FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

Preamble

Section 2108(a) of the Act provides that the State must assess the operation of the State child health plan in each fiscal year, and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the State must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children.

To assist States in complying with the statute, the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP), with funding from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, has coordinated an effort with States and CMS over the years to design and revise this Annual Report Template. Over time, the framework has been updated to reflect program maturation and corrected where difficulties with reporting have been identified.

The framework is designed to:

- **A.** Recognize the ***diversity*** of State approaches to SCHIP and allow States ***flexibility*** to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their SCHIP programs, **AND**
- B. Provide ***consistency*** across States in the structure, content, and format of the report, **AND**
- C. Build on data ***already collected*** by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, **AND**
- D. Enhance ***accessibility*** of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI.

FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

State/Territory: **MO**
(Name of State/Territory)

The following Annual Report is submitted in compliance with Title XXI of the Social Security Act (Section 2108(a)).

Signature:

Sandra K. Levels, Director, Program Management

SCHIP Program Name(s): **All, Missouri**

SCHIP Program Type:

- ☒ SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Only
- ☐ Separate Child Health Program Only
- ☐ Combination of the above

Reporting Period: **2006** *Note: Federal Fiscal Year 2006 starts 10/1/05 and ends 9/30/06.*

Contact Person/Title: **Sandra K. Levels, Director, Program Management**

Address: **P.O. Box 6500**

City: **Jefferson City** State**:** **MO** Zip**:** **65102-6500**

Phone: **(573) 751-6926** Fax: **(573) 526-4651**

Email: **Sandra.K.Levels@dss.mo.gov**

Submission Date: **1/25/2007**

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1st of each year)
Please copy Cynthia Pernice at NASHP (cpernice@nashp.org)

SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF SCHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES

~~1)~~ To provide a summary at-a-glance of your SCHIP program characteristics, please provide the following information. You are encouraged to complete this table for the different SCHIP programs within your state, e.g., if you have two types of separate child health programs within your state with different eligibility rules. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table.

	SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program					Separate Child Health Program				
Eligibility						**From**		% of FPL conception to birth		% of FPL
	From	185	% of FPL for **infants**	300	% of FPL	**From**		% of FPL for **infants**		% of FPL
	From	133	% of FPL for children ages **1 through 5**	300	% of FPL	**From**		% of FPL for 1 **through 5**		% of FPL
	From	100	% of FPL for children ages **6 through 16**	300	% of FPL	**From**		% of FPL for children ages **6 through 16**		% of FPL
	From	100	% of FPL for children ages **17 and 18**	300	% of FPL	**From**		% of FPL for children ages **17 and 18**		% of FPL

Is presumptive eligibility provided for children?	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes, for whom and how long? Missouri provides presumptive eligibility for children in families with income of 150% of FPL or below until a decision is made on regular SCHIP eligibility.	☐	Yes, for whom and how long?
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Is retroactive eligibility available?	☒	No	☐	No
	☐	Yes, for whom and how long?	☐	Yes, for whom and how long?
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your State Plan contain authority to implement a waiting list?	Not applicable	☐	No
		☐	Yes
		☐	N/A

Does your program have a mail-in application?	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes	☐	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Can an applicant apply for your program over the phone?	☒	No	☐	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program have an application on your website that can be printed, completed and mailed in?	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes	☐	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Can an applicant apply for your program on-line?	☒	No	☐	No
	☐	Yes – please check all that apply	☐	Yes – please check all that apply
	☐	Signature page must be printed and mailed in	☐	Signature page must be printed and mailed in
	☐	Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income documentation)	☐	Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income documentation)
	☐	Electronic signature is required	☐	Electronic signature is required
			☐	No Signature is required
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require a face-to-face interview during initial application	☒	No	☐	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require a child to be uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting period)?	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes	☐	Yes
	Specify number of months	6	Specify number of months	
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program provide period of continuous coverage <u>regardless of income changes?</u>	☒	No	☐	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	Specify number of months		Specify number of months	
	Explain circumstances when a child would lose eligibility during the time period in the box below		Explain circumstances when a child would lose eligibility during the time period in the box below	

	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require premiums or an enrollment fee?	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes	☐	Yes
	Enrollment fee amount	0	Enrollment fee amount	
	Premium amount	0	Premium amount	
	Yearly cap	0	Yearly cap	
	If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below		If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below (including premium/enrollment fee amounts and include Federal poverty levels where appropriate)	
	Premiums are collected for individuals with an income between 150% and 300% of FPL. If income is between 150% and 185%, the premium is 4% of income between 150% and 185% of the family size. Between 186% and 225%, the premium is 4% of the income between 150% and 185% + 8% of income between 185% and 225% of FPL. Between 225% & 300%, the premium is up to $282, not to exceed 5% of income for family size.			
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program impose copayments or coinsurance?	☒	No	☐	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program impose deductibles?	☒	No	☐	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require an assets test?	☒	No	☐	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	If Yes, please describe below		If Yes, please describe below	
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require income disregards?	☒	No	☐	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	If Yes, please describe below		If Yes, please describe below	

	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Is a preprinted renewal form sent prior to eligibility expiring?	☒	No	☐	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
		☐ We send out form to family with their information pre-completed and ask for confirmation		☐ We send out form to family with their information pre-completed and ask for confirmation
		☐ We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed		☐ We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Enter any Narrative text below.

~~2.~~ Is there an assets test for children in your Medicaid program?	☐ Yes	☒ No	☐ N/A
~~3.~~ Is it different from the assets test in your separate child health program?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☒ N/A
~~4.~~ Are there income disregards for your Medicaid program?	☐ Yes	☒ No	☐ N/A
~~5.~~ Are they different from the income disregards in your separate child health program?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☒ N/A
~~6.~~ Is a joint application used for your Medicaid and separate child health program?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☒ N/A

7. Have you made changes to any of the following policy or program areas during the reporting period? Please indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking appropriate column.

	Medicaid Expansion SCHIP Program			**Separate Child Health Program**		
	Yes	No Change	N/A	Yes	No Change	N/A
~~a)~~ Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~b)~~ Application	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

~~c)~~ Application documentation requirements	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~d)~~ Benefit structure	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~e)~~ Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~f)~~ Crowd out policies	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~g)~~ Delivery system	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~h)~~ Eligibility determination process (including implementing a waiting lists or open enrollment periods)	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~i)~~ Eligibility levels / target population	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~j)~~ Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~k)~~ Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~l)~~ Eligibility redetermination process	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~m)~~ Enrollment process for health plan selection	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~n)~~ Family coverage	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~o)~~ Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target outreach)	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~p)~~ Premium assistance	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~q)~~ Prenatal Eligibility expansion	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~r)~~ Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)						
Parents	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Pregnant women	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Childless adults	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

~~s)~~ Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~t)~~ Other – please specify					
~~a.~~ _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~b.~~ _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~c.~~ _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

8. For each topic you responded yes to above, please explain the change and why the change was made, below:

~~a)~~ Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)	
~~b)~~ Application	The application form was revised effective 07/06 to serve as an affidavit for parents and/or caretakers to sign attesting to the identity of their children who are under age 16.
~~c)~~ Application documentation requirements	Effective 7/1/06, documentation is required to verify identity and citizenship prior to being approved for Medicaid.
~~d)~~ Benefit structure	
~~e)~~ Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)	Effective 7/1/06, monthly premiums range from $11 to $282 based on family size. Premiums are collected for individuals with an income between 150 and 300% FPL. Premiums change annually in July.
~~f)~~ Crowd out policies	The affordable insurance standard changed effective 7/1/06 to 9% of median income level for a family of 3. The affordability standard is set at 133% of the average premium of MO Consolidated Health Care Plan. The affordability guidelines as 7/1/06 are: Above 150% up to 185% = $209/month, Above 185% up to 225% = $255/month, Above 225% up to 300% = $375/month. Before 7/1/06, there was only one affordability standard for all individuals with income above 150% of FPL and it was $342/month.
~~g)~~ Delivery system	

~~h)~~ Eligibility determination process (including implementing a waiting lists or open enrollment periods)	Refer to above explanation of "f)Crowd out Policies". In addition, effective 7/1/06 documentation to verify identity and citizenship is required for recipients of Medicaid.
~~i)~~ Eligibility levels / target population	
~~j)~~ Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
~~k)~~ Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
~~l)~~ Eligibility redetermination process	The reinvestigation form was revised effective 07/06 to serve as an affadavit for parents and/or caretakers to sign attesting to the identity of their children who are under age 16.
~~m)~~ Enrollment process for health plan selection	
~~n)~~ Family coverage	
~~o)~~ Outreach	
~~p)~~ Premium assistance	
~~q)~~ Prenatal Eligibility Expansion	
~~r)~~ Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)	
Parents	
Pregnant women	
Childless adults	
~~s)~~ Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	
~~t)~~ Other – please specify	
a.	
b.	
c.	

Enter any Narrative text below.

SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of three subsections that gather information on the core performance measures for the SCHIP program as well as your State's progress toward meeting its general program strategic objectives and performance goals. Section IIA captures data on the core performance measures to the extent data are available. Section IIB captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your State. Section IIC captures progress towards meeting your State's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

SECTION IIA: REPORTING OF CORE PERFORMANCE MEASURES

CMS is directed to examine national performance measures by the SCHIP Final Rules of January 11, 2001. To address this SCHIP directive, and to address the need for performance measurement in Medicaid, CMS, along with other Federal and State officials, developed a core set of performance measures for Medicaid and SCHIP. The group focused on well-established measures whose results could motivate agencies, providers, and health plans to improve the quality of care delivered to enrollees. After receiving comments from Medicaid and SCHIP officials on an initial list of 19 measures, the group recommended seven core measures, including four core child health measures:

- Well child visits in the first 15 months of life
- Well child visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th years of life
- Use of appropriate medications for children with asthma
- Children's access to primary care practitioners

These measures are based on specifications provided by the Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set (HEDIS®). HEDIS® provides a useful framework for defining and measuring performance. However, use of HEDIS® methodology is not required for reporting on your measures. The HEDIS® methodology can also be modified based on the availability of data in your State.

This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of the core child health measures. Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years (to the extent that data are available). In the first and second column, report data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2004 and FFY 2005). If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2006). Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
If you cannot provide a specific measure, please check the box that applies to your State for each performance measure as follows:

- Population not covered: Check this box if your program does not cover the population included in the measure.
- Data not available: Check this box if data are not available for a particular measure in your State. Please provide an explanation of why the data are currently not available.
- Small sample size: Check this box if the sample size (i.e., denominator) for a particular measure is less than 30. If the sample size is less than 30, your State is not required to report data on the measure. However, please indicate the exact sample size in the space provided.
- Other: Please specify if there is another reason why your state cannot report the measure.

Status of Data Reported:
Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting, as follows:

- Provisional: Check this box if you are reporting data for a measure, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2006.
- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2006.

– Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:
For each performance measure, please indicate the measurement specification (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® technical specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other source with measurement specifications unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2006). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

Data Source:
For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data – administrative data (claims), hybrid data (claims and medical records), survey data, or other source. If another data source was used, please explain the source.

Definition of Population included in the Measure:
Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined. Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion).

Note: You do not need to report data for all delivery system types. You may choose to report data for only the delivery system with the most enrollees in your program.

Year of Data:
Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):
In this section, please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

Note: SARTS will calculate the rate if you enter the numerator and denominator. Otherwise, if you only have the rate, enter it in the rate box.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

Explanation of Progress:
The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2007, 2008, and 2009. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2004 through 2006), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small

increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years.

In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:
Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

NOTE: Please do not reference attachments in this table. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

MEASURE: Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☒ Other. *Explain*: Missouri uses the measure stated above.	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☒ Other. *Explain*: Missouri uses the measure stated above.	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☒ Other. *Explain*: Missouri uses the measure stated above.
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: 2003	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: 2005	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: 2006
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☒ Other. *Specify*: Annual HEDIS reports submitted to the Division of Medical Services (DMS) by the MC+ Managed Care health plans contracted with DMS.	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☒ Other. *Specify*: Annual HEDIS reports submitted to the Division of Medical Services (DMS) by the MC+ Managed Care health plans contracted with DMS.	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☒ Other. *Specify*: Annual HEDIS reports submitted to the Division of Medical Services (DMS) by the MC+ Managed Care health plans contracted with DMS.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: All MC+ eligible children less than or equal to 15 months of age enrolled in a MC+ Managed Care health plan.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: All MC+ eligible chidren less than or equal to 15 months of age enrolled in a MC+ Managed Care health plan.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: All MC+ eligible chidren less than or equal to 15 months of age enrolled in a MC+ Managed Care health plan.
Year of Data: 2002	**Year of Data:** 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005

Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life (continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with specified number of visits 0 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 8 4 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 12.75 1 visit Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 4.8 5 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 20.39 2 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 6.36 6+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 39.46 3 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 8.42 Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with specified number of visits 0 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 4.18 4 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 13.60 1 visit Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 6.75 5 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 18.50 2 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 10.57 6+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 42.92 3 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 9.51 Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with specified number of visits 0 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 2.62 4 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 12.42 1 visit Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 3.63 5 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 18.14 2 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 5.24 6+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 49.69 3 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 7.95 Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure: The MC+ Managed Care health plans have implemented several measures to increase well child visits. These measures include: member letters that include the necessity of well child visits, outreach at community events, school-based programs, reminder postcards, and preventive health service guidelines for providers.

MEASURE: Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30) *Specify sample size*: ☒ Other. *Explain*: Missouri uses the measure stated above.	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☒ Other. *Explain*: Missouri uses the measure stated above.	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☒ Other. *Explain*: Missouri uses the measure stated above.
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: 2003	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: 2005	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: 2006
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☒ Other. *Specify*: Annual HEDIS reports submitted to the Division of Medical Services (DMS) by the MC+ Managed Care health plans contracted with DMS.	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☒ Other. *Specify*: Annual HEDIS reports submitted to the Division of Medical Services (DMS) by the MC+ Managed Care health plans contracted with DMS.	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☒ Other. *Specify*: Annual HEDIS reports submitted to the Division of Medical Services (DMS) by the MC+ Managed Care health plans contracted with DMS.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: All MC+ eligible children 3, 4, 5, and 6 years of age enrolled in a MC+ Managed Care health plan.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: All MC+ eligible children 3, 4, 5, and 6 years of age enrolled in a MC+ Managed Care health plan.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: All MC+ eligible children 3, 4, 5, and 6 years of age enrolled in a MC+ Managed Care health plan.
Year of Data: 2002	**Year of Data:** 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with 1+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 47.51	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with 1+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 54.39	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with 1+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 58.07

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Additional notes on measure: The rates are calculated for each individual MC+ Managed Care health plan then a statewide average rate is calculated.	Additional notes on measure: The rates are calculated for each individual MC+ Managed Care health plan then a statewide average rate is calculated.	Additional notes on measure: The rates are calculated for each individual MC+ Managed Care health plan then a statewide average rate is calculated.

Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life (continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure: The MC+ Managed Care health plans have implemented several measures to increase well child visits. These measures include: member letters that include necessity of well child visits, outreach at community events, school-based programs, reminder postcards, and preventive health service guidelines for providers.		

MEASURE: Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☒ Other. *Explain*: Missouri uses the measure stated above.	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☒ Other. *Explain*: Missouri uses the measure stated above.	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☒ Other. *Explain*: Missouri uses the measure stated above.
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: 2003	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: 2005	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: 2006
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☒ Other. *Specify*: Annual HEDIS reports submitted to the Division of Medical Services (DMS) by the MC+ Managed Care health plans contracted with DMS.	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☒ Other. *Specify*: Annual HEDIS reports submitted to the Division of Medical Services (DMS) by the MC+ Managed Care health plans contracted with DMS.	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☒ Other. *Specify*: Annual HEDIS reports submitted to the Division of Medical Services (DMS) by the MC+ Managed Care health plans contracted with DMS.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: All MC+ eligible children ages 5-17 enrolled in a MC+ Managed Care health plan.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: All MC+ eligible children ages 5-17 enrolled in a MC+ Managed Care health plan.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: All MC+ eligible children ages 5-17 enrolled in a MC+ Managed Care health plan.
Year of Data: 2002	**Year of Data:** 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005

Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma (continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent receiving appropriate medications 5-9 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 67.56 10-17 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 68.22 Combined rate (5-17 years) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 0 Additional notes on measure: The combined rate is not available. The rates are calculated for each individual MC+ Managed Care health plan then a statewide average is calculated.	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent receiving appropriate medications 5-9 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 67.49 10-17 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 67.13 Combined rate (5-17 years) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 0 Additional notes on measure: The combined rate is not available. The rates are calculated for each individual MC+ Managed Care health plan then a statewide average is calculated.	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent receiving appropriate medications 5-9 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 87.94 10-17 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 85.61 Combined rate (5-17 years) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 0 Additional notes on measure: The combined rate is not available. The rates are calculated for each individual MC+ Managed Care health plan then a statewide average is calculated.
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

Other Comments on Measure: Overall, the MC+ Managed Care health plans' rates for this measure increased between calendar year 2004 and calendar year 2005. Four MC+ Managed Care health plans have implemented Performance Improvement Projects (PIPs) related to asthma. Three of the MC+ Managed Care health plans PIPs have been successful in increasing the HEDIS measure rate.

MEASURE: Children's Access to Primary Care Practitioners

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☒ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*: Missouri does not use this measure.	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☒ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*: Missouri does not use this measure.	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☒ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*: Missouri does not use this measure.
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with a PCP visit 12-24 months / 7-11 years Numerator: / Numerator: Denominator: / Denominator: Rate: / Rate: 25 months-6 years / 12-19 years Numerator: / Numerator: Denominator: / Denominator: Rate: / Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with a PCP visit 12-24 months / 7-11 years Numerator: / Numerator: Denominator: / Denominator: Rate: / Rate: 25 months-6 years / 12-19 years Numerator: / Numerator: Denominator: / Denominator: Rate: / Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with a PCP visit 12-24 months / 7-11 years Numerator: / Numerator: Denominator: / Denominator: Rate: / Rate: 25 months-6 years / 12-19 years Numerator: / Numerator: Denominator: / Denominator: Rate: / Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

SECTION IIB: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

~~1.~~ The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in SCHIP in your State for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 in your State's 4th quarter data report (submitted in October) in the SCHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by SARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2005	FFY 2006	Percent change FFY 2005-2006
SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	115355	106577	-7.61
Separate Child Health Program	0	0	

~~A.~~ Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent.

~~2.~~ The table below shows trends in the three-year averages for the number and rate of uninsured children in your State based on the Current Population Survey (CPS), along with the percent change between 1996-1998 and 2003-2005. Significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3. SARTS will fill in this information automatically, but in the meantime, please refer to the CPS data attachment that was sent with the FFY 2006 Annual Report Template.

	Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty		Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty as a Percent of Total Children Under Age 19	
Period	Number	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error
1996 - 1998	104	24.7	7.2	1.7
1998 - 2000	58	17.5	3.9	1.1
2000 - 2002	43	10.8	2.9	.7
2002 - 2004	57	12.3	3.9	.8
2003 - 2005	71	14.6	4.9	1.0
Percent change 1996-1998 vs. 2003-2005	-31.7%	NA	-31.9%	NA

~~A.~~ Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children.

During FFY06, the Family Support Division of the Department of Social Services continued conducting reinvestigations of cases for eligibility.

~~B.~~ Please note any comments here concerning CPS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates.

N/A

~~3.~~ Please indicate by checking the box below whether your State has an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

☐ Yes (please report your data in the table below)

☒ No (skip to Question #4)

Please report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	
Reporting period (2 or more points in time)	
Methodology	
Population (Please include ages and income levels)	
Sample sizes	
Number and/or rate for two or more points in time	
Statistical significance of results	

~~A.~~ Please explain why your State chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

~~B.~~ What is your State's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? (Provide a numerical range or confidence intervals if available.)

~~C.~~ What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology?

~~4.~~ How many children do you estimate have been enrolled in Medicaid as a result of SCHIP outreach activities and enrollment simplification? Describe the data source and method used to derive this information

N/A

SECTION IIC: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

This subsection gathers information on your State's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your SCHIP State Plan. The format of this section has been revised for FFY 2006 to provide your State with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

- Reducing the number of uninsured children
- SCHIP enrollment
- Medicaid enrollment
- Increasing access to care
- Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, please enter the data you reported for each objective in the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2004 and FFY 2005). In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the annual report.

Note that the term *performance measure* is used differently in Section IIA versus IIC. In Section IIA, the term refers to the four core child health measures. In this section, the term is used more broadly, to refer to any data your State provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are State-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported in Sections IIA or IIB. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your State did not_report elsewhere in Section II.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective.

Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

- New/revised: Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why the goal was revised.
- Continuing: Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.
- Discontinued: Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

Status of Data Reported:
Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

- Provisional: Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2006.

- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2006.

- Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:
This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which States may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2006). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

Data Source:
For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and SCHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source. For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims), hybrid data (claims and medical records), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source. In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

Definition of Population Included in Measure:
Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care , please also check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

Year of Data:
Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which enrollment or utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured: Please provide a brief explanation of the information you intend to capture through the performance measure.

Numerator, Denominator, and Rate: Please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). For the objectives related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, the template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for

each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the “additional notes” section.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a “weighted rate” by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an “unweighted average” by taking the mean rate across health plans.

Explanation of Progress:

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2007, 2008, and 2009. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2004 through 2006), use a combination of expert opinion and “best guesses” to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe) Reduce the number of uninsured children.	**Goal #1 (Describe)** Reduce the number of uninsured children.	**Goal #1 (Describe)** Reduce the number of uninsured children.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2005
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☒ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*: Current Population Survey (CPS) Data	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☒ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*: Current Population Survey (CPS) Data	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☒ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*: Current Population Survey (CPS) Data
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: People without health insurance coverage in Missouri. Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: People without health insurance coverage in Missouri. Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: People without health insurance coverage in Missouri. Definition of numerator:
Year of Data: 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005	**Year of Data:** 2005
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Percent of people without health insurance coverage in Missouri. Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 10.9 Additional notes on measure: Based upon a three year average of CPS data for 2002-2004.	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Percent of people without health insurance coverage in Missouri. Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 11.9 Additional notes on measure: Based upon a three year average of CPS data for 2003-2005.	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Percent of people without health insurance coverage in Missouri. Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 12 Additional notes on measure: Based upon a projection for calendar year 2006.

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	**Goal #2 (Describe)**	**Goal #2 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	**Goal #3 (Describe)**	**Goal #3 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure: Over the 2002-2004 and 2003-2005 periods, Missouri was well below the national average of 12.4% and 15.7% respectively. Analysis of the six year period since the implementation of the 1115 Waiver (1999-2004) points to a significant reduction in the average rate of uninsured in Misssouri over the six year period prior to the full 1115 Waiver implementation (1993-1998) when the average rate of uninsured was 14.5%. Missouri expanded coverage for children beyond the minimum federal requirements.		

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe) Decrease the number of uninsured children in Missouri.	**Goal #1 (Describe)** Decrease the number of uninsured children in Missouri.	**Goal #1 (Describe)** Decrease the number of uninsured children in Missouri.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☒ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Children enrolled in SCHIP. Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Children enrolled in SCHIP. Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Children enrolled in SCHIP. Definition of numerator:
Year of Data: 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005	**Year of Data:** 2006
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Children enrolled in SCHIP. Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 90496 Additional notes on measure: An increase of 82.7% over base year. Base year September 1999, there were 49,529 children enrolled in SCHIP.	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Children enrolled in SCHIP. Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 90343 Additional notes on measure: A slight decrease from calendar year 2004 and still an increase of 82.4% over base year. Base year September 1999, there were 49,529 children enrolled in SCHIP.	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Children enrolled in SCHIP. Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 66432 Additional notes on measure: A decrease from calendar year 2005 and still an increase of 34.1% over base year. Base year September 1999, there were 49,529 children enrolled in SCHIP.

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	**Goal #2 (Describe)**	**Goal #2 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	**Goal #3 (Describe)**	**Goal #3 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure: The change in enrollment numbers is largely due to an increased emphasis on the State's part in conducting annual re-determinations for Medicaid and SCHIP eligibility and simplification of the eligibility process. As a result, some children have moved from Medicaid eligibility into SCHIP eligibility and others have shifted from the SCHIP no cost eligibility category into the SCHIP premium eligibility category.		

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe) Decrease the number of uninsured children in Missouri.	**Goal #1 (Describe)** Decrease the number of uninsured children in Missouri.	**Goal #1 (Describe)** Decrease the number of uninsured children in Missouri.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☒ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Children enrolled in the Medicaid Program, excluding SCHIP. Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Children enrolled in the Medicaid program, excluding SCHIP. Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Children enrolled in the Medicaid program, excluding SCHIP. Definition of numerator:
Year of Data: 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005	**Year of Data:** 2006
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Children enrolled in the Medicaid Program,excluding SCHIP. Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 445285 Additional notes on measure: An increase of 27% over base year. Base year September 1999, there were 350,539 children enrolled in the Medicaid Program.	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Children enrolled in the Medicaid Program, excluding SCHIP. Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 431430 Additional notes on measure: A slight decrease from calendar year 2004 and still an increase of 23% over base year. Base year September 1999, there were 350,539 children enrolled in the Medicaid Program.	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Children enrolled in the Medicaid Program, excluding SCHIP. Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 409508 Additional notes on measure: A slight decrease from calendar year 2004 and still an increase of 16.8% over base year. Base year September 1999, there were 350,539 children enrolled in the Medicaid Program.

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	**Goal #2 (Describe)**	**Goal #2 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	**Goal #3 (Describe)**	**Goal #3 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:

Explain how these objectives were set:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure: The change in enrollment numbers is largely due to an increased emphasis on the State's part in conducting annual re-determinations for Medicaid and SCHIP eligibility and simplification of the eligibility process. As a result, some children have moved from Medicaid eligibility into SCHIP eligibility.		

Objectives Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe)	**Goal #1 (Describe)**	**Goal #1 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	**Goal #2 (Describe)**	**Goal #2 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	**Goal #3 (Describe)**	**Goal #3 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Performance Measurement Data: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe)	**Goal #1 (Describe)**	**Goal #1 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	**Goal #2 (Describe)**	**Goal #2 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	**Goal #3 (Describe)**	**Goal #3 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

1. What other strategies does your State use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? What have you found?

The Department of Social Services, Division of Medical Services (DMS) has an external evaluation of the Missouri 1115 Waiver performed annually. The scope of the evaluation is in accordance with the requirements of Missouri Senate Bill 632 and the Centers of Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). The latest report is for the period September 1, 2004 through August 31, 2005. Key accomplishments outlined in the report include:

* Approximately 94,088 uninsured children were enrolled by the end of the current evaluation period - August 2005.

* The average rate of uninsured during the six year period since the implementation of the 1115 Waiver is 11.7 percent which is lower than during the six year period prior when the average rate of uninsured was 14.5 percent.

* In 2004, Missouri's rate of uninsured children is about one-third less than the national average of 11.2 percent. Had Missouri's rate been equal to the national average, the State would have been confronted with 38,000 additional uninsured children.

* Children with family income at or below 185 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL) make up over 75 percent of the population and reflected a 2.0 percent increase over the previous year.

* Children with family income at or between 186 and 225 percent of the FPL make up the next largest population and reflected an increase of 1.5 percent over the previous year.

* Enrollment of children with family income between 225 and 300 percent of the FPL showed the greatest increase over the previous year with 14.7 percent.

* The avoidable hospitalization rate for the 1115 Waiver population decrased by more than 3 percent.

* For the last four years, the asthma hospitalization rate for children in the 1115 Waiver has remained below the benchmark.

* The emergency room utilization rate for children in the 1115 Waiver decreased to the lowest rate in six years.

2. What strategies does your SCHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? When will data be available?

Missouri will continue to have an annual external evaluation of the Missouri 1115 Waiver performed. Also, reporting of the MC+ Managed Care member satisfaction and access to care survey data, which includes the SCHIP population, will continue to be required annually by the MC+ Managed Care health plans to the Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS).

3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your SCHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found?

Missouri has not conducted any focused quality studies on the SCHIP population.

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your SCHIP program's performance. Please list attachments here and summarize findings or list main findings.

The DHSS publishes the results of the MC+ Managed Care member satisfaction and access survey on their website: www.dhss.mo.gov/ManagedCare/Publications.html. The results of the survey are incorporated into the Consumer Managed Care Guide. The guide provides information on how well managed care plans are performing in their responsibility to provide high-quality health care and customer service to their members.

Enter any Narrative text below.

SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

OUTREACH

~~1.~~ How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period?

The MC+ Statewide Coalition, funded by Missouri's Covering Kids and Families Grant from the Robert Wood Johnson foundation, continues to provide outreach. Due to the large number of organizations that assist in outreach, Missouri continues to rely on them to be our voice in the community. The Missouri Primary Care Association is the lead agency for the grant.

~~2.~~ What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness?

Outreach assistance through schools/agencies/organizations working with eligible families is the primary outreach tool utilized by the MC+ Statewide Coalition. These partners educate families and distribute materials developed and produced through the Covering Kids and Families Grant. Additionally, the Coalition uses the grant to produce and distribute printed materials. In 2006, more than 180,000 fliers and 9,000 posters were distributed.

~~3.~~ Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)? Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness?

Missouri has not targeted outreach to any specific populations. However, the MC+ Statewide Coalition translated their 2006 materials into Spanish.

SUBSTITUTION OF COVERAGE (CROWD-OUT)

States with a separate child health program above 200 through 250% of FPL must complete question 1. All other states with trigger mechanisms should also answer this question.

~~1.~~ Does your state cover children between 200 and 250 percent of the FPL or does it identify a trigger mechanism or point at which a substitution prevention policy is instituted?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ N/A

If yes, please identify the trigger mechanisms or point at which your substitution prevention policy is instituted.

There is a six-month waiting period for children who drop insurance without good cause, except for children with special health care needs. Children in families with income above 150% of FPL are not eligible if they have access to affordable insurance.

States with separate child health programs over 250% of FPL must complete question 2. All other states with substitution prevention provisions should also answer this question.

~~2.~~ Does your state cover children above 250 percent of the FPL or does it employ substitution prevention provisions?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ N/A

If yes, identify your substitution prevention provisions (waiting periods, etc.).

There is a six-month waiting period for children who drop insurance without good cause, except for children with special health care needs. Children in families with income above 150% of FPL are not eligible if they have access to affordable insurance.

All States must complete the following 3 questions

~~3.~~ Describe how substitution of coverage is monitored and measured and the effectiveness of your policies.

The State of Missouri has implemented several safeguards against crowd-out, including a six-month waiting period and cost-sharing requirements. The application process for Missouri also has a number of other deterrents built in. Although Missouri has taken several steps to simplify the application process by reducing the size of its application and accepting applications in the mail, it has also built more steps into the verification process than many states use to ensure that only applications from eligible individuals are accepted. For example, Missouri requires applicants with income between 150% and 300% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) to obtain two quotes from private insurers as proof that affordable insurance alternatives do not exist. In addition, children with incomes between 226% and 300% of FPL have a six-month penalty applied if they fail to pay required SCHIP premiums and are not eligible for coverage until the six months expire. This penalty provision does not apply to those children between 150% and 225% of FPL who may fail to pay a required premium.

According to the August 3, 2006 Evaluation of the Missouri 1115 Waiver report for the review period September 1, 2004 to August 31, 2005, the increase in Medicaid enrollment concurrent with the decrease in private insurance enrollment is not enough evidence to support a conclusion that crowd out is occurring.

The increase in Medicaid enrollment and the decrease in private insurance enrollment are likely due to the changing economic environment, including rising unemployment, loss of jobs that provide health insurance, and increased cost shifting to employees. Without the 1115 Waiver it is likely many of these children who lost the Employee Sponsored Insurance (ESI) through their parents, would have joined the ranks of the uninsured children.

~~4.~~ At the time of application, what percent of applicants are found to have insurance?

Approximately 5% of applicants are found to have insurance.

~~5.~~ Describe the incidence of substitution. What percent of applicants drop group health plan coverage to enroll in SCHIP?

Not applicable.

COORDINATION BETWEEN SCHIP AND MEDICAID

(This subsection should be completed by States with a Separate Child Health Program)

~~1.~~ Do you have the same redetermination procedures to renew eligibility for Medicaid and SCHIP (e.g., the same verification and interview requirements)? Please explain.

2. Please explain the process that occurs when a child's eligibility status changes from Medicaid to SCHIP and from SCHIP to Medicaid. Have you identified any challenges? If so, please explain.

3. Are the same delivery systems (including provider networks) used in Medicaid and SCHIP? Please explain.

ELIGIBILITY REDETERMINATION AND RETENTION

1. What measures does your State employ to retain eligible children in SCHIP? Please check all that apply and provide descriptions as requested.

☐ Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers

☐ Sends renewal reminder notices to all families

- How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program?
- At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the State?)

☐ Sends targeted mailings to selected populations

- Please specify population(s) (e.g., lower income eligibility groups)

☐ Holds information campaigns

☐ Provides a simplified reenrollment process,

Please describe efforts (e.g., reducing the length of the application, creating combined Medicaid/SCHIP application)

☐ Conducts surveys or focus groups with disenrollees to learn more about reasons for disenrollment *please describe:*

☒ Other, *please explain*:

Blank forms are sent to families 45 to 60 days before the due date of the annual case review. The families are asked to complete the form with current information. The form can be mailed to or brought into the local county Family Support Division Office. The children remain eligible until the case review is complete.

2. Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology.

Missouri has not completed an evaluation.

~~3.~~ Does your State generate monthly reports or conduct assessments that track the outcomes of individuals who disenroll, or do not reenroll, in SCHIP (e.g., how many obtain other public or private coverage, how many remain uninsured, how many age-out, how many move to a new geographic area)

☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ N/A

When was the monthly report or assessment last conducted?

If you responded yes to the question above, please provide a summary of the most recent findings (in the table below) from these reports and/or assessments.

Findings from Report/Assessment on Individuals Who Disenroll, or Do Not Reenroll in SCHIP

Total Number of Dis-enrollees	**Obtain other public or private coverage**		**Remain uninsured**		**Age-out**		**Move to new geographic area**		**Other**	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent

Please describe the data source (e.g., telephone or mail survey, focus groups) used to derive this information.

COST SHARING

~~1.~~ Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of premiums/enrollment fees on participation in SCHIP? If so, what have you found?

It was decided after several years of review, no demonstrable negative impact of cost sharing on health status or access was found. Subsequently, this evaluation question has been removed from the annual 1115 Waiver evaluation and therefore no data is available.

~~2.~~ Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of health services in SCHIP? If so, what have you found?

Not applicable.

~~3.~~ If your state has increased or decreased cost sharing in the past federal fiscal year, has the state undertaken any assessment of the impact of these changes on application, enrollment, disenrollment, and utilization of health services in SCHIP. If so, what have you found?

Effective September 1, 2005, parents and guardians of uninsured children with incomes between 150% and 300% of FPL who do not have access to affordable insurance are required to pay a monthly premium that varies between $11 and $282 per month based on income and family size. There was a decrease in enrollment in the program by 20% from November 2005 to November 2006.

PREMIUM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM(S) UNDER SCHIP STATE PLAN

~~1.~~ Does your State offer a premium assistance program for children and/or adults using Title XXI funds under any of the following authorities?

☐ Yes, please answer questions below.
☒ No, skip to Program Integrity subsection.

Children

☐ Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.

☐ Premium Assistance under the State Plan
☐ Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan
☐ SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration
☐ Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration
☐ Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration
☐ Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP)

Adults

☐ Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.

☐ Premium Assistance under the State Plan (Incidentally)
☐ Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan
☐ SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration
☐ Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration
☐ Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration
☐ Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP)

~~2.~~ Please indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)

☐ Parents and Caretaker Relatives
☐ Childless Adults

~~3.~~ Briefly describe your program (including current status, progress, difficulties, etc.)

~~4.~~ What benefit package does the program use?

~~5.~~ Does the program provide wrap-around coverage for benefits or cost sharing?

6. Identify the total number of children and adults enrolled in the premium assistance program for whom Title XXI funds are used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in premium assistance even if they were covered incidentally and not via the SCHIP family coverage provision).

_____ Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period

_____

_____ Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period

7. Identify the estimated amount of substitution, if any, that occurred or was prevented as a result of your premium assistance program. How was this measured?

8. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your premium assistance program has experienced?

9. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your premium assistance program?

10. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your premium assistance program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned.

11. Indicate the effect of your premium assistance program on access to coverage. How was this measured?

12. What do you estimate is the impact of premium assistance on enrollment and retention of children? How was this measured?

13. Identify the total state expenditures for family coverage during the reporting period. **(For states offering premium assistance under a family coverage waiver only.)**

PROGRAM INTEGRITY (COMPLETE ONLY WITH REGARD TO SEPARATE SCHIP PROGRAMS (I.E. THOSE THAT ARE NOT MEDICAID EXPANSIONS)

1. Does your state have a written plan that has safeguards and establishes methods and procedures for prevention, investigation and referral of cases of fraud and abuse? Please explain.

2. For the reporting period, please indicate the number of cases investigated, and cases referred, regarding fraud and abuse in the following areas:

~~a)~~ Provider credentialing

Number of cases investigated

Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials

~~b)~~ Provider billing

Number of cases investigated

Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials

~~c)~~ Beneficiary eligibility

Number of cases investigated

Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials

3. If your state relies on contractors to perform the above functions, how does your state provide oversight of those contractors?

Enter any Narrative text below.

SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (*Note: This reporting period =Federal Fiscal Year 2005. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.)*

COST OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN

Benefit Costs	**2006**	**2007**	**2008**
Insurance payments			
Managed Care	58,271,795	64,565,471	65856781
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles			
Fee for Service	53,491,004	59,323,776	60,510,252
Total Benefit Costs	111,762,799	123,889,247	126,367,033
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)	(8,125,840)	(9,009,598)	(9,189,790)
Net Benefit Costs	$ 103,636,959	$ 114,879,649	$ 117,177,243

Administration Costs			
Personnel			
General Administration	2,773,175	2,891,232	2,965,739
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs			
Other			
Health Services Initiatives			
Total Administration Costs	2,773,175	2,891,232	2,965,739
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	11,515,218	12,764,405	13,019,694

Federal Title XXI Share	78,051,833	86,114,068	88,533,363
State Share	28,358,301	31,656,813	31,609,619

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN	106,410,134	117,770,881	120,142,982

2. What were the sources of non-Federal funding used for State match during the reporting period?

- ☒ State appropriations
- ☐ County/local funds
- ☐ Employer contributions
- ☐ Foundation grants
- ☐ Private donations
- ☐ Tobacco settlement

☒ Other (specify) Not applicable

Enter any Narrative text below.

SECTION V: 1115 DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS (FINANCED BY SCHIP)

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions.

~~1.~~ If you do not have a Demonstration Waiver financed with SCHIP funds skip to Section VI. If you do, please complete the following table showing whom you provide coverage to.

	SCHIP Non-HIFA Demonstration Eligibility					HIFA Waiver Demonstration Eligibility				
Children	From	101	% of FPL to	300	% of FPL	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL
Parents	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL
Childless Adults	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL
Pregnant Women	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL

2. Identify the total number of children and adults ever enrolled (an unduplicated enrollment count) in your SCHIP demonstration during the reporting period.

106633 Number of **children** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration

_____ Number of **parents** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration

_____ Number of **pregnant women** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration

_____ Number of **childless adults** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration

~~3.~~ What have you found about the impact of covering adults on enrollment, retention, and access to care of children?

~~4.~~ Please provide budget information in the following table for the years in which the demonstration is approved. *Note: This reporting period (Federal Fiscal Year 2005 starts 10/1/04 and ends 9/30/05).*

COST PROJECTIONS OF DEMONSTRATION (SECTION 1115 or HIFA)	**2006**	**2007**	**2008**	**2009**	**2010**
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #1 (e.g., children)					
Insurance Payments					
Managed care					
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					
Fee for Service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #1					
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #2 (e.g., parents)					
Insurance Payments					
Managed care					
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					

Fee for Service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2					

Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3
(e.g., pregnant women)

Insurance Payments					
Managed care					
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					
Fee for Service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3					

Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4
(e.g., childless adults)

Insurance Payments					
Managed care					
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					
Fee for Service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3					

Total Benefit Costs					
(Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)					
Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)					

Administration Costs

Personnel					
General Administration					
Contractors/Brokers *(e.g., enrollment contractors)*					
Claims Processing					
Outreach/Marketing costs					
Other *(specify)*					
Total Administration Costs					
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)					

Federal Title XXI Share					
State Share					

TOTAL COSTS OF DEMONSTRATION					

When was your budget last updated (please include month, day and year)?

The budget was last updated on July 1, 2006.

Please provide a description of any assumptions that are included in your calculations.

Other notes relevant to the budget:

Question 2 - 106,633 is a preliminary number.

Please refer to the information under Section IV, #1. Missouri only projects costs for a three-year period.

SECTION VI: PROGRAM CHALLENGES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

~~1.~~ For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted SCHIP.

Significant reductions in eligibility and benefits in other eligibility categories were implemented in September, 2005, thus this reporting period is the first fiscal year with these changes. In the SCHIP program, parents of children in the 150% FPL to 300% FPL must pay premiums.

~~2.~~ During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced?

Budgetary/fiscal shortfalls continue to be a challenge in Missouri.

~~3.~~ During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program?

Missouri continues to cover children up to 300% FPL despite fiscal constraints.

~~4.~~ What changes have you made or are planning to make in your SCHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned.

No changes are planned in Missouri's SCHIP Program during State fiscal year 2008.

Enter any Narrative text below.