

**FDPIR Funding Work Group
May 24, 2007 Conference Call Notes**

Attending	Not Attending
Mary Trottier (Spirit Lake), representing the Mountain Plains Region Executive Board	Chris Hennelly, Program Specialist, FNS-SWRO
Linday Rayon (Muscogee (Creek) Nation), representing the NAFDPIR Regional Vice President for the Southwest Region	Gale Dills (North Carolina Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services/Cherokee Tribe of North Carolina), representing the Southeast Region ITOs
Thomas Yellowhair (Navajo Nation), representing WAFDPIR	Melinda Newport (Chickasaw Nation), representing ONFACT
Tony Nertoli (Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians), NAFDPIR President	Susie Roy (Leech Lake Chippewa), NAFDPIR Regional Vice President for the Midwest Region
Nancy Egan (Shoshone-Paiute Tribes), representing the NAFDPIR Regional Vice President for the Western Region	Red Gates, NAFDPIR Regional Vice President for the Mountain Plains Region
Betty Jo Graveen (Lac Du Flambeau), representing the Midwest Region ITOs	Elvira Jarka, Director, Special Nutrition Programs, FNS-MWRO
Don DeBoer, Senior Program Specialist, FNS-MPRO	
Madeline Viens, Assistant Director, Field Operations, FNS-WRO	
Cindy Wheeler, Program Specialist, FNS-SERO	
Laura Castro, Chief, Policy Branch, FNS-HQ	
Nancy Theodore, Program Analyst, FNS-HQ (work group staff support)	
Melanie Casey, Program Analyst, FNS-HQ (work group facilitator)	

- The work group reviewed the revised draft notes of the April 17-19, 2007 meeting (attached) and made the following modifications:
 - Laura Castro suggested that the notes from April 19, 2007 referring to the rating of the proposals in terms of objectivity, equitability, and easiness to understand should reflect that it was a preliminary rating.
- The work group reviewed the draft notes of the May 4, 2007 conference call. No modifications were suggested.
- As suggested in the May 4, 2007 conference call, the work group reviewed the definitions of “equitable,” “equity,” “fair,” and “fairness” (see the May 4, 2007 conference call notes for the definitions). The work group discussed the various terms and agreed to use the term “fair” rather than “equitable” in regards to the criteria for developing a funding methodology.
- The work group reviewed Attachment I (draft attached) and developed descriptions for Proposals C, E, F, G and H..

- The work group discussed Proposal H offered by Madeline Viens. It was suggested that Proposal H and Proposal D have similar features. Time ran out in the conference call before this could be explored. It will be discussed in the June 6 conference call.
- Upcoming conference call:
 - June 6, 2:30-4:00pm Eastern time

Attachment