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## INTRODUCTION

The Food Stamp Program (FSP) helps needy individuals purchase food so that they can obtain a nutritious diet. Individuals are eligible for the program if their financial resources fall below certain income and asset thresholds. Not all of those who are eligible participate in the program, however. Some choose not to participate, while others are unaware that they are eligible. The food stamp participation rate-the percentage of eligible people who actually participate in the FSP-is an important measure of how well the program is reaching its target population. In 2000, FSP participation rates rose slightly from 58 percent to 59 percent after declining for five consecutive years. ${ }^{1}$

Participation rates are affected by changes in the economy, program rules, trends in other public assistance programs, and the participation decisions of eligible people. ${ }^{2}$ The strong economy in the middle and late 1990's increased job opportunities for low-income families, thus affecting eligibility for and participation in the FSP. The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA) also affected the program by disqualifying many noncitizens from the FSP and imposing time limits for some non-disabled childless adults unless they work. ${ }^{3}$ In addition, PRWORA replaced Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) with Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) and added a new focus on moving people from welfare to work. The increased emphasis on work and the reduced TANF

[^0]caseload affected FSP participation rates because households not receiving public assistance are less likely to participate in the FSP. ${ }^{4}$

This report presents participation rate estimates for September 2000 and examines change in these rates over time. It also examines trends in participation rates among subgroups of the eligible population, such as children, elderly, and employed adults. The estimates in this report are based on data from the March Current Population Survey (CPS) and FSP administrative data. ${ }^{5}$

## RECENT TRENDS IN OVERALL PARTICIPATION RATES

Participation rates fell each year from 1994 through 1999 before rising in 2000 (Table 1).
From 1994 to 1999, the participation rate for eligible individuals dropped 17 points, from 75 to 58 percent. ${ }^{6}$ During this period, the number of people eligible for benefits fell by more than five million while the number of participants fell by more than nine million (Figure 1). Because the number of participants dropped more than the number of eligible individuals, the participation rate fell.

From 1999 to 2000, both the number of eligible individuals and the number of participants continued to fall, consistent with the continuing strong economy, increased employment, and lower poverty rates that persisted through the end of 2000 . However, the decline in the number

[^1]TABLE 1
MONTHLY NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE AND PARTICIPATING INDIVIDUALS, HOUSEHOLDS AND BENEFITS, AND PARTICIPATION RATES 1976-2000
(Thousands)

|  | Eligible (CPS) |  |  | Participating (Program Operations) |  |  | Participation Rates (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Individuals | Households | Benefits | Individuals | Households | Benefits | Individuals | Households | Benefits ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Sept. 1976 | 50,061 | 16,282 | \$1,075,819 | 15,880 | 5,308 | \$375,461 | 31.1 | 32.6 | 34.9 |
| Feb. 1978 | 40,175 | 13,984 | 934,427 | 15,387 | 5,286 | 398,066 | 38.3 | 37.8 | 42.6 |
| Aug. 1980 | 36,567 | 14,042 | 1,108,330 | 20,185 | 7,372 | 689,381 | 55.2 | 52.5 | 62.2 |
| Aug. 1982 | 39,364 | 14,538 | 1,352,251 | 20,548 | 7,487 | 785,658 | 52.2 | 51.5 | 58.1 |
| Aug. 1984 | 38,591 | 14,194 | 1,386,231 | 19,990 | 7,324 | 841,442 | 51.8 | 51.6 | 60.7 |
| Aug. 1986 | 40,061 | 15,273 | 1,544,833 | 19,069 | 7,102 | 860,472 | 47.6 | 46.5 | 55.7 |
| Aug. 1988 | 38,166 | 14,896 | 1,646,310 | 18,358 | 7,016 | 907,117 | 48.1 | 47.1 | 55.1 |
| Aug. 1990 | 37,631 | 14,523 | 1,905,141 | 20,396 | 7,973 | 1,188,808 | 54.2 | 54.9 | 62.4 |
| Aug. 1991 | 40,989 | 15,574 | 2,229,403 | 23,364 | 9,204 | 1,471,406 | 57.0 | 59.1 | 66.0 |
| Aug. 1992 | 43,474 | 16,627 | 2,491,671 | 25,759 | 10,238 | 1,749,058 | 59.3 | 61.6 | 70.2 |
| Aug. 1993 | 45,241 | 17,031 | 2,515,761 | 27,260 | 10,900 | 1,839,469 | 60.3 | 64.0 | 73.1 |
| Aug. 1994(o) | 44,327 | 17,040 | 2,473,299 | 27,207 | 11,005 | 1,873,953 | 61.4 | 64.6 | 75.8 |
| Sept. 1994(r) | 35,053 | 15,305 | 2,028,290 | 26,229 | 10,659 | 1,747,990 | 74.8 | 69.6 | 86.2 |
| Sept. 1995 | 34,665 | 14,994 | 2,017,983 | 25,213 | 10,374 | 1,751,560 | 72.7 | 69.2 | 86.8 |
| Sept. 1996 | 34,478 | 15,264 | 2,060,242 | 23,874 | 9,934 | 1,706,230 | 69.2 | 65.1 | 82.8 |
| Sept. 1997 | 31,818 | 14,692 | 1,913,367 | 20,365 | 8,446 | 1,407,148 | 64.0 | 57.5 | 73.5 |
| Sept. 1998 | 30,350 | 14,024 | 1,836,184 | 18,152 | 7,606 | 1,253,632 | 59.8 | 54.2 | 68.3 |
| Sept. 1999 | 29,502 | 13,723 | 1,779,829 | 17,081 | 7,280 | 1,199,679 | 57.9 | 53.0 | 67.4 |
| Sept. 2000 | 28,198 | 13,453 | 1,654,552 | 16,714 | 7,160 | 1,193,118 | 59.3 | 53.2 | 72.1 |
| $\underline{\text { Change (1999 to 2000) }}$ | -4.4\% | -2.0\% | -7.0\% | -2.1\% | -1.6\% | -0.5\% | 1.4 points | 0.2 points | 4.7 points |

Source: FSP Program Operations data, FSPQC data, and March CPS data for the years shown.
Note: In this report, the rates for 1994 through 1999 differ slightly from previously published rates. See Appendix C for more information.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ There are two estimates for August 1994 due to revised methodologies for determining food stamp eligibility and for determining the number of participants. The new methodology for determining eligibility incorporates a new asset test algorithm, an improved food stamp unit definition, and an enhanced pure PA unit definition. The new methodology for determining the number of participants make adjustments for benefits issued in error. The original estimate ( 0 ) is based on the methodology employed in all previous trends studies, while the revised estimate (r) is based on the new methodology.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The benefit rate for 1976 and 1978 is based on the net benefit (maximum benefits-purchase requirement). Hence, the benefit rates are consistent over all years.

FIGURE 1

TRENDS IN THE NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE AND PARTICIPATING INDIVIDUALS


Source: FSP Program Operations data, FSPQC data, and March CPS data for the years shown.
of participants $(367,000)$ was less than the decline in the number of eligible individuals $(1.3$ million) for the first time in five years, resulting in an increase in the overall participation rate.

This pattern of falling participation rates during the late 1990's followed by an increase in participation rates in 2000 is true for both the individual participation rate and the benefit participation rate (Figure 2). ${ }^{7}$ While the household participation rate also decreased from 1994 to 1999 , it remained relatively constant in 2000. Because those eligible for high benefits are more likely to participate than those eligible for low benefits, the benefit rate is consistently higher than the individual or household rates. In 2000, the FSP paid out 72 percent of the benefits that would have been paid out had every eligible household participated. Since 1994, the individual rate has been slightly higher than the household rate, although still lower than the benefit participation rate. In 2000, the individual participation rate was 59 percent and the household participation was 53 percent.

## LONG-TERM TRENDS IN INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES

Individual participation rates increased substantially in the late 1970's, from 31 percent in 1976 to 55 percent in 1980. Participation rates then leveled off, declining slightly to 48 percent by 1988. Through the late 1980's and early 1990's, participation rates rose rapidly, peaking in 1994 before beginning a five-year decline. ${ }^{8}$ In 2000, participation rates rose slightly, perhaps signaling the beginning of a new rising trend in FSP participation rates. ${ }^{9}$

[^2]

## PARTICIPATION RATES AND TRENDS IN PARTICPATION RATES FOR SUBGROUPS

FSP participation rates vary by demographic and economic subgroup. Historically, participation rates have been relatively high for TANF and SSI recipients, individuals in households with very low incomes, and children. Conversely, participation rates historically have been relatively low for citizen children living with noncitizens adults, individuals in households with incomes above poverty, and the elderly. This pattern remained true in 2000 (Table 2).

We examine trends in individual participation rates among subgroups to determine how well the FSP is reaching various types of households. ${ }^{10}$ Trends in participation rates for many major subgroups are similar to the trend in the overall participation rate. However, participation rates for some subgroups have followed different trends. Table 3 provides a summary of subgroup trends. ${ }^{11}$

For most subgroups, participation rates rose in 2000 after declining for four to five years. However, the 2000 rate increase was substantially higher for some subgroups than for the overall population, as indicated below.

- Children. The participation rate for children rose in 2000 (by four points) after falling from 1994 to 1999 (Table 4).
- Non-Elderly Adults. The participation rate for adults rose in 2000 (by two points) after falling from 1994 to 1999

[^3]|  | Participation Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| Non-Disabled Childless Adults Subject to Work Registration ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 27.1 |
| Elderly Individuals | 30.7 |
| Individuals in Households with Income Slightly Above Poverty | 31.2 |
| Citizen Children Living with Noncitizen Adults ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 38.1 |
| Noncitizens ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 44.7 |
| Individuals in Households with Earnings | 50.5 |
| Individuals in All Households | 59.3 |
| Children | 71.8 |
| Individuals in Households with Single Parents | 90.7 |
| Individuals in Households with Elderly SSI | 92.0 |
| Individuals in Households with Very Low Income | 93.2 |
| Individuals in Households with Non-elderly SSI ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 107.3 |
| Individuals in Households with AFDC/TANF ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 157.5 |

Source: FSP Program Operations Data, FSPQC Data, and CPS Data for the years shown
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Referred to as ABAWDs in previous reports, these individuals are age 18 to 49 , not disabled, not living with children under age 18 , and subject to work registration. With some exceptions, these individuals must meet work requirements or face time limit
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Citizen children living with a noncitizen adult in the household, regardless of the FSP-participation of the adult.
${ }^{c}$ Noncitizens who are eligible and/or participating. This row does not include noncitizens who are outside the food stamp unit but reside in the same household.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS (see Appendix C).

TABLE 3
SUMMARY OF TRENDS IN INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES, 1994-2000

| Subgroup | Participation Rate Trend |
| :---: | :---: |
| Overall Population | Falling, then rose in 2000 |
| Age of Individual |  |
| Children | Similar to overall rate |
| Non-Elderly Adults | Similar to overall rate |
| Elderly | Rising, then fell in 2000 |
| Disabled Non-elderly Adults | Fluctuating |
| Citizenship |  |
| Noncitizens | Falling |
| Citizen Children Living with Noncitizen Adult | Stable (since 1997) |
| Individuals in Households Without Any Noncitizens or Non-Disabled Childless Adults Subject to Work Registration. | Similar to overall rate |
| Household Composition |  |
| With Children | Similar to overall rate |
| With Single Adult and Children | Similar to overall rate |
| Household Income Source |  |
| Earnings | Similar to overall rate |
| TANF | Rising |
| Non-elderly SSI | Rising |
| Individuals in Households with Very Low Income | Similar to overall rate |
| Individuals in Households with High Benefits | Similar to overall rate |

TABLE 4


|  | Participation Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eligible Individuals |  | Participating Individuals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2000 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |  |  |
| Individuals in All Households | 74.8 | 72.7 | 69.2 | 64.0 | 59.8 | 57.9 | 59.3 | 35,053 | 28,198 | 26,229 | 16,714 |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 89.6 | 86.8 | 85.6 | 75.7 | 70.7 | 67.9 | 71.8 | 15,022 | 11,885 | 13,463 | 8,529 |
| Non-elderly Adults (18 to 59 years) | 74.2 | 71.2 | 68.7 | 65.8 | 60.3 | 58.1 | 59.9 | 14,578 | 10,865 | 10,821 | 6,513 |
| Elderly Individuals | 35.7 | 36.1 | 29.7 | 29.8 | 30.9 | 32.9 | 30.7 | 5,453 | 5,447 | 1,944 | 1,671 |
| Disabled Non-Elderly Adults | 49.0 | 53.6 | 49.0 | 54.1 | 52.5 | 59.3 | 53.1 | 3,733 | 3,606 | 1,830 | 1,914 |
| Non-Disabled Childless Adults Subject to Work Registration ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 47.7 | 41.3 | 37.0 | 40.1 | 24.4 | 24.9 | 27.1 | 1,745 | 1,186 | 832 | 321 |
| Noncitizens ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 66.8 | 66.0 | 64.5 | 77.7 | 63.0 | 49.4 | 44.7 | 2,712 | 1,339 | 1,810 | 599 |
| Citizen Children Living with Noncitizen Adults ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 80.5 | 59.8 | 58.3 | 38.7 | 39.3 | 45.6 | 38.1 | 2,354 | 2,481 | 1,895 | 946 |
| Individuals in Households Without Any Noncitizens or Non-Disabled Childless Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subject to Work Registration | 76.0 | 75.9 | 72.3 | 63.8 | 61.4 | 60.4 | 61.8 | 28,635 | 24,488 | 21,765 | 15,144 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households with Children | 87.2 | 84.4 | 82.7 | 74.6 | 69.8 | 67.1 | 70.7 | 24,567 | 18,324 | 21,427 | 12,959 |
| Single-Parent | 98.9 | 100.8 | 96.3 | 86.3 | 84.6 | 81.4 | 90.7 | 13,461 | 9,322 | 13,319 | 8,457 |
| Married Couple | 67.6 | 61.6 | 62.1 | 62.1 | 52.3 | 45.6 | 47.1 | 8,182 | 5,398 | 5,535 | 2,544 |
| Other Multiple Adults | 89.0 | 73.6 | 75.2 | 78.1 | 67.3 | 73.7 | 67.0 | 2,225 | 1,888 | 1,981 | 1,265 |
| Children Only | 78.1 | 62.9 | 80.3 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 44.5 | 39.9 | 698 | 1,715 | 545 | 685 |
| Households without Children | 45.8 | 45.3 | 40.3 | 40.6 | 38.6 | 39.4 | 38.0 | 10,486 | 9,874 | 4,802 | 3,755 |

Source: FSP Program Operations Data, FSPQC Data, and CPS Data for the years shown
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Referred to as ABAWDs in previous reports, these individuals are age 18 to 49 , not disabled, not living with children under age 18 , and subject to work registration. With some exceptions, these individuals must meet work requirements or face time limit
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Noncitizens who are eligible and/or participating. This row does not include noncitizens who are outside the food stamp unit but reside in the same household. ${ }^{c}$ Citizen children living with a noncitizen adult in the household, regardless of the FSP-participation of the adult.

- Individuals In Single Parent Households. The participation rate for these individuals rose sharply in 2000 (by nine points) after falling from 1995 to 1999. ${ }^{12}$
- Individuals In Households With Earnings. The participation rate for individuals in households with earnings rose in 2000 (by seven points) after two years of decline (Table 5).
- Individuals In Households With Very Low Income (1-50 Percent of Poverty). The participation rate for very low-income individuals rose in 2000 (by ten points) after falling from 1995 to 1999.
- Individuals in Households With High Benefits (51 - 99 Percent of Maximum Benefit). The participation rate for these individuals rose in 2000 (by eight points) after falling from 1994 to 1999.
- Individuals in Households Without Any Noncitizens or Non-disabled Childless Adults Subject to Work Registration. The participation rate for these individuals rose in 2000 (by one point) after falling from 1994 to $1999 .{ }^{12}$

Some subgroups have followed trends that differ from the overall trends. For example, the rates for some subgroups fell in 2000, while rates for others have been rising for several years. The following subgroups have participation rates that differ from the overall rate.

- Elderly. The participation rate for elderly individuals fell slightly in 2000 (by two points) after rising slowly from 1996 through 1999
- Disabled Non-Elderly Adults. The participation rate for disabled non-elderly adults fluctuated, ending with a drop in 2000 (by six points).
- Noncitizens. The participation rate for noncitizens fell sharply since 1997 (continuing to fall by 5 points in 2000).
- Citizen Children Living In Households With Noncitizen Adults. The participation rate for this subgroup remained stable at a low level after dropping dramatically from 1994 through 1997.
- Individuals in Households With TANF. After remaining stable for several years, the participation rate for individuals in households with TANF rose steeply from 1997 through 2000 (rising by 18 points in 2000).
- Individuals In Households With Non-elderly SSI. The participation rate for individuals in households with non-elderly SSI rose from 1995 through 2000 (rising by 3 points in 2000).

[^4]s gTgVL

|  | Participation Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eligible Individuals |  | Participating Individuals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1994 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2000 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |  |  |
| Individuals in All Households | 74.8 | 72.7 | 69.2 | 64.0 | 59.8 | 57.9 | 59.3 | 35,053 | 28,198 | 26,229 | 16,714 |
| Household Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings | 57.2 | 49.5 | 52.6 | 52.9 | 49.9 | 43.1 | 50.5 | 13,421 | 13,144 | 7,676 | 6,634 |
| AFDC/TANF | 126.1 | 127.5 | 128.2 | 127.2 | 130.6 | 139.8 | 157.5 | 10,593 | 3,724 | 13,353 | 5,863 |
| Elderly SSI | 88.2 | 101.5 | 71.3 | 77.0 | 87.9 | 99.5 | 92.0 | 1,431 | 1,152 | 1,262 | 1,060 |
| Non-elderly SSI | 95.8 | 93.5 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 97.8 | 104.3 | 107.3 | 3,607 | 2,836 | 3,457 | 3,042 |
| Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Income | 46.5 | 47.4 | 37.7 | 27.5 | 34.2 | 32.7 | 29.8 | 3,537 | 3,636 | 1,645 | 1,082 |
| 1 to 50\% | 101.3 | 105.4 | 103.3 | 93.6 | 83.7 | 82.8 | 93.2 | 9,363 | 5,308 | 9,485 | 4,947 |
| 51 to 100\% | 91.9 | 89.9 | 87.2 | 82.3 | 75.3 | 78.7 | 76.6 | 13,573 | 11,118 | 12,470 | 8,517 |
| 101 to 130\% | 37.0 | 28.8 | 29.4 | 30.7 | 28.9 | 25.6 | 31.2 | 6,816 | 6,639 | 2,525 | 2,070 |
| 130\%+ | 5.9 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 7.1 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 1,764 | 1,497 | 104 | 98 |
| Household Benefit as a Percentage of Maximum Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 to 50\% | 50.9 | 46.3 | 45.2 | 48.2 | 46.6 | 45.2 | 45.6 | 13,659 | 13,891 | 6,950 | 6,339 |
| 51 to $99 \%$ | 98.6 | 97.8 | 95.0 | 92.5 | 81.9 | 78.9 | 86.4 | 14,815 | 8,708 | 14,610 | 7,527 |
| 100\% | 71.0 | 74.7 | 68.0 | 47.6 | 47.9 | 51.7 | 50.9 | 6,579 | 5,600 | 4,669 | 2,847 |

Note: Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS (see Appendix C).

## SUMMARY

While fewer people are now eligible for food stamps, a higher proportion of those eligible chose to participate. As a result, overall FSP participation rates rose in 2000 after five years of decline. Participation rates also rose for most but not all major subgroups. Rates dropped in 2000 for citizen children living with noncitizen adults, disabled non-elderly adults, and the elderly.

The general pattern of participation is unchanged. Participation in the FSP remains high for individuals in households with single parents, on public assistance or receiving high benefits. Participation rates are low for citizen children living with noncitizen adults, the elderly and individuals eligible for small benefits.
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## ApPENDIX A

Participation rates in 2000

TABLE A. 1

INDIVIDUAL, HOUSEHOLD, AND BENEFIT PARTICIPATION RATES, 2000

|  |  |  | Participation |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate |  |  |  |  |
|  | Participating | Eligible | $(\mathrm{CPS})$ |  |

TABLE A. 2
HOUSEHOLD PARTICIPATION RATES BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2000

|  | Participating (QC) | Eligible (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household Size |  |  |  |
| 1 Person | 3,033,436 | 6,629,708 | 45.76\% |
| 2 People | 1,455,081 | 3,083,713 | 47.19\% |
| 3 People | 1,120,875 | 1,688,309 | 66.39\% |
| 4 People | 840,518 | 1,113,749 | 75.47\% |
| 5 People | 424,143 | 556,083 | 76.27\% |
| 6 or More People | 285,787 | 381,462 | 74.92\% |
| Total Households | 7,159,839 | 13,453,025 | 53.22\% |

TABLE A. 3
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 2000

|  | Participating $(\mathrm{QC})$ | Eligible (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals in All Households | 16,713,571 | 28,197,705 | 59.27\% |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |
| Children Under Age 18 | 8,529,050 | 11,885,443 | 71.76\% |
| Preschool | 2,833,416 | 3,725,137 | 76.06\% |
| School-age | 5,695,634 | 8,160,306 | 69.80\% |
| Adults Age 18 to 59 | 6,513,203 | 10,865,296 | 59.95\% |
| Elderly | 1,671,317 | 5,446,966 | 30.68\% |
| Living Alone | 1,175,577 | 3,093,784 | 38.00\% |
| Living with Others | 495,740 | 2,353,182 | 21.07\% |
| Disabled Non-elderly Adults | 1,914,297 | 3,605,694 | 53.09\% |
| Non-disabled Childless Adults Subject to Work Registration | 321,460 | 1,186,144 | 27.10\% |
| Noncitizens | 599,265 | 1,339,290 | 44.75\% |
| Citizen Children Living with Noncitizen Adults | 945,887 | 2,481,186 | 38.12\% |
| Employment Status of Non-elderly Adults |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,791,338 | 3,824,134 | 46.84\% |
| Unemployed | 474,910 | 792,551 | 59.92\% |
| Not in the Labor Force | 4,246,955 | 6,248,610 | 67.97\% |
| Individuals by Race/Ethnicity of Head of Household |  |  |  |
| White Nonhispanic Head | 7,014,559 | 12,494,507 | 56.14\% |
| Black Nonhispanic Head | 5,981,824 | 7,914,676 | 75.58\% |
| Hispanic Head | 2,922,783 | 6,634,367 | 44.06\% |
| Other Head | 794,405 | 1,154,155 | 68.83\% |
| Individuals by Household Composition |  |  |  |
| Households with Children | 12,958,663 | 18,324,033 | 70.72\% |
| Single-Parent | 8,457,160 | 9,322,337 | 90.72\% |
| Married Couple | 2,543,782 | 5,398,441 | 47.12\% |
| Other Multiple Adults | 1,265,446 | 1,887,975 | 67.03\% |
| Children Only | 685,191 | 1,715,279 | 39.95\% |
| Unknown | 7,084 | 0 |  |
| Households without Children | 3,754,908 | 9,873,672 | 38.03\% |
| Gender of Individual |  |  |  |
| Male | 6,744,196 | 11,953,047 | 56.42\% |
| Female | 9,969,375 | 16,244,658 | 61.37\% |
| Metropolitan Status |  |  |  |
| Urban | 12,594,690 | 21,737,369 | 57.94\% |
| Rural | 4,118,880 | 6,460,336 | 63.76\% |

TABLE A. 4
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS, 2000

|  | Participating $(\mathrm{QC})$ | Eligible (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals in All Households | 16,713,571 | 28,197,705 | 59.27\% |
| Individuals by Household Income Sources |  |  |  |
| No Earnings | 10,079,876 | 15,053,793 | 66.96\% |
| Earnings | 6,633,695 | 13,143,912 | 50.47\% |
| No AFDC/TANF | 4,776,584 | 11,712,106 | 40.78\% |
| AFDC/TANF | 1,857,111 | 1,431,806 | 129.70\% |
| AFDC/TANF | 5,863,441 | 3,723,845 | 157.46\% |
| Unemployment Compensation | 535,370 | 257,011 | 208.31\% |
| Non-elderly SSI Benefits | 3,041,803 | 2,835,583 | 107.27\% |
| Elderly SSI Benefits | 1,059,964 | 1,152,363 | 91.98\% |
| Individuals by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total 100\% of Poverty or Less | 14,545,948 | 20,061,734 | 72.51\% |
| No Income | 1,081,967 | 3,635,528 | 29.76\% |
| >0-50\% | 4,946,509 | 5,307,745 | 93.19\% |
| 51-100\% | 8,517,471 | 11,118,461 | 76.61\% |
| Total Greater Than 100 \% of Poverty | 2,167,623 | 8,135,971 | 26.64\% |
| 101-130\% | 2,069,906 | 6,639,394 | 31.18\% |
| 131\% or More | 97,716 | 1,496,577 | 6.53\% |
| Individuals by Monthly Household Benefit |  |  |  |
| \$10 or Less | 956,093 | 3,857,494 | 24.79\% |
| \$11-25 | 427,628 | 1,089,921 | 39.23\% |
| \$26-50 | 747,932 | 1,675,877 | 44.63\% |
| \$51-75 | 802,246 | 1,770,663 | 45.31\% |
| \$76-100 | 931,171 | 1,728,585 | 53.87\% |
| \$101-150 | 2,537,456 | 4,462,392 | 56.86\% |
| \$151-200 | 1,746,090 | 2,384,121 | 73.24\% |
| \$ 201 or More | 8,564,954 | 11,228,653 | 76.28\% |
| Benefit as a Percentage of Maximum Benefit |  |  |  |
| Low Benefits (1-50\%) | 6,339,101 | 13,890,519 | 45.64\% |
| 1-25\% | 2,718,235 | 7,918,851 | 34.33\% |
| 26-50\% | 3,620,866 | 5,971,668 | 60.63\% |
| High Benefits (51-99\%) | 7,527,109 | 8,707,664 | 86.44\% |
| 51-75\% | 4,054,403 | 5,267,707 | 76.97\% |
| 76-99\% | 3,472,706 | 3,439,957 | 100.95\% |
| 100\% | 2,847,361 | 5,599,522 | 50.85\% |

TABLE A.5A

INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION, 2000
Households with Children

|  | Participating $(\mathrm{QC})$ | Eligible (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals in Households with Children | 12,958,663 | 18,324,033 | 70.72\% |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |
| Child under 18 | 8,528,140 | 11,885,443 | 71.75\% |
| Adult age 18-59 | 4,348,788 | 6,123,874 | 71.01\% |
| Elderly age 60 or over | 81,735 | 314,716 | 25.97\% |
| Individuals by Household Income Sources |  |  |  |
| No Income | 699,663 | 2,161,086 | 32.38\% |
| No Earnings | 6,641,066 | 6,934,754 | 95.76\% |
| Earnings | 6,317,597 | 11,389,278 | 55.47\% |
| AFDC/TANF | 5,797,901 | 3,537,194 | 163.91\% |
| Earnings | 1,852,058 | 1,366,430 | 135.54\% |
| No Earnings | 3,945,842 | 2,170,764 | 181.77\% |
| No AFDC/TANF | 7,160,762 | 14,786,839 | 48.43\% |
| Earnings | 4,465,538 | 10,022,848 | 44.55\% |
| No Earnings | 2,695,224 | 4,763,991 | 56.57\% |
| Social Security | 1,086,697 | 1,691,195 | 64.26\% |
| Individuals by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty |  |  |  |
| Level |  |  |  |
| Total $100 \%$ of Poverty or Less | 11,304,008 | 13,759,422 | 82.15\% |
| No Income | 699,663 | 2,161,086 | 32.38\% |
| >0-50\% | 4,488,095 | 4,283,059 | 104.79\% |
| 51-100\% | 6,116,250 | 7,315,277 | 83.61\% |
| Total Greater Than 100 \% of Poverty | 1,654,655 | 4,564,611 | 36.25\% |
| 101-130\% | 1,617,515 | 4,195,769 | 38.55\% |
| 131\% or More | 37,140 | 368,842 | 10.07\% |
| Individuals by Household Earnings as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total 100\% of Poverty or Less | 12,102,563 | 15,060,727 | 80.36\% |
| No Earnings | 6,641,066 | 6,934,754 | 95.76\% |
| >0-50\% | 2,525,792 | 2,412,341 | 104.70\% |
| 51-100\% | 2,935,705 | 5,713,631 | 51.38\% |
| Total Greater Than $100 \%$ of Poverty | 856,100 | 3,263,306 | 26.23\% |
| 101-130\% | 847,312 | 3,125,102 | 27.11\% |
| $131 \%$ or More | 8,787 | 138,204 | 6.36\% |

TABLE A.5B
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION, 2000
Households with Single-Parent and Children

|  | Participating $(\mathrm{QC})$ | Eligible <br> (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals in Households with a Single-Parent and Children | 8,457,160 | 9,322,337 | 90.72\% |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |
| Child under 18 | 5,783,078 | 6,202,897 | 93.23\% |
| Adult age 18-59 | 2,627,412 | 3,024,716 | 86.86\% |
| Elderly age 60 or over | 46,670 | 94,724 | 49.27\% |
| Individuals by Household Income Sources |  |  |  |
| No Income | 527,923 | 1,367,077 | 38.62\% |
| No Earnings | 4,931,402 | 4,802,962 | 102.67\% |
| Earnings | 3,525,758 | 4,519,376 | 78.01\% |
| AFDC/TANF | 4,209,685 | 2,602,056 | 161.78\% |
| Earnings | 1,177,228 | 786,749 | 149.63\% |
| No Earnings | 3,032,457 | 1,815,307 | 167.05\% |
| No AFDC/TANF | 4,247,475 | 6,720,281 | 63.20\% |
| Earnings | 2,348,531 | 3,732,627 | 62.92\% |
| No Earnings | 1,898,945 | 2,987,655 | 63.56\% |
| Social Security | 667,883 | 815,114 | 81.94\% |
| Individuals by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty |  |  |  |
| Level |  |  |  |
| Total $100 \%$ of Poverty or Less | 7,562,023 | 7,405,584 | 102.11\% |
| No Income | 527,923 | 1,367,077 | 38.62\% |
| >0-50\% | 3,205,652 | 2,748,263 | 116.64\% |
| 51-100\% | 3,828,449 | 3,290,244 | 116.36\% |
| Total Greater Than 100 \% of Poverty | 895,137 | 1,916,753 | 46.70\% |
| 101-130\% | 857,997 | 1,605,679 | 53.44\% |
| 131\% or More | 37,140 | 311,074 | 11.94\% |
| Individuals by Household Earnings as a Percentage of Poverty |  |  |  |
| Level |  |  |  |
| Total 100\% of Poverty or Less | 8,043,776 | 8,034,121 | 100.12\% |
| No Earnings | 4,931,402 | 4,802,962 | 102.67\% |
| >0-50\% | 1,518,499 | 1,051,750 | 144.38\% |
| 51-100\% | 1,593,875 | 2,179,410 | 73.13\% |
| Total Greater Than 100 \% of Poverty | 413,385 | 1,288,216 | 32.09\% |
| 101-130\% | 404,597 | 1,158,933 | 34.91\% |
| $131 \%$ or More | 8,787 | 129,283 | 6.80\% |

TABLE A.5C
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION, 2000
Households with Married Couple and Children

|  | Participating $(\mathrm{QC})$ | Eligible <br> (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals in Households with Married Couple and Children | 2,543,782 | 5,398,441 | 47.12\% |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |
| Child under 18 | 1,397,103 | 3,047,704 | 45.84\% |
| Adult age 18-59 | 1,128,353 | 2,199,797 | 51.29\% |
| Elderly age 60 or over | 18,325 | 150,940 | 12.14\% |
| Individuals by Household Income Sources |  |  |  |
| No Income | 68,391 | 445,003 | 15.37\% |
| No Earnings | 758,878 | 1,208,084 | 62.82\% |
| Earnings | 1,784,904 | 4,190,357 | 42.60\% |
| AFDC/TANF | 659,639 | 701,413 | 94.04\% |
| Earnings | 343,941 | 422,893 | 81.33\% |
| No Earnings | 315,699 | 278,521 | 113.35\% |
| No AFDC/TANF | 1,884,143 | 4,697,028 | 40.11\% |
| Earnings | 1,440,963 | 3,767,464 | 38.25\% |
| No Earnings | 443,180 | 929,563 | 47.68\% |
| Social Security | 255,808 | 518,951 | 49.29\% |
| Individuals by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty |  |  |  |
| Level |  |  |  |
| Total 100\% of Poverty or Less | 2,066,789 | 3,592,038 | 57.54\% |
| No Income | 68,391 | 445,003 | 15.37\% |
| >0-50\% | 595,777 | 758,191 | 78.58\% |
| 51-100\% | 1,402,620 | 2,388,844 | 58.72\% |
| Total Greater Than 100 \% of Poverty | 476,993 | 1,806,403 | 26.41\% |
| 101-130\% | 476,993 | 1,763,219 | 27.05\% |
| 131\% or More | 0 | 43,184 | 0.00\% |
| Individuals by Household Earnings as a Percentage of Poverty |  |  |  |
| Level |  |  |  |
| Total 100\% of Poverty or Less | 2,223,993 | 4,029,267 | 55.20\% |
| No Earnings | 758,878 | 1,208,084 | 62.82\% |
| >0-50\% | 546,277 | 728,078 | 75.03\% |
| 51-100\% | 918,838 | 2,093,105 | 43.90\% |
| Total Greater Than 100 \% of Poverty | 319,789 | 1,369,174 | 23.36\% |
| 101-130\% | 319,789 | 1,364,297 | 23.44\% |
| $131 \%$ or More | 0 | 4,877 | 0.00\% |

TABLE A.5D

INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION, 2000
Households with Other Multiple Adults and Children

|  | Participating $(\mathrm{QC})$ | Eligible <br> (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals in Households with Other Multiple Adults and |  |  |  |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |
| Child under 18 | 657,843 | 919,564 | 71.54\% |
| Adult age 18-59 | 590,873 | 899,361 | 65.70\% |
| Elderly age 60 or over | 16,730 | 69,051 | 24.23\% |
| Individuals by Household Income Sources |  |  |  |
| No Income | 35,316 | 186,130 | 18.97\% |
| No Earnings | 568,020 | 539,878 | 105.21\% |
| Earnings | 697,426 | 1,348,097 | 51.73\% |
| AFDC/TANF | 525,733 | 233,724 | 224.94\% |
| Earnings | 194,020 | 156,788 | 123.75\% |
| No Earnings | 331,713 | 76,936 | 431.15\% |
| No AFDC/TANF | 739,712 | 1,654,251 | 44.72\% |
| Earnings | 503,406 | 1,191,309 | 42.26\% |
| No Earnings | 236,307 | 462,942 | 51.04\% |
| Social Security | 151,427 | 353,974 | 42.78\% |
| Individuals by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total 100\% of Poverty or Less | 1,025,404 | 1,380,987 | 74.25\% |
| No Income | 35,316 | 186,130 | 18.97\% |
| >0-50\% | 450,374 | 398,237 | 113.09\% |
| 51-100\% | 539,714 | 796,620 | 67.75\% |
| Total Greater Than 100 \% of Poverty | 240,042 | 506,989 | 47.35\% |
| 101-130\% | 240,042 | 492,405 | 48.75\% |
| 131\% or More | 0 | 14,584 | 0.00\% |
| Individuals by Household Earnings as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total $100 \%$ of Poverty or Less | 1,155,496 | 1,578,203 | 73.22\% |
| No Earnings | 568,020 | 539,878 | 105.21\% |
| >0-50\% | 284,289 | 404,870 | 70.22\% |
| 51-100\% | 303,187 | 633,455 | 47.86\% |
| Total Greater Than $100 \%$ of Poverty | 109,950 | 309,772 | 35.49\% |
| 101-130\% | 109,950 | 305,728 | 35.96\% |
| 131\% or More | 0 | 4,044 | 0.00\% |

TABLE A.5E
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION, 2000
Households with No Children

|  | Participating $(\mathrm{QC})$ | Eligible <br> (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals in Households with No Children | 3,754,908 | 9,873,672 | 38.03\% |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |
| Child under 18 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Adult age 18-59 | 2,164,749 | 4,741,422 | 45.66\% |
| Elderly age 60 or over | 1,590,159 | 5,132,250 | 30.98\% |
| Individuals by Household Income Sources |  |  |  |
| No Income | 382,305 | 1,474,442 | 25.93\% |
| No Earnings | 3,438,810 | 8,119,039 | 42.35\% |
| Earnings | 316,098 | 1,754,633 | 18.02\% |
| AFDC/TANF | 65,541 | 186,651 | 35.11\% |
| Earnings | 5,053 | 65,376 | 7.73\% |
| No Earnings | 60,488 | 121,275 | 49.88\% |
| No AFDC/TANF | 3,689,367 | 9,687,021 | 38.09\% |
| Earnings | 311,045 | 1,689,258 | 18.41\% |
| No Earnings | 3,378,322 | 7,997,764 | 42.24\% |
| Social Security | 1,702,796 | 5,402,831 | 31.52\% |
| Individuals by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty |  |  |  |
| Level |  |  |  |
| Total $100 \%$ of Poverty or Less | 3,241,940 | 6,302,313 | 51.44\% |
| No Income | 382,305 | 1,474,442 | 25.93\% |
| >0-50\% | 458,415 | 1,024,686 | 44.74\% |
| 51-100\% | 2,401,221 | 3,803,184 | 63.14\% |
| Total Greater Than 100 \% of Poverty | 512,968 | 3,571,360 | 14.36\% |
| 101-130\% | 452,391 | 2,443,625 | 18.51\% |
| 131\% or More | 60,576 | 1,127,735 | 5.37\% |
| Individuals by Household Earnings as a Percentage of Poverty |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total 100\% of Poverty or Less | 3,707,588 | 9,167,412 | 40.44\% |
| No Earnings | 3,438,810 | 8,119,039 | 42.35\% |
| >0-50\% | 179,763 | 421,829 | 42.62\% |
| 51-100\% | 89,016 | 626,543 | 14.21\% |
| Total Greater Than $100 \%$ of Poverty | 47,319 | 706,261 | 6.70\% |
| 101-130\% | 45,469 | 552,906 | 8.22\% |
| $131 \%$ or More | 1,850 | 153,355 | 1.21\% |

TABLE A. 6

PARTICIPATION RATES FOR INDIVIDUALS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITHOUT ANY NONCITIZENS OR NONDISABLED CHILDLESS ADULTS SUBJECT TO WORK REGISTRATION, 2000

|  | Participating $(\mathrm{QC})$ | Eligible <br> (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals in Households Without Any Noncitizens or Nondisabled Childless Adults Subject to Work Registration | 15,144,133 | 24,488,068 | 61.84\% |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |
| Children | 7,915,670 | 10,537,689 | 75.12\% |
| Non-Elderly Adults | 5,749,858 | 8,825,775 | 65.15\% |
| Elderly Adults | 1,478,605 | 5,124,605 | 28.85\% |
| Disabled Non-elderly Adults | 1,829,450 | 3,354,547 | 54.54\% |
| Individuals by Household Composition |  |  |  |
| Single-Parent and Children | 8,057,910 | 8,966,635 | 89.87\% |
| Married Couple and Children | 2,076,012 | 4,527,502 | 45.85\% |
| No Children | 3,156,863 | 8,049,563 | 39.22\% |
| Individuals by Household Income Sources |  |  |  |
| Earnings | 5,897,191 | 10,997,558 | 53.62\% |
| No Earnings | 9,246,942 | 13,490,510 | 68.54\% |
| AFDC/TANF | 5,326,417 | 3,507,807 | 151.84\% |
| Individuals by Household Benefit as a Percentage of Maximum |  |  |  |
| Benefit |  |  |  |
| 1-50\% | 5,786,070 | 12,306,287 | 47.02\% |
| 51-99\% | 6,910,086 | 7,589,179 | 91.05\% |

TABLE A. 7

HOUSEHOLD PARTICIPATION RATES, 2000

|  | Participating $(\mathrm{QC})$ | Eligible <br> (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Households with Elderly | 1,489,718 | 4,727,048 | 31.51\% |
| Households with Children | 3,835,765 | 5,520,537 | 69.48\% |
| Households with Disabled Non-Elderly Adults | 1,828,154 | 3,269,482 | 55.92\% |
| Households by Composition |  |  |  |
| Households with Children | 3,834,159 | 5,520,537 | 69.45\% |
| Single-Parent and Children | 2,669,112 | 3,091,471 | 86.34\% |
| Married Couple and Children | 546,903 | 1,196,318 | 45.72\% |
| Other Multiple Adults and Children | 285,386 | 431,329 | 66.16\% |
| Children Only | 332,758 | 801,420 | 41.52\% |
| Households with No Children | 3,325,680 | 7,932,488 | 41.92\% |
| Households by Income Source |  |  |  |
| Earnings | 1,970,045 | 4,540,714 | 43.39\% |
| AFDC/TANF | 1,829,318 | 1,173,794 | 155.85\% |
| SSI | 2,262,856 | 2,370,989 | 95.44\% |
| Households with Noncitizens | 406,414 | 820,781 | 49.52\% |
| Households with Non-disabled Childless Adults Subject to Work |  |  |  |
| Registration | 296,245 | 977,048 | 30.32\% |
| Households by Income as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |
| No Income | 618,552 | 1,957,483 | 31.60\% |
| >0-50 \% | 1,751,337 | 2,074,389 | 84.43\% |
| 51-100\% | 3,902,784 | 5,247,964 | 74.37\% |
| $>100 \%$ of Poverty | 887,166 | 4,173,189 | 21.26\% |

TABLE A. 8
BENEFIT PARTICIPATION RATES, 2000

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Participating } \\ (\mathrm{QC}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Eligible (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benefits for Elderly | 93,182,897 | 261,999,199 | 34.11\% |
| Benefits for Children | 947,783,887 | 1,144,280,547 | 79.44\% |
| Benefits for Disabled Non-Elderly Adults | 172,363,177 | 337,576,402 | 48.97\% |
| Benefits by Household Composition |  |  |  |
| Households with Children | 947,188,419 | 1,144,280,547 | 79.39\% |
| Single-Parent and Children | 646,698,946 | 642,520,531 | 96.53\% |
| Married Couple and Children | 154,664,235 | 281,571,148 | 52.68\% |
| Other Multiple Adults and Children | 85,659,231 | 105,801,009 | 77.65\% |
| Children Only | 60,166,007 | 114,387,858 | 50.44\% |
| Households with No Children | 245,929,233 | 510,271,357 | 46.22\% |
| Benefits by Household Income Source |  |  |  |
| Earnings | 403,225,865 | 634,101,166 | 60.99\% |
| AFDC/TANF | 439,087,396 | 234,090,347 | 179.89\% |
| SSI | 213,389,858 | 172,637,305 | 118.54\% |
| Benefits for Households with Noncitizens | 79,258,741 | 132,700,064 | 57.28\% |
| Benefits for Households with Non-disabled Childless Adults |  |  |  |
| Subject to Work Registration | 41,150,108 | 121,495,553 | $32.48 \%$ |
| Benefits by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |
| No Income | 133,778,147 | 412,840,851 | 32.40\% |
| $>0-50 \%$ | 505,519,887 | 515,399,934 | 98.08\% |
| 51-100\% | 496,620,939 | 578,539,107 | 85.84\% |
| $>100 \%$ of Poverty | 57,198,679 | 147,772,013 | 38.71\% |

## APPENDIX B

## Selected Historic Participation rates

TABLE B. 1
CHANGE IN INDIVIDUAL FSP PARTICIPATION RATES
1988 to 2000

| Time Period | Change in Participation <br> Rate | Change in Eligible <br> Individuals |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1988-1990$ | 6.1 points | $11.1 \%$ | $-1.4 \%$ |
| $1990-1991$ | 2.8 points | $14.6 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| $1991-1992$ | 2.3 points | $10.3 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| $1992-1993$ | 1.0 points | $5.8 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| $1993-1994$ | 1.1 points | $-0.2 \%$ | $-2.0 \%$ |
| $1994-1995$ | -2.1 points | $-3.9 \%$ | $-1.1 \%$ |
| $1995-1996$ | -3.5 points | $-5.3 \%$ | $-0.5 \%$ |
| $1996-1997$ | -5.2 points | $-14.7 \%$ | $-7.7 \%$ |
| $1997-1998$ | -4.2 points | $-10.9 \%$ | $-4.6 \%$ |
| $1998-1999$ | -1.9 points | 1.4 points | $-5.9 \%$ |
| $1999-2000$ |  | $-2.1 \%$ | $-2.8 \%$ |

Source: FSP Program Operations data, FSPQC data, and March CPS data for the years shown.
TABLE B. 2
PARTICIPATION RATES FOR INDIVIDUALS IN SINGLE-PARENT HOUSEHOLDS

|  | Participation Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eligible Individuals |  | Participating Individuals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1994 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2000 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |  |  |
| Individuals in All Single-Parent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings | 73.3 | 69.4 | 68.3 | 69.6 | 71.8 | 63.6 | 78.0 | 4,179 | 4,519 | 3,064 | 3,526 |
| AFDC/TANF | 85.7 | 67.3 | 67.2 | 78.5 | 98.9 | 95.7 | 149.6 | 1,214 | 787 | 1,040 | 1,177 |
| No AFDC/TANF | 68.3 | 70.4 | 68.8 | 66.4 | 63.0 | 56.4 | 62.9 | 2,965 | 3,733 | 2,024 | 2,349 |
| No Earnings | 110.5 | 117.5 | 112.2 | 97.1 | 95.1 | 97.2 | 102.7 | 9,282 | 4,803 | 10,254 | 4,931 |
| AFDC/TANF | 133.9 | 147.3 | 140.5 | 131.9 | 127.6 | 142.2 | 167.0 | 6,545 | 1,815 | 8,765 | 3,032 |
| No AFDC/TANF | 54.4 | 59.3 | 66.4 | 53.1 | 61.4 | 65.0 | 63.6 | 2,737 | 2,988 | 1,489 | 1,899 |
| AFDC/TANF | 126.4 | 131.7 | 123.8 | 119.5 | 119.5 | 129.2 | 161.8 | 7,760 | 2,602 | 9,806 | 4,210 |
| Household Income as a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Income | 35.3 | 40.4 | 32.4 | 23.2 | 37.9 | 37.5 | 38.6 | 1,183 | 1,367 | 418 | 528 |
| 1 to 50\% | 116.2 | 127.8 | 122.7 | 103.7 | 101.0 | 95.3 | 116.6 | 5,503 | 2,748 | 6,396 | 3,206 |
| 51 to 100\% | 125.0 | 120.0 | 119.9 | 116.1 | 109.4 | 114.3 | 116.4 | 4,522 | 3,290 | 5,652 | 3,828 |
| 101 to 130\% | 47.0 | 43.4 | 38.0 | 50.6 | 45.8 | 46.6 | 53.4 | 1,715 | 1,606 | 806 | 858 |
| 130\%+ | 8.7 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 8.4 | 2.7 | 11.9 | 538 | 311 | 47 | 37 |
| Household Earnings as a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Earnings | 110.5 | 117.5 | 112.2 | 97.1 | 95.1 | 97.2 | 102.7 | 9,282 | 4,803 | 10,254 | 4,931 |
| 1 to 50\% | 122.7 | 100.2 | 91.1 | 89.6 | 121.2 | 96.7 | 144.4 | 941 | 1,052 | 1,155 | 1,518 |
| 51 to $100 \%$ | 81.7 | 74.0 | 77.3 | 78.2 | 64.1 | 64.4 | 73.1 | 1,894 | 2,179 | 1,547 | 1,594 |
| 101\%+ | 30.9 | 35.9 | 36.4 | 34.0 | 40.9 | 35.5 | 41.7 | 1,344 | 1,288 | 362 | 413 |

Source: FSP Program Operations Data, FSPQC Data, and CPS Data for the years shown
TABLE B. 3
PARTICIPATION RATES FOR INDIVIDUALS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITHOUT ANY NON-DISABLED CHILDLESS ADULTS SUBJECT TO WORK REGISTRATION OR NONCITIZENS

|  | Participation Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eligible Individuals |  | Participating Individuals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |  |  |
| Individuals in Households Without Any <br> Non-Disabled Childless Adults Subject to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Work Registration or Noncitizens | 76.0 | 75.9 | 72.3 | 63.8 | 61.4 | 60.4 | 61.8 | 28,635 | 24,488 | 21,765 | 15,144 |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 89.9 | 89.3 | 88.2 | 74.5 | 70.6 | 70.7 | 75.1 | 12,861 | 10,538 | 11,567 | 7,916 |
| Non-Elderly Adults | 80.4 | 79.8 | 76.9 | 68.2 | 65.6 | 63.4 | 65.1 | 10,706 | 8,826 | 8,605 | 5,750 |
| Elderly Individuals | 31.4 | 31.2 | 26.8 | 28.2 | 30.0 | 30.5 | 28.9 | 5,068 | 5,125 | 1,594 | 1,479 |
| Disabled Non-Elderly Adults | 50.3 | 53.8 | 50.0 | 53.5 | 52.4 | 60.1 | 54.5 | 3,385 | 3,355 | 1,702 | 1,829 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single-Parent and Children | 95.6 | 97.4 | 94.5 | 85.7 | 84.9 | 80.5 | 89.9 | 12,512 | 8,967 | 11,959 | 8,058 |
| Married Couple and Children | 71.1 | 71.6 | 68.3 | 58.3 | 51.2 | 42.8 | 45.9 | 5,663 | 4,528 | 4,024 | 2,076 |
| No Children | 43.3 | 44.2 | 40.0 | 39.2 | 40.6 | 41.1 | 39.2 | 7,829 | 8,050 | 3,393 | 3,157 |
| Household Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings | 61.1 | 53.9 | 57.7 | 53.6 | 51.2 | 47.2 | 53.6 | 10,160 | 10,998 | 6,203 | 5,897 |
| No Earnings | 84.2 | 89.5 | 81.2 | 71.0 | 69.3 | 71.0 | 68.5 | 18,475 | 13,491 | 15,563 | 9,247 |
| AFDC/TANF | 121.5 | 127.8 | 126.1 | 123.5 | 130.4 | 132.3 | 151.8 | 9,443 | 3,508 | 11,471 | 5,326 |
| Household Benefit as a Percentage of Maximum Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 to 50\% | 51.1 | 47.7 | 47.2 | 48.1 | 47.5 | 45.6 | 47.0 | 11,497 | 12,306 | 5,871 | 5,786 |
| 51 to $99 \%$ | 101.2 | 102.7 | 99.1 | 92.2 | 83.2 | 84.2 | 91.1 | 12,154 | 7,589 | 12,305 | 6,910 |

Source: FSP Program Operations data, FSPQC data, and March CPS data for the years shown.

## Appendix C

## Methodology


#### Abstract

APPENDIX C

The participation rates reported here rely on estimates of individuals eligible for food stamps and individuals participating in the FSP. The estimates of eligible individuals are derived from a model that uses March Current Population Survey (CPS) data to simulate the FSP. ${ }^{1}$ The estimates of participants are based on FSP Program Operations data from September of each year. The resulting participation rates provide a snapshot of the percentage of individuals eligible for the FSP that participate in the sample month of September. ${ }^{2}$

In this report, the participation rates from 1994 through 1999 differ slightly from rates published previously. To more accurately estimate the number of eligible individuals, we simulate SSI receipt in the one state, California, where SSI recipients are ineligible for the FSP.

The CPS eligibility file is updated each year with the following:


- The FSP gross income screen, net income screen and maximum benefit amounts are updated to reflect the fiscal year FSP regulations.
- The regression equation used to estimate FSP net income is updated using the fiscal year FSPQC data.

[^5]We use the CPS-based rates to estimate both the levels and the trends in participation rates. CPS-based estimates have always provided a good measure of trends, but prior to 1995, CPSbased estimates provided biased measures of levels. The pre-1995 rates were biased downward because of limitations in the CPS data. In 1995, we improved the methodology used to estimate participation rates to account for this downward bias. ${ }^{3}$

Estimates based on the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) can also be used to measure participation rates. SIPP data contain more of the information needed to estimate eligibility for the FSP, and the methodology used to estimate eligibility with SIPP data more closely replicates the actual FSP eligibility determination process. However, the SIPP data series covers a shorter period than the CPS data series, and certain types of SIPP data needed to estimate eligible individuals are available only for a limited number of years.

Historically, the trends identified through the CPS-based data have been consistent with those identified through SIPP-based data (Figure C.1). The change in methodology implemented in the August 1995 report shifted the CPS-based rates up, so that both the trend and the level of the CPS-based rates are in line with the SIPP-based rates.

However, the levels of participation rates for some subgroups are still biased, due to underreporting problems in the CPS (these problems also exist in the SIPP). Specifically, because public assistance is under-reported in the CPS, the participation rate for food stamp households with public assistance is too high. Typically, the number of households reporting TANF receipt in the March CPS is around 80 percent of the Administrative estimates. The number reporting SSI receipt is around 75 percent of the Administrative estimates. Because the amount of under-

[^6]reporting has stayed relatively constant over time, trends in the participation rates for these subgroups are still accurate.

The remainder of this appendix describes the methodology used to calculate the September participation rates.

## A. DETERMINING FSP-ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS

We estimate the number of eligible individuals with a model that uses March CPS data to simulate the FSP in September of the previous calendar year. In the simulation procedure, FSP eligibility guidelines that were in effect in September of the previous calendar year are applied to each household in the CPS. ${ }^{4}$ The FSP guidelines include unit formation rules, asset limits, and income limits. Because several types of information needed to determine FSP eligibility are missing from the CPS data, we impute some information to improve the model estimates of the number of eligible households. This estimation procedure is explained below.

## 1. Simulating the Composition of the Food Stamp Unit

In the FSP, the food stamp unit is based on shared living quarters and who purchases and prepares food together. While the CPS defines the dwelling unit based on shared living quarters, it does not identify who purchases and prepares food together. As a result, we simulate the formation of food stamp units within each household. For most households, we simulate all household members to be in the same food stamp unit. For some households with certain compositions (e.g., multiple family households, households with unrelated individuals, etc.) we may simulate two or more groups of people to form separate food stamp units. The probability

[^7]that a household will form multiple units is based on observed rates for similar households from FSPQC data. We also use the following rules in identifying food stamp units:

- We exclude SSI recipients who receive cash instead of food stamps in SSI cashout states (California). ${ }^{5}$
- We exclude all individuals living in group quarters.


## 2. Identifying Eligible Noncitizens and Non-disabled Childless Adults Subject to Work Registration in the Post-Welfare Reform Era

The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA) made most noncitizens ineligible and required many non-disabled childless adults to work or face time limits on benefit receipt. However, not all noncitizens and non-disabled childless adults were made ineligible by welfare reform. Some noncitizens remained eligible through exemptions, and some had their eligibility restored by the Agricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform Act (AREERA) of 1998. Likewise, some non-disabled childless adults retained their eligibility through exemptions or by meeting the work requirements. As a result, our estimate of eligible individuals must include eligible noncitizens and non-disabled childless adults. Because the CPS does not track all of the information needed to identify eligible noncitizens and non-disabled childless adults, we make assumptions about how many and which

[^8]of these individuals remain eligible. In order to retain sample size, we implement our eligibility assumptions for these populations through weighting adjustments. ${ }^{6}$

## a. Identifying Noncitizens

The citizenship status tracked in the CPS only distinguishes between citizens and noncitizens. Because FSP eligibility rules in 1997 through 2000 are different for refugees than they are for legal resident aliens, we must impute a more detailed citizenship status. In September 2000, any refugee who entered the United States after 1993 was eligible for food stamps; any refugee who entered during or prior to 1993 was subject to the same restrictions as legal resident aliens. Therefore, we only impute citizenship status for those noncitizens who entered the United States after 1993. Based on estimates from the Immigration and Naturalization Service, we assume 17 percent of noncitizens who entered the United States after 1993 are refugees. We assume that the remaining 83 percent of noncitizens who entered after 1993 and 100 percent of the noncitizens who entered the United States during or before 1993 are legal resident aliens and subject to the restrictions detailed below. We use a similar methodology to determine the number of legal resident aliens and refugees in 1997 through 1999.

## b. Identifying Eligible Noncitizens

Although PRWORA made most noncitizens ineligible, there are a number of exceptions.
Most recently, effective November 1, 1998, AREERA restored eligibility to some legal resident

[^9]aliens who were living in the United States when PRWORA was enacted. Specifically, AREERA restored eligibility to the following populations:

- children who are under age 18 and who were lawfully in the United States on August 22, 1996
- elderly who were lawfully in the United States and at least 65 years old on August 22, 1996
- disabled individuals who were lawfully in the United States on August 22, 1996

The CPS file tells us when noncitizens arrived. We use this information to determine which children, elderly, and disabled noncitizens were in the United States in or prior to August 1996, and thus had their eligibility restored by AREERA.

In addition, under PRWORA some noncitizens are eligible if they have 40 quarters of work history in the United States or are veterans of the Armed Forces. Because the work history and veteran status information in the CPS is insufficient for determining which individuals are eligible, we impute this information using 1997 Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID) data. Based on these data, we assume that 20 percent of income-eligible noncitizens are legal noncitizens that meet the exemption criteria in 1997 and $1998 .{ }^{7}$ For 1999 and 2000, we estimate that 18 percent of the remaining noncitizens (after exempting AREERA-eligible noncitizens and refugees who arrived after 1992) are legal noncitizens that meet the exemption criteria. We also account for undocumented and temporary noncitizens by excluding them from the eligible pools for 1994 through $1996 .^{8}$

[^10]The remaining noncitizens are assumed to be ineligible. We exclude them from the food stamp unit before determining whether that unit is eligible.

## c. Identifying Non-disabled Childless Adults Subject to Work Registration

Referred to as ABAWDs in past reports, these individuals are age 18 to 49, not disabled, not living with children under age 18 , and subject to work registration. With some exceptions, these individuals must meet work requirements to participate in the FSP. If they fail to meet the work requirements, they are limited to three months of benefit receipt in any 36-month period. They may be exempt from these requirements if they live in an area with high unemployment or insufficient jobs (waiver area), participate in an employment and training program, or are covered by their state's 15 percent exemption.

We identify non-disabled childless adults subject to work registration by looking at basic demographic characteristics in the CPS. ${ }^{9}$ However, we cannot determine which of these individuals remain eligible because they have not reached the time limit. Likewise, we cannot identify which of them remain eligible because they live in a waiver area. As a result, we impute this information.

## (continued)

undocumented aliens. To the extent that undocumented noncitizens are systematically different from other noncitizens, the estimates of noncitizens may be biased.
${ }^{9}$ The population of non-disabled childless adults subject to work registration does include some eligible legal resident aliens.

## d. Identifying Exempt Non-disabled Childless Adults

We determine the proportion of non-disabled childless adults in each state who have not reached the time limit based on data from the FY 1996 FSPQC database. ${ }^{10}$ We use federal and state administrative data to estimate the proportion of non-disabled childless adults who are eligible due to the 15 percent exemption, participation in an employment and training program, or because they live in waiver areas. We impute exemption status for non-disabled childless adults living in waived areas at the household level because if one household member lives in a waived area, the entire household must live in a waived area. The target proportions for those within the time limit and those living in waived areas in 1997 through 2000 are available in the Technical Appendices to this report at www.fns.usda.gov/oane/MENU/Published/FSP/ Participation.htm.

## 3. Determining Asset Eligibility

A food stamp unit is eligible for FSP benefits if its countable assets are less than $\$ 2,000$. If the unit contains an elderly person, the asset limit is $\$ 3,000$. Since asset balances are not included in the CPS database, we use an equation to impute the probability that non-pure public assistance (PA) units will pass the asset test. Pure PA units (units in which every member receives AFDC/TANF, GA, or SSI) are automatically eligible for food stamps and are thus not affected by the asset test. If we do not apply the asset test, we will grossly overestimate the number of eligible individuals in any given month.

[^11]
## 4. Determining Income Eligibility

Food stamp units must also meet income limits in order to be eligible for benefits. Non-pure PA food stamp units that do not contain elderly or disabled members must have a gross income below 130 percent of the monthly FSP net income guidelines. There is no gross income limit for units that contain elderly or disabled members. In addition, all non-pure PA food stamp units must have a net income below 100 percent of the FSP net income guidelines.

Before determining each household's income eligibility, we estimate monthly income and household net income as follows:

- Estimating Monthly Income. The CPS database includes information on annual income, but eligibility for the FSP is determined according to monthly income. Therefore, we distribute annual income to months on the basis of patterns of income receipt shown by SIPP data and number of weeks worked shown in CPS data. We then sum the monthly income allocated to September for each person in the household to determine each household's gross income for September. Simply dividing annual income by 12 would underestimate the number of eligible individuals in any given month.
- Estimating Net Income. The CPS database does not include information on the expenses that are deducted from gross income to compute net income. Therefore, we model net income as a function of the household's earnings, unearned income, gross income, and geographic location for each year. This model is based on patterns observed in the August and September 2000 FSPQC data. The estimated relationships (coefficients) are presented in the Technical Appendices to this report at www.fns.usda.gov/oane/MENU/Published/FSP/Participation.htm.

We use the food stamp gross and net income screens and the maximum benefit amounts to reflect September regulations for each year. ${ }^{11}$ We then determine eligibility for each household

[^12]based on these regulations. The unweighted counts of eligible households, along with the original sample sizes for the March CPS files, are listed in Table C.2.

## B. DETERMINING THE NUMBER OF FSP PARTICIPANTS

The number of participants for the participation rate comes from the FSP Statistical Summary of Operations (Program Operations) data for September. This database provides counts of individuals and households that were issued benefits and the total dollar value of these benefits in each month. We use this database because FSP participation is under-reported in the CPS data.

We distribute the total number of individuals, households, and benefits across subgroups of the population according to the distribution in the sample of food stamp case records in the Food Stamp Program Quality Control (FSPQC) data. ${ }^{12}$ This is done by multiplying a two-month average of the number of participants in an extract of the FSPQC data by the ratio of the Program Operations total to the FSPQC weighted total for individuals, households, and benefits. We adjust the estimate of FSP participants by the percent of total participants that are ineligible, as determined by Program Operations.

Since the alien provisions of PRWORA were not completely in effect in August 1997, more aliens were eligible to participate in August 1997 than in September 1997. As a result, a straight average of the number of aliens in August and September (the two months used for the FSPQC average) would overestimate the number of aliens participating in September. We reduce the number of aliens participating in August such that the average equals the number participating in September. No similar adjustments needed to be made to the 1998 or later files because all welfare reform provisions were in effect.

[^13]Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, naturalized citizens, legal resident aliens and individual classifications of legal resident aliens cannot be identified in the 1999 and 2000 files. Therefore, for consistency, this report presents participation rates for all noncitizens from 1994 through 2000, without separating out legal resident aliens.

We define non-disabled childless adults subject to work registration as individuals who are age 18 to 49 , not disabled, not exempt from work registration, and who do not live in households with children under age 18. With some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), these individuals must meet work requirements or face time limits on food stamp benefit receipt.

The sample sizes of participating households in the FSPQC datafiles are listed in Table C.3.

## C. CALCULATING FSP PARTICIPATION RATES

We estimated aggregate participation rates by dividing the number of participants recorded in the adjusted Program Operations data by the number of eligible individuals simulated on the basis of CPS data. The numbers of participants and eligible individuals used to calculate participation rates are presented in Appendix A for 2000 and in the Technical Appendices to this report at www.fns.usda.gov/oane/MENU/Published/FSP/ Participation.htm for 1994 through 1999.


TABLE C. 1
FISCAL YEAR 2000 FSP ELIGIBILITY PARAMETERS

| Countable Assets Screen | $\$ 2,000$ for households without elderly members $\$ 3,000$ for households with elderly members |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Income Screen | 130 percent of the 1999 DHHS Poverty Guidelines |  |  |  |
| Net Income Screen | 100 percent of the 1999 DHHS Poverty Guidelines |  |  |  |
| Monthly Poverty Guidelines | Unit Size | Continental US | Alaska | Hawaii |
|  | 1 | \$ 687 | \$ 860 | \$ 791 |
|  | 2 | 922 | 1,154 | 1,061 |
|  | 3 | 1,157 | 1,447 | 1,331 |
|  | 4 | 1,392 | 1,740 | 1,601 |
|  | 5 | 1,627 | 2,034 | 1,871 |
|  | 6 | 1,862 | 2,327 | 2,141 |
|  | 7 | 2,097 | 2,620 | 2,411 |
|  | 8 | 2,332 | 2,914 | 2,681 |
|  | Each Additional | +235 | +270 | + 270 |
| Standard Deduction |  | Continental US | Alaska | Hawaii |
|  |  | \$134 | \$229 | \$189 |
| Maximum Dependent Care Deduction | \$200 for dependents under age 2, \$175 for dependents age 2 and over |  |  |  |
| Excess Shelter Deduction |  | Continental US | Alaska | Hawaii |
|  |  | \$275 | \$478 | \$393 |
| Benefit Calculation | Benefit $=$ Maximum benefit -30 percent of Net Income |  |  |  |
| Maximum Monthly Benefit | Unit Size | Continental US | Alaska | Hawaii |
|  | 1 | \$127 | \$158 | \$199 |
|  | 2 | 234 | 290 | 365 |
|  | 3 | 335 | 415 | 523 |
|  | 4 | 426 | 528 | 664 |
|  | 5 | 506 | 627 | 789 |
|  | 6 | 607 | 752 | 947 |
|  | 7 | 671 | 831 | 1,047 |
|  | 8 | 767 | 950 | 1,196 |
|  | Each Additional | +96 | + 119 | $+150$ |
| Minimum Monthly Benefit | $\underline{\text { Unit Size }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 1-2 $\$ 10$ |  |  |  |
|  | $3+\quad \$ 0$ |  |  |  |
| Categorically Eligible | Receipt of cash or in-kind TANF benefits, SSI, or GA |  |  |  |
| SSI Cashout States | California only |  |  |  |

Note: Eligibility parameters are for the 50 states and the District of Columbia. Puerto Rico is excluded from data for 1976 and 1978 in order to be consistent with other years, and Guam and the Virgin Islands are excluded for all years.

TABLE C. 2

## UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZES FOR THE CPS

| Analysis Year $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Eligible <br> Households $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Eligible <br> Units $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | All <br> Households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 12,276 | 12,276 | 68,294 |
| 1978 | 10,122 | 10,122 | 68,455 |
| 1980 | 11,372 | 11,372 | 81,451 |
| 1982 | 10,335 | 10,335 | 73,195 |
| 1984 | 9,719 | 9,719 | 74,568 |
| 1986 | 9,953 | 9,953 | 73,843 |
| 1988 | 8,751 | 8,751 | 70,454 |
| 1990 | 9,348 | 9,348 | 75,076 |
| 1991 | 9,714 | 9,714 | 74,236 |
| 1992 | 10,280 | 10,280 | 73,878 |
| 1993 | 10,172 | 10,172 | 73,126 |
| 1994 (o) | 9,992 | 9,992 | 72,152 |
| $1994(\mathrm{r})$ | 9,075 | 10,424 | 72,152 |
| 1995 | 7,962 | 9,175 | 63,339 |
| 1996 | 8,077 | 9,890 | 9,545 |

${ }^{a}$ There are two estimates for 1994 due to the revised methodology for determining food stamp eligibility. This new methodology incorporates a new asset test algorithm, an improved food stamp unit definition, and an enhanced pure PA unit definition. The original estimate (o) is based on the methodology employed in all previous trends studies, while the revised estimate ( r ) is based on the new methodology.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The sample sizes of eligible households and of eligible units are identical under the methodology employed from 1976 until 1994(o) because only one unit could exist per household. Under the revised methodology used in 1994(r) and later, some households are simulated to form multiple units.

TABLE C. 3
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZES FOR THE FSPQC CASE RECORDS

| Month/Year | FSPQC Case Records |
| :--- | :---: |
| September 1976 | 11,038 |
| February 1978 | 14,211 |
| August 1980 | 4,140 |
| August 1982 | 7,224 |
| August 1984 | 6,918 |
| July/August 1986 | 11,010 |
| July/August 1988 | 10,695 |
| July/August 1990 | 10,639 |
| July/August 1991 | 10,602 |
| July/August 1992 | 9,586 |
| July/August 1993 | 9,389 |
| August/September 1994 | 8,933 |
| August/September 1995 | 8,313 |
| August/September 1996 | 8,304 |
| August/September 1997 | 7,907 |
| August/September 1998 | 7,336 |
| August/September 1999 | 7,558 |
| August/September 2000 | 7,610 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The estimates of participation rates presented in this report are based on estimates of the number of individuals eligible for the FSP and the number of FSP participants. Since these estimates are based on a sample of the population, they are subject to statistical sampling error.
    ${ }^{2}$ See the Technical Appendices to this report at www.fns.usda.gov/oane/MENU/Published /FSP/Participation.htm for a description of specific economic and policy influences on FSP participation rate trends.
    ${ }^{3}$ Some of these individuals regained eligibility through the Agricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform Act of 1998 (AREERA).

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ Individuals who receive TANF are categorically eligible for the FSP. While most individuals who leave TANF still qualify for food stamps, that eligibility is no longer automatic. As a result some individuals are unaware that they are still eligible for the FSP and others choose not to apply.
    ${ }^{5}$ See Appendix C for a description of the methodology used.
    ${ }^{6}$ This report focuses on trends since 1994 for two reasons. First, methodological improvements introduced in 1994 make subsequent estimates not comparable to previous estimates. Second, 1994 represents the peak year in the time series.

[^2]:    ${ }^{7}$ The benefit participation rate measures the amount of benefits received as a proportion of total benefits that would be paid out if every eligible household participated.
    ${ }^{8}$ See Appendix Table B. 1 for the change in individual participation rates from 1988 to 2000.
    ${ }^{9}$ We know that the number of food stamp participants rose in 2001. If the number of individuals eligible for food stamps rises more slowly than the number of participants (or continues to fall), then participation rates will continue to rise in 2002. Estimates of the number of individuals eligible for the FSP and participation rates for 2001 will be available in spring 2003.

[^3]:    ${ }^{10}$ Individual participation rates for subgroups are presented in Appendix A for 2000 and in the Technical Appendices to this report at www.fns.usda.gov/oane/MENU/Published/FSP/ Participation.htm for 1994 through 1999.
    ${ }^{11}$ Household and benefit participation rates for subgroups are presented in Appendix Tables A. 7 and A. 8 for 2000 and the Technical Appendices to this report at www.fns.usda.gov/oane/ MENU/Published/FSP/ Participation.htm for 1994 through 1999.

[^4]:    ${ }^{12}$ See Appendix Tables B. 2 and B. 3 for participation rates of subgroups of these individuals.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The March CPS provides income and program participation information for the previous calendar year. A summary of changes in the March CPS over time is presented in the Technical Appendices to this report at www.fns.usda.gov/oane/MENU/Published/ FSP/Participation.htm.
    ${ }^{2}$ Nationwide, a relatively small number of people who are eligible for the FSP are also eligible for the Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations (FDPIR). Individuals who choose to participate in the FDPIR may not participate in the FSP at the same time. However, because the number of individuals who are participating in the FDPIR can be estimated only with substantial sampling error, they are included in the number of FSP-eligible individuals in this report. Because FDPIR participants are included in the number of eligible individuals, but not in the number of FSP participants, the FSP participation rates are slightly underestimated.

[^6]:    ${ }^{3}$ For a complete discussion of the changes in methodology, see Cody and Trippe, 1997.

[^7]:    ${ }^{4}$ Trippe, et al (1992) includes a detailed discussion of our model of the FSP eligibility process.

[^8]:    ${ }^{5}$ Since SSI is under-reported in the CPS, we exclude too few individuals in California, thus artificially increasing the number of eligible individuals and lowering the participation rate. (In other states, the under-reporting may affect benefit levels, but is not as likely to affect the number of eligible individuals.) To obtain the most accurate number of eligible individuals as possible, we simulate SSI receipt in California for each year.

[^9]:    ${ }^{6}$ The weighting adjustments reflect the probability that a household of a certain composition is eligible for food stamps. For example, if a household has one noncitizen, we duplicate the record for that household. In the first copy of the record, we retain the noncitizen and multiply the household weight by the probability that the noncitizen is eligible for food stamps. In the second copy of the record, we exclude the noncitizen and multiply the household weight by the probability that the noncitizen is ineligible. This methodology is used to implement the assumptions for refugees, eligible noncitizens and eligible non-disabled childless adults subject to work registration.

[^10]:    ${ }^{7}$ Because any legal resident alien who is the spouse or child of an exempt individual is also exempt, we apply these exemptions at the household level to all legal resident aliens in a household.
    ${ }^{8}$ Because undocumented noncitizens are less likely to participate in a government survey, they are undercovered in the CPS. Since we do not know the extent of this undercoverage, any attempt to impute whether a noncitizen is undocumented likely overestimates the number of

[^11]:    ${ }^{10}$ Specifically, we estimate the proportion that has received no more than three months of benefits, using separate estimates for current participants and for non-participants.

[^12]:    ${ }^{11}$ These parameters, along with other FSP eligibility criteria, are presented in Table C. 1 for 2000 and the Technical Appendices to this report at www.fns.usda.gov/oane/MENU/Published/ FSP/Participation.htm for 1976 to 1999. FSP guidelines for deductions from gross income in determining FSP net income are implicitly captured in the net income equation.

[^13]:    ${ }^{12}$ The FSPQC is a sample of close to 50,000 food stamp households.

