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## Part One: 1993-94 SASS Student Sampling Problems

## I. INTRODUCTION

The 1993-94 school year was the first time the Student Records Survey was included as part of the Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS). This memorandum documents some of the problems we encountered during student sample selection, the methods used to resolve these problems, and provides some suggestions to alleviate some of the problems in future enumerations.

## II. BACKGROUND

For the 1993-94 SASS, about 13,000 schools, 67,000 teachers, 7,600 libraries and librarians, and 6,900 students were selected ${ }^{1}$ as follows:

- Private and public sample schools were selected first.
- All principals from SASS sample schools were in sample for the School Administrator Survey,
- A sample of teachers was selected within each of the SASS sample schools for the Teacher Survey,
- A subsample of SASS sample schools was selected for the Library and Librarian Surveys,
- A subsample of SASS sample schools and teachers was selected for the Student Record Survey.

Students were selected for sample through a complicated procedure. From the teachers selected within SASS subsampled schools, three teachers were subsampled per school, one class period per teacher, and two students per class period as follows:

- During the selection of the sample for the SASS School Survey, we selected a subsample of the SASS public, BIA, and private sample schools to participate in the Student Records Survey. A total of 1,751 schools ( 1,370 public and 381 private) was selected for the subsample.

[^0]- From each of the student subsample schools, we selected a subsample of three of the school's sample teachers. If a school had fewer than three sample teachers, we kept whatever number they had.
- For each of the school's sample teachers, we selected a class period as follows:

1) We selected five sample class periods from all of the class periods at the school.
2) For each of the three sample teachers, we determined if the teacher taught an eligible class during one of the selected periods.
3) If a sample teacher had no eligible class during any of the school's five sample periods, we selected five more sample periods. We continued selecting five class periods until at least one eligible sample class period was identified for each of the three teachers.
4) Of the periods identified as eligible for a sample teacher, we selected one class period.

- We requested the roster of students for the selected class period and selected two students from the class roster of the selected period for each of the school's sample teachers.

A member of the school's staff completed questionnaires for each sample student (six students per school), using information from the student's administrative record.

## III. PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED DURING STUDENT SAMPLE SELECTION

Many problems were encountered during the student sample selection process, and many lessons were learned. Sections A-H below describe some of the problems that arose. We also explain how the problems were resolved.

## A. Missing Sampling Data

Some sampling data we needed to accurately process student records through the weighting procedure was missing from a large number of student records. We resolved this problem by several means:

1. Some of the data which was missing on the file had actually been reported and were available on student sampling worksheets. The data either had not been keyed or had been keyed incorrectly.
2. In a few cases where a student's record had missing data, the student was taught by the same teacher or was from the same school as another sample student whose record contained the data we needed. In these instances, we copied the appropriate data from one student's records to the other.
3. As a last resort, we filled the missing fields through an imputation procedure. Imputation rates are provided in Section IV.

## B. Schools Refused to Cooperate with Sampling

Many schools were reluctant to provide student names and the associated information over the telephone. To get interviews in these cases, personal visits were made to the schools by Census Bureau Staff from regional offices. Because of the expense, some of the schools (such as those located in remote parts of Alaska and in some areas of California) could not be visited. For these schools, an additional attempt was made to obtain the interviews by telephone.

The number of personal visits made by type of school are:
Public 189
Private 83
BIA 16

School nonresponse rates are provided in Section IV.
C. Problems with the Sampling Instructions

The sampling instructions may have been too complicated or too time consuming to be understood and completed by telephone. For example, it was possible for a respondent school to go through three different sets of class periods for all three of its sample teachers to identify one eligible class period per teacher.

The instructions for selecting sample class periods were difficult to apply in schools with unusual schedules. We also suspect that some respondent schools did not follow our sampling instructions since there were unrealistic values for some variables on many student records.

## D. Duplicate Students

If a student was selected for more than one of a school's sample teachers, instructions were for the interviewer to place an 'M', to denote 'MULTIPLE', in a field on the student sampling worksheet. We discovered that this data had been entered on the worksheet per instruction in many cases, however, the
information had not been transferred from the worksheet to the file during the keying operation. We were forced to identify duplicate student records through a tedious clerical operation.

The number of students selected more than once by type of school were:

| Public | 26 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Private | 12 |
| BIA | 5 |

## E. Timing Problems

Some school schedules conflicted with our sampling schedule. Census Bureau personnel in Jeffersonville had difficulty contacting some schools because the schools were closed for holidays or vacation during the time period we designated for sampling. Jeffersonville personnel began making calls to the schools January 3, 1994, and completed the calls on February 14, 1994.

## F. Teachers Did Not Match School

In a few cases, a school was called and information was requested for a particular teacher, but the teacher was not employed by the school that had been telephoned. After investigating, it was found that the teacher actually taught at a different school and that the mix-ups were between private and public schools with similar names. The teachers we were trying to locate were usually public school teachers, but the telephone numbers we had called were for the private schools.
G. Number of Classes Students Took Was Not Asked

To correctly determine a student's probability of selection, it is necessary to know how many classes a sample student was taking. The number of classes a student was taking was not asked, and because it was not known, the student probability of selection was computed with the assumption that all students in a school took the same number of classes. This made the student weights biased.

## H. Teachers Incorrectly Classified as Ineligible

During the student sampling procedure, some of the teachers selected for the student subsample were incorrectly classified as ineligible (i.e., not teachers) by Census Bureau staff in Jeffersonville. The misclassified teachers included teachers such as those that teach only one class (like band class) and special reading teachers who teach selected students in different schools.

Because these teachers were misclassified as ineligible, no sample students were selected from them. These misclassified teachers were accounted for during the student weighting procedure with the misclassified teachers adjustment factor.

The number of teachers incorrectly classified as ineligible by the staff in Jeffersonville were:
Public 117

Private 18
BIA 16

The proportion of teachers classified as out-of-scope are provided in Section IV.

## IV. FREQUENCY COUNTS FOR THE STUDENT SAMPLING PROBLEMS

## A. Response Rates for the SASS Student Survey by Type of School

The response rates in tables 1 and 2 below show what proportion of student records were considered complete. For the calculation of the rates, 'eligible' counts are defined as the total number of students selected for interview and 'interviewed' counts are of eligible students whose records were completed and returned.

Table 1. Response rates for students from SASS public schools

| Type of school | Elementary | Weighted count of <br> interviewed students | Weighted count of <br> eligible students | Response rate ${ }^{\mathbf{1 , 2}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BIA $^{3}$ Schools | Secondary | 20,073 | 21,958 | $91.4 \%$ |
|  | Combined | 5,685 | 5,734 | $99.1 \%$ |
| NAI $^{4}$ Schools | 9,779 | 10,745 | $91.0 \%$ |  |
|  | Elementary | 194,956 |  |  |
|  | Secondary | 102,976 | 222,432 | $87.6 \%$ |
| Schools in Alaska | Combined | 9,176 | 116,148 | $88.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  | 9,870 | $93.0 \%$ |
|  | Elementary | 38,748 | 60,670 | $80.4 \%$ |
|  | Secondary | 11,134 | 41,312 | $80.4 \%$ |
|  | Combined |  | 14,902 | $74.4 \%$ |
|  |  | $23,305,301$ | $25,405,458$ | $91.7 \%$ |
|  | Elementary | $12,657,678$ | $13,991,028$ | $90.5 \%$ |
|  | Secondary | 860,397 | 905,504 | $95.0 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Source: 1994 Student Weighting Output for Student Noninterview Adjustment Factor
Weighted counts of students were used where the weight used was defined as:
WEIGHT=KBWGT * KNRAF* KMTAF where,
KBWGT = Student Basic Weight
KNRAF $=$ Nonresponse Adjustment for schools not participating in the student sampling procedure
KMTAF = Adjustment for Teachers Incorrectly Misclassified as Ineligible
${ }^{2}$ Response Rate = Interviewed Students/Eligible Students
${ }^{3}$ BIA $\quad=$ Bureau of Indian Affairs Schools
${ }^{4}$ NAI $\quad=$ Native American Indian Schools

Table 2. Response rates for students from SASS private schools

| Private schools | Weighted count of <br> students interviewed | Weighted count of <br> students eligible | Response ${ }^{\text {reate }}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Elementary Schools | $2,308,243$ | $2,532,418$ | $91.2 \%$ |
| Combined Schools | $1,115,480$ | $1,361,077$ | $82.0 \%$ |
| Secondary Schools | 550,098 | 619,774 | $88.8 \%$ |

[^1]
## B. School Response Rates for SASS Student Survey

The response rates in table 3 below are for schools that were selected to participate in the Student Records Survey. These results are not indicators of how many students were interviewed, but of how many schools participated in the student survey by completing any of the six sample students' questionnaires.

Table 3. Response rates ${ }^{1}$ for schools

| Public schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BIA schools |  | NAI schools |  |
| Elementary | 94.4\% | Elementary | 92.8\% |
| Combined | 92.3\% | Combined | 96.9\% |
| Secondary | 95.0\% | Secondary | 94.1\% |
| Schools in Alaska |  | Other public schools |  |
| Elementary | 86.6\% | Elementary | 87.4\% |
| Combined | 83.0\% | Combined | 82.2\% |
| Secondary | 94.3\% | Secondary | 89.3\% |
| Private schools |  |  |  |
| Elementary $84.0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Combined | 68.6\% |  |  |
| Secondary | 89.7\% |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Source: 1994 Student Weighting Output (School Nonresponse Adjustment Factor) |  |  |  |
| Weighted counts of students to compute response rates where the weight used was for public schools defined |  |  |  |
| as: |  |  |  |
| Weight $=\quad$ DBSWGT*STSFA |  |  |  |
| DBSWGT | School B |  |  |
| STSFAC | Student S | actor from the S | urvey |
| SMPADJ | School S | ustment Factor | School Survey |
| And for private schools defined as: |  |  |  |
| Weight $=\quad$ FW | FSSUB4 |  |  |
| FWGT4 = | School B |  |  |
| FSSUB4 | Student S | actor from the S | urvey |
| SMPADJ = | School S | ustment Factor | School Survey |

## C. Proportion of Teachers Classified as Out-of-Scope

If a teacher was classified as out-of-scope for the Teacher Survey, no students were selected from the teacher since the teacher was no longer in sample. Teachers were also classified as out-of-scope if the associated sample school had been classified as out-of-scope. Table 4 shows what proportion of public and private school teachers were classified as out-of-scope, and the percent distribution of those out-of-scope teachers among elementary, combined, and secondary schools.

Table 4. Proportion of teachers classified as out-of-scope and the percent distribution of those out-of-scope teachers by school level

| Type of school | Proportion <br> teachers classified <br> as out-of-scope | Percent distribution of out-of-scope teachers by <br> school level |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Elementary | Combined | Secondary |
| BIA schools | $6.1 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| NAI schools | $5.7 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $40.5 \%$ |
| All other public schools | $4.5 \%$ | $71.9 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ |
| Private schools | $5.4 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Proportion $=\quad$ (Number of out-of-scope teachers)
Weighted counts were used where,
WEIGHT $=$ TTSBW $*$ STSFAC $*$ KNRAF for public schools, and

And,

| TTSBW | $=$ | Teacher Basic Weight |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| STSFAC | $=$ | Student Subsample Factor from the SASS Public School Survey |
| KNRAF | $=$ | Nonresponse Adjustment for Schools not Participating in the Student |
|  |  | Sampling |
| FSSUB4 | $=$ | Student Subsample Factor from the SASS Private School Survey |

D. Imputation Rates for Items used in the SASS Student Survey Weighting Procedure

Much of the data needed to process student records through the weighting were missing. After exhausting other sources, we added this information through imputation. The table below identifies the variables that were imputed and imputation rates by school type.

Table 5. Imputation rates by item description ${ }^{1}$

| Type of school | Number of times student is taught by teacher each week <br> (NMCLMT) |  | Number of periods the sample teacher teaches an eligible class each week (TNMPAPWK) |  | Class size for the selected class period (TNUMSTCL) |  | Number of student records |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Imputation rate | Frequency | Imputation <br> rate | Frequency | Imputation rate |  |
| BIA | 2 | 0.3\% | 33 | 5.5\% | 53 | 8.8\% | 602 |
| Private | 31 | 2.5\% | 51 | 4.1\% | 77 | 6.2\% | 1,236 |
| Public ${ }^{2}$ | 81 | 1.6\% | 162 | 3.2\% | 266 | 5.2\% | 5,095 |
| All | 114 | 1.6\% | 246 | 3.6\% | 396 | 5.7\% | 6,933 |

${ }^{1}$ Unweighted counts are presented in this table.
${ }^{2}$ Public school counts were obtained using records of departmental teachers only.

## V. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVING THE PROCESS

Listed below are recommendations to be incorporated in future SASS Student Records Surveys:

1. The question "How many classes does the student take" should be added to the questionnaire to avoid the problems we encountered in determining the correct student probability of selection.
2. Census Bureau interviewers should be sure to ask and capture all of the information on the sampling worksheets and the Jeffersonville staff should implement an edit procedure whereby they carefully record all information contained on the sampling worksheet. This would reduce the missing and incorrect data problems we encountered and eliminate the need for the clerical transfer of the information to identify multiple records.
3. There should be a close examination of the flow and wording of questions on the student sampling worksheet and appropriate revisions made to make the worksheet easier for interviewers to understand what information is needed.
4. The selection of sample class periods should be made more "user-friendly". A process that is easier to follow and comprehend by telephone would yield more accurate and reliable sampling results.
5. A procedure with clearer guidelines for determining teacher eligibility for the student survey should be developed so that the definitional problems which led to the misclassification of teachers is eliminated.

## Part Two: Solutions for Determining the Numerators for the SASS Private School (3B) Second-Stage Factors

## I. INTRODUCTION

For the first time in 1993-1994, the Private School Survey (PSS) was conducted in the same year as SASS. Consequently, PSS data could easily be used to ratio adjust the SASS private school totals in order to achieve agreement between the two surveys. Historically, SASS had produced lower totals than PSS due to methodological differences.

Looking ahead to the next SASS, which is to be conducted in 1998-1999, we can see that PSS cannot be used directly for ratio adjustment since the survey enumeration years do not coincide. PSS will be conducted in 1997-1998 and again in 1999-2000.

This paper explores options for using PSS information to ratio adjust SASS. This is desirable in order to maintain the consistency that was established between SASS and PSS totals in 1993-1994. These options fall in two broad classes of solutions. The first involves extrapolation from previous enumerations of PSS to produce estimates for the 1998-1999 school year. See Section II. The other broad class of solutions involves interpolation between the 1997-1998 PSS and whatever preliminary information is available for the 1999-2000 PSS. See Section III. We will also provide a chronological summary of future plans. See Section IV.

This paper concentrates on estimation solutions to the consistency problem. A future paper will focus on operational solutions to this problem.

## II. EXTRAPOLATION METHOD

A. Preliminary Work - 1991-1992 vs. 1993-1994

We have developed a linear model based on the rate of change between the 19911992 PSS and the 1993-1994 PSS totals that were used for the 1993-1994 SASS 2nd stage numerator cells. This model is of the form:

$$
\mathrm{X}_{2_{\mathrm{i}}} \pm \frac{\left|\mathrm{X}_{1_{\mathrm{i}}}-\mathrm{X}_{2_{\mathrm{i}}}\right|}{\mathrm{X}_{1_{\mathrm{i}}}} * \mathrm{X}_{2_{\mathrm{i}}}
$$

where: $\mathrm{X}_{2 \mathrm{i}}$ : 1993-94 PSS total for a particular cell
$\mathrm{X}_{1}: \quad$ 1991-92 PSS total for the corresponding cell
$+: \quad$ is used when there is an increase in the PSS total from 199192 to 1993-94
-: $\quad$ is used when there is a decrease in the PSS total from 199192 to 1993-94

This estimation was done separately for both the list frame and area frame. These equations were used to extrapolate estimated values for 1995-1996 PSS for the same cells. The goal is to propose a set of cells for the 2nd stage numerator where the cells are of sufficient size and display a "reasonable" rate of change. See Attachment A for initial results. We have used the following rules for collapsing:

- If the extrapolated value is less than 50 , unless...
- One of the cells involved in collapsing shows an increase and the other shows a decrease, unless...
- The extrapolated value is less than 15 (collapse anyway).

As you can see from Attachment A, there is a need for collapsing in the list frame. Attachment C shows the final results (i.e., the suggested extrapolation cells) for the list frame. These values will be compared to actual 1995-1996 PSS list frame data when it becomes available in order to evaluate the accuracy of this simple prediction method.

As you can see from Attachment B, there is a need for collapsing in the area frame. Attachment D shows the final results (i.e., the suggested extrapolation cells) for the area frame. These values will be compared to actual 1995-1996 area frame data when it becomes available in order to evaluate the accuracy of this simple prediction method. We don't put much stock in the area frame results because this frame is unstable. Even though the size of the area frame did not change much from 1991 to 1993, the distribution within religious orientation changed substantially.

At this time we do not have a separate cell for K-terminal schools. We did not do anything special to identify these types of schools in 1991-1992. In 1993-1994 and 1995-1996, we did a lot of updating work to identify these types of schools. Once we have 1995-1996 PSS data available, we will develop linear equations of the form A + Bx $x_{i}$ using 1993-1994 PSS and 1995-1996 PSS K-terminal totals as the two points.
B. Suggested Model for 1991-1992/1993-1994/1995-1996/1997-1998 Method

We will look at several possible models to predict an estimated value for 19971998 PSS. Here again, we will do this separately for both the list frame and area frame. These models are as follows:

1. Rate of Change
2. $A+B x_{i}$
3. $A+B x_{i}+C x_{i}{ }^{2}$
4. $A+B x_{i}+C x_{i}{ }^{2}+D x_{i}^{3}$
5. Logarithmic model

Once we get the results from the 1995-1996 PSS weighting, we will come up with preliminary models to predict the 1997-1998 PSS results, using 1991-1992/1993-1994/1995-1996 data. Initially we will use the extrapolation cells suggested in Attachment B. When we have the 1997-1998 PSS results, we will compare them to the predicted results. We will also evaluate all four alternative models using the 1997-1998 PSS results. The most parsimonious model that adequately explains the observed trend will be considered the best model.

## III. INTERPOLATION BETWEEN 1997-1998 PSS AND 1999-2000 PSS LIST FRAME UPDATE RESULTS

## A. Proposed Methodology

The methodology discussed below will only be used for the list frame.
We will determine a value for the 1999-2000 PSS list frame for each of the 19 affiliations by the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { 1999-2000 PSS }=\quad & \begin{array}{l}
(1997-1998 \text { PSS })-(\text { expected } 1999-2000 \\
\text { deaths })+(1999-2000 \text { births }) *(\text { expected } \\
1999-2000 \text { in-scope proportion of births })
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

We propose doing the estimation for 1999-2000 PSS in this way because of the timing involved in terms of what's available.

For the expected deaths and expected in-scope birth proportion, we propose using the most recent values that are available. So for 1999-2000, the number of deaths and the in-scope proportion would be 1997-1998 values. The number of births is the actual value from the list frame updating, conducted in the spring of 1999. For state list births with unknown affiliation, we will use the 1997-98 proportions to allocate the unknown.

Note that we will look at the death count and in-scope birth proportion over time to evaluate the reasonableness of using the 1997-1998 information for 1998-1999 SASS. We will also look at the stability of death rates over time versus death counts.

We will use the predicted 1999-2000 numbers in one of the following two ways:

1. We will use the actual 1991-1992/1993-1994/1995-1996/1997-1998 values along with the predicted 1999-2000 values. We will fit a model and interpolate a value for each of the 19 affiliations for the 1998-1999 school year. These interpolated values will be the proposed 2nd stage numerators for the 1998-1999 SASS.
2. We will use the actual 1997-1998 values and the predicted 1999-2000 values to do a simple linear interpolation.

We have done a preliminary test for this methodology by predicting results for 1995-1996 PSS by using the above formula in the following way. See Attachment E. We will compare the preliminary results with the results from the 1995-1996 PSS weighting when they become available.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { 1995-1996 PSS }=\quad & \begin{array}{l}
(1993-1994 \text { PSS })-(\text { expected 1995-1996 } \\
\text { deaths) }+(\text { expected 1995-1996 births) * } \\
\text { (expected 1995-1996 in-scope proportion of } \\
\text { births) }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

where: a) Expected 1995-1996 death counts are the 1993-1994 death counts. We have matched the 1991-1992 inscope records (ISR = 1 or 2 ) with the 1993-1994 out-of-scope records ( $\mathrm{ISR}=3$ ). The matching records are the deaths. We have totals for the 19 affiliations.
b) Expected 1995-1996 birth counts are the 1993-1994 birth counts. We have totaled the birth records (those with the first three digits of PIN = 'A93' or first digit of PIN = 'W', ' X ', ' Y ', or ' $Z$ ') by the 19 affiliations. Even though we have the actual birth counts available for the 1995-1996 PSS, we did not use them, because we were not able to identify the state list births by affiliation.
c) Expected 1995-1996 in-scope proportions of births are the 1993-1994 in-scope proportions of births. These proportions are available in the 1995 ASA paper, Jackson, B., Frazier, R., (1995). "Improving the Coverage of Private Elementary-Secondary Schools".

Note that we use counts and not rates for the births and deaths. It doesn't make much difference in terms of which was used because there was not much change between the 1993-1994 total private schools and the estimated totals for 1995-1996.

We plan to repeat this process for 1997-1998 PSS as a further evaluation.
Note that for the area frame, we may need to do a simple extrapolation to predict results for the 1998-1999 school year due to timing constraints. The preferred approach for the area frame interpolation will be the same as the approach for the area frame in Section II. In other words, we will use a rate of change based model to interpolate area frame results.

## B. Related Issues - Area Frame to List Frame

In this section, we discuss matching between different components of the area frame and list frame.

We have matched the 1991-1992 certainty PSU records to the 1993-1994 certainty PSU records. Nearly all the 1991-1992 certainty PSU schools were in the 19931994 certainty PSUs (as we would expect). There is no need to adjust our interpolated estimate for records from area frame certainty PSUs.

We have also matched the 1991-1992 nonoverlap PSU records to the 1993-1994 overlap PSU records. Note that the 1991-1992 nonoverlap PSUs are the same as the 1993-1994 overlap PSUs. There were a low number of matches (about 28\%). In theory, if the match rate between these two groups were high, we would need to add a special "adjustment piece" to the interpolated area frame value to adjust for 1991-1992 area frame births being picked up in 1993-1994.

In addition, we matched the 1991-1992 area frame certainty PSU records to the 1993-1994 list frame births. There were only two matches. We also matched the 1991-1992 (nonoverlap and overlap) PSU records to the 1993-1994 list frame births. Here again, there were a low number of matches (about 15\%). Because of the low match rate, we did not do a special adjustment for the list frame interpolation because of the list frame births having been previously picked up in the area frame. The adjustment would involve estimating the "piece" of overlap and subtracting it from the interpolated value.

In the future, we will match the previous year's area frame adds with the next year's list frame adds (i.e., match 1993-1994 area frame adds with 1995-1996 list frame adds and 1995-1996 area frame adds with 1997-1998 list frame adds). If the match rate remains stable over time, we will do a special adjustment for the list frame interpolation because of the list frame births having been previously picked up in the area frame. We may also consider matching the 1997-1998 area frame adds to the 1999-2000 list frame adds to help estimate the overlap for the 1998-1999 SASS.

## IV. CHRONOLOGICAL SUMMARY OF FUTURE PLANS

- As soon as 1995-1996 PSS data becomes available, we will compare the extrapolated values (from linear equations) for the 2 nd stage numerators (see Attachment B) to the actual values to evaluate the "closeness" of our predictions. We will do the same comparison for the extrapolated list frame values (see Attachment C) and the extrapolated area frame value (see the end of Section II.A).
- Once again, as soon as the 1995-1996 data on K-terminal schools becomes available, we will use this together with the 1993-1994 data on K-terminal schools to develop linear equations to produce extrapolated estimates for 1997-1998 Kterminal schools.
- We will use the results of the 1995-1996 PSS along with 1991-1992 PSS and 19931994 PSS totals to predict models for 1997-1998 PSS as stated in Section II.B. These will be compared to actual 1997-1998 PSS results.
- We will explore operational solutions to estimating total private schools in 19981999.
- We will use results from 1997-1998 PSS along with the three previous PSS iterations to predict models for 1999-2000 PSS as stated in Section II.B.
- We will compare the preliminary results shown in Attachment E to the actual results from 1995-1996 PSS once they are available. We will also predict results for 1997-1998 PSS using the same methodology of Section III.A once 1995-1996 results are available.
- We will track the death rate and the in-scope birth rate over time (as discussed in Section III.A).
- We will continue to match the most recent year's list frame adds to the prior year's area frame adds.


## Attachment A: Initial Extrapolated List Frame Values for the Cells of the Second-Stage Numerator Cells for 1995 PSS

Will compare these to actual 1995 PSS when available.

| CELL | X1 | TOT91 | X3 | TOT93 | CHANGE | RATE_PCT | X5 | EXTRAP95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Catholic - Elementary (< 150) | 91 | 1478 | 93 | 1338 | 140 | 9.472 | 95 | 1211.26 |
| Catholic - Elementary (150-299) | 91 | 3603 | 93 | 3389 | 214 | 5.939 | 95 | 3187.71 |
| Catholic - Elementary (300-499) | 91 | 1457 | 93 | 1522 | 65 | 4.461 | 95 | 1589.90 |
| Catholic - Elementary (500-749) | 91 | 454 | 93 | 474 | 20 | 4.405 | 95 | 494.88 |
| Catholic - Elementary (750+) | 91 | 63 | 93 | 78 | 15 | 23.810 | 95 | 96.57 |
| Catholic - Combined (<150) | 91 | 144 | 93 | 144 | 0 | 0.000 | 95 | 144.00 |
| Catholic - Combined (150-299) | 91 | 89 | 93 | 89 | 0 | 0.000 | 95 | 89.00 |
| Catholic - Combined (300-499) | 91 | 68 | 93 | 62 | 6 | 8.824 | 95 | 56.53 |
| Catholic - Combined (500-749) | 91 | 43 | 93 | 40 | 3 | 6.977 | 95 | 37.21 |
| Catholic - Combined (750 +) | 91 | 17 | 93 | 14 | 3 | 17.647 | 95 | 11.53 |
| Catholic - Secondary (< 150) | 91 | 112 | 93 | 88 | 24 | 21.429 | 95 | 69.14 |
| Catholic - Secondary (150-299) | 91 | 299 | 93 | 269 | 30 | 10.033 | 95 | 242.01 |
| Catholic - Secondary (300-499) | 91 | 296 | 93 | 298 | 2 | 0.676 | 95 | 300.01 |
| Catholic - Secondary (500-749) | 91 | 244 | 93 | 240 | 4 | 1.639 | 95 | 236.07 |
| Catholic - Secondary (750 +) | 91 | 225 | 93 | 233 | 8 | 3.556 | 95 | 241.28 |
| Friends - Elementary | 91 | 32 | 93 | 36 | 4 | 12.500 | 95 | 40.50 |
| Friends - Combined | 91 | 39 | 93 | 34 | 5 | 12.821 | 95 | 29.64 |
| Friends - Secondary | 91 | 8 | 93 | 5 | 3 | 37.500 | 95 | 3.13 |
| Episcopal - Elementary | 91 | 214 | 93 | 223 | 9 | 4.206 | 95 | 232.38 |
| Episcopal - Combined | 91 | 80 | 93 | 87 | 7 | 8.750 | 95 | 94.61 |
| Episcopal - Secondary | 91 | 40 | 93 | 38 | 2 | 5.000 | 95 | 36.10 |
| Hebrew Day - Elementary | 91 | 110 | 93 | 110 | 0 | 0.000 | 95 | 110.00 |
| Hebrew Day - Combined | 91 | 52 | 93 | 37 | 15 | 28.846 | 95 | 26.33 |
| Hebrew Day - Secondary | 91 | 57 | 93 | 51 | 6 | 10.526 | 95 | 45.63 |
| Sol. Schechter - Elementary | 91 | 52 | 93 | 50 | 2 | 3.846 | 95 | 48.08 |
| Sol. Schechter - Combined | 91 | 6 | 93 | 3 | 3 | 50.000 | 95 | 1.50 |
| Sol. Schechter - Secondary | 91 | 1 | 93 | 2 | 1 | 100.000 | 95 | 4.00 |

## A: Initial Extrapolated List Frame Values for the Cells of the Second-Stage Numerator Cells for 1995 PSS, cont'd.

Will compare these to actual 1995 PSS when available.

| CELL | X1 | TOT91 | X3 | TOT93 | CHANGE | RATE_PCT | X5 | EXTRAP95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other Jewish - Elementary |  |  | 187 | 93 | 186 | 1 |  | 0.535 |
| Other Jewish - Combined | 91 | 105 | 93 | 106 | 1 | 95 | 185.01 |  |
| Other Jewish - Secondary | 91 | 76 | 93 | 81 | 5 | 0.952 | 95 | 107.01 |
| Luth./Missouri - Elementary | 91 | 993 | 93 | 953 | 40 | 4.579 | 95 | 86.33 |
| Luth./Missouri - Combined | 91 | 25 | 93 | 35 | 10 | 40.000 | 95 | 914.61 |
| Luth./Missouri - Secondary | 91 | 58 | 93 | 54 | 4 | 6.897 | 95 | 59.00 |
| Luth./Wisconsin - Elementary | 91 | 350 | 93 | 341 | 9 | 2.571 | 95 | 332.23 |
| Luth./Wisconsin - Combined | 91 | 11 | 93 | 10 | 1 | 9.091 | 95 | 9.09 |
| Luth./Wisconsin - Secondary | 91 | 23 | 93 | 21 | 2 | 8.696 | 95 | 19.17 |
| Evang./Lutheran - Elementary | 91 | 102 | 93 | 98 | 4 | 3.922 | 95 | 94.16 |
| Evang./Lutheran - Combined | 91 | 7 | 93 | 7 | 0 | 0.000 | 95 | 7.00 |
| Evang./Lutheran - Secondary | 91 | 2 | 93 | 1 | 1 | 50.000 | 95 | 0.50 |
| Other Lutheran - Elementary | 91 | 47 | 93 | 45 | 2 | 4.255 | 95 | 43.09 |
| Other Lutheran - Combined | 91 | 10 | 93 | 10 | 0 | 0.000 | 95 | 10.00 |
| Other Lutheran - Secondary | 91 | 2 | 93 | 2 | 0 | 0.000 | 95 | 2.00 |
| Seventh Day - Elementary | 91 | 786 | 93 | 733 | 53 | 6.743 | 95 | 683.57 |
| Seventh Day - Combined | 91 | 281 | 93 | 247 | 34 | 12.100 | 95 | 217.11 |
| Seventh Day - Secondary | 91 | 60 | 93 | 59 | 1 | 1.667 | 95 | 58.02 |
| CSI - Elementary | 91 | 1102 | 93 | 1282 | 180 | 16.334 | 95 | 1491.40 |
| CSI - Combined | 91 | 1137 | 93 | 1262 | 125 | 10.994 | 95 | 1400.74 |
| CSI - Secondary | 91 | 109 | 93 | 114 | 5 | 4.587 | 95 | 119.23 |
| Am. Assoc. Chr. Sch. - Elem. | 91 | 165 | 93 | 122 | 43 | 26.061 | 95 | 90.21 |
| Am. Assoc. Chr. Sch. - Comb. | 91 | 758 | 93 | 646 | 112 | 14.776 | 95 | 550.55 |
| Am. Assoc. Chr. Sch. - Sec. | 91 | 9 | 93 | 12 | 3 | 33.333 | 95 | 16.00 |
| Exc. Child. - Elementary | 91 | 6 | 93 | 9 | 3 | 50.000 | 95 | 13.50 |
| Exc. Child. - Combined | 91 | 249 | 93 | 267 | 18 | 7.229 | 95 | 286.30 |
| Exc. Child. - Secondary | 91 | 5 | 93 | 2 | 3 | 60.000 | 95 | 0.80 |

## A: Initial Extrapolated List Frame Values for the Cells of the Second-Stage Numerator Cells for 1995 PSS, cont'd.

Will compare these to actual 1995 PSS when available.

| CELL | X1 | TOT91 | X3 | TOT93 | CHANGE | RATE_PCT | X5 | EXTRAP95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mil. Col. - Elementary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mil. Col. - Combined | 91 | 10 | 93 | 1 | 9 | 90.000 | 95 | 0.10 |
| Mil. Col. - Secondary | 91 | 10 | 93 | 16 | 6 | 60.000 | 95 | 25.60 |
| Montessori - Elementary | 91 | 14 | 93 | 16 | 2 | 14.286 | 95 | 18.29 |
| Montessori - Combined | 91 | 323 | 93 | 480 | 157 | 48.607 | 95 | 713.31 |
| Montessori - Secondary | 91 | 85 | 93 | 169 | 84 | 98.824 | 95 | 336.01 |
| Nat. Assoc. Ind. Sch. - Elem. | 91 | 1 | 91 | 238 | 93 | 1 | 233 | 5 |
| Nat. Assoc. Ind. Sch. - Comb. | 91 | 473 | 93 | 496 | 23 | 0.000 | 95 | 1.00 |
| Nat. Assoc. Ind. Sch. - Sec. | 91 | 163 | 93 | 155 | 8 | 4.863 | 9.908 | 95 |
| Nat. Ind. Pr.Sc. - Elementary | 91 | 65 | 93 | 68 | 3 | 520.11 |  |  |
| Nat. Ind. Pr.Sc. - Combined | 91 | 47 | 93 | 54 | 7 | 4.615 | 95 | 147.39 |
| Nat. Ind. Pr.Sc. - Secondary | 91 | 10 | 93 | 6 | 4 | 14.894 | 95 | 62.04 |
| All Else - Elementary (<150) | 91 | 1960 | 93 | 1879 | 81 | 4.000 | 95 | 3.60 |
| All Else - Elementary (150-299) | 91 | 278 | 93 | 263 | 15 | 5.396 | 95 | 1801.35 |
| All Else - Elementary (300-499) | 91 | 67 | 93 | 67 | 0 | 0.000 | 95 | 248.81 |
| All Else - Elementary (500-749) | 91 | 12 | 93 | 13 | 1 | 8.333 | 95 | 14.00 |
| All Else - Elementary (750+) | 91 | 4 | 93 | 5 | 1 | 25.000 | 95 | 6.25 |
| All Else - Combined (<150) | 91 | 3176 | 93 | 3460 | 284 | 8.942 | 95 | 3769.40 |
| All Else - Combined (150-299) | 91 | 450 | 93 | 472 | 22 | 4.889 | 95 | 495.08 |
| All Else - Combined (300-499) | 91 | 263 | 93 | 221 | 42 | 15.970 | 95 | 185.71 |
| All Else - Combined (500-749) | 91 | 82 | 93 | 95 | 13 | 15.854 | 95 | 110.06 |
| All Else - Combined (750+) | 91 | 52 | 93 | 42 | 10 | 19.231 | 95 | 33.92 |
| All Else - Secondary (< 150) | 91 | 138 | 93 | 157 | 19 | 13.768 | 95 | 178.62 |
| All Else - Secondary (150-299) | 91 | 31 | 93 | 24 | 7 | 22.581 | 95 | 18.58 |
| All Else - Secondary (300-499) | 91 | 13 | 93 | 12 | 1 | 7.692 | 95 | 11.08 |
| All Else - Secondary (500-749) | 91 | 9 | 93 | 0 | 9 | 100.000 | 95 | 0.00 |
| All Else - Secondary (750 +) | 91 | 4 | 93 | 4 | 0 | 0.000 | 95 | 4.00 |

## Attachment B: Extrapolated Area Frame Values for the Cells of the Second-Stage Numerator Cells for 1995 PSS

Will compare these to actual 1995 PSS when available.

| CELL | X1 | TOT91 | X3 | TOT93 | CHANGE | RATE_PCT | X5 | EXTRAP95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catholic - Elementary | 91 | 226 | 93 | 62 | 164 | 72.5664 | 95 | 17.01 |
| Catholic - Combined | 91 | 34 | 93 | 6 | 28 | 82.3529 | 95 | 1.06 |
| Catholic - Secondary | 91 | 47 | 93 | 1 | 46 | 97.8723 | 95 | 0.02 |
| Other Rel. - Elementary | 91 | 437 | 93 | 645 | 208 | 47.5973 | 95 | 952.00 |
| Other Rel. - Combined | 91 | 555 | 93 | 935 | 380 | 68.4685 | 95 | 1575.18 |
| Other Rel. - Secondary | 91 | 52 | 93 | 41 | 11 | 21.1538 | 95 | 32.33 |
| Nonsec. - Elementary | 91 | 360 | 93 | 148 | 212 | 58.8889 | 95 | 60.84 |
| Nonsec. - Combined | 91 | 339 | 93 | 172 | 167 | 49.2625 | 95 | 87.27 |
| Nonsec. - Secondary | 91 | 21 | 93 | 16 | 5 | 23.8095 | 95 | 12.19 |

## Attachment C: Extrapolated List Frame Values for the Cells of the Second-Stage Numerator Cells for 1995 PSS

Will compare these to actual 1995 PSS when available (FINAL).

| CELL | X1 | TOT91 | X3 | TOT93 | CHANGE | RATE_PCT | X5 | EXTRAP95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Catholic - Elementary (< 150) | 91 | 1478 | 93 | 1338 | 140 | 9.4723 | 95 | 1211.26 |
| Catholic - Elementary (150-299) | 91 | 3603 | 93 | 3389 | 214 | 5.9395 | 95 | 3187.71 |
| Catholic - Elementary (300-499) | 91 | 1457 | 93 | 1522 | 65 | 4.4612 | 95 | 1589.90 |
| Catholic - Elementary (500-749) | 91 | 454 | 93 | 474 | 20 | 4.4053 | 95 | 494.88 |
| Catholic - Elementary (750 +) | 91 | 63 | 93 | 78 | 15 | 23.8095 | 95 | 96.57 |
| Catholic - Combined (<150) | 91 | 144 | 93 | 144 | 0 | 0.0000 | 95 | 144.00 |
| Catholic - Combined (150-299) | 91 | 89 | 93 | 89 | 0 | 0.0000 | 95 | 89.00 |
| Catholic - Combined (300-499) | 91 | 68 | 93 | 62 | 6 | 8.8235 | 95 | 56.53 |
| Catholic - Combined (500 +) | 91 | 60 | 93 | 54 | 6 | 10.0000 | 95 | 48.60 |
| Catholic - Secondary (< 150) | 91 | 112 | 93 | 88 | 24 | 21.4286 | 95 | 69.14 |
| Catholic - Secondary (150-299) | 91 | 299 | 93 | 269 | 30 | 10.0334 | 95 | 242.01 |
| Catholic - Secondary (300-499) | 91 | 296 | 93 | 298 | 2 | 0.6757 | 95 | 300.01 |
| Catholic - Secondary (500-749) | 91 | 244 | 93 | 240 | 4 | 1.6393 | 95 | 236.07 |
| Catholic - Secondary (750 +) | 91 | 225 | 93 | 233 | 8 | 3.5556 | 95 | 241.28 |
| Friends - Elementary | 91 | 32 | 93 | 36 | 4 | 12.5000 | 95 | 40.50 |
| Friends - Combined/Secondary | 91 | 47 | 93 | 39 | 8 | 17.0213 | 95 | 32.36 |
| Episcopal - Elementary | 91 | 214 | 93 | 223 | 9 | 4.2056 | 95 | 232.38 |
| Episcopal - Combined | 91 | 80 | 93 | 87 | 7 | 8.7500 | 95 | 94.61 |
| Episcopal - Secondary | 91 | 40 | 93 | 38 | 2 | 5.0000 | 95 | 36.10 |
| Hebrew Day - Elementary | 91 | 110 | 93 | 110 | 0 | 0.0000 | 95 | 110.00 |
| Hebrew Day - Combined/Secondary | 91 | 109 | 93 | 88 | 21 | 19.2661 | 95 | 71.05 |
| Sol. Schechter - ALL GRADES | 91 | 59 | 93 | 55 | 4 | 6.7797 | 95 | 51.27 |
| Other Jewish - Elementary | 91 | 187 | 93 | 186 | 1 | 0.5348 | 95 | 185.01 |
| Other Jewish - Combined | 91 | 105 | 93 | 106 | 1 | 0.9524 | 95 | 107.01 |
| Other Jewish - Secondary | 91 | 76 | 93 | 81 | 5 | 6.5789 | 95 | 86.33 |

## C: Extrapolated List Frame Values for the Cells of the Second-Stage Numerator Cells for 1995 PSS, cont'd.

Will compare these to actual 1995 PSS when available (FINAL).

| CELL | X1 | TOT91 | X3 | TOT93 | CHANGE | RATE_PCT | X5 | EXTRAP95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Luth./Missouri - Elementary | 91 | 993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Luth./Missouri - Combined | 91 | 25 | 93 | 953 | 40 | 4.0282 | 95 | 914.61 |
| Luth./Missouri - Secondary | 91 | 58 | 93 | 54 | 10 | 40.0000 | 95 | 49.00 |
| Luth./Wisconsin - ALL GRADES | 91 | 384 | 93 | 372 | 4 | 6.8966 | 95 | 50.28 |
| Evang./Lutheran - ALL GRADES | 91 | 111 | 93 | 106 | 5 | 3.1250 | 95 | 360.38 |
| Other Lutheran - ALL GRADES | 91 | 59 | 93 | 57 | 2 | 4.5045 | 95 | 101.23 |
| Seventh Day - Elementary | 91 | 786 | 93 | 733 | 53 | 3.3898 | 95 | 55.07 |
| Seventh Day - Combined | 91 | 281 | 93 | 247 | 34 | 12.7430 | 95 | 683.57 |
| Seventh Day - Secondary | 91 | 60 | 93 | 59 | 1 | 1.6667 | 95 | 217.11 |
| CSI - Elementary | 91 | 1102 | 93 | 1282 | 180 | 16.3339 | 95 | 58.02 |
| CSI - Combined | 91 | 1137 | 93 | 1262 | 125 | 10.9938 | 95 | 1401.40 |
| CSI - Secondary | 91 | 109 | 93 | 114 | 5 | 4.5872 | 95 | 119.23 |
| Am. Assoc. Chr. Sch. - Elem. | 91 | 165 | 93 | 122 | 43 | 26.0606 | 95 | 90.21 |
| Am. Assoc. Chr. Sch. - Comb. | 91 | 758 | 93 | 646 | 112 | 14.7757 | 95 | 550.55 |
| Am. Assoc. Chr. Sch. - Sec. | 91 | 9 | 93 | 12 | 3 | 33.3333 | 95 | 16.00 |
| Exc. Child. - ALL GRADES | 91 | 260 | 93 | 278 | 18 | 6.9231 | 95 | 297.25 |
| Mil. Col. - ALL GRADES | 91 | 34 | 93 | 33 | 1 | 2.9412 | 95 | 32.03 |
| Montessori - Elementary | 91 | 323 | 93 | 480 | 157 | 48.6068 | 95 | 713.31 |
| Montessori - Combined/Secondary | 91 | 86 | 93 | 170 | 84 | 97.6744 | 95 | 336.05 |
| Nat. Assoc. Ind. Sch. - Elem. | 91 | 238 | 93 | 233 | 5 | 2.1008 | 95 | 228.11 |
| Nat. Assoc. Ind. Sch. - Comb. | 91 | 473 | 93 | 496 | 23 | 4.8626 | 95 | 520.12 |
| Nat. Assoc. Ind. Sch. - Sec. | 91 | 163 | 93 | 155 | 8 | 4.9080 | 95 | 147.39 |
| Nat. Ind. Pr.Sc. - Elementary | 91 | 65 | 93 | 68 | 3 | 4.6154 | 95 | 71.14 |
| Nat. Ind. Pr.Sc. - Comb./Sec. | 91 | 57 | 93 | 60 | 3 | 5.2632 | 95 | 63.16 |

## C: Extrapolated List Frame Values for the Cells of the Second-Stage Numerator Cells for 1995 PSS, cont'd.

Will compare these to actual 1995 PSS when available (FINAL).

| CELL | X1 | TOT91 | X3 | TOT93 | CHANGE | RATE_PCT | X5 | EXTRAP95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Else - Elementary $(<150)$ | 91 | 1960 | 93 | 1879 | 81 | 4.1327 | 95 | 1801.35 |
| All Else - Elementary (150-299) | 91 | 278 | 93 | 263 | 15 | 5.3957 | 95 | 248.81 |
| All Else - Elementary (300-499) | 91 | 67 | 93 | 67 | 0 | 0.0000 | 95 | 67.00 |
| All Else - Elementary $(500+)$ | 91 | 16 | 93 | 18 | 2 | 12.5000 | 95 | 20.25 |
| All Else - Combined $(<150)$ | 91 | 3176 | 93 | 3460 | 284 | 8.9421 | 95 | 3769.40 |
| All Else - Combined $(150-299)$ | 91 | 450 | 93 | 472 | 22 | 4.8889 | 95 | 495.08 |
| All Else - Combined (300-499) | 91 | 263 | 93 | 221 | 42 | 15.9696 | 95 | 185.71 |
| All Else - Combined (500-749) | 91 | 82 | 93 | 95 | 13 | 15.8537 | 95 | 110.06 |
| All Else - Combined $(750+)$ | 91 | 52 | 93 | 42 | 10 | 19.2308 | 95 | 33.92 |
| All Else - Secondary $(<150)$ | 91 | 138 | 93 | 157 | 19 | 13.7681 | 95 | 178.62 |
| All Else - Secondary $(150+)$ | 91 | 57 | 93 | 40 | 17 | 29.8246 | 95 | 28.07 |

## Attachment D: Extrapolated Area Frame Values for the Cells of the Second-Stage Numerator Cells for 1995 PSS

Will compare these to actual 1995 PSS when available (FINAL).

| CELL | X1 | TOT91 | X3 | TOT93 | CHANGE | RATE_PCT | X5 | EXTRAP95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catholic - ALL GRADES | 91 | 307 | 93 | 69 | 238 | 77.5244 | 95 | 15.51 |
| Other Rel. - Elementary | 91 | 437 | 93 | 645 | 208 | 47.5973 | 95 | 952.00 |
| Other Rel. - Combined | 91 | 555 | 93 | 935 | 380 | 68.4685 | 95 | 1575.18 |
| Other Rel. - Secondary | 91 | 52 | 93 | 41 | 11 | 21.1538 | 95 | 32.33 |
| Nonsec. - ALL GRADES | 91 | 720 | 93 | 336 | 384 | 53.3333 | 95 | 156.80 |

## Attachment E: Estimated Values for '95-'96 PSS List Frame

Includes estimates from area frame certainty PSUs. Compare to actual '95-'96 PSS totals when available.

| Cell | '93-'94 PSS Totals | Expected \# Deaths from '93-'94 PSS | Expected \# Births from '95-'96 PSS | Expected In-Scope Proportion of Births for '95-'96 PSS | Estimated '95'96 PSS Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01: Military Colleges | 33 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 29 |
| 02: Catholic | 8380 | 267 | 167 | 0.875 | 8259 |
| 03: Friends | 79 | 4 | 7 | 0.875 | 81 |
| 04: Episcopal | 414 | 6 | 38 | 0.8571 | 441 |
| 05: Hebrew Day | 203 | 22 | 30 | 0.9038 | 208 |
| 06: Solomon Schechter | 56 | 2 | 8 | 0.9038 | 61 |
| 07: Other Jewish | 404 | 33 | 45 | 0.9038 | 412 |
| 08: Lutheran-Missouri | 1098 | 24 | 25 | 0.8966 | 1096 |
| 09: Lutheran-Wisconsin | 374 | 8 | 4 | 0.8966 | 370 |
| 10: Evangelical Lutheran | 117 | 4 | 5 | 0.8966 | 117 |
| 11: Other Lutheran | 59 | 0 | 1 | 0.8966 | 60 |
| 12: 7th Day Adventist | 1043 | 77 | 37 | 0 | 966 |
| 13: Christian Sch. Int'l. | 2705 | 135 | 570 | 0.9255 | 3098 |
| 14: Amer. Assoc. Christ. Sch | . 798 | 61 | 51 | 0 | 737 |
| 15: Nat. Assoc. Prv. Sch. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exc. Chd. | 290 | 17 | 41 | 0.6538 | 300 |
| 16: Montessori | 875 | 32 | 100 | 0.8718 | 930 |
| 17: Nat. Assoc. Indep. Sch. | 899 | 23 | 56 | 0.8235 | 922 |
| 18: Nat. Indep. Prv. Sch. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assoc. | 138 | 10 | 13 | 1 | 141 |
| 19: All Else | 7544 | 681 | 1142 | 0.6667 | 7624 |
|  | 25,509 |  |  |  | 25,852 |
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| 95-14 (Mar.) | Empirical Evaluation of Social, Psychological, \& Educational Construct Variables Used in NCES Surveys | Samuel Peng |
| 95-15 (Apr.) | Classroom Instructional Processes: A Review of Existing Measurement Approaches and Their Applicability for the Teacher Follow-up Survey | Sharon Bobbitt |
| 95-16 (Apr.) | Intersurvey Consistency in NCES Private School Surveys | Steven Kaufman |
| 95-17 (May) | Estimates of Expenditures for Private K-12 Schools | Stephen Broughman |
| 95-18 (Nov.) | An Agenda for Research on Teachers and Schools: Revisiting NCES' Schools and Staffing Survey | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 96-01 (Jan.) | Methodological Issues in the Study of Teachers' Careers: Critical Features of a Truly Longitudinal Study | Dan Kasprzyk |
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| 96-02 (Feb.) | Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS): 1995 Selected papers presented at the 1995 Meeting of the American Statistical Association | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 96-03 (Feb.) | National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) Research Framework and Issues | Jeffrey Owings |
| 96-04 (Feb.) | Census Mapping Project/School District Data Book | Tai Phan |
| 96-05 (Feb.) | Cognitive Research on the Teacher Listing Form for the Schools and Staffing Survey | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 96-06 (Mar.) | The Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS) for 1998-99: Design Recommendations to Inform Broad Education Policy | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 96-07 (Mar.) | Should SASS Measure Instructional Processes and Teacher Effectiveness? | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 96-08 (Apr.) | How Accurate are Teacher Judgments of Students' Academic Performance? | Jerry West |
| 96-09 (Apr.) | Making Data Relevant for Policy Discussions: Redesigning the School Administrator Questionnaire for the 1998-99 SASS | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 96-10 (Apr.) | 1998-99 Schools and Staffing Survey: Issues Related to Survey Depth | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 96-11 (June) | Towards an Organizational Database on America's Schools: A Proposal for the Future of SASS, with comments on School Reform, Governance, and Finance | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 96-12 (June) | Predictors of Retention, Transfer, and Attrition of Special and General Education Teachers: Data from the 1989 Teacher Followup Survey | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 96-13 (June) | Estimation of Response Bias in the NHES:95 Adult Education Survey | Steven Kaufman |
| 96-14 (June) | The 1995 National Household Education Survey: Reinterview Results for the Adult Education Component | Steven Kaufman |
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| 96-15 (June) | Nested Structures: District-Level Data in the Schools and Staffing Survey | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 96-16 (June) | Strategies for Collecting Finance Data from Private Schools | Stephen Broughman |
| 96-17 (July) | National Postsecondary Student Aid Study: 1996 Field Test Methodology Report | Andrew G. Malizio |
| 96-18 (Aug.) | Assessment of Social Competence, Adaptive Behaviors, and Approaches to Learning with Young Children | Jerry West |
| 96-19 (Oct.) | Assessment and Analysis of School-Level Expenditures | William Fowler |
| 96-20 (Oct.) | 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education | Kathryn Chandler |
| 96-21 (Oct.) | 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Questionnaires: Screener, School Readiness, and School Safety and Discipline | Kathryn Chandler |
| 96-22 (Oct.) | 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education | Kathryn Chandler |
| 96-23 (Oct.) | Linking Student Data to SASS: Why, When, How | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 96-24 (Oct.) | National Assessments of Teacher Quality | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 96-25 (Oct.) | Measures of Inservice Professional Development: Suggested Items for the 1998-1999 Schools and Staffing Survey | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 96-26 (Nov.) | Improving the Coverage of Private ElementarySecondary Schools | Steven Kaufman |
| 96-27 (Nov.) | Intersurvey Consistency in NCES Private School Surveys for 1993-94 | Steven Kaufman |
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| 96-28 (Nov.) | Student Learning, Teaching Quality, and Professional Development: Theoretical Linkages, Current Measurement, and Recommendations for Future Data Collection | Mary Rollefson |
| 96-29 (Nov.) | Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Adults and 0- to 2-Year-Olds in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) | Kathryn Chandler |
| 96-30 (Dec.) | Comparison of Estimates from the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) | Kathryn Chandler |
| 97-01 (Feb.) | Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1996 Meeting of the American Statistical Association | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 97-02 (Feb.) | Telephone Coverage Bias and Recorded Interviews in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) | Kathryn Chandler |
| 97-03 (Feb.) | 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey Questionnaires: NHES:91 Screener, NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education | Kathryn Chandler |
| 97-04 (Feb.) | Design, Data Collection, Monitoring, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) | Kathryn Chandler |
| 97-05 (Feb.) | Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) | Kathryn Chandler |
| 97-06 (Feb.) | Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) | Kathryn Chandler |
| 97-07 (Mar.) | The Determinants of Per-Pupil Expenditures in Private Elementary and Secondary Schools: An Exploratory Analysis | Stephen <br> Broughman |
| 97-08 (Mar.) | Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey | Kathryn Chandler |
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| 97-09 (Apr.) | Status of Data on Crime and Violence in Schools: <br> Final Report | Lee Hoffman |
| 97-10 (Apr.) | Report of Cognitive Research on the Public and <br> Private School Teacher Questionnaires for the Schools <br> and Staffing Survey 1993-94 School Year | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 97-11 (Apr.) | International Comparisons of Inservice Professional <br> Development | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 97-12 (Apr.) | Measuring School Reform: Recommendations for <br> Future SASS Data Collection | Mary Rollefson |
| 97-13 (Apr.) | Improving Data Quality in NCES: Database-to-Report <br> Process | Susan Ahmed |
| 97-14 (Apr.) | Optimal Choice of Periodicities for the Schools and | Steven Kaufman |
| Staffing Survey: Modeling and Analysis |  |  |
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| 97-23 (July) | Further Cognitive Research on the Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS) Teacher Listing Form | Dan Kasprzyk |
| 97-24 (Aug.) | Formulating a Design for the ECLS: A Review of Longitudinal Studies | Jerry West |
| 97-25 (Aug.) | 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement | Kathryn Chandler |
| 97-26 (Oct.) | Strategies for Improving Accuracy of Postsecondary Faculty Lists | Linda Zimbler |
| 97-27 (Oct.) | Pilot Test of IPEDS Finance Survey | Peter Stowe |
| 97-28 (Oct.) | Comparison of Estimates in the 1996 National Household Education Survey | Kathryn Chandler |
| 97-29 (Oct.) | Can State Assessment Data be Used to Reduce State NAEP Sample Sizes? | Steven Gorman |
| 97-30 (Oct.) | ACT's NAEP Redesign Project: Assessment Design is the Key to Useful and Stable Assessment Results | Steven Gorman |
| 97-31 (Oct.) | NAEP Reconfigured: An Integrated Redesign of the National Assessment of Educational Progress | Steven Gorman |
| 97-32 (Oct.) | Innovative Solutions to Intractable Large Scale Assessment (Problem 2: Background Questionnaires) | Steven Gorman |
| 97-33 (Oct.) | Adult Literacy: An International Perspective | Marilyn Binkley |
| 97-34 (Oct.) | Comparison of Estimates from the 1993 National Household Education Survey | Kathryn Chandler |
| 97-35 (Oct.) | Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey | Kathryn Chandler |
| 97-36 (Oct.) | Measuring the Quality of Program Environments in Head Start and Other Early Childhood Programs: A Review and Recommendations for Future Research | Jerry West |
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| 97-37 (Nov.) | Optimal Rating Procedures and Methodology for NAEP Open-ended Items | Steven Gorman |
| 97-38 (Nov.) | Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey | Kathryn Chandler |
| 97-39 (Nov.) | Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996 National Household Education Survey | Kathryn Chandler |
| 97-40 (Nov.) | Unit and Item Response Rates, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1996 National Household Education Survey | Kathryn Chandler |
| 97-41 (Dec.) | Selected Papers on the Schools and Staffing Survey: Papers Presented at the 1997 Meeting of the American Statistical Association | Steve Kaufman |
| $\begin{aligned} & 97-42 \\ & \text { (Jan. 1998) } \end{aligned}$ | Improving the Measurement of Staffing Resources at the School Level: The Development of Recommendations for NCES for the Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS) | Mary Rollefson |
| 97-43 (Dec.) | Measuring Inflation in Public School Costs | William J. Fowler, Jr. |
| 97-44 (Dec.) | Development of a SASS 1993-94 School-Level <br> Student Achievement Subfile: Using State <br> Assessments and State NAEP, Feasibility Study | Michael Ross |
| 98-01 (Jan.) | Collection of Public School Expenditure Data: Development of a Questionnaire | Stephen <br> Broughman |
| 98-02 (Jan.) | Response Variance in the 1993-94 Schools and Staffing Survey: A Reinterview Report | Steven Kaufman |
| 98-03 (Feb.) | Adult Education in the 1990s: A Report on the 1991 National Household Education Survey | Peter Stowe |
| 98-04 (Feb.) | Geographic Variations in Public Schools' Costs | William J. Fowler, Jr. |
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98-05 (Mar.) SASS Documentation: 1993-94 SASS Student
Sampling Problems; Solutions for Determining the Numerators for the SASS Private School (3B)
Second-Stage Factors

## Contact

Steven Kaufman


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kaufman et al., 1993-94 Schools and Staffing Survey: Sample Design and Estimation, U. S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement, October 1996.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: 1994 Student Weighting Output for Student Noninterview Adjustment Factor Weighted counts of students were used where the weight used was defined as:

    WEIGHT=KBWGT * KNRAF* KMTAF where,
    KBWGT = Student Basic Weight
    KNRAF $=$ Nonresponse Adjustment for schools not participating in the student sampling procedure
    KMTAF = Adjustment for Teachers Incorrectly Misclassified as Ineligible

