CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|---------------------------|
| Explanation of the Committee Amendments | 1 |
| Purpose | 2 |
| Relationship of Authorization to Appropriations | $\overline{\overline{2}}$ |
| Summary of Authorization in the Bill | $\overline{2}$ |
| Summary of Authorization in the Bill | 3 |
| Rationale for the Committee Bill | $1\overline{2}$ |
| Hearings | 18 |
| DIVISION A-DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION | 10 |
| | 19 |
| TITLE I—PROCUREMENT | 19 |
| OVERVIEW | 19 |
| Aircraft Procurement, Army | 22 |
| Overview | 22 |
| Items of Special Interest | 27 |
| AH-64 modifications | 27 |
| Air traffic control | 27 |
| Aircraft survivability equipment (ASE) | 27 |
| CH-47 cargo helicopter modifications | 28 |
| Longbow UH–60 modifications | $\frac{28}{28}$ |
| | $\frac{20}{29}$ |
| Missile Procurement, Army | $\frac{29}{29}$ |
| Overview Items of Special Interest | 29 33 |
| Missile procurement army (MPA) transfers | 33 |
| Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army | 33 |
| Overview | 33 |
| Items of Special Interest | 38 |
| Abrams upgrade program | 38 |
| Bradley base sustainment | 38 |
| Ammunition Procurement, Army | 39 |
| Overview | 39 |
| Items of Special Interest | 44 |
| Army ammunition procurement | 44 |
| Remote area denial artillery munition (RADAM) | 44 |
| White phosphorus production facility | 44 |
| Other Procurement, Army | 44 |
| Overview | 44 |
| Items of Special Interest | 56 |
| Artillery accuracy equipment | 56 |
| Combat support medical | 56 |
| Combat training centers instrumentation support | 56 |
| Deployable universal combat earthmovers (DEUCE) | 57 |
| Earthmoving scrapers | 57 |
| High mobility trailers | 57 |
| Improved high frequency radio (IHFR) | 58 |
| Modification of in service equipment | 58 |
| Nonsystem training devices Product improved combat vehicle crewman (PICVC) | 58 |
| Product improved combat vehicle crewman (PICVC) | 59 |
| Reserve component automation system (RCAS) | 59 |
| Ribbon bridge | 59 |
| Special equipment for user testing | 60 |
| Super high frequency (SHF) terminal | 60 |
| Tactical unmanned aerial vehicle (TUAV) | 61 |
| Water distributors | 61 |

| | Page |
|--|-----------------|
| Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army | 61 |
| Overview | 61 |
| Items of Special Interest | 63 |
| Chemical agents and munitions destruction | 63 |
| Aircraft Procurement, Navy | 63 |
| Overview | 63 |
| Items of Special Interest | 69 |
| AV-8B modifications | 69 |
| Calibration test equipment | 69 |
| E-2 modifications | 69 |
| F/A-18E/F | 70 |
| HH/UH–1N reclamation and conversion program | 70 |
| Joint primary air training system (JPATS) SH–60 series modifications | 70 |
| $\Sigma = 00$ series inounications | $70 \\ 71$ |
| T–45 training system (TS) Tactical air reconnaissance pod system (TARPS)-completely digital | 11 |
| (CD) | 71 |
| Weapons Procurement, Navy | 71^{1} |
| Overview | 71^{1} |
| Items of Special Interest | 76 |
| MK 46 Mod 0 lightweight machine gun | 76 |
| Tomahawk missile | 76 |
| Trident II missile | $\frac{10}{76}$ |
| Ammunition Procurement Navy/Marine Corps | 77 |
| Overview | 77 |
| Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy | 81 |
| Overview | 81 |
| Items of Special Interest | 85 |
| Completion of prior year shipbuilding programs | 85 |
| Minehunter small waterplane area twin hull (SWATH) | 85 |
| Outfitting | 85 |
| SSGN conversion | 86 |
| Other Procurement, Navy | 86 |
| Overview | 86 |
| Items of Special Interest | 97 |
| Operating forces industrial plant equipment | 97 |
| Other navigation equipment | 97 |
| Other supply support equipment | 97 |
| Other training equipment | 98 |
| Radar support | 98 |
| Satellite communications systems | 98 |
| Procurement, Marine Corps | 99 99 |
| Overview Items of Special Interest | 105 |
| Container family | $105 \\ 105$ |
| Expeditionary warfare | $105 \\ 105$ |
| Family of construction equipment | 100 |
| Night vision equipment | 106 |
| Aircraft Procurement, Air Force | 106 |
| Overview | 106 |
| Items of Special Interest | 114 |
| B–2 | 114 |
| С–130 | 114 |
| С–17 | 115 |
| CV-22 | 115 |
| Defense airborne reconnaissance program (DARP), line 55 | 116 |
| F–15 modifications | 116 |
| F–16 modifications | 117 |
| Fixed aircrew standardized seats | 117 |
| MC-130 simulation training upgrades | 118 |
| Predator unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) | 118 |
| Ammunition Procurement, Air Force | 119 |
| Overview | 119 |
| Missile Procurement, Air Force | 122 |
| Overview | 122 |
| Other Procurement, Air Force Overview | $126 \\ 126$ |
| O A CT A TC M | 120 |

IV

| Items of Special Interest |
|--|
| Air national guard air traffic control tower radio upgrade |
| Combat arms training system (CATS) |
| Laser eye protection |
| Senior scout |
| Supply asset tracking system (SATS) |
| Theater air control system improvement (TACSI) |
| Procurement, Defense-Wide |
| Overview |
| Items of Special Interest |
| Chemical/biological defense procurement program |
| Anthrax vaccination immunization program |
| Chemical/biological defense collective protection shelters |
| Portable intelligence collection and relay capability (PICRC) |
| Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense |
| Overview |
| Items of Special Interest |
| Chemical agents and munitions destruction |
| Review of program for destruction of lethal chemical agents and |
| munitions |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS |
| Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations |
| Sections 101–107—Authorization of Appropriations |
| Subtitle B—Army Programs |
| Section 111—Extension of Multiyear Contract for Family of Medium |
| Tactical Vehicles |
| Section 112—Repeal of Limitation on Number of Bunker Defeat Muni- |
| tions that May Be Acquired |
| Subtitle C—Air Force Programs |
| Section 121—Responsibility of Air Force for Contracts for All Defense |
| Space Laurabas |
| Space Launches Section 122—Multiyear Procurement of C–17 Aircraft |
| Subtitle D. Chemical Munitizer Destruction |
| Subtitle D—Chemical Munitions Destruction |
| Section 141—Destruction of Existing Stockpile of Lethal Chemical |
| Agents and Munitions |
| TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION |
| OVERVIEW |
| Army RDT&E |
| Overview |
| Items of Special Interest |
| Advanced display technology |
| All Source Analysis System |
| Applied communications and information networking program |
| Army missile defense systems integration |
| Aviation engineering development |
| Brooks Air Borgo Ross anargy and sustainability laboratory |
| Brooks Air Force Base energy and sustainability laboratory |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader Dismounted situational awareness system |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader Dismounted situational awareness system Electronics and electronic devices |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader Dismounted situational awareness system Electronics warfare (EW) development |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader Dismounted situational awareness system Electronics warfare (EW) development |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader Dismounted situational awareness system Electronics and electronic devices Electronic warfare (EW) development Environmental quality technology Full authority digital engine control |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader Dismounted situational awareness system Electronics and electronic devices Electronic warfare (EW) development Environmental quality technology Full authority digital engine control |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader Dismounted situational awareness system Electronics and electronic devices Electronics and electronic devices Electronic warfare (EW) development Environmental quality technology Full authority digital engine control Funding transfers to support transformation |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader Dismounted situational awareness system Electronics and electronic devices Electronic warfare (EW) development Environmental quality technology Full authority digital engine control Funding transfers to support transformation High-energy laser-low aspect target tracking |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader Dismounted situational awareness system Electronics and electronic devices Electronic warfare (EW) development Environmental quality technology Full authority digital engine control Funding transfers to support transformation High-energy laser-low aspect target tracking |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader Dismounted situational awareness system Electronics and electronic devices Electronic warfare (EW) development Environmental quality technology Full authority digital engine control Funding transfers to support transformation High-energy laser-low aspect target tracking Hybrid track technology Hyperspectral long-wave imager for the tactical environment |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader Dismounted situational awareness system Electronics and electronic devices Electronic warfare (EW) development Environmental quality technology Full authority digital engine control Funding transfers to support transformation High-energy laser-low aspect target tracking Hyperspectral long-wave imager for the tactical environment Infantry support weapons |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader Dismounted situational awareness system Electronics and electronic devices Electronic warfare (EW) development Environmental quality technology Full authority digital engine control Funding transfers to support transformation High-energy laser-low aspect target tracking Hybrid track technology Hyperspectral long-wave imager for the tactical environment Infantry support weapons International medical program global satellite system |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader Dismounted situational awareness system Electronics and electronic devices Electronic warfare (EW) development Environmental quality technology Full authority digital engine control Funding transfers to support transformation High-energy laser-low aspect target tracking Hybrid track technology Hyperspectral long-wave imager for the tactical environment Infantry support weapons International medical program global satellite system Landmine warfare/barrier engineering development |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research |
| Collaboration in biotechnology research Combat ready food safety Combustion-driven eye safe laser Comanche Combat vehicle and automotive advance technology Crusader Dismounted situational awareness system Electronics and electronic devices Electronic warfare (EW) development Environmental quality technology Full authority digital engine control Funding transfers to support transformation High-energy laser-low aspect target tracking Hybrid track technology Hyperspectral long-wave imager for the tactical environment Infantry support weapons International medical program global satellite system Landmine warfare/barrier engineering development |

v

| 12 | Page |
|---|--------------|
| MedTeams | 168 |
| Missile and rocket advanced technology | 168 |
| Missile technology | 168 |
| Night vision advanced technology | 169 |
| Passive millimeter-wave imaging | 169 |
| Silent sentry surveillance test | 169 |
| Soldier-Centered Design Tools for the Army Transformation | 169 |
| Survival radios | 170 |
| Tactical high energy laser Tactical unmanned aerial vehicle | $170 \\ 170$ |
| Weapons and munitions | 171 |
| Weapons and munitions advanced technology | 171 |
| Navy RDT&E | 171 |
| Overview | 171 |
| Items of Special Interest | 184 |
| Advanced anti-radiation guided munition (AARGM) | 184 |
| Advanced composite sail phase II | 184 |
| Advanced multi-band surveillance systems | 184 |
| Aegis combat systems engineering | 185 |
| Aviation-shipboard information technology initiative | 185 |
| Combat systems integration | $185 \\ 186$ |
| Common command and decision system Wideband optically multiplexed beam-forming architecture | 186 |
| Common nicture applied research | 186 |
| Common picture applied research Hybrid fiber optic wireless communication | 186 |
| SEADEEP | 187 |
| E2–C2 eight-blade composite propeller | 187 |
| Electronic warfare (EW) development | 187 |
| Electro optical framing reconnaissance | 188 |
| Embedded software engineering research initiative | 188 |
| Expeditionary warfare testbed-supporting arms technology insertion . | 188 |
| Extending the littoral battlespace | 189 |
| F/A-18 improvements | $189 \\ 189$ |
| Fuel cell second source Joint helmet mounted cueing system (JHMCS) | 189 |
| Force protection advanced technology | 190 |
| Advanced water jet AWJ-21 | 190 |
| DC Homopolar Motor | 190 |
| Direct ship service fuel cell | 190 |
| Electric propulsion/ship power systems distributed test bed | 191 |
| Littoral support craft-experimental | 191 |
| SEALs Mark V patrol craft modification | 192 |
| Funding transfers to support transformation | 192 |
| Land attack standard missile | $193 \\ 193$ |
| Laser aim scoring system (LASS) Laser welding and cutting | 193 |
| Marine Corps ground combat/support system | 194 |
| Metrology Projects | 195 |
| Multipurpose processor | 195 |
| Navy's intelligent agent security module | 195 |
| Navy logistics productivity | 196 |
| Compatible processor upgrade program | 196 |
| Rapid retargeting | 196 |
| Non-acoustic anti-submarine warfare Oceanographic survey of continental shelf beyond U.S. exclusive eco- | 196 |
| Oceanographic survey of continental shelf beyond U.S. exclusive eco- | 197 |
| nomic zone Organ transfer technology | 197 |
| Photovoltaic energy savings initiative | 198 |
| Power projection advanced technology | 198 |
| Affordable weapon | 198 |
| DP-2 thrust vectoring system concept demonstration | 198 |
| Precision targeting systems modernization and enhancement | 199 |
| Project Bear Trap | 199 |
| Radiation-hardened electronics applications | 200 |
| SPAWAR enhanced modeling and simulation initiatives | 200 |
| Submarine electrical power Supply Chain Best Practices | 200 |
| Suppry Unam dest Fractices | 201 |

VI

VII

| | Page |
|--|---|
| Surface navy integrated undersea tactical technology | 201 |
| Surface ship torpedo defense | $\bar{2}01$ |
| Telemedicine for minimally invasive surgery | 201 |
| Titanium watertight door and hatch cover | 202 |
| Torpedo rapid COTS insertion | 202 |
| Vacuum electronics | 203 |
| VECTOR study and analysis | 203 |
| Warfighter sustainment advanced technology | 204 |
| Naval environmental compliance operations monitoring system | 204 |
| Real time heart rate variability monitor | 204 |
| Warfighter sustainment applied research | 204 |
| Commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) carbon fiber qualification | 204 |
| Detection and identification of human pathogens | $\begin{array}{c} 204 \\ 205 \end{array}$ |
| Formable aligned carbon thermo sets Knowledge-based ship system diagnosis and repair | $\frac{205}{205}$ |
| Air Force RDT&E | $\frac{205}{205}$ |
| Overview | $\frac{205}{205}$ |
| Items of Special Interest | 216 |
| Access to space | 216 |
| Advanced aerospace sensors | $\bar{2}16$ |
| Aerospace propulsion | 216 |
| Aerospace propulsion Aging landing gear life extension (ALGLE) program | 216 |
| Airborne reconnaissance systems | 217 |
| Assessment relating to gasoline and diesel engine fuel systems | 217 |
| Bipolar wafer cell nickel-metal hydride battery | 217 |
| Commercial imagery strategy | 218 |
| Free electron laser | 218 |
| Funding transfers to support transformation | 218 |
| GPS jammer detection and location system | 219 |
| High Accuracy Network Demonstration System | 219 |
| Joint precision approach landing system | $219 \\ 220$ |
| Joint STARS multi-platform radar technology insertion program Joint strike fighter (JSF) alternate engine | 220 |
| Low cost autonomous attack system | 220 |
| Low cost launch technology | $\frac{220}{221}$ |
| Major T&E investment | 221 |
| Materials technologies for aging aircraft | $\bar{2}\bar{2}\bar{1}$ |
| Missile Technology demonstration-3B | $\bar{2}\bar{2}\bar{1}$ |
| Non-space SIGINT architecture | 222 |
| Non-space SIGINT architecture Precision location and identification (PLAID) | 222 |
| Satellite planning information network (SPIN) | 223 |
| Space and missile rocket propulsion | 223 |
| Special aerospace metals and manufacturing processes | 223 |
| Synthetic Theater Operations Research Model | 224 |
| Texas regional institute for environmental studies Defense-Wide RDT&E | 224 |
| Detense-Wide RDT&E | 224 |
| Overview | $224 \\ 233$ |
| Items of Special Interest Aircraft affordability initiative | $\frac{233}{233}$ |
| Backscatter mobile truck system | $\frac{233}{233}$ |
| Ballistic Missile Defense Organization (BMDO) | 233 |
| Technology | $\frac{200}{234}$ |
| Ballistic missile defense system | 234 |
| Terminal defense segment | 234 |
| Midcourse defense segment | 235 |
| Boost defense segment | 235 |
| Sensors segment | 236 |
| Chemical/biological defense research, development, test and evalua- tion program | 236 |
| Research in percutaneous, optical, and pulmonary effects of mus- | 007 |
| tard agent Optical computing device materials for chemical sensors | 237 |
| Chemical/biological regenerative air filtration systems | $237 \\ 237$ |
| Chemical and biological mass spectrometer | $\frac{237}{238}$ |
| Mobile chemical agent detector | $\frac{238}{238}$ |
| Asymmetric protocols for biological defense | 238 |
| Complex systems design | 238 |
| | |

| | Page |
|---|-------------------|
| Counterproliferation analysis and planning system | 239 |
| Defense imagery and mapping program | 239 |
| Distributed common ground station/networking ISR assets | 239 |
| Distributed operational testing capabilities | 240 |
| Electrostatic decontamination system | 240 |
| Facial recognition technology Funding transfers to support transformation DW | 241 |
| Funding transfers to support transformation DW | 241 |
| DARPA "Exoskeleton Project" | 241 |
| Global infrastructure data conversion initiative & document exploi- | |
| tation | 242 |
| High energy laser research and development | 242 |
| Implantable cardioverter defibrillator | 243 |
| Joint Technology Applications Analysis Pilot Program | $243 \\ 244$ |
| Medical free electron laser Microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) sensors | $244 \\ 244$ |
| More efficient science and technology investment | $\frac{244}{244}$ |
| Special operations forces acquisition | 245 |
| Tactical missile recycling | 245 |
| Thermobaric warhead development | 245 |
| U.SIsrael boost phase intercept | 246 |
| Warfighter rapid acquisition programs | 246 |
| Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense | 247 |
| Overview | 247 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 250 |
| Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations | 250 |
| Section 201—Authorization of Appropriations | 250 |
| Section 202—Amount for Basic and Applied Research | 250 |
| Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations | 250 |
| Section 211—Cooperative Department of Defense-Department of Vet- | 050 |
| erans Affairs Medical Research Program | $250 \\ 250$ |
| Section 212—Advanced Land Attack Missile Program Section 213—Collaborative Program for Development of Advanced | 200 |
| Radar Systems for Naval Applications | 251 |
| Subtitle C—Ballistic Missile Defense | 252 |
| Section 231—Transfer of Responsibility for Procurement for Missile | 202 |
| Defense Programs from Ballistic Missile Defense Organization to | |
| Military Departments Section 232—Repeal of Program Element Requirements for Ballistic | 252 |
| Section 232–Repeal of Program Element Requirements for Ballistic | |
| Missile Defense Programs | 253 |
| Section 233—Support of Ballistic Missile Defense Activities of the De- | |
| partment of Defense by the National Laboratories of the Depart- | |
| ment of Energy | 253 |
| Section 234—Missile Defense Testing Initiative | 253 |
| Section 235—Missile Defense System Test Bed Facilities | 254 |
| Subtitle D—Other Matters | 254 |
| Section 241—Establishment of unmanned aerial vehicle joint oper- ational test bed system | 254 |
| Section 242—Demonstration Project to Increase Small Business and | 204 |
| University Participation in Office of Naval Research Efforts to | |
| Extend Benefits of Science and Technology Research to Fleet | 255 |
| Section 243-Management Responsibility for Navy Mine Counter- | |
| measures Programs | 256 |
| Section 244—Program to Accelerate the Introduction of Innovative | |
| Technology in Defense Acquisition Programs | 256 |
| TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 258 |
| OVERVIEW | 258 |
| ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST | 289 |
| Budget Request Adjustments | 289 |
| Department of the Army Adjustments: [in millions of dollars] | 289 |
| Department of the Navy Adjustments: [in millions of dollars] | 289 |
| Department of the Air Force Adjustments: [in millions of dollars] | 289 |
| Office, Secretary of Defense Adjustments: [in millions of dollars] | 289 |
| Defense-wide Activities Adjustments: [in millions of dollars] Advisory and Assistance Services | $290 \\ 290$ |
| Excess Foreign Currencies Reductions | 290 290 |
| Strategic Sourcing (A–76) | 290 |
| Other Items of Special Interest | 291 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

VIII

| Corrosion Prevention and Control |
|--|
| Information Systems |
| Enterprise Resource Planning |
| Environmental Issues |
| Environmental Restoration Activities |
| Vernon Hills NIKE Missile Site |
| Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Issues |
| Access to Slot Machines |
| Military Exchange Private Label Manufacturers |
| Other Issues |
| Army's Capital Investment Program for Depot Facilities |
| Army Workload and Performance System |
| Automated Document Conversion System Program |
| Automatic Inventory Technology |
| Commercial Technologies for Maintenance Activities |
| Distance Learning Implementation Program |
| Hunter Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle |
| Navy-Marine Corps Intranet |
| Navy-Marine Corps Intranet |
| Non-nuclear Ship Maintenance |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS |
| Subtitle A—Authorization Of Appropriations |
| Section 301—Operation and Maintenance Funding |
| Section 302—Working Capital Funds |
| Section 303—Armed Forces Retirement Home |
| Section 304—Transfer From National Defense Stockpile Transaction |
| Fund |
| Subtitle B—Environmental Provisions |
| Section 311-Inventory of Explosive Risk Sites at Former Military |
| Ranges |
| Section 312—National Security Impact Statements |
| Section 313—Reimbursement for Certain Costs in Connection with |
| Hooper Sands Site, South Berwick, Maine |
| Section 314—River Mitigation Studies |
| Section 315-Elimination of Annual Report on Contractor Reimburse- |
| ment for Costs of Environmental Response Actions |
| Subtitle C—Commissaries and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentalities . |
| Section 321—Reserve Component Commissary Benefits |
| Section 322-Reimbursement for Noncommissary Use of Commissary |
| Facilities |
| Facilities Section 323—Civil Recovery for Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality |
| Costs Related to Shoplifting |
| Subtitle D—Workforce and Depot Issues |
| Section 331—Fiscal year 2002 Limitations on Workforce Reviews |
| Section 332—Applicability of Core Logistics Capability Requirements |
| to Nuclear Aircraft Carriers |
| to Nuclear Aircraft Carriers Section 333—Continuation of Contractor Manpower Reporting System |
| in Department of the Army |
| in Department of the Army |
| Section 334—Limitation on Expansion of Wholesale Logistics Mod- |
| ernization Program |
| Section 335—Pilot Project for Exclusion of Certain Expenditures from |
| Limitation on Private Sector Performance of Depot-Level Mainte- |
| nance |
| Section 336—Protections for Purchasers of Articles and Services Manu- |
| factured or Performed by Working-Capital Funded Industrial Fa- |
| cilities of the Department of Defense |
| Subtitle E—Defense Dependents Education |
| Section 341—Assistance to Local Educational Agencies that Benefit |
| Dependents of Members of the Armed Forces and Department |
| of Defense Civilian Employees |
| Section 342—Availability of Auxiliary Services of Defense Dependents' |
| Education System for Dependents Who Are Home School Students |
| Section 343—Report Regarding Compensation for Teachers Employed |
| in Teaching Positions in Overseas Schools Operated by the Depart- |
| ment of Defense |
| Subtitle F—Other Matters |

IX

| X | |
|---|--------------|
| | Page |
| Section 351—Availability of Excess Defense Personal Property to Sup- port Department of Veterans Affairs Initiative to Assist Homeless Veterans | 301 |
| Section 352—Continuation of Limitations on Implementation of Navy- Marine Corps Intranet Contract | 301 |
| Section 353—Completion and Evaluation of Current Demonstration Programs to Improve Quality of Personal Property Shipments of | 001 |
| Members | 301 |
| Section 354—Expansion of Entities Eligible for Loan, Gift, and Ex- change of Documents, Historical Artifacts, and Obsolete Combat Materiel | 301 |
| Subtitle G—Service Contracting Reform | 302 |
| Section 361—Short Title Section 362—Required Cost Savings Level for Change of Function to Contractor Performance | 302 302 |
| Section 363—Applicability of Study and Reporting Requirements to New Commercial or Industrial Type Functions | 302 |
| Section 364—Repeal of Waiver for Small Function | 302 |
| Section 365—Requirement for Equity in Public-Private Competitions Section 366—Reporting Requirements Regarding Department of De- | 302 |
| fense's Service Contractor Workforce TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS | 302 303 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 303 |
| Subtitle A—Active Forces Section 401—End Strengths for Active Forces | 303 303 |
| Section 402—Revision in Permanent End Strength Minimum Levels | 303 |
| Subtitle B—Reserve Forces Section 411—End Strengths for Selected Reserve | 303 303 |
| Section 412-End Strengths for Reserves on Active Duty in Support | |
| of the Reserves Section 413—End Strength for Military Technicians (Dual Status) | $304 \\ 304$ |
| Section 414—Fiscal Year 2002 Limitation on Non-Dual Status Techni- cians | 304 |
| Section 415-Limitations on Numbers of Reserve Personnel Serving | 304 |
| on Active Duty or Full-Time National Guard Duty in Certain Grades for Administration of Reserve Components | 305 |
| Subtitle C—Other Matters Relating to Personnel Strengths | 305 |
| Section 421—Increase in Percentage by Which Active Component End Strengths for any fiscal year may be increased | 305 |
| Section 422—Active Duty End Strength Exemption for National Guard | 000 |
| and Reserve Personnel Performing Funeral Honors Functions Section 423—Increase in Authorized Strengths for Air Force Officers | 305 |
| on Active Duty in the Grade of Major | 305 |
| Subtitle D—Authorization of Appropriations Section 431—Authorization of Appropriations for Military Personnel | $305 \\ 305$ |
| TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY | 305 |
| OVERVIEW | 307 |
| ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Alternative Recruiting Media | $307 \\ 307$ |
| Army Reserve Military Technician Positions | 308 |
| Defense Prisoner of War/Missing in Action Office Improved Use of Existing Military Centers for Scientific and Techno- | 308 |
| logical Education | 309 |
| Review of General and Flag Officer Authorizations | 309 |
| Uniting Through Reading LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | $309 \\ 310$ |
| Subtitle A-General Personnel Management Authorities | 310 |
| Section 501—Enhanced Flexibility for Management of Senior General and Flag Officer Positions | 310 |
| Section 502—Original Appointments in Regular Grades for Academy | |
| Graduates and Certain other New Officers Section 503—Temporary Reduction of the Time-in-Grade Requirement | 310 |
| for Eligibility for Promotion for Certain Active-Duty List Officers | 010 |
| in Grades of First Lieutenant and Lieutenant (Junior Grade) | 310 |
| Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force | 311 |

| <u> </u> | Page |
|---|--|
| Section 505—Authority for Limited Extension of Medical Deferment | 311 rage |
| of Mandatory Retirement or Separation | |
| bers Subject to Mandatory Retirement or Separation Section 507—Clarification of Disability Severance Pay Computation | $311 \\ 311 \\ 211$ |
| Section 508—Officer in Charge of United States Navy Band Section 509—One-Year Extension of Expiration Date for Certain Force | 311 211 |
| Management Authorities Subtitle B—Reserve Component Personnel Policy | $\begin{array}{c} 311\\ 311 \end{array}$ |
| Section 511—Placement on Active-Duty List of Certain Reserve Officers on Active Duty for a Period of Three Years or Less | 312 |
| Section 512—Expanded Application of Reserve Special Selection Boards | 312 |
| Section 513—Exception to Baccalaureate Degree Requirement for Appointment of Reserve Officers to Grades Above First Lieutenant Section 514—Improved Disability Benefits for Certain Reserve Compo- | 312 |
| nent Members Section 515—Time-in-Grade Requirement for Reserve Component Offi- | 312 |
| cers with a Non-Service Connected Disability Section 516—Reserve Members Considered to be Deployed for Purposes | 312 |
| of Personnel Tempo Management Section 517—Funeral Honors Duty Performed by Reserve and Guard | 313 |
| Members to be Treated as Inactive-Duty Training for Certain Pur- poses | 313 |
| Section 518—Members of the National Guard Performing Funeral Hon- ors Duty While in Non-Federal Status | 313 |
| Section 519—Use of Military Leave for Funeral Honors Duty by Re- serve Members and National Guardsmen | 313 |
| Subtitle C—Joint Specialty Officers and Joint Professional Military Edu- cation | 313 |
| Section 521—Nominations for Joint Specialty Section 522—Joint Duty Credit | $313 \\ 314$ |
| Section 523—Retroactive Joint Service Credit for Duty in Certain Joint Task Forces | 315 |
| Section 524—Revision to Annual Report on Joint Officer Management Section 525—Requirement for Selection for Joint Specialty Before Pro- | 315 |
| motion to General or Flag Officer Grade Section 526—Independent Study of Joint Officer Management and Joint Professional Military Education Reforms | 315 |
| Section 527—Professional Development Education | $\begin{array}{c} 316\\ 316\end{array}$ |
| Section 528—Authority for National Defense University to Enroll Cer- tain Private Sector Civilians | 316 |
| Section 529—Continuation of Reserve Component Professional Military Education Test | 316 |
| Subtitle D—Military Education and Training Section 531—Defense Language Institute Foreign Language Center | $317 \\ 317$ |
| Section 532—Authority for the Marine Corps University to Award De- gree of Master of Strategic Studies | 317 |
| Section 533—Increase in Number of Foreign Students Authorized to be Admitted to the Service Academies | 317 |
| Section 534—Increase in Maximum Age for Appointment as a Cadet or Midshipman in Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps Scholar- ship Programs | 917 |
| ship Programs | 317 317 |
| Senior ROTC Advanced Training Section 536—Authority to Modify the Service Obligation of Certain ROTC Cadets in Military Junior Colleges Receiving Financial As- | |
| sistance Section 537—Modification of Nurse Officer Candidate Accession Pro- | 317 |
| gram Restriction on Students Attending Educational Institutions with Senior Reserve Officers' Training Programs | 318 |
| Section 538—Repeal of Limitation on Number on Junior Reserve Offi- cers' Training Corps (JROTC) Units | 318 |
| Section 539—Reserve Health Professionals Stipend Program Expan- sion | 318 |
| Section 540—Housing Allowance for the Chaplain for the Corps of Cadets, United States Military Academy | 318 |

| | Pag |
|---|-------------|
| | 318 |
| Section 541—Authority for Award of the Medal of Honor to Humbert | |
| R. Versace for Valor During the Vietnam War | 318 |
| Section 542-Review Regarding Award of Medal of Honor to Certain | 014 |
| | 318 |
| | 319 |
| | 319 |
| Section 545—Waiver of Time Limitations for Award of Navy Distin- | 910 |
| | 319 319 |
| | 319 |
| Section 548—Option to Convert Award of Armed Forces Expeditionary | 010 |
| Medal Awarded for Operation Frequent Wind to Vietnam Service | |
| | 319 |
| | 320 |
| Section 551—Voting Assessments and Assistance for Members of the | - |
| | 320 |
| Section 552—Electronic Voting Demonstration Project | 320 |
| | 320 |
| Section 561—Improved Financial and Other Assistance to Military | |
| Spouses for Job Training and Education | 320 |
| Section 562—Authority to Conduct Surveys of Dependents and Sur- | |
| vivors of Military Retirees | 321 |
| Section 563-Clarification of Treatment of Classified Information Con- | . |
| | 321 |
| Section 564—Transportation to Annual Meeting of Next-of-Kin of Per- | <u>00</u> . |
| sons Unaccounted for from Conflicts after World War II Section 565—Amendments to Charter of Defense Task Force on Domes- | 321 |
| | 321 |
| | 322 |
| Section 571—Requirement that Courts-Martial Consist of Not Less | 044 |
| than 12 Members in Capital Cases | 322 |
| Section 572-Right of Convicted Accused to Request Sentencing by | |
| Military Judge | 322 |
| Section 573—Codification of Requirement for Regulations for Delivery | |
| of Military Personnel to Civil Authorities When Charged with Cer- | |
| tain Offenses | 323 |
| Section 574-Authority to Accept Voluntary Legal Services for Mem- | ~~ |
| | 323 |
| Subtitle I—Other Matters Section 581—Shipment of Privately Owned Vehicles When Making Per- | 323 |
| | 323 |
| | 323 |
| Section 583—Permanent Authority for Use of Military Recruiting | 020 |
| Funds for Certain Expenses at Department of Defense Recruiting | |
| Functions | 323 |
| Section 584—Clarification of Military Recruiter Access to Secondary | |
| | 323 |
| Section 585—Repeal of Requirement for Final Comptroller General Re- | |
| | 324 |
| Section 586—Posthumous Army Commission in the Grade of Captain | |
| in the Chaplains corps to Ella E. Gibson for Service as Chaplain | |
| of the First Wisconsin Heavy Artillery Regiment During the Civil | |
| | 324 |
| | 324 |
| Section 588—Payment of FEHBP Premiums for Certain Reservists Called to Active Duty in Support of Contingency Operations | 324 |
| Section 589—18-month Enlistment Pilot Program | 324 |
| Section 509—Per Diem Allowance for Lengthy or Numerous Deploy- | 04 |
| | 32 |
| Section 591—Congressional Review Period for Change in Ground Com- | 54 |
| | 32 |
| | 32' |
| | 32 |
| ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST | 328 |
| Additional Reporting Instructions Concerning the Supplemental Sub- | |
| sistence Allowance for Low-Income Members with Dependents | 328 |

XII

| Devenuel and Ferrile Financial Management Decompose | Page |
|--|------------|
| Personal and Family Financial Management Programs | 328 |
| The Right of Former Prisoners of War Forced to Work as Slave Labor- | |
| ers During World War II to Sue Japanese Corporations for Mis- | 200 |
| treatment | 329 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 329 |
| Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances | 329 |
| Section 601—Increase in Basic Pay for Fiscal Year 2002 | 329 |
| Section 602—Basic Pay Rate for Certain Reserve Commissioned Offi- | 000 |
| cers with Prior Service as an Enlisted Member or Warrant Officer. | 329 |
| Section 603—Subsistence Allowances | 329 |
| Section 604—Eligibility for Basic Allowance for Housing While Between | 000 |
| Permanent Duty Stations | 330 |
| Section 605—Uniform Allowance for Officers | 330 |
| Section 606—Family Separation Allowance for Certain Members Elect- | 000 |
| ing to Serve Unaccompanied Tour of Duty | 330 330 |
| Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays | 330 |
| Section 611—One-Year Extension of Certain Bonus and Special Pay | 330 |
| Authorities for Reserve Forces | 330 |
| Section 612—One-Year Extension of Certain Bonus and Special Pay | |
| Authorities for Nurse Officer Candidates, Registered Nurses, and | 001 |
| Nurse Anesthetists Section 613—One-Year Extension of Other Bonuses and Special Pays | 331 |
| | 331 |
| Authorities | 221 |
| Section 614—Conforming Accession Bonus for Dental Officers Authority | 331 |
| with Authorities for Other Special Pay and Bonuses | 991 |
| Section 615—Additional Type of Duty Resulting in Eligibility for Haz- | 991 |
| ardous Duty Incentive Pay | 331 |
| Section 616—Equal Treatment of Reservists Performing Inactive-Duty | 331 |
| Training for Receipt of Aviation Career Incentive Pay | 221 |
| Section 617—Secretarial Discretion in Prescribing Submarine Duty In- | 331 |
| centive Pay Rates Section 618—Imposition of Critical Wartime Skill Requirement for Eli- | 221 |
| gibility for Individual Ready Reserve Bonus | 332 |
| Section 619—Installment Payment Authority for 15-year Career Status | 002 |
| Bonus | 332 |
| Section 620—Accession Bonus for New Officers | 332 |
| Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances | 332 |
| Section 631—Minimum Per Diem Rate for Travel and Transportation | 002 |
| Allowance for Travel Performed Upon a Change of Permanent | |
| Station and Certain Other Travel | 332 |
| Section 632—Payment or Reimbursement of Temporary Subsistence | 004 |
| Expenses | 332 |
| Section 633—Increased Weight Allowance for Transportation of Bag- | 002 |
| gage and Household Effects for Junior Enlisted Members | 332 |
| Section 634—Reimbursement of Members for Mandatory Pet Quar- | 004 |
| antine Fees for Household Pets | 333 |
| Section 635—Availability of Dislocation Allowance for Married Member, | 000 |
| Whose Spouse is a Member, Assigned to Military Family Housing | 333 |
| Section 636—Elimination of Prohibition on Receipt of Dislocation Al- | 000 |
| lowance by Members Ordered to First Duty Station | 333 |
| Section 637—Partial Dislocation Allowance Authorized for Housing | 000 |
| Moves Ordered for Government Convenience | 333 |
| Section 638—Allowances for Travel Performed in Connection with | 000 |
| Members Taking Authorized Leave Between Consecutive Overseas | |
| Tours | 333 |
| Tours Section 639—Funded Student Travel as Part of School-Sponsored Ex- | 000 |
| change Programs | 334 |
| Subtitle D—Retirement and Survivor Benefit Matters | 334 |
| Section 641—Contingent Authority for Concurrent Receipt of Military | 001 |
| Retired Pay and Veterans' Disability Compensation | 334 |
| Subtitle E—Other Matters | 334 |
| Section 651—Funeral Honors Duty Allowance for Retired Members | 334 |
| TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS | |
| | 335 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST | 335 |
| Health Care Benefits for Members of the Reserve Components | 336 336 |
| Military Health Care System Information Management | 336 |
| Minual V Health Care System Intol Mahallazemente | 000 |

XIII

Page

| | Page |
|---|-------------------|
| North Chicago Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center and | |
| Naval hospital, Great Lakes, Illinois | 337 |
| TRICARE in IÎlinois | 337 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 338 |
| Subtitle A—TRICARE Program | 338 |
| Section 701-Implementing Cost-Effective Payment Rates Under the | |
| TRICARE Program Section 702—Waiver of Nonavailability Statement or Preauthorization | 338 |
| Section 702—waiver of Nonavailability Statement or Preauthorization | 990 |
| Requirement Section 703—Improvements in Administration of the TRICARE Pro- | 338 |
| gram | 338 |
| Section 704—Sub-Acute and Long-Term Care Program Reform | 339 |
| Section 705—Reimbursement of Travel Expenses of a Parent, Guardian | 000 |
| or Responsible Family Member of a Minor Covered Beneficiary | 339 |
| Subtitle B—Other Matters | 339 |
| Section 711-Prohibition Against Requiring Military Retirees to Re- | |
| ceive Health Care Solely Through the Department of Defense | 339 |
| Section 712—Trauma and Medical Čare Pilot Program | 339 |
| Section 713—Enhancement of Medical Product Development Section 714—Repeal of Obsolete Report Requirement | $\frac{340}{340}$ |
| Section 715—Clarifications and Improvements Regarding the Depart- | 540 |
| ment of Defense Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Care Fund | 340 |
| TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND | 010 |
| RELATED MATTERS | 341 |
| ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST | 341 |
| Extraordinary Contractual Actions | 341 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 341 |
| Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management | 341 |
| Section 801—Acquisition Milestones | 341 |
| Section 802—Acquisition Workforce Qualifications | 341 |
| Section 803—Two-Year Extension of Program Applying Simp342lified Procedures to Certain Commercial Items | 342 |
| Section 804—Contracts for Services to Be Performed Outside the | 342 |
| United States | 342 |
| Section 805—Codification and Modification of "Berry Amendment" Re- | 012 |
| quirements | 342 |
| Subtitle B—Erroneous Payments Recovery | 342 |
| Section 811—Short Title | 342 |
| Section 812—Identification of Errors Made by Executive Agencies in | |
| Payments to Contractors and Recovery of Amounts Erroneously | 342 |
| Paid Section 813—Disposition of Recovered Funds | 343 |
| Section 814—Sources of Recovery Services | 343 |
| Section 815-Management Improvement Programs | 343 |
| Section 816—Reports | 343 |
| Section 817—Relationship to Authority of Inspectors General | 343 |
| Section 818—Privacy Protections | 343 |
| Section 819—Definition | 343 |
| TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND | |
| MANGEMENT | 344 |
| ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST | $344 \\ 344$ |
| Regional Centers and China Center LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 344 |
| Section 901—Further Reductions in Defense Acquisition and Support | 011 |
| Workforce | 344 |
| Section 902—Sense of Congress on Establishment of an Office of Trans- | |
| formation in the Department of Defense | 345 |
| Section 903-Revised Joint Report On Establishment of National Col- | 0.15 |
| laborative Information Analysis Capability | 346 |
| Section 904—Elimination of Triennial Report by Chairman of the Joint | 940 |
| Chiefs of Staff on Roles and Missions of the Armed Forces Section 905—Repeal of Requirement for Semiannual Reports Through | 346 |
| March 2003 on Activities of Joint Requirements Oversight Council | 346 |
| Section 906—Correction of References to Air Mobility Command | 346 |
| Section 907—Organizational Alignment Change for Director for Expedi- | - 10 |
| tionary Warfare | 347 |

XIV

| | Page |
|--|-------------------|
| TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS | 348 |
| ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST | $340 \\ 348$ |
| Arms Control Implementation | 348 |
| Counter-Drug Activities | 348 |
| Overview | 348 |
| Items of Special Interest Counter-drug tanker operations | 349 |
| Counter-drug tanker operations | 349 |
| Operation Caper Focus Peru support | 349 |
| Peru support | 349 |
| Southwest border fence | 349 |
| Tethered Aerostat Radar System | 349 |
| OTHER MATTERS | 350 |
| Classification of Foreign Military Training Reports | 350 |
| Counter-Drug Forward Operating Locations | $\frac{350}{350}$ |
| Information Security Scholarship Program Potential Reallocation of Radio Frequency Spectrum for Third Genera- | 550 |
| tion Mobile Wireless Communications | 350 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 352 |
| Subtitle A—Financial Matters | 352 |
| Section 1001—Transfer Authority | 352 |
| Section 1002—Incorporation of Classified Annex | 352 |
| Section 1003—Limitation on Funds for Bosnia and Kosovo Peace- | |
| keeping Operations for Fiscal Year 2002 | 352 |
| Section 1004—Increase in Limitations on Administrative Authority of | |
| the Navy to Settle Admiralty Claims | 353 |
| Subtitle B—Naval Vessels | 353 |
| Section 1011-Revision in Types of Excess Naval Vessels for which | |
| Approval by Law Is Required for Disposal to Foreign Nations | 353 |
| Subtitle C—Counter-Drug Activities Section 1021—Extension of Reporting Requirement Regarding Depart- | 353 |
| Section 1021—Extension of Reporting Requirement Regarding Depart- | |
| ment of Defense Expenditures to Support Foreign Counter-Drug Activities | 353 |
| Section 1022—Authority to Transfer Tracker Aircraft Currently Used | 000 |
| by Armed Forces for Counter-Drug Purposes | 354 |
| Section 1023—Authority to Transfer Tethered Aerostat Radar System | 001 |
| Currently Used by Armed Forces for Counter-Drug Purposes | 354 |
| Subtitle D—Reports | 354 |
| Section 1031—Requirement that Department of Defense Reports to | |
| Congress be Accompanied by Electronic Version | 354 |
| Section 1032—Report on Department of Defense Role in Homeland | |
| Security Matters | 354 |
| Section 1033-Revision of Annual Report to Congress on National | |
| Guard and Reserve Component Equipment | 354 |
| Subtitle E—Other Matters | 355 |
| Section 1041—Department of Defense Gift Authorities | 355 |
| Section 1042—Termination of Referendum Requirement Regarding Continuation of Military Training on Island of Vieques, Puerto | |
| Rico, and Imposition of Additional conditions on closure of Live- | |
| Fire Training Range | 355 |
| Fire Training Range Section 1043—Repeal of Limitation on Reductions in Peacekeeper | 000 |
| ICBM Missiles | 355 |
| Section 1044-Sense of Congress on the Importance of the Kwajalein | |
| Missile Range/Ronald Reagan Defense Initiative Test Site at Kwaj- | |
| alein Atoll | 355 |
| Section 1045—Transfer of Vietnam Era F-4 Aircraft to Nonprofit Mu- | |
| seum | 356 |
| Section 1046—Bomber Force Structure | 356 |
| Section 1047—Technical and Clerical Amendments | 356 |
| TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL | 357 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 357 |
| Section 1101-Undergraduate Training Program for Employees of the | |
| National Imagery and Mapping Agency | 357 |
| Section 1102—Pilot Program for Payment of Retraining Expenses | 357 |
| Section 1103—Payment of Expenses to Obtain Professional Credentials | 357 |
| Section 1104—Retirement Portability Elections for Certain Department | 957 |
| of Defense and Coast Guard Employees | 357 |

| | Page |
|---|---|
| Section 1105—Removal of Requirement that Granting Civil Service | |
| Compensatory Time be Based on Amount of Irregular or Occasional | |
| Overtime Work | 357 |
| Section 1106—Applicability of Certain Laws to Certain Individuals As- | |
| signed to Work in the Federal Government | 358 |
| Section 1107—Limitation on Premium Pay | 358 |
| Section 1108—Use of Common Occupational and Health Standards as a Basis for Differential Payments Made as a Consequence of | |
| Exposure to Asbestos | 358 |
| Section 1109—Authority for Designated Civilian Employees Abroad to | 000 |
| Act as a Notary | 358 |
| Act as a Notary Section 1110—"Monroney Amendment" Restored to its Prior Form | 358 |
| TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO OTHER NATIONS | 359 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 359 |
| Section 1201—Clarification of Authority to Furnish Nuclear Test Moni- | |
| toring Equipment to Foreign Governments | 359 |
| Section 1202—Acquisition of Logistical Support for Security Forces | 359 |
| Section 1203—Report on the Sale and Transfer of Military Hardware, | |
| Expertise, and Technology from States of the Former Soviet Union to the People's Republic of China | 360 |
| Section 1204—Limitation on Funding for Joint Data Exchange Center | 360 |
| Section 1205—Extension of Authority to Provide Assistance Under | 000 |
| Weapons of Mass Destruction Act for Support of United Nations- | |
| Sponsored Efforts to Inspect and Monitor Iraqi Weapons Activities | 360 |
| Section 1206-Repeal of Requirement for Reporting to Congress on | |
| Military Deployments to Haiti | 361 |
| Section 1207—Report by Comptroller General on Provision of Defense | |
| Articles, Services, and Military Education and Training to Foreign Countries and International Organizations | 361 |
| Section 1208—Limitation on Number of Military Personnel in Colom- | 301 |
| bia | 361 |
| TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION WITH STATES OF | 001 |
| THE FORMER SOVIET UNION | |
| | 362 |
| | $362 \\ 362$ |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST | |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia | $362 \\ 364 \\ 364$ |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine | $362 \\ 364 \\ 364 \\ 365$ |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia | $362 \\ 364 \\ 364 \\ 365 \\ 365 \\ 365$ |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia | 362 364 364 365 365 365 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts | 362 364 364 365 365 365 365 367 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia | 362 364 365 365 365 365 367 367 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging | 362 364 364 365 365 365 365 367 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia | $362 \\ 364 \\ 365 \\ 365 \\ 365 \\ 365 \\ 367 \\ 368 \\ 368 \\ 368 \\ 368 \\ 368$ |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security | $\begin{array}{c} 362\\ 364\\ 365\\ 365\\ 365\\ 365\\ 367\\ 368\\ 368\\ 368\\ 368\\ 368\\ 368\\ 368\end{array}$ |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support | 362 364 365 365 365 365 367 367 368 368 368 368 368 368 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan | 362 364 364 365 365 365 365 367 368 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan . | 362 364 364 365 365 365 367 367 368 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan . Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 362 364 364 365 365 365 365 367 368 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan . Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds | 362 364 365 365 365 365 367 368 368 368 368 368 368 368 368 368 369 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds Section 1302—Funding Allocations | 362 364 364 365 365 365 367 367 368 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds Section 1302—Funding Allocations Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of | 362 364 365 365 365 367 368 368 368 368 368 368 368 368 368 368 369 369 369 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds Section 1302—Funding Allocations Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of | 362 364 365 365 365 367 367 368 368 368 368 368 368 368 368 369 369 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan . Weapons of Mass Destruction of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds Section 1303—Funding Allocations Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of Reports Section 1304—Report on Use of Revenue Generated by Activities Car- | 362 364 365 365 365 367 367 368 368 368 368 368 368 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan . Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds Section 1302—Funding Allocations Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of Reports Section 1304—Report on Use of Revenue Generated by Activities Carried Out Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs | 362 364 365 365 365 367 368 368 368 368 368 368 368 368 368 368 369 369 369 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of Reports Section 1304—Report on Use of Revenue Generated by Activities Carried Out Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs Section 1305—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Second Wing of | 362 364 365 365 365 367 367 368 368 368 368 368 368 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of Reports Section 1304—Report on Use of Revenue Generated by Activities Carried Out Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs Section 1305—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Second Wing of | 362 364 365 365 365 367 367 368 368 368 368 368 368 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan . Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds Section 1303—Frohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of Reports Section 1304—Report on Use of Revenue Generated by Activities Carried Out Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs Section 1305—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Second Wing of Fissile Material Storage Facility Section 1305—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Second Wing of Fissile Material Storage Facility | 362 364 365 365 365 367 367 368 368 368 368 368 368 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan . Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds Section 1302—Funding Allocations Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of Reports Section 1304—Report on Use of Revenue Generated by Activities Carried Out Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs Section 1305—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Second Wing of Fissile Material Storage Facility Section 1306—Prohibition on Use of Funds for Construction or Refurbishment of Fossil Fuel Energy Plants Section 1307—Reports on Activities and Assistance Under Cooperative | 362 364 365 365 365 365 367 367 368 368 368 368 368 368 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of Reports Section 1304—Report on Use of Revenue Generated by Activities Carried Out Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs Section 1305—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Second Wing of Fissile Material Storage Facility Section 1306—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Construction or Refurbishment of Fossil Fuel Energy Plants Section 1306—Prohibition on Use of Funds for Construction or Refurbishment of Fossil Fuel Energy Plants Section 1307—Reports on Activities and Assista | 362 364 365 365 365 365 367 367 368 368 368 368 368 368 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of Reports Section 1304—Report on Use of Revenue Generated by Activities Carried Out Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs Section 1305—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Second Wing of Fissile Material Storage Facility Section 1306—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Construction or Refurbishment of Fossil Fuel Energy Plants Section 1307—Reports on Activities and Assistance Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs Section 1306—Prohibition on Use of Funds for Construction or Refurbishment of Fossil Fuel Energy Plants | 362 364 365 365 365 367 367 368 368 368 368 368 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 370 370 370 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Processing and Packaging Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan . Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds Section 1302—Funding Allocations Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of Reports Section 1304—Report on Use of Revenue Generated by Activities Carried Out Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs Section 1305—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Second Wing of Fissile Material Storage Facility Section 1305—Prohibition on Use of Funds for Construction or Refurbishment of Fossil Fuel Energy Plants Section 1307—Reports on Activities and Assistance Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs | 362 364 365 365 365 365 367 368 368 368 368 368 368 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 |
| OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Arms Elimination Projects in Ukraine Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention in Russia Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia Defense and Military Contacts Elimination of Plutonium Production in Russia Fissile Material Storage Facility Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security Other Assessments and Administrative Support Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of Reports Section 1304—Report on Use of Revenue Generated by Activities Carried Out Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs Section 1305—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Second Wing of Fissile Material Storage Facility Section 1306—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Construction or Refurbishment of Fossil Fuel Energy Plants Section 1307—Reports on Activities and Assistance Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs Section 1306—Prohibition on Use of Funds for Construction or Refurbishment of Fossil Fuel Energy Plants | 362 364 365 365 365 367 367 368 368 368 368 368 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 369 370 370 370 |

XVI

| OVERVIEW LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | $371 \\ 371$ |
|--|--------------|
| Section 1401—Short Title | 371 |
| Section 1402—Authority to Establish Position of Under Secretary of Defense for Space, Intelligence, and Information | 371 |
| Section 1403—Authority to Designate Under Secretary of the Air Force | 011 |
| as Acquisition Executive for Space of the Department of Defense | 371 |
| Section 1404—Major Force Program Category for Space Programs | 371 |
| Section 1405—Comptroller General Assessment of Implementation of | |
| Recommendations of Space Commission | 371 |
| Section 1406—Commander of Air Force Space Command | 372 |
| Section 1407—Authority to Establish Separate Career Field in the | |
| Air Force for Space | 372 |
| DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS | 373 |
| PURPOSE | 373 |
| MILITARY CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW | 373 |
| TITLE XXI—ARMY | 396 |
| SUMMARY ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST | 396 |
| ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST | 396 |
| Planning and Design | 396 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 396 |
| Section 2101-Authorized Army Construction and Land Acquisition | 396 |
| Projects Section 2102—Family Housing | 396 |
| Section 2102—Family Housing | 396 |
| Section 2100—Authorization of Appropriations, Army | 396 |
| Section 2105—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal | 000 |
| Year 2001 Project | 396 |
| TITLE XXII—NAVY | 398 |
| SUMMARY | 398 |
| ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST | 398 |
| Improvements to Military Family Housing | 398 |
| Planning and Design | 398 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 398 |
| Section 2201—Authorized Navy Construction and Land Acquisition | 900 |
| Projects | 398 398 |
| Section 2202—Family Housing Section 2203—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units | 398 |
| Section 2205—Improvements to Wintary Faining Housing Onits | 399 |
| Section 2204—Authorization of Appropriations, Navy Section 2205—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2000 | 000 |
| Project | 399 |
| TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE | 400 |
| SUMMARY | 400 |
| ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST | 400 |
| Improvements to Military Family Housing | 400 |
| LEGÍSLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 2301—Authorized Air Force Construction and Land Acquisition | 400 |
| Section 2301—Authorized Air Force Construction and Land Acquisition | 400 |
| Projects | 400 |
| Section 2302—Family Housing Section 2303—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units | $400 \\ 400$ |
| Section 2303—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units | 400 |
| Section 2305–Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal | 100 |
| Year 2001 Project | 401 |
| TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES | 402 |
| SUMMARY | 402 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 402 |
| Section 2401—Authorized Defense Agencies Construction and Land Ac- | |
| quisition Projects | 402 |
| Section 2402—Energy Conservation Project | 402 |
| Section 2403—Authorization of Appropriations, Defense Agencies | 402 |
| Section 2404—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2001 | 400 |
| Project | 402 |
| Section 2405—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2000 Project | 402 |
| Section 2406—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 1999 | 404 |
| Project | 403 |
| -J | -00 |

Page

| Section 2407—Modification of Authority To Carry Out Fiscal Year 1995 | гage |
|---|-----------------------|
| Project | 403 |
| Section 2408—Prohibition on Expenditures to Develop Forward Oper- | |
| ating Location on Aruba for United States Southern Command | 403 |
| Counter-Drug Detection and Monitoring Flights | 405 |
| TITLE XXV-NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION INFRA- | 404 |
| STRUCTURESUMMARY | $404 \\ 404$ |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 404 |
| Section 2501—Authorized NATO Construction and Land Acquisition | 101 |
| Projects | 404 |
| Section 2502—Authorization of Appropriations, NATO | 404 |
| TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES | 405 |
| SUMMARY ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST | 405 |
| ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST | 405 |
| Planning and Design, Air National Guard | 405 |
| Unspecified Minor Construction, Army National Guard | $ \frac{405}{405} $ |
| LEGIŜLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 2601—Authorized Guard and Reserve Construction and Land | 405 |
| Acquisition Projects | 405 |
| TITLE XXVII—EXPIRATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS | 406 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 406 |
| Section 2701—Expiration of Authorizations and Amounts Required to | 400 |
| be Specified by Law | 406 |
| Section 2702—Extensions of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 1999 | |
| Projects | 406 |
| Section 2703—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 1998 | |
| Projects | 406 |
| Section 2704—Effective Date | 406 |
| TITLE XXVIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS | 407 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 407 |
| Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing | 407 |
| Changes Section 2801—Increase in Certain Unspecified Minor Military Con- | 407 |
| struction Project Thresholds | 407 |
| Section 2802—Exclusion of Unforeseen Environmental Hazard Remedi- | 101 |
| ation From Limitation on Authorized Cost Variations | 407 |
| Section 2803—Repeal of Annual Reporting Requirement on Military | |
| Construction and Military Family Housing Activities | 407 |
| Section 2804—Permanent Authorization for Alternative Authority for | 405 |
| Acquisition and Improvement of Military Housing | 407 |
| Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration Section 2811—Use of Military Installations for Certain Recreational | 407 |
| Activities | 407 |
| Section 2812—Base Efficiency Project at Brooks Air Force Base, Texas . | 408 |
| Subtitle C—Defense Base Closure and Realignment | 408 |
| Section 2821—Lease Back of Base Closure Property | 408 |
| Subtitle D—Land Conveyances Generally | 408 |
| Part I—Army Conveyances | 408 |
| Section 2831—Transfer of Jurisdiction, Rock Island Arsenal, Illinois | 408 |
| Section 2832—Modification of Land Conveyance, Fort Dix, New Jersey . Section 2833—Lease Authority, Fort Derussy, Hawaii | $408 \\ 408$ |
| Section 2834—Land Exchange and Consolidation, Fort Lewis, Wash- | 400 |
| ington | 409 |
| ington Section 2835—Land Conveyance, Whittier-Anchorage Pipeline Tank | 100 |
| Farm, Anchorage, Alaska | 409 |
| Part II—Navy Conveyances | 409 |
| Section 2841—Transfer of Jurisdiction, Centerville Beach Naval Sta- | |
| tion, Humboldt County, California | 409 |
| Section 2842—Land Conveyance, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve | 400 |
| Plant, Toledo, Ohio Section 2843—Modification of Authority for Conveyance of Naval Com- | 409 |
| puter and Telecommunications Station, Cutler, Maine | 410 |
| Section 2844—Modification of Land Conveyance, Former United States | T 10 |
| Marine Corps Air Station, Eagle Mountain, Texas | 410 |
| | |

Page

| | Page |
|--|-------------------|
| Section 2845—Land Transfer and Conveyance, Naval Security Group | 1 age |
| Activity, Winter Harbor, Maine | 410 |
| Part III—Air Force Conveyances | 410 |
| Section 2851-Water Rights Conveyance, Andersen Air Force Base, | |
| Guam | 410 |
| Section 2852-Reexamination of Land Conveyance, Lowry Air Force | 411 |
| Base, Colorado Subtitle E—Other Matters | 411 411 |
| Section 2861—Transfer of Jurisdiction for Development of Armed | 411 |
| Forces Recreational Facility, Park City, Utah | 411 |
| Section 2862—Selection of Site for United States Air Force Memorial | |
| and Related Land Transfers for the Improvement of Arlington | |
| Cemetery, Virginia | 411 |
| Section 2863—Management of the Presidio of San Francisco | 412 |
| Section 2864—Effect of Limitation on Construction of Roads or High- ways, Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, California | 412 |
| Section 2865—Establishment of World War II Memorial at Additional | 714 |
| Location on Guam | 413 |
| TITLE XXIX—FORT IRWIN MILITARY LAND WITHDRAWAL | 415 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 415 |
| Section 2901—Short Title | 415 |
| Section 2902—Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands for National | |
| Training Center | 415 |
| Section 2903—Map and Legal Description Section 2904—Management of Withdrawn and Reserved Lands | $\frac{415}{415}$ |
| Section 2905—Water Rights | 415 |
| Section 2905—Water Rights Section 2906—Environmental Compliance and Environmental Re- | 110 |
| sponse Requirements | 415 |
| Section 2907—West Mojave Coordinated Management Plan | 415 |
| Section 2908—Release of Wilderness Study Areas | 415 |
| Section 2909—Training Activity Separation From Utility Corridors Section 2910—Duration of Withdrawal and Reservation | $415 \\ 415$ |
| Section 2910—Duration of Withdrawal and Reservation | 415 |
| Section 2912—Termination and Relinquishment | 416 |
| Section 2913—Delegation of Authority | 416 |
| DIVISION C-DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AU- | |
| THORIZATION AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS | 417 |
| TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PRO- | |
| GRAMS | 417 |
| OVERVIEW | 417 |
| Environmental and Other Defense Activities | 435 |
| Overview | 435 |
| Items of Special Interest | 435 |
| Defense Environmental Management Privatization Hanford Site Operations, Richland, Washington | $\frac{435}{435}$ |
| Plutonium Stabilization and Packaging | 436 |
| Post 2006 Completion | 436 |
| National Nuclear Security Administration | 436 |
| Overview | 436 |
| Items of Special Interest | 436 |
| Budget Štructure of the National Nuclear Security Administration | $\frac{436}{438}$ |
| Computer Security Critical Weapons Components | 438 |
| Defense Nuclear Counterintelligence Budget | 438 |
| Directed Stockpile Work | 438 |
| Facilities and Infrastructure | 439 |
| International Nuclear Safety | 439 |
| Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention and the Nuclear Cities Initia- | 440 |
| tive National Ignition Facility | 440 |
| National Nuclear Security Administration's Planning, Programming, | 110 |
| and Budgeting System | 441 |
| National Nuclear Security Administration's Reorganization Plan | 441 |
| Naval Reactors Program | 442 |
| Recruitment and Retention | 442 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 442 |

| | Page |
|---|--------------|
| Subtitle A-National Security Programs Authorizations | 442 |
| Section 3101—National Nuclear Security Administration | 442 |
| Section 3102—Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Manage- | 449 |
| ment Section 3103—Other Defense Activities | $443 \\ 443$ |
| Section 3104—Defense Environmental Management Privatization | 443 |
| Section 3105—Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal | 443 |
| Subtitle B—Recurring General Provisions | 443 |
| Section 3121—Reprogramming | 443 |
| Section 3122—Limits on General Plant Projects | 443 |
| Section 3123—Limits on Construction Projects | 443 |
| Section 3124—Fund Transfer Authority Section 3125—Authority for Conceptual and Construction Design | 443 |
| Section 3125—Authority for Conceptual and Construction Design | 444 |
| Section 3126—Authority for Emergency Planning, Design and Con- | 444 |
| struction Activities Section 3127—Funds Available for All National Security Programs of | 444 |
| the Department of Energy | 444 |
| Section 3128—Availability of Funds | 444 |
| Section 3129—Transfers of Defense Environmental Management Funds | |
| at Field Offices of the Department of Energy | 444 |
| Section 3130-Transfers of Weapons Activities Funds at National Secu- | |
| rity Laboratories and Nuclear Weapons Production Facilities | 444 |
| Subtitle Č—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations | 445 |
| Section 3131—Termination Date of Office of River Protection, Richland, | 445 |
| Washington Section 3132—Organizational Modifications for National Nuclear Secu- | 446 |
| rity Administration | 445 |
| Section 3133—Consolidation of Nuclear Cities Initiative Program with | 110 |
| Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention Program | 445 |
| Section 3134—Disposition of Surplus Plutonium at Savannah River | |
| Site, Aiken, South Carolina | 445 |
| Section 3135—Support for Public Education in the Vicinity of Los Ala- | |
| mos National Laboratory, New Mexico | 446 |
| TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD | 447 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 447 |
| Section 3201—Authorization | 447 |
| TITLE XXXIII—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE | 448 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 3301—Definitions | 448 448 |
| Section 3302—Authorized Uses of Stockpile Funds | 448 |
| Section 3303—Disposal of Excess Materials from the National Defense | 440 |
| Stockpile | 448 |
| Section 3304—Expedited Implementation of Authority to Dispose of | |
| Cobalt From National Defense Stockpile | 448 |
| TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES | 449 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 449 |
| Section 3401—Authorization of Appropriations | 449 |
| TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION | 450 |
| ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST | 450 |
| Merchant Marine Academy | 450 |
| Ship Scrapping | 450 |
| Title XI Loan Guarantee Program Transfer of the Maritime Security Program to the Department of De- | 451 |
| fense | 451 |
| LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS | 451 |
| Section 3501—Authorization of Appropriations for Fiscal Year 2002 | 451 |
| Section 3502—Define "War Risks" to Vessels to Include Confiscation, | |
| Expropriation, Nationalization, and Deprivation of the Vessels | 451 |
| Section 3503-Holding Obligor's Cash as Collateral Under Title XI | |
| of Merchant Marine Act, 1936 | 452 |
| Departmental Data | 453 |
| Department of Defense Authorization Request | 453 |
| Military Construction Authorization Request | 453 |
| Committee Position | 454 |
| Communications From Other Committees Fiscal Data | 454 460 |
| r istar Data | 400 |

XX

| 7441 |
|--|
| |
| Congressional Budget Office Estimate |
| Congressional Budget Office Cost Estimate |
| Committee Cost Estimate |
| Oversight Findings |
| General Performance Goals and Objectives |
| Constitutional Authority Statement |
| Statement of Federal Mandates |
| Roll Call Votes |
| Changes in Existing Law Made by the Bill, as Reported |
| Additional and Dissenting Views |
| Additional views of Lane Evans |
| Additional views of Tom Allen |
| Additional views of Ike Skelton, John Spratt, Lane Evans, Silvestre Reyes, |
| Tom Allen, John B. Larson, Loretta Sanchez, James R. Langevin, Rick |
| Larsen, Ellen O. Tauscher, Robert E. Andrews, Cynthia A. McKinney, |
| Adam Smith, Jim Turner, Rod R. Blagojevich, Susan A. Davis, Solomon |
| P. Ortiz, James H. Maloney, Marty Meehan, Vic Snyder, Robert A. Brady, |
| Mike Thompson, Neil Abercrombie, Ciro D. Rodriguez, Baron P. Hill |
| Dissenting Views of Cynthia A. McKinney |
| |

XXI

107TH CONGRESS 1st Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Report 107–194

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2002

SEPTEMBER 4, 2001.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

> Mr. STUMP, from the Committee on Armed Services, submitted the following

REPORT

together with

ADDITIONAL AND DISSENTING VIEWS

[To accompany H.R. 2586]

[Including cost estimate of the Congressional Budget Office]

The Committee on Armed Services, to whom was referred the bill (H.R. 2586) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2002 for military activities of the Department of Defense, to prescribe military personnel strengths for fiscal year 2002, and for other purposes, having considered the same, report favorably thereon with amendments and recommend that the bill as amended do pass.

The amendments are as follows:

The amendment strikes all after the enacting clause of the bill and inserts a new text which appears in italic type in the reported bill.

The title of the bill is amended to reflect the amendment to the text of the bill.

EXPLANATION OF THE COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS

The committee adopted an amendment in the nature of a substitute during the consideration of H.R. 2586. The title of the bill is amended to reflect the amendment to the text of the bill. The remainder of the report discusses the bill, as amended.

PURPOSE

The bill would—(1) Authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2002 for procurement and for research, development, test and evaluation (RDT&E); (2) Authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2002 for operation and maintenance (O&M) and for working capital funds; (3) Authorize for fiscal year 2002: (a) the personnel strength for each active duty component of the military departments; (b) the personnel strength for the Selected Reserve for each reserve component of the armed forces; (c) the military training student loads for each of the active and reserve components of the military departments; (4) Modify various elements of compensation for military personnel and impose certain requirements and limitations on personnel actions in the defense establishment; (5) Authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2002 for military construction and family housing; (6) Authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2002 for the Department of Energy national security programs; (7) Modify provisions related to the National Defense Stockpile; and (8) Authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2002 for the Maritime Administration.

RELATIONSHIP OF AUTHORIZATION TO APPROPRIATIONS

The bill does not generally provide budget authority. The bill authorizes appropriations. Subsequent appropriation acts provide budget authority. The bill addresses the following categories in the Department of Defense budget: procurement; research, development, test and evaluation; operation and maintenance; working capital funds, military personnel; and military construction and family housing. The bill also addresses Department of Energy National Security Programs and the Maritime Administration.

Active duty and reserve personnel strengths authorized in this bill and legislation affecting compensation for military personnel determine the remaining appropriation requirements of the Department of Defense. However, this bill does not provide authorization of specific dollar amounts for personnel.

SUMMARY OF AUTHORIZATION IN THE BILL

The President requested budget authority of \$343.3 billion for the national defense budget function for fiscal year 2002. Of this amount, the President requested \$328.0 billion for the Department of Defense (including \$10.0 billion for military construction and family housing) and \$13.8 billion for Department of Energy national security programs and the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.

The committee recommends an overall level of \$343.2 billion in budget authority. This amount is consistent with the discretionary defense spending limitations imposed by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 and it represents an increase of approximately \$33.3 billion from the amount authorized for appropriation by the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398).

SUMMARY TABLE OF AUTHORIZATIONS

The following table provides a summary of the amounts requested and that would be authorized for appropriation in the bill (in the column labeled "Budget Authority Implication of Committee Recommendation") and the committee's estimate of how the committee's recommendations relate to the budget totals for the national defense function. For purposes of estimating the budget authority implications of committee action, the table reflects the numbers contained in the President's budget for proposals not in the committee's legislative jurisdiction.

| ORIZATIONS FOR FY 2002 |
|-------------------------------|
| Ł |
| SR SR |
| ŭ |
| SNC |
| Ĕ |
| Z |
| S S |
| HT |
| AL |
| S E |
| DEFENSE AUTH |
| DE |
| AL |
| Ň |
| Y OF NATION |
| Ż |
| ō |
| ÅRΥ |
| W |
| ŠUN |
| 0) |

| | FY 2002 | FY 2002 | Committee | FY 2002 | Budget Authority Implication |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | Authorization | Budget Authority | Change From | Committee | of Committee |
| Account Title | Request | Request | Request | Recommendation | Recommendation |
| PROCUREMENT | | | | | |
| Aircraft Procurement, Army | 1,925,491 | 1,925,491 | 62,000 | 1,987,491 | 1,987,491 |
| Missile Procurement, Army | 1,859,634 | 1,859,634 | (762,348) | 1,097,286 | 1,097,286 |
| Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army | 2,276,746 | 2,276,746 | 90,300 | 2,367,046 | 2,367,046 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Army | 1,193,365 | 1,193,365 | 15,200 | 1,208,565 | 1,208,565 |
| Other Procurement, Army | 3,961,737 | 3,961,737 | 182,249 | 4,143,986 | 4,143,986 |
| Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 789,020 | 789,020 | (789,020) | 3 | |
| Procurement | 164,158 | 164,158 | (164,158) | | |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation | 200,379 | 200,379 | (200,379) | • | |
| Aircraft Procurement, Navy | 8,252,543 | 8,252,543 | 84,700 | 8,337,243 | 8,337,243 |
| Weapons Procurement, Navy | 1,433,475 | 1,433,475 | 43,217 | 1,476,692 | 1,476,692 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps | 457,099 | 457,099 | 6,408 | 463,507 | 463,507 |
| Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy | 9,344,121 | 9,344,121 | (23,000) | 9,321,121 | 9,321,121 |
| Other Procurement, Navy | 4,097,576 | 4,097,576 | 59,737 | 4,157,313 | 4,157,313 |
| Procurement, Marine Corps | 981,724 | 981,724 | 43,900 | 1,025,624 | 1,025,624 |
| Aircraft Procurement, Air Force | 10,744,458 | 10,744,458 | (38,771) | 10,705,687 | 10,705,687 |
| Missile Procurement, Air Force | 3,233,536 | 3,233,536 | (7,200) | 3,226,336 | 3,226,336 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force | 865,344 | 865,344 | 6,000 | 871,344 | 871,344 |
| Other Procurement, Air Force | 8,159,521 | 8,159,521 | 91,300 | 8,250,821 | 8,250,821 |
| Procurement, Defense-wide | 1,603,927 | 1,603,927 | 663,419 | 2,267,346 | 2,267,346 |
| Procurement, National Guard & Reserve Equipment | 3 | ł | , | 3 | 5 |
| Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense | , | • | 3 | | 1 |
| Operation & Maintenance | , | • | 728,520 | 728,520 | 728,520 |
| Procurement | , | , | 157,158 | 157,158 | 157,158 |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation | 8 | , | 192,879 | 192,879 | 192,879 |
| Procurement, Defense Health Program | 267,915 | | ı | 267,915 | |
| Procurement, Office of the Inspector General | 1,800 | 1 | • | 1,800 | |
| Defense Production Act Purchases | 50,000 | 50,000 | , | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| | | | | | |

(Dollars in Thousands)

| SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 2002 (Dollars in Thousands) |
|--|
|--|

| Account Title | FY 2002 Authorization Request | FY 2002 Budget Authority Request | Committee Change From Request | FY 2002 Committee Recommendation | Budget Authority Implication of Committee Recommendation |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Total Procurement | 61,863,569 | 61,593,854 | 442,111 | 62,305,680 | 62,035,965 |
| RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION | | | | | |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army | 6,693,920 | 6,693,920 | 55,105 | 6,749,025 | 6,749,025 |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy | 11,123,389 | 11,123,389 | (260,115) | 10,863,274 | 10,863,274 |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force | 14,343,982 | 14,343,982 | 111,671 | 14,455,653 | 14,455,653 |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-wide | 15,050,787 | 15,050,787 | 323,836 | 15,374,623 | 15,374,623 |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense Health Program | 65,304 | | ' | 65,304 | |
| Operational Test & Evaluation, Defense | 217,355 | 217,355 | , | 217,355 | 217,355 |
| Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation | 47,494,737 | 47,429,433 | 230,497 | 47,725,234 | 47,659,930 |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE & WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS | | | | | |
| Operation and Maintenance | | | | | |
| Operation and Maintenance, Army | 21,191,680 | 21,191,680 | (176,400) | 21,015,280 | 21,015,280 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Navy | 26,961,382 | 26,961,382 | (373,420) | 26,587,962 | 26,587,962 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps | 2,892,314 | 2,892,314 | 5,800 | 2,898,114 | 2,898,114 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Air Force | 26,146,770 | 26,146,770 | (335,308) | 25,811,462 | 25,811,462 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide | 12,518,631 | 12,518,631 | (596,500) | 11,922,131 | 11,922,131 |
| Office of the Inspector General | 152,021 | 152,021 | ' | 152,021 | 152,021 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve | 1,787,246 | 1,787,246 | 27,000 | 1,814,246 | 1,814,246 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve | 1,003,690 | 1,003,690 | ı | 1,003,690 | 1,003,690 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve | 144,023 | 144,023 | , | 144,023 | 144,023 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve | 2,029,866 | 2,029,866 | (12,000) | 2,017,866 | 2,017,866 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard | 3,677,359 | 3,677,359 | 28,000 | 3,705,359 | 3,705,359 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard | 3,867,361 | 3,867,361 | 100,000 | 3,967,361 | 3,967,361 |
| United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces | 960'6 | 960'6 | · | 9,096 | 960'6 |
| Environmental Restoration, Army | 389,800 | 389,800 | 1 | 389,800 | 389,800 |

5

| SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 2002 (Dollars in Thousands) | |
|--|--|
|--|--|

| | | | | | Budget Authority |
|---|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | | FY 2002 | Committee | FY 2002 | Implication |
| Account Title | Request | buuget Authority Request | Criange From Request | Recommendation | or commendation |
| Environmentai Restoration, Navy | 257,517 | 257,517 | • | 257,517 | 257,517 |
| Environmental Restoration, Air Force | 385,437 | 385,437 | , | 385,437 | 385,437 |
| Environmentat Restoration, Defense-Wide | 23,492 | 23,492 | 1 | 23,492 | 23,492 |
| Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites | 190,255 | 190,255 | , | 190,255 | 190,255 |
| Drug Interdiction and Counter-drug Activities, Defense | 820,381 | 820,381 | | 820,381 | 820,381 |
| Defense Health Program | 17,565,750 | 17,898,969 | 5,000 | 17,570,750 | 17,903,969 |
| Cooperative Threat Reduction | 403,000 | 403,000 | | 403,000 | 403,000 |
| Support for International Sporting Competitions, Defense | 15,800 | 15,800 | | 15,800 | 15,800 |
| Overseas Military Investment Recovery | | 3,000 | , | | 3,000 |
| Disposal of DoD Real Property | | 9,500 | ' | | 9,500 |
| ease of DoD Real Property | | 9,500 | | | 9,500 |
| Payment to Kaho' Olawe Island Fund | 25,000 | 25,000 | ı | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, & Civic Aid | 49,700 | 49,700 | ı | 49,700 | 49,700 |
| National Science Center, Army | | | 1 | | ı |
| Burdensharing | | 411,000 | ' | | 411,000 |
| Rocky Mountain Arsenal | | 6,000 | , | | 6,000 |
| Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund | 2,844,226 | 2,844,226 | ı | 2,844,226 | 2,844,226 |
| Subtotal Operation and Maintenance | 125,351,797 | 126,124,016 | (1,327,828) |) 124,023,969 | 124,796,188 |
| Revolving and Management Funds | | | | | |
| Defense Working Capital Funds (DECA) | 1,951,986 | 1,951,986 | ı | 1,951,986 | 1,951,986 |
| Vational Defense Sealift Fund | 506,408 | 506,408 | (98,700) | (407,708 | 407,708 |
| Vational Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund (Routine & Ongoing Sales) | | (150,000) | ŀ | | (150,000) |
| Vational Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund (Excess Sales) | | (250,000) | ı | | (250,000) |
| Vational Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund (Transfer to WCF Cash) | | | • | | 1 |
| Armed Forces Retirement Home | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 2002 | (Dollars in Thousands) |
|--|------------------------|
|--|------------------------|

| | | (on the second sec | | | |
|---|---------------|--|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| | FY 2002 | FY 2002 | Committee | FY 2002 | Budget Authority Implication |
| Arcount Title | Authorization | Budget Authority | o | Committee | of Committee |
| | iconhou | reaphart | iconhou | | |
| Subtotal Revolving and Management Funds | 2,453,394 | 2,058,394 | (98,700) | 2,359,694 | 1,959,694 |
| Total Operation and Maintenance & Working Capital Funds | 127,810,191 | 128,182,410 | (1,426,528) | 126,383,663 | 126,755,882 |
| MILITARY PERSONNEL | | | | | |
| Military Personnel | 82,307,281 | 82,307,281 | (28,180) | 82,279,101 | 82,279,101 |
| Total Military Personnel | 82,307,281 | 82,307,281 | (28,180) | 82,279,101 | 82,279,101 |
| MILITARY CONSTRUCTION | | | | | |
| Military Construction, Army | 1,760,541 | 1,760,541 | (73,940) | 1,686,601 | 1,686,601 |
| Military Construction, Navy | 1,071,408 | 1,071,408 | 88,246 | 1,159,654 | 1,159,654 |
| Military Construction, Air Force | 1,068,250 | 1,068,250 | 103,254 | 1,171,504 | 1,171,504 |
| Military Construction, Defense-wide | 694,558 | 694,558 | 144,399 | 838,957 | 838,957 |
| Military Construction, Army National Guard | 267,389 | 267,389 | 37,526 | 304,915 | 304,915 |
| Military Construction, Air National Guard | 149,072 | 149,072 | 48,400 | 197,472 | 197,472 |
| Military Construction, Army Reserve | 111,404 | 111,404 | 61,613 | 173,017 | 173,017 |
| Military Construction, Naval Reserve | 23,641 | 33,641 | 19,650 | 53,291 | 53,291 |
| Military Construction, Air Force Reserve | 53,732 | 53,732 | 25,400 | 79,132 | 79,132 |
| Base Realignment and Closure IV | 532,200 | 532,200 | , | 532,200 | 532,200 |
| NATO Security Investment Program | 162,600 | 162,600 | | 162,600 | 162,600 |
| Total Military Construction | 5,904,795 | 5,904,795 | 454,548 | 6,359,343 | 6,359,343 |
| EAMILY HOUSING | | | | | |
| Family Housing Construction, Army | 291,542 | 291,542 | (16,388) | 275,154 | 275,154 |
| Family Housing Support, Army | 1,108,991 | 1,108,991 | (62,788) | 1, | 1,046,203 |
| Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps | 304,400 | 304,400 | 28,366 | | 332,766 |
| Family Housing Support, Navy and Marine Corps | 918,095 | 918,095 | (17,510) | 900,585 | 900,585 |
| | | | | | |

| IMMARY OF NATIONA |
|-------------------|
|-------------------|

| | FY 2002 | FY 2002 | Committee | FY 2002 | Budget Authority Implication |
|---|--------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Account Title | Authorization Request | Authorization Budget Authority Change From Request Request Request | Change From Request | Committee Recommendation | Committee of Committee Recommendation |
| Family Housing Construction, Air Force | 518,237 | 518,237 | (6,725) | | 511,512 |
| Family Housing Support, Air Force | 869,121 | 869,121 | (26,103) | 843,018 | 843,018 |
| Family Housing Construction, Defense-wide | 250 | 44,012 | , | 250 | 44,012 |
| Family Housing Support, Defense-wide | 43,762 | 1 | | 43,762 | ı |
| Homeowners Assistance Fund | 10,119 | 10,119 | • | 10,119 | 10,119 |
| DoD Family Housing Improvement Fund | 2,000 | 2,000 | , | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Total Family Housing | 4 066 517 | 4 066 517 | (101.148) | 3 965 369 | 3 965 369 |

| SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 2002 (Dollars in Thousands) |
|--|
|--|

| Account Title | FY 2002 Authorization Request | FY 2002 Budget Authority Request | Committee Change From Request | FY 2002 Committee Recommendation | Budget Authority Implication of Committee Recommendation |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| QTHER DOD MILITARY | | | | | |
| Other Legislation | (330,000) | (330,000) | 330,000 | ı | I |
| Spending of Card Refunds | | 8,000 | • | | 8,000 |
| General Transfer Authority [non-additive] | | [2,500,000] | [-500,000] | [2,000,000] | |
| National Security Education Trust Fund | | 7,000 | , | | 7,000 |
| Other Trust Funds | | 30,000 | , | | 30,000 |
| Interfund Transfers | | | • | | ł |
| Surcharge Collections | | 35,000 | | | 35,000 |
| Foreign Employee Separation Pay | | 12,000 | , | | 12,000 |
| Voluntary Separation Incentive Trust Fund | | 159,000 | • | | 159,000 |
| Host Nation Support - Relocation | | 6,000 | i | | 6,000 |
| Offsetting Receipts and Other | | (1,412,000) | ı | | (1,412,000) |
| Total Other DoD Military | (330,000) | (1,485,000) | 330,000 | t | (1,155,000) |
| Total Department of Defense Military (051) | 329,117,090 | 327,999,290 | (98,700) | 329,018,390 | 327,900,590 |
| ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES (953) | | | | | |
| Weapons Activities | 5,300,025 | 5,300,025 | 69,463 | 5,369,488 | 5,369,488 |
| Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management | 4,548,708 | 4,548,708 | 97,719 | 4,646,427 | 4,646,427 |
| Defense Environmental Management Privatization | 141,537 | 141,537 | (15,329) | 126,208 | 126,208 |
| Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal | 310,000 | 310,000 | | 310,000 | 310,000 |
| Other Defense Actitivities | 527,614 | 527,614 | (25,515) | 502,099 | 502,099 |
| Defense Facilities Closure Projects | 1,050,538 | 1,050,538 | • | 1,050,538 | 1,050,538 |
| NNSA Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation | 773,700 | 773,700 | , | 773,700 | 773,700 |
| Naval Reactors | 688,045 | 688,045 | • | 688,045 | 688,045 |
| Energy Employees Compensation Initiative - Admin Expenses | | 63,000 | | | 63,000 |
| Energy Employees Compensation Initiative | | 152,000 | | | 152,000 |
| RECA Portion of Energy Workers Comp | | 102,000 | , | | 102,000 |

9

| SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 2002 | (Dollars in Thousands) |
|--|------------------------|
|--|------------------------|

| | FY 2002 | FY 2002 | Committee | FY 2002 | Budget Authority Implication |
|---|---------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | Authorization | Budget Authority | Change From | Committee | of Committee |
| Account Title | Request | Request | Request | Recommendation | Recommendation |
| Proposed Legislation | , | (26,000) | | 1 | (26,000) |
| Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program | 140,000 | 140,000 | (140,000) | | |
| Office of the Administrator | 15,000 | 15,000 | I | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Defense Nuclear Counterintelligence | | , | 13,662 | 13,662 | 13,662 |
| Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board | 18,500 | 18,500 | , | 18,500 | 18,500 |
| | | | | | |
| Total Atomic Energy Defense Activities (053) | 13,513,667 | 13,804,667 | · | 13,513,667 | 13,804,667 |
| DEFENSE RELATED ACTIVITIES (054) | | | | | |
| Department of Justice - Radiation Exposure Compensation Trust Fund | | | | | |
| Payments to Individuals | | 27,000 | • | | 27,000 |
| Administrative Expenses | | 2,000 | • | | 2,000 |
| Department of Justice - Radiation Exposure Compensation Trust Fund | | 172,000 | ' | | 172,000 |
| Department of Justice - Federal Bureau of Investigation Special Program | | 456,000 | ' | | 456,000 |
| Department of Justice - National Drug Intelligence Center | | | | | |
| Department of Transportation - Coast Guard Operations | | 340,000 | | | 340,000 |
| Department of Transportation - MARAD Maritime Security Program | | r | 98,700 | 98,700 | 98,700 |
| Department of Commerce - Export Administration | | 7,000 | ı | | 2,000 |
| Federal Emergency Management Agency | | | 1 | | |
| Salaries and Expenses | 30,000 | 30,000 | (30,000) | | ſ |
| Planning and Assistance | 20,000 | 20,000 | (20,000) | ı | |
| CIA Retirement and Disability System | | 212,000 | ŀ | | 212,000 |
| National Science Foundation - Antarctic research activities | | 63,000 | | | 63,000 |
| Selective Service System - Salaries and Expenses | | 25,000 | | | 25,000 |
| Intelligence Community Management Account | | 126,000 | , | | 126,000 |
| Total Defense Related Activities (054) | 50.000 | 1,480,000 | 48,700 | 98,700 | 1,528,700 |

10

| SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 2002 | (Dollars in Thousands) |
|--|------------------------|

| | | · · · | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| | | | | | Budget Authority |
| | FY 2002 | FY 2002 | Committee | FY 2002 | Implication |
| | Authorization | Budget Authority Change From | Change From | Committee | of Committee |
| Account Title | Request | | Request | Request Recommendation Recommendation | Recommendation |
| TOTAL NATIONAL DEFENSE FUNCTION (050) | 342,680,757 | 142,680,757 343,283,957 | (50,000) | (50,000) 342,630,757 | 343,233,957 |
| | | | | | |

RATIONALE FOR THE COMMITTEE BILL

To "provide for the common defense" is one of the most important responsibilities vested in the federal government. Article I, section 8, of the Constitution grants Congress the power "to raise and support armies" and "to provide and maintain a navy," in order to provide for the common defense. It is a solemn responsibility Congress must exercise with diligence, wisdom, and foresight.

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 continues the process of rebuilding America's defenses and restoring the health of the military. The policies, programs, and priorities it supports are intended to ensure continued U.S. military preeminence for decades to come and to provide America's men and women in uniform with the training and tools necessary to deal successfully with the security challenges of the future.

The committee bill would authorize \$343.3 billion for defense during fiscal year 2002—matching the President's amended budget request and marking the most significant increase to the defense budget since fiscal year 1986. Restoring the health of America's military will take years of work. Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, in testimony before the committee on June 28, 2001, noted that a one year increase in spending "does not get us well. The underinvestment went on far too long, the gap is too great, and there is no way it can be fixed in a year or, in my view, even in six."

In the committee's view, significant increases in defense spending are long overdue. The committee is pleased with the new Administration's recognition that defense spending in the post-Cold War era has fallen too far too fast and applauds the Administration's commitment to reverse this trend. The U.S. military for too long has been living off the defense investments made in the 1980s. Military equipment is being utilized beyond its service life, weapons systems are becoming costlier to maintain, and military readiness has declined virtually across the board. The U.S. military has been called on to do more with less, deploying with increasing frequency around the globe. Morale and quality of life have suffered. This is the unfortunate legacy of years of underfunding.

This year, the challenge facing the Administration and Congress is to ensure that the most immediate modernization, readiness, and personnel needs are met, while preparing to transition the armed forces into a more capable force prepared to meet emerging threats.

The Strategic Defense Review and U.S. National Military Strategy

The committee supports the efforts of the Department of Defense (DOD) to assess defense requirements in light of the potential and emerging threats to U.S. interests expected to materialize over the next decade and beyond. For the past several months, the Department of Defense has been conducting an extensive and multifaceted review of U.S. national military strategy. Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld formed more than a dozen Task Forces to review the assumptions and strategic underpinnings of U.S. defense policy. Separate Task Forces were established on Strategy, Transformation, Acquisition Reform, Quality of Life, Nuclear Forces, Conventional Forces, Intelligence and Space, National Missile Defense, and a variety of other issues. The underlying premise of these reviews was that resources and force levels should flow from strategy, not the other way around.

The results of the DOD strategy review will be incorporated into the next Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR), scheduled to be completed by September 30, 2001. The results of the QDR will, in turn, be factored into the Administration's defense budget request for fiscal year 2003. The committee expects that the 2001 QDR will be strategy-based and not budget-driven. In the meantime, U.S. military strategy continues to be guided by the tenets outlined in the 1997 QDR. The 1997 QDR, building upon its predecessor, the 1993 Bottom-Up Review, postulated that the sizing and composition of U.S. military forces should be based on the requirement to fight two nearly simultaneous major theater wars. This force-sizing construct has been called into question by the Administration.

The Administration has indicated that the two major theater war construct may need to be replaced with a different force sizing metric. Although Secretary Rumsfeld has cautioned that no final decision has been made, he has also noted that DOD is "looking carefully at an alternative." That alternative would be to replace the traditional "threat-based" military strategy with one that is "capability-based" and designed to deal with the kinds of asymmetric threats that might emerge in the future.

The committee believes that the two major theater war standard has served as a useful planning tool and is concerned that its abandonment could be viewed as an attempt to scale back U.S. military strategy to conform to budgetary realities. Such an approach would be ill-advised. Jettisoning the two major theater war construct without an effective alternative would lead to acceptance of a greater than prudent level of risk. Indeed, Secretary Rumsfeld has stated that "you don't tear down what is unless you have something better...." The committee expects to work closely with the Administration in the coming year to ensure that any changes to U.S. military strategy are based on sound strategic principles and do not result in increased risk to U.S. national security.

Although Department of Defense officials have emphasized the need for the U.S. armed forces to transform themselves into a more capable force able to successfully confront the more difficult challenges in the future, the Department's budget request for fiscal year 2002 is not a "transformation" budget. At minimum, it properly addresses many of the deficiencies that plague existing forces without laying the groundwork for significant structural changes. In his testimony before the committee on June 28, 2001, Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld stated that even a budget of nearly \$350 million "would just be holding where we are" and "would not make a significant contribution to transformation."

As the Department of Defense wrestles with options for transforming the U.S. military in the long-term, the committee's approach this year has been guided by an effort to develop a defense budget that is more responsive to the post-Cold War threats faced by the United States and commensurate with America's global responsibilities—and, in so doing, to ensure that U.S. forces can successfully execute their missions at the lowest possible level of risk.

The Administration's Defense Budget Request

The President's defense budget request for fiscal year 2002 reflects the most significant real increase in defense funding since the mid-1980s. Nevertheless, despite the increases proposed by the Administration this year, serious problems continue to exist in readiness, modernization, and quality of life. The previous Administration significantly underfunded the defense budget and overcommitted U.S. military forces to a variety of peacekeeping and humanitarian missions. The result was a high operating tempo, degraded morale, aging equipment, reduced training, and decaying infrastructure. General Henry Shelton, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, testified before the committee on June 28, 2001, that since 1995 there has been "a 133 percent increase in the number of military personnel committed to joint operations. These are real-world events, not exercises, and we are doing it with nine percent fewer people."

Although the fiscal year 2002 defense budget request reflects nearly a \$33 billion increase over the fiscal year 2001 level, significant shortfalls remain unaddressed. In particular, the service chiefs have identified more than \$32 billion in critical unfunded requirements in fiscal year 2002, roughly twice the amount they identified last year. These shortfalls were not addressed in the fiscal year 2001 supplemental appropriations bill recently passed by the Congress and signed by the President. Moreover, the Army is the smallest it has been since 1950, the Navy has shrunk to 317 ships—more than 40 percent fewer than a decade ago and the smallest fleet since 1933, and the average age of the Air Force's aircraft is 22 years.

With this in mind, the committee has sought to address in this year's budget the most serious aspects of the shortfalls in readiness, modernization, and quality of life.

Restoring the Bond of Trust with Our Men and Women in Uniform

Ensuring a decent quality of life for military personnel and their families remains one of the most important national defense priorities. America's military is only as good as the people who serve in it. Recruiting and retaining top-notch personnel remains vital to ensuring that the U.S. armed forces are the best in the world. With the efforts of Congress over the past six years, the quality

With the efforts of Congress over the past six years, the quality of living for U.S. military personnel and their families has improved, and recruiting and retention trends have improved. Nevertheless, meeting the challenge of recruiting and retaining sufficient numbers of high quality personnel remains difficult, and the troublesome trend of the continued departure of many of the best and brightest mid-career enlisted and officer personnel continues.

Continuing its effort to improve quality of life and ensure adequate military pay and bonuses, the committee recommends the largest single-year increase in military personnel funding since 1985—a total increase of \$6.9 billion over the fiscal year 2001 level. The committee bill also would fund the largest military pay raise since 1982, thereby fully supporting the President's proposal to add \$1.0 billion to military pay. This pay raise provides five to six percent across-the-board pay raises for all military personnel, as well as targeted pay increases for mid-career service members that range above 10 percent. In addition, the bill would boost military special pay and enhance incentives to join the Reserve Officers Training Corps (ROTC). Moreover, the committee bill would improve the recruiting and retention efforts of the services and would provide for enlistment and re-enlistment bonuses. The committee bill also contains the increases for military housing contained in the budget request. Further, the committee bill recommends an additional effort: innovative programs to reduce the significant out-ofpocket costs experienced by military personnel as a result of permanent change of station moves. Importantly, the committee bill satisfies \$95 million of the service chiefs' unfunded personnel requirements.

The committee bill also would increase funding for defense medical programs of over \$6 billion. With this authorization, the committee bill would provide the funding needed this coming fiscal year to implement fully the new TRICARE For Life program enacted last year.

These actions follow up on the efforts of Congress last year to reform the military health care system and compensation practices. The quality of life improvements contained in the committee bill this year represent the most significant step toward making a real improvement in military quality of life in nearly two decades. However, this is just one step forward, and real progress in this area will require additional actions over the next several years.

Enhancing Readiness

Restoring military readiness remains a key priority for the committee, as U.S. military readiness is essential to securing America's future as the world's sole superpower. Over the past six years, Congress has led the effort to identify and reverse the declining state of military readiness. Today, there is bipartisan agreement that U.S. military readiness has declined due to an increased pace of operations combined with inadequate funding and escalating maintenance costs of aging equipment. The committee bill would make real progress toward reversing this decline by providing significant increases to key operations, maintenance, and training accounts.

Despite the increases in the Administration's fiscal year 2002 defense budget request, readiness remains a serious concern. Existing readiness problems include a shortage of spare parts, aging equipment, decaying infrastructure, growing equipment and facilities' backlogs, insufficient training, and personnel shortages. In the past, essential modernization was deferred to provide for near-term readiness requirements. In addition, maintaining the readiness of "first-to-fight" forces has led to the diversion of resources from other operational support units, including strategic airlift, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance, combat service support units, and training bases. As General Henry Shelton, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, testified before the committee on June 28, 2001, "The bottom line is, I do not believe that we will be able to sustain our long-term readiness under these conditions."

Secretary of the Army, Thomas White, testifying before the committee on July 18, 2001, stated, "After a decade of underfunding and overworking our force, we are clearly in a hole, and getting out will require a significant investment." Secretary of the Air Force James Roche and Air Force Chief of Staff General Michael Ryan, testified before the committee on July 11, 2001, that "overall Air Force readiness is lower than any time since June 1987." The Chief of Naval Operations, Admiral Vern Clark, testified on July 12, 2001, "The challenge of sustaining our current readiness while investing in key future capabilities remains a very difficult balancing act. . . . [T]his is an area where we do not meet the goals and the targets that we need in this budget." Despite this challenge, Admiral Clark stated, "I believe this is the best readiness budget that we have seen in at least a decade."

The committee bill seeks to improve both the near-term and long-term readiness of U.S. military forces by addressing critical readiness priorities. Specifically, the committee bill would increase key readiness accounts by \$7.5 billion above the fiscal year 2001 level. Unfortunately, the decision to halt combined arms naval training on the island of Vieques, Puerto Rico, beginning in 2003 will negatively impact the readiness of the armed forces. The committee bill would ensure that live-fire training could continue on the island until such time as an alternative site is found that would provide for at least an equivalent level of training.

Modernizing and Equipping the Force of the Future

Despite the end of the Cold War, the U.S. military has not fully adapted to meet the new challenges of the post-Cold War environment. For the United States to ensure that U.S. service members retain the technological edge on the battlefields of tomorrow thereby saving lives and winning wars—the U.S. military must ensure that it has the weapons, equipment, and strategies to successfully meet future challenges.

While the exact path for transforming the military to meet these future challenges is not yet clear, modernizing the force with new technologies and advanced capabilities to fight and win future conflicts is vital. Until this path is clear, the transformation effort must take place on two fronts—maintaining the current force through a steady procurement program and developing revolutionary technologies through an aggressive research and development program.

The committee notes that today's military is continuing to live off the investment in equipment made decades ago. In his testimony before the committee on June 28, 2001, Secretary Rumsfeld stated, "We have been living off the substantial investments of the 1970s and 1980s."

Unfortunately, the Administration's request for procurement programs was the weakest aspect in an otherwise strong defense budget. Secretary White, in testimony before the committee on July 18, 2001, stated that "there will continue to be shortfalls in a number of critical areas such as modernization and recapitalization of our current force." Secretary of the Navy, Gordon England, testifying on July 12, 2001, stated, "What this increase does not do, however, is adequately address our infrastructure and procurement shortfalls."

In effect, the fiscal year 2002 amended defense budget request for procurement would place modernization efforts on hold, pending completion of DOD's strategic review. Instead, the committee bill would provide \$62 billion (\$442.1 million more than the President's request) to procure weapons, ammunition, and equipment, while careful reprioritization of the budget enabled the committee to meet \$253.4 million of the service chiefs' unfunded requirements. The resulting procurement budget will slow the erosion of the force while laying the foundation for transformation into the future military force.

By contrast, the Administration's research and development (R&D) budget represents the first significant increase in the past decade and the first time in six years that the requested amount for R&D was greater than the amount provided by Congress in the previous year. This significant level of support for R&D programs will likely ensure rapid progress in developing innovative technologies, deploying ballistic missile defenses, and testing and evaluating transformation programs. Therefore, the committee bill would provide \$47.7 billion (\$228.5 million more than the President's request and \$6.7 billion more than the fiscal year 2001 level) for research and development programs, including funds for ballistic missile defense programs.

Defending Americans From Ballistic Missile Threats

Today, Americans at home and abroad are within striking range of thousands of ballistic missile warheads. The risk of accidental or unauthorized launch of ballistic missiles remains real, and the proliferation of missile technology has allowed nations like North Korea to develop and test ballistic missiles capable of reaching U.S. soil.

Furthermore, American military forces and allies around the world have no effective defense against the ballistic missile threat. Over 100,000 U.S. troops in South Korea and Japan live under the threat of ballistic missile attack, as do American forward-based air and naval forces in Northeast Asia, the Mediterranean, and the Persian Gulf. Even vital U.S. allies including South Korea, Japan, and Taiwan face known ballistic missile threats and have no effective defense.

Unfortunately, ballistic missiles and weapons of mass destruction technology are proliferating faster than the U.S. ability to defend against them. Secretary Rumsfeld, in testimony before the committee on June 28, 2001, warned against underestimating the threat posed by ballistic missiles and the weapons they carry. "We would be making a terrible mistake to not be attentive to the spread of weapons of mass destruction and the ability to deliver them," he stated. Deputy Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz, testifying before the committee on July 19, 2001, explained the reason other states seek ballistic missile capabilities: "To those who wonder why so many of the regimes hostile to the United States many of them desperately poor—are investing such enormous sums of money to acquire ballistic missiles, I suggest this possible answer: They know we don't have any defenses."

Ten years after 28 U.S. service personnel lost their lives as a result of a single Iraqi Scud missile attack during the Persian Gulf War, Americans remain vulnerable to ballistic missile threats. For this reason, the committee supports efforts to accelerate research, development, and deployment of effective ballistic missile defenses.

The committee believes that America's total vulnerability to ballistic missiles must end. Unfortunately, missile defense programs have never received the level of support and funding necessary to support such an important mission. As a result, the committee bill would support the Administration's request for a significant increase in funding for ballistic missile defense programs as the first step toward the day when all Americans are protected against ballistic missile attack. The committee endorses the President's approach to ballistic missile defense, and is encouraged that the proposed missile defense program includes plans for a layered defense system and realistic testing, and explores a full range of technologies. As such, the committee endorses the Administration's missile defense program, with modest adjustments, and recommends \$8.2 billion, \$2.9 billion more than the fiscal year 2001 level, for the continued development of ballistic missile defenses.

The Committee Bill: A Significant Step Forward on the Path Toward Ensuring U.S. National Security

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 represents a significant step forward in the committee's efforts to ensure that U.S. national security is protected and that the U.S. armed forces are second-to-none. It contains significant improvements in personnel, readiness, and modernization designed to keep America's military on the cutting edge of technology and able to defeat any potential military challenge. This bill accomplishes much, but much more remains to be done.

Modernizing and maintaining today's military forces—and transforming them to meet future challenges—will require a serious and sustained commitment of resources. The committee understands that in the current prolonged period of peace, additional investments in national defense are seen by some as unnecessary. However, the cost of keeping the peace is always less than the cost of failing to do so. Clearly, defense increases are not only affordable but also essential if the United States is to remain a superpower able to promote and protect its global interests.

HEARINGS

Committee consideration of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 results from hearings that began on March 22, 2001 and that were completed on July 18, 2001. The full committee conducted 7 sessions. In addition, a total of 20 sessions were conducted by five different subcommittees and two panels of the committee on various titles of the bill.

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

OVERVIEW

The committee did not receive the Administration's amendment to its February 2001 "Budget Blueprint" for the Department of Defense (DOD) until the end of June. During this period, Secretary Rumsfeld initiated over twenty separate review panels to examine various topics, ranging from overall defense strategy to the size and shape of conventional forces. The recommendations of these panels are still being studied and are expected to be considered during the ongoing Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR), which is to be submitted to Congress September 30th. Consequently, no decisions were made with regard to major weapons systems in the fiscal year 2002 amended budget. Although the Administration has spoken of the need to transform the military to deal with new challenges of the 21st century, the transformation process is expected to be a lengthy one that cannot be implemented with a single fiscal year's budget. The Secretary's description of the fiscal year 2002 procurement request concedes that there would be less real transformation-related change from fiscal year 2001 programs than previously thought, due to the overwhelming need to, as he put it, "repair potholes.

The fiscal year 2002 DOD procurement request of \$61.6 billion is notably the weakest link in an otherwise strong defense budget. Many analysts, as well as prior DOD senior officials, have argued that an additional \$20.0 to \$30.0 billion above this amount is necessary annually to ensure military capabilities are adequately modernized.

The committee recommends a net increase of \$442.1 million to the Department's procurement request, which includes an add of almost \$525.0 million. While this amount is modest by comparison to committee actions over the past several years, it, nevertheless, represents the seventh consecutive year that the committee has provided an increase to the procurement accounts.

| | FY2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY | 1,925,491 1,859,634 | 62,000 (762,348) | 1,987,491 1,097,286 |
| PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY | 2,276,746 1.193.365 | 90,300 15,200 | 2,367,046 1.208,565 |
| OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, ARMY | 3,961,737 1,153,557 | 182,249 (1,153,557) | 4,143,986 |
| TOTAL ARMY | 12,370,530 | (1,566,156) | 10,804,374 |
| AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 8,252,543 | 84,700 | 8,337,243 |
| WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 1,433,475 | 43,217 | 1,476,692 |
| PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE CORPS | 457,007 | 6,500 | 463,507 |
| SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY | 9,344,121 | (23,000) | 9,321,121 |
| OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 4,097,613 | 59,700 | 4,157,313 |
| PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS | 981,724 | 43,900 | 1,025,624 |
| TOTAL NAVY | 24,566,483 | 215,017 | 24,781,500 |
| AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | 10,744,478 | (38,791) | 10,705,687 |
| PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE | 865,344 | 6,000 | 871,344 |
| MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | 3,233,536 | (7,200) | 3,226,336 |
| OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | 8,159,521 | 91,300 | 8,250,821 |

| TITLE 1 - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands) |
|---|
|---|

| | FY2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| TOTAL AIR FORCE | 23,002,879 | 51,309 | 23,054,188 |
| PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEF | 1,603,991 50,000 - | 663,355 - 1,078,557 | 2,267,346 50,000 1,078,557 |
| TOTAL DEFENSE-WIDE | 1,653,991 | 1,741,912 | 3,395,903 |
| PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM | 267,915 | | 267,915 |
| PROCUREMENT, OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL | 1,800 | | 1,800 |
| GRAND TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE | 61,863,598 | 442,082 | 62,305,680 |

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Overview

The budget request contained \$1,925.5 million for Aircraft Pro-curement, Army in fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends authorization of \$1,987.5 million for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on afford-ability considerations.

| | PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 CATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE VDATION |
|----|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| | AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | | | | |
| | AIRCRAFT FIYED WING | | | | | | |
| - | ARL (TIARA) | | ' | | | , | ı |
| N | UTILITY F/W (MR) AIRCRAFT | | • | | | | • |
| | ROTARY | | | | | | • |
| ю | UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) | 12 | 196,439 | | | 12 | 196,439 |
| ო | UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) | | (21,924) | | | | (21,924) |
| 4 | UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) | | | | | • | |
| 4 | UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) | • | • | | | | |
| 4 | UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) | ſ | 21,926 | | | ì | 21,926 |
| 4 | UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) | , | 3,225 | | | , | 3,225 |
| 4 | UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) | , | 1,755 | | | | 1,755 |
| S | HELICOPTER NEW TRAINING | I | | | | | |
| | TOTAL AIRCRAFT | | 201,421 | - | | | 201,421 |
| | MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| 9 | GUARDRAIL MODS (TIARA) | | 8,827 | | | • | 8,827 |
| 2 | ARL MODS (TIARA) | | 12,322 | | | , | 12,322 |
| 80 | AH1F MODS | • | , | | | • | , |
| 6 | AH-64 MODS Vibration Management Enhancement Program, ARNG | ' | 38,473 | | 12,000 [+7,000] | · | 50,473 [+7,000] |
| | Oil Debris Detection System | | | | [+5,000] | | [+5,000] |

| | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 TTEE NDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | OUANTITY | COST |
| 10 CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP) | | 303,420 | | 4,000 | | 307,420 |
| Crashworthy Cockpit Seats | | | | [+4,000] | | [+4.000] |
| 10 CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP) | | (25,960) | | | , | (25,960) |
| | • | • | | | | |
| 1 CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP) | | 17,722 | | | | 17,722 |
| 2 CH-47 ICH | • | . • | | | , | . ' |
| 3 UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS | | 16,095 | | | ı | 16,095 |
| 4 OH-58 MODS | | 463 | | | | 463 |
| 5 AIRCRAFT LONG RANGE MODS | , | 753 | | | , | 753 |
| IG LONGBOW | , | 923,240 | | 10,000 | ı | 933,240 |
| Recapitalization | | | | [+10,000] | | [+10,000] |
| 16 LONGBOW | ı | (34,679) | | | | (34,679) |
| 7 LONGBOW | ł | , | | | | ' |
| 7 LONGBOW | ı | , | | | , | • |
| 7 LONGBOW | , | 29,526 | | | | 29,526 |
| IB UH-1 MODS | | • | | | • | • |
| 19 UH-60 MODS | T | 52,269 | | 6,000 | | 58,269 |
| Crashworthy External Fuel Systems, ARNG | | | | [+6,000] | | [+6,000] |
| 20 KIOWA WARRIOR | 3 | 42,600 | | | , | 42,600 |
| 21 PROPHET AIR (TIARA) | I | • | | | , | 1 |
| 22 AIRBORNE AVIONICS | | 78,421 | | | | 78,421 |
| 23 ASE MODS (SIRFC) | | ' | | | | . ' |
| 24 ASE MODS (ATIRCM) | | • | | | • | ı |
| | | • | | | | • |
| | | | | | | |

| | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | | FY 2002 COMMITTEE COMMENDAT | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY CO | COST QUANTITY | тітү | COST |
| 27 MODIFICATIONS < \$5.0M | | | | | |
| TOTAL MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | 1,518,043 | | 32,000 | | 1,550,043 |
| SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS 28 SPARE PARTS (AIR) | - 5,331 | - | | | 5,331 |
| TOTAL SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 5,331 | - | | | 5,331 |
| SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | | | | |
| 29 AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT | - 32,780 | | 20,000 | | 52,780 |
| AN/AVR-2A Laser Detecting Sets | | 2+] | [+20,000] | | [+20,000] |
| 30 ASE INFRARED CM | 12 36,653 | | | 12 | 36,653 |
| - | | | | | • |
| 31 AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | - 7,544 | 4 | | | 7,544 |
| 32 COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT | - 19,113 | 0 | | | 19,113 |
| 33 AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS | - 10,253 | с С | | , | 10,253 |
| 34 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL | - 68,887 | | 10,000 | , | 78,887 |
| Cold Cathode Portable Landing Lights | | Ŧ | [+10,000] | | [+10,000] |
| 35 INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | - 707 | 7 | | , | 707 |
| 36 LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET | - 4,960 | 0 | | | 4,960 |
| 37 AIRBORNE COMMUNICATIONS | - 19,799 | 6 | | , | 19,799 |
| 38 CLOSED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENT | • | | | , | , |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 002 ZATION JEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE VDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY COST QUANTITY COST QUANTITY COST | COST |
| TOTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | 200,696 | | 30,000 | | 230,696 |
| TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | 1,925,491 | | 62,000 | | 1,987,491 |

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

Items of Special Interest

AH–64 modifications

The budget request contained \$38.5 million for AH–64 modifications but included no funds to continue procurement of the oil debris detection system (ODDS) or the vibration management enhancement program (VMEP).

The ODDS is an on-board detection system that alerts aircrews to the presence of metal chips in engines and propeller gear boxes, which allows flights to be terminated prior to catastrophic failure of critical components. The system also permits the clearing of smaller particles that routinely accumulate in engine oil and cause false impending engine failure alarms resulting in unnecessary termination of aircraft missions and costly engine diagnostics.

The VMEP is an Army National Guard (ARNG) effort currently directed toward resolving vibration management problems on the ARNG's AH–64 Apache fleet.

Since the ODDS, which has been successfully integrated into other Department of Defense aircraft, both reduces aircraft maintenance costs and enhances aircrew safety, the committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million to incorporate the ODDS on the AH–64 Apache. The committee also recommends an increase of \$7.0 million to continue procurement of VMEP systems for the ARNG Apache fleet and to transition this technology to the UH– 60 Blackhawk and the CH–47 Chinook.

In total, the committee recommends \$50.5 million for AH-64 modifications, an increase of \$12.0 million.

Air traffic control

The budget request contained \$68.9 million to procure air traffic control systems but included no funds for the procurement of cold cathode portable landing lights.

Cold cathode portable landing lights are commercial-off-the-shelf items that provide airfield taxiway, runway, and heliport edge lighting for both permanent and temporary locations, thereby enhancing ground safety and flight operations. The committee understands that the Army has an unfunded requirement for 100 systems of this type of lighting and recommends \$78.9 million for air traffic control systems, an increase of \$10.0 million, for procurement of cold cathode portable landing lights.

Aircraft survivability equipment (ASE)

The budget request contained \$32.8 million for the procurement of ASE, but included no funds for AN/AVR–2A laser detecting sets (LDS). The LDS is the only device in the Army capable of providing warning to helicopter crews when they have been illuminated by a laser-targeted weapon. It detects, identifies, and characterizes threats 360-degrees-around and plus-or-minus 45 degrees aboveand-below an aircraft.

The committee continues to be concerned with the growing laser threat to helicopter aircrews and notes the limited fielding of this system to force package one aircraft only. The committee also notes the Army Chief of Staff's \$28.3 million fiscal year 2002 unfunded requirement to continue LDS kit installation on AH–64A Apaches, AH-64D Apache Longbows, MH-47D Chinook and MH-60L Blackhawk Special Operations Aircraft. Based on a growing laser threat to Army helicopters, its desire to continue fielding this system beyond force package one units, and the Chief's unfunded requirement, the committee recommends \$52.8 million for ASE, an increase of \$20.0 million, for procurement of AN/AVR-2A LDS kits.

CH-47 cargo helicopter modifications

The budget request contained \$277.5 million for CH-47 cargo helicopter modifications, but included no funds for crashworthy cockpit seats.

While existing pilot and co-pilot seats offer some protection in the event of a hard impact landing or a crash, crashworthy cockpit seats provide increased protection from the acceleration forces created by such a landing or crash, thereby avoiding serious injuries or, in extreme cases, fatalities to soldiers. Accordingly, the committee recommends \$281.5 million for CH-47 modifications, an increase of \$4.0 million, to procure crashworthy cockpit seats for CH-47 cargo helicopters.

Longbow

The budget request contained \$888.6 million to upgrade 60 AH– 64A aircraft to the AH–64D Longbow variant, including \$70.2 million for Apache Longbow recapitalization.

The committee understands that the Army entered into a multiyear procurement contract in October 2000 for the remanufacture of 269 AH–64A analog variant aircraft to the digital Longbow variant. The committee notes that because of numerous problems over the last several years resulting in grounding of the Apache fleet, this contract was restructured to upgrade fewer aircraft and apply the resultant funds to meet recapitalization requirements. The committee also notes that the Army Chief of Staff identified a \$47.0 million fiscal year 2002 unfunded requirement for recapitalization of the Apache Longbow fleet.

Accordingly, the committee recommends \$898.6 million for Apache Longbow upgrades, an increase of \$10.0 million, for Apache Longbow recapitalization.

UH–60 modifications

The budget request contained \$52.3 million for UH–60 modifications, of which \$17.3 million was for crashworthy external fuel systems. However, the budget request included no funds for these systems for Army National Guard (ARNG) UH–60 combat search and rescue aircraft.

UH-60 crashworthy external fuel systems are self-sealing, ballistically-tolerant tanks that replace existing 230 gallon noncrashworthy external fuel tanks originally intended only for ferry flights. However, expanding Army aviation missions have increasingly required these non-crashworthy tanks to be used to extend UH-60 tactical mission ranges, creating safety risks to flight crews, passengers, and aircraft, which require individual mission waivers by individual commands. As a result of the safety risks imposed by these existing systems and expanding ARNG search and rescue mission requirements, the committee recommends \$58.3 million for UH-60 modifications, an increase of \$6.0 million, for crashworthy external fuel systems for ARNG combat search and rescue aircraft.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Overview

The budget request contained \$1,859.6 million for Missile Pro-curement, Army in fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends authorization of \$1,097.3 million for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on afford-ability considerations.

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 | 02 | COMMITTEE | ITTEE | FY 2002 | 002 |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| | AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | CATION LEST | CHANGE FROM REQUEST | EST FROM | COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | ITTEE NDATION |
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| MISSILE PROCUREMENT. ARMY | | | | | | |
| OTHER MISSILES | | | | | | |
| SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM | | | | | | |
| PATRIOT PAC-3 | 72 | 676,574 | (72) | (676,574) | | |
| Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide | | | [-72] | [-676,574] | [-72] | [-676,574] |
| STINGER SYSTEM SUMMARY | 497 | 45,890 | | (22,500) | 497 | 23,390 |
| AVENGER SYSTEM SUMMARY | • | 11,624 | | | | 11,624 |
| AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM | | | | | | • |
| HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY | 2,200 | 253,410 | | | 2,200 | 253,410 |
| HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY | | (11, 599) | | | | (11,599) |
| ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM | | | | | | • |
| JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY | 4,139 | 431,803 | | | 4,139 | 431,803 |
| JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY | | (17,171) | | | ' | (17,171) |
| JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY | • | | | | • | |
| JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY | | | | | | ' |
| JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY | | | | | • | 1 |
| LINE OF SIGHT ANTI-TANK (LOSAT) SYSTEM SUM | | 11,427 | | (2,000) | , | 9,427 |
| MLRS ROCKET | | | | | | • |
| GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS) | | 8,480 | | | | 8,480 |
| MLRS LAUNCHER SYSTEMS | 35 | 148,294 | | (10,250) | 35 | 138,044 |
| ARMY TACTICAL MSL SYS (ATACMS) - SYS SUM | 24 | 34,263 | | 6,000 | 24 | 40,263 |
| ATACMS Block IV Transfer to B&D | | | | [+15,000] [-9,000] | | [+15,000] [-9,000] |
| | • | | | F | | [appin] |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 2 VTION ST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE JDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| TOTAL OTHER MISSILES | | 1,653,995 | | (705,324) | | 948,671 |
| MODIFICATION OF MISSILES MODIFICATIONS | | | | | | |
| 13 PATRIOT MODS | | 37,617 | | (12,510) | | 25,107 |
| 14 STINGER MODS | • | 5,830 | | | | 5,830 |
| 15 AVENGER MODS | | 17,991 | | (6,114) | | 11,877 |
| 16 ITAS/TOW MODS | | 96,204 | | (35,400) | | 60,804 |
| 17 MLRS MODS | ı | 23,599 | | (3,000) | I | 20,599 |
| TOTAL MODIFICATIONS OF MISSILES | | 181,241 | | (57,024) | | 124,217 |
| SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS 18 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | , | 15,299 | | | | 15,299 |
| TOTAL SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 15,299 | | • | | 15,299 |
| SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES 19 AIR DEFENSE TARGETS 20 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES) 21 MISSILE DEMILITARIZATION 22 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT | | 3,325 1,039 1,358 3,377 | | | | 3,325 1,039 1,358 3,377 |

31

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 TEE IDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------|
| | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY COST QUANTITY COST QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| TOTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | 660'6 | | | 9,099 |
| TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY | 1,859,634 | (762,348) | (8 | 1,097,286 |

Items of Special Interest

Missile procurement army (MPA) transfers

The budget request contained \$1,859.6 million for the procurement of Army missile systems.

The committee recommends the following transfers from MPA to the program elements listed, as requested by the Army.

[In millions of dollars]

| Program | FY 2002 author- ization request | Committee change form request | FY 2002 com- mittee rec- ommendation |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Stinger System Summary | \$45,890 | (\$22,500) | \$23,390 |
| Line Of Sight Anti-Tank System | 11,427 | (2,000) | 9,427 |
| MLRS Launcher Systems | 148,294 | (10,250) | 138,044 |
| Army Tactical Missile System | 34,263 | (9,000) | 25,263 |
| Patriot Mods | 37,617 | (12,510) | 25,10 |
| Avenger Mods | 17,991 | (6,114) | 11,877 |
| ITAS/TOW Mods | 96,204 | (35,400) | 60,80 |
| MLRS Mods | 23,599 | (3,000) | 20,599 |
| Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs (PE 203735) | 195,602 | 20,000 | 215,602 |
| Tractor Card (PE 203808) | 6,551 | 5,000 | 11,55 |
| LOSAT (PE 603654) | 57,384 | 13,072 | 70,456 |
| Comanche (PE 604223) | 732,890 | 28,500 | 761,39 |
| Brilliant Anti-Armor Submunition (PE 604768) | 123,899 | 9,000 | 132,899 |
| Javelin (PE 604611) | 492 | 5,202 | 5,694 |

WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

Overview

The budget request contained \$2,276.7 million for procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends authorization of \$2,367.0 million for fiscal year 2002.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 LATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ITEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 FTEE UDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY | | | | | | |
| TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES | | | | | | |
| TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES | | | | | | |
| 1 ABRAMS TRNG DEV MOD | 1 | 5,545 | | | | 5,545 |
| 2 BRADLEY BASE SUSTAINMENT | 1 | 413,099 | | 60,000 | | 473,099 |
| A0 to A2ODS, ARNG | | | | [+60,000] | | [+60,000] |
| 2 BRADLEY BASE SUSTAINMENT | | (12,320) | | | | (12,320) |
| 3 BRADLEY BASE SUSTAINMENT | | , | | | , | |
| 3 BRADLEY BASE SUSTAINMENT | • | • | | | | |
| 3 BRADLEY BASE SUSTAINMENT | ı | 2,681 | | | | 2,681 |
| 4 BRADLEY FVS TRAINING DEVICES | | 2,609 | | | | 2,609 |
| 5 HAB TRAINING DEVICES | I | ı | | | , | |
| 6 BRADLEY FVS TRAINING DEVICES (MOD) | 1 | 8,814 | | | , | 8,814 |
| 7 ABRAMS TANK TRAINING DEVICES | | 11,814 | | | , | 11,814 |
| 8 INTERIM ARMORED VEHICLE (IAV) FAMILY | 326 | 662,595 | | | 326 | 662,595 |
| 9 COMMAND & CONTROL VEHICLE | ı | ı | | | , | , |
| 9 COMMAND & CONTROL VEHICLE | I | ī | | | · | |
| 10 COMMAND & CONTROL VEHICLE | , | • | | | , | , |
| MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES | | | | | , | , |
| 11 CARRIER, MOD | | 48,567 | | 15,300 | , | 63,867 |
| 12 FIST VEHICLE (MOD) | | 14,590 | | | | 14,590 |
| 13 BFVS SERIES (MOD) | | 42,262 | | | | 42,262 |
| 14 HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD) | | 5,370 | | | | 5,370 |
| 15 FAASV PIP TO FLEET | | 18,501 | | | | 18,501 |
| 16 IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88 MOD) | | 58,114 | | | ı | 58,114 |
| | | | | | | |

34

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 TTEE NDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 17 BREACHER SYSTEM (MOD) | • | | | | 4 | |
| 18 HEAVY ASSAULT BRIDGE (HAB) SYS (MOD) | • | 48,592 | | | , | 48,592 |
| 19 ARMORED VEH LAUNCH BRIDGE (AVLB) (MOD) | | 4,025 | | | ı | 4,025 |
| 20 M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD) | , | 113,485 | | | | 113,485 |
| 21 M1A1D RETROFIT | ł | 11,647 | | | , | 11,647 |
| 22 SYSTEM ENHANCEMENT PGM: SEP M1A2 | r | 102,152 | | | ¢ | 102.152 |
| 23 ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM | | 619,064 | | (10,000) | 1 | 609,064 |
| 23 ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM | | (223,262) | | | ł | (223,262) |
| 24 ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM | • | | | | , | |
| 24 ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM | , | ı | | | , | , |
| 24 ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM | 1 | · | | | ł | ł |
| 24 ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM | ł | ı | | | , | |
| 24 ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM | | 194,438 | | | | 194.438 |
| 25 MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (TCV-WTCV) | • | 1 | | | | • |
| SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | | | | , | |
| | r | 146 | | | , | 146 |
| 27 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV) | ł | 9,979 | | | ſ | 9,979 |
| TOTAL TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES | | 2,162,507 | | 65,300 | | 2,227,807 |
| | 716 | 8,033 | | | 716 | 8,033 |
| | | | | | · | · |
| 30 GHENADE LAUNCHEH, AUTU, 40MM, MK19-3 | 1,510 | 28,826 | | 10,000 | 1,510 | 38,826 |

35

4,450 746 2,823 4,887 2,100 1,261 -1,275 6,430 19,270 [+15,000] 1,978 2,149 2,400 1,107 745 303 102,104 3,321 , COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION . COST FY 2002 3,060 150 2,800 QUANTITY 15,000 [+15,000] 25,000 COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST ဗ္ဗ QUANTITY 1,978 2,149 2,400 1,107 4,450 746 2,823 4,887 2,100 1,275 6,430 4,270 77,104 745 303 1,261 3,321 , COST AUTHORIZATION REQUEST FY 2002 3,060 150 2,800 QUANTITY MARK-19 MODIFICATIONS MARK-19 MODIFICATIONS MA CARBINE MODS SQUAD AUTOMATIC WEAPON (MOD) MEDIUM MACHINE GUNS (MODS) MODITER. TOWED. 155MM, M198 (MODS) M119 MODIFICATIONS M119 MODIFICATIONS M100 FICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV) BUPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES TIEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV) PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV) INDUSTRIAL PREPARENESS TOTAL WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES HOWITZER LT WT 155MM (T) MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH Arsenal Support Initiative 47 SMALL ARMS (SOLDIER ENH PROG) 31 81MM MORTAR (ROLL) 32 M16 RIFLE 33 XM107, CAL. 50, SNIPER RIFLE 34 5.56 CARBINE M4 35 HOWITZER LT WT 155MM (T) PROGRAM TITLE

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

36

SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS SPARES

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 0 | Committee Change From Request | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 TTEE NDATION |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY CO | QUANTITY COST QUANTITY COST QUANTITY COST | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 48 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (WTCV) | 3. | 37,135 | | | 37,135 |
| TOTAL SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS | ε. | 37,135 | 1 | | 37,135 |
| TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY | 2,27 | 2,276,746 | 90,300 | | 2,367,046 |

Items of Special Interest

Abrams upgrade program

The budget request contained \$395.8 million for the upgrade of 104 M1 Abrams tanks to the M1A2 system enhancement program (SEP) variant.

The committee notes that the Army's M1A2 SEP upgrades are currently obtained under a three-year multiyear procurement (MYP) contract at 104 SEP tank upgrades per year. Congress authorized a combined M1A2 SEP tank and Wolverine Heavy Assault Bridge (HAB) MYP contract in fiscal year 2000, a year earlier than the planned fiscal year 2001 contract award year, based upon the estimated \$118.0 million in savings that could be accrued from the 80 percent commonality of SEP and HAB chassis and component upgrades and its belief that both of the vehicles met the stable design criteria to enter into a MYP contract. The committee is concerned, however, by the 74 percent increase requested for systems technical support in fiscal year 2002 for 104 SEP upgrades, compared to the amount appropriated for fiscal year 2001 systems technical support for 100 SEP upgrades.

The committee believes that the cost growth in systems technical support is unjustified and, therefore, recommends \$385.8 million for the Abrams upgrade program, a decrease of \$10.0 million.

Bradley base sustainment

The budget request contained \$400.8 million for the procurement of Bradley A3 fighting vehicle upgrades, including \$1.7 million for fielding Army National Guard (ARNG) A2 Operation Desert Storm (ODS) variants.

The Bradley A2ODS is derived from upgrading the first-generation Bradley A0's lethality, survivability, and mobility, as well as the situational awareness of its crew. Modifications include installation of a laser range finder, Global Positioning System navigation capability, a combat identification system, a driver's thermal viewer and a missile countermeasure device.

When the Army completes all of its planned upgrades to the Bradley, the active fleet will include a mix of the most advanced A3 variant, along with A2 and A2ODS versions. The majority of the ARNG's Bradley fleet, on the other hand, will remain unmodified and be comprised mainly of first-generation A0 vehicles, which, because of major survivability deficiencies, were not mobilized during the Persian Gulf War. However, as part of the new ARNG enhanced brigades, the committee notes that some of these A0 vehicles will be required to deploy with active Army forces.

Because ARNG enhanced brigades will comprise an increasing percentage of the Army's warfighting capability as a result of active force reductions, the committee recommends \$460.8 million for Bradley base sustainment, an increase of \$60.0 million, to upgrade an additional 45 Bradley A0 vehicles to the A2ODS variant for the ARNG.

Ammunition Procurement, Army

Overview

The budget request contained \$1,193.4 million for Ammunition Procurement, Army in fiscal year 2002. The committee rec-ommends authorization of \$1,208.6 million for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on afford-ability considerations.

| TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands) |
|---|
|---|

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE VDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY AMMUNITION | | | | | | |
| SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION | | | | | | |
| 1 CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES | | 67,241 | | | | 67,241 |
| 2 CTG 5.56MM ARMOR PIERCING M995 | 2,605 | 3,551 | | | 2,605 | 3,551 |
| 3 CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES | | 11,833 | | | | 11,833 |
| 4 CTG 7.62MM ARMOR PIERCING XM993 | 1,168 | 2,412 | | | 1,168 | 2,412 |
| 5 CTG, 9MM, ALL TYPES | | 2,657 | | | | 2,657 |
| 6 CTG, 50 CAL, ALL TYPES | | 26,823 | | | , | 26,823 |
| 7 CTG CAL 50 API MK211 MOD 0 | 404 | 3,211 | | | 404 | 3,211 |
| 8 CTG, 20MM, ALL TYPES | | 85 | | | | 85 |
| 9 CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES | I | 46,231 | | | • | 46,231 |
| 10 CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES | 1 | 9,811 | | | • | 9,811 |
| 11 CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES | | 49,395 | | | • | 49,395 |
| 12 NONLETHAL WEAPONS CAPABILITY SET | £ | 5,891 | | | 5 | 5,891 |
| MORTAR AMMUNITION | | | | | , | • |
| 13 60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES | 1 | 45,389 | | | | 45,389 |
| 14 81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES | | • | | 8,000 | | 8,000 |
| M816 | | | | [+8,000] | | [+8,000] |
| 15 CTG MORTAR 120MM HE M934 W/MO FUZE | 50 | 39,536 | | | 50 | 39,536 |
| 16 CTG MORTAR 120MM ILLUM XM930 W/MTSQ FZ | CN | 3,521 | | 2,800 | 2 | 6,321 |
| Production Line Upgrade | | | | [+2,800] | | [+2,800] |
| 17 CTG 120MM WP SMOKE M929A1 | H | 11,480 | | | 1 | 11,480 |
| 18 CTG 120MAM IR ILLEM YMOR3 | c | 1010 | | | c | |

40

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 002 ZATION JEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ITTEE E FROM JEST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 TTEE NDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| TANK AMMUNITION | | | | | | ı |
| 19 CTG, 105MM, HEP-T, W/FUZE F/TANK M393 | - | 6,036 | | | - | 6,036 |
| 20 CTG 120MM APFSDS-T M829A2/M829E3 | 5. | 35,596 | | | ŝ | 35,596 |
| 21 CTG 120MM HEAT-MP-T M830A1 | | • | | | | • |
| - | 86 | 46,200 | | | 86 | 46,200 |
| 23 CTG TANK 120MM TPCSDS-T M865 | 198 | 97,487 | | | 198 | 97,487 |
| ARTILLERY AMMUNITION | | | | | | • |
| 24 CTG ARTY 75MM BLANK M337A1 | 38 | 1,824 | | | 38 | 1,824 |
| 25 CTG ARTY 105MM BLANK M395 | , | , | | | , | ı |
| 26 CTG ARTY 105MM DPICM XM915 | | | | | | , |
| 27 CTG ARTY 105MM M927 | • | 14 | | | | 14 |
| 28 CTG ARTY 105MM ILLUM M314 SERIES | 9 | 5,037 | | | 9 | 5,037 |
| 29 PROJ ARTY 155MM SMOKE WP M825 | | , | | | • | 1 |
| 30 PROJ ARTY 155MM HE M795 | , | • | | | | • |
| 31 PROJ ARTY 155MM SADARM M898 | ı | ı | | | | , |
| 32 REMOTE AREA DENIAL ARTILLERY MUNITION (RADAM) | 104 | 48,218 | | (27,400) | 104 | 20,818 |
| 33 PROJ ARTY 155MM HE M107 | 224 | 41,400 | | | 224 | 41,400 |
| 34 MODULAR ARTILLERY CHARGE SYSTEM (MACS) | 836 | 87,413 | | | 836 | 87,413 |
| ARTILLERY FUZES | | | | | • | • |
| 35 ARTILLERY FUZES, ALL TYPES | I | 56,443 | | | | 56,443 |
| | | | | | • | , 1 , 1 |
| | | 9,536 | | | | 9,536 |
| | | ı | | | | ı |
| 38 WIDE AREA MUNITIONS | • | 2,025 | | | ' | 2,025 |
| DUCKETS | | | | | | |

41

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ttee From Est | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 102 TTEE VDATION |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 39 BUNKER DEFEATING MUNITION (BDM) | • | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| 40 ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES | - 136,654 | 54 | | | 136,654 |
| OTHER AMMUNITION | | | | | , |
| 41 DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES | - 18,168 | 68 | 3,000 | | 21,168 |
| Modernization Demolition Initiators | | | [+3,000] | | [+3,000] |
| 42 GRENADES, ALL TYPES | - 25,710 | 10 | | • | 25,710 |
| 43 SIGNALS, ALL TYPES | - 10,611 | 11 | 6,200 | | 16,811 |
| XM-211/XM-212 AIRCM | | | [+6,200] | | [+6,200] |
| 44 SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES | - 3,409 | 60 | | | 3,409 |
| MISCELLANEOUS | | | | | |
| 45 AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES | - 6,8 | 6,874 | | | 6,874 |
| 46 CAD/PAD ALL TYPES | - 5,037 | 37 | | | 5,037 |
| 47 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | - 11,018 | 18 | | , | 11,018 |
| 48 AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT | - 8,8 | 8,816 | | | 8,816 |
| 49 FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO) | - 5,218 | 18 | | ı | 5,218 |
| 50 CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES | - 32,213 | 13 | | ı | 32,213 |
| TOTAL AMMUNITION | 1,033,545 | 45 | 7,600 | | 1,041,145 |
| AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT 51 PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES 52 LAYAWAY OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES 53 MAINTENANCE OF INACTIVE FACILITIES 54 CONVENTIONAL AMMO DEMILITARIZATION | - 57,277 - 13,815 - 10,802 - 73,225 | 77 115 002 255 | | | 57,277 13,815 10,802 73,225 |

42

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ttee From Est | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE VDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY COST QUANTITY COST QUANTITY COST | COST |
| 55 ARMS INITIATIVE | | 4,701 | | 7,600 | , | 12,301 |
| TOTAL AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT | | 159,820 | | 7,600 | | 167,420 |
| TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY | | 1,193,365 | | 15,200 | | 1,208,565 |

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

Items of Special Interest

Army ammunition procurement

The budget request contained \$1,193.3 million for procurement of ammunition and production base support. The committee recommends \$1,208.6 million, an increase of \$15.2 million, for the following types of ammunition programs:

[Dollars in millions]

Mortar Ammunition:

| Mortal Ammunition. | |
|--|-------|
| 81mm M816 | \$8.0 |
| CTG 120mm Illum XM930 w/MTSQ Fuze (Production line upgrade) | 2.8 |
| CTG 120mm IR Illum XM983 | 5.0 |
| Rockets: Bunker Defeating Munition | 10.0 |
| Demolition Munitions, All Types: Modernization Demolition Initiators | 3.0 |
| Signals, All Types: XM–211/XM–212 AIRCM | 6.2 |
| Production Base Support: ARMS Initiative | 7.6 |

Remote area denial artillery munition (RADAM)

The budget request contained \$48.2 million for RADAM procurement. The committee understands that the Army does not plan to obligate \$27.4 million of fiscal year 2001 funds for RADAM prior to the beginning of fiscal year 2002. As a result, the committee believes that these funds can be used to meet fiscal year 2002 requirements. Accordingly, the committee recommends \$20.8 million for RADAM, a decrease of \$27.4 million.

White phosphorus production facility

The budget request contained no funds to upgrade the white phosphorous production facility at the Pine Bluff Arsenal.

The committee is aware of plans to upgrade the production line at the Pine Bluff Arsenal, the only production facility for white phosphorous ammunition in the western hemisphere. The committee views this as an important effort and recommends \$2.8 million for design work leading to replacement of the production line. The committee also directs the Secretary of the Army to examine and to refine further these plans in preparation for the submission of the fiscal year 2003 budget request.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Overview

The budget request contained \$3,961.7 million for Other Procurement, Army in fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends authorization of \$4,144.0 million for fiscal year 2002.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

| | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 002 ZATION IEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 TTEE NDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | | | | |
| TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES TACTICAL VEHICI FS | | | | | | |
| 1 TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS | | 3,723 | | | , | 3,723 |
| 2 SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED: | | 29,317 | | | 1 | 29,317 |
| 3 SEMITRAILERS, TANKERS | , | 6,664 | | | , | 6,664 |
| 4 SEMITRAILER VAN CGO SUPPLY 12T 4WHL M129A2C | 95 | 7,300 | | | 95 | 7,300 |
| 5 HI MOB MULTI-PURP WHLD VEH (HMMWV) | • | 130,821 | | | , | 130,821 |
| 6 TRUCK, DUMP, 20T (CCE) | 30 | 8,078 | | | 30 | 8,078 |
| 7 FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) | | 467,386 | | | | 467,386 |
| 8 FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN | | 5,024 | | | | 5,024 |
| 9 FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV) | | 157,633 | | | ı | 157,633 |
| 10 ARMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (ASV) | 20 | 14,483 | | | 20 | 14,483 |
| 11 TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HAUL, M915/M916 | | 47,507 | | | | 47,507 |
| 12 TOWING DEVICE, 5TH WHEEL | 34 | 2,013 | | | 34 | 2,013 |
| 13 TRUCK, TRACTOR, YARD TYPE, M878 (C/S) | 35 | 4,003 | | | 35 | 4,003 |
| 14 HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV P | 169 | 31,304 | | | 169 | 31,304 |
| 15 LINE HAUL ESP | 240 | 18,515 | | | 240 | 18,515 |
| 16 MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP | , | 49,184 | | 10,000 | | 59,184 |
| Wheel-to-Track Conversion System | | | | [+10,000] | | [+10,000] |
| 17 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (TAC VEH) | ı | 1,903 | | | 1 | 1,903 |
| NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES | | | | | ı | ı |
| 18 HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN | e | 585 | | | e | 585 |
| 19 PASSFNGER CARRYING VEHICI ES | , | 1.115 | | | | 111 |

| CURE | (Dollars in Thousands) |
|------|------------------------|
|------|------------------------|

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 TTEE NDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 20 NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER | 53 | 5,458 | | | 53 | 5,458 |
| TOTAL TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES | | 992,016 | | 10,000 | | 1,002,016 |
| COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| COMM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | |
| 21 COMBAT IDENTIFICATION PROGRAM | | 13,147 | | | ı | 13,147 |
| 22 JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM) | | 5,594 | | | , | 5,594 |
| COMM - SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | , | , |
| 23 DEFENSE SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (SPAC | | 99,420 | | | | 99,420 |
| 24 SHF TERM | • | 16,951 | | (16,951) | | |
| 25 SAT TERM, EMUT (SPACE) | | 12,640 | | | | 12,640 |
| 26 NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE) | 7,120 | 20,806 | | | 7,120 | 20,806 |
| 27 SMART-T (SPACE) | | 21,704 | | | ı | 21,704 |
| 28 SCAMP (SPACE) | | 3,562 | | | • | 3,562 |
| 29 GLOBAL BRDCST SVC - GBS | | 6,969 | | | · | 6,969 |
| 30 MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT) | | 2,492 | | | ı | 2,492 |
| COMM - C3 SYSTEM | | | | | , | |
| 31 ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS) | | 8,622 | | | | 8,622 |
| COMM - COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | , |
| 32 ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO) | | 46,332 | | | | 46,332 |
| 33 SINCGARS FAMILY | • | 20,687 | | | ı | 20,687 |
| 34 TRACTOR CAGE | • | 1,866 | | | | 1,866 |
| 35 JOINT TACTICAL AREA COMMAND SYSTEMS | , | 971 | | | | 971 |
| 36 ACUS MOD PROGRAM | | 113,137 | | | | 113,137 |
| | | | | | | |

46

| ŝ |
|--------|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 0 |
| |
| - |
| |
| |
| |
| - |
| _ |
| .= |
| |
| |
| - CO |
| |
| - |
| 3 |
| |
| _ |
| _ |
| 0 |
| |
| \sim |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| (Dolla | (Dollars in Thousands) | s) | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ZATION EEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ttee From Est | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE VDATION |
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 37 COMMS-ELEC EQUIP FIELDING | , | 3,412 | | | | 3,412 |
| 37A IMPROVED HIGH FREQUENCY RADIO, USAR | | | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| 38 SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELECTRONICS | | 5,136 | | | | 5,136 |
| 39 PRODUCT IMPROVED COMBAT VEHICLE CREWMAN HEADS | • | • | | 9,000 | | 9,000 |
| 40 COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR (CSEL) | | 12,720 | | | • | 12,720 |
| 41 MEDICAL COMM FOR CBT CASUALTY CARE (MC4) | , | 7,703 | | | • | 7,703 |
| COMM - INTELLIGENCE COMM | | | | | , | , |
| 42 CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE | | 1,635 | | | , | 1,635 |
| INFORMATION SECURITY | | | | | | |
| 43 TSEC - ARMY KEY MGT SYS (AKMS) | | 12,203 | | | | 12,203 |
| 44 INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP | | 42,244 | | 10,000 | | 52,244 |
| Additional Secure Terminal Equipment | | | | [+10,000] | | [+10,000] |
| COMM - LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | |
| 45 TERRESTRIAL TRANSMISSION | , | 2,038 | | | | 2,038 |
| 46 BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS | | 11,739 | | | , | 11,739 |
| 47 ARMY DISN ROUTER | | 4,931 | | | | 4,931 |
| 48 ELECTROMAG COMP PROG (EMCP) | • | 462 | | | , | 462 |
| 49 WW TECH CON IMP PROG (WWTCIP) | | 2,998 | | | , | 2,998 |
| COMM - BASE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | • |
| 50 INFORMATION SYSTEMS | | 166,679 | | | | 166,679 |
| 51 DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS) | | 18,463 | | | | 18,463 |
| 52 LOCAL AREA NETWORK (LAN) | • | 103,965 | | | | 103,965 |
| 53 PENTAGON INFORMATION MGT AND TELECOM | | 33,605 | | | F | 33,605 |
| | | | | | • | • |
| 54 FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROG (FCI) | • | 877 | | | • | 877 |

47

| TITLE 1 - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands) |
|---|
|---|

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE UDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 55 GENERAL DEFENSE INTELL PROG (GDIP) | - | 27.994 | | | - | 27,994 |
| ELECT EQUIP - TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA) | | | | | , | , |
| 56 ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYS (ASAS) (TIARA) | , | 46,931 | | | ı | 46.931 |
| 57 JTT/CIBS-M (TIARA) | 59 | 10,345 | | | 59 | 10,345 |
| 58 PROPHET GROUND (TIARA) | 28 | 15,734 | | | 28 | 15,734 |
| 59 TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE (TUAV) | 12 | 84,300 | | 7,300 | 12 | 91,600 |
| 60 JOINT STARS (ARMY) (TIARA) | | 21,304 | | | ı | 21.304 |
| 61 DIGITAL TOPOGRAPHIC SPT SYS (DTSS) (TIARA) | | 20,124 | | | ı | 20.124 |
| 62 DRUG INTERDICTION PROGRAM (DIP) (TIARA) | | • | | | , | . 1 |
| 63 TACTICAL EXPLOITATION OF NATIONAL CAPABILITIE | | ı | | | • | • |
| 64 TACTICAL EXPLOITATION SYSTEM/DCGS-A (TIARA) | ł | 26,168 | | | , | 26.168 |
| 65 COMMON IMAGERY GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEM (CIGSS) | ł | 2,611 | | | | 2,611 |
| 66 TROJAN (TIARA) | | 4,895 | | | ٠ | 4,895 |
| 67 MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (TIARA) | | 1,744 | | | | 1,744 |
| 68 CI HUMINT AUTOMATED TOOL SET (CHATS) (TIARA) | | 1,492 | | | 1 | 1,492 |
| 69 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (TIARA) | | 2,091 | | | ı | 2,091 |
| ELECT EQUIP - ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) | | | | | | |
| 70 SHORTSTOP | | 5 | | | | 5 |
| 71 COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES | • | 2,306 | | | | 2,306 |
| ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV) | | | | | ı | 1 |
| | | 1,887 | | | | 1,887 |
| | • | 30,885 | | | • | 30,885 |
| _ | , | 37,019 | | | | 37,019 |
| _ | 80 | 44,535 | | | 80 | 44,535 |
| 76 LTWT VIDEO RECON SYSTEM (LWVRS) | 16 | 1,339 | | | 16 | 1,339 |
| | | | | | | |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION | 02 ATION | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM | TTEE FROM | FY 2002 COMMITTEE | 02 TEE |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------|
| | REQUEST | EST | REQUEST | EST | RECOMMENDATION | IDATION |
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 77 NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN SIGHT | 1,643 | 35,134 | | | 1,643 | 35,134 |
| 78 COMBAT IDENTIFICATION / AIMING LIGHT | • | 8,503 | | | , | 8,503 |
| 79 ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP | | 10,413 | | 4,500 | ı | 14,913 |
| AN/TMQ-41 MMS, ARNG | | | | [+4,500] | | [+4,500] |
| 80 MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (MMS) | • | 935 | | | | 935 |
| 81 MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (MVS) | • | 251 | | | ı | 251 |
| 82 PORTABLE INDUCTIVE ARTILLERY FUZE SETTER (PIA | · | • | | | | ı |
| 83 MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SURV) | 1 | 21,478 | | | | 21,478 |
| 84 FORCE XXI BATTLE CMD BRIGADE & BELOW (FBCB2) | 1,655 | 74,663 | | | 1,655 | 74,663 |
| 85 LIGHTWEIGHT LASER DESIGNATOR/RANGEFINDER (LLD | 21 | 7,059 | | | 21 | 7,059 |
| 86 COMPUTER BALLISTICS: MORTAR M-30 | | • | | | | |
| 87 MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM | 53 | 16,785 | | | 53 | 16,785 |
| 88 INTEGRATED MET SYS SENSORS (IMETS) - TIARA | I | 2,521 | | | ١ | 2,521 |
| ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS | | | | | r | ı |
| 89 TACTICAL OPERATIONS CENTERS | ı | 38,952 | | | • | 38,952 |
| 90 ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACT DATA SYS (AFATDS) | | 49,476 | | | ı | 49,476 |
| 91 LIGHT WEIGHT TECHICAL FIRE DIRECTION SYS (LWT | | 1,677 | | | , | 1,677 |
| 92 CMBT SVC SUPT CONTROL SYS (CSSCS) | | 25,201 | | | ŀ | 25,201 |
| 93 FAAD C2 | , | 8,900 | | | , | 8,900 |
| 94 FAADC2I MODIFICATIONS | | • | | | , | , |
| 95 AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS (AMD | • | 10,299 | | | t | 10,299 |
| 96 FORWARD ENTRY DEVICE (FED) | ł | 15,915 | | | | 15,915 |
| 97 STRIKER-COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM | 31 | 21,442 | | | 31 | 21,442 |
| 98 LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS) | , | 936 | | | ł | 936 |
| 99 LOGTECH | | 8,212 | | | ľ | 8,212 |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION BEOLIEET | 002 ZATION | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM | ITTEE FROM | FY 2002 COMMITTEE | 002 TTEE |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | | 2 | | 2 | | |
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 100 TC AIMS II | | 25,512 | | | | 25,512 |
| 101 GUN LAYING AND POS SYS (GLPS) | 131 | 12,079 | | | 131 | 12,079 |
| 102 ISYSCON EQUIPMENT | ı | 32,448 | | | , | 32,448 |
| 103 MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS) | 49 | 6,839 | | | 49 | 6,839 |
| 104 STAMIS TACTICAL COMPUTERS (STACOMP) | 1 | 60,621 | | | | 60,621 |
| 105 STANDARD INTEGRATED CMD POST SYSTEM | | 30,513 | | 15,000 | • | 45,513 |
| Additional Modular Command Post System Tents | | | | [+15,000] | | [+15,000] |
| ELECT EQUIP - AUTOMATION | | | | | | |
| 106 ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION | • | 26,312 | | | | 26,312 |
| 107 AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP | | 146,885 | | | | 146,885 |
| 108 RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS) | • | 89,319 | | | ı | 89,319 |
| ELECT EQUIP - AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V) | | | | | | |
| 109 SPECIAL INFORMATION OPERATIONS (SIO) (TIARA) | • | 206 | | | | 206 |
| 110 AFRTS | • | 2,481 | | | | 2,481 |
| 111 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (A/V) | • | 5,778 | | | | 5,778 |
| | | 631 | | | | 631 |
| ELECT EQUIP - SUPPORT | | | | | | |
| 113 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E) | , | 419 | | | | 419 |
| TOTAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT | | 2,008,214 | | 38,849 | | 2,047,063 |
| OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT 114 SMOKE & OBSCUPANT FAMILY: SOF (NON AAO ITEM) BRIDGING EQUIPMENT | · | 23,547 | | | | 23,547 - |

50

| | AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | ATION EST | CHANGE FROM REQUEST | HANGE FROM REQUEST | COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | TTEE NDATION |
|---|--------------------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 115 TACTICAL BRIDGING, DRY SUPPORT | ŀ | 25,752 | | | | 25,752 |
| 116 TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON | | 48,181 | | 11,200 | ı | 59,381 |
| ARNG | | | | [+11,200] | | [+11,200] |
| ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT | | | | | | ı |
| 117 DISPENSER, MINE M139 | • | 2,400 | | | • | 2,400 |
| 118 KIT, STANDARD TELEOPERATING | | • | | | | • |
| 119 GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (GSTAMIDS | | 13,272 | | | • | 13,272 |
| 120 WIDE AREA MUNITIONS (REMOTE CONTROL UNIT) | 274 | 3,317 | | | 274 | 3,317 |
| 121 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT) | 11,207 | 4,058 | | | 11,207 | 4,058 |
| 122 < \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT | ı | 156 | | | ı | 156 |
| 123 BN COUNTERMINE SIP | | • | | | | |
| COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | 1 | ı |
| 124 HEATERS AND ECU'S | • | 5,082 | | | , | 5,082 |
| 125 LAUNDRIES, SHOWERS AND LATRINES | | 23,232 | | 5,000 | ı | 28,232 |
| Additional Laundry Advanced Systems | | | | [+5,000] | | [+5,000] |
| 126 SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT | | 3,148 | | | , | 3,148 |
| 127 LIGHTWEIGHT MAINTENANCE ENCLOSURE (LME) | 276 | 3,636 | | 15,000 | 276 | 18,636 |
| 128 FORCE PROVIDER | | • | | | • | |
| 129 FIELD FEEDING AND REFRIGERATION | ı | 7,043 | | | | 7,043 |
| 130 AIR DROP PROGRAM | | • | | | • | • |
| 131 CAMOUFLAGE: ULCANS | • | • | | 10,000 | • | 10,000 |
| 132 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CSS-EQ) | · | 4,001 | | | ı | 4,001 |
| PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT | | | | | • | |
| 133 FAMILY OF TANK ASSEMBLIES, FABRIC, COLLAPSIBL | , | • | | | • | • |
| 134 QUALITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT | • | 7,694 | | | , | 7,694 |

51

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE VDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 135 DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER | | 18,294 | | | ı | 18,294 |
| 136 PUMPS, WATER AND FUEL | ı | ı | | | | ı |
| 137 ASSAULT HOSELINE SYSTEM | 35 | 5,361 | | | 35 | 5,361 |
| 138 INLAND PETROLEUM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM | ı | 1,706 | | | | 1,706 |
| 139 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (POL) | ı | ı | | | ı | · |
| WATER EQUIPMENT | | | | | , | , |
| 140 WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS | , | 39,289 | | | | 39,289 |
| 141 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WATER EQ) | | | | | ı | • |
| MEDICAL EQUIPMENT | | | | | ı | , |
| 142 COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL | | 16,731 | | 7,000 | | 23,731 |
| Rapid IV Pumps | | | | [+6,000] | | [+6,000] |
| Temper Tents, USAR | | | | [+1,000] | | [+1,000] |
| MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT | | | | | | · |
| 143 SHOP EQ CONTACT MAINTENANCE TRK MTD (MYP) | 160 | 9,979 | | | 160 | 9,979 |
| 144 WELDING SHOP, TRAILER MTD | 144 | 6,053 | | | 144 | 6,053 |
| 145 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ) | | 2,617 | | | ١ | 2,617 |
| 146 STEAM CLEANER, TRAILER MOUNTED | | • | | | | ı |
| CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT | | | | | • | • |
| 147 SCRAPER, EARTHMOVING, 7 1/2 CU YD | | 7,230 | | 6,000 | , | 13,230 |
| 148 DISTR, WATER, SP MIN 2500G SEC/NON-SEC | 28 | 1,006 | | 4,000 | 28 | 5,006 |
| 149 MISSION MODULES - ENGINEERING | | 6,121 | | | • | 6,121 |
| 150 COMPACTOR | 50 | 4,589 | | | 50 | 4,589 |
| 151 LOADERS | • | 12,669 | | | , | 12,669 |
| 152 HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR | 21 | 4,589 | | | 21 | 4,589 |
| 153 DEPLOYABLE UNIVERSAL COMBAT EARTH MOVERS | ı | 5,301 | | 16,000 | , | 21,301 |
| DEPLOYABLE UN | | 5,301 | | 16,00 | 0 | · 0 |

52

| OLIANTITY OGST QUANTITY COST QUANTI | PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 2 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TEE -ROM ST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 32 TEE IDATION |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--|----------------------|
| 1000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 150 TPH 2 2,029 1 1 2,013 1 2,013 1 1 2,013 1 1,07 1 1 SYSTEM (TRES) 1 1,07 1 1,07 1 1 SYSTEM (TRES) 1 1,07 1 1,07 1 1 SYSTEM (TRES) 1 1,07 1 2,013 1 1 SYSTEM (TRES) 1 1,2,428 1 | | | 2,018 | | | | 2,018 |
| iso TPH 2 444 2 1 107 1107 1107 1107 1107 1107 1107 1 | 155 CRANES | | 22,029 | | | • | 22,029 |
| TER, M9 ACE 1 2,013 1 SYSTEM (TRES) 1 5,031 1 SYSTEM (TRES) 1 5,031 1 T EQUIP 1 5,031 1 T EQUIPMENT 1 5,031 1 T EQUIPMENT 1 2,428 1 N 1 25,437 1 N 1 25,437 1 SV) 1 25,437 1 SV) 1 25,437 1 SV) 1 25,437 1 ESP) 1 25,437 1 MILILI 1 25,437 1 D EQUIP 1 2,543 1 MILICH 2 3,254 1 MOLER (RTCH) 84 4,3,353 84 MILIE 5,768 1 1 STEM 145 1 1 RAM<(ESP) | 156 CRUSHING/SCREENING PLANT, 150 TPH | N | 4,474 | | | 2 | 4,474 |
| FER. M9 ACE 1 1,107 1 SYSTEM (TRES) 1 5,031 1 SYSTEM (TRES) 1 5,031 1 T EOUIP) 1 12,974 1 N EOUIPMENT 1 12,974 1 SV) 1 12,428 1 N 1 25,437 1 SV) 1 25,437 1 SSP) 1 2,54 1 DEOUIP 1 3,254 1 MU 1 3,254 1 MNDLER (RTCH) 84 4,3,353 STEM 5 1,007 5 RAME 1 1 1 STEM | 157 PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING | • | 2,013 | | | | 2,013 |
| SYSTEM (TRES) 1 5,031 1 1 5,031 1 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 2,974 1 1 2,974 1 1 2,974 1 1 2,974 1 1 2,974 1 1 2,974 1 1 2,974 1 1 2,974 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 158 ARMORED COMBAT EARTHMOVER, M9 ACE | - | 1,107 | | | - | 1,107 |
| T EQUIP) T EQUIP) N EQUIPMENT SV) SV) SV) SV) SV) SV) SV) SV) SV) SV) | 159 TACTICAL RAPID EXCAVATION SYSTEM (TRES) | - | 5,031 | | | - | 5,031 |
| T EQUIP) - 12,428 - 12,428 - 12,428 - 12,428 - 12,428 - 12,428 - 12,428 - 12,428 - 12,428 - 12,428 - 12,437 - 12,437 - 12,437 - 12,437 - 12,437 - 12,437 - 12,437 - 12,437 - 12,437 - 12,437 - 12,437 - 12,437 - 12,437 - 12,437 - 14,557 - 14,557 - 1 | CONST EQUIP ES | • | 12,974 | | | , | 12,974 |
| N EQUIPMENT N EQUIPMENT N EQUIPMENT N = | 161 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP) | • | 12,428 | | | | 12,428 |
| SV) | RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT | | | | | • | ı |
| SV) 1 25,437 1 1 25,437 1 1 25,437 1 25,437 1 25,437 1 25,437 1 25,437 2 2,000 1 2 2,000 1 2 2,000 1 2 2 2,000 1 2 2 2 2,000 1 2 2 2 2,000 1 2 2 2 2,000 1 2 2 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 2 5 1 1,000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 162 SMALL TUG | ı | ı | | | | , |
| SV) 1 25,437 1 1 25,437 1 5 4 1 25,437 1 25,437 1 5 5 4 5 1 25,437 1 5 1 7 1 25,437 1 1 25,437 1 1 25,437 1 1 2 5,437 1 1 2 5,437 1 1 2 5,447 1 1 2 5,768 1 1 4 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 1 2 5 1 1 1 1 | 163 FLOATING CRANE, 100-250 TON | | • | | | , | , |
| JESSEL (ESP) - <t< td=""><td>164 LOGISTIC SUPPORT VESSEL (LSV)</td><td>-</td><td>25,437</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>25,437</td></t<> | 164 LOGISTIC SUPPORT VESSEL (LSV) | - | 25,437 | | | - | 25,437 |
| 9 FOOT M (FLOAT/RAIL) M (FLOAT/RAIL) M (FLOAT/RAIL) M (FLOAT/RAIL) M (FLOAT/RAIL) M (FLOAT/RAIL) M (FLOATE COULP COULER (FTCH) C 59,768 C 59,778 C 59,778 C 59,778 C 59,778 C | 165 LOGISTICS SUPPORT VESSEL (ESP) | | • | | | , | ı |
| AT, 89 FOOT - <td< td=""><td>166 CAUSEWAY SYSTEMS</td><td>I</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ı</td><td>ļ</td></td<> | 166 CAUSEWAY SYSTEMS | I | | | | ı | ļ |
| P 3,254 | 167 RAILWAY CAR, FLAT, 89 FOOT | I | , | | | , | , |
| JIP - 59,768 - 54,768 | 168 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL) | • | 3,254 | | | • | 3,254 |
| JIP - 59,768 - 10 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 146 | GENERATORS | | | | | | |
| ER (RTCH) 84 43,353 84 84 85 84 84 84 84 85 84 84 84 85 84 84 85 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 | GENERATORS AN | | 59,768 | | | ı | 59,768 |
| ER (RTCH) 84 43,353 84 145 21,062 145 145 (ESP) 5 1,007 5 5 1,007 5 5 1,007 5 5 1,007 5 5 1,007 5 5 1,007 5 5 1,007 5 5 5 1,007 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | | | | • | |
| (ESP) 145 21,062 145 5 1,007 5 481 - | 170 ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER HANDLER (RTCH) | 84 | 43,353 | | | 84 | 43,353 |
| (ESP) 5 1,007 5 5 | 171 ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY SYSTEM | 145 | 21,062 | | | 145 | 21,062 |
| CONTAINER CRANE | 172 MHE EXTENDED SERVICE PROGRAM (ESP) | S | 1,007 | | | 5 | 1,007 |
| ▲ \$5.0M (MHE) - 481 481 | 173 ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER CRANE | | ı | | | | |
| TRAINING EQUIPMENT | 174 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MHE) | ı | 481 | | | | 481 |
| | TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | | | | ı | ı |

53

TITLE 1 - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 (ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE VDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| f — | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 175 CTC INSTRUMENTATION SUPPORT | | 10,307 | | 6,000 | | 16,307 |
| Deployable Force-on-Force Instrumented Range System (DFIRST), ARI | NG | | | [+6,000] | | [+6,000] |
| 176 TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM | | 74,481 | | 37,200 | | 111,681 |
| Army Aviation Institutional Training Simulator | | | | [+20,000] | | [+20,000] |
| BEAMHIT, USAR | | | | [+14,200] | | [+14,200] |
| Fire Fighter Training System | | | | [+3,000] | | [+3,000] |
| 177 CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER | • | 36,783 | | | | 36,783 |
| 178 AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (AVCA | 1 | 25,227 | | | ı | 25,227 |
| 179 FIRE SUPPORT COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER | ۲ | • | | | | , |
| TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD) | | | | | ŀ | • |
| 180 CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT | ł | 16,001 | | | | 16,001 |
| 181 INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE) | | 52,397 | | | , | 52,397 |
| 182 TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD) | , | 15,655 | | | ı | 15,655 |
| 183 ARMY DIAGNOSTICS IMPROVEMENT PGM (ADIP) | | 18,344 | | | | 18,344 |
| OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | · | |
| 184 RECONFIGURABLE SIMULATORS | • | 365 | | | | 365 |
| 185 PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3) | | 69,227 | | | I | 69,227 |
| 186 BASE LEVEL COM'L EQUIPMENT | ' | 8,696 | | | 1 | 8,696 |
| 187 MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3) | | 32,468 | | | | 32,468 |
| 188 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH) | • | 2,545 | | | , | 2,545 |
| 189 SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING | • | 16,400 | | 16,000 | | 32,400 |
| Additional XMTARAMB | | | | [+12,000] | | [+12,000] |
| Target Receiver Injection Module Threat Simulator | | | | [+4,000] | | [+4,000] |
| 190 MA8975 | • | 6,057 | | | ì | 6,057 |
| 191 CLOSED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS | • | ı | | | ı | |

| TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands) |
|---|
|---|

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM | щõ. | FY 2002 COMMITTEE | 02 TTEE |
|--|---|--------------------------|---------|----------------------|------------|
| | QUANTITY COST QUANTITY COST QUANTITY COST | | SOST | QUANTITY | COST |
| TOTAL OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 917,443 | | 133,400 | | 1,050,843 |
| SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | |
| OPA1 | | | | | |
| 192 INITIAL SPARES - TSV | 1 | | | ı | ı |
| OPA2 | | | | | |
| 193 INITIAL SPARES - C&E | - 43,093 | | | ı | 43,093 |
| OPA3 | | | | | |
| 194 INITIAL SPARES - OTHER SUPPORT EQUIP | - 971 | | | , | 971 |
| | | | | | |
| TOTAL SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS | 44,064 | | • | | 44,064 |
| | | | | | |

4,143,986

182,249

3,961,737

TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Items of Special Interest

Artillery accuracy equipment

The budget request contained \$10.4 million to procure the artillery accuracy equipment, including \$6.8 million for the procurement of seven Meteorological Measuring System (MMS) units for the Army National Guard (ARNG). The MMS provides weather data to field artillery units that improves the firing accuracy of those units.

The committee is encouraged that the Army has budgeted for MMSs for the ARNG; however, it recognizes that accelerated fielding of MMS to the ARNG would benefit total Army mission requirements, since the ARNG provides nearly 70 percent of the total Army's artillery fire support. Therefore, the committee recommends \$14.9 million for artillery accuracy equipment, an increase of \$4.5 million, to accelerate procurement of the MMS for the ARNG.

Combat support medical

The budget request contained \$16.7 million to procure deployable medical systems and field medical equipment, of which \$641 thousand was for surgical temper tents. However, the budget request included no funds for rapid intravenous (IV) infusion pumps.

Surgical temper tents offer medical personnel and surgical teams shelter to provide medical and trauma care to soldiers in forward deployed sites. As a result of increased deployment of Army Reserve medical units, additional surgical temper tents are required to replace those that have been left behind in humanitarian missions.

The rapid IV infusion pump is a miniature, portable, lightweight pump specifically designed for life-saving intravenous fluid resuscitation by a medic in the field to restore blood pressure of victims with severe blood loss or dehydration. The committee notes that it is estimated that up to 15 percent of the soldiers that died in Vietnam who were not immediate battlefield casualties would have survived their wounds if rapid infusion of fluids had been a possibility during that conflict.

The committee understands the benefits of clean, sterile field medical treatment areas and, therefore, recommends an increase of \$1.0 million for replacement of surgical temper tents for the Army Reserve. Also, the committee is impressed with the potential life saving capability that rapid IV infusion pumps offer and recommends an increase of \$6.0 million to procure these pumps. In total, the committee recommends \$23.7 million for combat support medical equipment.

Combat training centers instrumentation support

The budget request contained \$10.3 million for combat training centers instrumentation support but included no funds for the Army National Guard (ARNG) deployable force-on-force instrumented range system (DFIRST).

Encouraged by the fact that the DFIRST system was chosen over all current force-on-force instrumentation systems by the All Service Combat Identification Evaluation Team (ASCIET) as the instrumentation system for the fiscal year 1999 Joint Exercise, in the committee report on H.R. 1401 (H. Rept. 106–162), the committee recommended a pilot program at two ARNG training sites to explore the capabilities and benefits of DFIRST systems to increase the readiness of ARNG units through more effective training with greater safety and at a lower cost. To continue this force-on-force, simulation-based training at regional training centers, the committee recommends \$16.3 million for combat training centers instrumentation support, an increase of \$6.0 million, for additional DFIRSTs for the ARNG.

Deployable universal combat earthmovers (DEUCE)

The budget request contained \$5.3 million to procure 12 DEUCEs for the interim brigade combat teams. The DEUCE is a military-unique, high speed, earthmoving tractor capable of clearing, leveling, and excavating operations for light and airborne divisions.

Although, the committee understands that the DUECE will be a critical piece of equipment for the Army's interim medium brigades, it notes that the Army Chief of Staff has identified a \$7.8 million unfunded requirement in fiscal year 2002 to procure 19 DEUCEs for Army war reserve requirements and to prevent a break in the production line.

Accordingly, the committee recommends \$21.3 million for DEUCE, an increase of \$16.0 million, for additional war reserve DEUCEs and to address industrial base concerns.

Earthmoving scrapers

The budget request contained \$7.2 million to procure 17 commercial, self-propelled elevating scrapers.

This commercial, self-propelled elevating scraper is sectionalized into two pieces for external sling load helicopter transport. It will be used by airborne and air assault combat engineers for road and airfield construction and maintenance to support early entry forces. This new start program supports the Army's legacy-to-objective transformation campaign plan, enabling forces to more rapidly deploy and be sustained by quickly constructed infrastructure.

Therefore, the committee recommends \$13.2 million for earthmoving scrapers, an increase of \$6.0 million, to accelerate the procurement of commercial, self-propelled elevating scrapers.

High mobility trailers

The budget request contained no funds for safety modifications for high mobility trailers.

The Army has procured 5,116 high mobility trailers since fiscal year 1994 is unable to field these trailers due to an inability to meet mobility and safety requirements. The committee understands that the Army has an ongoing program to correct these deficiencies that is scheduled to be completed in fiscal year 2002. The committee also understands that the Army plans to conduct a recompetition for these trailers in fiscal year 2003 and, in conducting its market survey for this competition, expects the Secretary of the Army to determine if there is a requirement for low cost, state-ofthe-art, lightweight, detachable equipment storage and equipment transport carriers for non-combat missions, logistics support, and fire fighting services.

Improved high frequency radio (IHFR)

The budget request contained no funds to procure IHFRs.

The IHFR is the primary means of communications for maneuver battalions, combat support and combat service support units, the latter of which are comprised primarily of Army Reserve forces. The IHFR provides a versatile capability for short- and long-range communications, particularly important for highly mobile and geographically dispersed units not supported by active component communications units. The IHFR is also the only tactical radio that possesses a long-range communications capability independent of terrestrial or satellite relays and exceeds the range of the line-ofsight Single Channel Ground and Airborne Radio System. To date, only 215 systems have been fielded to the Army Reserve due to budget constraints; consequently, the Army Reserve must continue to maintain a mixture of older and unsupportable HF communications radios. For this reason, the Chief of the Army Reserve has identified a \$38.5 million fiscal year 2002 unfunded requirement for 1,003 IHFRs.

Accordingly, the committee recommends an increase of \$10.0 million to procure IHFRs for the Army Reserve.

Modification of in service equipment

The budget request contained \$49.2 million for modifications of in service equipment, but included no funds for the procurement of a rubber wheel-to-track conversion system.

The committee understands that there is an existing rubber track system, capable of converting both commercial and military four-wheel drive vehicles weighing up to 1.5 tons, such as the high mobility multi-purpose wheeled vehicle (HMMWV), into true allterrain vehicles in about 30 minutes. The committee believes this track system could enable HMMWVs to greatly expand their operational domain into all types of off-road conditions, such as soft sand, deep snow, and swampy areas.

Based on the potential to expand the HMMWV's off-road capability, the committee recommends \$59.2 million for modifications of in service equipment, an increase of \$10.0 million, to procure a wheel-to-track conversion system.

Nonsystem training devices

The budget request contained \$74.5 million to procure nonsystem training devices, but included no funds for Army Aviation Institutional Training Simulators (AAITS), BEAMHIT laser marksmanship training systems (LMTS) for the Army Reserve, or fire fighter training systems (FFTS).

The committee understands that the AAITS provide full-motion, reconfigurable cockpit simulation for AH-64 Apache, UH-60 Blackhawk, and OH-58D Kiowa Warrior helicopters and notes that a shortfall exists for the simulators at the Army Aviation Center. The committee notes that the Army Reserve lacks adequate BEAMHIT LMTS to maintain markmanship training skills, required to fulfill increasingly greater contingency operations and missions. Furthermore, the committee is aware that a shortfall of commercially-available, mobile FFTS remains, despite the increases for this system in prior fiscal years provided by the committee as well as the committee's prior recommendations that the Secretary of the Army adequately budget for this system.

In view of these concerns, the committee recommends \$111.7 million for nonsystems training devices, an increase of \$37.2 million, including \$20.0 million for AAITS, \$14.2 million for BEAMHIT LMTS for the Army Reserve, and \$3.0 million for FFTS.

Product improved combat vehicle crewman (PICVC) headset

The budget request contained no funds to procure PICVC headsets.

The committee is aware that loss of communications in CVC headsets was identified in late fiscal year 1998 during Force XXI Battle Command Brigade and Below (FBCB2) testing and evaluation in armored vehicles. This testing revealed that electromagnetic interference (EMI) generated by Single Channel Ground and Airborne Radio Systems installed in armored vehicles to transmit FBCB2 data created intermittent communication problems. The committee believes that intermittent communications caused by EMI could endanger crews as a result of not receiving complete command and control and targeting information in a high operational tempo or combat environment.

Since the PICVC headset eliminates EMI communication losses, the committee recommends an increase of \$9.0 million to procure PICVC headsets to address this safety issue.

Reserve component automation system (RCAS)

The budget request contained \$89.3 million for the procurement of RCAS components.

The committee expects the Army to continue to provide adequate funding for the on-going information technology support to the National Guard and the Army Reserve. Currently, the RCAS program provides integrated support for mobilization and day-to-day management in both the Army National Guard (ARNG) and Army Reserve. In setting future priorities, the committee believes that funds should be provided to ensure that the ARNG and Army Reserve can continue to equip and support their full IT needs, including their requirements to upgrade the equipment originally installed under RCAS. Consequently, the committee expects the Army to provide funds for these requirements so that the ARNG and Army Reserve can meet their national security, homeland security, civil support, and national missile defense missions.

Ribbon bridge

The budget request contained \$48.2 million for ribbon bridge equipment, but included no funds to procure this equipment for Army National Guard (ARNG) multi-role bridge companies (MRBC). Ribbon bridge equipment consists of 10-ton, 8-wheel drive M1977 Heavy Expanded Mobility Tactical Truck Common Bridge Transporters, M15 Bridge Adaptor Pallets, and M14 Improved Boat Cradles. The committee understands that the ARNG will establish seven MRBCs in fiscal year 2001 and will equip them with existing engineer bridging equipment and older, lower-capacity, five-ton trucks. However, the committee also understands that without additional funds, these new MRBCs will not convert to the new equipment required for their mission until fiscal year 2004.

Therefore, the committee recommends \$59.4 million for ribbon bridging equipment, an increase of \$11.2 million, to accelerate the fielding of two ARNG MRBCs.

Special equipment for user testing

The budget request contained \$16.4 million for the procurement of special equipment for user testing, including \$10.1 million for 1 XM Target Acquisition Radar—Agile Multi-Beam (XMTARAMB) system, but included no funds for Target Receiver Injection Module (TRIM) threat simulators.

The XMTARAMB is an advanced air defense acquisition and targeting radar which incorporates advanced frequency hopping, agile, multi-beam, three-dimensional targeting technology with an associated command, control, and communication facility. This system is critical to the ability of the United States and its allies to counter threats with enhanced technologies, as well as to develop proper tactics, techniques and procedures to ensure maximum protection for personnel and their weapon systems. Consequently, the committee recommends an increase of \$12.0 million to procure an additional XMTARAMB system.

The committee is also aware of the benefits of TRIM, which, when inserted in antenna cables of Army information collection, transmission, or dissemination systems, stimulate the system with threat signals. This capability will replace current open-air radiations of radio frequency threats, which are becoming obsolete and prohibited by the Federal Communications Commission due to the growing number of threats now required to be simulated. Understanding the benefits derived from threat simulations, the committee recommends an increase of \$4.0 million for TRIM.

In total, the committee recommends \$32.4 million for special equipment for user testing, an overall increase of \$16.0 million.

Super high frequency (SHF) terminal

The budget request contained \$17.0 million for the procurement of 8 SHF Tri-Band Advanced Range Extension Terminals (STAR– T).

The committee is aware that this system has been plagued with cost overruns and technical and understands that, subsequent to the submission of the budget request to Congress, the Army terminated the program because of default by its contractor. The committee further understands that there are no program termination costs to the Army and that the service intends to recoup \$24.0 million of unliquidated progress payments from the contractor. The committee outlined its concerns with STAR-T program

The committee outlined its concerns with STAR-T program delays in the committee report on H.R. 1401 (H. Rept. 106–162) and agrees with the Army's termination action. Because no contract termination fees are required, the committee recommends no funds for STAR-T, a decrease of \$17.0 million.

Tactical unmanned aerial vehicle (TUAV)

The budget request contained \$84.3 million to procure 9 TUAV systems and 5 attrition air vehicles.

The TUAV system will provide Army maneuver commanders with dedicated reconnaissance, surveillance, and target recognition and battle damage assessment from information collected through its electro-optical and infrared sensor payloads down-linked to ground control units. The committee notes that the Army Chief of Staff has identified a \$16.2 million fiscal year 2002 unfunded requirement to upgrade low rate initial production TUAVs with a synthetic aperture radar/moving target indicator (SAR/MTI) all weather sensor, a digital tactical control data link (TCDL), and an improved avionics suite. The committee supports these enhancements to the TUAV despite the program's recent delays as a result of several crashes during testing. The committee therefore recommends \$91.6 million for TUAV, an increase of \$7.3 million for SAR/MTI, TCDL, and improved avionics upgrades.

Water distributors

The budget request contained \$1.0 million to procure four 2,000gallon capacity module water distributors for use by tactical fire fighting teams.

These new distributors will replace currently fielded 6,000-gallon water distributors, which suffer from poor mobility, safety issues when transported with partial loads, and maintenance problems. This new start program supports the Army's legacy-to-objective transformation campaign plan, enabling tactical fire fighting teams to rapidly deploy with enhanced cross country mobility.

Therefore, the committee recommends \$5.0 million for water distributors, an increase of \$4.0 million, to accelerate procurement of 2,000-gallon capacity module water distributors.

CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, ARMY

Overview

The budget request contained \$1,153.6 million for Chemical agents and Munitions Destruction, Army, for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends no funds for fiscal year 2002.

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 2 VTION ST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ITEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 TEE IDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY COST QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| | | | | | | |
| CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, ARMY | | | | | | |
| CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCT-RDT&E | | | | | | |
| RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | | | | | | |
| 1 CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - RDTE | | 200,379 | | (200,379) | · | • |
| PROCUREMENT | | | | | | |
| 2 CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - PROC | | 164,158 | | (164,158) | ì | , |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | | | | | | |
| 3 CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - O&M | I | 789,020 | | (789,020) | ı | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, ARMY | | 1,153,557 | | (1,153,557) | | • |

Items of Special Interest

Chemical agents and munitions destruction

The budget request contained \$1,153.6 million for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army.

The committee notes that section 1412 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1986 (Public Law 99–145), as amended, requires that funds for the destruction of the U.S. stockpile of lethal chemical agents and munitions, including funds for military construction projects necessary to carry out the demilitarization program, shall only be authorized and appropriated in the budget of the Department of Defense (DOD) as a separate program and shall not be included in the budget accounts for any military department. The committee notes that for the third year in a row, the Department's budget request contains authorization and appropriation of funds for the chemical demilitarization program in a budget account of the Department of the Army in contravention of direction provided by the law.

The committee believes that the original legislation, which mandated that funds for the chemical demilitarization program be authorized and appropriated in a defense-wide budget account in order to emphasize that destruction of the chemical weapons stockpile was a national issue affecting all of the Department and not just a single military service was valid in 1986, when the estimated cost of the chemical stockpile demilitarization program was approximately \$1.5 billion and is even more valid today, when the estimated cost of the program has grown more than ten-fold.

Accordingly, the committee recommends no funds for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army, a decrease of \$1,153.6 million. The committee recommends an increase of \$1,078.6 million for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense, as described elsewhere in this report.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Overview

The budget request contained \$8,252.5 million for Aircraft Procurement, Navy in fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends authorization of \$8,337.2 million for fiscal year 2002.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

| TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands) |
|---|
|---|

| PROGRAM III LE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 ITTEE NDATION |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST QUA | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | | | |
| COMBAT AIRCRAFT | | | | | |
| COMBAT AIRCRAFT | | | | | |
| AV-8B (V/STOL)HARRIER (MYP) | | | | • | ' |
| AV-8B (V/STOL)HARRIER (MYP) | | • | | • | ' |
| AV-8B (V/STOL)HARRIER (MYP) | | | | • | • |
| F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) | 48 | 3,180,097 | | 48 | 3,180,097 |
| F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) | | (112,575) | | | (112,575) |
| F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) | | • | | • | |
| F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) | | | | • | • |
| F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) | | | | • | • |
| F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) | · | ı | | | • |
| F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) | | , | | • | |
| F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) | | ı | | , | 1 |
| F/A-18E/F (F!GHTER) HORNET (MYP) | I | • | | 1 | • |
| F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) | | 88,876 | | , | 88,876 |
| V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) | 12 | 1,080,808 | | 12 | 1,080,808 |
| V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) | 1 | (70,927) | | · | (70,927) |
| V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) | 1 | I | | ı | ' |
| V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) | | | | 1 | , |
| V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) | | 48,428 | | | 48,428 |
| AH-1W (HELICOPTER) SEA COBRA | I | 1,383 | | ı | 1,383 |
| | | 25,064 | | • | 25,064 |
| E_OC (EARLY M/ARNING) HAMKEVE (MVP) | ſ | 384.762 | | Ľ | 384 762 |

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

| | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE VDATION |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 9 E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP) | - (142,016) | | | (142,016) |
| 10 E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP) | | | | , |
| 10 E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP) | | | | |
| 10 E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP) | | | | • |
| | | | , | ı |
| 10 E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP) | | | • | • |
| 10 E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP) | - 36,191 | | • | 36,191 |
| TOTAL COMBAT AIRCRAFT | 4,520,091 | | | 4,520,091 |
| AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT | | | | |
| AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT | | | | |
| 11 CH-60S (MYP) | 13 253,251 | | 13 | 253,251 |
| 11 CH-60S (MYP) | - (71,294) | (1 | ı | (71,294) |
| | ı | | ı | , |
| 12 CH-60S (MYP) | | | | , |
| 12 CH-60S (MYP) | - 64,212 | | ı | 64,212 |
| 13 UC-35 | | | ı | ı |
| 14 C-40A | | | | 1 |
| 15 C-37 | | | | |
| | 2/6 160 | | | 246 160 |

65

TRAINER AIRCRAFT TRAINER AIRCRAFT

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION | NO | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM | шŇ | FY 2002 COMMITTEE | 02 ITEE |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------|------------|
| | REQUEST | | REQUEST | | RECOMMENDATION | NDATION |
| | | COST QU | QUANTITY CO | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 16 T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK | 6 18 | 184,426 | | 13,000 | 9 | 197,426 |
| Operational Flight Trainers | | | Ŧ | [+13,000] | | [+13,000] |
| 16 T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK | | (5,095) | | | | (5,095) |
| 17 T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK | ı | , | | | | • |
| 17 T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK | • | | | | • | • |
| 18 JPATS | | | | | • | ٠ |
| TOTAL TRAINER AIRCRAFT | - | 179,331 | | 13,000 | | 192,331 |
| OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| 19 KC-130J | 4 | 299,047 | | | 4 | 299,047 |
| TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT | 5 | 299,047 | | | | 299,047 |
| MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| 20 EA-6 SERIES | | 137,645 | | | | 137,645 |
| 21 AV-8 SERIES | | 49,541 | | 30,000 | | 79,541 |
| Litening II Pods | | | £ | [+30,000] | | [+30,000] |
| 22 F-14 SERIES | J | 4,504 | | | ı | 4,504 |
| 23 ADVERSARY | | 34,769 | | | 1 | 34,769 |
| 24 F-18 SERIES | | 193,206 | | | ı | 193,206 |
| 25 H-46 SERIES | , | 38,664 | | | • | 38,664 |
| | | | | | | 100 01 |

66

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT

| (spu | |
|--------|--|
| ousa | |
| in Th | |
| ollars | |
| ē | |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 TTEE NDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 27 H-53 SERIES | | 16,541 | | | | 16,541 |
| 28 SH-60 SERIES | | 1,735 | | 14,200 | · | 15,935 |
| AQS-13F Sonar | | | | [+11,000] | | [+11,000] |
| Advanced Helicopter Emergency Egress Lighting System | | | | [+3,200] | | [+3,200] |
| 29 H-1 SERIES | , | 1,149 | | | | 1,149 |
| 30 H-3 SERIES | • | 4,191 | | | | 4,191 |
| 31 EP-3 SERIES | , | 123,747 | | | , | 123,747 |
| 32 P-3 SERIES | | 113,191 | | | ı | 113,191 |
| 33 S-3 SERIES | • | 43,242 | | | ı | 43,242 |
| | | 14,636 | | 25,000 | | 39,636 |
| Mission Computer Upgrade Aircraft Conversion to HE 2000 configuration | | | | [+25,000] | | [+25,000] |
| 35 TRAINER A/C SERIES | , | 5,155 | | | | 5,155 |
| 36 C-2A | | 27,369 | | (3,000) | ı | 24,369 |
| 37 C-130 SERIES | ı | 5,407 | | | 1 | 5,407 |
| 38 FEWSG | , | 643 | | | • | 643 |
| 39 CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES | ı | 4,224 | | | , | 4,224 |
| 40 E-6 SERIES | | 74,847 | | | ı | 74,847 |
| 41 EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES | ı | 16,183 | | | , | 16,183 |
| 42 SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT | 1 | 3,088 | | | | 3,088 |
| 43 T-45 SERIES | , | 12,778 | | | , | 12,778 |
| 44 POWER PLANT CHANGES | ı | 13,083 | | | ı | 13,083 |
| 45 COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT | , | 33,315 | | (2,000) | 1 | 31,315 |
| 46 COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES | , | 65,147 | | | ı | 65,147 |
| 47 V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY | ı | 35,000 | | | • | 35,000 |
| | | | | | | |

| E I - PROCUREMENT |
|-------------------|
|-------------------|

| | AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | LTION ST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | I I EE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | UUZ ITTEE INDATION |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| TOTAL MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | | 1,083,821 | | 64,200 | | 1,148,021 |
| AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS 48 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 1,420,252 | | | | 1,420,252 |
| TOTAL AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 1,420,252 | | 1 | | 1,420,252 |
| AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | | | | | |
| 49 COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT | | 332,926 | | | | 332,926 |
| 50 AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | | 18,219 | | 4,500 | | 22,719 |
| Calibration Test Equipment | | | | [+4,500] | | [+4,500] |
| 51 WAR CONSUMABLES | | 12,585 | | | | 12,585 |
| 52 OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES | | 27,637 | | 3,000 | , | 30,637 |
| TARPS-CD | | | | [+3,000] | | [+3,000] |
| 53 SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 110,897 | | | | 110,897 |
| 54 FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | , | 1,568 | | | • | 1,568 |
| 55 CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS (M) | I | 1 | | | , | |
| TOTAL AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | 503,832 | | 7,500 | | 511,332 |
| TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 3 | 8.252.543 | | 84,700 | | 8,337,243 |

Items of Special Interest

AV-8B modifications

The budget request contained \$49.5 million for various AV-8B modifications but included no funds for the Litening II, a precision targeting system that allows the AV-8B aircraft to autonomously detect and track targets and to deliver precision munitions.

The committee understands that the Marine Corps has a requirement for 98 Litening II targeting pod systems but has thus far only procured 56. The committee also notes that the Commandant of the Marine Corps included this system among his unfunded requirements for fiscal year 2002. Consequently, the committee recommends \$79.5 million for AV-8B modifications, an increase of \$30.0 million, to procure additional Litening II targeting pods.

Calibration test equipment

The budget request contained \$18.2 million for aircraft industrial facilities, of which \$8.8 million was included for calibration equipment.

Calibration equipment provides the Navy with products and services to maintain accurate test equipment used for maintenance of weapons, aircraft, ships, submarines, and Marine Corps ground systems. The committee notes that without calibration equipment, test equipment drifts to inaccurate performance levels which could induce errors in weapons systems or result in serviceable components being removed for unnecessary maintenance or unserviceable components remaining in a system. The committee also notes that during the past 10 years funding for the Navy's calibration test equipment has decreased by over 60 percent and that this situation has resulted in a corresponding decrease in the availability of calibrated equipment from 86 percent to 74 percent.

Since the committee understands that the budget request for calibration test equipment funds only 59 percent of the fiscal year 2002 requirement, the committee recommends \$22.7 million for aircraft industrial facilities, an increase of \$4.5 million, for additional calibration test equipment.

E–2 modifications

The budget request contained \$14.6 million for E-2 modifications but included no funds to upgrade an E-2 mission computer test aircraft to the Hawkeye 2000 configuration.

The Hawkeye 2000 configuration is an upgrade to older-model E– 2 aircraft that integrates satellite communications, a commercialoff-the-shelf, high-capacity mission computer and associated workstations, and cooperative engagement capability equipment. The committee understands that the Navy's E–2 aircraft inventory includes a mission computer test aircraft that can be economically upgraded to the Hawkeye 2000 configuration and, therefore, recommends \$39.6 million for E–2 modifications, an increase of \$25.0 million, to upgrade the Navy's mission computer E–2 test aircraft to the Hawkeye 2000 configuration.

F/A-18E/F

The committee notes that the F/A-18E/F aircraft is currently procured under a multiyear contract, but notes further that the aircraft's F414 propulsion system is not procured under either this contract or a separate multiyear contract.

To promote further F/A–18E/F acquisition savings, the committee strongly urges that the Department of the Navy evaluate the benefits of a five-year multiyear procurement structure for the F414 propulsion system beginning in fiscal year 2002.

Joint primary air training system (JPATS)

The budget request contained no funds for the Navy JPATS.

The JPATS, consisting of both the T-6A aircraft and a groundbased training system, will be used by the Navy and Air Force for primary pilot training. The T-6A will replace both the Navy's T-34 and Air Force's T-37B fleets, providing safer, more economical and more effective training for future student pilots.

The committee notes that, although the Navy has already procured 12 T-6A aircraft in fiscal year 2000 and 24 T-6As in fiscal year 2001, it plans to discontinue JPATS acquisition between fiscal years 2002 and 2007. Expressing concern about this decision, the committee also notes that the report accompanying H.R. 2216 (H. Rept. 107-148) directed the Secretary of the Navy to provide a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees detailing the business case for the Navy's deferring JPATS acquisition. The committee believes that JPATS procurement for the Navy would not only reduce procurement costs for both the Navy and the Air Force but would reduce operations and maintenance costs as well. The committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to report to the committee within 90 days after enactment of this Act his plan to begin full implementation of the JPATS program beginning in fiscal year 2003.

SH–60 series modifications

The budget request contained \$1.7 million for SH-60 series modifications but included no funds for the SH-60F's AN/AQS-13F dipping sonar upgrade or for the advanced helicopter emergency egress lighting system (ADHEELS).

egress lighting system (ADHEELS). The SH-60F is the Navy's anti-submarine warfare helicopter based aboard aircraft carriers and uses the AN/AQS-13F as its principal dipping sonar to detect submarines near the aircraft carrier. The committee understands that a pre-planned product improvement program for the AN/AQS-13F could achieve improved shallow-water detection capability and provide increased system reliability. Accordingly, the committee recommends an increase of \$11.0 million to upgrade the SH-60F's AN/AQS-13 dipping sonar.

The ADHEELS provides crew escape lighting for H–60 series helicopters in the event of water impact. The committee understands that the Department of the Navy has selected ADHEELS as its future helicopter escape lighting system due to its superior performance, significantly increased operational reliability, and lower lifecycle costs. Consequently, the committee recommends an increase of \$3.2 million to accelerate the installation of ADHEELS in the Navy's H–60 helicopter fleet. In total, the committee recommends \$15.9 million, an increase of \$14.2 million, for SH-60 series modifications.

T-45 training system (TS)

The budget request contained \$179.3 million to procure 6 T-45C aircraft and associated ground-based training equipment but included no funds for T-45C operational flight trainers. The T-45TS is an integrated training system that combines the T-45 aircraft, simulators, and computer-based training for the Navy's intermediate-level undergraduate pilot training.

mediate-level undergraduate pilot training. The committee notes that the Navy is replacing older-model T– 45As with new-production T–45Cs but understands that all bases planned for upgrade to the T–45C are not scheduled to receive T– 45C operational flight trainers upon arrival of the new-production aircraft.

Since the T-45C operational flight trainer will provide improved replication of the T-45C cockpit configuration and performance characteristics, he recommends \$192.3 million, an increase of \$13.0 million, for two additional T-45C operational flight trainers.

Tactical air reconnaissance pod system (TARPS)-completely digital (CD)

The budget request contained \$27.6 million for other production charges but included no funds for the TARPS-CD system, an electro-optic sensor upgrade designed to validate digital imaging technologies and to mitigate development risks for the next-generation shared reconnaissance pod (SHARP) system.

Since the SHARP system remains in development, the committee understands that the Navy is upgrading several TARPS-CD cameras with an 18-lens configuration which improves the system's standoff and survivability capabilities.

To upgrade all remaining TARPS-CD systems to a common 18lens configuration, the committee recommends \$30.6 million for other production charges, an increase of \$3.0 million, for the TARPS-CD 18-lens configuration and for spares and support costs.

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Overview

The budget request contained \$1,433.5 million for Weapons Procurement, Navy in fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends authorization of \$1,476.7 million for fiscal year 2002.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

| ROCURI | (Dollars in Thousands) |
|--------|------------------------|
|--------|------------------------|

| QUANTITY COST QUANTITY WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY BALLISTIC MISSILES UANTITY COST QUANTITY WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY BALLISTIC MISSILES UIANTITY COST QUANTITY BALLISTIC MISSILES 12 568,456 RIDENT II 12 568,456 TRIDENT II 12 568,456 TRIDENT II 1 9,414 TRIDENT II 1 12 TRIDENT II 1 12 TRIDENT II 1 1,275 TRIDENT II 1 1,275 TRIDENT II 1 1,275 TRIDENT II 1 1,275 NISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES 1 1,275 THATA FACILITIES 1 1,275 TRATE MALLISTIC MISSILE 0 1,275 Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide - 1,275 TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE 576,027 TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES 34 50,101 Tooling and Test Equipment 38 45,017 Tooling and Test Equipment 38 45,017 AMAAM 57 40,028 | PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ree Rom St | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 TTEE VDATION |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------|
| WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY BALLISTIC MISSILES BALLISTIC MISSILES TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TRIDENT II SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES TRIDENT II SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES TRIDENT II SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TR | | | | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| BALLISTIC MISSILES BALLISTIC MISSILES TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TRIDENT II SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES TRIDENT II SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES TRATER BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE NAVY AREA MISSILE DEFENSE Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide Transfer to BMDO, Defe | WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | | | |
| PALLIS IC MISSILES 12 5 TRIDENT II TRIDENT II - - TRIDENT II SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES - - MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES - - - MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES - - - NAVY AREA MISSILE DEFENSE - - - NAVY AREA MISSILE DEFENSE - - - NAVY AREA MISSILE DEFENSE - - - - Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide - - - - - Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide - | BALLISTIC MISSILES | | | | | |
| TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TRIDENT II SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES UNSSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES MISSILE DEFENSE THEATER BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE NAVY AREA MISSILE DEFENSE Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide Transf | | | 0 | | 12 | 568,456 |
| TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TRIDENT II TRIDENT II SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES MISSILE DEFENSE NAY AREA MISSILE DEFENSE NAY AREA MISSILE DEFENSE Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES OTHER MISSILES TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES OTHER MISSILES TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES STRATEGIC MISSILES STRATEGIC MISSILES TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES STRATEGIC MISSILES TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES TAGATEGIC MISSILES TAGATEGIC MISSILES TAGATEGIC MISSILES TAGATE | 1 TRIDENT # | - (9,414 | (# | | ı | (9,414) |
| TRIDENT II TRIDENT II SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES MAVY AREA MISSILE DEFENSE NAVY AREA MISSILE DEFENSE NAVY AREA MISSILE DEFENSE Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide TTATSILE DEFENSE TAMPA MISSILES TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES TOTAL MISSILES TATTICAL MISSILES TAMPA MISSILES TAMPA MISSILES TATTICAL MISSILES TAMPA MISSILES TATTICAL MISSILES | | • | | | · | · |
| I HUDENT IN SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES THEATER BALISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE NAVY ARE MISSILE DEFENSE NAVY ARE MISSILE DEFENSE Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide TATARFE DEFENSE TATARFE MISSILES TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES TATARFE MISSILES TATAFFE MI | | - 1 - C | | | • | C |
| SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES THEATER BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE NAVY AREA MISSILE DEFENSE Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES OTHER MISSILES OTHER MISSILES OTHER MISSILES OTHER MISSILES TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES STRATEGIC MISSILES TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES STRATEGIC MISSILES TOTAL MISSILES TATICAL MISSILES AMRAM | TRIDENT II | - 8,727 | | | , | 8,121 |
| THEATER BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE NAVY AREA MISSILE DEFENSE Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES OTHER MISSILES TOMAHAWK Tooling and Test Equipment ESSM AMRAM | MISSILE INDUSTR | - 1.275 | 10 | | | - 1,275 |
| NAVY AREA MISSILE DEFENSE Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES OTHER MISSILES STRATEGIC MISSILES TOMAHAWK Tooling and Test Equipment ESM TACTICAL MISSILES AMRAM | | | | | | ' |
| Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES OTHER MISSILES OTHER MISSILES COMAHAWK ToOling and Test Equipment ESM TACTICAL MISSILES AMRAM 57 | | - 6,983 | | (6,983) | ı | |
| TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES 5 OTHER MISSILES OTHER MISSILES STRATEGIC MISSILES 34 TOMAHAWK 34 Tooling and Test Equipment 38 ESSM 38 AMRAM 57 | Transfer to BMDO, Defense-Wide | | | [-6,983] | | [-6,983] |
| OTHER MISSILES STRATEGIC MISSILES TOMAHAWK Tooling and Test Equipment ESSM TACTICAL MISSILES AMRAM | TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES | 576,027 | | (6,983) | | 569,044 |
| STRATEGIC MISSILES TOMAHAWK Tooling and Test Equipment ESSM AMRAM 57 | OTHER MISSILES | | | | | |
| Tooling and Test Equipment ESSM TACTICAL MISSILES AMRAAM 57 | | | - | 20,000 | 34 | 70,101 |
| ESSM 38 TACTICAL MISSILES 57 AMRAAM 57 | Tooling and Test | | | [+20,000] | | [+20,000] |
| TACTICAL MISSILES AMRAAM | ESSM | | | | 38 | 45,017 |
| | | 57 40,026 | m | | 57 | 40,028 |
| 8 SIDEWINDER 105 27,310 | | | 0 | | 105 | 27,310 |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ZATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE From EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 TTEE VDATION |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| MOSE 6 | | , | | | 1 | |
| 10 SLAM-ER | 30 | 26,174 | | | 30 | 26,174 |
| 11 STANDARD MISSILE | 91 | 195,404 | | | 91 | 195,404 |
| 12 RAM | 06 | 43,024 | | | 06 | 43,024 |
| 13 HELLFIRE | | | | 25,000 | • | 25,000 |
| Hellfire It Missiles | | | | [+25,000] | | [+25,000] |
| 14 PENGUIN | | • | | | • | • |
| 15 AERIAL TARGETS | | 66,349 | | | • | 66,349 |
| 16 DRONES AND DECOYS | | , | | | | |
| 17 OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT | , | 15,840 | | | | 15,840 |
| | | | | | | |
| 18 SIDEWINDER MODS | | 802 | | | | 802 |
| 19 HARM MODS | | • | | | | • |
| 20 STANDARD MISSILES MODS | | 35,353 | | | • | 35,353 |
| SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | | | | | |
| 21 WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | | 17,247 | | | • | 17,247 |
| | | • | | | • | |
| 23 FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON | • | 77,840 | | | • | 77,840 |
| ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 24 ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | • | 4,210 | | | | 4,210 |
| | | 644 600 | | 4E 000 | | 600 600 |
| I UTAL UTHER MISSILES | | 044,033 | | 40,000 | | 003,033 |
| | | | | | | |

TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP.

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

| | | FY 2002 HORIZATION REQUEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ITTEE From Iest | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 TTEE NDATION |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 25 ASW TARGETS | , | 15,335 | | | • | 15,335 |
| MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP | | | | | | |
| 26 MK-46 TORPEDO MODS | | 7,444 | | | ı | 7,444 |
| 27 MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS | ı | 42,386 | | | • | 42,386 |
| 28 QUICKSTRIKE MINE | 1 | 3,899 | | | | 3,899 |
| SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 29 TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 30,025 | | | • | 30,025 |
| 30 ASW RANGE SUPPORT | | 14,861 | | | | 14,861 |
| DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | | | | | | |
| 31 FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | | 2,802 | | | ı | 2,802 |
| TOTAL TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT | | 116,752 | | | | 116,752 |
| OTHER WEAPONS | | | | | | |
| GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS | | | | | | |
| 32 SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS | • | 910 | | 5,200 | • | 6,110 |
| MK 46 Mod 0 Machine Gun | | | | [+5,200] | | [+5,200] |
| MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS | | | | | | |
| 33 CIWS MODS | | 40,503 | | | Ţ | 40,503 |
| 34 5/54 GUN MOUNT MODS | ı | I | | | I | ı |
| 35 MK-75 76MM GUN MOUNT MODS | , | 1 | | | | , |
| 36 GUN MOUNT MODS | J | 5,748 | | | , | 5,748 |
| 37 MODS UNDER \$2 MILLION | 1 | • | | | i | ' |
| OTHER | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| TLE I - PROCUREMENT | (Dollars in Thousands) |
|----------------------------|------------------------|
| TITL | - |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | NOL | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ITEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 TEE IDATION |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------|
| | QUANTITY C | COST QI | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 39 CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS | - | ı | | | | 1 |
| 40 CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS | | | | | , | , |
| 41 PRIOR YEAR DEFICIENCIES | ı | , | | | , | , |
| 42 CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJ (88) | | , | | | | , |
| 43 CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJ (89) | | ı | | | | , |
| TOTAL OTHER WEAPONS | | 47,161 | | 5,200 | | 52,361 |
| SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | |
| SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | |
| 44 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 48,836 | | | ı | 48,836 |
| TOTAL SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 48,836 | | 1 | | 48,836 |
| TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 2,1 | 1,433,475 | | 43,217 | | 1,476,692 |

Items of Special Interest

MK 46 Mod 0 lightweight machine gun

The budget request contained \$910 thousand for small arms and weapons but included no funds for the MK 46 Mod 0 lightweight machine gun.

The MK 46 Mod 0 lightweight machine gun is a variant of the existing M249 squad automatic weapon designed to meet the unique lower-weight and reliability requirements for the Navy's sea-air-land (SEAL) teams and other special operations forces. The committee understands that the Navy's SEAL teams and

The committee understands that the Navy's SEAL teams and special operations forces require approximately 1875 MK 46 Mod 0 lightweight machine guns and, consequently, recommends \$6.1 million for small arms and weapons, an increase of \$5.2 million, to procure MK 46 Mod 0 lightweight machine guns.

Tomahawk missile

The budget request contained \$50.1 million for the first 34 block IV low-rate initial production tactical tomahawk (TACTOM) missiles but included no funds for special tooling and test equipment for rate production of the block IV TACTOM missile.

The Tomahawk missile is a long-range, precision strike cruise missile launched from surface ships or submarines, and the block IV TACOM missile will provide improved performance at a lower unit cost than previous missile versions. The committee understands that subsequent to the block IV TACTOM contract award in 1998, the Navy has had to switch to a different engine manufacturer than originally planned and that this decision requires increased funding for special tooling and test equipment to accommodate rate production of the TACTOM missiles equipped with the newly-selected engine.

Accordingly, the committee recommends \$70.1 million for the tomahawk missile, an increase of \$20.0 million, to procure the special tooling and test equipment necessary for rate production of the block IV TACTOM.

Trident II missile

The budget request contained \$559.0 million for Trident II missiles, including \$143.7 million for D5 continuous production life extension.

The Trident II D5 missile is carried on the Ohio class fleet ballistic missile submarines to provide a highly survivable strategic ballistic missile deterrent. The Trident II D5 continuous production life extension (CPLE) program sustains the production of Trident II D5 missile motors and other critical components. The committee views the Trident II as critically important strategic deterrence and strongly supports the fiscal year 2002 budget request for 12 Trident D5 missiles and associated funding for the CPLE program.

While the Navy has not provided the committee with its Trident II D5 CPLE program budget projections beyond fiscal year 2002, it notes that the Navy has recently extended the hull life of its Ohio class fleet ballistic missile submarines from 30 to 44 years and is concerned that the CPLE program may not be fully funded in the future years defense program commensurate with the extended submarine hull life. Therefore, the committee urges the Department to include funds for the CPLE program in its fiscal year 2003 budget and in the future years defense program to preclude both the loss of the critical missile motor production base and the possibility that expensive start-up costs may be incurred at a future date to regenerate Trident II missile production capability.

Ammunition Procurement, Navy/Marine Corps

Overview

The budget request contained \$457.0 million for Ammunition Procurement, Navy/Marine Corps in fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends authorization of \$463.5 million for fiscal year 2002.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

| <i>IITLE I - PROCUREMENT</i> | (Dollars in Thousands) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| F | |

| | PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ttee From Est | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE IDATION |
|----|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| | PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS | | | | | | |
| | PROC AMMO, NAVY | | | | | | |
| - | | ı | 65.063 | | | , | 65.063 |
| 2 | CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS | | • | | | I | 1 |
| С | JDAM | 1,417 | 41,133 | | | 1,417 | 41,133 |
| 4 | 2.75 INCH ROCKETS | | • | | | | , |
| ŋ | AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES | • | 21,138 | | | • | 21,138 |
| 9 | MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION | | 16,423 | | | | 16,423 |
| 7 | PRACTICE BOMBS | • | 35,019 | | | • | 35,019 |
| œ | CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES | | 26,697 | | | | 26,697 |
| 6 | AIRCRAFT ESCAPE ROCKETS | | 10,784 | | | | 10,784 |
| 6 | AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES | | 36,403 | | 6,500 | ı | 42,903 |
| | Additional MJU-52 | | | | [+6,500] | | [+6,500] |
| = | JATOS | | 4,771 | | | , | 4,771 |
| 12 | | • | 12,009 | | | | 12,009 |
| 13 | EXTENDED RANGE GUIDED MUNITIONS (ERGM) | • | 5,151 | | | | 5,151 |
| 14 | 76MM GUN AMMUNITION | • | 066 | | | | 066 |
| 15 | OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION | • | 7,318 | | | | 7,318 |
| 16 | SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO | • | 8,878 | | | | 8,878 |
| 17 | PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION | | 8,439 | | | | 8,439 |
| 18 | MINE NEUTRALIZATION DEVICES | | 4,985 | | | , | 4,985 |
| 19 | AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 1,343 | | | • | 1,343 |
| 20 | CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS | • | 6,993 | | | | 6,993 |
| | | | | | | | |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY COST |
| TOTAL PROC AMMO, NAVY | 313,537 | 6,500 | 320,037 |
| PROC AMMO, MC MARINE CORPS AMMINITION | | | |
| 21 5.56 MM. ALL TYPES | - 9.402 | | - 9,402 |
| 7.62 MM. ALL TYPI | - 7,395 | | - 7, |
| 23 LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES | - 18,957 | | - 18 |
| | - 6,225 | | - 6,225 |
| - | - 5,857 | | · 2 |
| | - 2,699 | | - 2,699 |
| | - 6,669 | | <u></u> و |
| | - 7,639 | | - 7, |
| 29 CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES | - 6,031 | | - 6,031 |
| | - 2,832 | | أن ۲ |
| | - 10,533 | | - 10,533 |
| | - 7,330 | | - 7, |
| | - 4,794 | | 4 |
| 34 ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES | - 24,488 | | - 24,488 |
| 35 DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES | - 2,925 | | - 2,925 |
| 36 FUZE, ALL TYPES | | | |
| 37 NON LETHALS | - 4,461 | | - 4,461 |
| 38 AMMO MODERNIZATION | - 7,019 | | - 7,019 |
| 39 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | - 1,014 | | - 1.014 |
| | | | |

| 02 ITEE IDATION | COST | 143,470 | 463,507 |
|--|---|----------------------|--|
| FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | QUANTITY | | |
| TTEE FROM EST | COST | | 6,500 |
| COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | QUANTITY COST QUANTITY COST QUANTITY COST | | |
| 002 ZATION JEST | COST | 143,470 | 457,007 |
| FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | QUANTITY | | |
| PROGRAM TITLE | | TOTAL PROC. AMMO, MC | TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE CORPS |

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

Overview

The budget request contained \$9,344.1 million for Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy in fiscal year 2002. The committee rec-ommends authorization of \$9,321.1 million for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on afford-ability considerations.

TITLE 1 - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 TTEE VDATION |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY | | | | |
| OTHER WARSHIPS | | | | |
| OTHER WARSHIPS | | | | |
| 1 CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM | | | • | |
| 1 CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM | | | • | |
| 2 CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM | | | • | • |
| 2 CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM | | | | , |
| 2 CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM | - 138,890 | | , | 138,890 |
| 3 SSGN | - 86,440 | 51,000 | • | 137,440 |
| 4 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE | 1 2,288,327 | | - | 2,288,327 |
| 4 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE | - (679,413) | | , | (679,413) |
| 5 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE | | | , | |
| 5 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE | | | • | |
| 5 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE | | | | |
| 5 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE | | | , | , |
| 5 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE | - 249,862 | | , | 249,862 |
| 5 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE | - 434,426 | | , | 434,426 |
| 6 CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS | 1 1,768,413 | | - | 1,768,413 |
| 6 CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS | - (650,289) | (| | (650,289) |
| 7 CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS | | | , | • |
| 7 CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS | | | | ı |
| - | - 73,707 | | • | 73,707 |
| SUBMARINE REF | 2 430,179 | | 2 | 430,179 |
| 8 SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHAULS | - (47,914) | (| ı | (47,914) |
| | | | | |

| TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands) |
|---|
|---|

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 TTEE NDATION |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 9 SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHAULS | | | | 1 |
| 9 SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHAULS | 1 | | ı | 1 |
| 9 SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHAULS | - 74,640 | | • | 74,640 |
| 9 SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHAULS | - 3,110 | | | 3,110 |
| | 3 3,187,361 | | e | 3,187,361 |
| 10 DDG-51 | - (221,325) | () | | (221,325) |
| 11 DDG-51 | • | | | |
| 11 DDG-51 | • | | • | • |
| 11 DDG-51 | • | | | |
| TOTAL OTHER WARSHIPS | 7,136,414 | 51,000 | 0 | 7,187,414 |
| AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS | | | | |
| AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS | | | | |
| 12 LHD-1 AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT SHIP | 1 267,238 | | - | 267,238 |
| 13 LHD-1 AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT SHIP | | | , | 1 |
| 13 LHD-1 AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT SHIP | | | | , |
| 14 LPD-17 | - 537,321 | _ | , | 537,321 |
| 14 LPD-17 | - (537,321) | (1 | | (537,321) |
| 15 LPD-17 | ı | | | ı |
| 15 LPD-17 | | | | ļ |
| 15 LPD-17 | 1 | | | , |
| 15 LPD-17 | - 272,330 | 0 | | 272,330 |
| 15 LPD-17 | - 149,000 | 0 | ı | 149,000 |
| | | | | |

| F | |
|--------------|---|
| ~ | |
| ш | |
| - | 1 |
| _ | |
| ш | |
| Ē | |
| | |
| _ | |
| O | |
| ~ | i |
| \mathbf{O} | |
| £ | |
| ۵. | |
| | |
| | |
| _ | 1 |
| ш | |
| | ļ |
| _ | |
| | |
| - | |
| | |
| • | |
| | |

| | ands) |
|---|--------|
| 5 | Thous |
| | Ľ. |
| | ollars |
| 1 | e |

| | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 002 ZATION JEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ITTEE : FROM IEST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 ITTEE NDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| TOTAL AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS | | 688,568 | | 1 | | 688,568 |
| AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| | Ŧ | 270 818 | | | - | 370 818 |
| 0 AUC(X) | | 2/ 0,010 | | | - | 010'010 |
| 17 LCAC LANDING CRAFT | • | · | | | • | , |
| 17 LCAC LANDING CRAFT | | • | | | | |
| 18 OUTFITTING | | 307,230 | | (10,000) | · (1 | 297,230 |
| 19 LCAC SLEP | 2 | 41,091 | | | 2 | 41,091 |
| 0 COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS | • | 800,000 | | (75,000) | - (1 | 725,000 |
| 21 MINE HUNTER SWATH | | • | | 2,000 | • | 2,000 |
| 22 YARD OILERS | | | ю | 000'6 | 3 | 000'6 |
| TOTAL AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAMS | | 1,519,139 | | (74,000) | () | 1,445,139 |
| TOTAL SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION | | 9.344.121 | | (23.000) | | 9.321.121 |

Items of Special Interest

Completion of prior year shipbuilding programs

The budget request contained \$800.0 million to cover increases in the costs to complete construction of certain ships for which Congress authorized and appropriated funds in prior fiscal years. Included in this amount was \$248.0 million for the first of the San Antonio-class amphibious transport dock ships, the LPD-17.

The committee notes that the Department of Defense requested a supplemental appropriation of \$65.0 million in fiscal year 2001, in addition to the \$248 million in the budget request, to cover the increased costs of the LPD-17. The committee also notes, Congress approved the \$65.0 million supplemental request, but understanding that the fiscal year 2002 budget request did not contain funds for construction of the fifth and sixth ships of the class, as had been anticipated, Congress rescinded \$75.0 million of advance procurement funds for these two ships appropriated in fiscal year 2001 and applied the funds to the LPD-17. The committee does not believe such action would have been taken if it were going to result in a requirement to payback those funds at a later date.

Since the LPD- $1\overline{7}$ received an additional \$75.0 million in the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2001 (Public Law 107-80), the committee concludes that \$75.0 million of the \$248.0 million in the budget request is not required. Consequently, the committee recommends \$725.0 million for prior year shipbuilding, a decrease of \$75.0 million.

Minehunter small waterplane area twin hull (SWATH)

The budget request contained no funds for the Minehunter SWATH.

The Navy's minehunting fleet includes one Minehunter SWATH boat, which is its only surface mine warfare vessel capable of operating in very shallow water or capable of transport by C–5 aircraft for operational deployment within 24 hours. The committee understands that, during the past two years, the Minehunter SWATH has completed highly successful testing in the Pacific theater and notes that senior naval officers support its immediate acquisition and deployment to meet shallow water minehunting requirements.

Therefore, the committee recommends an increase of \$2.0 million for the procurement of the Minehunter SWATH.

Outfitting

The budget request contained \$307.2 million for outfitting, of which \$208.6 million was for outfitting and \$96.0 million was for post delivery. Outfitting funds are used to acquire items necessary in the pre-commissioning activities of ship construction, and post delivery funds are used to fix items for which the government is responsible after ship delivery.

The committee believes that \$3.2 million of outfitting funds budgeted for ships which are scheduled to begin construction in fiscal year 2002 and \$6.8 million budgeted for ships which are planned for delivery in fiscal year 2003 are premature. Consequently, the committee recommends \$297.2 million for outfitting, a decrease of \$10.0 million.

SSGN conversion

The budget request contained \$86.4 million for the advance procurement of products and materials necessary to refuel and convert two fleet ballistic missile carrying submarines (SSBN) to a conventional cruise missile carrying submarine (SSGN) configuration but included no funds for the advance planning necessary to refuel two additional SSBNs.

Once refueled and converted, SSGNs will be capable of both delivering up to 154 Tomahawk cruise missiles and deploying special operations forces. Although four SSBNs are available for the SSGN refueling and conversion program, the Department of the Navy has only budgeted for the conversion of two. The committee strongly supports the SSGN conversion program and believes that all four SSBNs should be converted to the SSGN configuration.

Accordingly, the committee recommends \$137.4 million, an increase of \$51.0 million, for the advance planning necessary to refuel the remaining two SSBNs in preparation for their conversion to the SSGN configuration.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Overview

The budget request contained \$4,097.6 million for Other Procurement, Navy in fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends authorization of \$4,157.3 million for fiscal year 2002.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

| | PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 002 ZATION JEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ITEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE (DATION |
|----------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | | | | |
| | SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| | SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| - | LM-2500 GAS TURBINE | • | 7,083 | | | • | 7,083 |
| N | ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE | | 6,896 | | | | 6,896 |
| | PROPELLERS | | | | | | |
| С | SUBMARINE PROPELLERS | | 4,460 | | | | 4,460 |
| | NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 4 | OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT | | 45,946 | | 10,000 | r | 55,946 |
| | Force Protection Thermal Imaging Systems | | | | [+10,000] | | [+10,000] |
| | UNDERWAY REPLENISHMENT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 5 | UNDERWAY REPLENISHMENT EQUIPMENT | | 1,802 | | | ŗ | 1,802 |
| | PERISCOPES | | | | | | |
| 9 | SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP | | 29,240 | | | I | 29,240 |
| | OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 2 | FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT | r | 17,539 | | | • | 17,539 |
| 80 | COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD | • | 9,139 | | | | 9,139 |
| თ | POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT | | 66,958 | | | · | 66,958 |
| 10 | | • | 6,796 | | | | 6,796 |
| ÷ | SUBMARINE BATTERIES | | 10,891 | | | • | 10,891 |
| 12 | STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP | | 11,276 | | | , | 11,276 |
| <u>5</u> | | | 7,498 | | | I | 7,498 |
| 14 | LCAC | 1 | , | | | | • |
| 15 | | | 20,168 | | | • | 20,168 |
| | | | | | | | |

TITLE 1 - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | NOI | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ITEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE JDATION |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | OUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 16 ITEMS LESS THAN &F MILLION | | 70 2RF | | | | 79.285 |
| | | | | | | |
| 18 SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM | | 4,940 | | | | 4,940 |
| REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 19 REACTOR COMPONENTS | • | 208,849 | | | | 208,849 |
| OCEAN ENGINEERING | | | | | | |
| 20 DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT | | 5,712 | | | • | 5,712 |
| 21 EOD UNDERWATER EQUIPMENT | | , | | | , | ı |
| SMALL BOATS | | | | | | |
| 22 STANDARD BOATS | | 32,151 | | 3,200 | • | 35,351 |
| Rigid Inflatable EOD Boats | | | | [+3,200] | | [+3,200] |
| TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 23 OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | 16,772 | | | • | 16,772 |
| PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 24 OPERATING FORCES IPE | | 27,522 | | 500 | ı | 28,022 |
| Expeditionary Maintenance Facilities | | | | [+200] | | [+200] |
| OTHER SHIP SUPPORT | | | | | | |
| 25 NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS | ı | 121,105 | | | | 121,105 |
| DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT | | | | | | |
| 26 DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT | • | , | | | • | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 742,028 | | 13,700 | | 755,728 |
| | | | | | | |

COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT SHIP RADARS

TITLE 1 - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION | 02 ATION | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM | TTEE FROM | FY 2002 COMMITTEE | 002 TTEE |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | REQUEST | EST | REQUEST | EST | RECOMMENDATION | NDAHON |
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 27 AN/SPS-49 | , | | | | • | ı |
| 28 RADAR SUPPORT | • | ı | | 15,000 | | 15,000 |
| MK-92 Upgrade | | | | [+15,000] | | [+15,000] |
| 29 TISS | | ı | | | | , |
| SHIP SONARS | | | | | | |
| 30 AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM | | 16,561 | | | , | 16,561 |
| 31 SSN ACOUSTICS | • | 113,016 | | | | 113,016 |
| | | 4,263 | | | | 4,263 |
| 33 SURFACE SONAR WINDOWS AND DOME | | ı | | | | • |
| 34 SONAR SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | • | ı | | | | , |
| | • | 10,808 | | | • | 10,808 |
| ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 36 SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM | | 12,624 | | | ı | 12,624 |
| 37 FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | | 33,692 | | | ı | 33,692 |
| 38 SURTASS | | 17,650 | | | , | 17,650 |
| 39 ASW OPERATIONS CENTER | ŀ | 6,059 | | | | 6,059 |
| ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 40 AN/SLQ-32 | | 1,971 | | | • | 1,971 |
| 41 INFORMATION WARFARE SYSTEMS | • | 2,908 | | | · | 2,908 |
| RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 42 SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT | | 57,535 | | | I | 57,535 |
| 43 COMMON HIGH BANDWIDTH DATA LINK | 1 | • | | | ı | |
| SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 44 SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG | I | 22,928 | | | I | 22,928 |
| OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |

| QUANTITY COST QUANTIT | PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | NO | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 TEE IDATION |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------|
| NAVY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM -< | | | | DUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY 77,133 77,136 77,136 74,000 77,136 74,000 77,136 74,000 77,136 74,000 77,136 74,000 74,14,000 74,14,000 74,14,000 74,14,000 74,14,000 74,14,000 74,14,000 74,14,000 74,21 74,21 74,21 74,21 74,21 74,21 74,21 74,21 74,21 74,21 74,21 74,21 | | | | | | • | |
| GCCS-M EQUIPMENT 61,085 - | | | 77,133 | | | , | 77,133 |
| NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS) 2,2,826 - ATDLS 9,965 - - ATDLS 9,965 - - ATDLS 9,965 - - MIRESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT - - - MIRESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT - - - MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT - - - MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT - - - - MANUTUR - - - - - - AND TOWATER MOM - - - - - - - AND TOWATER MOM - <td< td=""><td>47 GCCS-M EQUIPMENT</td><td></td><td>61,085</td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td>61,085</td></td<> | 47 GCCS-M EQUIPMENT | | 61,085 | | | • | 61,085 |
| ATDLS 9,965 9,965 9,965 MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT 9,965 9,965 9,965 MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT 9,965 9,965 9,965 NAMED FORCES RADIO AND TV 9,867 9,867 9,965 NAMED FORCES RADIO AND TV 9,867 9,867 9,965 RAMED FORCES RADIO AND TV 9,1,361 14,609 14,600 TRAINIG EQUIPMENT 0.01HER TRAINING EQUIPMENT 1,793 4,000 4,000 TRAINING EQUIPMENT 0.01HER TRAINING EQUIPMENT 1,793 4,000 4,400 MATCALS 0.005 1,055 4,000 1,44,000 ANATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT 0.056 1,005 1,44,000 ANATION AIR SPACE SYSTEM 0.005 1,5617 1 ANATION AIR SPACE SYSTEM 0.005 1,5617 1 ANATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM 0.005 1,5617 1 ANATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM 0.005 1,5617 1 1 ANATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM 0.005 1,5617 1 1 1 ANATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM 0.005 | NAVAL TACTICAL | | 42,826 | | | • | 42,826 |
| MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT 5, 8,903 5, 9,03 SHALLOW WATER MCM 5, 9,857 5, 9,857 5, 9,857 5, 9,857 5,941,609 SHALLOW WATER MCM 5,946,09 5,945 5,944,600 ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV 5,944,609 5,14,609 5,14,609 5,14,600 5,14,100 | ATDLS | | 9,965 | | | | 9,965 |
| SHALLOW WATER MCM 5 - | MINESWEEPING | | 8,903 | | | ' | 8,903 |
| NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE) 9,857 9,857 1,361 1 ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV 5 14,609 1,361 1 1 STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP 1,1,361 1,793 1,793 1 1 TRAINIG EQUIPMENT 0THER SPAWAR TRAINING EQUIPMENT 1,793 4,000 4 4 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT 37,225 4,000 1 4 MIDE AND TV 37,225 4,000 1 4 MATCALS 1,005 1,005 1 1 1 AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT 0.1,005 1,005 1 4 4 1 MATCALS 8.036 1,005 1,005 1 <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> | | , | | | | | , |
| ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV 14,609 14,609 STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP 11,361 11,361 TRAINING EQUIPMENT 11,361 11,361 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT 37,225 4,000 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT 37,225 4,000 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT 37,225 4,000 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT 1,005 1,793 MATCALS 37,225 4,000 SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL 5,036 1,005 AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT 1,005 1,005 MATCALS 1,005 1,005 4 ANTONIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM 1,005 4,3618 MATONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM 7,421 4,3618 MATONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM 7,421 7,421 MATONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM 7,421 7,421 MATONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM 7,421 7,421 MATONAL LANDING SYSTEM 1,151 1,151 MATONAL LANDING SYSTEMS 1,151 1,150 SURFACE IDENTIFICATION SYSTEMS 1,151 1,151 TAC AC MISSION PLANNING SYS(TAMPS) 1,3,411 1,1,41 | NAVSTAR GPS RI | | 9,857 | | | ı | 9,857 |
| STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPORT EQUIP - 11,361 - 1 TRAINING EQUIPMENT - 1,793 - 4 OTHER SPAWAR TRAINING EQUIPMENT - 37,225 4,000 - 4 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT - 37,225 4,000 - 4 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT - 37,225 4,000 - 4 Battle Force Tactical Training-Air Traffic Control - 37,225 4,000 - 4 MATCALS - - - 37,225 4,000 - 4 MATCALS - - - - - - - 4 MATCALS - <td>ARMED FORCES</td> <td>,</td> <td>14,609</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>14,609</td> | ARMED FORCES | , | 14,609 | | | | 14,609 |
| TRAINING EQUIPMENT - | STRATEGIC PLAT | | 11,361 | | | | 11,361 |
| OTHER SPAWAR TRAINING EQUIPMENT - 1,793 - 4 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT - 37,225 4,000 - 4 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT - 37,225 4,000 - 4 Battle Force Tactical Training-Air Traffic Control - 37,225 1,005 - 4 AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT - - 1,005 - - 4 AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT - - 1,005 - - 4 AVIATION ELECTRONIC CONTROL - - 1,005 - - 4 ANTCALS - - - - - - - 4 ANTONIC ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT - <td< td=""><td>TRAINING EQUIPMENT</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT 37,225 4,000 4 Battle Force Tactical Training-Air Traffic Control 1,005 1,44,000 1,44,000 AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT 0,036 1,005 1,005 1,005 1,44,000 AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT 0,036 1,005 1,005 1,44,000 1,44,000 ANTCALS 0,036 1,005 1,005 1,005 1,44,000 1,44,000 ANTCALS 0,036 1,005 1,005 1,005 1,44,000 1,44,000 ANTCALS 0,036 0,036 1,005 1,005 1,44,000 1,44,000 ANTCALS 0,010 0,036 1,005 1,44,000 1,4 | OTHER SPAWAR | | 1,793 | | | | 1,793 |
| Battle Force Tactical Training-Air Traffic Control [+4,000] [+4,000] AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT - 1,005 - MATCALS - 1,005 - - SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL - 1,005 - - - SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL - - 1,005 - - - SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL - - 1,005 -< | OTHER TRAINING | • | 37,225 | | 4,000 | | 41,225 |
| AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT- 1,005- 1,005MATCALS- 1,005- 1,005- 4MATCALSSHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL- 15,617- 15,617SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL- 15,617- 15,617- 4AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM- 15,617- 15,617- 4AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM- 15,617- 15,617- 4AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM- 7,421- 7,421- 4AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT- 7,421- 7,421- 4MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM- 1,151- 1,151- 1,151- 1,151MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEMS- 1,151- 1,151- 1,151- 1,151SURFACE IDENTIFICATION SYSTEMS- 1,13,411- 13,411- 1,151- 1,151 | Battle Force Tactical Training-Air Traffic Control | | | | [+4,000] | | [+4,000] |
| MATCALS - 1,005 - <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | | | | | | | |
| SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL 8,036 - AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM - 15,617 - NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM - 43,618 - NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM - 43,618 - AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT - 43,618 - AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT - 7,421 - MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM - - 7,421 - MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM - - 1,151 - - MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEMS - - 1,151 (1,000) - - SUFFACE IDENTIFICATION SYSTEMS - - 13,411 - < | | | 1,005 | | | | 1,005 |
| AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM - 15,617 - NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM - 43,618 - NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM - 43,618 - AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT - 43,618 - AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT - 7,421 - MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM - - 7,421 - MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM - - 1,151 - - RESFAC - 18,310 (1,000) - <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>8,036</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ı</td> <td>8,036</td> | | • | 8,036 | | | ı | 8,036 |
| NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM - 43,618 - - - 3,618 - | | | 15,617 | | | • | 15,617 |
| AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT - 7,421 - MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM - 5,409 - FACSFAC - 1,151 - ID SYSTEMS - 18,310 (1,000) - SUFFACE IDENTIFICATION SYSTEMS - 13,411 - - | _ | | 43,618 | | | | 43,618 |
| MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM 5,409 5,409 - 5,409 - 1,151 - | | | 7,421 | | | • | 7,421 |
| FACSFAC - 1,151 - <th< td=""><td>MICROWAVE LAN</td><td>•</td><td>5,409</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5,409</td></th<> | MICROWAVE LAN | • | 5,409 | | | | 5,409 |
| ID SYSTEMS - 18,310 (1,000) - SURFACE IDENTIFICATION SYSTEMS - 13,411 - 13,411 - 13,411 - 13,411 - 1000 | | • | 1,151 | | | • | 1,151 |
| SURFACE IDENTIFICATION SYSTEMS | | | 18,310 | | (1,000) | | 17,310 |
| I PLANNING SYS(TAMPS) - 13,411 - 13,411 | SURFACE IDENTI | | • | | | | • |
| | _ | • | 13,411 | | | • | 13,411 |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 | 0 | COMMITTEE | ITEE | FY 2002 | 02 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| | AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | VTION ST | CHANGE FROM REQUEST | FROM EST | COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | ITEE VDATION |
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 67 GCCS-M EQUIPMENT ASHORE | | • | | | | , |
| 68 TADIX-B | • | • | | | | |
| 69 NAVAL SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | | 4,898 | | | | 4,898 |
| 70 GCCS-M EQUIPMENT TACTICAL/MOBILE | • | • | | | • | |
| | | 58,446 | | | | 58,446 |
| 72 RADIAC | • | 7,876 | | | | 7,876 |
| 73 GPETE | • | 4,727 | | | | 4,727 |
| | • | 4,502 | | | ı | 4,502 |
| 75 EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION | • | 5,162 | | | • | 5,162 |
| 76 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | • | 6,332 | | | | 6,332 |
| SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | |
| 77 SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS | | • | | | | |
| 78 SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION | | 121,242 | | | • | 121,242 |
| 79 SHIP COMM ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION | | • | | | · | ı |
| 80 COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M | | 24,278 | | | ı | 24,278 |
| SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | |
| 81 SHORE LF/VLF COMMUNICATIONS | | 17,517 | | | | 17,517 |
| 82 SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT | • | 89,309 | | | · | 89,309 |
| SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | |
| 83 SATCOM SHIP TERMINALS (SPACE) | ı | ı | | | ı | ı |
| 84 SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS | | 198,143 | | 15,000 | | 213,143 |
| Digital Modular Radio | | | | [+15,000] | | [+15,000] |
| | ı | , | | | ı | |
| SHORE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 TTEE VDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 86 JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | | 4,623 | | | | 4,623 |
| 87 ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS | • | 1,301 | | | , | 1,301 |
| 88 NSIPS | • | 14,232 | | | ı | 14,232 |
| 89 JEDMICS | • | • | | | | |
| 90 NAVAL SHORE COMMUNICATIONS | ı | 66,772 | | | | 66,772 |
| CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 91 INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) | | 78,170 | | 10,000 | • | 88,170 |
| Additional Secure Terminal Equipment | | | | [+10,000] | | [+10,000] |
| CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 92 SPECIAL DCP | | • | | | ł | · |
| 93 CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP | | 15,595 | | | | 15,595 |
| DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT | | | | | | |
| 94 OTHER DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT | ı | r | | | | |
| TOTAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT | | 1,411,875 | | 43,000 | | 1,454,875 |
| AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| SONOBUOYS | | | | | | |
| 95 PASSIVE SONOBUOYS (NON-BEAM FORMING) | | | | | ı | , |
| | | , | | | | |
| | | • | | | | ŀ |
| | | 57,886 | | | | 57,886 |
| _ | ı | • | | | • | • |
| | | | | | | |
| | • | 10,123 | | | | 10,123 |

92

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE ĮDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 101 EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS | | 7,551 | | | ı | 7,551 |
| 102 AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT | | 12,265 | | | | 12,265 |
| 103 AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT | | 27,500 | | | | 27,500 |
| 104 METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT | | 29,833 | | | | 29,833 |
| 105 OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT | • | 1,710 | | | | 1,710 |
| 106 AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT | | 21,035 | | | | 21,035 |
| 107 AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES | | 46,860 | | | | 46,860 |
| 108 OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 13,645 | | | , | 13,645 |
| TOTAL AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 228,414 | | • | | 228,414 |
| ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 109 GUN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT | • | 17,926 | | | | 17,926 |
| 110 NAVAL FIRES CONTROL SYSTEM | | 600 | | | ı | 600 |
| | | | | | | |
| 111 NATO SEASPARHOW | | 10,6/0 | | | | 10,6/0 |
| 112 RAM GMLS | • | 31,838 | | | , | 31,838 |
| 113 SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM | • | 34,378 | | | ı | 34,378 |
| 114 AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 155,113 | | | | 155,113 |
| 115 SURFACE TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 61,241 | | | ı | 61,241 |
| 116 SUBMARINE TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIP | | 3,062 | | | | 3,062 |
| 117 VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS | | 6,857 | | | • | 6,857 |
| FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 118 STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP | | 9,823 | | | 3 | 9,823 |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION DECOLIEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM DECUIEST | ITTEE FROM | FY 2002 COMMITTEE BECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE VIDATION |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY COST | <u>OUAN</u> | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 119 STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP | | 4 | (2,000) | | 203,094 |
| ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 120 SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS | - 40, | 40,716 | | | 40,716 |
| 121 SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | - 2 | 5,935 | | | 5,935 |
| 122 SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | ́ю́ | 3,213 | | ı | 3,213 |
| 123 ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | <u>ں</u> ، | 6,012 | | , | 6,012 |
| OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 124 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP | ·б | 9,353 | | ı | 9,353 |
| 125 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | · م | 5,795 | | | 5,795 |
| OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE | | | | | |
| 126 ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM | - 27, | 27,513 | | , | 27,513 |
| 127 SURFACE TRAINING DEVICE MODS | - 7, | 7,318 | | , | 7,318 |
| 128 SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS | - 20, | 20,753 | | | 20,753 |
| TOTAL ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 663,210 | 210 | (2,000) | | 661,210 |
| CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 129 ARMORED SEDANS | ł | 440 | | ı | 440 |
| 130 PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | | 1,351 | | ı | 1,351 |
| 131 GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS | | 1,531 | | ı | 1,531 |
| 132 CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP | ං , | 9,587 | | | 9,587 |
| 133 FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT | ب | 5,300 | | | 5,300 |
| 134 TACTICAL VEHICLES | - 20, | 20,154 | | • | 20,154 |
| 135 AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT | - 14, | 14,633 | | | 14,633 |
| | | | | | |

94

| | EV 2002 | COMMATTEE | EV 2002 | 50 |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| | AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | CHANGE FROM REQUEST | COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | TTEE NDATION |
| | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 136 POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT | - 19,969 | | • | 19,969 |
| 137 ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION | - 11,323 | | | 11,323 |
| TOTAL CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 84,288 | • | | 84,288 |
| SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | |
| SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT 138 MATERIAI S HANDI ING FOLIIPMENT | - 8.786 | | | 8.786 |
| 139 OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | - 7,534 | 6,000 | - 00 | 13,534 |
| Serial Number Tracking Systems | | [+6,000] | 0] | [+6,000] |
| 140 FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | - 5,222 | | • | 5,222 |
| 141 SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS | - 490,438 | | J | 490,438 |
| TOTAL SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 511,980 | 6,000 | 0 | 517,980 |
| PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT TRAINING DEVICES | | | | |
| 142 TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | - 1,101 | | ı | 1,101 |
| COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | , | |
| 143 TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | 1 | |
| 144 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | | | |
| 145 COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | - 28,787 | (1,000) | - (00 | 27,787 |
| 146 EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | - 6,646 | | • | 6,646 |
| MEDICAL SUPP(| - 7,693 | | ı | 7,693 |
| 148 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | • | • |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | N | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 TEE IDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|
| | QUANTITY CC | COST QI | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 149 OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | - | 15,812 | | | • | 15,812 |
| 150 MOBILE SENSOR PLATFORM | | 4,006 | | | | 4,006 |
| 151 ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 25,205 | | | • | 25,205 |
| 152 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT | • | 16,932 | | | | 116,932 |
| PRODUCTIVITY PROGRAMS | | | | | | |
| 153 JUDGEMENT FUND REIMBURSEMENT | • | | | | | |
| OTHER | | | | | | |
| 154 CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS | ı | | | | • | , |
| TOTAL PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 50 | 206,182 | | (1,000) | | 205,182 |
| SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | |
| SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | |
| 155 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | - 23 | 234,136 | | | | 234,136 |
| TOTAL SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 23 | 234,136 | | 1 | | 234,136 |
| 999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | F | 15,500 | | | I | 15,500 |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 4,05 | 4,097,613 | | 59,700 | | 4,157,313 |

Items of Special Interest

Operating forces industrial plant equipment

The budget request contained \$27.5 million for operating forces industrial plant equipment but included no funds for expeditionary maintenance facilities (EMF).

The committee is aware that the Navy is continuing to decommission its repair tenders, thereby limiting its ability to rapidly deploy a ship and equipment repair capability to support forward deployed forces. However, the committee is also aware that EMF, which are surface and air transportable, self-contained facilities, can be operational within 72 hours of deployment, and can meet the service's needs for a rapidly deployable repair and maintenance capability.

The committee fully supports the EMF concept and, accordingly, recommends \$28.0 million for operating forces industrial plant equipment, an increase of \$500 thousand, for procurement of EMF.

Other navigation equipment

The budget request contained \$45.9 million for the procurement of other navigation equipment but included no funds to procure force protection thermal imaging equipment for military sealift command ships.

As a result of the committee's investigation into the attack on the U.S.S. Cole, the committee is keenly aware of the lack of force protection equipment and sensors on board Naval warships and supply ships to identify and counter unconventional threats. The committee is also aware of, and supports, the Navy's rapid request for emergency funds to meet many of these requirements for its warships. However, military sealift command ships, which often steam independently and make port calls in remote and hostile areas separate from battle groups, lack adequate thermal imaging sensors to identify potential threats and hazards at ranges that would allow a timely response to avoid a collision or counter a terrorist attack.

Understanding new threats and vulnerabilities of U.S. ships while underway and at anchor, the committee recommends \$55.9 million for other navigation equipment, an increase of \$10.0 million, for the procurement of military sealift command force protection thermal imaging equipment.

Other supply support equipment

The budget request contained \$7.5 million for the procurement of other supply support equipment, of which \$741 thousand was for automatic identification technology (AIT) in support of the serial number tracking system (SNTS).

The SNTS will use commercial AIT to provide web-based, cradleto-grave, total asset visibility of individual components throughout the supply, maintenance, and transportation transfer process within Naval and Marine Corps aviation depots and will enhance the maintenance, remanufacture, and rebuild process of Navy and Marine Corps aircraft. The committee believes that streamlined business processes, such as SNTS, can be readily achieved by implementing AIT and has recommended increases for this technology for maintenance and ammunition tracking systems for other services in prior fiscal years.

Accordingly, the committee recommends \$13.5 million for other supply support equipment, an increase of \$6.0 million, for the SNTS.

Other training equipment

The budget request contained \$37.2 million for other training equipment, of which \$32.5 million was for the procurement to support the battle force tactical training (BFTT) program.

The BFTT system allows surface combatants and aircraft carriers to conduct realistic coordinated training scenarios using ownship equipment instead of shore-based training simulators. The committee notes that Congress provided funds in fiscal years 2000 and 2001 to upgrade the BFTT system in order to provide an air traffic control (ATC) training capability for aircraft carrier crews. However, the committee understands that additional BFTT ATC upgrades are required on both landing helicopter assault (LHA) and landing helicopter dock (LHD) amphibious ships for integrated battle group training. Because of the enhanced benefits to ships' crews from integrated battle group training, the committee recommends \$41.2 million for other training equipment, an increase of \$4.0 million, to procure BFTT ATC upgrades for 5 LHAs and 7 LHDs.

Radar support

The budget request contained no funds to procure radar support equipment.

The committee understands that an upgrade to the Mk92 Mod 1 system, which provides surveillance and gunfire control on medium-sized ships is required because the current system relies on obsolete components that are no longer manufactured, resulting in a spare parts inventory incapable of sustaining it beyond fiscal year 2002. The committee believes this situation should be avoided and, therefore, recommends an increase of \$15.0 million to upgrade Mk92 Mod 1 radars to the Mod 2 variant.

Satellite communications systems

The budget request contained \$198.1 million to procure satellite communication systems, of which \$9.6 million is for the procurement of digital modular radios (DMR).

The DMR is a software programmable radio which replaces AN/ WCS-3 transceivers and TD-1271 multiplexer modems that are not compliant with Joint Chiefs of Staff directives. The DMR is backward compatible with existing radios and cryptographic devices, while being 90 percent compatible with the next-generation Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) common architecture. The committee understands that additional software development is required for DMRs to maintain compliance with JTRS software compliance architecture (SCA) and, therefore, recommends \$213.1 million for satellite communications systems, an increase of \$15.0 million, to migrate the DMR to the JTRS SCA version 2.0 software.

PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Overview

The budget request contained \$981.7 million for Procurement, Marine Corps in fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends au-thorization of \$1,025.6 million for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on afford-ability considerations.

| PROGRAM TITLE | ITE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 LATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 TTEE VDATION |
|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| PROCUREMENT | ENT, MARINE CORPS | | | | | | |
| TRACKED COMB | | | | | | | |
| 1 AAV7A1 PIP | | 170 | 77,087 | | | 170 | 77,087 |
| 2 AAAV | | • | 1,512 | | | | 1,512 |
| 3 LAV PIP | | | 25,783 | | | | 25,783 |
| 4 IMPROVED REC | RECOVERY VEHICLE (IRV) | 8 | 21,026 | | | 80 | 21,026 |
| 5 MODIFICATIO | MODIFICATION KITS (TRKD VEH) | | 3,825 | | | • | 3,825 |
| ARTILLERY | ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS | | | | | | |
| 6 155MM LIGH | 155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER | | • | | | | , |
| 7 MOD KITS (ARTII | RTILLERY) | | 1,478 | | | • | 1,478 |
| 8 MARINE ENH | MARINE ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM | • | 2,243 | | | • | 2,243 |
| 9 WEAPONS AND | ND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION | • | 274 | | 5,000 | | 5,274 |
| M249 Squ | M249 Squad Automatic Weapon | | | | [+5,000] | | [+5,000] |
| WEAPONS | | | | | | | |
| 10 MODULAR W | MODULAR WEAPON SYSTEM | | 7,501 | | | | 7,501 |
| OTHER SUPPORT | ORT | | | | | | |
| 11 OPERATIONS OT | S OTHER THAN WAR | | 1,552 | | | | 1,552 |
| TOTAL WEAPON | PONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES | | 142,281 | | 5,000 | | 147,281 |
| GUIDED MISSILE | SILES AND EQUIPMENT SILES AND EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 12 JAVELIN | | I | 1,036 | | | | 1,036 |

| | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TEE FROM SST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 TTEE NDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 13 PEDESTAL MOUNTED STINGER (PMS) (MYP) | | • | | | | |
| 14 ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION | • | • | | | • | • |
| 15 PREDATOR (SRAW) | • | | | | • | • |
| OTHER SUPPORT | | | | | | |
| 16 MODIFICATION KITS | , | 6,612 | | | • | 6,612 |
| TOTAL GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT | | 7,648 | | | | 7,648 |
| COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| | | 616 | | | | 616 |
| | l | 20 | | | | 2 |
| 18 GENERAL PURPOSE ELECTRONIC TEST EQUIP. | | 8,115 | | | | 8,115 |
| | | | | | | |
| 19 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 9,615 | | | | 9,615 |
| 20 MOD KITS (INTEL) | • | 7,217 | | | | 7,217 |
| ITEMS UNDER \$5 N | | 1,654 | | | ı | 1,654 |
| REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | | | | | | |
| 22 GENERAL PRUPOSE MECHANICAL TMDE | | 4,578 | | | ı | 4,578 |
| OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | | | | | | |
| 23 NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT | | 22,374 | | 14,500 | I | 36,874 |
| AN/PVS-17 | | | | [+14,500] | | [+14,500] |
| OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL) | | | | | | |
| 24 ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) | | 9,028 | | | r | 9,028 |
| 25 COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES | | 21,302 | | | 1 | 21,302 |
| | | 17 228 | | | | 17 338 |
| 26 COMMANU POSI STSTEMS | • | 000,11 | | | • | 20,21 |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 002 ZATION JEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE From Est | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 302 TTEE NDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 27 MANEUVER C2 SYSTEMS | • | 1 | | | I | |
| 28 RADIO SYSTEMS | | 50,911 | | | • | 50,911 |
| 29 COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS | | 1 | | | | • |
| 30 COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT | | 7,546 | | | | 7,54(|
| 31 MOD KITS MAGTF C41 | | 21,136 | | | • | 21,136 |
| 32 AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS | | 5,210 | | | • | 5,21(|
| 33 INTELLIGENCE C2 SYSTEMS | · | 11,825 | | | | 11,82 |
| 34 FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM | ı | 16,152 | | | ı | 16,152 |
| TOTAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT | | 214,617 | | 14,500 | | 229,117 |
| SUPPORT VEHICLES | | | | | | |
| ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES | | | | | | |
| 35 COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES | , | 773 | | | • | 773 |
| 36 COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES | • | 6,487 | | | | 6,487 |
| 37 5/4T TRICK HMMWV (MYP) | 1.466 | 109.201 | | | 1.466 | 109.201 |
| | 1,946 | 312,199 | | | 1,946 | 312,199 |
| OTHER SUPPORT | | | | | | |
| 39 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | I | 2,564 | | | | 2,564 |
| TOTAL SUPPORT VEHICLES | | 431.224 | | | | 431.224 |

102

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT

| PROGRAM TITI F | FY 2002 | 2 | COMMITTEE | ITTEE | FY 2002 | 02 |
|---|--------------------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| | AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | ATION EST | CHANGE FROM REQUEST | E FROM JEST | COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | ITEE VDATION |
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 40 ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT | 1 | 2,571 | | | | 2,571 |
| 41 BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT | | 8,130 | | | • | 8,130 |
| 42 TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS | | 2,721 | | | | 2,721 |
| | | 5,674 | | | | 5,674 |
| | | 7,622 | | | ı | 7,622 |
| 45 SHOP EQ CONTACT MAINTENANCE (SECM) | , | • | | | | · |
| MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 46 COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | · | • | | | | , |
| 47 AMPHIBIOUS RAID EQUIPMENT | | 2,349 | | | ı | 2,349 |
| 48 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT | | 4,846 | | | • | 4,846 |
| 49 GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP | • | 5,938 | | | | 5,938 |
| 50 MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP | | 27,453 | | | | 27,453 |
| 51 FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | ı | 9,340 | | | | 9,340 |
| GENERAL PROPERTY | | | | | | |
| 52 FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT | ı | 7,530 | | | | 7,530 |
| 53 TRAINING DEVICES | | 30,566 | | | • | 30,566 |
| 54 CONTAINER FAMILY | | 5,909 | | 7,400 | ı | 13,309 |
| Tractor, Rubber Tired Articulated Steering, Multi-Purpose (TRAM) SLEP | | | | [+7,400] | | [+7,400] |
| 55 FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT | | 8,281 | | 17,000 | • | 25,281 |
| D-7G/Dozer/Scraper/Grader Remanufacture | | | | [+17,000] | | [+17,000] |
| 56 FAMILY OF INTERNALLY TRANSPORTABLE VEH (ITV) | | 4,852 | | | | 4,852 |
| 57 RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN | · | 5,947 | | | ı | 5,947 |
| OTHER SUPPORT | | | | | | |
| 58 MODIFICATION KITS | , | 11,892 | | | 1 | 11,892 |
| 59 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 7,684 | | | , | 7,684 |

| TITLE 1 - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands) |
|---|
|---|

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | NC | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ITEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 TEE IDATION |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------|
| | QUANTITY COST QUANTITY COST QUANTITY | DST (| NANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 60 CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENT (M) | 1 | | | | | |
| TOTAL ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT | 15 | 159,305 | | 24,400 | | 183,705 |
| SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | |
| 61 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 26,649 | | | · | 26,649 |
| TOTAL SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 26,649 | | | | 26,649 |
| TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS | 36 | 981,724 | | 43,900 | | 1,025,624 |

Items of Special Interest

Container family

The budget request contained \$5.9 million to procure container handling equipment but included no funds to conduct a service life extension program (SLEP) for the tractor, rubber tired, articulated steering, multi-purpose (TRAM).

The TRAM, a multi-purpose material handling and earthmoving machine capable of lifting up to 10,000 pounds, provides the primary heavy lift and earth moving capability for the Marine Corps. Since this system has been heavily relied upon to facilitate expeditionary operations, it requires a SLEP to extend its service life for an additional 10 years. The committee notes that the Commandant of the Marine Corps has identified a 7.4 million fiscal year 2002 unfunded requirement to conduct a SLEP for 521 of the 617 TRAMs on hand. Because the committee understands the vital mobility requirements that this system fulfills, the committee recommends \$13.3 million for container family equipment, an increase of \$7.4 million, for TRAM SLEP.

Expeditionary warfare

The committee supports the Department of the Navy's efforts in developing expeditionary warfare capabilities to address threats of the 21st century. The committee believes that an expeditionary force capable of rapid, sustained employment that possesses the ability to conduct forcible entry is a necessary military asset. However, the committee is concerned that programmed funding is inadequate to execute the full spectrum of expeditionary warfare oper-ations. This disparity was highlighted in a recent General Accounting Office report, which concluded that it will be another 10 to 20 years before the Navy and the Marine Corps have the capabilities needed to successfully execute littoral warfare operations against competent enemy forces. The committee also notes that testimony provided by Navy and Marine Corps officials acknowledged that the nation's sea service lacks a number of key warfighting capabilities and that these deficiencies place at risk expeditionary warfare operations.

Consequently, the committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to provide a report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2002, that examines the relationship between expeditionary warfare funding and mission requirements. At a minimum, the report shall contain the following information: (1) Identification of those missions which are assigned to and

can best be carried out by expeditionary warfare forces;

(2) Identification of major programs that directly support execution of expeditionary warfare and a comparison between required and actual funding for these programs over the past three fiscal years as well as a comparison between required and planned funding for them as identified in the future years defense program; and

(3) An explanation of the risks of underfunding these programs, including any impact on personnel morale, retention and effectiveness.

Family of construction equipment

The budget request contained \$8.3 million for the remanufacture or product improvement of D-7G dozers, 621B scrapers, and 130G graders. The dozer/scraper/grader fleet is used throughout Marine Corps combat engineer and support units for airfield construction, as well as for combat clearing and debris excavation.

The committee notes that the service's rapidly deteriorating dozer, scraper and grader fleet is over 15 years old and that the Commandant of the Marine Corps has identified a fiscal year 2002 unfunded requirement to accelerate remanufacture of this equipment. The committee also notes that the remanufacturing/product improvement program will extend the life of this equipment for an additional 10 years.

Consistent with its actions in prior years, the committee recommends \$25.3 million for the family of construction equipment, an increase of \$17.0 million, to remanufacture/product improve D– 7G dozers, scrapers, and graders.

Night vision equipment

The budget request contained \$22.4 million to procure night vision equipment but included no funds to procure AN/PVS-17 night vision sights.

The AN/PVS-17 is a lightweight, rifle-mounted, generation III image intensification night vision sight that replaces obsolete, post-Vietnam era AN/PVS-4 sights. The committee notes that the Commandant of the Marine Corps has identified a \$16.5 million fiscal year 2002 unfunded requirement to procure 3,682 AN/PVS-17 night vision sights, which would complete this system's acquisition objective. The committee recognizes the increased benefits of generation III technology, and, therefore, recommends \$36.9 million for night vision equipment, an increase of \$14.5 million, for AN/PVS-17 night vision sights.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Overview

The budget request contained \$10,744.5 million for Aircraft Procurement, Air Force in fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends authorization of \$10,705.7 million for fiscal year 2002.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 TTEE NDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | | | |
| COMBAT AIRCRAFT TACTICAL FORCES | | | | |
| F-22 RAPTOR | 13 3,053,409 | 60 | 13 | 3,053,409 |
| F-22 RAPTOR | - (395,256) | 56) | • | (395,256) |
| 2 F-22 RAPTOR | • | | • | • |
| 2 F-22 RAPTOR | | | , | • |
| 2 F-22 RAPTOR | - 379,159 | 59 | • | 379,159 |
| 3 F-15A | | | | • |
| 3 F-15A | | | • | • |
| 4 F-15A | | | I | 1 |
| 5 F-16A (MYP) | • | | I | |
| 5 F-16A (MYP) | | | ı | • |
| 6 F-16A (MYP) | | | | ' |
| TOTAL COMBAT AIRCRAFT | 3,037,312 | | | 3,037,312 |
| AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT TACTICAL AIRLIFT | | | | |
| | 15 3,133,008 - (257,233) | 08 (36,000) 33) |) 15 - | 3,097,008 (257,233) |
| 8 C-17A (MYP) 8 C-17A (MYP) 8 C-17A (MYP) | | 36 000 | | 264,100 |

107

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 2 .TION ST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE ĮDATION |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST C | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 9 C-17 ICS | | 441,163 | | | | 441,163 |
| OTHER AIRLIFT | | | | | | |
| 10 EC-130J | | | | | | |
| 11 C-130J | 7 | 221,809 | | | 21 | 221,809 |
| TOTAL AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT | e. | 3,766,847 | | | | 3,766,847 |
| TRAINER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| OPERATIONAL TRAINERS | | | | | | |
| 12 JPATS | 48 | 228,409 | | | 48 | 228,409 |
| TOTAL TRAINER AIRCRAFT | | 228,409 | | ı | | 228,409 |
| OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| HELICOPTERS | | | | | | |
| 13 V-22 OSPREY | I | 117,822 | | (117,822) | ı | ı |
| 13 V-22 OSPREY | ı | (22,712) | | 22,712 | | i |
| 14 V-22 OSPREY | ı | , | | | ļ | I |
| 14 V-22 OSPREY | , | , | | | ı | • |
| 14 V-22 OSPREY | ı | 14,991 | | (14,991) | · | |
| MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| 15 C-32B FEST/DEST AIRCRAFT | - | 72,451 | | | - | 72,451 |
| 16 CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C | 27 | 2,629 | | | 27 | 2,629 |
| 17 OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRCRAFT | • | | | | | |
| OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

108

| QUANTITY COST - - | | REQUEST | AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | CHANGE FROM REQUEST | FROM EST | COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | ITEE VDATION |
|---|--|----------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| TARGET DRONES C-40 ANG EC-130H E-80 E-81 E-80 E-80 E-80 E-80 E-80 E-80 E-80 E-80 | | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| C-40 ANG EC-130H E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C | 18 TARGET DRONES | • | 35,484 | | - | , | 35,484 |
| EC-130H E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C | 19 C-40 ANG | | ' | | | , | ı |
| E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C | 20 EC-130H | | 19,000 | | | | 19,000 |
| E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C ICS HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV PREDATOR UAV Predator B TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT | 21 E-8C | - | 328,782 | | | - | 328,782 |
| E-BC E-BC E-BC E-BC ICS HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV PREDATOR UAV Predator B TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT | | | (45,580) | | | • | (45,580) |
| E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C E-8C HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV FAEDATON Predator B Fredator B TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | |
| E-BC E-BC ICS HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV PREDATOR UAV Predator B TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT | | I | ı | | | | • |
| E-BC ICS HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV PREDATOR UAV Predator B TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT | | , | 49,000 | | | • | 49,000 |
| HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV PREDATOR UAV Predator B TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT B-2A | | • | | | | · | · |
| HAEUAV HAEUAV HAEUAV PEDATOR UAV Predator B TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT B-2A | | 2 | 107,610 | | | 2 | 107,610 |
| HAEUAV HAEUAV PEDATOR UAV Predator B TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT B-2A - | | | (22,183) | | | | (22,183) |
| HAEUAV PREDATOR UAV Predator B TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT B-2A | | ı | ١ | | | · | |
| PREDATOR UAV 6 Predator B TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT 7 MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT - 7 B-2A - | 25 HAEUAV | | 33,500 | | | , | 33,500 |
| Predator B TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT B-2A | 26 PREDATOR UAV | 9 | 19,632 | | 20,000 | 9 | 39,632 |
| TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT B-2A | Predator B | | | | [+20,000] | | [+20,000] |
| MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT B-2A | TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT | | 710,426 | | (90,101) | | 620,325 |
| B-2A | MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| | 27 B-2A | | 11,858 | | 33,000 | | 44,858 |
| TCOM Upgrades | SATCOM Upgrades | | | | [+33,000] | | [+33,000] |
| 28 B-1B - 95,493 Transforth O&M ANG | <u>н</u> | 1 | 95,493 | | (58,000) | | 37,493 |
| 1 anister to Oktivi, And 29 B-52 - 3,548 | ц, | | 3,548 | | | | 3,548 |

| TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands) | |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | NOIL | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE JDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 30 F-117 | | | | | | |
| TACTICAL AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| 31 A-10 | • | 18,547 | | | , | 18,547 |
| 32 F-15 | | 212,160 | | 52,500 | | 264,660 |
| F-15E Link 16 | | | | [+19,500] | | [+19,500] |
| Additional -220Engine Kits | | | | [+25,000] | | [+25,000] |
| Additional ALQ-135 Band 1.5 Internal Countermeasures Systems | | | | [+8,000] | | [+8,000] |
| 33 F-16 | , | 231,962 | | 2,000 | ' | 233,962 |
| ACES II Upgrade | | | | [+2,000] | | [+2,000] |
| 34 T/AT-37 | | 84 | | | , | 84 |
| AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| 35 C-5 | , | 103,214 | | | • | 103,214 |
| 36 C-9 | | 647 | | | • | 647 |
| 37 C-17A | | 139,278 | | | ı | 139,278 |
| 38 C-21 | | 2,675 | | | ı | 2,675 |
| 39 C-22 | , | | | | ı | ı |
| 40 C-32A | r | 40,393 | | | ſ | 40,393 |
| 41 C-37A | , | 379 | | | • | 379 |
| 42 C-141 | | 825 | | | r | 825 |
| TRAINER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| 43 T-1 | | | | | | |
| 44 T-3 (EFS) AIRCRAFT | | | | | | • |
| 45 T-38 | | 144,726 | | | | 144,726 |
| 46 T-41 AIRCRAFT | | 66 | | | ı | 06 |
| 47 T-43 | ı | 3,750 | | | | 3,750 |
| | | | | | | |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 | 02 ZATION | | ITEE CDOM | FY 2002 COMMITTEE | 02 TTEE |
|---|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|----------------------|------------|
| | REQUEST | EST . | | EST | | |
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| 48 KC-10A (ATCA) | | 31,249 | | | | 31,249 |
| 49 C-12 | • | 412 | | | • | 412 |
| 50 C-18 | | 830 | | | | 830 |
| 51 C-20 MODS | • | 635 | | | | 635 |
| 52 VC-25A MOD | | 14,165 | | | • | 14,165 |
| 53 C-130 | | 57,936 | | | | 57,936 |
| 54 C-135 | | 231,066 | | 25,500 | , | 256,566 |
| KC-135E Re-engining | | | | [+25,500] | | [+25,500] |
| 55 DARP | • | 195,045 | | 11,000 | • | 206,045 |
| Cobra Ball 3-Channel Tracker | | | | [+11,000] | | [+11,000] |
| 56 E-3 | | 92,520 | | | | 92,520 |
| 57 E-4 | | 45,539 | | | • | 45,539 |
| 58 E-8 | | 82,996 | | | | 82,996 |
| 59 H-1 | ı | 288 | | | | 288 |
| 60 H-60 | , | 26,519 | | 4,500 | , | 31,019 |
| HH-60G FLIR | | | | [+4,500] | | [+4,500] |
| 61 OTHER AIRCRAFT | • | 50,954 | | 4,800 | , | 55,754 |
| Fixed Aircrew Standardized Seat | | | | [+4,800] | | [+4,800] |
| 62 PREDATOR MODS | ı | 10,384 | | 6,000 | | 16,384 |
| Structured R&M Program OTHER MODIFICATIONS | | | | [+6,000] | | [+6,000] |
| 63 CLASSIFIED PROJECTS 64 SPECIAL PROJECTS | r | 23,227 | | | , | 23,227 |
| | | | | | | |

111

| | AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | I I EE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 ITTEE ENDATION |
|---|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| TOTAL MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT | | 1,873,394 | | 81,300 | | 1,954,694 |
| AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 65 SPARES/REPAIR PARTS | • | 321,539 | | (26,390) | • | 295,149 |
| CV-22 Spares | | | | [-26,390] | | [-26,390] |
| TOTAL AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 321,539 | | (26,390) | | 295,149 |
| AIRCRAFT SUBPORT FOUIDMENT AND FACULITIES | | | | | | |
| COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 66 AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQ. & FACILITIES | • | 211,334 | | (5,400) | , | 205,934 |
| POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT | | | | | | |
| 67 A-10 | | • | | | • | • |
| 68 B-2A | • | 12,647 | | | ı | 12,647 |
| 69 B-2A | • | 38,612 | | | , | 38,612 |
| 70 B-1B | | 6,400 | | | | 6,400 |
| 71 C-130 | | 1,372 | | 2,800 | | 4,172 |
| MC-130P/H Simulator Upgrades | | | | [+2,800] | | [+2,800] |
| 72 E-4 | • | • | | | | |
| 73 F-15 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT | , | 7,409 | | | , | 7,409 |
| 74 F-16 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT | | 14,542 | | | ı | 14,542 |
| INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | | | | | | |
| 75 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | | 25,711 | | (1,000) | I | 24,711 |
| WAR CONSUMABLES | | | | | | |

| | (Dollars in Inousands) |
|--|------------------------|
|--|------------------------|

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE From Est | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 TTEE NDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 76 WAR CONSUMABLES | | 44,369 | | | | 44,369 |
| OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES | | | | | | |
| 77 MISC PRODUCTION CHARGES | | 324,986 | | | • | 324,986 |
| COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 78 COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT | | 1,200 | | | · | 1,200 |
| DARP | | | | | | |
| 79 DARP | | 90,329 | | | , | 90,329 |
| | | 110 OFF | | 000 07 | | 771 044 |
| IUTAL AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | 1/8,911 | | (3,600) | _ | 115,311 |
| 999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | 27,640 | | | ı | 27,640 |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | 10,744,478 | | (38,791) | | 10,705,687 |
| | | | | | | |

Items of Special Interest

The budget request contained \$11.9 million for B–2 modifications, of which \$11.3 million was included to upgrade one B–2 aircraft with satellite communications (SATCOM). The budget request also contained \$155.0 million in PE 64240F but included no funds for the link 16, center instrument display and in-flight replanner (Link16/CID/IFR) upgrade, or for integration of the enhanced guided bomb unit (EGBU)–28 weapon. The B–2 is the Department of Defense's most advanced long-range strike aircraft, capable of global force projection in a highly defended target environment.

The B–2 SATCOM upgrade provides beyond-line-of-sight secure voice and data communications that will ensure global command and control of this aircraft, and the committee believes that the entire fleet of 21 B–2 aircraft should be upgraded with SATCOM. Accordingly, the committee recommends \$44.9 million for B–2 modifications, an increase of \$33.0 million, to upgrade all 21 B–2s with SATCOM.

The B-2 link 16 provides networked battlefield situational awareness for improved survivability and flexible targeting, while the center instrument display and in-flight replanner portions of this upgrade provide an improved tactical situation picture and a capability to adjust mission planning while enroute. The EGBU-28 weapon will replace the aging, B-2 unique GBU-37B with a common weapon to continue the B-2's capability to attack hard and deeply buried targets. The committee views the Link16/CID/IFR and EGBU-28 upgrades as critical to future B-2 effectiveness. Consequently, the committee recommends \$245.0 million in PE 64240F, an increase of \$90.0 million-\$63.0 million to accelerate the Link 16/CID/IFR upgrade and \$27.0 million to complete engineering and manufacturing development activities for the EGBU-28 upgrade.

Additionally, the committee notes that the Air Force's concept of a global strike task force includes F-22 and B-2 aircraft and believes that its implementation may require procurement of additional B-2s. Accordingly, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a report to the congressional defense committees with the submission of the fiscal year 2003 budget request that describes the number and type of aircraft required to implement this concept and the acquisition strategy to procure these aircraft.

C-130

The committee notes that the Air Force has developed a longrange plan called the "C–130 Roadmap," to assist in the planning, budgeting and beddown of the newest aircraft in the C–130 fleet. The C–130 aircraft has been the workhorse of the military's tactical airlift fleet supporting operations around the globe for over four decades. The committee strongly supports the beddown of C–130Js as depicted in the "C–130 Roadmap." The committee expects the Air Force to continue to work closely with Congress on its beddown plan for the C–130J fleet and on the proposed C–130J–30 multiyear procurement. The committee encourages the Air Force to

B-2

use similar roadmaps as the baseline to plan, budget, and beddown other aircraft in order to modernize and replace aging systems.

C–17

The budget request contained \$2,875.8 million to procure 15 C– 17 aircraft and \$228.1 million for advance procurement of 12 aircraft in fiscal year 2003. The C–17 aircraft is currently procured under a seven-year multiyear procurement contract that ends in fiscal year 2003.

The committee notes that the recent Mobility Requirements Study-2005 concluded that the currently programmed airlift fleet is not adequate to meet requirements for the existing national military strategy. While the Department of Defense's on-going strategic review and upcoming Quadrennial Defense Review may change strategy or requirements for combat force structure, the committee believes that fiscal year 2003 procurement of C-17s will need to be maintained at current levels to replace the aging C-141 aircraft fleet scheduled for retirement. Accordingly, the committee recommends a transfer of \$36.0 million from C-17 procurement to C-17 advance procurement in order to provide for the more efficient production rate of 15 C-17s in fiscal year 2003, rather than the 12 now planned.

Therefore, the committee recommends \$2,839.8 million for the procurement of 15 C–17s, a decrease of \$36.0 million, and \$264.1 million, an increase of \$36.0 million for the advance procurement for 15 C–17s in fiscal year 2003.

The committee has included a provision that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to proceed with a follow-on C-17 multiyear procurement contract if the Secretary certifies the necessity to do so prior to enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002.

CV-22

The budget request contained \$95.1 million for CV-22 engineering and support costs, \$15.0 million for the advance procurement for three CV-22 tiltrotor aircraft in fiscal year 2003, and \$26.4 million for CV-22 spares. The budget request also contained \$28.2 million, In Procurement, Defense-Wide, to procure Special Operations Forces (SOF)-unique CV-22 long-lead items, peculiar training equipment, publications, and technical data. Additionally, the budget request contained \$546.7 million in PE 64262N, of which \$100.0 million was included to continue the development of two CV-22 aircraft for initial operational test and evaluation (IOT&E) activities.

The V-22 is a tilt-rotor vertical takeoff and landing aircraft that is being developed first for the Marine Corps as an MV-22 variant, followed by a CV-22 variant for the Air Force's SOF, and an HV-22 variant for the Navy. The committee notes that following two mishaps involving the MV-22 last year, the Marine Corps grounded its fleet pending a review of the program by a panel appointed by the Secretary of Defense. In April 2001, the panel recommended a near-term decrease in V-22 production along with increased design and re-engineering efforts to improve the aircraft's safety and reliability. These actions have delayed both the full-rate MV-22 production decision and the development activities of the CV-22 variant. The committee further notes that the report accompanying H.R 2216 (H. Rept. 107–148) included the rescission of a portion of the fiscal year 2001 funds for MV-22 and CV-22 production and for CV-22 test articles pending the correction of the MV-22 deficiencies.

Consequently, the committee believes that CV-22 procurement funds and CV-22 test article development funds are not required in fiscal year 2002 and recommends the following amounts: no funds for CV-22 procurement, a decrease of \$95.1 million; no funds for CV-22 advance procurement, a decrease of \$15.0 million; no funds for CV-22 spare parts, a decrease of \$26.4 million; no funds in Procurement, Defense-Wide to procure SOF-unique CV-22 longlead items, a decrease of \$28.2 million; and \$446.7 million for PE 64262N, a decrease of \$100.0 million for development of two CV-22 aircraft for IOT&E activities.

Defense airborne reconnaissance program (DARP), line 55

The budget request contained \$195.0 million for various RC-135, U-2 and C-130 aircraft modifications but included no funds to modify the RC-135S Cobra Ball to a dual-sided, three-channel optics and signal collection configuration.

The RC–135S Cobra Ball fleet consists of three aircraft configured for airborne measurement and signature intelligence (MASINT) and signals intelligence (SIGINT) collection missions to monitor and verify treaty agreements and to provide ballistic missile defense information to theater commanders. The committee notes that, of the RC–135S three-aircraft fleet, only one is configured with the dual-sided, three-channel optics and signal collection modification that allows it to collect MASINT and SIGINT on both sides of the aircraft with improved accuracy. The committee believes that one additional RC–135S Cobra Ball aircraft should be upgraded with this capability.

Consequently, the committee recommends \$206.0 million for DARP, line 55, an increase of \$11.0 million, to modify one RC-135S Cobra Ball aircraft to the dual-sided three-channel optics and signal collection configuration.

F–15 modifications

The budget request contained \$212.2 million for F-15 modifications, of which \$24.4 million was included to convert the F100 engine to the F100-220E configuration and \$39.9 million was included for the ALQ-135 Band 1.5 countermeasures system modification. However, the budget request included no funds for F-15Efighter data link (FDL)-16 modification.

Conversion kits for the F–15's F100 engine, also known as "Ekits," provide increased thrust, greater reliability, better fuel efficiency, and reduced operations and maintenance costs. For fiscal year 2001, the committee recommended a \$70.0 million increase to accelerate this modification and notes that \$36.0 million was appropriated for this purpose.

The committee continues to support this upgrade and, therefore, recommends an increase of \$25.0 million to accelerate the conversion of the F-15 fleet's engines to the F100-220E configuration.

The ALQ-135 Band 1.5 countermeasures system modification provides a self-protection jamming capability against modern surface-to-air enemy missiles and is integrated with the F-15's existing internal countermeasure set and its ALR-56C radar warning receiver to provide full threat coverage. The committee believes that improved self-protection capability such as the ALQ-135 Band 1.5 countermeasures system modification addresses deficiencies identified subsequent to Operation Allied Force in 1999, as well as those in current combat operations.

Accordingly, the committee recommends an increase of \$8.0 million for the ALQ-135 Band 1.5 countermeasures system modification. While the committee notes that the budget estimates for fiscal years 2003 to 2007 do not reflect the Department's strategic review results, the committee strongly urges the Air Force to establish a consistent funding approach for the ALQ-135 Band 1.5 countermeasures system that will complete production and installation of this modification on all F-15E aircraft by fiscal year 2005.

The F-15E FDL-16 modification provides the F-15E with a tactical data link radio which significantly improves operational effectiveness by providing real-time, jam-resistant digital data and voice transfer capability. The committee understands that this continuous automated exchange of data between aircraft provides our pilots with a significant increase in situational awareness and improves survivability by four times. The committee notes that the final increment of F-15E FDL-16 funding is currently planned for fiscal year 2004 but believes that such timing will result in an F-15E FDL-16 production break with a concomitant increase in costs to restart production and higher unit costs at the later date.

Consequently, the committee recommends an increase of \$19.5 million to accelerate the final increment of F-15E FDL-16 procurement. In total, the committee recommends \$264.7 million for F-15 modifications, an increase of \$52.5 million.

F–16 modifications

The budget request contained 232.0 million for various F-16 modifications but included no funds for advanced concept ejection seat (ACES) co-operative improvement program (CIP).

The committee understands that, as a result of pilot demographic changes, 17 percent of the pilot population is outside the weight threshold for existing ejection seats, and that the ACES CIP will address this safety concern by improving seat stability and limb restraint to accommodate a wider range of pilot sizes.

Consequently, the committee recommends \$234.0 million for F-16 modifications, an increase of \$2.0 million, to begin the incorporation of ACES CIP safety improvements and expects the Department of the Air Force to budget for this upgrade in its future years defense program.

Fixed aircrew standardized seats

The budget request contained \$51.0 million for other modifications but included no funds for fixed aircrew standardized seats (FASS).

FASS would provide crewmembers and passengers on C–130, C– 135, C–141, C–5, E–3, KC–10, C–17, and E–8 aircraft protection against aircraft crash loads up to 16 times the force of gravity. In prior years, the committee has supported the development of the FASS and continues to believe that its implementation will not only increase safety, but also reduce supply and maintenance costs through the commonality and interchangeability of its parts.

Accordingly, the committee recommends \$55.8 million for other modifications, an increase of \$4.8 million, to begin procurement of FASS.

MC–130 simulation training upgrades

The budget request contained \$1.4 million for C-130 post-production support but included no funds for an MC-130P weapon system trainer (WST) software upgrade or for an MC-130H simulator visual scene and sensor display.

The committee notes that the MC-130P simulator software is three versions behind the software installed on MC-130P aircraft and fails to properly interface with critical navigation and defensive avionics systems. The committee understands that this training limitation results in the development of poor MC-130P student aircrew habits that negatively affect mission accomplishment. Consequently, the committee recommends an increase of \$1.5 million for an MC-130P WST software upgrade to correct this deficiency.

The committee also understands that the current MC-130H simulator uses a visual display system that limits the aircrew's cockpit field of view, resulting in poor night vision training. Accordingly, the committee recommends an increase of \$1.3 million to upgrade the MC-130H simulator's visual scene and sensor display to improve aircrew night vision training. In total, the committee recommends \$4.2 million for C-130 post-production support, an increase of \$2.8 million.

Predator unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV)

The budget request contained \$19.6 million for procurement of six Predator UAV systems but included no funds for the Predator B, a larger, faster variant with increased payload capacity. Each Predator UAV system consists of four air vehicles, one ground control station, a communications suite, and associated ground support equipment. The budget request also contained \$10.4 million for Predator modifications but included no funds for a structured reliability and maintainability program.

The Predator UAV system provides long-dwell, real-time intelligence information to Joint Task Force Commanders. The committee notes that following the accomplishments of the Predator UAV system in its reconnaissance role, the system has also successfully demonstrated its capability to be weaponized to deliver Hellfire missiles. As missions for the Predator UAV system expand, the committee believes that improved speed and payload capacity are necessary.

Accordingly, the committee recommends \$39.6 million for Predator procurement, an increase of \$20.0 million, for the acquisition of the follow-on Predator B variant.

However, the committee notes that the Predator UAV system is accumulating significant flying hours and believes that the longterm sustainment of current reliability and maintainability levels

is imperative. Consequently, the committee recommends \$16.4 million for Predator modifications, an increase of \$6.0 million, to facili-tate the implementation of a structured reliability and maintainability program.

AMMUNITION PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Overview

The budget request contained \$865.3 million for Ammunition Procurement, Air Force in fiscal year 2002. The committee rec-ommends authorization of \$871.3 million for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on afford-ability considerations.

| 1 | PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ITEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE VDATION |
|---------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| | | | | | | | |
| | PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE ROCKETS | | | | | | |
| | ROCKETS | | 29,580 | | | ı | 29,580 |
| | CARTRIDGES | | | | | | |
| 2 | CARTRIDGES | | 122,907 | | | | 122,907 |
| | BOMBS | | | | | | |
| ო | PRACTICE BOMBS | ı | 50,230 | | 3,000 | ı | 53,230 |
| | BDU-56 Cast Ductile Iron | | | | [+3,000] | | [+3,000] |
| 4 | GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS | I | 110,522 | | 3,000 | , | 113,522 |
| | MK-84 Cast Ductile Iron | | | | [+3,000] | | [+3,000] |
| ഹ | CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS | I | 7,946 | | | , | 7,946 |
| 9 | SENSOR FUZED WEAPON | 300 | 109,521 | | | 300 | 109,521 |
| 7 | JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION | 8,383 | 187,257 | | | 8,383 | 187,257 |
| œ | WIND CORRECTED MUNITIONS DISP | 6,838 | 111,853 | | | 6,838 | 111,853 |
| | FLARE, IR MJU-7B | | | | | | |
| ი | CAD/PAD | | 18,170 | | | | 18,170 |
| 10 | EXPLOSIVE ORDINANCE DISPOSAL | I | 1,421 | | | | 1,421 |
| ÷ | INITIAL SPARES | ı | 2,727 | | | | 2,727 |
| 12 | MODIFICATIONS <5M | I | 211 | | | • | 211 |
| 13 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 | | 1,633 | | | • | 1,633 |
| | FUZES | | | | | | |
| 14 | FLARES | | 108,965 | | | • | 108,965 |
| 15 | JOINT PROGRAMMABLE FUSE(JPF) | | • | | | · | ı |
| | | | | | | | |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION DECVIEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM DECUREST | TTEE FROM FET | FY 2002 COMMITTEE BECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE IDATION |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY COST QUANTITY | COST |
| TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE | 862,943 | 3 | 6,000 | | 868,943 |
| WEAPONS SMALL ARMS | | | | | |
| 16 SMALL ARMS | - 2,401 | - | | | 2,401 |
| TOTAL WEAPONS | 2,401 | | | | 2,401 |
| TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE | 865,344 | 4 | 6,000 | | 871,344 |

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Overview

The budget request contained \$3,233.5 million for Missile Pro-curement, Air Force in fiscal year 2002. The committee rec-ommends authorization of \$3,226.3 million for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on afford-ability considerations.

| <pre>FITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)</pre> | |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 002 ZATION JEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE IDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE BALLISTIC MISSILES MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT - BALLISTIC 1 MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLIS | | 25,124 | | | , | 25,124 |
| TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES | | 25,124 | | . | | 25,124 |
| OTHER MISSILES STRATEGIC | | | | | | |
| 2 ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE TACTICAL | • | • | | | • | |
| 3 JASSM | 76 | 45,010 | | (1,300) | | 43,710 |
| 4 JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON | 104 | 54,641 | | | 104 | 54,641 |
| 5 SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X) | 138 | 38,923 | | | 138 | 38,923 |
| 6 AGM-130 POWERED GBU-15 | ı | ı | | | ı | ı |
| 7 AMRAAM | 190 | 104,701 | | | 190 | 104,701 |
| INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | | | | | | |
| 8 INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | r | 3,040 | | (1,000) | | 2,040 |
| MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT - UTHEN 9 MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-OTHER | 1 | 1 | | | ı | ı |
| TOTAL OTHER MISSILES | | 246,315 | | (2,300) | | 244,015 |

MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES

123

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 | COMMITTEE | щ | FY 2002 | 02 |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| | AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | CHANGE FROM REQUEST | WOL | COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | TEE IDATION |
| | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY C | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| CLASS IV | | | | | |
| 10 ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE | - 784 | 4 | | • | 784 |
| 11 SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X) | | | | | |
| 12 MM III MODIFICATIONS | - 552,678 | 8 | | • | 552,678 |
| 13 AGM-65D MAVERICK | - 996 | 9 | | • | 996 |
| 14 AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE | • | | | | |
| 15 PEACEKEEPER (M-X) | - 5,146 | 9 | | • | 5,146 |
| 16 MODIFICATIONS UNDER \$5.0M | • | | | | |
| TOTAL MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES | 559,574 | 4 | | | 559,574 |
| SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS MISSILE SPARES + REPAIR PARTS | | | | | |
| 17 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | - 61,844 | 4 | (4,900) | ı | 56,944 |
| | | | | | |
| TOTAL SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 61,844 | 4 | (4,900) | | 56,944 |
| OTHER SUPPORT SPACE PROGRAMS | | | | | |
| 18 WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES | 2 399,20 | 6 | | 0 | 399,209 |
| 18 WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES | - (21,700) | (0) | | | (21,700) |
| 19 WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES | | | | ı | I |
| 19 WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES | , | | | | , |
| 19 WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES | - 13,447 | 17 | | • | 13,447 |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE VDATION |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 20 SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC) | - 9,332 | | | 9,332 |
| 21 GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE) | - 195,459 | | | 195,459 |
| 21 GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE) | - (17,740) | (| | (17,740) |
| 22 GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE) | • | | | , |
| 22 GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE) | - 23,760 | | | 23,760 |
| 23 NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM | | | | · |
| 24 DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG(S | - 47,580 | | • | 47,580 |
| 25 DEFENSE SUPPORT PROGRAM(SPACE | - 112,456 | | | 112,456 |
| 26 DEFENSE SATELLITE COMM SYSTEM | - 27,004 | | • | 27,004 |
| 27 TITAN SPACE BOOSTERS(SPACE) | - 385,298 | | • | 385,298 |
| 28 EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH | 1 98,007 | | - | 98,007 |
| 29 MEDIUM LAUNCH VEHICLE(SPACE) | - 42,355 | | | 42,355 |
| 30 SBIR HIGH (SPACE) | - 93,752 | | | 93,752 |
| SPECIAL PROGRAMS | | | | |
| 31 CANCELLED ACCOUNT | | | | |
| 32 SPECIAL PROGRAMS | - 803,946 | | | 803,946 |
| 33 SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS | - 128,514 | | ı | 128,514 |
| TOTAL OTHER SUPPORT | 2,340,679 | | | 2,340,679 |
| TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | 3,233,536 | (7,200) | (00 | 3,226,336 |
| | | | | |

OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Overview

The budget request contained \$8,159.5 million for Other Procure-ment, Air Force in fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends au-thorization of \$8,250.8 million for fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on afford-ability considerations.

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION BEONIZET | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM BEOLIEST | FY 2002 MMITTEE DECOMMENDATION | 002 TTEE VIDATION |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | QUANTITY COST | OUANTITY | COST QUANTITY | COST |
| | | | | |
| OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | | | |
| VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT | | | | |
| PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | | | | |
| 1 SEDAN, 4 DR 4X2 | 54 | 686 | 54 | 686 |
| 2 STATION WAGON, 4X2 | | 124 | 80 | 124 |
| 3 BUSES | 72 4, | 4,307 | 72 | 4,307 |
| 4 AMBULANCES | | 252 | e | 252 |
| LAW ENFORCEME | - | ,531 | 62 | 1,531 |
| 6 ARMORED VEHICLE | e | 684 | e | 684 |
| CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES | | | | |
| 7 TRUCK, CARGO-UTILITY, 3/4T, 4 | <u>ى</u> | 733 | | 5,733 |
| 8 TRUCK MULTI-STOP 1 TON 4X2 | - 10, | 10,367 | • | 10,367 |
| 9 FAMILY MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICL | , | | • | 1 |
| 10 HIGH MOBILITY VEHICLE (MYP) | ý | 6,390 | • | 6,390 |
| CAP VEHICLES | | 785 | • | 785 |
| 12 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 | - 34, | 34,320 | | 34,320 |
| SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES | | | | |
| 13 HMMWV, ARMORED | ÷- • | 000 | | 1,000 |
| | | 6,035 | | 6,035 |
| 15 TRUCK HYDRANT FUEL | - - | 5,895 | | 5,895 |
| 16 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 | - 19, | 19,818 | • | 19,818 |
| | | | | |
| | | | • | • |
| 18 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 | ۲ | 5,029 | | 5,029 |
| | | | | |

127

| | AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | FY 2002 Horization Request | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 302 TTEE NDATION |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 19 TRUCK, F/L 10,000 LB | | 6,914 | | | | 6,914 |
| 20 60K A/C LOADER | 44 | 90,763 | | | 44 | 90,763 |
| 21 NEXT GENERATION SMALL LOADER(| 101 | 53,461 | | | 101 | 53,461 |
| 22 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 | | 4,106 | | | ' | 4,106 |
| | | | | | | |
| 3 TRUCK, DUMP | | 2,839 | | | ı | 2,839 |
| | | 12,484 | | | , | 12,484 |
| 25 MODIFICATIONS | | 3,360 | | | ı | 3,360 |
| | | 11,943 | | | | 11,943 |
| CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTM | | | | | | |
| 27 CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS | | | | | | • |
| TOTAL VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT | | 288,826 | | • | | 288,826 |
| ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP COMM SECULATY FOULIDMENT/COMSEC) | | | | | | |
| | ı | 35.188 | | | , | 35.188 |
| | ı | 468 | | | | 468 |
| IN IELLIGENCE PROGRAMS O INTELLIGENCE DATA HANDI ING SV | | | | | , | |
| 31 INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPME | | 1,237 | | | | 1,23 |
| | | 1,955 | | 8,800 | ı | 10,755 |
| Senior Scout, ANG | | | | [+8,800] | | [+8,800] |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ITEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE VDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 33 AIR TRAFFIC CTRL/LAND SYS (AT | • | 4,698 | | 500 | | 5,198 |
| Tower Comm Upgrades, ANG | | | | [+500] | | [+200] |
| 34 NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM | | 71,930 | | | • | 71,930 |
| 35 THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO | | 15,057 | | 15,000 | | 30,057 |
| 36 WEATHER OBSERV/FORCAST | | 33,766 | | | ı | 33,766 |
| 37 STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL | | 21,066 | | | · | 21,066 |
| 38 CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX | • | 30,642 | | | , | 30,642 |
| 39 TAC SIGINT SUPPORT | ı | 976 | | | ı | 976 |
| 40 DRUG INTERDICTION PROGRAM | ' | ' | | | | |
| SPECIAL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS | | | | | | |
| 41 GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | • | 56,817 | | 10,000 | • | 66,817 |
| Spare Parts Production and Reprocurement System (SPARES) | | | | [+10,000] | | [+10,000] |
| 42 AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL S | ı | 15,151 | | | ı | 15,151 |
| 43 MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL | · | 8,879 | | | · | 8,879 |
| 44 AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY S | | 62,313 | | | | 62,313 |
| 45 COMBAT TRAINING RANGES | , | 67,585 | | 30,000 | | 97,585 |
| Unmanned Threat Emitter Modernization | | | | [+30,000] | | [+30,000] |
| 46 MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY C | | 2,078 | | | ı | 2,078 |
| 47 C3 COUNTERMEASURES | | 9,623 | | 10,000 | • | 19,623 |
| Secure Terminal Equipment | | | | [+10,000] | | [+10,000] |
| 48 JOINT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | • | • | | | • | , |
| 49 BASE LEVEL DATA AUTO PROGRAM | ı | 12,895 | | | r | 12,895 |
| | • | 47,291 | | | • | 47,291 |
| AIR FORCE COM | | | | | | |
| 51 INFORMATION TRANSMISSION SYST | | • | | | • | • |

| REMENT | ands) |
|----------|----------|
| ROCUF | in Thous |
| ГЕ I - Р | (Dollars |
| F | |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 | COMMITTEE | FY 2002 | 0 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | CHANGE FROM REQUEST | COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | TEE DATION |
| | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 52 BASE INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTU | - 154,097 | 4 | | 154,097 |
| 53 USCENTCOM | - 10,867 | 7 | | 10,867 |
| 54 DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS) | - 13,336 | 0 | | 13,336 |
| DISA PROGRAMS | | | | |
| 55 SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PROG SP | - 54,347 | 7 | • | 54,347 |
| 56 NAVSTAR GPS SPACE | - 4,003 | n | | 4,003 |
| 57 DEFENSE METEOROLOGICAL SAT PR | , | | | • |
| 58 NUDET DETECTION SYS (NDS) SPA | - 8,470 | 0 | · | 8,470 |
| 59 AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK | - 29,678 | œ | • | 29,678 |
| 60 SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE | - 132,764 | 4 | · | 132,764 |
| | - 21,367 | 7 | | 21,367 |
| 62 SPACE MODS SPACE | - 31,915 | 10 | | 31,915 |
| ORGANIZATION AND BASE | | | | |
| 63 TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT | - 95,096 | Ű | | 95,096 |
| 64 COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATE | - 2,222 | 0 | | 2,222 |
| 65 RADIO EQUIPMENT | - 13,926 | Ő | ı | 13,926 |
| | - 2,640 | 0 | | 2,640 |
| 67 CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT | - 3,275 | D | | 3,275 |
| 68 BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE | - 76,903 | e | | 76,903 |
| 69 SPARES AND REP PARTS | - 16 | G | | 16 |
| | | | • | |
| 71 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 | - 6,094 | 4 | | 6,094 |
| MODIFICATIONS | | | | |
| 72 COMM ELECT MODS | - 66,386 | 0 | • | 66,386 |

130

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 002 ZATION JEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 ITTEE INDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|--------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| TOTAL ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | | 1,227,017 | | 74,300 | | 1,301,317 |
| OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP | | | | | | |
| TEST EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 73 BASE/ALC CALIBRATION PACKAGE | | 11,974 | | | • | 11,974 |
| 74 PRIMARY STANDARDS LABORATORY | ı | 1,073 | | | • | 1,073 |
| 75 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 | • | 17,493 | | | • | 17,493 |
| PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP | | | | | | |
| 26 NIGHT VISION GOGGLES | | 3,330 | | | · | 3,330 |
| 77 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 | , | 7,680 | | 4,000 | 1 | 11,680 |
| Clear Laser Eve Protection for Infra-Red (CLEPIR) | | | | [+4,000] | | [+4,000] |
| DEPOT PLANT + MATERIALS HANDLING EQ | | | | 1 | | |
| 78 MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING | ı | 14,361 | | 8,000 | | 22,361 |
| Supply Asset Tracking System | | | | [+8,000] | | [+8,000] |
| 79 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 | | 9,437 | | | · | 9,437 |
| ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 80 FLOODLIGHTS | | 6,946 | | | | 6,946 |
| 81 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 | • | 6,061 | | | , | 6,061 |
| BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 82 BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT | | 11,957 | | 5,000 | • | 16,957 |
| Combined Arms Training System, ANG | | | | [+5,000] | | [+5,000] |
| 83 MEDICAL/DENTAL EQUIPMENT | | 15,525 | | | • | 15,525 |
| 84 ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS | | 938 | | | • | 938 |
| 85 AIR BASE OPERABILITY | | 6,000 | | | • | 6,000 |
| 86 PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT | ı | 5,805 | | | , | 5,805 |
| | | | | | | |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 TTEE NDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | QUANTITY COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 87 PRODUCTIVITY ENHANCING CAPITA | - 7,981 | - | | | 7,981 |
| 88 MOBILITY EQUIPMENT | - 27,581 | - | | | 27,581 |
| 89 AIR CONDITIONERS | - 7,058 | 80 | | • | 7,058 |
| 90 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 | - 25,876 | 9 | | | 25,876 |
| SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS | | | | | |
| 91 INTELLIGENCE PRODUCTION ACTIV | - 64,110 | 0 | | • | 64,110 |
| 92 TECH SURV COUNTERMEASURES EQ | - 4,236 | 6 | | | 4,236 |
| 93 DARP RC135 | - 14,247 | 7 | | | 14,247 |
| 94 DARP, MRIGS | - 89,478 | 8 | | • | 89,478 |
| 95 SELECTED ACTIVITIES | - 6,070,259 | 6 | | • | 6,070,259 |
| 96 SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM | - 161,157 | 7 | | • | 161,157 |
| DEFENSE SPACE | - 6,829 | 6 | | | 6,829 |
| 98 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | - 1,134 | 4 | | , | 1,134 |
| 99 MODIFICATIONS | - 209 | 6 | | , | 209 |
| 100 FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTAT | - 11,822 | N | | · | 11,822 |
| TOTAL OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP | 6,610,557 | 2 | 17,000 | | 6,627,557 |
| SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS 101 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | - 33,121 | . | | , | 33,121 |
| TOTAL SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS | 33,121 | - | . | | 33,121 |
| TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT. AIR FORCE | 8.159.521 | | 91.300 | | 8.250.821 |
| | | - | | | ^ |

Items of Special Interest

Air national guard air traffic control tower radio upgrade

The budget request contained \$4.7 million for air traffic control and landing systems but included no funds to upgrade the air traffic control tower radio systems at McEntire Air National Guard Base (ANGB).

The committee notes that current plans for this new air traffic control tower anticipate the continued use of outdated radio systems and believes that flight safety will be significantly enhanced with the installation of modern digital radios.

Consequently, the committee recommends \$5.2 million for air traffic control and landing systems, an increase of \$500 thousand, to upgrade the air traffic control tower radio system at McEntire ANGB.

Combat arms training system (CATS)

The budget request contained \$12.0 million for base procured equipment but included no funds for CATS. CATS is a computerbased simulation system that provides marksmanship training for security force personnel as well as training to deal with less-thanlethal judgmental scenarios.

The committee notes that the Air Force has also recognized the value of CATS and has authorized Air Force reserve component category "C" personnel to qualify on this trainer instead of conducting live-fire training, which saves substantial live training ammunition costs. The committee also notes the need for additional CATS for Air National Guard (ANG) security force units to meet the demanding training requirement for both their wartime and peacetime missions. These units must be capable of performing both combat and police missions, which requires that they be fully trained to respond to situations of varying levels of threat, including anti-terrorism training, to protect the 72 ANG sites located throughout the United States. Since the Air Force increasingly relies on ANG security forces for overseas deployments and for anti-terrorism missions, the committee views the training proficiency provided by CATS to be imperative.

Therefore, the committee recommends \$17.0 million for base procured equipment, an increase of \$5.0 million, for the CATS.

Laser eye protection

The budget request contained \$7.7 million for items less than \$5.0 million, of which \$2.8 million was included for clear laser eye protection for infrared (CLEPIR) spectacles.

CLEPIR spectacles reflect infrared laser energy wavelengths away from the eye while allowing the transmission of other light wavelengths such that CLEPIR spectacles can be used day or night and in conjunction with night vision goggles. The committee understands that the Air Force requires additional CLEPIR spectacles for use in Europe and Southwest Asia and notes that increased CLEPIR spectacle production in fiscal year 2002 would provide for a more economic production rate. Accordingly, the committee recommends \$11.7 million for items less than \$5.0 million, an increase of \$4.0 million, for CLEPIR spectacles.

Senior scout

The budget request contained \$2.0 million for intelligence communications equipment but included no funds to upgrade Senior Scout equipment. Senior Scout is an intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance suite of equipment, configured in a shelter capable of installation on C-130E or C-130H aircraft, that provides communications and electronic signals intelligence collection. The committee notes that Senior Scout mission data manage-

The committee notes that Senior Scout mission data management processors currently use 16-year old technology and are not compatible with modern data storage or retrieval systems. Consequently, the committee recommends an increase of \$820 thousand to update the Senior Scout data management processor.

The committee also notes that joint tactical information dissemination system (JTIDS) capability is not fully implemented in the Senior Scout suite and recommends an increase of \$3.6 million to procure and install JTIDS connectivity equipment.

The committee understands that of the three existing Senior Scout shelters, one is an older configuration and requires updating to avoid the future operating costs of maintaining two different configurations. Accordingly, the committee recommends an increase of \$2.8 million to modernize the third Senior Scout shelter.

Finally, the committee has learned that the Senior Scout ground data reduction (GDR) system, used to refine emitter location data, contains legacy computer equipment that is no longer commercially supportable. Therefore, the committee recommends an increase of \$1.6 million to modernize the GDR system.

In total, the committee recommends \$10.8 million for intelligence communications equipment, an increase of \$8.8 million, to upgrade Senior Scout equipment.

Supply asset tracking system (SATS)

The budget request contained \$14.4 million for mechanized material handling equipment but included no funds for SATS.

SATS provides total asset visibility and reduces documentation at the base level by incorporating radio frequency terminals and smart cards that electronically confirm each transaction and eliminate documentation in the delivery process.

The committee notes that Congress has provided additional funds for SATS installation over the past two years and, consistent with these actions, recommends \$22.4 million for mechanized material handling equipment, an increase of \$8.0 million, to continue the installation of this system at Air Force bases worldwide.

Theater air control system improvement (TACSI)

The budget request contained \$15.1 million for TACSI, but included no funds to initiate a technology insertion and sustainment program for the Air National Guard's (ANG) AN/TYQ-23 modular control equipment (MCE) operations modules.

The AN/TYQ-23 MCE operations module is used to manage air operations in a deployed location. The committee notes that the

Marine Corps also uses the AN/TYQ-23 MCE and has embarked on a technology insertion program to replace their operations modules with new software and hardware that improves performance and is more sustainable. The committee understands that this technology insertion and sustainment upgrade program will ensure that the AN/TYQ-23 MCE operations modules are viable to perform contingency operational deployment missions for at least 10 additional years.

Consequently, the committee recommends \$30.1 million for TACSI, an increase of \$15.0 million, to initiate a technology insertion and sustainment program for the ANG's AN/TYQ-23 MCE operations modules.

PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Overview

The budget request contained \$1,604.0 million for Procurement, Defense-Wide in fiscal year 2002. The committee recommends authorization of \$2,267.3 million for fiscal year 2002.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 | 02 | COMMITTEE | TTEE | FY 2002 | 02 |
|--|----------|--------|------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | REQUEST | EST | CHANGE FROM REQUEST | EST | | NDATION |
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| DDOCHDEMENT DEFENSE WIDE | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD/WHS | | | | | | |
| 1 MOTOR VEHICLES, WHS | | , | | | , | ı |
| 2 MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD | , | 87,189 | | (10,000) | , | 77,189 |
| Mentor-Protégé | | | | [-10,000] | | [-10,000] |
| 3 MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS | | 18,836 | | | ı | 18,836 |
| MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA | | | | | | · |
| 4 DEFENSE CRYPTOLOGIC PROGRAM | | | | | | ı |
| 5 CONSOLIDATED CRYPTOLOGIC PROGRAM | | | | | , | , |
| 6 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | | | | | | ı |
| 7 DEFENSE AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE PRGM | | | | | | |
| 8 DEFENSE COUNTERDRUG INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA | | | | | | |
| 9 MOBILE SATELLITE SYSTEM TECH | | | | | | |
| 10 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY | • | 43,211 | | | , | 43,211 |
| 11 CONTINUITY OF OPERATIONS | | 3,288 | | | ı | 3,288 |
| 12 DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM | ı | 19,062 | | | · | 19,062 |
| 13 GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS | ł | 3,550 | | | ł | 3,550 |
| 14 GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM | | 1,843 | | | | 1,843 |
| 15 STANDARD TACTICAL ENTRY POINT | • | , | | | | · |
| 16 TELEPORTS | | 97,351 | | | | 97,351 |
| 17 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M | ı | 29,580 | | | ı | 29,580 |
| 18 DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT | • | • | | | • | · |

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | ITTEE : FROM IEST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 ITTEE NDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DIA | | | | | | . |
| 19 INTELLIGENCE AND COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | , | |
| 20 UNDISTRIBUTED NFIP ADJUSTMENTS | | | | | | |
| 21 HEADQUARTERS MANAGEMENT DIA | | | | | | |
| MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA | | | | | | |
| 22 MAJOR EQUIPMENT | • | 12,805 | | | • | 12,805 |
| MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA | | | | | | |
| 23 MAJOR EQUIPMENT ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M | | 1,500 | | | | 1,500 |
| MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS | | | | | | |
| 24 MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS | | 35,380 | | | · | 35,380 |
| BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION | | | | | | |
| 25 PATRIOT PAC-3 | , | , | 72 | 676,574 | 72 | 676,574 |
| Transfer from MPA | | | [+72] | [+676,574] | [+72] | [+676,574] |
| 26 NATIONAL MISSILE DEFENSE | • | | | | • | • |
| 27 C4I | • | | | | | |
| 28 NAVY AREA TBDM PROGRAM | | • | | 6,983 | · | 6,983 |
| | | | | [+6,983] | | [+6,983] |
| MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA | | | | | | |
| 29 PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION | | 7,352 | | | · | 7,352 |
| NATIONAL IMAGERY AND MAPPING AGENCY | | | | | | |
| 30 MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NIMA | | | | | ı | |
| DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY | | | | | | |
| 31 VEHICLES | | 145 | | | • | 145 |
| | ı | 24,480 | | | , | 24,480 |
| DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY | | | | | | |

| TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands) |
|---|
|---|

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 CATION EST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 TTEE VDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 33 OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT | | 200 | | | • | 200 |
| MAJOR EQUIPMENT, AFIS | | | | | | |
| 34 MAJOR EQUIPMENT, AFIS | | 5,369 | | | | 5,369 |
| MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODDE | | | | | | |
| 35 AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT AND LOGISTICS | | 1,576 | | | , | 1,576 |
| MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA | | | | | | |
| 36 MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 1 | 31,413 | | | | 31,413 |
| TOTAL MAJOR EQUIPMENT | | 424,130 | | 673,557 | | 1,097,687 |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND | | | | | | |
| AVIATION PROGRAMS | | | | | | |
| 37 SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES | • | 79,084 | | | | 79,084 |
| 38 SOF TRAINING SYSTEMS | | • | | | • | |
| 39 MC-130H COMBAT TALON II | | 10,427 | | | | 10,427 |
| 40 CV-22 SOF MODIFICATION | | 28,202 | | (28,202) | • | · |
| 41 AC-130U GUNSHIP ACQUISITION | • | 8,705 | | | | 8,705 |
| 42 C-130 MODIFICATIONS | • | 8,176 | | | | 8,176 |
| 43 AIRCRAFT SUPPORT | | 1,763 | | | ı | 1,763 |
| SHIPBUILDING | | | | | | |
| 44 ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS | • | 52,411 | | | • | 52,411 |
| | • | (18,972) | | | | (18,972) |
| 45 ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS | ı | , | | | • | 1 |
| 45 ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS | | • | | | , | |
| 45 ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS | ı | 13,697 | | | • | 13,697 |

138

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | 02 ZATION JEST | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TTEE FROM EST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 002 TTEE NDATION |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 46 MK VIII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEH | | 504 | | | | 504 |
| 47 SUBMARINE CONVERSION | • | | | | | |
| AMMUNITION PROGRAMS | | | | | | |
| 48 SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT | | 31,415 | | | • | 31,415 |
| 49 CONVENTIONAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND | | 1,509 | | | • | 1,509 |
| 50 SOF ORDNANCE ACQUISITION | | 5,635 | | | · | 5,635 |
| OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS | | | | | | |
| 51 COMM EQUIPMENT & ELECTRONICS | | 41,404 | | | | 41,404 |
| 52 SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS | • | 8,133 | | 5,000 | • | 13,133 |
| Portable Intelligence Collection and Relay Capability | | | | [+5,000] | | [+5,000] |
| 53 SOF SMALL ARMS & WEAPONS | • | 6,936 | | | , | 6,936 |
| 54 MARITIME EQUIPMENT MODS | • | 1,660 | | | • | 1,660 |
| 55 SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS | | 6,042 | | | | 6,042 |
| 56 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | • | 5,036 | | | | 5,036 |
| 57 SOF MARITIME EQUIPMENT | | 2,975 | | | ı | 2,975 |
| 58 DRUG INTERDICTION | | ı | | | | ı |
| 59 MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | , | 8,111 | | | · | 8,111 |
| 60 SOF PLANNING AND REHEARSAL SYSTEM | • | 1,448 | | | 1 | 1,448 |
| 61 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS | ı | 102,571 | | | | 102,571 |
| 62 PSYOP EQUIPMENT | ŀ | 2,780 | | | Ņ | 2,780 |
| TOTAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND | | 409,652 | | (23,202) | | 386,450 |
| | | | | | | |

CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE CBDP

| TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands) |
|---|
|---|

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION | 02 2ATION | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM | TTEE FROM | FY 2002 COMMITTEE | 02 ITEE |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | REQUEST | IEST | REQUEST | EST | RECOMMENDATION | NDATION |
| | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY COST QUANTITY COST QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| 63 INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION | 1 | 114,327 | | | | 114,327 |
| 64 DECONTAMINATION | · | 15,196 | | | | 15,196 |
| 65 JOINT BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | | 155,916 | | | | 155,916 |
| 66 COLLECTIVE PROTECTION | | 38,940 | | 13,000 | | 51,940 |
| 67 CONTAMINATION AVOIDANCE | • | 24,330 | | | | 24,330 |
| TOTAL CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE | | 348,709 | | 13,000 | | 361,709 |
| 999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | 421,500 | | | ı | 421,500 |
| TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE | | 1,603,991 | | 663,355 | | 2,267,346 |

Items of Special Interest

Chemical/biological defense procurement program

The budget request also contained a total of \$348.7 million for chemical/biological defense (CBD) procurement, including \$114.3 million for procurement of individual protection equipment, \$15.2 million for decontamination, \$155.9 million for the joint biological defense program, \$38.9 million for collective protection, and \$24.3 million for contamination avoidance.

Anthrax vaccination immunization program

The committee is concerned with the lack of progress in the completion of the contractor submitting a Biologic License Application Supplement for production of Anthrax Vaccine Adsorbed (AVA). Accordingly, if by February 1, 2002, the Secretary of Defense determines that the contractor has failed to submit to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) a completed Biologic License Application Supplement for production of AVA, then the committee directs that the Secretary review all contracts for the production, fill and packaging of the AVA and report to the congressional defense committees the results of this review no later than April 1, 2002. If based on that review, or at the conclusion of FDA's review of the Biologic License Application Supplement, discrepancies are found that cannot be resolved in a fiscally prudent manner then the Secretary should not request funds to continue the current production contract in future budget submissions, but should take action to procure a suitable vaccine from an alternative source.

Chemical/biological defense collective protection shelters

The committee recommends \$51.9 million for procurement of collective protection equipment, an increase of \$13.0 million, for procurement of CBD collective protection shelters.

Portable intelligence collection and relay capability (PICRC)

The budget request contained \$8.1 million for special operations forces (SOF) intelligence systems but included no funds for the PICRC.

The PICRC integrates commercial-off-the-shelf, full-dimensional mapping and display software; desktop computers; hand-held computing devices; and wireless communications to provide SOF operators with high-resolution imagery for precision navigation, annotation of real-time visual observations, and relaying information to command elements.

The committee understands that this system would significantly enhance SOF capabilities to accurately collect, quickly report, and promptly act upon real-time intelligence data. Therefore, the committee recommends \$13.1 million for SOF intelligence systems, an increase of \$5.0 million, for procurement of PICRC systems.

CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE

Overview

As described elsewhere in this report, the committee recommends transferring the budget request of \$1,153.6 million for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army (CAMD, A) to Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense (CAMD, D), and recommends a total of \$1,078.6 million for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense, including \$192.9 million for research, development, test, and evaluation, \$157.2 million for procurement, and \$728.5 for operations and maintenance. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability consideration.

| PROGRAM TITLE | FY 2002 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST | | COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST | TEE ROM ST | FY 2002 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION | 02 ITEE IDATION |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | COST QUA | QUANTITY | COST | QUANTITY | COST |
| | | | | | | |
| CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCT-RDT&E | | | | | | |
| 1 CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - RDTE | | | | 192,879 | | 192,879 |
| PROCUREMENT | | | | | | |
| 2 CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - PROC | | | | 157,158 | , | 157,158 |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | | | | | | |
| 3 CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - O&M | | | | 728,520 | | 728,520 |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE | | • | | 1,078,557 | | 1,078,557 |
| 1 MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | , | , | | | | · |
| 2 MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | | | | | • | • |
| 3 MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | , | , | | | , | |
| 4 MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | • | | | | | |
| 5 MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | | | | | • | • |
| 6 MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | | | | | • | • |
| 1 DOMESTIC RADIATION HARDENED ELECTRONICS | ' | 50,000 | | | ı | 50,000 |

Items of Special Interest

Chemical agents and munitions destruction

The committee notes that chemical demilitarization facilities for 95 percent of the stockpile at eight stockpile storage sites in the continental United States are either in operation, under construction, or have had permits granted. To date, 22 percent of the total U.S. stockpile has been destroyed in operational demilitarization facilities at Johnston Atoll and Tooele, Utah. Stockpile demilitarization operations at the former facility have been completed and shutdown of that facility begun. Construction of the Anniston, Alabama, facility was completed in June 2001 and systematization operations have begun at that location, while construction of the Úmatilla, Oregon, facility is 98 percent complete and the Pine Bluff, Arkansas, facility is 53 percent complete. Only facilities at the Pueblo Chemical Depot, Colorado, and Lexington-Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky, which are being addressed by the Assembled Chemical Weapons Assessment (ACWA), are not yet covered. Current law requires the Secretary of Defense to provide rec-ommendations on alternative disposal technologies for these two facilities by the end of the calendar year. The ACWA program evaluation of potential alternative technologies for assembled weapons has been completed and a Defense Acquisition Board review of the program is underway that is expected to provide the basis for Secretary of Defense decision in December 2001, and report to Congress on the demilitarization technologies that will be used at Pueblo and Blue Grass. The review will also assess the overall management and funding of the program and the ability of the pro-gram to complete destruction of the stockpile by April 29, 2007, as required by the Chemical Weapons Convention.

Review of program for destruction of lethal chemical agents and munitions

Section 141(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65) required the Secretary of Defense to conduct an assessment of the current program for destruction of the United States stockpile of chemical agents and munitions, including the Assembled Chemical Weapons Assessment, for the purposes of significantly reducing the cost of the program and ensuring its completion in accordance with the obligations of the United States under the Chemical Weapons Convention while maintaining maximum protection of the general public, the personnel involved in the program, and the environment. The provision required the Secretary of Defense to report the results of the assessment to Congress by March 1, 2000, including those actions taken, or planned to be taken by the Secretary and any recommendations for additional legislation required to achieve the purposes of the assessment and of the chemical agents and munitions destruction program.

The committee notes that the Secretary of Defense has initiated a Defense Acquisition Board review of the chemical agents and munitions destruction program to assess the results of the Assembled Chemical Weapons Assessment, to make recommendations for the possible use of alternative technologies for destruction of the stockpile, and to review the overall management and conduct of the program. As a part of this review, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to update the assessment required by Public Law 106– 65 and to report the results of that updated assessment to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2002.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Sections 101–107—Authorization of Appropriations

These sections would authorize the recommended fiscal year 2002 funding levels for all procurement accounts.

SUBTITLE B—ARMY PROGRAMS

Section 111—Extension of Multiyear Contract for Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles

This section would amend Section 112 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85) to authorize the Secretary of the Army to extend the existing multiyear procurement contract for one year to continue procuring "A1" variants of the Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles if the Secretary determines that it is necessary to do so in order to prevent a break in production.

Section 112—Repeal of Limitation on Number of Bunker Defeat Munitions that May Be Acquired

This section would repeal Section 116 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337).

SUBTITLE C—AIR FORCE PROGRAMS

Section 121—Responsibility of Air Force for Contracts for All Defense Space Launches

This section would require the Secretary of the Air Force to prepare, negotiate, execute, and manage all Department of Defense contracts for space launch vehicles and space launch services and to report to the congressional defense and intelligence committees on the implementation of this requirement.

Section 122-Multiyear Procurement of C-17 Aircraft

This section would, beginning in fiscal year 2002, authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into a follow-on multi-year contract or extend the current multi-year contract in order to procure up to 60 additional C–17 aircraft if the Secretary certifies to the congressional defense committees prior to the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 that it is in the interest of the Department of Defense to proceed with either of these two options.

Section 141—Destruction of Existing Stockpile of Lethal Chemical Agents and Munitions

This section would amend section 152 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (Public Law 104-106; 50 U.S.C. note) to add to the requirements that must be satisfied before the Secretary of Defense may initiate destruction of the chemical munitions stockpile stored at a chemical stockpile destruction site the requirement that emergency preparedness and response capabilities have been established at the site and in the surrounding communities. The section would require the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) to convene an independent oversight board to make a recommendation to the Under Secretary, no later than six months after the board is convened, whether the destruction of the chemical munitions stockpile should be initiated at a particular chemical stockpile destruction site. Finally, the section would require that the Under Secretary, after considering a negative recommendation of the board, may not recommend beginning destruction of the chemical munitions stockpile at a site until 90 days after the Under Secretary notifies the Congress of his intent to recommend initiation of live agents and munitions destruction operations.

The committee notes that the live chemical agents and munitions destruction operations are scheduled to begin at Anniston Chemical Activity, Alabama, in the third quarter of fiscal year 2002 and encourages the Under Secretary to convene the oversight board for the Anniston site immediately upon enactment of this act. For the other sites for which live agent and munitions destruction operations are scheduled to begin upon completion of construction and systematization operations at the site, the committee recommends that the Under Secretary convene the oversight board no later than nine months prior to the date scheduled for beginning live agents and munitions destruction operations. The committee also recommends the Under Secretary to establish as a goal for the panel appointed for each site the completion of the panel's review of the readiness to begin live agents and munitions destruction operations at the site no later that 120 days prior to the scheduled initiation of such operations.