## Collaborative Research at ESRL on Regional Air Quality: Meteorology, Model Evaluation and Forecasting

Lisa Darby<sup>1</sup>, Stuart McKeen<sup>1</sup>, Georg Grell<sup>2</sup>, Sara A. Michelson<sup>3</sup>, Irina Djalalova<sup>3</sup>, James Wilczak<sup>3,</sup> Jian-Wen Bao<sup>3</sup>

> <sup>1</sup>Chemical Sciences Division <sup>2</sup>Global Systems Division <sup>3</sup>Physical Sciences Division



Presented at: ESRL Theme Presentation on Tropospheric Ozone and Air Quality DSRC Building, Boulder, CO: 14 February 2008





- East Tennessee Ozone Study
- Texas Air Quality Studies
- New England Air Quality Study
- Central California Ozone Study

### OUTLINE

• An illustration of why meteorological research is important to air quality research

• A paradigm for collaborative research

Highlights of ensemble air quality forecast research



July 1 - August 31, 2002, LST

- It was hypothesized based on model simulations that the differences in O<sub>3</sub> maxima between the two sites were due, in part, to meteorology
  - features that affect regional transport, e.g., stationary fronts, cold fronts, and the Appalachian lee-side trough
- Differences in deposition and titration between the two sites at night may have played a role too, with TF losing more O<sub>3</sub> after sunset than ADI



Small solid arrow – Boston export; 0800 LST

Small dark arrow – transport to Boston, ADI, and TF; 1300 LST

Large arrow – Transport at 925 mb (~766 m st. atm.)



# Key Points of This Case study

Differences in regional transport associated with cold fronts, stationary fronts, mesoscale lows, Appalachian lee-side troughs contributed to differences in ozone concentrations at ADI & TF



### A Paradigm for Numerical Model Evaluation



### An "Inverse Problem"

Model evaluation can be regarded as an inverse problem because it infers the errors in the input and physics of the model from the forecast errors.

A priori knowledge derived from process studies can be very useful as additional constraints to meaningfully solve the "ill-posed" inverse problem.

Bao J.-W., S. A. Michelson, S. A. McKeen, G. A. Grell, 2005: Meteorological evaluation of a weather-chemistry forecasting model using observations from the TEXAS AQS 2000 field experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D21105, doi:10.1029/2004JD005024.

Darby, L.S., S.A. McKeen, C.J. Senff, A.B. White, R.M. Banta, M.J. Post, W.A. Brewer, R.D. Marchbanks, R.J. Alvarez II, S.E. Peckham, H. Mao, and R. Talbot, 2007: Ozone differences between nearcoastal and offshore sites in New England: Role of Meteorology. J. Geophys. Res., 112, D16S91, doi:10.1029/2007JD008446.

#### Central California Ozone Study (CCOS) 2000



### Impact of CCOS-2000

The State of California turned to NOAA for our expertise in meteorological modeling and observations, and their current plans on how to reduce ozone in the future are based on the model simulations carried out at PSD.

Bao, J.-W., S. Michelson, O. Persson, I. Djalalova, and J. Wilczak, 2008: Observed and WRF-simulated lowlevel winds in a high-ozone episode during the Central California Ozone Study. To appear in *J. Appl. Meteor. Clim*.



### **Ensemble Air Quality Forecast Research**

Real-time Forecast Model Results, Collected and Stored at NOAA/ESRL/CSD, During NEAQS-2004 and TexAQS-2006

McKeen et al., JGR, 110, D21307, 2005



Also: Real-time forecasts from University of Houston not used in this study

#### 2006 versus 2004 surface O<sub>3</sub> statistics (no bias corrections)



Ensemble shows the highest skill and correlation during TexAQS-2006

#### McKeen et al., JGR, 110, D21307, 2005

### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

• Meteorological process studies are important to air quality forecasts.

• Close collaboration between modelers and observationalists is crucial in model evaluation.

• Ensemble technique is very promising for the improvement of air quality forecast.