

## Store Tracking and Redemption System Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations

---

### Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations

**You selected the following criteria:**

**Region:** All  
**Fiscal Year:** 2005

**Your report yielded 89 results**

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Northeast**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Overcharging			Unauthorized Food Items in Exchange for Food Instruments			Charging for Foods Not Received		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Connecticut	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Indian Township, ME	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Maine	16	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Massachusetts	115	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
New Hampshire	33	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
New York	1,381	84	28.57%	65.48%	18	22.22%	55.56%	0	0%	0%
Pleasant Point, ME	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Rhode Island	0	2	100%	0%	0	0%	0%	1	100%	0%
Seneca Nation	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Vermont	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Northeast:</b>	<b>1,545</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>128.57%</b>	<b>65.48%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>22.22%</b>	<b>55.56%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Mid Atlantic**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Overcharging			Unauthorized Food Items in Exchange for Food Instruments			Charging for Foods Not Received		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Delaware	11	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
District of Columbia	15	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Maryland	18	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
New Jersey	37	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Pennsylvania	41	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Puerto Rico	2	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Virgin Islands	1	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Virginia	92	56	3.57%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
West Virginia	20	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Mid Atlantic:</b>	237	56	3.57%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Southeast**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Overcharging			Unauthorized Food Items in Exchange for Food Instruments			Charging for Foods Not Received		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Alabama	58	5	100%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Cherokee, NC	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Choctaw, MS	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Florida	117	1	100%	0%	1	100%	0%	0	0%	0%
Georgia	137	17	100%	0%	4	100%	0%	0	0%	0%
Kentucky	65	0	0%	0%	5	80%	100%	1	0%	100%
Mississippi	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
North Carolina	92	2	100%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
South Carolina	45	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Tennessee	67	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Southeast:</b>	<b>581</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>400%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>280%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Midwest**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Overcharging			Unauthorized Food Items in Exchange for Food Instruments			Charging for Foods Not Received		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Illinois	93	1	0%	100%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Indiana	12	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Michigan	171	28	82.14%	21.43%	1	100%	0%	0	0%	0%
Minnesota	81	0	0%	0%	1	0%	100%	0	0%	0%
Ohio	40	4	100%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Wisconsin	38	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	6	66.67%	33.33%
<b>Totals for Midwest:</b>	435	33	182.14%	121.43%	2	100%	100%	6	66.67%	33.33%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Southwest**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Overcharging			Unauthorized Food Items in Exchange for Food Instruments			Charging for Foods Not Received		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
ACL, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Arkansas	58	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Cherokee, OK	1	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Chickasaw, OK	5	0	0%	0%	4	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Choctaw, OK	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Eight Northern Pueblo, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Five Sandoval	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Inter-Tribal Council, OK	6	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Isleta, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Louisiana	22	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Muscogee Creek Nation, OK	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
New Mexico	34	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Oklahoma	119	17	0%	0%	41	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Osage Nation, OK	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Otoe-Missouria, OK	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Potawatomi, OK	3	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
San Felipe, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Santo Domingo, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Texas	107	10	50%	50%	16	62.5%	37.5%	9	66.67%	33.33%
WCD, OK	12	0	0%	0%	3	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Zuni, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Southwest**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Overcharging			Unauthorized Food Items in Exchange for Food Instruments			Charging for Foods Not Received		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
<i>Continued</i>										
<b>Totals for Southwest:</b>	367	27	50%	50%	64	62.5%	37.5%	9	66.67%	33.33%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

## WIC Program - The Integrity Profile

# Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations

### Fiscal Year 2005

**Region: Mountain Plains**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Overcharging			Unauthorized Food Items in Exchange for Food Instruments			Charging for Foods Not Received		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Cheyenne, SD	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Colorado	22	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Eastern Shoshone, WY	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Iowa	34	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Kansas	18	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Missouri	25	0	0%	0%	1	100%	0%	1	100%	0%
Montana	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Nebraska	13	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
North Dakota	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Northern Arapahoe, WY	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Omaha, NE	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Rosebud, SD	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Santee Sioux, NE	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
South Dakota	7	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Standing Rock, SD	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Three Affiliated Tribe, ND	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Utah	19	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Ute Mountain, CO	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Winnebago	1	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Wyoming	5	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Mountain Plains:</b>	144	0	0%	0%	1	100%	0%	1	100%	0%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**



**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Western**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Overcharging			Unauthorized Food Items in Exchange for Food Instruments			Charging for Foods Not Received		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Alaska	2	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
American Samoa	24	2	100%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Arizona	44	0	0%	0%	7	100%	0%	0	0%	0%
California	22	11	90.91%	9.09%	7	85.71%	14.29%	0	0%	0%
Guam	1	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Hawaii	2	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Idaho	11	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
ITCA, AZ	4	0	0%	0%	2	100%	0%	0	0%	0%
ITCN, NV	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Navajo Nation, AZ	3	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Nevada	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Oregon	62	0	0%	0%	1	0%	100%	1	100%	0%
Washington	50	5	0%	0%	5	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Western:</b>	225	18	190.91%	9.09%	22	285.71%	114.29%	1	100%	0%
<b>Totals for Section 1:</b>	3,534	245	955.19%	246%	117	850.43%	407.35%	19	433.34%	166.66%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Northeast**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Reimbursement in Excess of Documented Inventory			Receiving/Transacting or Redeeming FIs Outside Channels			Providing Credit or Non-food Items for FIs		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Connecticut	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Indian Township, ME	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Maine	16	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Massachusetts	115	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
New Hampshire	33	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
New York	1,381	0	0%	0%	1	100%	0%	0	0%	0%
Pleasant Point, ME	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Rhode Island	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Seneca Nation	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Vermont	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Northeast:</b>	1,545	0	0%	0%	1	100%	0%	0	0%	0%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Mid Atlantic**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Reimbursement in Excess of Documented Inventory			Receiving/Transacting or Redeeming FIs Outside Channels			Providing Credit or Non-food Items for FIs		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Delaware	11	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
District of Columbia	15	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Maryland	18	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
New Jersey	37	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Pennsylvania	41	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Puerto Rico	2	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Virgin Islands	1	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Virginia	92	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
West Virginia	20	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Mid Atlantic:</b>	237	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Southeast**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Reimbursement in Excess of Documented Inventory			Receiving/Transacting or Redeeming FIs Outside Channels			Providing Credit or Non-food Items for FIs		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Alabama	58	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Cherokee, NC	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Choctaw, MS	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Florida	117	12	100%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Georgia	137	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Kentucky	65	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Mississippi	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
North Carolina	92	7	42.86%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
South Carolina	45	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Tennessee	67	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Southeast:</b>	<b>581</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>142.86%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Midwest**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Reimbursement in Excess of Documented Inventory			Receiving/Transacting or Redeeming FIs Outside Channels			Providing Credit or Non-food Items for FIs		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Illinois	93	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Indiana	12	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Michigan	171	3	100%	0%	1	0%	100%	0	0%	0%
Minnesota	81	1	100%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Ohio	40	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Wisconsin	38	6	83.33%	16.67%	1	100%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Midwest:</b>	435	10	283.33%	16.67%	2	100%	100%	0	0%	0%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

## WIC Program - The Integrity Profile

# Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations

### Fiscal Year 2005

**Region: Southwest**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Reimbursement in Excess of Documented Inventory			Receiving/Transacting or Redeeming FIs Outside Channels			Providing Credit or Non-food Items for FIs		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
ACL, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Arkansas	58	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Cherokee, OK	1	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Chickasaw, OK	5	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Choctaw, OK	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Eight Northern Pueblo, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Five Sandoval	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Inter-Tribal Council, OK	6	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Isleta, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Louisiana	22	11	18.18%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Muscogee Creek Nation, OK	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
New Mexico	34	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Oklahoma	119	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Osage Nation, OK	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Otoe-Missouria, OK	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Potawatomi, OK	3	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
San Felipe, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Santo Domingo, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Texas	107	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
WCD, OK	12	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	1	0%	0%
Zuni, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
Fiscal Year 2005

**Region: Southwest**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Reimbursement in Excess of Documented Inventory			Receiving/Transacting or Redeeming FIs Outside Channels			Providing Credit or Non-food Items for FIs		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
<b>Totals for Southwest:</b>	367	11	18.18%	0%	0	0%	0%	1	0%	0%

**Note:** A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.

Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data

## WIC Program - The Integrity Profile

# Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations

### Fiscal Year 2005

**Region: Mountain Plains**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Reimbursement in Excess of Documented Inventory			Receiving/Transacting or Redeeming FIs Outside Channels			Providing Credit or Non-food Items for FIs		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Cheyenne, SD	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Colorado	22	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Eastern Shoshone, WY	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Iowa	34	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Kansas	18	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Missouri	25	0	0%	0%	1	100%	0%	0	0%	0%
Montana	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Nebraska	13	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
North Dakota	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Northern Arapahoe, WY	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Omaha, NE	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Rosebud, SD	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Santee Sioux, NE	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
South Dakota	7	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Standing Rock, SD	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Three Affiliated Tribe, ND	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Utah	19	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Ute Mountain, CO	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Winnebago	1	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Wyoming	5	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Mountain Plains:</b>	144	0	0%	0%	1	100%	0%	0	0%	0%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**



**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Western**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Reimbursement in Excess of Documented Inventory			Receiving/Transacting or Redeeming FIs Outside Channels			Providing Credit or Non-food Items for FIs		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Alaska	2	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
American Samoa	24	1	100%	0%	0	0%	0%	1	100%	0%
Arizona	44	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
California	22	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Guam	1	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Hawaii	2	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Idaho	11	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
ITCA, AZ	4	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
ITCN, NV	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Navajo Nation, AZ	3	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Nevada	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Oregon	62	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	1	0%	100%
Washington	50	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Western:</b>	225	1	100%	0%	0	0%	0%	2	100%	100%
<b>Totals for Section 2:</b>	3,534	41	544.37%	16.67%	4	300%	100%	3	100%	100%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

## WIC Program - The Integrity Profile Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations Fiscal Year 2005

**Region: Northeast**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Trafficking			Alcohol/Tobacco		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Connecticut	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Indian Township, ME	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Maine	16	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Massachusetts	115	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
New Hampshire	33	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
New York	1,381	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Pleasant Point, ME	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Rhode Island	0	1	100%	0%	0	0%	0%
Seneca Nation	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Vermont	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Northeast:</b>	1,545	1	100%	0%	0	0%	0%

**Note:** A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.

Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Mid Atlantic**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Trafficking			Alcohol/Tobacco		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Delaware	11	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
District of Columbia	15	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Maryland	18	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
New Jersey	37	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Pennsylvania	41	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Puerto Rico	2	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Virgin Islands	1	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Virginia	92	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
West Virginia	20	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Mid Atlantic:</b>	237	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Southeast**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Trafficking			Alcohol/Tobacco		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Alabama	58	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Cherokee, NC	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Choctaw, MS	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Florida	117	1	100%	0%	0	0%	0%
Georgia	137	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Kentucky	65	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Mississippi	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
North Carolina	92	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
South Carolina	45	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Tennessee	67	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Southeast:</b>	581	1	100%	0%	0	0%	0%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Midwest**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Trafficking			Alcohol/Tobacco		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Illinois	93	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Indiana	12	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Michigan	171	3	66.67%	33.33%	0	0%	0%
Minnesota	81	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Ohio	40	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Wisconsin	38	3	100%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Midwest:</b>	435	6	166.67%	33.33%	0	0%	0%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

## WIC Program - The Integrity Profile Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations Fiscal Year 2005

**Region: Southwest**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Trafficking			Alcohol/Tobacco		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
ACL, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Arkansas	58	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Cherokee, OK	1	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Chickasaw, OK	5	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Choctaw, OK	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Eight Northern Pueblo, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Five Sandoval	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Inter-Tribal Council, OK	6	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Isleta, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Louisiana	22	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Muscogee Creek Nation, OK	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
New Mexico	34	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Oklahoma	119	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Osage Nation, OK	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Otoe-Missouria, OK	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Potawatomi, OK	3	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
San Felipe, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Santo Domingo, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Texas	107	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
WCD, OK	12	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Zuni, NM	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

**WIC Program - The Integrity Profile**  
**Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations**  
**Fiscal Year 2005**

**Region: Southwest**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Trafficking			Alcohol/Tobacco		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
<b>Totals for Southwest:</b>	367	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**

## WIC Program - The Integrity Profile Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations Fiscal Year 2005

**Region: Mountain Plains**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Trafficking			Alcohol/Tobacco		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Cheyenne, SD	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Colorado	22	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Eastern Shoshone, WY	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Iowa	34	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Kansas	18	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Missouri	25	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Montana	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Nebraska	13	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
North Dakota	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Northern Arapahoe, WY	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Omaha, NE	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Rosebud, SD	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Santee Sioux, NE	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
South Dakota	7	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Standing Rock, SD	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Three Affiliated Tribe, ND	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Utah	19	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Ute Mountain, CO	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Winnebago	1	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Wyoming	5	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Mountain Plains:</b>	144	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%

**Note: A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.**

**Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data**



## WIC Program - The Integrity Profile Sanctions Resulting From Serious Program Violations Fiscal Year 2005

**Region: Western**

State Agency	Number of Completed Investigations	Trafficking			Alcohol/Tobacco		
		# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs	# of Vendors	% Disqualified	% Receiving CMPs
Alaska	2	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
American Samoa	24	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Arizona	44	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
California	22	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Guam	1	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Hawaii	2	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Idaho	11	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
ITCA, AZ	4	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
ITCN, NV	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Navajo Nation, AZ	3	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Nevada	0	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Oregon	62	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
Washington	50	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Western:</b>	225	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%
<b>Totals for Section 3:</b>	3,534	8	366.67%	33.33%	0	0%	0%

**Note:** A vendor can commit more than one violation, therefore, the sum of the number of vendors across all violation categories may not equal the total number of vendors committing violations.

Source: FY 2005 State Reported TIP data