FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

Preamble

Section 2108(a) of the Act provides that the State must assess the operation of the State child health plan in each fiscal year, and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the State must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children.

To assist States in complying with the statute, the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP), with funding from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, has coordinated an effort with States and CMS over the years to design and revise this Annual Report Template. Over time, the framework has been updated to reflect program maturation and corrected where difficulties with reporting have been identified.

The framework is designed to:

- **A.** Recognize the ***diversity*** of State approaches to SCHIP and allow States ***flexibility*** to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their SCHIP programs, **AND**
- B. Provide ***consistency*** across States in the structure, content, and format of the report, **AND**
- C. Build on data ***already collected*** by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, **AND**
- D. Enhance ***accessibility*** of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI.

FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

State/Territory: **MD**
(Name of State/Territory)

The following Annual Report is submitted in compliance with Title XXI of the Social Security Act (Section 2108(a)).

Signature:

Alonzo Robinson and Peggy Owens

SCHIP Program Name(s): **All, Maryland**

SCHIP Program Type:

- ☐ SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Only
- ☐ Separate Child Health Program Only
- ☒ Combination of the above

Reporting Period: **2006** *Note: Federal Fiscal Year 2006 starts 10/1/05 and ends 9/30/06.*

Contact Person/Title: **Division Chief, Eligibility Policy**

Address: **201 West Preston Street**

City: **Baltimore** State**:** **MD** Zip**:** **21201**

Phone: **410-767-3641** Fax: **410-333-5361**

Email: **robinsona@dhmh.state.md.us**

Submission Date: **1/11/2007**

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1st of each year)
Please copy Cynthia Pernice at NASHP (cpernice@nashp.org)

SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF SCHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES

~~1)~~ To provide a summary at-a-glance of your SCHIP program characteristics, please provide the following information. You are encouraged to complete this table for the different SCHIP programs within your state, e.g., if you have two types of separate child health programs within your state with different eligibility rules. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table.

	SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program					Separate Child Health Program				
Eligibility						**From**	0	% of FPL conception to birth	0	% of FPL
	From	185	% of FPL for **infants**	200	% of FPL	**From**	200	% of FPL for **infants**	300	% of FPL
	From	133	% of FPL for children ages **1 through 5**	200	% of FPL	**From**	200	% of FPL for 1 **through 5**	300	% of FPL
	From	100	% of FPL for children ages **6 through 16**	200	% of FPL	**From**	200	% of FPL for children ages **6 through 16**	300	% of FPL
	From	100	% of FPL for children ages **17 and 18**	200	% of FPL	**From**	200	% of FPL for children ages **17 and 18**	300	% of FPL

Is presumptive eligibility provided for children?	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes, for whom and how long?	☐	Yes, for whom and how long?
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Is retroactive eligibility available?	☐	No	☒	No
	☒	Yes, for whom and how long? Since March 2000.	☐	Yes, for whom and how long?
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your State Plan contain authority to implement a waiting list?	Not applicable	☒	No
		☐	Yes
		☐	N/A

Does your program have a mail-in application?	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes	☒	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Can an applicant apply for your program over the phone?	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program have an application on your website that can be printed, completed and mailed in?	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes	☒	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Can an applicant apply for your program on-line?	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes – please check all that apply	☐	Yes – please check all that apply
	☐	Signature page must be printed and mailed in	☐	Signature page must be printed and mailed in
	☐	Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income documentation)	☐	Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income documentation)
	☐	Electronic signature is required	☐	Electronic signature is required
			☐	No Signature is required
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require a face-to-face interview during initial application	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require a child to be uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting period)?	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	Specify number of months		Specify number of months	
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program provide period of continuous coverage <u>regardless of income changes?</u>	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes	☒	Yes
	Specify number of months	6	Specify number of months	6
	Explain circumstances when a child would lose eligibility during the time period in the box below		Explain circumstances when a child would lose eligibility during the time period in the box below	
	N/A		N/A	

	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require premiums or an enrollment fee?	☒	No	☐	No
	☐	Yes	☒	Yes
	Enrollment fee amount		Enrollment fee amount	0
	Premium amount		Premium amount	55
	Yearly cap		Yearly cap	660
	If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below		If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below (including premium/enrollment fee amounts and include Federal poverty levels where appropriate)	
			$44.00 per family per month if family income is above 200% FPL, but at or below250% FPL; $55.00 per family per month if family income is above 250% FPl but at or below 300% FPL. The amounts are established on a formula of 2% of FPL for a family 2-3 at 200% and 250% FPL, respectively; the actual amounts change each April.	
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program impose copayments or coinsurance?	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program impose deductibles?	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require an assets test?	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	If Yes, please describe below		If Yes, please describe below	
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require income disregards?	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes	☒	Yes
	If Yes, please describe below		If Yes, please describe below	

	$90.00/month per employed person; child care cost up to $200.00 per child per month if employed 100 hours monthly (up to $100 perchild per month if employed less than 100 hours monthly); $50.00 per family per month of child support received; actual amounts of child support and alimoney paid to someone outside of home.		Same as Medicaid expansion, plus family income in excess of 235 percent of FPL.	
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Is a preprinted renewal form sent prior to eligibility expiring?	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes	☒	Yes
		☒ We send out form to family with their information pre-completed and ask for confirmation		☒ We send out form to family with their information pre-completed and ask for confirmation
		☐ We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed		☐ We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Enter any Narrative text below.

Pre-printed Renewal Form: Effective July, 2004 and September 2004 renewals. The Clients Automated Resources Eligibility System (CARES) creates a pre-printed renewal form. The customer must up-date information as needed, sign and return form to complete renewal. This change applies to the Medicaid expansion program only.

~~2.~~ Is there an assets test for children in your Medicaid program?	☐ Yes	☒ No	☐ N/A
~~3.~~ Is it different from the assets test in your separate child health program?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☒ N/A
~~4.~~ Are there income disregards for your Medicaid program?	☒ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
~~5.~~ Are they different from the income disregards in your separate child health program?	☒ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A
~~6.~~ Is a joint application used for your Medicaid and separate child health program?	☒ Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A

7. Have you made changes to any of the following policy or program areas during the reporting period? Please indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking appropriate column.

	Medicaid Expansion SCHIP Program			Separate Child Health Program		
	Yes	No Change	N/A	Yes	No Change	N/A
~~a)~~ Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐

~~b)~~ Application	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐
~~c)~~ Application documentation requirements	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~d)~~ Benefit structure	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~e)~~ Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~f)~~ Crowd out policies	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~g)~~ Delivery system	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~h)~~ Eligibility determination process (including implementing a waiting lists or open enrollment periods)	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~i)~~ Eligibility levels / target population	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~j)~~ Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~k)~~ Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~l)~~ Eligibility redetermination process	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~m)~~ Enrollment process for health plan selection	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~n)~~ Family coverage	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~o)~~ Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target outreach)	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~p)~~ Premium assistance	☐	☐	☒	☐	☒	☐
~~q)~~ Prenatal Eligibility expansion	☐	☐	☒	☐	☒	☐
~~r)~~ Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)						
Parents	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒
Pregnant women	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
Childless adults	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

~~s)~~ Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~t)~~ Other – please specify					
~~a.~~ _____	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒
~~b.~~ _____	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒
~~c.~~ _____	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒

8. For each topic you responded yes to above, please explain the change and why the change was made, below:

~~a)~~ Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)	The six month eligibility guarantee in HealthChoice was eliminated for the Medicaid expansion program, effectively July 1, 2004 due to fiscal constraints.
~~b)~~ Application	The joint application form was updated effective April ,2005, to include new qualifying income levels and premium amounts based on FPL changes. The joint application form was updated effective July 2004, to include chnages made by the sunset of SFY 2004 Legislation. Change of Medicaid expansion maximum and separate child helath program minimum qualifying incomes, lifting freeze on enrollment in separate child health program for children. The joint application form was updated effective April 1, 2005 to include new qualifying income levels and premium amounts based on FPL changes.
~~c)~~ Application documentation requirements	Citizenship/Identity are newo required.
~~d)~~ Benefit structure	
~~e)~~ Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)	
~~f)~~ Crowd out policies	
~~g)~~ Delivery system	
~~h)~~ Eligibility determination process (including implementing a waiting lists or open enrollment periods)	

~~i)~~ Eligibility levels / target population	
~~j)~~ Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
~~k)~~ Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
~~l)~~ Eligibility redetermination process	
~~m)~~ Enrollment process for health plan selection	
~~n)~~ Family coverage	
~~o)~~ Outreach	
~~p)~~ Premium assistance	
~~q)~~ Prenatal Eligibility Expansion	
~~r)~~ Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)	
Parents	
Pregnant women	
Childless adults	
~~s)~~ Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	
~~t)~~ Other – please specify	
a.	
b.	
c.	

Enter any Narrative text below.

SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of three subsections that gather information on the core performance measures for the SCHIP program as well as your State's progress toward meeting its general program strategic objectives and performance goals. Section IIA captures data on the core performance measures to the extent data are available. Section IIB captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your State. Section IIC captures progress towards meeting your State's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

SECTION IIA: REPORTING OF CORE PERFORMANCE MEASURES

CMS is directed to examine national performance measures by the SCHIP Final Rules of January 11, 2001. To address this SCHIP directive, and to address the need for performance measurement in Medicaid, CMS, along with other Federal and State officials, developed a core set of performance measures for Medicaid and SCHIP. The group focused on well-established measures whose results could motivate agencies, providers, and health plans to improve the quality of care delivered to enrollees. After receiving comments from Medicaid and SCHIP officials on an initial list of 19 measures, the group recommended seven core measures, including four core child health measures:

- Well child visits in the first 15 months of life
- Well child visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th years of life
- Use of appropriate medications for children with asthma
- Children's access to primary care practitioners

These measures are based on specifications provided by the Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set (HEDIS®). HEDIS® provides a useful framework for defining and measuring performance. However, use of HEDIS® methodology is not required for reporting on your measures. The HEDIS® methodology can also be modified based on the availability of data in your State.

This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of the core child health measures. Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years (to the extent that data are available). In the first and second column, report data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2004 and FFY 2005). If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2006). Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
If you cannot provide a specific measure, please check the box that applies to your State for each performance measure as follows:

- Population not covered: Check this box if your program does not cover the population included in the measure.
- Data not available: Check this box if data are not available for a particular measure in your State. Please provide an explanation of why the data are currently not available.
- Small sample size: Check this box if the sample size (i.e., denominator) for a particular measure is less than 30. If the sample size is less than 30, your State is not required to report data on the measure. However, please indicate the exact sample size in the space provided.
- Other: Please specify if there is another reason why your state cannot report the measure.

Status of Data Reported:
Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting, as follows:

- Provisional: Check this box if you are reporting data for a measure, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2006.
- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2006.

– Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:
For each performance measure, please indicate the measurement specification (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® technical specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other source with measurement specifications unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2006). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

Data Source:
For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data – administrative data (claims), hybrid data (claims and medical records), survey data, or other source. If another data source was used, please explain the source.

Definition of Population included in the Measure:
Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined. Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion).

Note: You do not need to report data for all delivery system types. You may choose to report data for only the delivery system with the most enrollees in your program.

Year of Data:
Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):
In this section, please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

Note: SARTS will calculate the rate if you enter the numerator and denominator. Otherwise, if you only have the rate, enter it in the rate box.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

Explanation of Progress:
The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2007, 2008, and 2009. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2004 through 2006), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small

increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years.

In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:
Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

NOTE: Please do not reference attachments in this table. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

MEASURE: Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2004	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2006
Measurement Specification: ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: HEDIS 2004	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: HEDIS 2004.	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: HealthChoice recipients who turned 15 months old during CY 2004 and who were continously enrolled in the MCO from 31 days of age. Recipients who receive five or more well-child visits with a primary care practioner during the first 15 months of life.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: HealthChoice recipients that turn 15 months old during the measurement year and were continously enrolled during the measurement year. Recipients that had a well chid visit(s) during the measurement year.
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:** 2005

Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life (continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with specified number of visits 0 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 4 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 1 visit Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 5 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 2 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 6+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 3 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with specified number of visits 0 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 4 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 1 visit Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 5 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 2 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 6+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 3 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with specified number of visits 0 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 3 4 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 1 visit Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 5 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 2 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 6+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 3 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 81 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 78 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 82 Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Increase in performance over previous year.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Increase in performance over previous year.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Increase performance over previous year.

Explain how these objectives were set: MCO's have demonstrated maintenance and or improvement in the measure in recent years.

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE: Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30) *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: HEDIS 2004.	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: HEDIS, 2005	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: HEDIS 2006.
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*: HealthChoice recipients ages 3-6 years as of December 31 of the measurement year and were continously enrolled during measurement year.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: HealthChoice recipients who were three,four,five or six years old during CY 2004, who were continously enrolled during CY 2004 (with no more than one gap in enrollment of up to 30 days).	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Reciipients that had at least one well-child visit with a PCP during measurement year.
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:** 2005
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with 1+ visits Numerator: Denominator:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with 1+ visits Numerator: Denominator:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with 1+ visits Numerator: Denominator:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Rate: 70 Additional notes on measure:	Rate: 73 Additional notes on measure:	Rate: 70 Additional notes on measure:

Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life (continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 70 Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Increase performance over previous year.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Increase performance over previous year.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Increase performance over previous year.

Explain how these objectives were set: MCO's have demonstrated maintenance and or improvement in this measure in recent years.

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE: Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: HEDIS 2004.	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: HEDIS 2005	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: HEDIS 2006
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: HealthChoice enrollees who were ages five through nine years during CY 2004, who were continously enrolled during CY 2004 and CY 2003 with no more than one gap in enrollment of up to 30 days. Recipients who were appropriately prescribed mediction during CY 2004.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: HealthChoice enrollees ages 5-17 years by December 31 of the measurement year, were continously enrolled and who were identified as havling persistent asthma during the measurement year and the year before. Numerator: Recipients dispensed at least one perscription for inhaled corticosteoids, nedocromil, cromolyn sodium, lukotriene modifers, or methylxanthines during the measurement year.
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:** 2005

Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma (continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent receiving appropriate medications 5-9 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 10-17 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Combined rate (5-17 years) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent receiving appropriate medications 5-9 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 69 10-17 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Combined rate (5-17 years) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure: CY 2004 was the first year this was measured.	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent receiving appropriate medications 5-9 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 90 10-17 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 86 Combined rate (5-17 years) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 88 Additional notes on measure: HEDIS measures ages 5-56 but only 5-17 is included here.
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Increase performance over previous year.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Increase performance over previous year.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Increase performance over previous year.

Explain how these objectives were set: MCO's have demonstrated maintenance and or improvement in this measure in recent years.

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE: Children's Access to Primary Care Practitioners

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: HEDIS 2005	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children ages 12 months through 24 months, 25 months through 6 years, 7 years through 11 years, and 12 years throuogh 19 years who were continously enrolled during CY 2004 and the year prior to the measurement year. Recipients who had a visit with a primary care practioner during CY 2004 or the year prior to the measurement year.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: HealthChoice recipients 1-19 years by December 31 of the measurement year and continously enrolled. Ages 1-6 years one or more visits with a PCP during the measurement year. Ages 7-19 years one or more visits with a PCP during the measurement year or the year before.
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:** 2005

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with a PCP visit 12-24 months Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 93 7-11 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 84 25 months-6 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 84 12-19 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 82 Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with a PCP visit 12-24 months Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 94 7-11 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 89 25 months-6 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 86 12-19 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 85 Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with a PCP visit 12-24 months Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 93 7-11 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 89 25 months-6 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 85 12-19 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 83 Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** Increase performance over previous year. **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** Increase performance over previous year. **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** Increase performance over previous year. *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure: Performance has stabilized at a relatively high rate.		

SECTION IIB: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

~~1.~~ The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in SCHIP in your State for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 in your State's 4th quarter data report (submitted in October) in the SCHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by SARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2005	FFY 2006	Percent change FFY 2005-2006
SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	106471	112123	5.31
Separate Child Health Program	13845	23911	72.7

~~A.~~ Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent.

Enrollment in the SCHIP only portion of the program has experienced growth this year.Although, there have been no legislative mandates that would affect program growth, the MCHP Premium population has experienced steady growth since changes were made two years ago, which included lifting the enrollment freeze and modifying enrolee communication materials.

~~2.~~ The table below shows trends in the three-year averages for the number and rate of uninsured children in your State based on the Current Population Survey (CPS), along with the percent change between 1996-1998 and 2003-2005. Significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3. SARTS will fill in this information automatically, but in the meantime, please refer to the CPS data attachment that was sent with the FFY 2006 Annual Report Template.

	Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty		Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty as a Percent of Total Children Under Age 19	
Period	Number	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error
1996 - 1998	93	23.2	7.1	1.8
1998 - 2000	75	20.8	6.0	1.6
2000 - 2002	60	12.3	4.1	.8
2002 - 2004	69	13.1	4.8	.9
2003 - 2005	73	14.8	5.1	1.0

Percent change 1996-1998 vs. 2003-2005	-21.5%	NA	-28.2%	NA

~~A.~~ Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children.

The rate of unisured children may have changed due to changes in reporting on the CPS. For the most recent data, the survey was modified for Maryland recipients to add Medical Assistance as a coverage option. Preliminary analysis shows that this change may have had an impact on the number of people/families who report having public insurance.

~~B.~~ Please note any comments here concerning CPS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates.

In the past, the Department has questioned the validity of the survey results because of the disporportionatley high CPS undercount in Maryland (the CPS tends to underestimate the number of people with public insuranace in most states due to survey design and methodology). Given the most recent change in the Maryland survey, the undercount is more in line with what has been reported from other states. However, the State will continue to monitor CPS results to determine if the accuracy of the survey increases over time.

~~3.~~ Please indicate by checking the box below whether your State has an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

☐ Yes (please report your data in the table below)

☒ No (skip to Question #4)

Please report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	N/A
Reporting period (2 or more points in time)	N/A
Methodology	N/A
Population (Please include ages and income levels)	N/A
Sample sizes	N/A
Number and/or rate for two or more points in time	N/A
Statistical significance of results	N/A

~~A.~~ Please explain why your State chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

N/A

~~B.~~ What is your State's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? (Provide a numerical range or confidence intervals if available.)

N/A

~~C.~~ What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology?
N/A

~~4.~~ How many children do you estimate have been enrolled in Medicaid as a result of SCHIP outreach activities and enrollment simplification? Describe the data source and method used to derive this information

Between June 05 and June 06 SOBRA enrollment increased approximately three percent from 190,000 to 195,000. Enrollment in MCHP only categories increase five percent between June 2005 and June 2006 (from 98,000 to 104,000) based on administrative data. The increase was due primarily to regular growth and the simplified enrollment process, not outreach. Enrollment in the MCHP Premium program has also grwon approximately 25 percent during the same time period (from 9,000 to almost 12,000 enerollees). While difficult to determine, we estimate that a substantial part of this growth is due to outreach and enrolllment simpilification.

SECTION IIC: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

This subsection gathers information on your State's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your SCHIP State Plan. The format of this section has been revised for FFY 2006 to provide your State with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

- Reducing the number of uninsured children
- SCHIP enrollment
- Medicaid enrollment
- Increasing access to care
- Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, please enter the data you reported for each objective in the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2004 and FFY 2005). In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the annual report.

Note that the term *performance measure* is used differently in Section IIA versus IIC. In Section IIA, the term refers to the four core child health measures. In this section, the term is used more broadly, to refer to any data your State provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are State-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported in Sections IIA or IIB. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your State did not_report elsewhere in Section II.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective.

Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

- New/revised: Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why the goal was revised.
- Continuing: Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.
- Discontinued: Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

Status of Data Reported:
Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

- Provisional: Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2006.

- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2006.

- Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:
This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which States may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2006). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

Data Source:
For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and SCHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source. For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims), hybrid data (claims and medical records), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source. In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

Definition of Population Included in Measure:
Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care , please also check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

Year of Data:
Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which enrollment or utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured: Please provide a brief explanation of the information you intend to capture through the performance measure.

Numerator, Denominator, and Rate: Please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). For the objectives related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, the template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for

each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the “additional notes” section.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a “weighted rate” by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an “unweighted average” by taking the mean rate across health plans.

Explanation of Progress:

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2007, 2008, and 2009. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2004 through 2006), use a combination of expert opinion and “best guesses” to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe)	**Goal #1 (Describe)**	**Goal #1 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	**Goal #2 (Describe)**	**Goal #2 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	**Goal #3 (Describe)**	**Goal #3 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe)	**Goal #1 (Describe)**	**Goal #1 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	**Goal #2 (Describe)**	**Goal #2 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	**Goal #3 (Describe)**	**Goal #3 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe)	**Goal #1 (Describe)**	**Goal #1 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	**Goal #2 (Describe)**	**Goal #2 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	**Goal #3 (Describe)**	**Goal #3 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe) Increase in primary care provider network capacity in areas where capacity is lowest.	**Goal #1 (Describe)** Increase in primary care provider network capacity in area where capacity is lowest.	**Goal #1 (Describe)** Increase in primary care provider network capacity in areas where capacity is lowest.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2005
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Provider network analyis, University of Maryland, Baltimore County.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Provider network analylsis, University of Maryland, Baltimore County.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Provider network analysis, University of Maryland, Baltimore county.
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☒ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Total HealthChoice enrollees in Eastern Shore area (Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Annes,Somerset,Talbot, Wicomico and Worcester Counties) compared to total HealthChoice PCPs on Eastern Shore.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Total Health Choice enrollees in Eastern Shore area (Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Annes, Somerset,Talbot, Wicomico and Worcester Counties) compared to total HealthChoice in PCPs on Eastern Shore. Definition of demoniator 272 PCPs on Easter Shore. Definition of numerator 43,616 enrollees on Easter Shore.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Total HealthChoice enrollees in Eastern Shore area (Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Annes,Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico and Worscester Counties) compared to total HealthChoice PCPs on Eastern Shore.
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure: MCOs are required to regularlly submit information to the Department on their provider networks. These submissions are used for calculating the total number of providers program-wide, by local access areaa, and by MCO.	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure: MCOs are required to regularly sulbmit information to the Department on their provider networks. These submissions are used for calculating the total number of providers program-wide by local access areas and by MCO.

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Increase health care services for low-income populations in areas wwhere there is a lower cooncent4ation of provider networks.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Increase health care services for low-income populations in areas where there is a lower concentration of provider networks.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Increase health care services for low-income populations in areas where there is a lower concentration of provider networks.

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe) Increase number of enrollees who indicate that they have access to needed health care.	**Goal #2 (Describe)** Increase number of enrollees who indicate they have access to needed health care.	**Goal #2 (Describe)** Increase number of enrollees who indicate that they have access to needed health care.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: CAHPS.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children in HealthChoice (includes Medicaid, MCHp and MCHP Premium) reporting "getting needed care". Children (ages 12 and under) had to be continuously enrolled in the same MCO for five of the last six months.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children in HealthChoice (includes Medicaid, MCHP and MCHP Premium) reporting "getting needed cdare". Children (ages 12 and under) had to be enrolled in the same MCO for five of the last six months.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children in HealthChoice (includes Medicaid, MCHP and MCHP Premium) reporting "getting needed care". Children (ages 12 and under) had to be enrolled in the same MCO five of the last six months.
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 80.6 Additional notes on measure: NCQA protocol: Four-wave mail with phone follow-up methodology. The child surveys were condlucted by the parent or guardian who knew the most about the child's health. The required sample size was 3,490 children per plan.	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 80.6 Additional notes on measure: NCQA protocol: Four-wave mail with phone follow-up metholodgy. The child surveys were conducted by the parent or guardian who knew the most about the child's health. The required sample size was 3,490 children per plan. Based on average percent of four separate measures with diffrent numerators and demoniators.

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Increase access to health care services for low-income populations.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Increase access to health care services for low-income populations.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Increase access to health care services for low-income populations.

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe) Increase satisfaction with specialty health care.	**Goal #3 (Describe)** Increase satisfaction with specialty health care.	**Goal #3 (Describe)** Increase satisfation with specialtiy health care.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: CAHPS.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*: Children in HealthChoice (includes Medicaid, MCHP and MCHP Premium) reporting satisfaction with their specialist (rating on a scale of 1 to 10, 10 being the highest). Children (ages 12 and under) had to be enrolled in the same MCO for five of the last six months.	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*: CAHPS Satisfaction Survey.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children in HealthChoice (includes Medicaid, MCHP and MCHP Premium) reporting satisfaction with their specialist (rating on a scale of 1 to 10, 10 being the highest). Children(ages 12 and under) had to be enrolled in the same MCO for five of the last six months.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children in HealthChoice (includes Medicaid, MCHP and MCHP Premium) reporting satisfaction with their specialist (rating on a scale of 1 to 10, 10 being the highest). Children (ages 12 and under) had to be enrolled in the same MCO for five of the last six months.
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Rate: Additional notes on measure:	Rate: Additional notes on measure:	Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Performance Measurement Data: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 8.4 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 8.4 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 8.4 Additional notes on measure: NCQA protocol: Four wave mail with phone follow-up metholodgy. The child surveys were conducted by the parent or guardian who knew the most about the child's health. The required sample size was 3,490 children per plan.
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** Increase satisfaction with specialty health care. **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** Increase satisfaction with specialty health care. **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** Increase with satisfaction with specialty health care. *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe) Increase childhood immunization rates.	**Goal #1 (Describe)**	**Goal #1 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: HEDIS 2004.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☒ Other. *Specify*: MCO's HEDIS Reports.	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children in HealthChoice who turned 2 years old during measurement calendar year and were enrolled continously for 12 months immediately preceeding their second birthday who were identified as having four DTP/DTAP, three hepatitis B and one chicken pox vaccine (VZV), by the enrolees second birthday.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 67 Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	**Goal #2 (Describe)**	**Goal #2 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	**Goal #3 (Describe)**	**Goal #3 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

1. What other strategies does your State use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? What have you found?

MCHP and MCHP Premium enrollees are given the same assurance of access sto high quality care as Medicaid recipients in HealthChoice. Each child is enrolled in HealthChoice selects or is assigned to a primary care provider (PCP). The PCP is responsible for ensuring that children receive screenings and follow up treatment.DHMH has an extensive system for evaluating and improving HealthChoice managed care organization (MCO) performance. Each component of the approach is aimed at measuring the actual performance of the MCO or determing whether or not the MCO has the necessary infrastructure to provide high-quality care. Before DHMH appproves an MCO and MCO participation in HealthChoice, the MCO must undergo an extensive application and must meet operational and financial standards. After joining HealthChoice, MCO's are evaluated according to a variety of qualtiy standards. Quality activities include: Value-Based Purchasing, a coordinated perforrmanace measurement initative designed to use incentives and discentives to hold MCO's accountable for performance.

Select Health Plan Employoer Data and Inforamtion Set (HEDIS) measures, which allow the State to make comparisons of HealthChoice to national performance benchmakrs.

Consuemer Assessment of Health Plans (CAHPS) survey, a national survey adminstered to enrollees to determine consumers' perceptions of the care and services they have received from their MCO's.Provider Satisfaction Survey. Annual Quality of Care audit conducted by an External Quality Review Organization (EQRO). This includes reviews of systems performance (i.e. MCO infrastructure) as well as reviews of various clinical performance measures.Encouonter data collection and analysis to measure trends in health serfices utilization and access to care. Consumer Report Card, a tool for consumers to use when selecting an MCO to allow them to compare MCO's based on several categories. Health Kids Medical record reviews. Mointoring of enrollee and provider hotlines. Performance improvement proojects focusing on clinical or non-clinical areas as determined by DHMH. DHMH has established primary care and specialty lprovider network requirements in order to guarantee that enrollees have timely access to care. Quality standards have been established as part of all the qualtiy activities. If an MCO does not meet an established standard, it must submit and follow-up on a corrective action plan. The MCO may also be subject to financial or enrollment sanctions. The Annual Qualtiy of Care Audit System Performance Review (SPR) consists of 19 standards.

2. What strategies does your SCHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? When will data be available?

Maryland will continue implementing the quality strategies outlined above. Data will continue to be available on an annual basis.

3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your SCHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found?

N/A

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your SCHIP program’s performance. Please list attachments here and summarize findings or list main findings.

NA

Enter any Narrative text below.

Previous study indicated parents of children who has disenrolled had not left the Program due to premium requirement.

SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

OUTREACH

~~1.~~ How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period?

Due to fiscal constraints State centralized outreach activities requiring expenditure of funds did not occur inFFY2006. The Department continued to provide Program brochures and application forms on request, to maintain current program information, including application forms in English and Spanish, on theDepartment website, and to speak to various groups about the Program. Local Health Departmentsmaintained existing grassroots relationship with comomunity partners, such as local area schools.

~~2.~~ What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness?

N/A to FFY 2006.

~~3.~~ Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)? Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness?

N/A to FFY 2006.

SUBSTITUTION OF COVERAGE (CROWD-OUT)

States with a separate child health program above 200 through 250% of FPL must complete question 1. All other states with trigger mechanisms should also answer this question.

~~1.~~ Does your state cover children between 200 and 250 percent of the FPL or does it identify a trigger mechanism or point at which a substitution prevention policy is instituted?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ N/A

If yes, please identify the trigger mechanisms or point at which your substitution prevention policy is instituted.

Pursuant to Maryland's 1115 Delmonstration Waiver, voluntary dropping of employoer-sponsored insurance ESI within six months prior to application will result in denial of coverage for any MCHP or MCHP Premium applicant, until six months have elapsed from the date of the voluntary dropping of ESI coverage. Exceptions to the waiting period requirements include:1. Voluntary loss of coverage based on employer termination of coverage for all emloyees: 2.Joob Change: 3.Involuntary loss of employment: 4. Move out of service area of all plans offered by employer;5. Expiration of COBRA benefits, and 6. Termination of limited benefit (vision plan, dental lplan, etc.) that didn't include a inpatient hospital coverage plan. The application form asks whether anyone applying for MCHP or MCHP Premium has dropped health insurance coverage in the past 0-3 -4-6, 7-9, 10-12 months. The application form also aasks the reason for dropping ESI. If the applicants have dropped insurance within six (6) months prior to the month of application, the applicant must complete information about the insurer, policy number, group number, effective date and end date of coverage.

The CARES eligibility computer system assembles and reports specific reasons for dropping health insurance to the Department for MCHP and MCHP Premium applicants. The CARES system does not identify applicants separately by MCHP or MCHP Premium.

States with separate child health programs over 250% of FPL must complete question 2. All other states with substitution prevention provisions should also answer this question.

~~2.~~ Does your state cover children above 250 percent of the FPL or does it employ substitution prevention provisions?

☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ N/A

If yes, identify your substitution prevention provisions (waiting periods, etc.).

See response to question #1 above.

All States must complete the following 3 questions

~~3.~~ Describe how substitution of coverage is monitored and measured and the effectiveness of your policies.

The small percentage of children denied due to voluntary dropping ESI indicates that the waiting period of six (6) months from the date of voluntary dropping ESI has been effective. There also does not appear to be an impact on the Program from employers discontinuing coverage.

~~4.~~ At the time of application, what percent of applicants are found to have insurance?

Based on CARES reports for SFY 2006 18 percent of children applying for MCHP had active health insurance at the time of application.

~~5.~~ Describe the incidence of substitution. What percent of applicants drop group health plan coverage to enroll in SCHIP?

MCHP and MCHP Premium: Maryland does not enroll children currently covered by ESI or whose parents have dropped such coverage voluntarily within six (6) months prior to thedate of application.

COORDINATION BETWEEN SCHIP AND MEDICAID

(This subsection should be completed by States with a Separate Child Health Program)

~~1.~~ Do you have the same redetermination procedures to renew eligibility for Medicaid and SCHIP (e.g., the same verification and interview requirements)? Please explain.

MCHP - MCHP is a Medicaid expansion. Beginning July, 2004, we usea pre-filled form created by the CARES eligibility computer system. The form is mailed to the parent or other representative of the enrolled child. The parent or representative reviews and updates information on the form, signs, and mails the form to the local department of social services or the local health department in the jurisdiction of residence. The local agency reviews the form and determines continuing eligibilitiy.

MCHP Premium - MCHP Premium is a separate child health program. The same verification and interview requirements apply to renewal of eligibility as apply to the customer's initial application. For MCHLP Premium, we use a shart, 4-page application form for all children applying for renewal of eligibility. The form is the same form used for initial determination of eligibility for MCHP. The form is mailed to the recipient's parent. Applicants may submit the form by mail to

the local health department in the jurisdiction of residence. All applicants for renewal of MCHP Premium benefits are reviewed for Medicaid or MCHP eligibility before referred to the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene for continued MCHP Premium enrollment.

2. Please explain the process that occurs when a child's eligibility status changes from Medicaid to SCHIP and from SCHIP to Medicaid. Have you identified any challenges? If so, please explain.

Medicaid to SCHIP*

At the time of application, caseworkers will check for Medicaid eligibility first, then proceed to MCHP eligibility determination for those children who do not qualify for Medicaid. A child who does not qualify for MCHP is referred electronically to the MCHP Premium Case Management Unit at the Department if: (1) the child's family meets the financial eligibility criteria for MCHP Premium, and (2) the paretn fhas indicated on the Application form a willingness to pay for coverage, if it is not available without cost. The parent receives a letter denying MCHP and explaining the transfer to the MCHP Premium Unit. Caseworkers at the Local Health Departments (LHD's) and the Local Department of Social Services 9LDSS's) also review eligibility status when changes occur in the child's circumstances that warrant redetermination of eligibility. If necessary, based on these changes, caseworkers will amend the CARES eligibility file to indicate between Medicaid and MCHP,or referral to the department for enrollment in MCHP Premium if the parent has indicated a willingness to pay a premium. Caseworkers at the MCHP Premium Case Management Unit at the Department also review eligibility status when changes occur in the child's circumstances that warrant redetermination of eligibility. If the change is such that the child no longer meets the minimum eligibility requirements for MCHP Premium but may qualify for Medicaid or MCHP, the MCHP Premium Caseworker notifies the parent that coverage may be established under MCHP, but that an application must be filed for MCHP with the local health department. The case manager encloses the application form with the notification letter. The case manager will terminate MCHP Premium eligibiliity if the application for MCHP is not completed with 60 days of the date of the notification letter. The transfer process described above may have been in place for Medicaid and MCHP since the beginning of the MCHP program in July, 1998, and for Medicaid, MCHP and MCHP Premium since the beginning of the MCHP Premium Program in July,2001. We have encountered no difficulties with the assignment (or reassignment) of children to the appropriate assistance program.

3. Are the same delivery systems (including provider networks) used in Medicaid and SCHIP? Please explain.

The same delivery systems (including provider networks) are used in Medicaid. MCHP children are enrolled in Maryland's HealthChoice managed care program.

ELIGIBILITY REDETERMINATION AND RETENTION

1. What measures does your State employ to retain eligible children in SCHIP? Please check all that apply and provide descriptions as requested.

☒ Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers

☒ Sends renewal reminder notices to all families

- How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program? MCHP: Redetermination of eligibility is initiated with a computer generated notice of redetermination due approximately 2.5 months before end of the current eligibility period. The notice is mailed to the head of household for eligible child, along with a pre-printed renewal form. The head of household must review the form, update information as needed, sign and return the form to the local department of social services or local health department in the jurisdiction of residence.

– At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the State?)
Approximately 3 weeks before the end of current eligibility, a follow-up letter is sent if the renewal has not been received.

☐ Sends targeted mailings to selected populations

– Please specify population(s) (e.g., lower income eligibility groups)

☐ Holds information campaigns

☒ Provides a simplified reenrollment process,

Please describe efforts (e.g., reducing the length of the application, creating combined Medicaid/SCHIP application)

See comments under application process.

☒ Conducts surveys or focus groups with disenrollees to learn more about reasons for disenrollment *please describe:*

Parents/Guardians of disenrolled children were surveyed in 2004 (last survey) and the depaartment examined enrollment trends and made comparisons between who remained in the program and those who disenrolled.

☐ Other, *please explain*:

~~2.~~ Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology.

The simplified reenrollment process.

~~3.~~ Does your State generate monthly reports or conduct assessments that track the outcomes of individuals who disenroll, or do not reenroll, in SCHIP (e.g., how many obtain other public or private coverage, how many remain uninsured, how many age-out, how many move to a new geographic area)

☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ N/A

When was the monthly report or assessment last conducted?

April, 2004. The April, 2004 Disenrollee Study discussed was the last Maryland Assessment of individuals disenrolled.

If you responded yes to the question above, please provide a summary of the most recent findings (in the table below) from these reports and/or assessments.

Findings from Report/Assessment on Individuals Who Disenroll, or Do Not Reenroll in SCHIP

Total Number of Dis-enrollees	Obtain other public or private coverage	Remain uninsured	Age-out	Move to new geographic area	Other

	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Please describe the data source (e.g., telephone or mail survey, focus groups) used to derive this information.

Telephone survey was used in april, 2004.

COST SHARING

~~1.~~ Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of premiums/enrollment fees on participation in SCHIP? If so, what have you found?

Last report in April, 2004 shows the monthly premiums was not the main reason their children left MCHP and paretns thought the monthly premium was affordable.

~~2.~~ Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of health services in SCHIP? If so, what have you found?

Cost-sharing was in effect for one year only. No study of the effect of cost-shring was completed.

~~3.~~ If your state has increased or decreased cost sharing in the past federal fiscal year, has the state undertaken any assessment of the impact of these changes on application, enrollment, disenrollment, and utilization of health services in SCHIP. If so, what have you found?

See response to question 2, above.

PREMIUM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM(S) UNDER SCHIP STATE PLAN

~~1.~~ Does your State offer a premium assistance program for children and/or adults using Title XXI funds under any of the following authorities?

☐ Yes, please answer questions below.
☒ No, skip to Program Integrity subsection.

Children

☐ Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.

☐ Premium Assistance under the State Plan
☐ Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan
☐ SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration
☐ Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration
☐ Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration
☐ Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP)

Adults

☐ Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.

☐ Premium Assistance under the State Plan (Incidentally)

- ☐ Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan
- ☐ SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration
- ☐ Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration
- ☐ Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration
- ☐ Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP)

~~2.~~ Please indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)

- ☐ Parents and Caretaker Relatives
- ☐ Childless Adults

~~3.~~ Briefly describe your program (including current status, progress, difficulties, etc.)

~~4.~~ What benefit package does the program use?

~~5.~~ Does the program provide wrap-around coverage for benefits or cost sharing?

6. Identify the total number of children and adults enrolled in the premium assistance program for whom Title XXI funds are used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in premium assistance even if they were covered incidentally and not via the SCHIP family coverage provision).

0 Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period

0 Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period

7. Identify the estimated amount of substitution, if any, that occurred or was prevented as a result of your premium assistance program. How was this measured?

8. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your premium assistance program has experienced?

9. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your premium assistance program?

10. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your premium assistance program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned.

11. Indicate the effect of your premium assistance program on access to coverage. How was this measured?

12. What do you estimate is the impact of premium assistance on enrollment and retention of children? How was this measured?

13. Identify the total state expenditures for family coverage during the reporting period. **(For states offering premium assistance under a family coverage waiver only.)**

PROGRAM INTEGRITY (COMPLETE ONLY WITH REGARD TO SEPARATE SCHIP PROGRAMS (I.E. THOSE THAT ARE NOT MEDICAID EXPANSIONS)

1. Does your state have a written plan that has safeguards and establishes methods and procedures for prevention, investigation and referral of cases of fraud and abuse? Please explain.
Effective July 1,2004, the Program Integrity Unit was established in the Medicaid Divisiokn in the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. All fraud wate and abuse audits and investigations for both provider and recipient were centralized in this unit for Medicaid and SCHIP in Maryland All referrals for fraud waste and abuse from internal sources and from external sources are referred to the Program Integrity Unit for investigation. The Program Integrity unit does training and outreach to Local Department of Social Services and to the Local Health Departments of Health for referral of suspected fraud waste and abuse in recipient cases. The Program Integrity Unit has a web site to report fraud waste and abuse for both provider and recipients. There is also a 1-800 hotline number to report fraud waste and abuse. The MFCU unit of the Attorney Generals Office provides guidelines for audits and investigations for providers. The Office of Inspector General has provided training and guidelines for recipient fraud investigations. Effective July 1,2006 the Program Integrity Unit became part of the Office of the Inspector General. This was a result of Maryland State Senate Bill 117 which put control of all investigations and audits for Health Care under the Office of the Inspector General. The Program Integrity unit follows the guidelines for both the Federal and State Office of the Inspector General in the performance of audits and investigations.

2. For the reporting period, please indicate the number of cases investigated, and cases referred, regarding fraud and abuse in the following areas:

~~a)~~ Provider credentialing

Number of cases investigated 0

Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials 0

~~b)~~ Provider billing

Number of cases investigated 167

Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials 8

~~c)~~ Beneficiary eligibility

Number of cases investigated 338

Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials 15

3. If your state relies on contractors to perform the above functions, how does your state provide oversight of those contractors?
N/A

Enter any Narrative text below.

SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (*Note: This reporting period =Federal Fiscal Year 2005. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.)*

COST OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN

Benefit Costs	**2006**	**2007**	**2008**
Insurance payments	0	0	0
Managed Care	146,687,615	160,916,314	187628422
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles	0	0	0
Fee for Service	54,777,475	60,090,890	70,065,978
Total Benefit Costs	201,465,090	221,007,204	257,694,400
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)	(2,792,433)	(2,929,480)	(3,432,161)
Net Benefit Costs	$ 198,672,657	$ 218,077,724	$ 254,262,239

Administration Costs			
Personnel	2,086,634	2,190,966	2,300,514
General Administration	1,098,922	1,153,868	1,211,561
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)	3,647,837	3,830,229	4,021,740
Claims Processing	438,489	460,413	483,434
Outreach/Marketing costs	6,324,247	6,640,459	6,972,483
Other 0	0	0	0
Health Services Initiatives	0	0	0
Total Administration Costs	13,596,129	14,275,935	14,989,732
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	22,074,740	24,230,858	28,251,360

Federal Title XXI Share	137,974,711	151,029,878	175,013,781
State Share	74,294,075	81,323,781	94,238,190

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN	212,268,786	232,353,659	269,251,971

2. What were the sources of non-Federal funding used for State match during the reporting period?

- ☒ State appropriations
- ☐ County/local funds
- ☐ Employer contributions
- ☐ Foundation grants
- ☐ Private donations
- ☐ Tobacco settlement

☐ Other (specify)

Enter any Narrative text below.
We routinely project the current year and the upcoming years on a State Fiscal Year basis.

SECTION V: 1115 DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS (FINANCED BY SCHIP)

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions.

~~1.~~ If you do not have a Demonstration Waiver financed with SCHIP funds skip to Section VI. If you do, please complete the following table showing whom you provide coverage to.

	SCHIP Non-HIFA Demonstration Eligibility					HIFA Waiver Demonstration Eligibility				
Children	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL
Parents	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL
Childless Adults	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL
Pregnant Women	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL

2. Identify the total number of children and adults ever enrolled (an unduplicated enrollment count) in your SCHIP demonstration during the reporting period.

0 Number of **children** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration

0 Number of **parents** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration

0 Number of **pregnant women** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration

0 Number of **childless adults** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration

~~3.~~ What have you found about the impact of covering adults on enrollment, retention, and access to care of children?

~~4.~~ Please provide budget information in the following table for the years in which the demonstration is approved. *Note: This reporting period (Federal Fiscal Year 2005 starts 10/1/04 and ends 9/30/05).*

COST PROJECTIONS OF DEMONSTRATION (SECTION 1115 or HIFA)	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #1 (e.g., children)					
Insurance Payments	0	0	0	0	0
Managed care	0	0	0	0	0
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles	0	0	0	0	0
Fee for Service	0	0	0	0	0
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #1	0	0	0	0	0
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #2 (e.g., parents)					
Insurance Payments	0	0	0	0	0
Managed care	0	0	0	0	0
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles	0	0	0	0	0

Fee for Service	0	0	0	0	0
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2	0	0	0	0	0

Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3
(e.g., pregnant women)

Insurance Payments	0	0	0	0	0
Managed care	0	0	0	0	0
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles	0	0	0	0	0
Fee for Service	0	0	0	0	0
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3	0	0	0	0	0

Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4
(e.g., childless adults)

Insurance Payments	0	0	0	0	0
Managed care	0	0	0	0	0
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles	0	0	0	0	0
Fee for Service	0	0	0	0	0
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3	0	0	0	0	0

Total Benefit Costs	0	0	0	0	0
(Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)	0	0	0	0	0
Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)	0	0	0	0	0

Administration Costs

Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
General Administration	0	0	0	0	0
Contractors/Brokers *(e.g., enrollment contractors)*	0	0	0	0	0
Claims Processing	0	0	0	0	0
Outreach/Marketing costs	0	0	0	0	0
Other *(specify)*	0	0	0	0	0
Total Administration Costs	0	0	0	0	0
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	0	0	0	0	0

Federal Title XXI Share	0	0	0	0	0
State Share	0	0	0	0	0

TOTAL COSTS OF DEMONSTRATION	0	0	0	0	0

When was your budget last updated (please include month, day and year)?

N/A to Maryland.

Please provide a description of any assumptions that are included in your calculations.

Maryland has a SCHIP Section 11115 Waiver that preserves previously existing limitations on substitution of coverage for children in both Mediddcaid expansion and Separate Child Health Programs As such,its effect is to prevent enrollment of children whose parents have voluntarily dropped employer-sponsored

health coverage of the children within six months of the date of application for MCHP or MCHP Premium. The limitations on coverage for children apply as follows:

*Infant from 185% FPL to 300% FPL.

*Children Age 1 throuogh 5 from 133% FPL to 300% FPL.

*Children Age 6 through 16 from 100% FPL to 300% FPL.

*Children Age 17 and 18 from 100% FPL to 300% FPL.

Other notes relevant to the budget:

SECTION VI: PROGRAM CHALLENGES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

1. For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted SCHIP.

Maryland faced continued pressures during 2006 - 2007. Since MCHP cost containment measures have been elimated enrollment in MCHP has been steadily growing. Maryland has implemented a statewide Primary Adult Care (PAC) program as part of Maryland's HealthChoice 1115 Waiver renewal. Individuals eligible for PAC must be aged 19 or over, not eligible for Medicare, and have incomes under 116% of the Federal Poverty Level (100% for households of two or more). Some young adults aging out of Medicaid and MCHP, as well as some parents of Medicaid and MCHP enrollees, may benefit from this program. PAC will cover primary care services provided in a physician's office or clinic, prescription drugs, outpatient mental health services limited diagnostic and lab tests, and certain additional benefits for people with diabetes.

2. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced?

The implementation of the new regulations regarding Citizenshipo/Identity. Maryland is a mail in application program. This new Federal requirement will require all MCHP customers to make a visit to the Local Department of Social Services or Local Health Departments to hand deliver their Citizenship/Identity documents. Local Health Departments are not set up for this type of interviewing process and customer direct contact.

Space is limited in most all Local Health Departments. Staffing is an issue with the level funding for the past three years for our LOcal Health Departments. The hiring freeze for permanent State employees continued throughout FFY 2006 and 2007.

3. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program?

Enrollment and Services in MCHP and MCHP Premium continues to grow. Disenrollment rates for MCHP Premium still remain low.

4. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your SCHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned.

Program changes are unknown at this time. Maryland will need to control growth in Medicaid/MCHP budget if the redistribution of the federal portion of MCHP funds are not allocated to Maryland. If this shortfall materalizes this may require changes in MCHP and MCHP Premium Programs.

Enter any Narrative text below.