WIC-12 [Rev.1] Page 1 of 4

WIC Program - The Integrity Profile Summary Of High Risk Indicators Fiscal Year 2005

Date: 11/19/2007 Time: 10:06:40 AM User ID: Kelly Jackson (FM)

Sensitive but Unclassified (SBU) Information - For Internal Use Only

Summary Of High Risk Indicators											
You selected the following	lowing criteria:	You selected the following sort order:									
State Agency:	All	Sort: Indicator of Abuse									
Fiscal Year:	2005	Cold Indicate Consider									
riscai ieai.	2003										

Your report yielded 24 results

Note: Vendors can be flagged under multiple indicators and have multiple violations. Therefore, the percent may be greater than one hundred. The number for the individual violations could be greater than the number of completed compliance investigations.

Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data

WIC Program - The Integrity Profile Summary Of High Risk Indicators Fiscal Year 2005

Date: 11/19/2007 Time: 10:06:40 AM User ID: Kelly Jackson (FM)

Sensitive but Unclassified (SBU) Information - For Internal Use Only

National																			
In Process of About	Number of Completed Compliance Investigations	Sanctions		Overcharging		Unauthorized Food Items for FI's		Charging for Foods not Rec'd		Reimbursement in Excess of Documented Inventory		Redeeming Fl's Outside Channels # %		Providing Credit or non- food items for FI's		Trafficking # %		Alcohol/ Tobacco # %	
Indicator of Abuse	investigations	#	/0	#	%	#	/0	#	/0	#	/0	#	/0	#	/0	#	/0	#	
A = Extremely small amount of variation in food instrument prices - the individual food instrument prices do not vary substantially from the average price	662	657	99%	53	8%	20	3%	15	2%	23	3%	1	0%	1	0%	4	1%	0	0%
B = Large percent of food instruments redeemed at same price	157	163	104%	21	13%	7	4%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%
C = Unusually high average food instrument prices - submitting extremely high average food instruments compared to similar vendors	482	492	102%	34	7%	26	5%	8	2%	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
D = Redeemed prices are higher than their price list	26	125	481%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	12%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%
E = Large percent of high- priced food instruments	219	243	111%	10	5%	6	3%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
F = Volume of WIC business	134	177	132%	3	2%	4	3%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
G = Large increase of dollar volume of food instruments redeemed over time	103	196	190%	4	4%	6	6%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%
H = Large percent of area's total WIC redemptions	38	94	247%	3	8%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Note: Vendors can be flagged under multiple indicators and have multiple violations. Therefore, the percent may be greater than one hundred. The number for the individual violations could be greater than the number of completed compliance investigations.

Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data

WIC Program - The Integrity Profile

Summary Of High Risk Indicators

Fiscal Year 2005

Date: 11/19/2007 Time: 10:06:40 AM User ID: Kelly Jackson (FM)

Sensitive but Unclassified (SBU) Information - For Internal Use Only

National																			
Continued	Number of Completed Compliance	Sai	No nctions	Overch	arging	Unauth Food Ite		Chargi Foo	ing for ds not Rec'd	Reimburs in Exc Docum Inve	ess of	FI's O	eming utside annels	Pro Credit of food ite		Traff	icking		cohol/ bacco
Indicator of Abuse	Investigations		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
I = WIC sales are unusually high percentage of vendor's total sales	55	86	156%	1	2%	11	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
J = High WIC to Food Stamps redemption ratio	1	3	300%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
K = WIC and Food Stamp sales are an unusually high percentage of total sales	3	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
L = Participants/other complaints	1,124	1,267	113%	37	3%	29	3%	1	0%	2	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%	0	0%
M = Large number of participants redeeming food instruments outside of their health service area	65	58	89%	0	0%	8	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
N = Large number of participants redeeming food instruments who are considered to be at high health risk	13	12	92%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
O = Large number of food instruments with consecutive serial numbers	2	3	150%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
P = Large percentage of manually issued food instruments	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Q = Excessive number of returned checks due to	130	156	120%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Note: Vendors can be flagged under multiple indicators and have multiple violations. Therefore, the percent may be greater than one hundred. The number for the individual violations could be greater than the number of completed compliance investigations.

Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data

errors

WIC Program - The Integrity Profile

Summary Of High Risk Indicators

Fiscal Year 2005

Date: 11/19/2007 Time: 10:06:40 AM User ID: Kelly Jackson (FM)

Sensitive but Unclassified (SBU) Information - For Internal Use Only

National																			
Continued	Number of Completed Compliance Investigations	Saı	No nctions	Overch	narging	Unauth Food Ite		Chargi Foo	ing for ds not Rec'd	Docur	sement cess of mented ventory	FI's C	eeming Outside annels	Pro Credit of food ite		Traff	icking		cohol/ bacco
Indicator of Abuse			%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
R = Past history of violations and disqualifications	249	263	106%	33	13%	18	7%	2	1%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
S = Associations with known violators	5	9	180%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
T = Multiple ownerships which include known violators	5	6	120%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U = Short on authorized food items or no inventory	54	233	431%	0	0%	1	2%	1	2%	11	20%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
V = New vendor	700	770	110%	102	15%	16	2%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%
W = Random Selection	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
X = Other	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Totals for National:	4,227	5,016	119%	303	7%	156	4%	29	1%	51	1%	5	0%	6	0%	9	0%	0	0%
Report Totals:	4,227	5,016	119%	303	7%	156	4%	29	1%	51	1%	5	0%	6	0%	9	0%	0	0%

Note: Vendors can be flagged under multiple indicators and have multiple violations. Therefore, the percent may be greater than one hundred. The number for the individual violations could be greater than the number of completed compliance investigations.

Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data