	Louisiana	Navy Reserve	NAS JRB New Orleans	Replace Bridges	1,300			1,300
194	Louisiana	Navy Reserve	NAS JRB New Orleans	Refueler Maintenance Hangar	650			650
195	Maine	Navy	NAS Brunswick	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	41,665			41,665
196	Maine	Navy	NAS Brunswick	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	22,630			22,630
197	Maine	Navy	NAS Brunswick	P-3 Support Facility	3,100			3,100
198	Maine	Army National Guard	Bangor IAP	Army Aviation Support Facility (Phase I)	11,618			11,618
199	Maryland	Army	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Ammunition Surveillance Facility	5,300			5,300
200	Maryland	Army	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase IV)	66,500	(66,500)		0
201	Maryland	Army	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Climatic Test Facility	9,000			9,000
202	Maryland	Army	Edgewood	Chemistry Laboratory	44,000			44,000
203	Maryland	Army	Fort Meade	Child Development Center	5,800			5,800
204	Maryland	Navy	NAWC Patuxent River	Range Operations Support Facility	2,260			2,260
205	Maryland	Navy	NAWC Patuxent River	Advanced Systems Integration Facility (VI)	10,770			10,770
206	Maryland	Navy	NEODTC Indian Head	Joint Service EOD Equip Mag Eval	1,250			1,250
207	Maryland	Navy	NAWC St. Ingees	Communications Requirements Integration Facility	0	5,100	5,100	5,100
208	Maryland	Air Force	Andrews AFB	Repair East Runway	7,600			7,600
209	Maryland	Air Force	Andrews AFB	Consolidate Squadron Operations Facility	10,070			10,070
210	Maryland	Air Force	Andrews AFB	Upgrade Fire Training Facility	1,750			1,750

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM COMMITTEE REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
211	Maryland	Chemical Demilitarization	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase IV)	0	66,500	66,500	66,500
212	Maryland	Special Operations Command	Aberdeen Proving Ground	SOF Training Facility	3,200			3,200
213	Maryland	Army National Guard	Salisbury	Organizational Maintenance Shop Add/Alt	2,314			2,314
214	Maryland	Tri-Care Management Activity	Andrews AFB	Medical Clinic Addition/Alteration	7,300			7,300
215	Maryland	Tri-Care Management Activity	Andrews AFB	NAF Wash, Branch Med/Dental Clinic Relocation	2,950			2,950
216	Massachusetts	Air Force	Hanscom AFB	Renovate Acquisition Management Facility (Phase II)	9,400			9,400
217	Massachusetts	Army National Guard	Framingham	Organizational Maintenance Shop	8,347			8,347
218	Massachusetts	Air National Guard	Barnes Municipal AP	Upgrade Support Facilities	0	5,200	5,200	5,200
219	Michigan	Army National Guard	Camp Grayling	Headquarters Building	0	5,680	5,680	5,680
220	Michigan	Army National Guard	Lansing	Combined Support Maintenance Shop (Phase II)	5,809			5,809
221	Michigan	Air National Guard	Selfridge ANGB	Runway Clear Zone Land Acquisition	2,000			2,000
222	Michigan	Navy Reserve	MCHC Selfridge ANGB	Aut Vehicle Maintenance Facility	1,490			1,490
223	Minnesota	Navy Reserve	NRC Duluth	Reserve Center Addition	2,980			2,980
224	Minnesota	Air Force Reserve	Minneapolis-St. Paul	Consolidated Lodging Facility	0	13,300	13,300	13,300
225	Mississippi	Navy	NAS Meridian	T-45 Maintenance Facility	0	3,400	3,400	3,400
226	Mississippi	Navy	NCBC Gulfport	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Replacement	14,300			14,300
227	Mississippi	Navy	NCBC Gulfport	Mobilization Ops Facility	7,360			7,360
228	Mississippi	Air Force	Keesler AFB	Replace Technical Training Facility (Phase I a)	28,600			28,600
229	Mississippi	Army National Guard	Camp Shelby	Military Education Center (Phase II)	11,444			11,444
230	Mississippi	Army National Guard	Gulfport	Readiness Center	9,145			9,145
231	Mississippi	Air National Guard	Jackson IAP	Jackson-C-17 Facility Conversion	16,500			16,500
232	Mississippi	Air National Guard	Jackson IAP	Upgrade Corrosion Control Facility	5,700			5,700
233	Mississippi	Air National Guard	Jackson IAP	C-17 Maintenance Training Facility	0	4,100	4,100	4,100
234	Mississippi	Army Reserve	NCBC Gulfport	Controlled Humidity Storage Warehouse (Phase I)	0	12,184	12,184	12,184
235	Mississippi	Air Force Reserve	Keesler AFB	C130U-30 Two-Bay Maintenance	12,000			12,000
236	Missouri	Army	Fort Leonard Wood	Record Fire Range	3,550			3,550
237	Missouri	Army	Fort Leonard Wood	Night Fire Range	4,300			4,300
238	Missouri	Army	Fort Leonard Wood	Basic Combat Training Complex (Phase II)	27,000			27,000
239	Missouri	Navy	MCSA Kansas City	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	9,010			9,010
240	Montana	Army National Guard	Kalispell	Readiness Center (ADRS)	822			822

**TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
241	Nevada	Air Force	Nellis AFB	AFC2TIG Dynamic Battle Control Center	12,600			12,600
242	Nevada	Air National Guard	Heno-Tahoe IAP	Replace Basic Supply Warehouse Complex	8,500			8,500
243	New Hampshire	Air National Guard	Concord	Amy Aviation Support Facility	27,185			27,185
244	New Hampshire	Air National Guard	Concord	Readiness Center	1,868			1,868
245	New Hampshire	Air National Guard	Pease	Regional KC-135/CA15 Simulator Training Facility	2,200			2,200
246	New Hampshire	Air Reserve	Rochester	USAR Center/Organizational Maintenance Shop/Sirg Barracks	9,122			9,122
247	New Jersey	Amy	Fort Monmouth		20,000			20,000
248	New Jersey	Amy	Picatinny Arsenal	High Energy Propellant Formulation Facility	0		10,200	10,200
249	New Jersey	Air Force	McGuire AFB	Air Freight Terminal/Base Supply Complex (Phase II)	0		12,600	12,600
250	New Jersey	Air Force	McGuire AFB	C-17 Three Bay Hangar	1,500			1,500
251	New Jersey	Air Force	McGuire AFB	C-17 Maintenance Hangar	27,700			27,700
252	New Jersey	Air Force	McGuire AFB	C-17 ADAL Fuel Cell	1,050			1,050
253	New Jersey	Air Force	McGuire AFB	C-17 Flight Simulator Facility	4,900			4,900
254	New Jersey	Air Force	McGuire AFB	C-17 Communications Support	1,400			1,400
255	New Jersey	Defense Logistics Agency	McGuire AFB	Bulk Fuel Storage Tank	4,400			4,400
256	New Jersey	Air National Guard	Atlantic City IAP	Communications/Security Forces Complex	6,300			6,300
257	New Jersey	Air National Guard	McGuire AFB	Joint Medical Training Facility	4,900			4,900
258	New Jersey	Amy Reserve	Fort Dix	Barracks Modernization	12,000			12,000
259	New Mexico	Amy	White Sands Missile Range	Professional Development Center	0		7,600	7,600
260	New Mexico	Air Force	Carmon AFB	Replace Fire/Crash Rescue Station	9,400			9,400
261	New Mexico	Air Force	Kirtland AFB	Telescope/Atmosphere Compensation Laboratory	15,500			15,500
262	New Mexico	Air Force	Kirtland AFB	Upgrade Small Arms Range Support Facility	0		4,300	4,300
263	New Mexico	Tri-Care Management Activity	Holloman AFB	Medical Clinic Alteration	5,700			5,700
264	New York	Amy	Fort Drum	Battle Simulation Center (Phase II)	9,000			9,000
265	New York	Amy	Fort Drum	Tactical Equipment Shops	31,000			31,000
266	New York	Amy	Fort Drum	Training Area Access Road	0		18,500	18,500
267	New York	Amy	Fort Drum	Field Operations Facility	2,150			2,150
268	New York	Amy	Fort Drum	Hazardous Materials Storage Facility	4,700			4,700
269	New York	Amy	USMA West Point	Cadet Physical Development Center (Phase III)	37,900			37,900
270	New York	Amy National Guard	Fort Drum	Maneuver Area Training And Equipment Site	17,000			17,000

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
271	New York	Air National Guard	Gabreski Airport	Gabreski-Composite Support Complex	19,000			19,000
272	New York	Air National Guard	Hancock Field	Civil Engineer Pavements and Grounds Facility	0	1,500	1,500	1,500
273	New York	Air National Guard	Hancock Field	Composite Readiness Support Facility	0	2,500	2,500	2,500
274	North Carolina	Army	Fort Bragg	Barracks Complex - Bulner Road (Phase I)	49,000			49,000
275	North Carolina	Army	Fort Bragg	Barracks Complex - Longstreet Road (Phase II)	27,000			27,000
276	North Carolina	Army	Fort Bragg	Barracks Complex - Tagayay Road (Phase II C)	17,500			17,500
277	North Carolina	Army	Fort Bragg	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	13,600			13,600
278	North Carolina	Army	Fort Bragg	Parachute Team General Purpose Building	7,700			7,700
279	North Carolina	Army	Sunny Point (MOTSU)	Fire Station	2,750			2,750
280	North Carolina	Army	Sunny Point (MOTSU)	Deployment Staging Area	2,000			2,000
281	North Carolina	Army	Sunny Point (MOTSU)	Open Storage Area	2,050			2,050
282	North Carolina	Army	Sunny Point (MOTSU)	Road Improvements And Truck Pad	4,600			4,600
283	North Carolina	Navy	MCAS New River	Property Control Facility	2,490			2,490
284	North Carolina	Navy	MCAS New River	Property Control Facility	1,560			1,560
285	North Carolina	Navy	MCB Camp LeJeune	Ammunition Storage Magazine	5,880			5,880
286	North Carolina	Navy	MCB Camp LeJeune	Landfill Cell	8,290			8,290
287	North Carolina	Navy	MCB Camp LeJeune	Engineering Equipment Maintenance Shop	6,960			6,960
288	North Carolina	Navy	MCB Camp LeJeune	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters-Marine E1/E4	16,530			16,530
289	North Carolina	Navy	MCB Camp LeJeune	Academic Building	15,860			15,860
290	North Carolina	Navy	MCB Camp LeJeune	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters-Marine E1/E4	13,550			13,550
291	North Carolina	Air Force	Pope AFB	Consolidate C-130 Corrosion Control Facility	17,800			17,800
292	North Carolina	Defense Logistics Agency	Pope AFB	Bulk Fuel Storage Tank	3,400			3,400
293	North Carolina	Special Operations Command	Fort Bragg	SOF Weather Operations Facility	1,000			1,000
294	North Carolina	Special Operations Command	Fort Bragg	SOF Language Sustainment Training Facility	2,100			2,100
295	North Carolina	Special Operations Command	Fort Bragg	Facility	5,800			5,800
296	North Carolina	Special Operations Command	Fort Bragg	SOF Training Range	2,600			2,600
297	North Carolina	Special Operations Command	Fort Bragg	SOF Imagery And Analysis Facility	3,150			3,150
298	North Carolina	Special Operations Command	Fort Bragg	SOF Battalion Ops & Vehicle Maintenance Facility	8,500			8,500
299	North Carolina	Special Operations Command	Fort Bragg	SOF Repair Training Facility	1,812			1,812
300	North Carolina	Special Operations Command	Fort Bragg	SOF Training Facility	5,000			5,000

**TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
301	North Carolina	Special Operations Command	Fort Bragg	SOF Vehicle Maintenance Complex	3,600			3,600
302	North Carolina	Defense Education Activity	MCB Camp Lejeune	Replace Tarawa Terrace I Elementary School	8,857			8,857
303	North Carolina	Army National Guard	Fort Bragg	Military Educational Facility (Phase I)	0	8,290	8,290	8,290
304	North Dakota	Air Force	Grand Forks AFB	KC-135 Sq Ops/AMU	7,800			7,800
305	North Dakota	Defense Logistics Agency	Grand Forks AFB	Hydrant Fuel System	9,110			9,110
306	North Dakota	Defense Logistics Agency	Minot AFB	Hydrant Fuel System	14,000			14,000
307	Ohio	Air Force	Wright-Patterson AFB	Consolidate Acq Management Complex (Phase IV b)	21,400			21,400
308	Ohio	Air Force	Wright-Patterson AFB	ADAL Special Operations Intelligence Facility	3,450			3,450
309	Ohio	Army National Guard	Bowling Green	Readiness Center	0	3,200	3,200	3,200
310	Ohio	Army National Guard	Coshocton	Readiness Center	0	2,632	2,632	2,632
311	Ohio	Army National Guard	DFSP Cincinnati	Readiness Center	9,780			9,780
312	Ohio	Air National Guard	Mansfield Lahm Airport	Replace Vehicle Maintenance Complex	0	3,500	3,500	3,500
313	Ohio	Air National Guard	Springfield Beckley IAP	Parking Apron	0	10,600	10,600	10,600
314	Ohio	Army Reserve	Cleveland	Land Acquisition	1,200			1,200
315	Oklahoma	Army	Fort Sill	Deployment Staging Complex	5,100			5,100
316	Oklahoma	Air Force	Altus AFB	Repair Airfield Pavements (Phase I)	20,200			20,200
317	Oklahoma	Air Force	Tinker AFB	Consolidate Integration Support Facility	0	7,500	7,500	7,500
318	Oklahoma	Air Force	Tinker AFB	Dormitory	10,200			10,200
319	Oklahoma	Army National Guard	Oklahoma City	Readiness Center	0	9,320	9,320	9,320
320	Pennsylvania	Navy	Nav Foundry & Prop Center	Machine Shop Modernization	0	14,800	14,800	14,800
321	Pennsylvania	Defense Logistics Agency	DDSP New Cumberland	Special Purpose Warehouse	19,900			19,900
322	Pennsylvania	Defense Logistics Agency	Philadelphia	Consolidate Indoor Fitness Facilities	2,429			2,429
323	Pennsylvania	Army National Guard	Johnstown	Transient Quarters	0	3,000	3,000	3,000
324	Pennsylvania	Air National Guard	Pittsburgh	Replace Vehicle Maintenance Complex	3,200			3,200
325	Pennsylvania	Navy Reserve	NAS JRB Willow Grove	Hangar Fire Protection Upgrades	3,715			3,715
326	Rhode Island	Navy	NS Newport	SWOS Applied Industrial Building	15,290			15,290
327	Rhode Island	Air National Guard	Quonset State AP	C-130J Replace Composite Maintenance Shops	9,600			9,600
328	South Carolina	Army	Fort Jackson	Basic Combat Trainee Complex (Phase I)	26,000			26,000
329	South Carolina	Army	Fort Jackson	Central Energy Plant	0	3,650	3,650	3,650
330	South Carolina	Navy	MCAS Beaufort	AWSE Warehouse	1,960			1,960

**TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		COMMITTEE	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
331	South Carolina	Navy	MCAS Beaufort	Child Development Center	6,060			6,060
332	South Carolina	Navy	MCRD Parris Island	Military Police Station	5,430			5,430
333	South Carolina	Navy	NH Beaufort	Barracks	0	7,600	7,600	7,600
334	South Carolina	Air Force	Shaw AFB	Education Center	0	5,800	5,800	5,800
335	South Carolina	Defense Education Activity	Laurel Bay	Replace Laurel Bay ES	12,850			12,850
336	South Dakota	Army National Guard	Mitchell	Combined Support Maintenance Shop	14,228			14,228
337	Tennessee	Navy	NSA Millington	Elevated Water Tank	3,900			3,900
338	Tennessee	Air Force	Arnold AFB	Convert To Hypersonic Plant	10,400			10,400
339	Tennessee	Air Force	Arnold AFB	Upgrade Jet Engine Air Induction System (Phase IV)	14,000			14,000
340	Tennessee	Army National Guard	Alcoa	Readiness Center	8,203			8,203
341	Tennessee	Army National Guard	Henderson	Operational Maintenance Facility	2,012			2,012
342	Tennessee	Air National Guard	Nashville IAP	Replace Composite Aircraft Maintenance Complex	0	11,000	11,000	11,000
343	Texas	Army	Corpus Christi Army Depot	Engine Disassembly & Cleaning Facility	0	10,400	10,400	10,400
344	Texas	Army	Fort Bliss	Replace Elevated Water Tanks	0	5,000	5,000	5,000
345	Texas	Army	Fort Hood	Command And Control Facility (Phase II)	10,000			10,000
346	Texas	Army	Fort Hood	Gray Army Airfield Deployment Upgrade	0	18,000	18,000	18,000
347	Texas	Army	Fort Hood	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	23,000			23,000
348	Texas	Army	Fort Hood	Multi-Purpose Digital Training Range (Phase II)	13,000			13,000
349	Texas	Army	Fort Hood	Barracks Complex	41,000			41,000
350	Texas	Army	Fort Hood	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	12,200			12,200
351	Texas	Army	Fort Sam Houston	Physical Fitness Center	0	7,400	7,400	7,400
352	Texas	Army	Fort Sam Houston	General Instruction Building	2,250			2,250
353	Texas	Navy	NAS, JRB Fort Worth	Upgrade Enlisted Barracks	0	9,060	9,060	9,060
354	Texas	Air Force	Lackland AFB	Consolidate Joint Advanced Language Training Center	4,200			4,200
355	Texas	Air Force	Lackland AFB	Dormitory	8,600			8,600
356	Texas	Air Force	Laughlin AFB	Add/Alter Fitness Center	12,000			12,000
357	Texas	Air Force	Laughlin AFB	Security Forces Complex	0	3,600	3,600	3,600
358	Texas	Air Force	Sheppard AFB	Fitness Center/Health and Wellness Center	0	8,200	8,200	8,200
359	Texas	Air Force	Sheppard AFB	Replace Student Dormitory/Dining Facility (140 Rm)	16,000			16,000
360	Texas	Air Force	Sheppard AFB	Student Dormitory/Dining Facility	21,000			21,000



**TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
361	Texas	Army National Guard	Austin	Army Aviation Support Facility	25,659			25,659
362	Texas	Air National Guard	Camp Mabry	Replace Weather Flight	900			900
363	Texas	Army Reserve	Red River Army Depot	Mnt Spt Act/Strg	1,862			1,862
364	Texas	Tri-Care Management Activity	Dyess AFB	Medical Treatment Facility Alteration	3,300			3,300
365	Texas	Tri-Care Management Activity	Fort Hood	Hospital Addition/Alteration	12,200			12,200
366	Utah	Air Force	Hill AFB	Consolidate Hydraulic/Pneumatic Repair Facility	14,000			14,000
367	Utah	Air Force	Hill AFB	Maintenance Depot Hanger (Phase I)	0	18,000		18,000
368	Virginia	Army	Fort Belvoir	Chapel	4,950			4,950
369	Virginia	Army	Fort Belvoir	Operations Building	31,000			31,000
670	Virginia	Army	Fort Eustis	Main Pier	23,000			23,000
371	Virginia	Army	Fort Eustis	Field Operations Facility	1,750			1,750
372	Virginia	Army	Fort Lee	Airborne Training Facility	17,500			17,500
373	Virginia	Army	Fort Lee	Military Entrance Processing Station	6,400			6,400
374	Virginia	Navy	Little Creek NAB	Personnel Support Facility	0	9,090		9,090
375	Virginia	Navy	MCAF Quantico	Aircraft Fire And Rescue Station	3,790			3,790
376	Virginia	Navy	MCCDC Quantico	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Marine E6/E9	9,390			9,390
377	Virginia	Navy	NS Norfolk	Deperming Pier Replacement	2,810			2,810
378	Virginia	Navy	NS Norfolk	Airfield Pavement Recap	6,360			6,360
379	Virginia	Navy	NS Norfolk	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	11,300			11,300
380	Virginia	Navy	NS Norfolk	Pier Replacement (Increment I)	28,210			28,210
381	Virginia	Navy	NS Norfolk	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Modernization	14,730			14,730
382	Virginia	Navy	NS Norfolk	Waterfront Electrical Upgrade	12,900			12,900
383	Virginia	Navy	NS Norfolk	Waterfront Electrical Upgrade	15,620			15,620
384	Virginia	Navy	NS Norfolk	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar Replacement	14,100			14,100
385	Virginia	Air Force	Langley AFB	F-22 Low Observ. Restoration & Comp Repair Facility	16,000			16,000
386	Virginia	Air Force	Langley AFB	F-22 Operation And Maintenance Facility	19,000			19,000
387	Virginia	Air Force	Langley AFB	Dormitory	8,300			8,300
388	Virginia	Air Force	Langley AFB	F-22 Upgrade Flightline Infrastructure	4,000			4,000
389	Virginia	Defense Logistics Agency	Fort Belvoir	Additional Chiller Unit	900			900
390	Virginia	Navy Reserve	NELSF Williamsburg	Headquarters Building	2,130			2,130

**TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		COMMITTEE	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
391	Virginia	Washington Headquarters Services	Pentagon Reservation	Pentagon Physical Fitness & Readiness Facility	25,000			25,000
392	Virginia	Tri-Care Management Activity	NS Norfolk	Branch Medical Clinic Add/Alt (Sewells Point)	21,000			21,000
393	Washington	Army	Fort Lewis	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	9,100			9,100
394	Washington	Army	Fort Lewis	Ammunition Supply Point Expansion	17,000			17,000
395	Washington	Army	Fort Lewis	Combat Vehicle Trail	7,300			7,300
396	Washington	Army	Fort Lewis	Pallet Handling Facility	13,200			13,200
397	Washington	Army	Fort Lewis	Deployment Staging Complex	15,500			15,500
398	Washington	Army	Fort Lewis	Barracks Complex - 17th & B Street (Phase I)	48,000			48,000
399	Washington	Army	Fort Lewis	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	9,600			9,600
400	Washington	Army	Fort Lewis	Deployment Staging Complex/Rail	16,500			16,500
401	Washington	Navy	NAS Whidbey Island	P-3 Support Facility	3,470			3,470
402	Washington	Navy	NS Bremerton	Pier Delta Replacement (Increment II)	24,460			24,460
403	Washington	Navy	NS Everett	Shore Inter Maintenance Facility	6,820			6,820
404	Washington	Navy	Puget Sound NSY, Bremerton	Industrial Skills Center (Phase II)	0	14,000		14,000
405	Washington	Navy	SWFPAC Bangor	Utilities & Site Improvement	3,900			3,900
406	Washington	Air Force	Fairchild AFB	Replace Munitions Maintenance Admin Facility	2,800			2,800
407	Washington	Air Force	McChord AFB	C-17 Extend Nose Docks	4,900			4,900
408	Washington	Air Force	McChord AFB	ADAL Mission Support Center (Phase I)	15,800			15,800
409	Washington	Special Operations Command	Fort Lewis	SOF Tactical Equipment Complex	5,800			5,800
410	Washington	Special Operations Command	Fort Lewis	SOF Language Sustainment Training Facility	1,100			1,100
411	Washington	Army National Guard	Richland	Chemical Defense Training Facility	0	2,800		2,800
412	Washington	Army Reserve	Fort Lewis	Sol Fac/Stig	21,978			21,978
413	Washington	Tri-Care Management Activity	NAS Whidbey Island	Aircrew Water Survival Training Facility	6,600			6,600
414	Wisconsin	Army National Guard	Oshkosh	Organizational Maintenance Shop	5,274			5,274
415	Wyoming	Air Force	F. E. Warren AFB	Fitness Center	10,200			10,200
416	Wyoming	Navy Reserve	NRC Cheyenne IAP	Reserve Center Addition	1,060			1,060
417	Wyoming	Tri-Care Management Activity	F. E. Warren AFB	Medical Clinic Alteration	2,700			2,700
418	American Samoa	Army Reserve	American Samoa	USAR Center/Org Mnt Shop/Unhhd Stg/Lnd	19,703			19,703
419	El Salvador	Office Secretary of Defense	Comalapa	CENTAM FOL	12,577			12,577
420	Germany	Army	ASG Bamberg	Barracks Complex - Warners	20,000			20,000

38  
38  
38

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
421	Germany	Army	ASG Bamberg	Physical Fitness Training Center	16,000			16,000
422	Germany	Army	ASG Darmstadt	Barracks Complex - Kelley	6,800			6,800
423	Germany	Army	ASG Darmstadt	Barracks Complex - Cambrai Fritsch	6,700			6,700
424	Germany	Army	Baumholder	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	9,000			9,000
425	Germany	Army	Hannau	Barracks Complex - Pioneer	7,200			7,200
426	Germany	Army	Heidelberg	Barracks Complex - Tompkins	8,500			8,500
427	Germany	Army	Heidelberg	Barracks Complex - Patton	6,800			6,800
428	Germany	Army	Mannheim	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	16,000			16,000
429	Germany	Army	Wiesbaden AB	Child Development Center	6,800			6,800
430	Germany	Army	Wiesbaden AB	Physical Fitness Training Center	19,500			19,500
431	Germany	Air Force	Ramstein AFB	Dormitory	11,000			11,000
432	Germany	Air Force	Ramstein AFB	(Phase I)	15,000			15,000
433	Germany	Air Force	Ramstein AFB	Freight Terminal & Defense Courier Service	9,400			9,400
434	Germany	Air Force	Ramstein AFB	Strategic Lift Area Expansion	4,600			4,600
435	Germany	Air Force	Ramstein AFB	Upgrade Utility Infrastructure	2,900			2,900
436	Germany	Air Force	Ramstein AFB	Refueler Vehicle Maintenance	2,500			2,500
437	Germany	Air Force	Spangdahlem AB	New Infrastructure Expansion	6,200			6,200
438	Germany	Defense Education Activity	Gellenkirchen	Gellenkirchen ES Multi Purpose Room	1,733			1,733
439	Germany	Defense Education Activity	Heidelberg	Patrick Henry ES Classroom Addition/Renovation	3,312			3,312
440	Germany	Defense Education Activity	Kaiserlautern	Kaiserlautern ES Classroom Addition	1,439			1,439
441	Germany	Defense Education Activity	Kitzingen	Kitzingen ES Classroom Addition	1,394			1,394
442	Germany	Defense Education Activity	Landsstuhl	Landsstuhl E/MS Classroom Addition	1,444			1,444
443	Germany	Defense Education Activity	Ramstein AFB	Ramstein HS Classroom Addition	2,814			2,814
444	Germany	Defense Education Activity	Vogelweh Annex	Vogelweh ES Classroom Addition/Renovation	1,558			1,558
445	Germany	Defense Education Activity	Wiesbaden AB	Hainerberg ES Classroom Addition	1,378			1,378
446	Germany	Defense Education Activity	Wurtzburg	Wuerzburg ES Classroom Addition	2,684			2,684
447	Germany	Tri-Care Management Activity	Heidelberg	Medical/Dental Clinic	28,000			28,000
448	Greece	Navy	NSA JHC Larissa	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	12,240			12,240
449	Greece	Navy	NSA Souda Bay	Sewage Treatment Plant Addition	3,210			3,210
450	Greenland	Air Force	Thule AB	Replace Taxways/Aprons	19,000			19,000

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
451	Greenland	Tri-Care Management Activity	Thule AB	Composite Medical Facility Replacement	10,800			10,800
452	Guam	Navy	NPWC Guam	Waterfront Utilities Improvements	14,800			14,800
453	Guam	Navy	NS Guam	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Modernization	9,300			9,300
454	Guam	Air Force	Andersen AFB	AEF Bomber FOL War Reserve Material Facility	4,550			4,550
455	Guam	Air Force	Andersen AFB	Replace Security Forces Operations	5,600			5,600
456	Guam	Defense Logistics Agency	Andersen AFB	Replace Hydrant Fuel System	20,000			20,000
457	Guam	Army Reserve	Barrigada	Readiness Center (Phase II)	0	7,748		7,748
458	Guam	Air National Guard	Andersen AFB	Operations and Training Facility	4,300			4,300
459	Iceland	Navy	NAS Keflavik	Solid Waste Disp Conn Chrg	2,820			2,820
460	Italy	Navy	NAS Sigonella	P-3 Support Facility	3,060			3,060
461	Italy	Air Force	Aviano AB	Indoor Firing Range	3,600			3,600
462	Italy	Air Force	Aviano AB	Dormitory	8,200			8,200
463	Italy	Air Force	Aviano AB	Aviano ES Classroom Addition	3,647			3,647
464	Japan	Defense Education Activity	Aviano AB	Bulk Fuel Storage Tank	13,000			13,000
465	Korea	Defense Logistics Agency	Yokota AB	Electrical Distribution System	8,000			8,000
466	Korea	Army	Camp Carroll	Physical Fitness Training Center	8,593			8,593
467	Korea	Army	Camp Casey	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	8,500			8,500
468	Korea	Army	Camp Hovey	Sanitary Sewer System	2,750			2,750
469	Korea	Army	Camp Hovey	Barracks Complex - Camp Hovey	33,000			33,000
470	Korea	Army	Camp Humphreys	Barracks Complex - Camp Humphreys	14,500			14,500
471	Korea	Army	Camp Jackson	General Instruction Building	6,100			6,100
472	Korea	Army	Camp Stanley	Barracks Complex - Camp Stanley	28,000			28,000
473	Korea	Air Force	Kunsan AB	Aud/Alter Fitness Center	12,000			12,000
474	Korea	Air Force	Osan AB	Replace Base Civil Engineer Complex	36,000			36,000
475	Korea	Air Force	Osan AB	Officer Dormitory	9,700			9,700
476	Korea	Air Force	Osan AB	Dormitory	14,400			14,400
477	Korea	Air Force	Osan AB	Dormitory (156 Rooms)	15,800			15,800
478	Korea	Air Force	Osan AB	Replace Traffic Management Facility	5,925			5,925
479	Korea	Air Force	Osan AB	Replace Vehicle Ops Control/Admin Facility	2,000			2,000
480	Korea	Air Force	Osan AB	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	17,317			17,317

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM COMMITTEE REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
481	Korea	Defense Logistics Agency	Camp Casey	Replace Fuel Storage Facility	5,500			5,500
482	Kwajalein	Army	Kwajalein	Cold Storage Warehouse	11,000			11,000
483	Portugal	Tri-Care Management Activity	Lajes Field, Azores	Dental Clinic Replacement	3,750			3,750
484	Spain	Navy	NS Rota	Aircraft Fire & Rescue Addition	2,240			2,240
485	Spain	Defense Logistics Agency	NS Rota	Marine Loading Arms	3,000			3,000
486	Turkey	Air Force	Eskisehir	Dormitory/Mission Support Facility (32 Rooms)	4,000			4,000
487	United Kingdom	Air Force	RAF Lakenheath	Replaces Supply Material Control	11,300			11,300
488	United Kingdom	Air Force	RAF Mildenhall	Avionics Maintenance Complex (Phase II)	10,800			10,800
489	United Kingdom	Air Force	RAF Mildenhall	Fitness Center	11,600			11,600
490	United Kingdom	Defense Education Activity	RAF Feltwell	Lakenheath MS New School	22,132			22,132
491	Wake Island	Air Force	Wake Island	Repair Airfield Pavement (Phase I)	25,000			25,000
492	Worldwide Classified	Army	Classified	Classified Project	4,000			4,000
493	Worldwide Classified	Air Force	Classified Location	Tactical Unit Detachment Facility	4,458			4,458
494	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Host Nation Support	Host Nation Support	23,100			23,100
495	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	134,098		6,478	140,576
496	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	18,000			18,000
497	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation	0		(36,168)	(36,168)
498	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	10,546			10,546
499	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	29,932		5,460	35,392
500	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation	0		(6,854)	(6,854)
501	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	11,250			11,250
502	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	79,130		5,500	84,630
503	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation	0		(15,846)	(15,846)
504	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Logistics Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	3,500			3,500
505	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Intelligence Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	6,516			6,516
506	CONUS Classified	Special Operations Command	Classified	SOF Aviation And Maintenance Facility	2,400			2,400
507	Worldwide Unspecified	Special Operations Command	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	1,903			1,903
508	Worldwide Unspecified	Special Operations Command	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	6,861			6,861
509	Worldwide Unspecified	Organization	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	6,290			6,290
510	Worldwide Unspecified	Organization	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	2,009			2,009

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
511	Worldwide Unspecified	OSD Contingencies	Unspecified Worldwide	Contingency Construction	10,000			10,000
512	Worldwide Unspecified	OSD Minor Construction	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	3,000			3,000
513	Worldwide Unspecified	OSD Planning & Design	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	20,000			20,000
514	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Agencies	Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation	0	(17,851)		(17,851)
515	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Agencies	Unspecified Worldwide	General Reduction	0	(10,250)		(10,250)
516	Worldwide Unspecified	NATO Security Investment Program	Unspecified Worldwide	NATO Security Investment Program	162,600			162,600
517	Worldwide Unspecified	Army National Guard	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	25,794		1,500	27,294
518	Worldwide Unspecified	Army National Guard	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	4,671			4,671
519	Worldwide Unspecified	Air National Guard	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	5,000			5,000
520	Worldwide Unspecified	Air National Guard	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	3,972		1,500	5,472
521	Worldwide Unspecified	Army Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	8,024		2,000	10,024
522	Worldwide Unspecified	Army Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	2,375			2,375
523	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	1,176		1,000	2,176
524	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	4,996			4,996
525	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	4,336		1,500	5,836
526	Worldwide Unspecified	Service	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	1,500			1,500
527	Worldwide Unspecified	Base Closure IV	BRAC IV	Base Realignment and Closure IV	532,200			532,200
528	Worldwide Unspecified	Joint Chiefs of Staff	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	6,305			6,305
529	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Education Activity	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	1,929			1,929
530	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Education Activity	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	4,249			4,249
531	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Threat Reduction Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	2,400			2,400
532	Worldwide Unspecified	Program	Unspecified Worldwide	Energy Conservation Improvement Program	35,600			35,600
533	Worldwide Unspecified	Tri-Care Management Activity	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	26,300			26,300
534	Worldwide Unspecified	Tri-Care Management Activity	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	5,526			5,526
535	Worldwide Unspecified	Chemical Biological Activity	Unspecified Worldwide	Vaccine Production Facility, Plan & Design	700			700
536	Alaska	Army	Fort Wainwright	Replacement Construction (32 Units)	12,000			12,000
537	Arizona	Army	Fort Huachuca	Replacement Construction (72 Units)	10,800			10,800
538	Arizona	Navy	MCAS Yuma	Replacement Construction (Phase I) (51 Units)	9,017			9,017
539	Arizona	Air Force	Luke AFB	Replace Family Housing (Phase I) (120 Units)	15,712			15,712
540	California	Navy	MAGTFTC Twentynine Palms	New Construction (74 Units)	16,250			16,250

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
541	California	Air Force	Travis AFB		18,150		18,150	18,150
542	Colorado	Air Force	Buckley AFB	Replace Family Housing (Phase I) (118 Units)	11,400		11,400	11,400
543	Delaware	Air Force	Dover AFB	New Construction (55 Units)	18,145		18,145	18,145
544	District of Columbia	Air Force	Boiling AFB	Replace Family Housing (Phase I) (120 Units)	16,926		16,926	16,926
545	Georgia	Army	Fort Stuant	Replace Family Housing (136 Units)	0	2,500	2,500	2,500
546	Hawaii	Navy	MCB Kaneohe	Housing Acquisition (160 Units)	46,996		46,996	46,996
547	Hawaii	Navy	NS Pearl Harbor	Replace Housing (172 Units)	16,827		16,827	16,827
548	Hawaii	Air Force	Hickam AFB	Replacement Construction Oahu, HI (70 Units)	25,037		25,037	25,037
549	Kansas	Army	Fort Leavenworth	Replace Family Housing (Phase I) (102 Units)	10,000		10,000	10,000
550	Louisiana	Air Force	Barksdale AFB	Replacement Construction (40 Units)	7,300		7,300	7,300
551	Mississippi	Army	NS Pascagoula	Replace Family Housing (56 Units)	23,354		23,354	23,354
552	South Dakota	Navy	Ellsworth AFB	New Construction (160 Units)	13,700		13,700	13,700
553	Texas	Army	Fort Bliss	Replacement Construction (78 Units)	13,600		13,600	13,600
554	Virginia	Air Force	Langley AFB	Replace Family Housing (4 Units)	1,200		1,200	1,200
555	Virginia	Navy	MCCDC Quantico	Replace Family Housing (60 Units)	0	10,000	10,000	10,000
556	Italy	Navy	NAS Sigonella	Replacement Construction (10 Units)	2,403		2,403	2,403
557	Korea	Army	Camp Humphreys	Replacement Construction (10 Units)	12,800		12,800	12,800
558	Portugal	Army	Lajes Field, Azores	New Construction (64 Units)	13,230		13,230	13,230
559	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Replace Family Housing (Phase I) (64 Units)	258,790		258,790	258,790
560	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Utilities Account	11,592		11,592	11,592
561	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	49,520		49,520	49,520
562	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Services Account		(4,665)	(4,665)	
563	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Service's Mortgage Insurance Premium	1		1	1
564	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Management Account	82,177		82,177	82,177
565	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Housing Privatization Support Cost	27,918		27,918	27,918
566	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance Account	446,806		446,806	446,806
567	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing	196,956		196,956	196,956
568	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Miscellaneous Account	1,277	(422)	(422)	855
569	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishings Account	45,546	(1,172)	(1,172)	44,374
570	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Construction Improvements	220,750		220,750	220,750
570	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation	0	(56,529)	(56,529)	(56,529)

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
571	Worldwide Unspecified	Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation	0	(18,888)	(18,888)	
572	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning And Design	6,499		6,499	
573	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Management Account	85,535	(621)	84,914	
574	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Construction Improvements	183,054	18,780	201,834	
575	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance Account	409,567		409,567	
576	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Housing Privatization Support Cost	4,100		4,100	
577	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Utilities Account	195,172		195,172	
578	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishings Account	32,701	(1,817)	30,884	
579	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Service's Mortgage Insurance Premium	68		68	
580	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Miscellaneous Account	1,200		1,200	
581	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing Account	123,965		123,965	
582	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Services Account	65,787	(1,834)	63,953	
583	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation	0	(13,238)	(13,238)	
584	Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation	0	(414)	(414)	
585	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance	436,526		436,526	
586	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Utilities Account	168,652		168,652	
587	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Services Account	28,356	(359)	27,997	
588	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Housing Privatization Support Cost	35,406		35,406	
589	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Miscellaneous	2,384	(52)	2,332	
590	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Management Account	58,224	(2,539)	55,685	
591	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishings Account	36,619		36,619	
592	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing	102,919		102,919	
593	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Construction Improvements	352,879	18,000	370,879	
594	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Service's Mortgage Insurance Premium	35		35	
595	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	MFH Planning & Design	24,558		24,558	
596	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation	0	(23,153)	(23,153)	
597	Worldwide Unspecified	Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation	0	(24,725)	(24,725)	
598	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Logistics Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishings	30		30	
599	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Logistics Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance Of Real Property	359		359	
600	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Logistics Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Services Account	78		78	



TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS  
(Dollars in Thousands)

LINE NO	LOCATION	SERVICE/AGENCY/PROGRAM	INSTALLATION	PROJECT TITLE	FY 2002		FY 2002	
					AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
601	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Logistics Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Utilities Account	428			428
602	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Logistics Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Management Account	292			292
603	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Logistics Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Construction Improvements	250			250
604	Worldwide Unspecified	National Security Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishings Account	129			129
605	Worldwide Unspecified	National Security Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Management Account	15			15
606	Worldwide Unspecified	National Security Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Miscellaneous Account	57			57
607	Worldwide Unspecified	National Security Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Utilities Account	414			414
608	Worldwide Unspecified	National Security Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Services Account	374			374
609	Worldwide Unspecified	National Security Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing	11,698			11,698
610	Worldwide Unspecified	National Security Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance Of Real Property	658			658
611	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Intelligence Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing	25,600			25,600
612	Worldwide Unspecified	Defense Intelligence Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishings Account	3,630			3,630
613	Worldwide Unspecified	Homeowners' Assistance Program	Unspecified Worldwide	Homeowners Assistance Program	10,119			10,119
614	Worldwide Unspecified	Family Housing Improvement Fund	Unspecified Worldwide	Family Housing Improvement Fund	2,000			2,000

## TITLE XXI—ARMY

### SUMMARY

The budget request contained \$1,760,541,000 for Army military construction and \$1,400,533,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends authorization of \$1,686,601,000 for military construction and \$1,321,357,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2002.

### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### Planning and Design

The committee recommends that, within authorized amounts for planning and design, the Secretary of the Army complete planning and design activities for the following projects: \$225,000 for a training center at Tobyhanna Army Depot, Pennsylvania.

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 2101—Authorized Army Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section contains the list of authorized Army construction projects for fiscal year 2002. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this report is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

#### Section 2102—Family Housing

This section would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Army for fiscal year 2002.

#### Section 2103—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units

This section would authorize improvements to existing units of family housing for fiscal year 2002.

#### Section 2104—Authorization of Appropriations, Army

This section would authorize specific appropriations for each line item contained in the Army's budget for fiscal year 2002. This section also provides an overall limit on the amount the Army may spend on military construction projects.

#### Section 2105—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2001 Project

This section would amend the table in section 2101 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (division

B of Public Law 106–398) to provide for an increase in the amounts authorized for military construction at Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri, at Fort Drum, New York, and at Fort Hood Texas.

## TITLE XXII—NAVY

### SUMMARY

The budget request contained \$1,071,408,000 for Navy military construction and \$1,222,495,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends authorization of \$1,159,654,000 for military construction and \$1,233,351,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2002.

### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### Improvements to Military Family Housing

The committee recommends that, within authorized amounts for improvements to military family housing and facilities, the Secretary of the Navy execute the following projects: \$11,840,000 for Whole-site Revitalization (69 units) at Pacific Missile Range Facility Barking Sands, Hawaii, and \$6,940,000 for Whole House Revitalization (124 units) at Westover Air Reserve Base, Massachusetts.

#### Planning and Design

The committee recommends that, within authorized amounts for planning and design, the Secretary of the Navy complete planning and design activities for the following project: \$420,000 for an undersea network centric laboratory at Naval Underwater Systems Newport, Rhode Island.

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 2201—Authorized Navy Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section contains the list of authorized Navy construction projects for fiscal year 2002. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this report is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

#### Section 2202—Family Housing

This section would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Navy for fiscal year 2002.

#### Section 2203—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units

This section would authorize improvements to existing units of family housing for fiscal year 2002.

Section 2204—Authorization of Appropriations, Navy

This section would authorize specific appropriations for each line item in the Navy's budget for fiscal year 2002. This section also provides an overall limit on the amount the Navy may spend on military construction projects.

Section 2205—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year  
2000 Project

This section would amend the table in section 2201 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 106-65) to provide for an increase in the amounts authorized for military construction at Camp H.M. Smith, Hawaii.

## TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE

### SUMMARY

The budget request contained \$1,068,250,000 for Air Force military construction and \$1,387,358,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends authorization of \$1,171,504,000 for military construction and \$1,354,530,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2002.

### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### Improvements to Military Family Housing

The committee recommends that, within authorized amounts for improvements to military family housing and facilities, the Secretary of the Air Force execute the following project: \$18,000,000 for Whole Neighborhood Revitalization (164 units) at Whiteman Air Force Base, Missouri.

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 2301—Authorized Air Force Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section contains the list of authorized Air Force construction projects for fiscal year 2002. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this report is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

#### Section 2302—Family Housing

This section would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Air Force for fiscal year 2002.

#### Section 2303—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units

This section would authorize improvements to existing units of family housing for fiscal year 2002.

#### Section 2304—Authorization of Appropriations, Air Force

This section would authorize specific appropriations for each line item in the Air Force's budget for fiscal year 2002. This section also would provide an overall limit on the amount the Air Force may spend on military construction projects.

Section 2305—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain  
Fiscal Year 2001 Project

This section would amend the table in section 2301 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (division B of Public Law 106–398) to provide for an increase in the amounts authorized for military construction at McGuire Air Force Base, New Jersey.

## TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES

### SUMMARY

The budget request contained \$694,558,000 for defense agencies military construction and \$250,000, for family housing construction for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends authorization of \$838,957,000 for military construction and \$250,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2002.

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 2401—Authorized Defense Agencies Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section contains the list of authorized defense agencies construction projects for fiscal year 2002. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this report is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

#### Section 2402—Energy Conservation Projects

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to carry out energy conservation projects

#### Section 2403—Authorization Of Appropriations, Defense Agencies

This section would authorize specific appropriations for each line item in the Defense Agencies' budget for fiscal year 2002. This section also would provide an overall limit on the amount the Defense Agencies may spend on military construction projects.

#### Section 2404—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2001 Project

This section would amend the table in section 2401 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (division B of Public Law 106–398) to provide for an increase in the amounts authorized for construction at Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, California.

#### Section 2405—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2000 Project

This section would amend the table in section 2401 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 106–65) to provide for an increase in the amounts authorized for construction at Naval Air Station, Whidbey Island, Washington.



Section 2406—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year  
1999 Project

This section would amend the table in section 2401 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (division B of Public Law 105–261) to provide for an increase in the amounts authorized for military construction projects to support chemical weapons and munitions destruction at Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.

Section 2407—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year  
1995 Project

This section would amend the table in section 2401 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (division B of Public Law 103–337), as amended to provide for an increase in the amounts authorized for military construction projects to support chemical weapons and munitions destruction at Pine Bluff Arsenal, Arkansas.

Section 2408—Prohibition on Expenditures to Develop Forward Operating Location on Aruba for United States Southern Command Counter-Drug Detection and Monitoring Flights

This section would prohibit funds appropriated in chapter 3 of title III of the Emergency Supplemental Act, 2000 (Public Law 106–246) to be used by the Secretary of Defense to develop any forward operating location of the island of Aruba.

## TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION INFRASTRUCTURE

### SUMMARY

The budget request contained \$162,600,000 for the NATO infrastructure fund (NATO Security Investment Program) for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends \$162,600,000 for the NATO infrastructure fund for fiscal year 2002.

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 2501—Authorized NATO Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to make contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization security investment program in an amount equal to the sum of the amount specifically authorized in section 2502 of this bill and the amount of recoupment due to the United States for construction previously financed by the United States.

#### Section 2502—Authorization of Appropriations, NATO

This section would authorize appropriations of \$162,600,000 as the U.S. contribution to the NATO security investment program.

**TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES  
FACILITIES**

**SUMMARY**

The budget request contained \$615,238,000 for fiscal year 2002 for guard and reserve facilities. The committee recommends authorization for fiscal year 2002 of \$807,827,000 to be distributed as follows:

Army National Guard .....	\$304,915,000
Air National Guard .....	197,472,000
Army Reserve .....	173,017,000
Naval and Marine Corps Reserve .....	53,291,000
Air Force Reserve .....	79,132,000
Total .....	807,827,000

**ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST**

**Planning and Design, Air National Guard**

The committee recommends that, within authorized amounts for planning and design, the Secretary of the Air Force execute the following project: \$1,331,000 for a joint headquarters building at McEntire Air National Guard Base, South Carolina.

**Unspecified Minor Construction, Army National Guard**

The committee recommends that, within authorized amounts for unspecified minor construction, the Secretary of the Army execute the following project: \$500,000 for security improvements at Johnstown Airport, Pennsylvania.

**LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

**Section 2601—Authorized Guard and Reserve Construction and  
Land Acquisition Projects**

This section would authorize appropriations for military construction for the guard and reserve by service component for fiscal year 2002. The state list contained in this report is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

## TITLE XXVII—EXPIRATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 2701—Expiration of Authorizations and Amounts Required To Be Specified by Law

This section would provide that authorizations for military construction projects, repair of real property, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization infrastructure program, and guard and reserve projects will expire on October 1, 2004 or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2005, whichever is later. This expiration would not apply to authorizations for which appropriated funds have been obligated before October 1, 2004 or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for these projects, whichever is later.

#### Section 2702—Extensions of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 1999 Projects

This section would provide for selected extension of certain fiscal year 1998 military construction authorizations until October 1, 2001, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2003, whichever is later.

#### Section 2703—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 1998 Projects

This section would provide for selected extension of certain fiscal year 1998 military construction authorizations until October 1, 2002, or the date of the enactment of the Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2003, whichever is later.

#### Section 2704—Effective Date

This section would provide that Titles XXI, XXII, XXIII, XXIV, and XXVI of this bill shall take effect on October 1, 2001, or the date of the enactment of this Act, whichever is later.

## TITLE XXVIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### SUBTITLE A—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM AND MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING CHANGES

##### Section 2801—Increase in Certain Unspecified Minor Military Construction Project Thresholds

This section would amend section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, to increase the threshold for notice and wait requirements for unspecified minor construction from \$500,000 to \$750,000.

##### Section 2802—Exclusion of Unforeseen Environmental Hazard Remediation From Limitation on Authorized Cost Variations

This section would amend section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, to exclude legally required remediation of certain environmental hazards from limitations on authorized cost variations.

##### Section 2803—Repeal of Annual Reporting Requirement on Military Construction and Military Family Housing Activities

This section would amend section 2861 of title 10, United States Code, to repeal the annual reporting requirement on military construction and military family housing activities.

##### Section 2804—Permanent Authorization for Alternative Authority for Acquisition and Improvement of Military Housing

This section would amend section 2885 of title 10, United States Code, to make permanent the authorities contained in subchapter 169 of title 10, United States Code.

#### SUBTITLE B—REAL PROPERTY AND FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION

##### Section 2811—Use of Military Installations for Certain Recreational Activities

This section would amend section 2671 of title 10, United States Code, to permit certain recreation activities on military installations. This section would provide flexibility to military installation commanders to manage resources without adhering to State law, when necessary, if determined to be in interest of public safety.

Section 2812—Base Efficiency Project at Brooks Air Force Base,  
Texas

This section would amend section 136 of the Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2001 (division A of Public Law 106–246) to authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to provide environmental indemnification to the San Antonio community and other persons. No indemnification may be provided unless the person or entity making the claim provides certain documentation. This section would authorize the Secretary to settle or defend a claim if it is determined that the Department of Defense may be required to make indemnification payments.

SUBTITLE C—DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT

Section 2821—Lease Back of Base Closure Property

This section would amend section 204 of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100–526) and section 2905 of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Part A of Title XXIX of Public Law 101–510) to authorize the secretary concerned to transfer real property at a closed or realigned military installation to the redevelopment authority for the installation if the redevelopment authority agrees, directly upon transfer, to lease one or more portions of the property transferred to the secretary or to the head of another department or agency of the Federal Government. Such leases shall not exceed 50 years and may not require rental payments by the United States. This section would permit the use of the leased property by the same or another department or agency of the Federal Government if the original department concerned ceases requiring the use of the lease.

SUBTITLE D—LAND CONVEYANCES GENERALLY

Part I—Army Conveyances

Section 2831—Transfer of Jurisdiction, Rock Island Arsenal,  
Illinois

This section would amend section 2832 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (division B of Public Law 106–398) by authorizing the Secretary of the Army to transfer a parcel of real property approximately .513 acres to the City. As consideration for the transfer, the City would convey to the Secretary, a parcel of real property approximately .063 acres to construct a new access ramp for the Rock Island Arsenal, Illinois.

Section 2832—Modification of Land Conveyance, Fort Dix, New  
Jersey

This section would amend section 2835 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (division B of Public Law 105–85) to authorize the exchange between the Borough of Wrightstown and the New Hanover Board of Education, without the consent of the Secretary, of all or any portion of the property

conveyed so long as the property continues to be used for economic or educational purposes.

Section 2833—Lease Authority, Fort Derussy, Hawaii

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Army to enter into a lease with the City of Honolulu, Hawaii, for the purpose of making available to the City a parcel of real property for the construction of a parking facility.

Section 2834—Land Exchange and Consolidation, Fort Lewis,  
Washington

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey two parcels of real property, with improvements, consisting of approximately 138 acres at Fort Lewis, Washington, to the Nisqually Tribe. As consideration for the exchange, the Tribe shall acquire from Thurston County, Washington, several parcels of real property consisting of approximately 416 acres and convey fee title to the Secretary. This section would also authorize the Secretary to convey to the Bonneville Power Administration a right-of-way to permit the Administration to use the real property at Fort Lewis as a route for the Grand Coulee-Olympia and Olympia-White River electrical transmission lines. The cost of any survey shall be borne by the recipient of the property.

Section 2835—Land Conveyance, Whittier-Anchorage Pipeline  
Tank Farm, Anchorage, Alaska

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey, without consideration, two adjoining parcels of real property, including improvements, of approximately 48 acres and known as the Whittier-Anchorage Pipeline Tank Farm, to the Port of Anchorage, an entity of the Municipality of Anchorage, Alaska. The cost of any survey shall be borne by the recipient of the real property.

Part II—Navy Conveyances

Section 2841—Transfer of Jurisdiction, Centerville Beach Naval  
Station, Humboldt County, California

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to transfer, without reimbursement, to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior the real property with improvements consisting of the closed Centerville Beach Naval Station, Humboldt County, California, for the purpose of permitting the Secretary of the Interior to manage the real property as open space or for other public purposes. The cost of any survey necessary for the transfer would be borne by the Secretary of the Interior.

Section 2842—Land Conveyance, Naval Weapons Industrial  
Reserve Plant, Toledo, Ohio

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey, without consideration, a parcel of real property, consisting of approximately 29 acres comprising the Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Toledo, Ohio, to the Toledo-Lucas County Port Author-

ity. Until the property is conveyed, the Secretary may lease the real property, together with any improvements, facilities, equipment, fixtures, and other personal property, to the Port Authority in exchange for security services, and maintenance services provided by the Port Authority. The conveyance and any lease shall be subject to certain specified conditions. The cost of any survey shall be borne by the Port Authority.

**Section 2843—Modification of Authority for Conveyance of Naval Computer and Telecommunications Station, Cutler, Maine**

This section would amend section 2853 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (division B of Public Law 106–398) by inserting “any or” before “all right” in order to permit the Department of the Navy to convey parcels of the real property to recently identified federal entities.

**Section 2844—Modification of Land Conveyance, Former United States Marine Corps Air Station, Eagle Mountain, Texas**

This section would amend section 5 of Public Law 85–258, to permit the Texas Military Facilities Commission to use funds acquired through the leasing of Eagle Mountain Lake National Guard Training Site for other Texas National Guard facilities.

**Section 2845—Land Transfer and Conveyance, Naval Security Group Activity, Winter Harbor, Maine**

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to transfer, without consideration, a parcel of real property, including improvements, of approximately 26 acres to the Secretary of the Interior. The transfer would occur concurrent with the reversion of administrative jurisdiction of a parcel of real property consisting of approximately 71 acres from the Secretary of the Navy to the Secretary of the Interior as authorized by Public Law 80–260. This section would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey, without consideration, any of the parcels of real property, including improvements, of approximately 485 acres and comprising the former facilities of the Naval Security Group Activity, Winter Harbor, Maine to the State of Maine, any subdivision of the State of Maine, or any tax-supported agency of the State of Maine. The Secretary of the Navy would transfer, without consideration, certain personal property associated with such real property. The Secretary of the Navy would maintain any real property until the earlier of the date of conveyance or September 30, 2003. The Secretary of the Navy may lease such parcels to certain persons or entities. The Secretary of the Navy may require each recipient of real property to reimburse the Secretary for certain costs. The cost of any survey shall be borne by the recipient or the real property.

**Part III—Air Force Conveyances**

**Section 2851—Water Rights Conveyance, Andersen Air Force Base, Guam**

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to convey water rights related to the Air Force properties Andy South,



also known as the Andersen Administrative Annex, Marianas Bonis Base Command, and Andersen Water Supply Annex, also known as the Tumon Water Well or the Tumon Maui Well, located on Guam. The Secretary may exercise authority under certain specified conditions. This section would authorize the Secretary to require that the United States have the primary right to all water produced from Andy South and Anderson Water Supply Annex until a replacement water system is in working condition satisfactory to the Secretary. The Secretary may authorize the conveyee of the water system to sell to public or private entities such water from Andersen Air Force Base as the Secretary determines to be excess to the needs of the United States.

Section 2852—Reexamination of Land Conveyance, Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado

This section would direct the Secretary of the Air Force to reevaluate the terms and conditions of the pending negotiated sale agreement at Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado, with the Lowry Redevelopment Authority for certain real property in light of changed circumstances regarding the property. The reexamination shall determine whether changed circumstances warrant a reduction in the amount of consideration otherwise required under the agreement or other modifications to the agreement.

SUBTITLE E—OTHER MATTERS

Section 2861—Transfer of Jurisdiction for Development of Armed Forces Recreational Facility, Park City, Utah

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Interior to transfer, without reimbursement, the administrative jurisdiction of a parcel of real property, including improvements, consisting of approximately 35 acres located in Park City, Utah and designated as parcel 3 by the Bureau of Land Management to the Secretary of the Air Force. The transfer would be completed no later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act. This section would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to use the real property as the location for an armed forces recreational facility to be developed using non-appropriated funds. The Secretary of the Air Force may return the transferred property to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior upon certifying that development of the recreational facility would not be in the best interest of the United States. In lieu of developing the recreational facility, the Secretary of the Air Force may convey or lease the property to certain entities under certain specific alternative development authority. The cost of any survey shall be borne by the Secretary of the Air Force.

Section 2862—Selection of Site for United States Air Force Memorial and Related Land Transfers for the Improvement of Arlington Cemetery, Virginia

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to offer, within 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to the Air Force Memorial Foundation, an option to use, without reim-

bursement, up to three acres of the Arlington Naval Annex as the site within which the Foundation will construct the Air Force Memorial. Within 90 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense makes the offer, the Foundation shall provide written notice to the Secretary of the decision of the Foundation to accept or decline the offer. If the Foundation accepts the offer, the Foundation shall relinquish all claims to the previously approved location of the memorial. If the Foundation declines the offer, the Foundation may resume its efforts to construct the memorial on the Arlington Ridge tract from the farthest point of progress. Not later than two years after the date on which the Foundation accepts the offer, and has made sufficient funds available to construct the memorial, the Secretary, in coordination with the Foundation, shall remove all structures and prepare the Arlington Naval Annex for use to permit construction and access of the memorial. Upon removal of structures and preparation of the property for use, the Secretary of Defense shall permit the Foundation to commence construction. This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense exclusive authority in all matters relating to the approval of the siting, design, and construction of the memorial. Within 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior shall transfer, without reimbursement, to the Secretary of the Army administrative jurisdiction over the Arlington Ridge tract. This section would amend section 2902 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 106–65) to prohibit consideration of the Arlington Naval Annex property as a possible site for a national military museum.

#### Section 2863—Management of the Presidio of San Francisco

This section would amend the Omnibus Parks and Public Lands Management Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–333) to authorize the Trust to make available to lease certain housing units to persons designated by the Secretary of the Army, within the Presidio of San Francisco, California. The monthly amount charged by the Trust for the lease of a housing units, including utilities and municipal services, shall not exceed the monthly rate of the basic allowance for housing. This section would also increase the borrowing authority authorized by section 104 of Public Law 104–333 from \$50,000,000 to \$150,000,000.

#### Section 2864—Effect of Limitation on Construction of Roads or Highways, Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, California

This section would amend section 2851 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (division B of Public Law 105–261), as amended, to limit the effect of State law enacted after January 1, 2001, that would directly or indirectly prohibit or restrict the construction or approval of a road or highway within the easements granted under this section at Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, California.

Section 2865—Establishment of World War II Memorial at  
Additional Location on Guam

This section would amend section 2886 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (division B of Public Law 106-398) by authorizing the establishment of an additional World War II Memorial on Federal lands near Yigo, Guam.

## TITLE XXIX—FORT IRWIN MILITARY LAND WITHDRAWAL

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 2901—Short Title

This section would designate Title XXIX of this Act as the “Fort Irwin Military Land Withdrawal Act of 2001”.

#### Section 2902—Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands for National Training Center

This section would authorize the transfer of approximately 110,000 acres in San Bernardino, California to the Secretary of the Army for certain specific purposes.

#### Section 2903—Map and Legal Description

This section would require the Secretary of the Interior to publish in the Federal Register a notice containing the legal description of the lands withdrawn and reserved by this title. This section requires the Secretary to file a map and legal description of the lands withdrawn and reserved by this title with the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Resources of the House of Representatives. Copies of the map and legal description shall be available at certain specific offices. The Secretary of the Army would reimburse the Secretary of the Interior for the costs incurred by implementing this section.

#### Section 2904—Management of Withdrawn and Reserved Lands

This section would require the Secretary of the Army, during the period of the withdrawal and reservation, to manage the lands withdrawn and reserved for the purposes specified in section 2902. This section would prohibit military use of the lands withdrawn and reserved that result in ground disturbances, as determined by the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Interior until the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Interior certify to Congress that there has been full compliance with respect to certain specified laws. This section would authorize the Secretary of the Army to post appropriate warning notices and take other steps as necessary to close any road, trail, or other portion of the lands withdrawn and reserved. This section would require the Secretary of the Army to prepare and implement an integrated natural resources management plan for the lands withdrawn and reserved.

#### Section 2905—Water Rights

This section would prohibit the establishment of a reservation in favor of the United States with respect to any water or water right on the lands withdrawn or reserved. This section would not affect any water rights acquired or reserved by the United States before the date of enactment of this Act.

#### Section 2906—Environmental Compliance and Environmental Response Requirements

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Interior to enter into such agreements concerning the environment and public health as necessary, appropriate, and in the public interest to carry out the purposes of this title. This section would also provide that nothing in this section shall be construed to alter the rights, responsibilities, and obligations of the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Interior under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 or any other environmental laws applicable to the lands withdrawn and reserved by this title.

#### Section 2907—West Mojave Coordinated Management Plan

This section would urge the Secretary of the Interior to complete the West Mojave Coordinated Management Plan not later than two years after the date of enactment of this Act. This section would require the Secretary of the Interior to consult with the Secretary of the Army and the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration on the development of the plan.

#### Section 2908—Release of Wilderness Study Areas

This section would authorize that Congress finds and directs that lands withdrawn and reserved have been adequately studied for wilderness designation pursuant to the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976.

#### Section 2909—Training Activity Separation From Utility Corridors

This section would require all military ground activity training on the lands withdrawn and reserved remain at least 500 meters from any utility system in Utility Corridor D.

#### Section 2910—Duration of Withdrawal and Reservation

This section would, unless determined otherwise, terminate the withdrawal and reservation made by this title 25 years after the enactment of this Act. This section would, at the time of termination of the withdrawal and reservation, require the Secretary of the Interior to publish in the Federal Register an appropriate order that would state the date upon which the lands shall be restored to the public domain and open.

#### Section 2911—Extension of Initial Withdrawal and Reservation

This section would require the Secretary of the Army, no later than three years before the termination date, to notify Congress

and the Secretary of the Interior concerning the military needs of the Army. If the Secretary of the Army determines that there will be a continuing military need, the Secretary would file with the Secretary of the Interior, within one year after the notification, an application for extension of the withdrawal and reservation. This section would authorize the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Army to submit to Congress a legislative proposal for the extension of the withdrawal and reservation made by this title.

#### Section 2912—Termination and Relinquishment

This section authorizes that if the Secretary of the Army determines within the first 22 years of the withdrawal and reservation that there is no continuing military need, the Secretary would submit to the Secretary of the Interior a notice of intent to relinquish jurisdiction over the lands. If the Secretary of the Interior accepts jurisdiction over any of the lands, the Secretary would publish in the Federal Register an appropriate order. All function under this section would be made on a parcel-by-parcel basis.

#### Section 2913—Delegation of Authority

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Interior to delegate such functions determined appropriate to carry out this title.

**DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NA-  
TIONAL SECURITY AND AUTHORIZATION  
AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

**OVERVIEW**

The budget request contained \$13,355.2 million for the national security activities of the Department of Energy. Of this amount, \$6,776.8 million is for the programs of the National Nuclear Security Administration and \$6,578.4 million for defense environmental management and other defense activities. The committee recommends \$13,355.2 million, the requested amount. The following table summarizes the budget request and the committee recommendations:

**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**  
(Dollars in thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
<b>Atomic Energy Defense Activities (053)</b>			
<b>National Nuclear Security Administration</b>			
Weapons Activities	5,300,025	69,463	5,369,488
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	773,700	---	773,700
Naval Reactors	688,045	---	688,045
Defense Nuclear Counterintelligence	---	13,662	13,662
Office of the Administrator	15,000	---	15,000
<b>Total, National Nuclear Security Administration</b>	<b>6,776,770</b>	<b>83,125</b>	<b>6,859,895</b>
<b>Environmental and Other Defense Activities</b>			
Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management	4,548,708	97,719	4,646,427
Defense Facilities Closure Projects	1,050,538	---	1,050,538
Defense Environmental Management Privatization	141,537	-15,329	126,208
Other Defense Activities	527,614	-25,515	502,099
Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal	310,000	---	310,000
<b>Total, Environmental and Other Defense Activities</b>	<b>6,578,397</b>	<b>56,875</b>	<b>6,635,272</b>
<b>Formerly Utilized Site Remediation</b>	140,000	-140,000	---
<b>Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities</b>	<b>13,495,167</b>	---	<b>13,495,167</b>



**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
<b>Environmental and Other Defense Activities</b>			
<b>Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management</b>			
<b>Site/project completion</b>			
Operation and maintenance	872,030	—	872,030
<b>Construction:</b>			
02-D-402 Intec cathodic protection system expansion project, INEEL, Idaho Falls, ID	3,256	—	3,256
01-D-414 Preliminary project, engineering and design (PE&D), various locations	6,254	4,000	10,254
99-D-402 Tank farm support services, F&H area, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC	5,040	—	5,040
99-D-404 Health physics instrumentation laboratory, INEEL, ID	2,700	—	2,700
98-D-453 Plutonium stabilization and handling system for PFP, Richland, WA	1,910	—	1,910
96-D-471 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River Site Aiken, SC	4,244	—	4,244

**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
92-D-140 F&H canyon exhaust upgrades, Savannah River, SC	15,790	-15,790	—
02-D-420 FB line plutonium stabilization, Savannah River, SC		20,000	20,000
86-D-103 Decontamination and waste treatment facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA	762	—	762
<b>Total, Construction</b>	<b>39,956</b>	<b>8,210</b>	<b>48,166</b>
<b>Total, Site/project completion</b>	<b>911,986</b>	<b>8,210</b>	<b>920,196</b>
<b>Post 2006 completion</b>			
Operation and maintenance	1,680,979	81,000	1,761,979
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution	420,000	—	420,000
<b>Construction:</b>			
93-D-187 High-level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River, SC	6,754	—	6,754
<b>Total, Construction</b>	<b>6,754</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>6,754</b>
<b>Office of River Protection</b>			

**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
Operation and maintenance	272,151	—	272,151
<b>Construction:</b>			
01-D-416 Waste treatment and immobilization plant, Richland, WA	500,000	20,000	520,000
97-D-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA	33,473	—	33,473
94-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA	6,844	—	6,844
<b>Total, Construction</b>	<b>540,317</b>	<b>20,000</b>	<b>560,317</b>
<b>Total, Office of River Protection</b>	<b>812,468</b>	<b>20,000</b>	<b>832,468</b>
<b>Total, Post 2006 completion</b>	<b>2,920,201</b>	<b>101,000</b>	<b>3,021,201</b>
Science and technology	196,000	—	196,000
Excess facilities	1,300	—	1,300
Safeguards and security	205,621	—	205,621
Program direction	355,761	—	355,761
<b>Subtotal, Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management</b>	<b>4,590,869</b>	<b>109,210</b>	<b>4,700,079</b>
Use of prior year balances	-36,770	-11,491	-48,261

**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
Less security charge for reimbursable work	-5,391	---	-5,391
<b>Total, Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management</b>	<b>4,548,708</b>	<b>97,719</b>	<b>4,646,427</b>
<b>Defense Facilities Closure Projects</b>			
Site closure	1,004,636	---	1,004,636
Safeguards and security	45,902	---	45,902
<b>Total, Defense Facilities Closure Projects</b>	<b>1,050,538</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>1,050,538</b>
<b>Defense Environmental Management Privatization</b>			
Privatization initiatives, various locations	141,537	-15,329	126,208
<b>Total, Defense Environmental Management Privatization</b>	<b>141,537</b>	<b>-15,329</b>	<b>126,208</b>
<b>Other Defense Activities</b>			
<b>Security and emergency operations</b>			
Nuclear safeguards and security	121,188	---	121,188
Security investigations	44,927	---	44,927
Corporate management information program	20,000	---	20,000
Program direction	83,135	---	83,135
<b>Total, Security and emergency operations</b>	<b>269,250</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>269,250</b>
<b>Intelligence</b>	<b>40,844</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>40,844</b>

**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
<b>Counterintelligence</b>	46,389	-13,662	32,727
<b>Independent oversight and performance assurance</b>			
Program direction	14,904	—	14,904
<b>Total, Independent oversight and performance assurance</b>	14,904	—	14,904
<b>Environment, safety and health</b>			
Office of Environment, safety and health (defense)	91,307	-6,807	84,500
Program direction	23,293	-2,500	20,793
<b>Total, Environment, safety and health</b>	114,600	-9,307	105,293
<b>Worker and community transition</b>			
Worker and community transition	21,246	-2,246	19,000
Program direction	3,200	-300	2,900
<b>Total, Worker and community transition</b>	24,446	-2,546	21,900
National security programs administration support	25,000	—	25,000
Office of hearings and appeals	2,893	—	2,893
<b>Subtotal, Other defense activities</b>	538,326	-25,515	512,811
<b>Adjustments:</b>			
Use of prior year balances	-10,000	—	-10,000
Less security charge for reimbursable work	-712	—	-712
<b>Total, Adjustments</b>	-10,712	—	-10,712
<b>Total, Other Defense Activities</b>	<b>527,614</b>	<b>-25,515</b>	<b>502,099</b>

**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
<b>Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal</b>			
Defense nuclear waste disposal	310,000	—	310,000
<b>Total, Environmental and Other Defense Activities</b>	<b>6,578,397</b>	<b>56,875</b>	<b>6,635,272</b>

**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**  
 (Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
<b>National Nuclear Security Administration:</b>			
<b>Weapons</b>			
<b>Directed stockpile work</b>			
Stockpile research and development	305,460	---	305,460
Stockpile maintenance	362,493	---	362,493
Stockpile evaluation	180,834	---	180,834
Dismantlement/disposal	35,414	---	35,414
Production support	152,890	---	152,890
Field engineering, training and manuals	6,700	---	6,700
<b>Total, Directed stockpile work</b>	<b>1,043,791</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>1,043,791</b>
<b>Campaigns</b>			
Primary certification	55,530	---	55,530
Dynamic materials properties	97,810	---	97,810
Advanced radiography	60,510	---	60,510
Secondary certification and nuclear systems margins	47,270	---	47,270
Enhanced surety	34,797	---	34,797
Weapons system engineering certification	24,043	---	24,043
Nuclear survivability	19,050	---	19,050
Enhanced surveillance	82,333	---	82,333
Advanced design and production technologies	75,533	---	75,533

**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
<b>Inertial confinement fusion and high yield</b>			
Operations and maintenance	222,943	10,000	232,943
<b>Construction:</b>			
96-D-111 National ignition facility (NIF), LLNL, Livermore, CA	245,000	—	245,000
<b>Total, inertial confinement fusion and high yield</b>	<b>467,943</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>477,943</b>
<b>Advanced simulation and computing</b>			
Operations and maintenance	711,185	—	711,185
<b>Construction:</b>			
01-D-101 Distributed information systems laboratory, SNL, Livermore, CA	5,400	—	5,400
00-D-103, Terascale simulation facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA	5,000	15,000	20,000
00-D-105, Strategic computing complex, LANL, Los Alamos, NM	11,070	—	11,070
00-D-107 Joint computational engineering laboratory, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	5,377	—	5,377
<b>Total, Construction</b>	<b>26,847</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>41,847</b>
<b>Total, Advanced simulation and computing</b>	<b>738,032</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>753,032</b>



**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
Pit manufacturing and certification Secondary readiness	128,545 23,169	— —	128,545 23,169
High explosives manufacturing and weapons assembly/disassembly readiness	3,960	—	3,960
Nonnuclear readiness Materials readiness	12,204 1,209	— —	12,204 1,209
<b>Tritium readiness</b>			
Operations and maintenance	43,350	—	43,350
<b>Construction:</b>			
98-D-125 Tritium extraction facility, Savannah River plant, Aiken, SC	81,125	—	81,125
98-D-126 Accelerator production of tritium (APT), various locations	—	15,000	15,000
<b>Total, Construction</b>	<b>81,125</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>96,125</b>
<b>Total, Tritium readiness</b>	<b>124,475</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>139,475</b>
<b>Total, Campaigns</b>	<b>1,996,413</b>	<b>40,000</b>	<b>2,036,413</b>
<b>Readiness in technical base and facilities</b>			
Operations of facilities	830,427	—	830,427
Program readiness	188,126	—	188,126

**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
Special projects	64,493	—	64,493
Material recycle and recovery	101,311	—	101,311
Containers	8,199	—	8,199
Storage	10,643	—	10,643
Nuclear weapons incident response	89,125	—	89,125
<b>Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and facilities</b>	<b>1,292,324</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>1,292,324</b>
<b>Construction:</b>			
02-D-101 Microsystem and engineering science applications (MESA), SNL	2,000	—	2,000
02-D-103 Project engineering and design, various locations	9,180	—	9,180
02-D-107 Electrical power systems safety communications and bus upgrades, NV	3,507	—	3,507
01-D-103 Preliminary project design and engineering, various locations	45,379	—	45,379
01-D-124 HEU storage facility, Y-12 plant, Oak Ridge, TN	9,500	—	9,500
01-D-126 Weapons evaluation test laboratory Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	7,700	—	7,700
			<b>428</b>

**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
01-D-800 Sensitive compartmented information facility, LLNL	12,993	—	12,993
99-D-103 Isotope sciences facilities, LLNL, Livermore, CA	4,400	—	4,400
99-D-104 Protection of real property (roof reconstruction — Phase I), LLNL, Livermore, CA	2,800	—	2,800
99-D-106 Model validation & system certification center, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	4,955	—	4,955
99-D-125 Replace boilers & controls, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, MO	300	—	300
99-D-127 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, MO	22,200	—	22,200
99-D-128 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	3,300	—	3,300

**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
98-D-123 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Tritium facility modernization and consolidation, Savannah River plant, Aiken, SC	13,700	—	13,700
98-D-124 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Y-12 consolidation, Oak Ridge, TN	6,850	—	6,850
97-D-123 Structural upgrades, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, KS	3,000	—	3,000
96-D-102 Stockpile stewardship facilities revitalization, Phase VI, various locations	2,900	—	2,900
<b>Total, Construction</b>	<b>154,664</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>154,664</b>
<b>Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities</b>	<b>1,446,988</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>1,446,988</b>
<b>Total, Stewardship operation and maintenance</b>	<b>4,487,192</b>	<b>40,000</b>	<b>4,527,192</b>
<b>Total Facilities and Infrastructure</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>50,600</b>
<b>Secure transportation asset</b>			
Operations and equipment	77,571	—	77,571
Program direction	44,229	—	44,229
<b>Total, Secure transportation asset</b>	<b>121,800</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>121,800</b>

**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
<b>Safeguards and security</b>			
Operations and maintenance	439,281	---	439,281
<b>Construction:</b>			
99-D-132 SMRI nuclear material safeguards and security upgrade project, LANL, Los Alamos, NM	9,600	---	9,600
<b>Total, Construction</b>	<b>9,600</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>9,600</b>
<b>Total, Safeguards and security</b>	<b>448,881</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>448,881</b>
Program direction	271,137	---	250,000
<b>Adjustments</b>			
Use of prior year balances	---	---	---
Less security charge for reimbursable work	-28,985	---	-28,985
<b>Total, Adjustments</b>	<b>-28,985</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>-28,985</b>
<b>Total, Weapons Activities</b>	<b>5,300,025</b>	<b>69,463</b>	<b>5,369,488</b>
<b>Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation</b>			
<b>Nonproliferation and national security</b>			
<b>Nonproliferation and verification R&amp;D</b>			
Operation and maintenance	170,296	---	170,296
<b>Construction:</b>			
00-D-192 Nonproliferation and international security center (NISC), LANL	35,806	---	35,806

## TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
<b>Total, Nonproliferation &amp; verification R&amp;D</b>	206,102	—	206,102
Arms control	101,500	—	101,500
International materials protection, control, and accounting	138,800	—	138,800
HEU transparency implementation	13,950	—	13,950
International nuclear safety	13,800	-3,000	10,800
Soviet design reactor safety program	—	—	—
<b>Total, Nonproliferation and national security</b>	474,152	-3,000	471,152
<b>Fissile materials disposition</b>			
Russian surplus materials disposition	57,000	—	57,000
U S surplus materials disposition	130,089	—	130,089
<b>Construction:</b>			
01-D-407 Highly enriched uranium (HEU) blend down, Savannah River, SC	24,000	—	24,000
01-D-142, Immobilization and associated processing facility, SRS	—	3,000	3,000
99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility, Savannah River site	16,000	—	16,000

**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River site	63,000	---	63,000
<b>Total, Construction</b>	<b>103,000</b>	<b>3,000</b>	<b>106,000</b>
<b>Total, Fissile materials disposition</b>	<b>290,089</b>	<b>3,000</b>	<b>293,089</b>
Program direction	51,459	---	51,459
<b>Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation</b>	<b>815,700</b>	---	<b>815,700</b>
Use of prior year balances	-42,000	---	-42,000
<b>Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation</b>	<b>773,700</b>	---	<b>773,700</b>
<b>Naval Reactors</b>			
<b>Naval reactors development</b>			
Operation and maintenance	652,245	---	652,245
<b>Construction:</b>			
01-D-200 Major office replacement building, Schenectady, NY	9,000	---	9,000
90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactors Facility, ID	4,200	---	4,200
<b>Total, Construction</b>	<b>13,200</b>	---	<b>13,200</b>
<b>Total, Naval reactors development</b>	<b>665,445</b>	---	<b>665,445</b>
Program direction	22,600	---	22,600
<b>Total, Naval Reactors</b>	<b>688,045</b>	---	<b>688,045</b>

**TITLE XXXI - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2002 Committee Recommendation
Defense Nuclear Counterintelligence	—	13,662	13,662
Office of the Administrator	15,000	—	15,000
<b>Total, National Nuclear Security Administration</b>	<b>6,776,770</b>	<b>83,125</b>	<b>6,859,895</b>



## ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

## Overview

The budget request contained \$6,578.4 million for environmental and other defense activities. The committee recommends \$6,635.3, an increase of \$56.9 million.

## Items of Special Interest

*Defense Environmental Management Privatization*

The budget request contained \$141.5 million for Defense Environmental Management Privatization. This amount included funds for two new starts: \$13.3 million for the Paducah Disposal Facility Privatization, Paducah, Kentucky; and \$2.0 million for the Portsmouth Disposal Facility, Portsmouth, Ohio. Both of these projects are for nuclear waste disposal at gaseous diffusion plants leased from the Department of Energy by the United States Enrichment Corporation.

The committee notes that environmental management activities at the nation's three gaseous diffusion plants is carried out under the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund, which was established in 1992 to address the cleanup liabilities at those plants attributable to historical Department of Energy operations for weapons and commercial fuel production. The committee also notes that the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund is not budgeted within the national defense budget function. Therefore, the committee recommends no funds for these two new starts, a decrease of \$15.3 million for Defense Environmental Management Privatization, since it believes they should be accomplished using the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund.

*Hanford site operations, Richland, Washington*

The budget request contained \$500.0 million for the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant Construction project within the Office of River Protection, Richland, Washington. The committee recommends an increase of \$20.0 million in order to meet compliance deadlines. While the budget request for this project represents an increase from fiscal year 2001, the committee is concerned that it is insufficient to meet the contractual obligations contained in the Tri-Party Agreement. The committee continues to support full funding for this project in order to insure that the federal government meets its legally binding commitment to the State of Washington and urges the Department of Energy to provide adequate funding in future years to ensure that the schedule for the River Protection projects is maintained.

The committee also supports the proposal by the Richland Operations Office to move forward with an accelerated river protection project as set forth in the Hanford Site Columbia River Corridor Cleanup Report. The committee urges the Department of Energy to focus its efforts on moving forward with a closure contract in fiscal year 2002 with a goal of completing critical work by fiscal year 2012. The committee believes that adherence to this schedule will allow for the overall reduction in the size of the Hanford site and

ultimately save the federal government hundreds of millions of dollars annually.

*Plutonium stabilization and packaging*

The budget request contained \$4.0 million for Project Engineering and Design work on the 235-F Packaging and Stabilization project at the Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina. The project was to design the modification of Building 235-F for the installation of stabilization furnaces and packaging equipment to stabilize and package plutonium at the Savannah River Site. The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) has placed a high priority on stabilizing, packaging, and safely storing these legacy materials while they await final disposition.

In mid-June 2001, the Department of Energy informed the committee that the budget request for Project Engineering and Design work on the 235-F project would not be required, because the project was being terminated due to a projected, unaffordable cost. Subsequently, the Department has decided to stabilize and package the plutonium by processing it within the FB-Line at Savannah River.

To accomplish this new project, the committee recommends the establishment of a construction line, 02-D-420, FB Line Plutonium Stabilization and Packaging, and recommends \$20.0 million for the project. The committee hopes this new project will move expeditiously to complete stabilization and packaging of all plutonium at Savannah River by June 2008 in accordance with the Department's commitment to the DNFSB.

*Post 2006 completion*

The budget request contained \$586.0 million for post 2006 environmental cleanup activities at the Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina, \$116.7 million less than was enacted for fiscal year 2001. The committee is disturbed by this situation and the disruption it will cause to current cleanup schedules. Consequently, the committee recommends \$667.0 million, an increase of \$81.0 million, for post 2006 cleanup activities at the Savannah River Site.

NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Overview

The budget request contained \$6,776.8 million for the National Nuclear Security Administration for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends \$6,859.9 million, an increase of \$83.1 million.

Items of Special Interest

*Budget structure of the National Nuclear Security Administration*

The committee notes that section 3253 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65), as amended by section 3154 of the National Defense Authorization Act of 2001 (Public Law 106-398), requires the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration to submit to Congress each year, at or about the time of the Department of Energy's budget submission to Congress, a future years nu-

clear security program. The future years program shall cover the fiscal year for which the budget is submitted and at least the four succeeding years and shall specify proposed budget authority and describe in detail how the funds will be used to support the mission of the NNSA. The committee observes that it has still not received the future years nuclear security program that was to have been submitted with the fiscal year 2002 budget request, although it understands that such a document has been prepared. The committee expects to receive this document not later than the submission date of the fiscal year 2003 budget request.

*Computer security*

The committee recommends \$448.9 million, the budget request, for Safeguards and Security. The authorization includes \$30.0 million for the Integrated Cyber Security Initiative (ICSI) program, which when combined with the base program, doubles funding for cyber security over the fiscal year 2001 level. At the same time, the committee notes the Administrator's concern that the current budget does not allow the National Nuclear Security Administration to address the long-term solutions set forth in the ICSI plan submitted to Congress in March 2001. The committee understands the need to prioritize requirements, but given events of the past several years, is highly sensitized to computer security issues, and intends to closely monitor this topic in future budget cycles.

*Critical weapons components*

The committee understands that certain materials and components are absolutely critical to the functioning of nuclear weapons, that these items have little or no application outside the nuclear weapons complex, and that it is therefore incumbent upon the Department of Energy to take all steps necessary to ensure their future availability in sufficient quantity and quality to meet the needs of the enduring stockpile. Of special interest are tritium, which has not been produced since 1988, and plutonium pits, which have not been manufactured since 1989. To a significant extent, the success of the National Nuclear Security Administration will hinge on its ability to solve these long-standing deficiencies.

The committee recommends \$139.5 million for the tritium readiness campaign. This includes an increase of \$15.0 million to support preliminary design activities and engineering development and demonstration work for the back-up technology, accelerator production of tritium (APT). The committee urges the NNSA to complete these APT activities as soon as possible to make resources available for other critical needs.

Using the primary technology, the tritium campaign appears on schedule to begin irradiation of tritium-producing rods in commercial light water reactors in fiscal year 2003 and to begin production extraction in fiscal year 2006. If the Strategic Defense Review does not lead to new reductions in the nuclear weapons stockpile, the committee notes that this schedule may lead to a one-year draw down in the five-year tritium reserve. However, the committee believes replenishment of this reserve can be made up in future production.

Production and certification of plutonium pits remain congressional interest items. For the pit manufacturing and certification campaign, the committee recommends the budget request of \$128.5 million, including \$122.5 million for W88 pit manufacturing and certification, \$4.0 million to begin the task of understanding manufacturing and certification requirements for other stockpile warheads, and \$2.0 million to support pre-conceptual design activities in support of a modern pit facility.

The committee understands that only one W88 warhead surveillance pit remains for destructive testing purposes but notes good progress toward establishing a limited manufacturing capability at Los Alamos National Laboratory, with production of certifiable pits scheduled to begin in fiscal year 2003.

In contrast, W88 pit certification has slipped from fiscal year 2007 to fiscal year 2009, with no commitment to meeting the latter date. The committee understands both the difficulty of certifying a pit with extremely high confidence in the absence of nuclear testing, as well as the potential national security consequences of a failure in this area. The committee is concerned that the budget request woefully under funds this important activity and urges the NNSA to place higher priority on pit certification in future budget submissions.

Finally, the committee notes that it still has not received the report required by the conference report accompanying the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (H. Rept. 106-907), which contains current project schedules and cost estimates for production and certification of W88 pits. The committee understands that the report is complete and requests its expeditious submission.

#### *Defense Nuclear Counterintelligence budget*

The budget request contained \$46.4 million for the Department of Energy's counterintelligence activities. The committee notes that section 3232 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65) established the Office of Defense Nuclear Counterintelligence within the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA). The committee also notes that section 3251 of Public Law 106-65 required that the budget request for offices of the NNSA be set forth separately from other elements of the Department. The committee expects that the Department will comply with section 3251 of Public Law 106-65 in the future and that budget requests for the NNSA's Office of Defense Nuclear Counterintelligence will be set forth separately from the requests for the Department's Office of Counterintelligence.

#### *Directed stockpile work*

Of the various activities performed by the National Nuclear Security Administration, directed stockpile work has arguably the most immediate impact on maintaining the safety, reliability, and performance of the enduring nuclear weapons stockpile. The committee recommends the budget request of \$1,043.8 million for this important effort.

The committee notes the continuing progress of the W87 Peacekeeper intercontinental ballistic missile warhead life extension pro-

gram, the first major retrofit of a nuclear warhead in a decade. The committee further notes that preparatory activities leading up to the refurbishment of the B61 gravity bomb are on schedule for a first production unit in fiscal year 2004.

The committee is somewhat concerned, however, that the budget request does not support the scope and schedule of refurbishment activities on the W76 submarine launched ballistic missile warhead and the W80 cruise missile warhead that were agreed in the Nuclear Weapons Council in fiscal year 2000. The committee expects to be apprised of the Administration's preferred path forward as the results of the ongoing Strategic Defense Review are finalized.

#### *Facilities and infrastructure*

The committee notes that almost half of the structures in the nuclear weapons complex are more than 50 years old and understands the magnitude of the problem to revitalize the complex as currently sized. The committee is aware of the Department of Energy's ongoing assessment and planning activities to address this problem but, given the total funding requirement, is concerned that the Department is not yet prepared to efficiently execute this infrastructure revitalization effort. The committee directs the Administrator of the Nuclear National Security Administration to provide a semi-annual report to Congress on the status of the facilities and infrastructure program. The report should include the current priority list of proposed facilities and infrastructure projects, including cost and schedule requirements. For each site, the report should include: a current 10-year site plan that demonstrates the reconfiguration of its facilities and infrastructure to meet its missions and to address its long-term operational costs and return on investment; the current budget for all facilities and infrastructure funding in this program as well as all funding for maintenance and infrastructure upgrades funded through other parts of the budget; and the current status of each facilities and infrastructure project compared to the original baseline cost, schedule, and scope.

The committee recommends \$50.6 million to establish a new program line for infrastructure maintenance and re-capitalization. In order to ensure the future operational readiness of the weapons complex, the committee directs that these funds should be used to begin to the revitalization of the Pantex and Y12 plants in the amounts of \$40.0 million and \$10.6 million, respectively.

#### *International nuclear safety*

The committee is aware that the International Nuclear Safety program is designed to improve the safety of the Chernobyl-generation, Russian-designed nuclear reactors located in the countries of the former Soviet Union. The program is funded by the U.S. Department of Energy and State with contributions by European countries located in proximity to the states of the former Soviet Union.

The committee believes that it would be more appropriate for the International Nuclear Safety program to be funded as a foreign assistance effort by the Department of State. Consequently, the committee recommends that the funding responsibility for the International Nuclear Safety program be assumed by the Department of

State in the budget for fiscal year 2003. If Department of State officials require Department of Energy technical assistance, such assistance should be provided as “work for others” and funded by the Department of State.

*Initiatives for proliferation prevention and the Nuclear Cities Initiative*

The budget request contained \$22.1 million for the Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention (IPP) and \$6.6 million for the Nuclear Cities Initiatives (NCI). The objective of each of these programs is to provide gainful employment in the commercial sector for former Russian nuclear weapons scientists, engineers, and technicians to avert the risk of these scientists accepting employment offers by nuclear programs of countries of proliferation concern. NCI is also designed to assist the Russian Ministry of Atomic Energy (MINATOM) in the restructuring and closure of portions of the Russian nuclear complex through local economic development.

In May 2001, the General Accounting Office (GAO) reported on these programs and found that the NCI program insufficiently reviewed potential projects for commercial viability and sustainability. GAO reported that too often the NCI programs were directed to community development and infrastructure improvement instead of economic development designed to employ former nuclear scientists. Conversely, GAO reports that the IPP program has a strengthened project review and selection process that focuses on the commercialization of projects and job creation. GAO recommended that since IPP and NCI share a common goal and, in many cases, are implementing similar types of projects, the National Nuclear Security Administration should consider consolidating them into one effort. The committee agrees with this recommendation and directs such consolidation in section 3133.

In addition, the committee is concerned that, according to GAO, only 30 percent of the NCI funds have been spent for projects and activities in Russia and that two-thirds of NCI funds were spent at the Department’s national laboratories. Of that amount, 34 percent paid for labor at the laboratories, and 41 percent was spent on overhead costs. The committee believes that the Administrator of the NNSA should work to reduce the portion of funds spent on overhead at the national laboratories for these nonproliferation activities and transfer that funding to the projects in Russia that the programs are designed to support.

*National Ignition Facility*

The budget request contained \$467.9 million for the Inertial Confinement Fusion and High Yield campaign: \$222.9 million for operations and maintenance (O&M) and \$245.0 million for National Ignition Facility (NIF) construction (96–D–111).

The committee recommends the budget request for NIF construction and \$232.9 million for O&M, an increase of \$10.0 million, to be used to compensate for funding shortfalls in the NIF demonstration program, which supports risk reduction and technology development activities. The committee notes significant improvements in NIF program oversight, management, and planning but is concerned about the remaining technical challenges the program faces.

The committee expects to be expeditiously informed of any further schedule delays or cost overruns.

Although NIF provides exciting opportunities in basic and applied research for a broader user community, the committee believes that its primary focus must be meeting the requirements of the Stockpile Stewardship Program to guarantee the safety, reliability, and performance of the nuclear weapons stockpile, and that it should be managed accordingly.

*National Nuclear Security Administration's planning, programming, and budgeting system*

The committee notes that several independent observers have criticized the lack of a unified planning, programming, and budgeting process within the nuclear weapons complex. The committee further notes that the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration has pledged to implement such a system and to begin developing multiyear budgets and program plans. However, the committee is concerned that the Administrator has delayed his target date for implementing such a system until the fiscal year 2004 budget cycle and urges him to take steps necessary to ensure that there are no further delays in implementing this system.

*National Nuclear Security Administration's reorganization plan*

The committee notes that section 3153 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106-398) required the Administrator for Nuclear Security to submit by May 1, 2001, a plan for assigning roles and responsibilities among the National Nuclear Security Administration's headquarters and field units. The report should describe any downsizing, consolidations, or eliminations of headquarters and field elements needed to enhance the Administration's efficiency. The committee observes that independent reviewers have criticized the defense nuclear complex's fragmented lines of authority, confused roles and responsibilities, and reliance on a large federal workforce to oversee its contractors.

The committee notes that on May 3, 2001, the Administrator submitted an "initial" report outlining plans to realign the Administration's headquarters units into programmatic and support components and to transfer responsibility for the field elements to a newly-created support component. The committee is concerned that the report did not: (1) define field element roles and responsibilities; (2) describe in detail how field elements would interact with realigned headquarters units; or (3) describe potential consolidations or eliminations, as called for by section 3153 of Public Law 106-398. The committee is also concerned that the new organization would not be demonstrably flatter than the Administration's current structure and that it might create new sources of confusion by requiring field elements to report to a support office while overseeing projects for a program office. Consequently, the committee urges the Administrator to comply fully with section 3153 of Public Law 106-398 and submit, as soon as possible, a detailed plan for redefining and streamlining the Administration's entire organization.

*Naval Reactors Program*

The committee recommends the budget request of \$688.0 million for the Naval Reactors program. The committee notes that the Navy currently operates 102 nuclear reactors, nearly identical to the number of U.S. commercial power generating reactors, and that over 40 percent of major combatants rely on nuclear propulsion. The committee continues to be impressed by the professional execution of the Naval Reactors program, as well as its remarkable safety record and overall value to the nation.

*Recruitment and retention*

The committee is acutely aware of the problem of recruiting and retaining a properly skilled work force that the National Nuclear Security Administration faces in both the contractor and the federal work forces. The complex is losing talent at a steady rate through the retirement of senior scientists, engineers, and technicians with underground test experience, as well as through the separation of mid-career professionals leaving for other opportunities. In addition, the Report of the US Commission on National Security/21st Century (known commonly as the Hart-Rudman Report) recently “. . . found broad consensus that the [national] labs are no longer competitive in attracting and keeping new scientific talent”, citing a combination of factors that include lack of a compelling post Cold War sense of mission, the negative impact on morale of recent highly public controversies, and superior private sector opportunities. The committee strongly advises the Department of Energy to make retention a top priority.

In part, the recruitment problem stems from the dwindling pool of new university graduates trained in disciplines relevant to stockpile stewardship that also meet security clearance requirements for positions of great trust. The committee strongly supports programs at our nation’s universities that endeavor to reverse the decline of U.S. leadership in a number critical science and engineering fields, including high energy density physics, plasma physics, high field physics, the science of extreme ultraviolet/soft x-ray light sources, pulsed power engineering, and inertial confinement fusion research. University programs in these areas support, in a cost effective manner, the research and training of our national laboratories’ future stockpile stewards. However, the committee believes that the Department should pursue innovative approaches to recruitment, such as offering graduate scholarships in critical science and engineering disciplines in exchange for a commitment to a period of national service, as suggested in the Hart-Rudman Report.

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

## SUBTITLE A—NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS AUTHORIZATIONS

## Section 3101—National Nuclear Security Administration

This section would authorize funds for the National Nuclear Security Administration for fiscal year 2002.



#### Section 3102—Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management

This section would authorize funds for environmental restoration and waste management activities of the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2002.

#### Section 3103—Other Defense Activities

This section would authorize funds for other defense activities of the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2002.

#### Section 3104—Defense Environmental Management Privatization

This section would authorize funds for defense environmental management privatization activities of the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2002.

#### Section 3105—Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal

This section would authorize funds for defense nuclear waste disposal activities of the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2002.

### SUBTITLE B—RECURRING GENERAL PROVISIONS

#### Section 3121—Reprogramming

This section would prohibit the reprogramming of funds in excess of the amount authorized for the program until the Secretary of Energy has notified the congressional defense committees and a period of 30 days has elapsed after the date on which the notification is received.

#### Section 3122—Limits on General Plant Projects

This section would limit the initiation of general plant projects if the current estimated cost for any project exceeds \$5.0 million and would require the Secretary of Energy to notify the congressional defense committees in the event the estimated cost of any project exceeds \$5.0 million and the reasons for the cost variation.

#### Section 3123—Limits on Construction Projects

This section would permit the initiation and continuation of any construction project only if the estimated cost for the project does not exceed 125 percent of the higher of: (1) the amount authorized for the project; or (2) the most recent total estimated cost presented to Congress as justification for such project. To exceed this limit, the Secretary of Energy must report in detail the reason therefore to the congressional defense committees and the report must be before the committees for 30 legislative days. This section would also specify that the 125 percent limitation would not apply to projects estimated to cost under \$5.0 million.

#### Section 3124—Fund Transfer Authority

This section would authorize the Secretary of Energy to transfer funds to other agencies of the government for performance of work for which the funds were authorized and appropriated. The provi-

sion would permit the merger of such funds with the funds made available to the agency to which they are transferred.

**Section 3125—Authority for Conceptual and Construction Design**

This section would require the Secretary of Energy to certify that a conceptual design for a construction project has been completed prior to requesting funding for that project, except in the case of emergencies.

**Section 3126—Authority for Emergency Planning, Design and Construction Activities**

This section would authorize the Secretary of Energy to perform planning and design for construction activities utilizing available funds for any Department of Energy national security program construction project whenever the Secretary determines that the design must proceed expeditiously to protect the public health and safety, to meet the needs of national defense, or to protect property.

**Section 3127—Funds Available for All National Security Programs of the Department of Energy**

This section would authorize, subject to section 3121 of this Act, amounts appropriated for management and support activities and for general plant projects to be made available for use in connection with all national security programs of the Department of Energy.

**Section 3128—Availability of Funds**

This section would allow funds authorized for the various activities of the National Nuclear Security Administration and environmental management activities of the Department of Energy to remain available until expended, except for program direction funds, which would remain available until the end of fiscal year 2003.

**Section 3129—Transfers of Defense Environmental Management Funds at Field Offices of the Department of Energy**

This section would provide the manager of each field office of the Department of Energy with limited authority to transfer defense environmental management funds from a program or project under the jurisdiction of the office to another such program or project.

**Section 3130—Transfers of Weapons Activities Funds at National Security Laboratories and Nuclear Weapons Production Facilities**

This section would provide the head of each national security laboratory and each nuclear weapons production facility with limited authority to transfer weapons activities funds from a program under the jurisdiction of the national security laboratory or production facility to another such program of the national security laboratory or production facility.

SUBTITLE C—PROGRAM AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, AND  
LIMITATIONS

Section 3131—Termination Date of Office of River Protection,  
Richland, Washington

This section would extend the statutory termination date of the Office of River Protection, Richland Washington, from September 30, 2004, to the later of September 30, 2010, or upon the determination that continuation of the Office is no longer necessary to carry out the Department's responsibilities under the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order entered into among the Department of Energy, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the State of Washington Department of Ecology.

Section 3132—Organizational Modifications for National Nuclear  
Security Administration

This section would establish, within the National Nuclear Security Administration, a Principal Deputy Administrator who would be appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate to perform such duties as the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration may prescribe and act for the Administrator when the Administrator is disabled or the office of the Administrator is vacant. This section would also eliminate: (1) a statutory requirement that the heads of the national security laboratories and nuclear weapons production facilities report to the Administration's Deputy Administrator for Defense Programs; and (2) a duplicative statutory prohibition on the ability of non-Administration employees of the Department to serve concurrently in the Administration.

Section 3133—Consolidation of Nuclear Cities Initiative Program  
with Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention Program

This section would require the Administrator for Nuclear Security to consolidate the Nuclear Cities Initiative program with the Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention program not later than July 1, 2002, as described elsewhere in this report.

Section 3134—Disposition of Surplus Defense Plutonium at  
Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina

This section would require the Secretary of Energy to consult with the Governor of South Carolina on any decisions or plans regarding the disposition of surplus defense plutonium at the Savannah River Site and to submit a plan to Congress by February 1, 2002, for the disposal of surplus defense plutonium currently located at the site, as well as for defense plutonium that may be shipped there in the future. The plan shall review each option considered for such disposal, identify the preferred option, and state the cost of construction and operation of the facilities required by the Department's Record of Decision dated January 14, 1997. The plan shall also specify a schedule for the expeditious construction of such facilities and the means by which all such plutonium will be removed from the Savannah River Site. This section would fur-

ther require the Secretary to modify the design of the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication facility to provide immobilization capability if the Secretary determines that construction of the Plutonium Immobilization facility at the Savannah River site is not feasible. If the plan is not submitted by February 1, 2002, the Secretary would be prohibited from shipping plutonium to the Savannah River Site from that date forward until the plan is submitted.

Section 3135—Support for Public Education in the Vicinity of Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico

This section would authorize the Secretary of Energy to pay \$5.0 million to the Los Alamos National Laboratory Foundation and an \$8.0 million extension of the contract between the Department of Energy and the Los Alamos Public Schools. For fiscal year 2003, the section would authorize the Secretary of Energy to take similar actions subject to the availability of appropriations.

The section would also require the Secretary to submit to the congressional defense committees, no later than March 1, 2002, an evaluation of the need for continued payments beyond fiscal year 2003.

**TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES  
SAFETY BOARD**

**LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

**Section 3201—Authorization**

This section would authorize \$18.5 million for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board for fiscal year 2002.

## TITLE XXXIII—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 3301—Definitions

This section would provide the definitions used in this title.

#### Section 3302—Authorized Uses Of Stockpile Funds

This section would authorize \$65.2 million from the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund for the operation and maintenance of the National Defense Stockpile for fiscal year 2002. The provision would also permit the use of additional funds for extraordinary or emergency conditions 45 days after a notification to Congress

#### Section 3303—Disposal of Excess Materials from the National Defense Stockpile

This section would provide authorization for the Department of Defense to dispose of materials in the National Defense Stockpile that are no longer needed for national security purposes.

#### Section 3304—Expedited Implementation of Authority to Dispose of Cobalt From National Defense Stockpile

This section would amend section 3305(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85) to permit the sale of cobalt from the National Defense Stockpile during fiscal year 2002.

TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 3401—Authorization of Appropriations

This section would authorize \$17.4 million for fiscal year 2002 for the operation of the Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves.

## TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### Merchant Marine Academy

The budget request contained \$47.8 million for the U.S. Merchant Marine Academy (USMMA). The committee remains concerned that health and safety hazards to the cadets and staff continue due to the appalling condition of the physical plant and infrastructure at the institution. The budget request included \$13.0 million for needed capital improvements. This funding level will begin to buy down the backlog of deferred maintenance and facilities replacement. The committee urges the Maritime Administration to pursue aggressively funding levels that will insure that the physical plant at the Academy is brought up to safe and appropriate commercial standards as quickly as practicable.

#### Ship Scrapping

The budget request contained \$10.0 million for the disposal of three obsolete vessels. Section 3502 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) required the Administrator of the Maritime Administration to dispose of all vessels in the National Defense Fleet that are not assigned to the Ready Reserve Force or otherwise designated for a specific purpose by September 30, 2006. The committee understands that the Maritime Administration will need to scrap over 30 vessels per year to meet the goal of scrapping 140 vessels by the statutorily imposed deadline. The cost to accomplish this goal will exceed \$350.0 million based on current estimates. While \$10.0 million may be sufficient to develop an initial disposal program in fiscal year 2002, the committee notes that substantial additional resources must be provided in future years to meet the deadlines. The committee strongly urges the Maritime Administration to present funding levels in the next fiscal years for this program that are more appropriate to the task facing the agency. The committee notes that the Navy has had a ship disposal program since 1999 and has made a number of refinements in that program that enhance efficiency and at the same time protect health, safety, and the environment. The committee expects the Maritime Administration to administer its ship disposal program in a way that obtains the best value to the government while providing the same level of protection for health, safety, and the environment as the Navy program. Finally, the committee expects that there will be no discrimination among domestic scrapping facilities and that domestic scrapping facilities are selected based on criteria that will result the scrapping of vessels at the least cost to the government, in a



timely way, and in a manner that provides the requisite level of protection for health, safety, and the environment.

#### Title XI Loan Guarantee Program

The budget request contained \$3.9 million to fund administrative expenses associated with the management of the title XI loan guarantee program. The budget request contained no funds for costs, as defined in section 502 of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (Public Law 93-344). The committee recommends \$103.9 million for the Title XI program, an increase of \$100.0 million above the budget request.

#### Transfer of the Maritime Security Program to the Department of Defense

The committee notes the proposal of the administration to transfer the funding and management of the Maritime Security Program from the Department of Transportation to the Department of Defense. The committee has not received sufficient justification to transfer a program that by all accounts is managed effectively and efficiently at the Department of Transportation, nor has the committee received any information that would suggest that DOD operational requirements necessitate a transfer. In addition, the committee has not been presented with any evidence that such a transfer would result in cost savings. The committee is therefore not recommending a transfer of the Maritime Security Program to the Department of Defense's National Defense Sealift Fund. To reflect this decision, the committee has transferred \$98.7 million from the National Defense Sealift Fund (budget function 051) to the Maritime Administration within the Department of Transportation (budget function 054).

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 3501—Authorization of Appropriations for Fiscal Year 2002

This section would authorize a total of \$203.0 million for fiscal year 2002, an increase of \$100 million above the budget request, for the Maritime Administration. Of the funds authorized, \$89.0 million would be for operations and training programs, \$100.0 million would be for the costs as defined in section 502 of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (Public Law 93-344), of loan guarantees authorized by Title XI of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended, \$3.9 million would be for administrative expenses related to providing these loan guarantees, and \$10.0 million would be for the disposal of obsolete ships in the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

#### Section 3502—Define “War Risks” to Vessels to Include Confiscation, Expropriation, Nationalization, and Deprivation of the Vessels

This section would clarify and expand the authority of the Maritime Administration to issue war risk insurance coverage for losses from hostile acts including confiscation, expropriation, nationalization, and deprivation. As a result of several recent seizures, com-

mercially available insurance has become unreasonably expensive, particularly as it relates to salvage ships. Without insurance, private salvage operators under contract to the Navy are unable to fulfill their obligations. This change also expands the coverage to circumstances that might arguably not fall within the context of a traditionally defined "war risk."

Section 3503—Holding Obligor's Cash as Collateral Under Title XI  
of Merchant Marine Act, 1936

This section would amend Title XI of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended by establishing a new section that will allow the Maritime Administration to hold and invest cash collateral derived from Title XI proceeds in the U.S. Treasury. It will further relieve obligors and the Maritime Administration from spending substantial time and money associated with negotiating depository agreements and preparing legal opinions in Title XI transactions.