FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

Preamble

Section 2108(a) of the Act provides that the State must assess the operation of the State child health plan in each fiscal year, and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the State must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children.

To assist States in complying with the statute, the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP), with funding from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, has coordinated an effort with States and CMS over the years to design and revise this Annual Report Template. Over time, the framework has been updated to reflect program maturation and corrected where difficulties with reporting have been identified.

The framework is designed to:

- **A.** Recognize the ***diversity*** of State approaches to SCHIP and allow States ***flexibility*** to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their SCHIP programs, **AND**
- B. Provide ***consistency*** across States in the structure, content, and format of the report, **AND**
- C. Build on data ***already collected*** by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, **AND**
- D. Enhance ***accessibility*** of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI.

FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

State/Territory: **ND**
(Name of State/Territory)

The following Annual Report is submitted in compliance with Title XXI of the Social Security Act (Section 2108(a)).

Signature:

Jodi Hulm

SCHIP Program Name(s): **All, North Dakota**

SCHIP Program Type:

- ☐ SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Only
- ☐ Separate Child Health Program Only
- ☒ Combination of the above

Reporting Period: **2006** *Note: Federal Fiscal Year 2006 starts 10/1/05 and ends 9/30/06.*

Contact Person/Title: **Jodi Hulm**

Address: **State Capital - Judicial Wing**

600 East Boulevard Ave - Department 325

City: **Bismarck** State**:** **ND** Zip**:** **58505**

Phone: **701-328-2323** Fax: **701-328-1544**

Email: **jmhulm@nd.gov**

Submission Date: **3/5/2007**

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1st of each year)
Please copy Cynthia Pernice at NASHP (cpernice@nashp.org)

SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF SCHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES

~~1)~~ To provide a summary at-a-glance of your SCHIP program characteristics, please provide the following information. You are encouraged to complete this table for the different SCHIP programs within your state, e.g., if you have two types of separate child health programs within your state with different eligibility rules. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table.

	SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program					Separate Child Health Program				
Eligibility						**From**	0	% of FPL conception to birth	0	% of FPL
	From	0	% of FPL for **infants**	133	% of FPL	**From**	134	% of FPL for **infants**	140	% of FPL
	From	0	% of FPL for children ages **1 through 5**	133	% of FPL	**From**	134	% of FPL for 1 **through 5**	140	% of FPL
	From	0	% of FPL for children ages **6 through 16**	100	% of FPL	**From**	101	% of FPL for children ages **6 through 16**	140	% of FPL
	From	0	% of FPL for children ages **17 and 18**	100	% of FPL	**From**	101	% of FPL for children ages **17 and 18**	140	% of FPL

Is presumptive eligibility provided for children?	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes, for whom and how long?	☐	Yes, for whom and how long?
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Is retroactive eligibility available?	☐	No	☒	No
	☒	Yes, for whom and how long? Three months if medically necessary	☐	Yes, for whom and how long?
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your State Plan contain authority to implement a waiting list?	Not applicable	☒	No
		☐	Yes
		☐	N/A

Does your program have a mail-in application?	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes	☒	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Can an applicant apply for your program over the phone?	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program have an application on your website that can be printed, completed and mailed in?	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes	☒	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Can an applicant apply for your program on-line?	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes – please check all that apply	☐	Yes – please check all that apply
	☐	Signature page must be printed and mailed in	☐	Signature page must be printed and mailed in
	☐	Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income documentation)	☐	Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income documentation)
	☐	Electronic signature is required	☐	Electronic signature is required
			☐	No Signature is required
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require a face-to-face interview during initial application	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require a child to be uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting period)?	☒	No	☐	No
	☐	Yes	☒	Yes
	Specify number of months		Specify number of months	6
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program provide period of continuous coverage <u>regardless of income changes?</u>	☒	No	☐	No
	☐	Yes	☒	Yes
	Specify number of months		Specify number of months	12
	Explain circumstances when a child would lose eligibility during the time period in the box below		Explain circumstances when a child would lose eligibility during the time period in the box below	

			A child would lose eligibility the month after their nineteenth birthday, they obtain creditable medical insurance through another source, or they move out of the state.	
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require premiums or an enrollment fee?	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	Enrollment fee amount		Enrollment fee amount	
	Premium amount		Premium amount	
	Yearly cap		Yearly cap	
	If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below		If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below (including premium/enrollment fee amounts and include Federal poverty levels where appropriate)	
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program impose copayments or coinsurance?	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes	☒	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program impose deductibles?	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require an assets test?	☒	No	☒	No
	☐	Yes	☐	Yes
	If Yes, please describe below		If Yes, please describe below	
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Does your program require income disregards?	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes	☒	Yes
	If Yes, please describe below		If Yes, please describe below	
	Please refer to the explanation below.		Please refer to the explanation below.	
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Is a preprinted renewal form sent prior to eligibility expiring?	☐	No	☐	No
	☒	Yes	☒	Yes
		☒ We send out form to family with their information pre-completed and ask for confirmation		☒ We send out form to family with their information pre-completed and ask for confirmation
		☐ We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed		☐ We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed
	☐	N/A	☐	N/A

Enter any Narrative text below.

Income Disregards 1. Foster care, subsidized adoption, or guardianship payments 2. TANF benefit and support service payments 3. Low income home energy assistance 4. Refugee assistance 5. County general assistance 6. Child and adult food program for meals to licensed daycare homes 7. Family subsidy 8. Income for housing allowance 9. Per capita funds paid to members of an Indian tribe 10. Income from land held in trust by US for Indian Tribes 11. Income from an individual living in the parental home if not included in the SCHIP Unit 12. Extra checks from individual paid by-weekly 13. Income earned by a child who is a student 14 Additional pay received by Military personnel resulting from deployment in a combat zone 15. SSI benefits 16. Money received by volunteers in the Action program 17. Payments under Title II of the Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1970 18. Job Corps income 19. Payments for repair or replacement of lost, damaged or stolen goods 20. Occasional small gifts 21 In-kind income except received in lieu of wages 22. Loan proceeds 23. Medicare part B premium refunded by the SSA 24. Income tax refunds and earned income tax credits 25 Homestead tax credits 26 Education loans, scholarships, grants, awards, or work study 27. Fellowship or gift used to pay cost of tuition or fees 28 Training funds thru VR 29 Training allowances up to $30 per week 30 Needs based payments 31 Training stipends for victims of domestic violence 32 Tax-exempt portions of payments made as a result of the Alaska Native Claims Act 33. Payments to certain US cit. of Japanese ancestry 34. Agent orange payments 35. Crime reparation payments 36 German reparation payments made to survivors of the Holocaust 37 Payments under the disaster relief and Emergency Assistance Act of 1974 38. Money paid to children of Vietnam veterans who are born with spina bifada 39 Netherlands reparation payments 40 Radiation Exposure comp. 41. First 2000 per year of lease payment deposited in IIM acct. 42 Interest or dividend income from assets 43. Medicare Part D cost sharing refunded by prescription drug plans.

~~2.~~ Is there an assets test for children in your Medicaid program?	☐	Yes	☒	No	☐	N/A
~~3.~~ Is it different from the assets test in your separate child health program?	☐	Yes	☐	No	☒	N/A

	Yes	No	N/A
~~4.~~ Are there income disregards for your Medicaid program?	☒	☐	☐
~~5.~~ Are they different from the income disregards in your separate child health program?	☐	☒	☐
~~6.~~ Is a joint application used for your Medicaid and separate child health program?	☒	☐	☐

7. Have you made changes to any of the following policy or program areas during the reporting period? Please indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking appropriate column.

	Medicaid Expansion SCHIP Program			**Separate Child Health Program**		
	Yes	No Change	N/A	Yes	No Change	N/A
~~a)~~ Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~b)~~ Application	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~c)~~ Application documentation requirements	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~d)~~ Benefit structure	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~e)~~ Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~f)~~ Crowd out policies	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~g)~~ Delivery system	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~h)~~ Eligibility determination process (including implementing a waiting lists or open enrollment periods)	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~i)~~ Eligibility levels / target population	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~j)~~ Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~k)~~ Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~l)~~ Eligibility redetermination process	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~m)~~ Enrollment process for health plan selection	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~n)~~ Family coverage	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~o)~~ Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target outreach)	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~p)~~ Premium assistance	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐

~~q)~~ Prenatal Eligibility expansion	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
~~r)~~ Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)					
Parents	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
Pregnant women	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
Childless adults	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐

~~s)~~ Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~t)~~ Other – please specify					
~~a.~~ _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~b.~~ _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
~~c.~~ _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

8. For each topic you responded yes to above, please explain the change and why the change was made, below:

~~a)~~ Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)	
~~b)~~ Application	
~~c)~~ Application documentation requirements	
~~d)~~ Benefit structure	
~~e)~~ Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)	
~~f)~~ Crowd out policies	
~~g)~~ Delivery system	
~~h)~~ Eligibility determination process (including implementing a waiting lists or open enrollment periods)	
~~i)~~ Eligibility levels / target population	
~~j)~~ Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
~~k)~~ Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	

~~l)~~ Eligibility redetermination process	
~~m)~~ Enrollment process for health plan selection	
~~n)~~ Family coverage	
~~o)~~ Outreach	
~~p)~~ Premium assistance	
~~q)~~ Prenatal Eligibility Expansion	
~~r)~~ Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)	
Parents	
Pregnant women	
Childless adults	
~~s)~~ Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	
~~t)~~ Other – please specify	
a.	
b.	
c.	

Enter any Narrative text below.

SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of three subsections that gather information on the core performance measures for the SCHIP program as well as your State's progress toward meeting its general program strategic objectives and performance goals. Section IIA captures data on the core performance measures to the extent data are available. Section IIB captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your State. Section IIC captures progress towards meeting your State's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

SECTION IIA: REPORTING OF CORE PERFORMANCE MEASURES

CMS is directed to examine national performance measures by the SCHIP Final Rules of January 11, 2001. To address this SCHIP directive, and to address the need for performance measurement in Medicaid, CMS, along with other Federal and State officials, developed a core set of performance measures for Medicaid and SCHIP. The group focused on well-established measures whose results could motivate agencies, providers, and health plans to improve the quality of care delivered to enrollees. After receiving comments from Medicaid and SCHIP officials on an initial list of 19 measures, the group recommended seven core measures, including four core child health measures:

- Well child visits in the first 15 months of life
- Well child visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th years of life
- Use of appropriate medications for children with asthma
- Children's access to primary care practitioners

These measures are based on specifications provided by the Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set (HEDIS®). HEDIS® provides a useful framework for defining and measuring performance. However, use of HEDIS® methodology is not required for reporting on your measures. The HEDIS® methodology can also be modified based on the availability of data in your State.

This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of the core child health measures. Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years (to the extent that data are available). In the first and second column, report data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2004 and FFY 2005). If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2006). Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
If you cannot provide a specific measure, please check the box that applies to your State for each performance measure as follows:

- Population not covered: Check this box if your program does not cover the population included in the measure.
- Data not available: Check this box if data are not available for a particular measure in your State. Please provide an explanation of why the data are currently not available.
- Small sample size: Check this box if the sample size (i.e., denominator) for a particular measure is less than 30. If the sample size is less than 30, your State is not required to report data on the measure. However, please indicate the exact sample size in the space provided.
- Other: Please specify if there is another reason why your state cannot report the measure.

Status of Data Reported:
Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting, as follows:

- Provisional: Check this box if you are reporting data for a measure, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2006.
- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2006.

– Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:
For each performance measure, please indicate the measurement specification (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® technical specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other source with measurement specifications unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2006). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

Data Source:
For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data – administrative data (claims), hybrid data (claims and medical records), survey data, or other source. If another data source was used, please explain the source.

Definition of Population included in the Measure:
Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined. Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion).

Note: You do not need to report data for all delivery system types. You may choose to report data for only the delivery system with the most enrollees in your program.

Year of Data:
Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):
In this section, please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

Note: SARTS will calculate the rate if you enter the numerator and denominator. Otherwise, if you only have the rate, enter it in the rate box.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

Explanation of Progress:
The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2007, 2008, and 2009. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2004 through 2006), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small

increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years.

In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:
Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

NOTE: Please do not reference attachments in this table. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

MEASURE: Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2004	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2005	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Measure used by state - Children turning age 2 in 2003 with 12 months of continuous coverage who had at least 4 well-child visits/office visits (regardless of diagnosis) with a primary care provider from 10-01-99 through 12-31-03. (Sample size for the measure was 24)	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Children age 0 - 15 months in 2004 with continuous coverage since birth with at least one well-child visit within the year.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Children age 0 - 15 months in 2005 with continuous coverage since birth with at least one well-child visit within the year.
Data Source: ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children with 12 months continuous coverage.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children with continuous coverage since birth.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children with continuous coverage since birth.
Year of Data: 2003	**Year of Data:** 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005

Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life (continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with specified number of visits 0 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 4 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 1 visit Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 5 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 2 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 6+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 3 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with specified number of visits 0 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 4 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 1 visit Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 5 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 2 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 6+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 3 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with specified number of visits 0 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 4 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 1 visit Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 5 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 2 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 6+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 3 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 20 Denominator: 43 Rate: 46.5 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 38 Denominator: 68 Rate: 55.9 Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** At least 55% of children turning age 2 will have at least four well-child visits/office visits (regardless of diagnosis) with a primary care provider. **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** At least 55% of children turning age 2 will have at least four well-child visits/office visits (regardless of diagnosis) with a primary care provider. **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** At least 55% of children turning age 2 will have at least four well-child visits/office visits (regardless of diagnosis) with a primary care provider. *Explain how these objectives were set:* The objectives were set by industry and program standards.
Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE: Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30) *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2004	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2005	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: At least 55% of the children who turned age 3, 4, 5 or 6 in 2003 and had one or more well-child visits/office visits (regardless of diagnosis) with a primary care provider within the year.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: At least 55% of children age 3, 4, 5 or 6 in 2004 will have at least one or more well-child visits of office visits (regardless of diagnosis) with a primary care provider within one year (using data for well-child visits only).	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: At least 55% of children age 3, 4, 5 or 6 in 2005 will have at least one or more well-child visits or office visits (regardless of diagnosis) with a primary care provider within one year (using data for well-child visits only).
Data Source: ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children ages 3, 4, 5 or 6 who had 12 months of continuous coverage and had a well-child visit.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children ages 3, 4, 5 or 6 who had 12 months of continuous coverage and had a well-child visit.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children ages 3, 4, 5 or 6 who had 12 months of continuous coverage and had a well-child visit.
Year of Data: 2003	**Year of Data:** 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with 1+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with 1+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with 1+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life (continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 126 Denominator: 126 Rate: 100 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 25 Denominator: 115 Rate: 21.7 Additional notes on measure: We included in this measure children ages 3, 4, 5 or 6 with 12 months of continuous coverage who had an office visit. 63% of these children had an office visit.	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 20 Denominator: 127 Rate: 15.7 Additional notes on measure: We included in this measure children ages 3, 4, 5 or 6 with 12 months of continuous coverage who had an office visit. 57% of these children had an office visit.

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: At least 55% of children age 3, 4, 5 or 6 will have had one or more well-child visits or office visits (regardless of diagnosis) with a primary care provider within the year.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: At least 55% of children age 3, 4, 5 or 6 will have had one or more well-child visits or office visits (regardless of diagnosis) with a primary care provider within the year.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: At least 55% of children age 3, 4, 5 or 6 will have had one or more well-child visits or office visits (regardless of diagnosis) with a primary care provider within the year.

Explain how these objectives were set: The objectives wer set by industry and program standards.

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE: Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☒ Other. *Explain*: This information was not compiled, as this measure was not included as part of our strategic objectives.	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2005	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*:	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: RX version of HEDIS used.	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: RX version of HEDIS used to determine numbers.
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children age 0 - 18 years with asthma and 12 months of continuous coverage had appropriate asthma medications.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children ages 0 - 18 years with asthma and 12 months of continuous coverage had appropriate asthma medications.
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:** 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005

Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma (continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent receiving appropriate medications 5-9 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 10-17 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Combined rate (5-17 years) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent receiving appropriate medications 5-9 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 10-17 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Combined rate (5-17 years) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent receiving appropriate medications 5-9 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 10-17 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Combined rate (5-17 years) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 32 Denominator: 85 Rate: 37.6 Additional notes on measure: Orginal report shows all children ages 0 - 18 years with asthma and 12 months of continuous coverage.	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 62 Denominator: 154 Rate: 40.3 Additional notes on measure: Original report shows all children ages 0 - 18 years with asthma and 12 months of continuous coverage.

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Members with asthma and 12 months of continuous coverage appropriately using asthma medications.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Members with asthma and 12 months of continuous coverage appropriately using asthma medications.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Members with asthma and 12 months of continuous coverage appropriately using asthma medications.

Explain how these objectives were set: The objectives were set by industry and program standards.

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE: Children's Access to Primary Care Practitioners

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:	**If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:** ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. *Explain*: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). *Specify sample size*: ☐ Other. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2004	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2004	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2005
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: At least 90% of children enrolled in Healthy Steps will have seen a primary care physician from 10-01-1999 through 12-31-2003 (Family Practice, General Practice, Pediatrics, OB-Gyn, and Internal Medicine Physicians were considered Primary Care Physicians).	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: Professional version of HEDIS.	**Measurement Specification:** ☒HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☐Other. *Explain*: Professional version of HEDIS.
Data Source: ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children ages 0 - 18 years who had 12 months of continuous Healthy Steps coverage who have seen a Primary Care Physician.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children ages 0 - 18 years who had 12 months of continuous Healthy Steps coverage who have seen a Primary Care Physician.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children ages 0 - 18 years who had 12 months of continuous Healthy Steps coverage who have seen a Primary Care Physician.
Year of Data: 2003	**Year of Data:** 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with a PCP visit 12-24 months Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 7-11 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 25 months-6 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 12-19 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with a PCP visit 12-24 months Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 7-11 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 25 months-6 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 12-19 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Percent with a PCP visit 12-24 months Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 7-11 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 25 months-6 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 12-19 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 938 Denominator: 1059 Rate: 88.6 Additional notes on measure: The numbers were collected by using claims data from 10/01/99 to 12/31/03.	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 288 Denominator: 470 Rate: 61.3 Additional notes on measure: Original report shows all children ages 0 - 18 years with access to a Primary Care Practitioner and 12 months of continuous coverage.	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 817 Denominator: 1003 Rate: 81.5 Additional notes on measure: Original report shows all children ages 0 - 18 years with access to a Primary Care Practitioners and 12 months of continuous coverage.

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Members with 12 months continuous coverage having access to Primary Care Practitioners.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Members with 12 months continuous coverage having access to Primary Care Practitioners.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Members with 12 months continuous coverage having access to Primary Care Practitioners.

Explain how these objectives were set: The objectives were set by industry and program standards.

Other Comments on Measure:

SECTION IIB: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

~~1.~~ The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in SCHIP in your State for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 in your State's 4th quarter data report (submitted in October) in the SCHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by SARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2005	FFY 2006	Percent change FFY 2005-2006
SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	1936	1889	-2.43
Separate Child Health Program	3789	4429	16.89

~~A.~~ Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent.

On July 1, 2005 the state implemented a system in which anyone who applied for either Medicaid or Healthy Steps was tested for both programs, this meant that children who did not qualify for Medicaid were immediately tested for Healthy Steps and vice versa. We feel that this has meant that any child who applies for a program does not fall through the cracks.

~~2.~~ The table below shows trends in the three-year averages for the number and rate of uninsured children in your State based on the Current Population Survey (CPS), along with the percent change between 1996-1998 and 2003-2005. Significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3. SARTS will fill in this information automatically, but in the meantime, please refer to the CPS data attachment that was sent with the FFY 2006 Annual Report Template.

	Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty		Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty as a Percent of Total Children Under Age 19	
Period	Number	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error
1996 - 1998	20	3.7	10.7	2.0
1998 - 2000	17	3.3	9.6	1.8
2000 - 2002	8	1.7	5.6	1.1
2002 - 2004	9	1.8	5.9	1.1
2003 - 2005	10	2.0	6.4	1.3

Percent change 1996-1998 vs. 2003-2005	-50.0*%*	NA	-40.2**%**	NA

* Significant at the .05 level, 2-tailed test

** Significantly different from zero at the .10 level, 2-tailed test

~~A.~~ Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children.

On July 1, 2005 the state implemented a system in which anyone who applied for either Medicaid or Healthy Steps was tested for both programs, this meant that children who did not qualify for Medicaid were immediately tested for Healthy Steps and vice versa. We feel that this has meant that any child who applies for a program does not fall through the cracks.

~~B.~~ Please note any comments here concerning CPS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates.

~~3.~~ Please indicate by checking the box below whether your State has an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

☐ Yes (please report your data in the table below)

☒ No (skip to Question #4)

Please report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	
Reporting period (2 or more points in time)	
Methodology	
Population (Please include ages and income levels)	
Sample sizes	
Number and/or rate for two or more points in time	
Statistical significance of results	

~~A.~~ Please explain why your State chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

~~B.~~ What is your State's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? (Provide a numerical range or confidence intervals if available.)

~~C.~~ What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology?

~~4.~~ How many children do you estimate have been enrolled in Medicaid as a result of SCHIP outreach activities and enrollment simplification? Describe the data source and method used to derive this information

749 The number was determined by the current enrollment comparing from 10/01/05 to 10/01/06.

SECTION IIC: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

This subsection gathers information on your State's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your SCHIP State Plan. The format of this section has been revised for FFY 2006 to provide your State with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

- Reducing the number of uninsured children
- SCHIP enrollment
- Medicaid enrollment
- Increasing access to care
- Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, please enter the data you reported for each objective in the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2004 and FFY 2005). In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the annual report.

Note that the term *performance measure* is used differently in Section IIA versus IIC. In Section IIA, the term refers to the four core child health measures. In this section, the term is used more broadly, to refer to any data your State provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are State-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported in Sections IIA or IIB. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your State did not_report elsewhere in Section II.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective.

Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

- New/revised: Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why the goal was revised.
- Continuing: Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.
- Discontinued: Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

Status of Data Reported:
Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

- Provisional: Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2006.

- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2006.

- Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:
This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which States may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2006). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

Data Source:
For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and SCHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source. For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims), hybrid data (claims and medical records), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source. In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

Definition of Population Included in Measure:
Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care , please also check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

Year of Data:
Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which enrollment or utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured: Please provide a brief explanation of the information you intend to capture through the performance measure.

Numerator, Denominator, and Rate: Please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). For the objectives related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, the template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for

each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the “additional notes” section.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a “weighted rate” by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an “unweighted average” by taking the mean rate across health plans.

Explanation of Progress:

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2007, 2008, and 2009. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2004 through 2006), use a combination of expert opinion and “best guesses” to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe) Reduce the number of children from birth to 19 years of age without health insurance.	**Goal #1 (Describe)** Reduce the number of children from birth to 19 years of age without health insurance.	**Goal #1 (Describe)** Reduce the number of children from birth to 19 years of age without health insurance.
Type of Goal: ☒ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2004	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2005	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☒ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*: Current population survey	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☒ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*: Current Population Survey	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☒ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*: Current population survey.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Number of uninsured as of 1996-1998. Definition of numerator: Number of uninsured as of 2001-2003.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Number of uninsured as of 1996-1998. Definition of numerator: Number of uninsured as of 2002-2004.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Number of uninsured as of 1996-1998. Definition of numerator: Number of uninsured as of 2003-2005.
Year of Data: 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005	**Year of Data:** 2006
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Uninsured children under age 19 below 200% of poverty. Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure: 1996-1998 = 20% 1998-2000 = 17% 2000-2002 = 8% 2001-2003 = 8%	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Uninsured children under age 19 below 200% of poverty. Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure: 1996-1998 = 20% 1998-2000 = 17% 2000-2002 = 8% 2002-2004 = 9%	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Uninsured children under age 19 below 200% of poverty. Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure: 1996-1998 = 20% 1998-2000 = 17% 2000-2002 = 8% 2002-2004 = 9% 2003-2005 = 10%

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** Reduce the number of children from birth to 19 years of age without health insurance. **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** Reduce the number of children from birth to 19 years of age without health insurance. **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** Reduce the number of children from birth to 19 years of age without health insurance. *Explain how these objectives were set:* The objectives were set by industry and program standards.		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	**Goal #2 (Describe)**	**Goal #2 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	**Goal #3 (Describe)**	**Goal #3 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. *Specify*: ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe)	**Goal #1 (Describe)**	**Goal #1 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	**Goal #2 (Describe)**	**Goal #2 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	**Goal #3 (Describe)**	**Goal #3 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe)	**Goal #1 (Describe)**	**Goal #1 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	**Goal #2 (Describe)**	**Goal #2 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	**Goal #3 (Describe)**	**Goal #3 (Describe)**
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:**
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**Performance Measurement Data:** Described what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** *Explain how these objectives were set:*		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe) By June 30, 2002 and annually thereafter, at least 90% of children enrolled in SCHIP will have an identified primary care location.	**Goal #1 (Describe)** By June 30, 2002 and annually thereafter, at least 90% of children enrolled in SCHIP will have an identified primary care location.	**Goal #1 (Describe)** By June 30, 2002 and annually thereafter, at least 90% of children enrolled in SCHIP will have an identified primary care location.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2004	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2005	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Survey was mailed out to each family with at least one child enrolled in the Healthy Steps Program.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Survey was mailed out to each family with at least one child enrolled in the Healthy Steps program.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Survey was mailed out to each family with at least one child enrolled in the Healthy Steps program.
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of families that stated on the survey that they have one person who they think of as their child's personal doctor or nurse.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of families that stated on the survey that thye have one person who they think of as their child's personal doctor or nurse.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of families that stated on the survey that they have one person who they think of as their child's personal doctor or nurse.
Year of Data: 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005	**Year of Data:** 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 352 Denominator: 457 Rate: 77 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 442 Denominator: 560 Rate: 78.9 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 495 Denominator: 626 Rate: 79.1 Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** At least 90% of children enrolled in SCHIP will have an identified primary care location. **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** At least 90% of children enrolled in SCHIP will have an identified primary care location. **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** At least 90% of children enrolled in SCHIP will have an identified primary care location. *Explain how these objectives were set:* The objectives were set by industry and program standars.		
Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe) By June 30, 2002 and annually thereafter, there will be a decrease in the proportion of enrolled children in SCHIP who were unable to obtain needed medical care during the previous year as measure through a survey of enrolled families.	**Goal #2 (Describe)** By June 30, 2003 and annually thereafter, there will be a decrease in the proportion of enrolled children in SCHIP who are unable to obtain needed medical care during the previous year as measure through a survey of enrolled families.	**Goal #2 (Describe)** By June 30, 2003 and annually thereafter, there will be a decrease in the proportion of enrolled children in SCHIP who were unable to obtain needed medical care during the previous year as measure through a survey of enrolled families.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2004	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2005	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Survey was mailed to each family with at least one child enrolled in the Healthy Steps program.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Survey was mailed to each family with at least one child enrolled in the Healthy Steps program.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Survey was mailed to each family with at least one child enrolled in the Healthy Steps program.
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of families that answered yes to the following question: "In the last six months, how much of a problem, if any was it to get care for your child that you or your doctor believed was necessary?"	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of families that answered yes to the following question: "In the last six months, how much of a problem, if any was it to get care for your child that you or your doctor believed was necessary?"	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of families that answered yes to the following question: "In the last six months, how much of a problem, if any was it to get care for your child that you or your doctor believed was necessary?"
Year of Data: 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005	**Year of Data:** 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Rate: Additional notes on measure:	Rate: Additional notes on measure:	Rate: Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 22 Denominator: 457 Rate: 4.8 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 11 Denominator: 560 Rate: 2 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 44 Denominator: 626 Rate: 7 Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: There will be a decrease in the proportion of enrolled children in SCHIP who were unable to obtain needed medical care during the previous year as measure through a survey of enrolled families.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: There will be a decrease in the proportion of enrolled children in SCHIP who were unable to obtain needed medical care during the previous year as measure through a survey of enrolled families.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: There will be a decrease in the proportion of enrolled children in SCHIP who were unable to obtain needed medical care during the previous year as measure through a survey of enrolled families.

Explain how these objectives were set: The objectives were set by industry and program standards.

Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe) By June 30, 2003, at least 80% of families with children enrolled in SCHIP who are surveyed will report overall satisfaction with their health care.	**Goal #3 (Describe)** By June 30, 2003, at least 80% of families with children enrolled in SCHIP who are surveyed will report overall satisfaction with their health care.	**Goal #3 (Describe)** By June 30, 2003, at least 80% of families with children enrolled in SCHIP who are surveyed will report overall satisfaction with their health care.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2004	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2005	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Survey was mailed to each family with at least one child enrolled in the Healthy Steps program.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Survey was mailed out to each family with at least one child enrolled in the Healthy Steps program.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Survey mailed out to each family with at least one child enrolled in the Healthy Steps program.
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☒ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of families that stated they were overall satisfied with their health care.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of families that stated they were overall satisfied with their health care.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of families that stated they were overall satisfied with their health care.
Year of Data: 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005	**Year of Data:** 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Performance Measurement Data: Numerator: 345 Denominator: 454 Rate: 76 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 409 Denominator: 560 Rate: 73 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 473 Denominator: 626 Rate: 75.6 Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: At least 80% of families with children enrolled in SCHIP who are surveyed will report overall satisfaction with their health care.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: At least 80% of families with children enrolled in SCHIP who are surveyed will report overall satisfaction with their health care.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: At least 80% of families with children enrolled in SCHIP who are surveyed will report overall satisfaction with their health care.

Explain how these objectives were set: The objectives were set by industry and program standards.

Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe) By June 30, 2003 and annually thereafter, at least 40% of children enrolled in SCHIP will have received a preventive dental service before age 8.	**Goal #1 (Describe)** By June 30, 2003 and annually thereafter, at least 40% of children enrolled in SCHIP will have received a preventive dental service before age 8.	**Goal #1 (Describe)** By June 30, 2003 and annually thereafter, at least 40% of children enrolled in SCHIP will have received a preventive dental service before age 8.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2004	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2005	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Tracking claims of members with continuous coverage incurred from 01/01/03 through 12/31/03.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Tracking claims of members with continuous coverage incurred from 01/01/04 through 12/31/04.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Tracking claims of members with continuous coverage incurred from 01/01/05 through 12/31/05.
Data Source: ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of children age 0 - 8 years who had a dental visit during the year.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of children age 0 - 8 years who had a dental visit during the year.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of children age 0 - 8 years who had a dental visit during the year.
Year of Data: 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005	**Year of Data:** 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 57 Denominator: 68 Rate: 83.8 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 59 Denominator: 71 Rate: 83.1 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 69 Denominator: 83 Rate: 83.1 Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: At least 80% of children enrolled in SCHIP will have received a preventive dental service before age 8.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: At least 80% of children enrolled in SCHIP will have received a preventive dental service before age 8.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: At least 80% of children enrolled in SCHIP will have received a preventive dental service before age 8.

Explain how these objectives were set: The objectives were set by industry and program standards.

Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe) By June 30, 2004 and annually thereafter, at least 55% of the children who turned two years of age during the preceding year and were continuously enrolled in SCHIP will have received at least four well-child visits during the first 24 months of life.	**Goal #2 (Describe)** By June 30, 2004 and annually thereafter, at least 55% of the children who turned two years of age during the preceding year and were continuously enrolled in SCHIP will have received at least four well-child visits during the first 24 months of life.	**Goal #2 (Describe)** By June 30, 2004 and annually thereafter, at least 55% of the children who turned two years of age during the preceding year and were continuously enrolled in SCHIP will have received at least four well-child visits during the first 24 months of life.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2004	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2005	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Tracking claims of members with continuous coverage incurred from 01/01/03 through 12/31/03.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Tracking claims of members with continuous coverage incurred from 01/01/04 through 12/31/04.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Tracking claims of members with continuous coverage incurred from 01/01/05 through 12/31/05.
Data Source: ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of children who had at least 4 well-child visits during the first 24 months of life.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of children who had at least 4 well-child visits during the first 24 months of life.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Number of children who had at least 4 well-child visits during the first 24 months of life.
Year of Data: 2004	**Year of Data:** 2005	**Year of Data:** 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 17 Denominator: 24 Rate: 70.8 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 3 Denominator: 18 Rate: 16.7 Additional notes on measure: However, at least 13 of 18 children, or 72% of children turning 2 with 12 months of continuous coverage in 2004, received 4 office visits (regardless of diagnosis), with a primary care provider.	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 3 Denominator: 17 Rate: 17.6 Additional notes on measure: However, at least 12 of 17 children, or 71% of children turning age 2 with 12 months of continuous coverage in 2005, received 4 office visits (regardless of diagnosis), with a primary care provider.

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: At least 55% of the children who turned two years of age during the preceding year and were continuously enrolled in SCHIP will have received at least four well-child visits during the first 24 months of life.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: At least 55% of the children who turned two years of age during the preceding year and were continuously enrolled in SCHIP will have received at least four well-child visits during the first 24 months of life.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: At least 55% of the children who turned two years of age during the preceding year and were continuously enrolled in SCHIP will have received at least four well-child visits during the first 24 months of life.

Explain how these objectives were set: The objectives were set by industry and program standards.

Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe) By June 30, 2003 and annually thereafter, at least 40% of three through six year old children who were continuously enrolled in SCHIP during the preceding year will have received one or more well care visits with a primary care provider.	**Goal #3 (Describe)** By June 30, 2003 and annually thereafter, at least 40% of three through six year old children who were continuously enrolled in SCHIP during the preceding year will have received one or more well care visits with a primary care provider.	**Goal #3 (Describe)** By June 30, 2003 and annually thereafter, at least 40% of three through six year old children who were continuously enrolled in SCHIP during the preceding year will have received one or more well care visits with a primary care provider.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:	**Type of Goal:** ☐ New/revised. *Explain*: ☒ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. *Explain*:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2004	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☒ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:* 2005	**Status of Data Reported:** ☐ Provisional. ☒ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. *Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:*
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Track claim of members with continuous coverage incurred from 01/01/03 through 12/31/03.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Track claim of members with continuous coverage incurred from 01/01/04 through 12/31/04.	**Measurement Specification:** ☐HEDIS. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: ☐HEDIS-like. *Specify version of HEDIS used*: *Explain how HEDIS was modified*: ☒Other. *Explain*: Track claim of members with continuous coverage incurred from 01/01/05 through 12/31/05.
Data Source: ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:	**Data Source:** ☒ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. ☐ Other. *Specify*:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children ages 3, 4, 5 or 6 who had one or more office visits (regardless of diagnosis) with a primary care provider within the year.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children ages 3, 4, 5 or 6 who had one or more office visits (regardless of diagnosis) with a primary care provider within the year.	**Definition of Population Included in the Measure:** Definition of denominator: ☒ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children ages 3, 4, 5 or 6 who had one or more office visits (regardless of diagnosis) with a primary care provider within the year.
Year of Data: 2004	**Year of Data:**	**Year of Data:** 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	**HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)* Numerator: Denominator: Rate:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 126 Denominator: 126 Rate: 100 Additional notes on measure:	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 25 Denominator: 115 Rate: 21.7 Additional notes on measure: However, 72 of 115, or 63% of children had one or more office visits (regardless of diagnosis), with a primary care provider in 2004.	**Other Performance Measurement Data:** *(If reporting with another methodology)* Numerator: 20 Denominator: 127 Rate: 15.7 Additional notes on measure: However, 72 of 127, of 57% of children had one or more office visits (regardless of diagnosis), with a primary care provider in 2005.

Explanation of Progress:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: At least 40% of three through six-year old children who were continuously enrolled in SCHIP during the preceding year will have received one or more well care visits with a primary care provider.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: At least 40% of three through six-year old children who were continuously enrolled in SCHIP during the preceding year will have received one or more well care visits with a primary care provider.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: At least 40% of three through six-year old children who were continuously enrolled in SCHIP during the preceding year will have received one or more well care visits with a primary care provider.

Explain how these objectives were set: The objectives were set by industry and programs standards.

Other Comments on Measure:

1. What other strategies does your State use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? What have you found?

To continue with our State Performance Measures:

From the Healthy Steps Report Card (2006) 86% of children age 13 received a 2nd dose of MMR, 75% of 2 year old with 24 months of continuous coverage had received all appropriate immunizations. Also 31% of children age 8 had a vision exam during the year: average in-patient admission for a children with asthma was 0.001: Average number of ER visits per member was 0.2: Average number of fillings per member was 0.3: Average inpatient service per member with diabetes was 0.4: We were unable to establish creditable performance standards for mental health services.

2. What strategies does your SCHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? When will data be available?

Blue Cross Blue Shield completes an annual member satisfaction survey. The survey tells us that overall, recipients are satisfied with the program and do not have problems accessing services. A hard copy of the July 2006 is attached for your review.

We plan to continue with the survey and the Healthy Steps Report Card. Both documents are reviewed annually to determine if changes should be made to better capture information necessary to monitor progress made in meeting the objectives of the program.

3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your SCHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found?

We began to monitor utilization for inpatient chemical dependency and psychiatric in 2003 and are comparing on an annual basis. We have found this service has had higher utilization per member: 2003 – 6.6 inpatient admits per 1000 members, 2004 – 11.5 inpatient admits per 1000 members, and 2005 – 8.0 inpatient admits per 1000 members.

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your SCHIP program's performance. Please list attachments here and summarize findings or list main findings.

Member satisfaction survey and Healthy Steps Report Card are attached. Hard copy sent.

Enter any Narrative text below.

SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

OUTREACH

~~1.~~ How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period?

We have not changed or redirected our outreach strategies.

~~2.~~ What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness?

Successful outreach is a combination of targeted approaches. North Dakota has conducted specific outreach in such areas as schools, license renewal letters, milk cartons, Head Start, WIC programs, and print and network media. We have had a very successful effort through Covering Kids and Families Grantees that has conducted pilot and statewide outreach to include the above plus a blitz of activity during the "Back to School Week". We are now working with the grantee to sustain and transition the successes they have experienced back to the State Office.

~~3.~~ Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)? Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness?

The Covering Kids and Families grantee has had a pilot effort to reach our rural families using community resource coordinators who are housed in medical facilities, and also printing culturally appropriate outreach material for Native American populations both on and off the reservations. We monitor enrollment monthly by county and by race.

SUBSTITUTION OF COVERAGE (CROWD-OUT)

States with a separate child health program above 200 through 250% of FPL must complete question 1. All other states with trigger mechanisms should also answer this question.

~~1.~~ Does your state cover children between 200 and 250 percent of the FPL or does it identify a trigger mechanism or point at which a substitution prevention policy is instituted?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No
- ☐ N/A

If yes, please identify the trigger mechanisms or point at which your substitution prevention policy is instituted.

States with separate child health programs over 250% of FPL must complete question 2. All other states with substitution prevention provisions should also answer this question.

~~2.~~ Does your state cover children above 250 percent of the FPL or does it employ substitution prevention provisions?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No
- ☐ N/A

If yes, identify your substitution prevention provisions (waiting periods, etc.).

All States must complete the following 3 questions

~~3.~~ Describe how substitution of coverage is monitored and measured and the effectiveness of your policies.

The State has not implemented any premium assistance programs so it is not necessary to monitor substitution in this area. For the existing program, the initial application asks applicants if any of the household members are covered by health insurance. Eligible applicants are also required to complete a quarterly questionnaire, called the Healthy Steps Household Status Notice, as well as the annual renewal form. The quarterly questionnaires and the annual renewal forma also ask if health insurance coverage has been obtained. If creditable health insurance coverage has been obtained for the child, Healthy Steps coverage is terminated. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of North Dakota who is the largest insurer in the state will also notify the Department if an individual who is eligible for Healthy Steps currently has medical insurance. The state pays the Healthy Steps insurance premiums to BC/BS of North Dakota.

~~4.~~ At the time of application, what percent of applicants are found to have insurance?

There were a total of 2762 children who applied for Healthy Steps that were denied coverage due to having existing creditable coverage. This number is considerably higher than in years past but this is due to the fact that all children who apply for Medicaid are also looked at to determine eligibility for Healthy Steps.

~~5.~~ Describe the incidence of substitution. What percent of applicants drop group health plan coverage to enroll in SCHIP?

Due to our current procedures and since the largest health insurance carrier in North Dakota is the same insurer we contract with for our Healthy Steps program; we believe our substitution policies are very effective. We do not track the number of applicants that drop health insurance to enroll in SCHIP.

COORDINATION BETWEEN SCHIP AND MEDICAID

(This subsection should be completed by States with a Separate Child Health Program)

~~1.~~ Do you have the same redetermination procedures to renew eligibility for Medicaid and SCHIP (e.g., the same verification and interview requirements)? Please explain.

The redetermination procedures for Medicaid and SCHIP are different. Those eligible for Healthy Steps are required to renew annually while those eligible for Medicaid may be required to renew as often as monthly depending on the Medicaid coverage group they have. Income and income adjustments may also be handled differently. For example, self-employment income is calculated differently for the Medicaid program than it is for the SCHIP program.

~~2.~~ Please explain the process that occurs when a child's eligibility status changes from Medicaid to SCHIP and from SCHIP to Medicaid. Have you identified any challenges? If so, please explain.

If the child's eligibility status changes the individual making the determination will send a copy of the application materials directly to the other programs eligibility staff so they can determine eligibility. The applicant is also notified they are no longer eligible for the program and that they may be eligible for the other program. As of August 1, 2005, we now have combined enrollment/eligibility system for both programs that has changed the referrals between programs. It is now done electronically within the system and an automatic notice is generated to the family if any changes are made.

3. Are the same delivery systems (including provider networks) used in Medicaid and SCHIP? Please explain.

Not entirely, but most providers for both Medicaid and Healthy Steps are enrolled providers in both programs.

ELIGIBILITY REDETERMINATION AND RETENTION

1. What measures does your State employ to retain eligible children in SCHIP? Please check all that apply and provide descriptions as requested.

☒ Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers

☒ Sends renewal reminder notices to all families

- How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program?
  Eligibility workers follow-up with clients who do not provide the necessary information to maintain eligibility. Follow-up may be done by phone call or mail. A renewal form is sent to all families.
- At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the State?)
  For Healthy Steps, a 45 day reminder notice is sent prior to re-enrollment. If families do not send in the renewal information, they are sent a letter notifying them that coverage will be terminated at the end of the renewal month. The letter also informs them that if they send in the requested information within the 45 days from the date of the letter and are determined eligible, they will be reinstated at the beginning of the following month.

☐ Sends targeted mailings to selected populations

- Please specify population(s) (e.g., lower income eligibility groups)

☐ Holds information campaigns

☐ Provides a simplified reenrollment process,

Please describe efforts (e.g., reducing the length of the application, creating combined Medicaid/SCHIP application)

☐ Conducts surveys or focus groups with disenrollees to learn more about reasons for disenrollment *please describe:*

☐ Other, *please explain*:

2. Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology.

A combination of direct contact from an eligibility worker and printed letter.

~~3.~~ Does your State generate monthly reports or conduct assessments that track the outcomes of individuals who disenroll, or do not reenroll, in SCHIP (e.g., how many obtain other public or private coverage, how many remain uninsured, how many age-out, how many move to a new geographic area)

☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ N/A

When was the monthly report or assessment last conducted?

If you responded yes to the question above, please provide a summary of the most recent findings (in the table below) from these reports and/or assessments.

Findings from Report/Assessment on Individuals Who Disenroll, or Do Not Reenroll in SCHIP

Total Number of Dis-enrollees	**Obtain other public or private coverage**		**Remain uninsured**		**Age-out**		**Move to new geographic area**		**Other**	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent

Please describe the data source (e.g., telephone or mail survey, focus groups) used to derive this information.

COST SHARING

~~1.~~ Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of premiums/enrollment fees on participation in SCHIP? If so, what have you found?

NO

~~2.~~ Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of health services in SCHIP? If so, what have you found?

NO

~~3.~~ If your state has increased or decreased cost sharing in the past federal fiscal year, has the state undertaken any assessment of the impact of these changes on application, enrollment, disenrollment, and utilization of health services in SCHIP. If so, what have you found?

NO

PREMIUM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM(S) UNDER SCHIP STATE PLAN

~~1.~~ Does your State offer a premium assistance program for children and/or adults using Title XXI funds under any of the following authorities?

☐ Yes, please answer questions below.
☒ No, skip to Program Integrity subsection.

Children

☐ Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.

☐ Premium Assistance under the State Plan
☐ Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan
☐ SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration
☐ Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration
☐ Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration
☐ Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP)

Adults

☐ Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.

☐ Premium Assistance under the State Plan (Incidentally)
☐ Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan
☐ SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration
☐ Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration
☐ Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration
☐ Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP)

~~2.~~ Please indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)

☐ Parents and Caretaker Relatives
☐ Childless Adults

~~3.~~ Briefly describe your program (including current status, progress, difficulties, etc.)

~~4.~~ What benefit package does the program use?

~~5.~~ Does the program provide wrap-around coverage for benefits or cost sharing?

6. Identify the total number of children and adults enrolled in the premium assistance program for whom Title XXI funds are used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in premium assistance even if they were covered incidentally and not via the SCHIP family coverage provision).

_____ Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period

_____ Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period

7. Identify the estimated amount of substitution, if any, that occurred or was prevented as a result of your premium assistance program. How was this measured?

8. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your premium assistance program has experienced?

9. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your premium assistance program?

10. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your premium assistance program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned.

11. Indicate the effect of your premium assistance program on access to coverage. How was this measured?

12. What do you estimate is the impact of premium assistance on enrollment and retention of children? How was this measured?

13. Identify the total state expenditures for family coverage during the reporting period. **(For states offering premium assistance under a family coverage waiver only.)**

PROGRAM INTEGRITY (COMPLETE ONLY WITH REGARD TO SEPARATE SCHIP PROGRAMS (I.E. THOSE THAT ARE NOT MEDICAID EXPANSIONS)

1. Does your state have a written plan that has safeguards and establishes methods and procedures for prevention, investigation and referral of cases of fraud and abuse? Please explain.
The state contracts this service with Blue Cross Blue Shield of North Dakota.

2. For the reporting period, please indicate the number of cases investigated, and cases referred, regarding fraud and abuse in the following areas:

~~a)~~ Provider credentialing

Number of cases investigated

Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials

~~b)~~ Provider billing

Number of cases investigated

Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials

~~c)~~ Beneficiary eligibility

Number of cases investigated

Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials

3. If your state relies on contractors to perform the above functions, how does your state provide oversight of those contractors?
Coverage is provided through Noridian Mutual Insurance Company (BCBS) for North Dakota's Healthy Steps (separate SCHIP) program. The fraud and abuse program integrity area is the responsibility of the plan. BCBS monitors claims, interviews providers, and request records for patients as needed. BCBS will refer as necessary cases to the State Insurance Department or Law Enforcement. Every three years, BCBS conducts provider reviews and assures providers are not sanctioned from Medicare and Medicaid population. At the time of enrollment, providers are subjected to background investigations.

Enter any Narrative text below.

SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (*Note: This reporting period =Federal Fiscal Year 2005. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.)*

COST OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN

Benefit Costs	**2006**	**2007**	**2008**
Insurance payments	7,542,000	8,657,000	9,795,000
Managed Care	3,186,000	6,178,000	1514000
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles			
Fee for Service			
Total Benefit Costs	10,728,000	14,835,000	11,309,000
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)			
Net Benefit Costs	$ 10,728,000	$ 14,835,000	$ 11,309,000

Administration Costs			
Personnel	336,000	342,000	353,000
General Administration			
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs			
Other			
Health Services Initiatives			
Total Administration Costs	336,000	342,000	353,000
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	1,192,000	1,648,333	1,256,556

Federal Title XXI Share	8,419,704	11,428,281	8,703,351
State Share	2,644,296	3,748,719	2,958,649

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN	11,064,000	15,177,000	11,662,000

2. What were the sources of non-Federal funding used for State match during the reporting period?

- ☒ State appropriations
- ☐ County/local funds
- ☐ Employer contributions
- ☒ Foundation grants
- ☐ Private donations
- ☐ Tobacco settlement

☐ Other (specify)

Enter any Narrative text below.

SECTION V: 1115 DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS (FINANCED BY SCHIP)

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions.

~~1.~~ If you do not have a Demonstration Waiver financed with SCHIP funds skip to Section VI. If you do, please complete the following table showing whom you provide coverage to.

	SCHIP Non-HIFA Demonstration Eligibility					HIFA Waiver Demonstration Eligibility				
Children	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL
Parents	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL
Childless Adults	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL
Pregnant Women	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL	From		% of FPL to		% of FPL

2. Identify the total number of children and adults ever enrolled (an unduplicated enrollment count) in your SCHIP demonstration during the reporting period.

_____ Number of **children** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration

_____ Number of **parents** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration

_____ Number of **pregnant women** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration

_____ Number of **childless adults** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration

~~3.~~ What have you found about the impact of covering adults on enrollment, retention, and access to care of children?

~~4.~~ Please provide budget information in the following table for the years in which the demonstration is approved. *Note: This reporting period (Federal Fiscal Year 2005 starts 10/1/04 and ends 9/30/05).*

COST PROJECTIONS OF DEMONSTRATION (SECTION 1115 or HIFA)	**2006**	**2007**	**2008**	**2009**	**2010**
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #1 (e.g., children)					
Insurance Payments					
Managed care					
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					
Fee for Service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #1					
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #2 (e.g., parents)					
Insurance Payments					
Managed care					
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					

Fee for Service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2					

Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3
(e.g., pregnant women)

Insurance Payments					
Managed care					
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					
Fee for Service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3					

Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4
(e.g., childless adults)

Insurance Payments					
Managed care					
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					
Fee for Service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3					

Total Benefit Costs					
(Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)					
Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)					

Administration Costs

Personnel					
General Administration					
Contractors/Brokers *(e.g., enrollment contractors)*					
Claims Processing					
Outreach/Marketing costs					
Other *(specify)*					
Total Administration Costs					
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)					

Federal Title XXI Share					
State Share					

TOTAL COSTS OF DEMONSTRATION					

When was your budget last updated (please include month, day and year)?

Please provide a description of any assumptions that are included in your calculations.

Other notes relevant to the budget:

SECTION VI: PROGRAM CHALLENGES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

~~1.~~ For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted SCHIP.

For the reporting period, North Dakota did not have a Legislative Session; therefore, no changes to SCHIP were considered.

~~2.~~ During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced?

Balancing the federal allotment with the growth in the program.

~~3.~~ During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program?

FFY 2006 resulted in an increase of 843 children on the program.

~~4.~~ What changes have you made or are planning to make in your SCHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned.

North Dakota has a Legislative Session in 2007. Currently, various provisions to make changes (enhancements) to both Medicaid and SCHIP are being considered.

Enter any Narrative text below.