Table 1 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates Average Monthly Adjusted Number of Families and Children Served (FFY 2006)								
		,						
States/Territories	Average Number of Families	Average Number of Children						
Alabama	14,700	28,000						
Alaska	2,900	4,900						
American Samoa	-	-						
Arizona	18,500	30,900						
Arkansas	3,900	5,600						
California	117,000	184,100						
Colorado	8,900	16,300						
Connecticut	6,300	10,100						
Delaware	4,600	7,500						
District of Columbia	2,500	3,600						
Florida	67,900	108,600						
Georgia	35,600	64,600						
Guam	400	600						
Hawaii	5,600	8,600						
Idaho	5,400	9,900						
Illinois	44,500	82,200						
Indiana	17,300	32,800						
lowa	11,100	19,400						
Kansas	12,000	22.400						
Kentucky	20,700	37,000						
Louisiana	23,200	39.100						
Maine	3,700	5,400						
Maryland	13,500	22,900						
Massachusetts	23,900	32,100						
Michigan	45,000	87,800						
Minnesota	19.400	35,100						
Mississippi	19,500	39,100						
Missouri	19,200	33,600						
Montana	2,900	4,800						
Nebraska	7,400	13,100						
Nevada	3,600	6,000						
New Hampshire	5,200	7,500						
New Jersey	25,900	37,900						
New Mexico	11,600	19,800						
New York	73,200	123,700						
North Carolina	47,100	95,800						
North Dakota	2,500	4,000						
Northern Mariana Islands	200	400						
Ohio	28,800	50,400						
Oklahoma	-	-						
Oregon	11,000	20,200						
Pennsylvania	49,500	86,700						
Puerto Rico	7,800	10,700						
Rhode Island	4,400	7,100						
South Carolina	11,300	19,700						
South Dakota	3,100	4,900						
Tennessee	22,200	42,500						
Texas	68,200	126,200						
Utah	7,000	13,000						
Vermont	4,700	6,800						
Virgin Islands	400	700						
Virginia	17,200	27,900						
Washington	32,700	53,200						
West Virginia	5,600	9,300						
Wisconsin	17,100	30,100						
Wyoming	2,900	4,700						
Notional Total	4.040.700	4 700 200						

Notes applicable to this table:

National Total

Data as of: 09-JUL-2007

1,799,300

2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

1,040,700

- 3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- 4. At the time this data was prepared, American Samoa had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006 and Guam only submitted four (4) months of data. Oklahoma had not submitted the ACF-800 form or otherwise reported the pooling factor and had not reported all the ACF-801 data, so OK was not included in this data. After this data was prepared, Minnesota reported that the number of families and children served as reported above is approximately 31% overstated. The final publication of this data will include corrected MN data results.
- 5. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served in Oct 2005 through January 2006 served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties. However, Alaska began reporting full population data in Feb 2006. Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 6. The reported results shown above have been rounded to the nearest 100. The national numbers are simply the sum of the State and Territory numbers.

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2006.

	rants / Contracts	erved by Payment N	letnoa (FF <u>† 2006)</u>						
%									
Alabama	0%	100%	0%	46,843					
Alaska	0%	85%	15%	10,121					
American Samoa	-	-	-	-					
Arizona	0%	100%	0%	56,371					
Arkansas	41%	59%	0%	24,533 289.980					
California Colorado	37% 1%	63% 96%	0% 3%	33,984					
Connecticut	40%	60%	0%	27,661					
Delaware	0%	100%	0%	·					
District of Columbia	0%	100%	0%	4,470					
Florida	51%	49%	0%	177,055					
Georgia	0%	100%	0%	107,661					
Guam	38%	62%	0%	2,375					
Hawaii	46%	0%	54%	25,983					
Idaho	0%	100%	0%	18,183					
Illinois	7%	93%	0%	146,744					
Indiana	3%	97%	0%	55,844					
Iowa	0%	100%	0%	39,182					
Kansas	0%	100%	0%	37,510					
Kentucky	0%	100%	0%	70,551					
Louisiana Maine	0%	100%	0%	88,198					
Maryland	29% 0%	69% 100%	2% 0%	8,646 40,798					
Massachusetts	44%	56%	0%						
Michigan	0%	71%	29%	133,244					
Minnesota	0%	100%	0%	55,117					
Mississippi	3%	97%	0%	42,582					
Missouri	0%	100%	0%	59,707					
Montana	0%	100%	0%	9,919					
Nebraska	0%	100%	0%	25,481					
Nevada	20%	80%	0%	15,440					
New Hampshire	0%	100%	0%	12,726					
New Jersey	19%	81%	0%						
New Mexico	0%	100%	0%						
New York North Carolina	19% 0%	81% 100%	0% 0%	225,158					
North Dakota	0%	100%	0%	112,608 8,020					
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	0%	100%	639					
Ohio	0%	100%	0%						
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-					
Oregon	3%	97%	0%	38,386					
Pennsylvania	0%	78%	22%						
Puerto Rico	71%	29%	0%	13,967					
Rhode Island	0%	100%	0%	,					
South Carolina	0%	100%	0%	·					
South Dakota	1%	99%	0%						
Tennessee	0%	100%	0%	,					
Texas	0%	100%	0%						
Utah Vermont	0% 3%	0% 97%	100%	·					
Virgin Islands	0%	100%	0%						
Virginia	0%	0%	100%						
Washington	0%	81%	19%						
West Virginia	0%	100%	0%						
Wisconsin	0%	100%	0%						
Wyoming	0%	100%	0%						
National Total	11%	83%	6%						

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 16-JUL-2007

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2006. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e., a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.

<sup>2.</sup> All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

<sup>3.</sup> A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

<sup>4.</sup> At the time of publication American Samoa and Oklahoma had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006.

#### Table 3 **Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates**

Average Monthly Percentages of Children Served by Types of Care (FFY 2006)

					are (11 1 2000	_
State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Invalid / Not Reported	Total
Alabama	0%	7%	4%	88%	2%	100%
Alaska	15%	32%	6%	46%	0%	100%
American Samoa	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arizona	3%	16%	7%	74%	0%	100%
Arkansas	0%	18%	0%	82%	0%	100%
California	6%	37%	10%	46%	1%	100%
Colorado	6%	31%	0%	62%	1%	100%
Connecticut	25%	30%	0%	40%	4%	100%
Delaware	3%	35%	3%	58%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	0%	4%	0%	82%	14%	100%
Florida	1%	11%	0%	88%	0%	100%
Georgia	1%	13%	2%	85%	0%	100%
Guam	5%	7%	1%	87%	0%	100%
Hawaii	14%	53%	0%	33%	1%	100%
Idaho	1%	36%	14%	49%	0%	100%
Illinois	22%	44%	1%	33%	0%	100%
Indiana	1%	44%	0%	55%	0%	100%
Iowa	0%	56%	6%	37%	1%	100%
Kansas	5%	20%	40%	35%	0%	100%
Kentucky	0%	20%	2%	79%	0%	100%
Louisiana	15%	11%	0%	74%	0%	100%
Maine	2%	44%	0%	52%	1%	100%
Maryland	13%	46%	0%	40%	1%	100%
Massachusetts	2%	46%	21%	67%	5%	100%
Michigan	29%	45%	10%	15%	1%	100%
	14%	47%	0%		3%	
Minnesota	3%	23%	1%	36% 73%	1%	100% 100%
Mississippi	1%	40%	2%	73% 54%	2%	
Missouri			37%		2% 0%	100%
Montana	3% 0%	22% 38%	37% 8%	38% 53%	1%	100% 100%
Nebraska						
Nevada	7%	6%	1%	86%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	6%	32%	0%	61%	1%	100%
New Jersey	2%	17%	0%	70%	11%	100%
New Mexico	2%	38%	6%	53%	1%	100%
New York	17%	39%	9%	28%	6%	100%
North Carolina	0%	19%	0%	81%	0%	100%
North Dakota	0%	42%	31%	26%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	7%	45%	6%	41%	0%	100%
Ohio	0%	32%	2%	59%	7%	100%
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-	
Oregon	12%	66%	3%	19%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	6%	40%	4%	48%	3%	100%
Puerto Rico	3%	49%	1%	47%	1%	100%
Rhode Island	1%	32%	0%	67%	0%	100%
South Carolina	6%	13%	3%	78%	0%	100%
South Dakota	1%	48%	0%	51%	0%	100%
Tennessee	1%	15%	5%	79%	0%	100%
Texas	7%	12%	2%	79%	0%	100%
Utah	10%	44%	7%	38%	2%	100%
Vermont	0%	51%	0%	46%	3%	100%
Virgin Islands	11%	0%	3%	86%	0%	100%
Virginia	4%	35%	0%	61%	0%	100%
Washington	11%	32%	0%	43%	14%	100%
West Virginia	0%	36%	4%	59%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	0%	33%	0%	60%	6%	100%
Wyoming	6%	28%	6%	15%	45%	100%
National Total	7%	29%	5%	57%	2%	100%
Notes applicable to this table:						of: 09-JUL-2007

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2006. In years prior to FFY 2005, this table was based on the ACF-800 rather than the ACF-801. The CCB decided to use ACF-801 data wherever possible because it is now considered more representative.
- 2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages. National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 4. At the time of publication American Samoa had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006 and Guam only submitted four (4) months of data. Oklahoma had not submitted the ACF-800 form or otherwise reported the pooling factor and had not reported all the ACF-801 data, so OK was not included in this data.
- 5. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the hours with each provider divided by the total hours of
- 6. For consistency with related reports involving setting data, the Invalid/Not Reported category includes children with any element of any setting identified as invalid or not reported including zero hours served, zero cost, or no setting records.
- 7. The current WY processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. WY is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served in Oct 2005 through January 2006 served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties. However, Alaska began reporting full population data in Feb 2006. Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.

## Table 4 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Average Monthly Percentages of Children Served in Regulated Settings vs. Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation (FFY 2006)

Sett		ating Without Regula			
State	Licensed /	Legally Operating	Invalid /	Total	
	Regulated	Without Regulation	Not Reported		
Alabama	79%	20%	2%	100%	
Alaska	71%	28%	0%	100%	
American Samoa	-	-	-		
Arizona	89%	11%	0%	100%	
Arkansas	99%	1%	0%	100%	
California	68%	31%	1%	100%	
Colorado	81%	19%	1%	100%	
Connecticut	49%	47%	4%	100%	
Delaware	89%	11%	0%	100%	
District of Columbia	85%	1%	14%	100%	
Florida	90%	9%	0%	100%	
Georgia	95%	5%	0%	100%	
Guam	85%	15%	0%	100%	
Hawaii	38%	62%	1%	100%	
Idaho	62%	38%	0%	100%	
Illinois	49%	51%	0%	100%	
Indiana	66%	34%	0%	100%	
lowa	80%	20%	1%	100%	
Kansas	84%	16%	0%	100%	
Kentucky	87%	13%	0%	100%	
Louisiana	73%	27%	0%	100%	
Maine	85%	13%	1%	100%	
Maryland	77%	22%	1%	100%	
Massachusetts	91%	4%	5%	100%	
Michigan	32%	67%	1%	100%	
Minnesota	69%	28%	3%	100%	
Mississippi	74%	25%	1%	100%	
Missouri	61%	36%	2%	100%	
Montana	87%	13%	0%	100%	
Nebraska	81%	18%	1%	100%	
Nevada	73%	27%	0%	100%	
New Hampshire	69%	31%	1%	100%	
New Jersey	80%	9%	11%	100%	
New Mexico	61%	38%	1%	100%	
New York	46%	48%	6%	100%	
North Carolina	98%	2%	0%	100%	
North Dakota	65%	35%	0%	100%	
Northern Mariana Islands	99%	1%	0%	100%	
Ohio	93%	0%	7%	100%	
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	
Oregon	42%	58%	0%	100%	
Pennsylvania	60%	38%	3%	100%	
Puerto Rico	48%	51%	1%	100%	
Rhode Island	97%	3%	0%	100%	
South Carolina	83%	17%	0%	100%	
South Dakota	87%	13%	0%	100%	
Tennessee	90%	10%	0%	100%	
Texas	84%	16%	0%	100%	
Utah	56%	42%	2%	100%	
Vermont	97%	0%	3%	100%	
Virgin Islands	97%	3%	0%	100%	
Virginia	81%	19%	0%	100%	
Washington	69%	16%	14%	100%	
West Virginia	97%	3%	0%	100%	
Wisconsin	94%	0%	6%	100%	
Wyoming	29%	26%	45%	100%	
National Total	73%	25%	2%	100%	

Notes applicable to this table:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2006. In years prior to FFY 2005, this table was based on the ACF-800 rather than the ACF-801. The CCB decided to use ACF-801 data wherever possible because it is now considered more representative.
- 2. These percentages were based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- $3.\,A$  "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 4. At the time of publication American Samoa had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006 and Guam only submitted four (4) months of data. Oklahoma had not submitted the ACF-800 form or otherwise reported the pooling factor and had not reported all the ACF-801 data, so OK was not included in this data.
- 5. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the hours with each provider divided by the total hours of service.
- 6. For consistency with related reports involving setting data, the Invalid/Not Reported category includes children with any element of any setting identified as invalid or not reported including zero hours served, zero cost, or no setting records.
- 7. The current WY processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. WY is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served in Oct 2005 through January 2006 served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties. However, Alaska began reporting full population data in Feb 2006. Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.

Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates Of Children in Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation,									
Av		t Served by Relatives vs							
State	Relative	Non-Relative	Total %	Total Count					
Alabama	14%	86%	100%	5491					
Alaska	78%	22%	100%	1406					
American Samoa	-	-	-	-					
Arizona	100%	0%	100%	3,339					
Arkansas	10%	90%	100%	40					
California	65%	35%	100%	57,022					
Colorado	71%	29%	100%	3,014					
Connecticut	73%	27%	100%	4,732					
Delaware	79%	21%	100%	822					
District of Columbia	93%	7%	100%	29					
Florida	3%	97%	100%	10,227					
Georgia	80%	20%	100%	2,980					
Guam	39%	61%	100%	94					
Hawaii	84%	16%	100%	5327					
Idaho	34%	66%	100%	3711					
Illinois	34%	66%	100%	41,660					
Indiana	7%	93%	100%	11,087					
Iowa	16%	84%	100%	3,787					
Kansas	84%	16%	100%	3,605					
Kentucky	57%	43%	100%	4,693					
Louisiana	51%	49%	100%	10,558					
Maine	49%	51%	100%	730					
Maryland	86%	14%	100%	5,087					
Massachusetts	68%	32%	100%	1,392					
Michigan	100%	0%	100%	58,761					
Minnesota	41%	59%	100%	9,914					
Mississippi	53%	47%	100%	9,952					
Missouri	32%	68%	100%	12,241					
Montana	55%	45%	100%						
				630					
Nebraska	3%	97%	100%	2,331 1,601					
Nevada	7% 28%	93% 72%	100%						
New Hampshire			100%	2,298					
New Jersey	35%	65%	100%	3,267					
New Mexico	73%	27%	100%	7,560					
New York	43%	57%	100%	59,609					
North Carolina	75%	25%	100%	1,535					
North Dakota	38%	62%	100%	1395					
Northern Mariana Islands	100%	0%	100%	2					
Ohio	NA	NA	NA	0					
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-					
Oregon	29%	71%	100%	11,683					
Pennsylvania	51%	49%	100%	32,835					
Puerto Rico	83%	17%	100%	5,512					
Rhode Island	46%	55%	100%	200					
South Carolina	0%	100%	100%	3,353					
South Dakota	57%	43%	100%	630					
Tennessee	40%	60%	100%	4,374					
Texas	100%	0%	100%	20,275					
Utah	95%	5%	100%	5,513					
Vermont	NA	NA	NA	0					
Virgin Islands	52%	48%	100%	21					
Virginia	49%	51%	100%	5,167					
Washington	97%	3%	100%	8,719					
West Virginia	30%	70%	100%	250					
Wisconsin	0%	100%	100%	1					
Wyoming	60%	40%	100%	1,226					

Table 5

National

Notes applicable to this table

451,688 Data as of: 09-JUL-2007

100%

58%

42%

- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding. In this table, centers operating without regulation (data element 26 = 11) were considered Non-Relative.
- 4. In some States there were no children served in unregulated settings and thus the percent is "NA" since division by zero is undefined. Ohio and Vermont have no Providers Legally Operating Without Regulation.
- 5. At the time of publication American Samoa had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006 and Guam only submitted four (4) months of data. Oklahoma had not submitted the ACF-801 form or otherwise reported the pooling factor and had not reported all the ACF-801 data, so OK was not included in this data.
- 6. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the hours with each provider divided by the total hours of service.
- 7. The current WY processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. WY is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served in Oct 2005 through January 2006 served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties. However, Alaska began reporting full population data in Feb 2006. Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2006. In years prior to FFY 2005, this table was based on the ACF-800 rather than the ACF-801. The CCB decided to use ACF-801 data wherever possible because it is now considered more representative.

<sup>2.</sup> All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. Percentages are based on these counts. These "adjusted" number sepresen the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

## Table 6 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Average Monthly Percentages of Children Served in All Types of Care (FEY 2006)

	A					ildren Ser		Types of					
	Total %	License	d or Reg	ulated P	roviders		Providers	Legally C	perating	without R	egulation		Invalid /
Ctata		Child's	Family	Crain		Child's	Home	Family	Home	Group	Home		
State	of Children	Home	Home	Group Home	Center	Relative	Non- Relative	Relative	Non- Relative	Relative	Non- Relative	Center	Not Reported
Alabama	100%	0%	4%	4%	71%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	17%	2%
Alaska	100%	0%	19%	6%	46%	14%	1%	8%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%
American Samoa	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Arizona	100%	0%	8%	7%	74%	2%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Arkansas	100%	0%	18%	0%	82%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
California	100%	0%	15%	10%	43%	5%	2%	16%	6%	0%	0%	3%	1%
Colorado	100%	0%	18%	0%	62%	5%	1%	9%	4%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Connecticut	100%	0%	12%	0%	37%	18%	7%	16%	2%	0%	0%	4%	4%
Delaware	100%	0%	30%	3%	56%	3%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%
District of Columbia	100%	0%	4%	0%	82%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%
Florida	100%	0%	11%	0%	79%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%
Georgia	100%	0%	9%	2%	85%	1%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Guam	100%	0%	1%	1%	83%	3%	2%	3%	3%	0%	0%	4%	0%
Hawaii	100%	0%	7%	0%	30%	12%	2%	40%	6%	0%	0%	2%	1%
Idaho	100%	0%	0%	14%	49%	0%	1%	12%	24%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Illinois	100%	0%	19%	1%	30%	10%	12%	7%	18%	0%	0%	4%	0%
Indiana	100%	0%	36%	0%	30%	0%	0%	2%	6%	0%	0%	25%	0%
Iowa	100%	0%	37%	6%	37%	0%	0%	3%	16%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Kansas	100%	0%	9%	40%	35%	3%	3%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Kentucky	100%	0%	7%	2%	79%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Louisiana	100%	0%	0%	0%	73%	10%	4%	4%	7%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Maine	100%	0%	33%	0%	52%	1%	1%	6%	5%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Maryland	100%	0%	37%	0%	40%	10%	3%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Massachusetts	100%	0%	2%	21%	67%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%
Michigan	100%	0%	7%	10%	15%	29%	0%	38%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Minnesota	100%	0%	34%	0%	35%	8%	6%	4%	9%	0%	0%	2%	3%
Mississippi	100%	0%	0%	1%	73%	2%	1%	12%	11%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Missouri	100%	0%	12%	2%	47%	1%	1%	11%	17%	0%	0%	7%	2%
Montana	100% 100%	0% 0%	12% 20%	37%	38%	2% 0%	1% 0%	5% 1%	5% 17%	0%	0% 0%	0% 0%	0%
Nebraska	100%	0%	20% 4%	8% 1%	53% 69%	2%	6%	0%	1/%	0% 0%	0%	18%	1% 0%
Nevada New Hampshire	100%	0%	4% 8%	0%	61%	3%	3%	5%	19%	0%	0%	18%	1%
New Jersey	100%	0%	10%	0%	70%	1%	1%	2%	5%	0%	0%	0%	11%
New Mexico	100%	0%	2%	6%	53%	1%	1%	27%	10%	0%	0%	0%	1%
New York	100%	0%	10%	9%	27%	10%	8%	11%	18%	0%	0%	1%	6%
North Carolina	100%	0%	17%	0%	81%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
North Dakota	100%	0%	8%	31%	26%	0%	0%	13%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Northern Mariana Islands	100%	7%	45%	6%	41%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ohio	100%	0%	32%	2%	59%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	100%	0%	22%	2%	18%	5%	7%	11%	32%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Pennsylvania	100%	0%	8%	4%	48%	4%	2%	16%	16%	0%	0%	0%	3%
Puerto Rico	100%	2%	1%	1%	44%	1%	0%	42%	6%	0%	0%	3%	1%
Rhode Island	100%	0%	30%	0%	67%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
South Carolina	100%	0%	2%	3%	78%	0%	6%	0%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%
South Dakota	100%	0%	36%	0%	51%	0%	1%	7%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Tennessee	100%	0%	6%	5%	79%	1%	0%	3%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Texas	100%	0%	3%	2%	79%	7%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Utah	100%	0%	12%	7%	37%	10%	1%	30%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%
Vermont	100%	0%	51%	0%	46%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%
Virgin Islands	100%	8%	0%	3%	85%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Virginia	100%	1%	21%	0%	59%	1%	1%	8%	6%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Washington	100%	0%	27%	0%	43%	11%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%
West Virginia	100%	0%	35%	4%	57%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Wisconsin	100%	0%	33%	0%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%
Wyoming	100%	0%	8%	6%	15%	4%	2%	11%	9%	0%	0%	0%	45%
National Percentage	100%	0%	14%	5%	55%	5%	2%	9%	6%	0%	0%	2%	2%

Notes applicable to this table

- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 4. At the time of publication American Samoa had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006 and Guam only submitted four (4) months of data. Oklahoma had not submitted the ACF-800 form or otherwise reported the pooling factor and had not reported all the ACF-801 data, so OK was not included in this data.
- 5. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the hours with each provider divided by the total hours of service.
- 6. For consistency with related reports involving setting data, the Invalid/Not Reported category includes children with any element of any setting identified as invalid or not reported including zero hours served, zero cost, or no setting records.
- 7. The current WY processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. WY is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served in Oxt 2005 through January 2006 served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties. However, Alaska began reporting full population data in Feb 2006. Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2006. In years prior to FFY 2005 this table was based on the ACF-800 rather than the ACF-801. The CCB decided to use ACF-801 data wherever possible because it is now considered more representative.

<sup>2.</sup> These percentages were based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

#### **Child Care and Development Fund and Additional State Efforts** Preliminary Estimates Number of Child Care Providers Receiving CCDF Funds (FFY 2006) State **Child's Home** Total **Family Home Group Home** Center Alabama 1,645 3,194 809 1,254 153 284 2,500 Alaska American Samoa 834 3,885 345 1,296 6,360 Arizona Arkansas n 619 957 1,576 California 12,463 59,037 6,471 5,499 83,470 Colorado 1,172 4,318 1,398 6,888 Connecticut 5,209 4,105 22 1,607 10,943 2,518 Delaware 50 403 653 1.412 District of Columbia 223 185 410 Florida 72 6,104 0 7,703 13,879 1,295 3,738 9,204 Georgia 249 3,922 Guam 177 14 51 245 Hawaii 1,188 4,909 245 6,346 Idaho 135 2,235 400 533 3,303 Illinois 33,044 50,505 299 3,579 87,427 Indiana 69 3,592 0 1,233 4,894 213 7,559 366 726 8.864 Iowa 694 Kansas 712 2,546 2,386 6,338 Kentucky 344 3,661 131 1,772 5,908 2,035 4,083 8,036 1.918 Louisiana 0 Maine 145 1,850 0 485 2,480 2,932 5,920 1,572 10,424 Maryland 2,916 Massachusetts 2.064 2,382 2,248 9,610 Michigan 28.787 39.706 2.810 2.476 73.779 Minnesota 3,390 14,267 2,179 19,836 33 Mississippi 680 5,355 1,362 7,430 7.964 184 2.022 10,784 Missouri 614 459 253 Montana 226 1,364 2,302 Nebraska 336 4,051 306 614 5,307 1,326 82 11 614 Nevada 619 New Hampshire 487 2,077 681 3,245 New Jersey 830 5,601 C 2,546 8,977 New Mexico 11 6,244 162 489 6.906 20,217 45,520 4,002 73,934 New York 4,195 North Carolina 101 4,165 4,592 8,858 0 North Dakota 1,788 671 140 2,600 Northern Mariana Islands 0 175 20 195 14 3,747 Ohio 10,955 210 14,926 Oklahoma Oregon 3,642 11,859 185 786 16,472 Pennsylvania 5,068 36,412 701 3,612 45,793 Puerto Rico 24 2.116 712 2.852 0 Rhode Island 124 1,130 352 1,612 South Carolina 798 2,293 179 1,163 4,433 South Dakota 72 1,368 82 246 1,768 112 1,958 387 1,631 4,088 Tennessee 935 31,469 Texas 10.101 13.999 6.434 6.968 382 570 Utah 2,792 10,712 1,989 505 Vermont 375 2,869 Virgin Islands 0 25 34 86 145 Virginia 10,043 21,498 Washington 9,426 2,029 West Virginia 12 2,573 92 427 3,104 Wisconsin 116 6.311 2,304 8.731 0 Wyoming 1,366 5,014 86 1,404 8,645 **National Total** 158,049 426,535 26,750 88,079 699,413

Table 7

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 16-JUL-2007

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2006, an unduplicated annual count.

<sup>2.</sup> This data has not been adjusted by the pooling factor (unadjusted data) because ACF-800 Data Element 6a is reported as a count of provider receiving CCDF funding.

<sup>3.</sup> Note that this table reports the number of providers (not the number of children). A provider that serves one child is counted the same as provider serving 200 children per day.

<sup>4.</sup> At the time of publication, American Samoa and Oklahoma had not yet reported FFY 2006 ACF-800 data.

<sup>5.</sup> Virginia is not able to report the number of providers because payments are made locally and information on providers is also kept at the local level. They are working towards an automated system in order to report the number of providers.

#### Child Care and Development Fund **Preliminary Estimates** Consumer Education Strategies Summary (FFY 2006) Grants / Types/ Health **Child Care Child Care Estimated Number of** Resource Contracts / **Provider** Quality of Mass Regulatory Other State and and Complaint Families Receiving Certificates List Care Media Referral Safety Info Policy **Consumer Education** Materials Info Alabama Υ Υ 33,611 NA Alaska Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ 11.478 American Samoa Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ 179,239 NA Ν Arizona 13 852 Arkansas Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν California ٧ V V V V ٧ V V 2,091,932 Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν NA Ν 1,618,658 Colorado Connecticut Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν Υ 70.414 V V V V V V V Ν 19,601 Delaware District of Columbia 30,000 Υ Ν Υ Υ Florida 219.039 V V V V Ν 45,415 Georgia Guam Ν 1,216 8.304 Hawaii Υ Idaho NA Υ Ν Υ Ν Υ Υ Ν 10,009 Illinois Υ Ν 275,600 Ν 23,062 Indiana Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Iowa Ν Υ Υ Ν 31.284 NA Υ Υ Υ Ν 149,456 Kansas Ν Ν Ν Ν Kentucky 59,464 Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Louisiana NA Υ Υ N Maine Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 7,322 NA 235,826 Υ Maryland Υ Υ Υ Υ Massachusetts Υ Υ 85.000 Michigan NA Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 1,014,456 1,895,127 Minnesota Υ Mississippi Υ Υ 23 433 Missouri Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 45,893 NA 1,295,443 Montana NA Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 455,129 Nebraska Υ Nevada Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ V Υ Υ Ν 10,192 Υ Ν Ν 8,532 New Hampshire Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν Υ Υ Ν New Jersey 108.955 New Mexico NA Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ 22,510 New York 865,923 Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν Υ Υ Υ Ν 264.317 North Carolina North Dakota NA Υ Υ V Υ V Υ V Ν 9,692 Ν 639 Northern Mariana Islands Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 117,206 Ohio Oklahoma 102,755 Oregon NA Υ Ν 170,762 Pennsylvania Puerto Rico Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 10.930 Υ Ν Ν Ν 8,800 Rhode Island Υ Υ Ν South Carolina Υ Υ Ν Ν 21,164 South Dakota Υ Ν 186.266 Υ Ν Υ Ν Ν Ν 90 Tennessee Υ NA Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 124,794 Texas Utah NA Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ N 9 703 Ν Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 8,667 Vermont Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Virgin Islands NA Υ Ν 680 Virginia Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ 39 993 Washington NA γ γ Υ Υ 24,839 Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ NA 8,587 West Virginia

Notes applicable to this table:

Wisconsin

Wyoming

**Total Yes** 

**12,139,113**Data as of: 16-JUL-2007

51.947

11.907

Υ

NA

32

Υ

54

Υ

V

51

Υ

V

51

Υ

V

51

Ν

Ν

9

48

Υ

V

54

Ν

51

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2006, an unduplicated annual count.

<sup>2.</sup> This data has not been adjusted by the pooling factor (unadjusted data) because it is impossible to tell which families receiving consumer information also received CCDF funding.

<sup>3.</sup> NA=Not applicable, does not offer grants or contracts for subsidized child care slots.

<sup>4.</sup> A blank cell indicates that the State did not provide a response. Kentucky reported in the affirmative for providing several categories of consumer education, but did not report a valid number or estimate of the number of families receiving consumer information.

<sup>5.</sup> At the time of publication, American Samoa and Oklahoma had not yet reported FFY 2006 ACF-800 data.

	Table 9									
			Child	Care and De		und				
				Preliminary						
	Α	verage Mont	hly Percenta	ages of Child	ren In Care I	By Age Grou	p (FFY 2006)			
	0 to	1 yr to	2 yrs to	3 yrs to	4 yrs to	5 yrs to	6 yrs to		Invalid/Not	
State	< 1 yr	< 2 yrs	< 3 yrs	< 4 yrs	< 5 yrs	< 6 yrs	< 13 yrs	13+ yrs	Reported	Total
Alabama	7%	11%	12%	12%	12%	11%	35%	0%	0%	100%
Alaska	7%	11%	13%	13%	13%	11%	33%	0%	0%	100%
American Samoa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Arizona	6%	11%	12%	13%	13%	11%	34%	0%	0%	100%
Arkansas	12%	20%	22%	20%	17%	8%	0%	0%	0%	100%
California	3%	6%	10%	14%	16%	12%	39%	0%	0%	100%
Colorado	7%	12%	13%	13%	12%	11%	32%	0%	0%	100%
Connecticut	6%	10%	12%	13%	13%	10%	36%	0%	0%	100%
Delaware	8%	12%	13%	12%	12%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	6%	14%	18%	19%	13%	7%	23%	0%	0%	100%
Florida	5%	11%	14%	14%	14%	11%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Georgia	8%	13%	14%	13%	12%	9%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Guam	9%	15%	13%	15%	14%	7%	22%	5%	0%	100%
Hawaii	5%	11%	13%	16%	20%	8%	26%	0%	0%	100%
Idaho	7%	12%	12%	13%	13%	11%	32%	0%	0%	100%
Illinois	6%	9%	11%	11%	11%	10%	42%	1%	0%	100%
Indiana	5%	10%	13%	13%	13%	12%	34%	0%	0%	100%
Iowa	9%	12%	13%	12%	11%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Kansas	7%	11%	13%	13%	13%	11%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Kentucky	7%	12%	13%	13%	12%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Louisiana	8%	14%	16%	14%	11%	8%	29%	0%	0%	100%
Maine	4%	8%	12%	15%	16%	12%	34%	1%	0%	100%
Maryland	5%	10%	12%	12%	12%	10%	38%	0%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	5%	9%	13%	14%	15%	11%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Michigan	6%	9%	10%	10%	10%	9%	45%	1%	0%	100%
Minnesota	7%	11%	13%	12%	13%	11%	32%	0%	0%	100%
Mississippi	5%	11%	13%	13%	12%	10%	35%	1%	0%	100%
Missouri	6%	11%	13%	13%	12%	10%	28%	0%	6%	100%
Montana	8%	12%	14%	14%	13%	12%	28%	0%	0%	100%
Nebraska	9%	13%	13%	13%	12%	10%	30%	1%	0%	100%
Nevada	6%	10%	12%	13%	13%	11%	34%	0%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	5%	10%	12%	14%	14%	12%	33%	0%	0%	100%
New Jersey	4%	9%	12%	12%	10%	9%	35%	2%	8%	100%
New Mexico	7%	11%	13%	13%	13%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
New York	4%	9%	11%	12%	13%	10%	40%	1%	0%	100%
North Carolina	5%	9%	11%	12%	12%	11%	39%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	10%	14%	15%	13%	11%	10%	27%	1%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	6%	9%	11%	12%	12%	14%	35%	0%	0%	100%
Ohio Oklahoma	7%	12%	13%	12%	11%	10%	35%	0%	0%	100%
	7%	11%	11%	110/	110/	10%	37%	0%	- 00/	100%
Oregon	7% 5%	11%	11%	11% 12%	11% 12%	10%	40%	1%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania Puerto Rico	5% 4%	10%	7%	14%	14%	11%	40%	2%	0% 0%	100%
Rhode Island	5%	9%	11%				41%	0%		100%
	5% 4%	9% 8%	11%	13%	13%	11%	39%	0%	0%	
South Carolina South Dakota	9%	13%	14%	14%	13%	11%	26%	0%	0%	100% 100%
Tennessee	9% 6%	12%	13%	13%	12%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
	7%	12%	14%	13%	11%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Texas Utah	7%	12%	12%	13%	12%	12%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Vermont	7% 5%	11%	12%	14%	14%	11%	34%	1%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	5% 4%	10%	12%	17%	17%	9%	33%	1%		100%
Virgin islands Virginia	4% 5%	10%	14%	15%	12%	10%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Washington	5% 6%	11%	13%	12%	13%	11%	34%	0%	0%	100%
West Virginia	6%	11%	13%	13%	12%	10%	35%	0%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	7%	11%	12%	12%	12%	10%	35%	0%	0%	100%
Wyoming	7%	12%	15%	14%	14%	11%	27%	0%	0%	100%
National	6%	10%	12%				36%	0%		100%
Hadional	0 70	10 /0	1470	1370	1370	1070	3070	U 70	U 70	10070

Notes applicable to this report:

- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication American Samoa had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006 and Guam only submitted four (4) months of data. Oklahoma had not submitted the ACF-800 form or otherwise reported the pooling factor and had not reported all the ACF-801 data, so OK was not included in this data.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served in Oct 2005 through January 2006 served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties. However, Alaska began reporting full population data in Feb 2006. Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a
- 7. The Invalid/Not Reported category only includes children with an invalid year/month of birth or report date.

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2006.

<sup>2.</sup> All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

<sup>3.</sup> All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).

## Table 10 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Reasons for Receiving Care, Average Monthly Percentage of Families (FFY 2006)

State	Employment	Training/ Education	Both Emp & Training/Education	Protective Services	Other	Invalid/ Not	Total
Alabama	78%	7%	4%	Services 9%	1%	Reported 0%	100%
Alaska	78% 85%	4%	8%	0%	3%	0%	100%
	85%	4%	8%	0%	3%	0%	100%
American Samoa	700/	-	- 00/	- 000/	- 00/	0%	4000/
Arizona	70% 43%	1% 10%	6% 7%	22%	2%	0%	100%
Arkansas	43% 85%	10%	5%	5% 1%	35% 2%	0%	100%
California							
Colorado	79% 94%	14% 5%	4% 1%	0% 0%	3% 0%	0% 0%	100%
Connecticut	94% 83%		4%			0%	
Delaware	83% 65%	5%	3%	2%	5% 6%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	73%	25% 5%	3% 4%	1% 18%	1%	0%	100%
Florida							
Georgia	80% 71%	10% 19%	2% 10%	6% 0%	0% 0%	2% 0%	100%
Guam							
Hawaii	82%	3%	10%	0%	4%	0%	100%
Idaho	75%	10%	15%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Illinois	90%	4%	1%	0%	5%	0%	100%
Indiana	68%	9%	8%	0%	15%	0%	100%
lowa	84% 91%	9%	0% 2%	7% 0%	0% 1%	0% 0%	100%
Kansas		5%					100%
Kentucky	77%	7%	2%	14%	0%	0%	100%
Louisiana	78%	7%	10%	5%	0%	0%	100%
Maine	85%	5%	5%	2%	2%	0%	100%
Maryland	81%	11%	6%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	80%	6%	0%	9%	4%	1%	100%
Michigan	86%	10%	2%	1%	2%	0%	100%
Minnesota	78%	9%	9%	0%	4%	0%	100%
Mississippi	68%	7%	6%	1%	18%	0%	100%
Missouri	57%	18%	8%	7%	0%	9%	100%
Montana	66%	15%	17%	2%	0%	0%	100%
Nebraska	72%	12%	3%	13%	1%	0%	100%
Nevada	90%	6%	2%	0%	2%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	82%	10%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%
New Jersey	75%	3%	3%	5%	8%	8%	100%
New Mexico	75%	13%	11%	0%	0%	0%	100%
New York	73%	16%	3%	0%	8%	0%	100%
North Carolina	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	78%	13%	7%	0%	2%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	71%	24%	6%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Ohio	69%	16%	5%	0%	10%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	700/	- 00/	400/	- 00/	- 00/	- 00/	4000/
Oregon	76%	3%	18%	3%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	87% 65%	6%	6% 7%	0% 1%	0%	0% 0%	100%
Puerto Rico		26%			2%		100%
Rhode Island	89%	9%	2%	0%	0%	0%	100%
South Carolina	81%	19%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	61%	11%	12%	16%	0%	0%	100%
Tennessee	41%	37%	21%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Texas	75%	18%	3%	1%	3%	0%	100%
Utah	80%	4%	3%	0%	13%	0%	100%
Vermont	63%	14%	2%	16%	6%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	84%	12%	0%	4%	0%	0%	100%
Virginia	87%	5%	5%	1%	2%	0%	100%
Washington	83%	7%	1%	9%	1%	0%	100%
West Virginia	78%	13%	9%	1%	0%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	93%	1%	5%	0%	2%	0%	100%
Wyoming	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
National  Notes applicable to this report:	78%	10%	4%	4%	3%	0%	100% ata as of: 09-JUL-2007

Notes applicable to this report

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2006.
- 2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY). National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication American Samoa had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006 and Guam only submitted four (4) months of data. Oklahoma had not submitted the ACF-800 form or otherwise reported the pooling factor and had not reported all the ACF-801 data, so OK was not included in this data.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served in Oct 2005 through January 2006 served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties. However, Alaska began reporting full population data in Feb 2006. Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 7. The Invalid/Not Reported only includes family records with an invalid or missing number for ACF-801 element 6, Reason for Receiving Subsidized Child Care.
- 8. Several States only capture the primary reason for receiving services and therefore do not report any families in Both Employment and Training/Education categories. States reporting no families in this combination category of Both Employment and Training/Education are Massachusetts, New Hampshire, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Wyoming.
- 9. CCB has observed some issues with income reporting across most States to varying degrees. CCB is working with States to address and resolve internal inconsistencies between ACF-801 element 6 (reason for receiving a subsidy), element 9 (total income for determining eligibility), and elements 10 through 15 (sources of income).

### Table 11 **Child Care and Development Fund**

Preliminary Estimates
entages of Children by Racial Group (FFY 2006)

		Average Monthly	Percentages of	Children by Rac	cial Group (FFY 2	2006)		
State	Native American / Alaskan Native	Asian	Black / African American	Native Hawaiian / Pacific	White	Multi- Racial	Invalid / Not Reported	Total
	Alaskan Native		American	Pacific			Reported	
Alabama	0%	0%	76%	0%	23%	0%	0%	100%
Alaska	10%	5%	10%	6%	47%	14%	8%	100%
American Samoa	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-
Arizona	5%	0%	14%	1%	77%	3%	0%	100%
Arkansas	0%	0%	61%	0%	38%	0%	0%	100%
California	2%	6%	23%	2%	65%	2%	0%	100%
Colorado	1%	0%	13%	0%	34%	2%	48%	100%
Connecticut	1%	0%	35%	0%	26%	6%	32%	100%
Delaware	0%	0%	66%	0%	33%	0%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	1%	0%	90%	0%	7%	0%	2%	100%
Florida	0%	0%	50%	0%	47%	2%	0%	100%
Georgia	0%	0%	78%	0%	19%	2%	1%	100%
Guam	0%	7%	0%	85%	1%	7%	0%	100%
Hawaii	0%	34%	1%	35%	12%	18%	0%	100%
Idaho	1%	0%	1%	0%	96%	1%	0%	100%
Illinois	0%	1%	64%	1%	18%	2%	15%	100%
Indiana	1%	0%	53%	0%	39%	7%	0%	100%
Iowa	0%	0%	20%	0%	80%	0%	0%	100%
Kansas	2%	0%	27%	0%	64%	2%	5%	100%
Kentucky	0%	0%	31%	0%	60%	0%	8%	100%
Louisiana	0%	0%	77%	0%	22%	1%	0%	100%
Maine	1%	1%	3%	0%	81%	5%	9%	100%
Maryland	0%	0%	80%	0%	16%	2%	2%	100%
Massachusetts	0%	2%	20%	0%	25%	1%	53%	100%
Michigan	0%	0%	58%	0%	40%	2%	0%	100%
Minnesota	3%	6%	34%	0%	55%	2%	0%	100%
Mississippi	0%	0%	87%	0%	11%	2%	0%	100%
Missouri	0%	0%	57%	0%	40%	1%	2%	100%
Montana	12%	0%	2%	0%	82%	4%	0%	100%
Nebraska	3%	0%	25%	0%	70%	1%	0%	100%
Nevada	3%	1%	31%	1%	56%	8%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	0%	0%	2%	0%	22%	1%	75%	100%
New Jersey	0%	1%	52%	12%	20%	1%	12%	100%
New Mexico	6%	0%	4%	0%	85%	4%	0%	100%
New York	1%	2%	54%	1%	39%	3%	0%	100%
North Carolina	2%	0%	61%	0%	36%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	24%	0%	3%	0%	69%	3%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	0%	0%	99%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Ohio	0%	0%	54%	0%	43%	1%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Oregon	2%	2%	10%	0%	85%	1%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	0%	1%	40%	0%	56%	2%	1%	100%
Puerto Rico	0%	0%	0%	0%	41%	0%	59%	100%
Rhode Island	0%	0%	8%	0%	19%	0%	72%	100%
South Carolina	0%	0%	75%	0%	24%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	19%	0%	4%	0%	71%	5%	0%	100%
Tennessee	0%	0%	72%	0%	27%	0%	0%	100%
Texas	0%	0%	36%	0%	43%	1%	20%	100%
Utah	3%	2%	5%	0%	90%	0%	0%	100%
Vermont	0%	1%	2%	0%	94%	2%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	7%	0%	92%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Virginia	6%	1%	65%	0%	26%	1%	0%	100%
Washington	2%	2%	9%	0%	42%	0%	45%	100%
West Virginia	0%	0%	12%	0%	76%	10%	2%	100%
Wisconsin	2%	2%	41%	0%	43%	3%	9%	100%
Wyoming	3%	0%	4%	0%	81%	0%	12%	100%
National  Notes applicable to this report:	1%	1%	44%	1%	44%	2%	7%	100% a as of: 09-JUL-2007

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2006.
- 2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This  $report\ takes\ all\ these\ factors\ into\ consideration\ in\ calculating\ the\ "adjusted"\ numbers\ or\ percentages.$
- 3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication American Samoa had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006 and Guam only submitted four (4) months of data. Oklahoma had not submitted the ACF-800 form or otherwise reported the pooling factor and had not reported all the ACF-801 data, so OK was not included in this data.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served in Oct 2005 through January 2006 served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties. However, Alaska began reporting full population data in Feb 2006. Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 7. The multi-racial category includes any child where more than one race was answered Yes (1). Several States do not capture and report more than one race per child and thus do not provide multi-racial data.
- 8. The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where one or more race fields had anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1), blank, null, or space.
- 9. Washington is still reporting ethnicity (Latino/Hispanic) as a race rather than as an ethnicity in accordance with the Pre-FFY 2000 Technical Bulletin 3 standard. In many of these instances if a child is designated as
- 10. National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.

### Table 12 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Latino Ethnicity (FFY 2006)

Alabama         196         99%         0%         100%           American Samoa	State	Latino	Not Latino		Total
Alaska 996 91% 096 100% 100% 100% American Samoa					
American Samoa  Affazora  Affazora					
Arkenaes		9%	91%	0%	100%
Arkemass		470/	-	- 20/	4000/
California					
Colorado 32% 68% 0% 0% 100% 100% 100% 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%					
Connecticut 38% 64% 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%					
Delaware 9% 9% 91% 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 1					
District of Columbia   9%   91%   91%   0%   100%					
Florida   23%   77%   0%   100%   1					
Georgia 22% 98% 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%					
Guam         0%         100%         0%         100%           Idaho         15%         95%         0%         100%           Idaho         15%         85%         0%         100%           Ilinois         14%         84%         3%         100%           Indiana         6%         94%         0%         100%           Kariasa         11%         89%         0%         100%           Kariasa         11%         89%         0%         100%           Kentucky         3%         92%         5%         100%           Kentucky         3%         92%         5%         100%           Maine         3%         97%         0%         100%           Maryland         3%         97%         0%         100%           Massachusetts         31%         69%         0%         100%           Missassippi         1%         95%         0%         100%           Missassippi         1%         99%         0%         100%           Missassippi         1%         99%         0%         100%           Missassippi         1%         99%         0%         100%					
Hawaii					
Idaho					
Illinois					
Indiana 6% 94% 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%					
Inwa					
Kentucky					
Kentucky					
Louisiana					
Naine         3%         97%         0%         100%           Maryland         3%         97%         0%         100%           Massachusetts         31%         69%         0%         100%           Michigan         4%         96%         0%         100%           Milnestal         3%         97%         0%         100%           Missouri         3%         97%         0%         100%           Missouri         3%         97%         0%         100%           Montana         7%         93%         0%         100%           Nevada         10%         90%         0%         100%           Nevada         22%         0%         95%         100%           New Hampshire         2%         0%         95%         100%           New Jersey         26%         66%         8%         100%           New Mexico         74%         26%         0%         95%         100%           New York         26%         66%         8%         100%         100%           New York         26%         74%         0%         100%         100%         100%         100% <td< td=""><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	<u> </u>				
Maryland   3%   97%   0%   100%   100%   Massachusetts   31%   69%   0%   0%   100%   100%   0%   100%   0%					
Massachusetts         31%         69%         0%         100%           Michigan         4%         96%         0%         100%           Minnesota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Mississipi         1%         99%         0%         100%           Missouri         3%         97%         0%         100%           Montana         7%         93%         0%         100%           Nevada         10%         90%         0%         100%           Nevada         29%         71%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         2%         0%         98%         100%           New Jersey         26%         66%         8%         100%           New Mexico         74%         26%         0%         100%           New York         26%         66%         8%         100%           New York         26%         74%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         95%         0%         100%           North Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           North Dakota         3%         97%         0%<					
Michigan         4%         96%         0%         100%           Minnesota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Mississippi         1%         99%         0%         100%           Missouri         3%         97%         0%         100%           Montana         7%         93%         0%         100%           Nebraska         10%         90%         0%         100%           Nevada         29%         71%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         2%         0%         98%         100%           New Jersey         26%         66%         8%         100%           New Jorsey         26%         66%         8%         100%           New York         26%         74%         0%         100%           New York         26%         74%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         95%         0%         100%           North Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           North Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Ohio         4%         96%         0%					
Minisola   3%   97%   0%   100%   Missispipi   1%   99%   0%   0%   100%   Missouri   3%   97%   0%   100%   Montana   7%   93%   0%   100%   Montana   7%   93%   0%   100%   Montana   7%   93%   0%   100%   100%   Montana   29%   77%   0%   100					
Mississippi         1%         99%         0%         100%           Missouri         3%         97%         0%         100%           Montana         7%         93%         0%         100%           Nevalan         10%         90%         0%         100%           New Alada         29%         71%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         2%         0%         98%         100%           New Jersey         26%         66%         8%         100%           New Mexico         74%         26%         0%         100%           New Tork         26%         74%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         95%         0%         100%           North Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Ohio         4%         96%         0%         100%           Ohio         4%         96%         0% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Missouri         3%         97%         0%         100%           Montana         7%         93%         0%         100%           Nebraška         10%         90%         0%         100%           Nevada         29%         71%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         2%         0%         98%         100%           New Hexico         74%         26%         0%         100%           New Mexico         74%         26%         0%         100%           New York         26%         74%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         95%         0%         100%           North Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Norther Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         4%         96%         0%         100%           Ohiahoma         -         -         -         -           Oregon         20%         80%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         10%         90%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         10%         90%         0					
Montana         7%         93%         0%         100%           Nebraska         10%         90%         0%         100%           Newada         29%         71%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         2%         0%         98%         100%           New Jersey         26%         66%         8%         100%           New Mexico         74%         26%         0%         100%           New York         26%         74%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         95%         0%         100%           North Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           North Earolina         5%         95%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         4%         96%         0%         100%           Okiaboria         1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
Nebraska   10%   90%   0%   100%   100%   Nevada   29%   71%   0%   10					
Nevada         29%         71%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         2%         0%         98%         100%           New Jersey         26%         66%         8%         100%           New Mexico         74%         26%         0%         100%           New York         26%         74%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         95%         0%         100%           North Carolina         3%         97%         0%         100%           North Carolina         3%         97%         0%         100%           North Earliands         0%         100%         0%         100%           North Bakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           North Earliands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         4%         96%         0%         100%           Ohio         4%         96%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         -         -         -         -           Oregon         20%         80%         0%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         10% <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
New Hampshire         2%         0%         98%         100%           New Jersey         26%         66%         8%         100%           New Mexico         74%         26%         0%         100%           New York         26%         74%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         95%         0%         100%           North Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Norther Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         4%         96%         0%         100%           Okiahoma         -         -         -         -         -           Oregon         20%         80%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         10%         90%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         100%         0%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         100%         0%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         22%         78%         0%         100%           South Carolina         0%         100%         0%         0         100%           South Dakota </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
New Jersey         26%         66%         8%         100%           New Mexico         74%         26%         0%         100%           New York         26%         74%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         95%         0%         100%           North Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         4%         96%         0%         100%           Penroy         20%         80%         0%         0%         100%           Penroy         20%         80%         0%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         22%         76%         0%         100%           South Carolina         0% <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
New Mexico         74%         26%         0%         100%           New York         26%         74%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         95%         0%         100%           North Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         4%         96%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         -         -         -         -           Oregon         20%         80%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         10%         90%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         100%         0%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         100%         0%         0%         100%           Routh Carolina         0%         0%         100%         0%         100%           South Carolina         0%         100%         0%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%	-				
New York         26%         74%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         95%         0%         100%           North Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         4%         96%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         -         -         -         -         -           Oregon         20%         80%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         10%         90%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         100%         9%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         22%         78%         0%         100%           South Carolina         0%         100%         0%         100%           South Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Tennessee         1%         99%         0%         100%           Texas         44%         56%         0%         100%           Vermont         2%         98%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         9%					
North Carolina         5%         95%         0%         100%           North Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         4%         96%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         -         -         -         -           Oregon         20%         80%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         10%         90%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         100%         0%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         100%         0%         0%         100%           South Carolina         0%         100%         0%         100%           South Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           South Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Tennessee         1%         99%         0%         100%           Texas         44%         56%         0%         100%           Vermont         2%         98%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         9%         91%					
North Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         4%         96%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         -         -         -         -           Oregon         20%         80%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         10%         90%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         100%         0%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         22%         78%         0%         100%           South Carolina         0%         100%         0%         100%           South Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Tennessee         1%         99%         0%         100%           Texas         44%         56%         0%         100%           Utah         15%         85%         0%         100%           Vermont         2%         98%         0%         100%           Virgini Islands         9%         91%         0%         100%           Washington         14%         86%         <					
Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%           Ohio         4%         96%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         -         -         -         -         -         -           Oregon         20%         80%         0%         100%					
Ohio         4%         96%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         -					
Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         20%         80%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         10%         90%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         100%         0%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         22%         78%         0%         100%           South Carolina         0%         100%         0%         100%           South Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Tennessee         1%         99%         0%         100%           Texas         44%         56%         0%         100%           Utah         15%         85%         0%         100%           Vermont         2%         98%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         9%         91%         0%         100%           Washington         14%         86%         0%         100%           West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         0         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%					
Oregon         20%         80%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         10%         90%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         100%         0%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         22%         78%         0%         100%           South Carolina         0%         100%         0%         100%           South Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Tennessee         1%         99%         0%         100%           Texas         44%         56%         0%         100%           Utah         15%         85%         0%         100%           Vermont         2%         98%         0%         100%           Virgini Islands         9%         91%         0%         100%           Virginia         9%         91%         0%         100%           Washington         14%         86%         0%         100%           West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0		-	-	-	-
Pennsylvania         10%         90%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         100%         0%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         22%         78%         0%         100%           South Carolina         0%         100%         0%         100%           South Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Tennessee         1%         99%         0%         100%           Texas         44%         56%         0%         100%           Utah         15%         85%         0%         100%           Vermont         2%         98%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         9%         91%         0%         100%           Virginia         9%         91%         0%         100%           Washington         14%         86%         0%         100%           West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0%         100%		20%	80%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico         100%         0%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         22%         78%         0%         100%           South Carolina         0%         100%         0%         100%           South Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Tennessee         1%         99%         0%         100%           Texas         44%         56%         0%         100%           Utah         15%         85%         0%         100%           Vermont         2%         98%         0%         100%           Virgini Islands         9%         91%         0%         100%           Virginia         9%         91%         0%         100%           Washington         14%         86%         0%         100%           West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0%         100%					
Rhode Island         22%         78%         0%         100%           South Carolina         0%         100%         0%         100%           South Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Tennessee         1%         99%         0%         100%           Texas         44%         56%         0%         100%           Utah         15%         85%         0%         100%           Vermont         2%         98%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         9%         91%         0%         100%           Virginia         9%         91%         0%         100%           Washington         14%         86%         0%         100%           West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0%         100%					
South Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Tennessee         1%         99%         0%         100%           Texas         44%         56%         0%         100%           Utah         15%         85%         0%         100%           Vermont         2%         98%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         9%         91%         0%         100%           Virginia         9%         91%         0%         100%           Washington         14%         86%         0%         100%           West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0%         100%					
South Dakota         3%         97%         0%         100%           Tennessee         1%         99%         0%         100%           Texas         44%         56%         0%         100%           Utah         15%         85%         0%         100%           Vermont         2%         98%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         9%         91%         0%         100%           Virginia         9%         91%         0%         100%           Washington         14%         86%         0%         100%           West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0%         100%	South Carolina	0%	100%	0%	100%
Tennessee         1%         99%         0%         100%           Texas         44%         56%         0%         100%           Utah         15%         85%         0%         100%           Vermont         2%         98%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         9%         91%         0%         100%           Virginia         9%         91%         0%         100%           Washington         14%         86%         0%         100%           West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0%         100%					
Texas         44%         56%         0%         100%           Utah         15%         85%         0%         100%           Vermont         2%         98%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         9%         91%         0%         100%           Virginia         9%         91%         0%         100%           Washington         14%         86%         0%         100%           West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0%         100%	Tennessee	1%	99%	0%	
Vermont         2%         98%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         9%         91%         0%         100%           Virginia         9%         91%         0%         100%           Washington         14%         86%         0%         100%           West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0%         100%	Texas	44%	56%	0%	
Vermont         2%         98%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         9%         91%         0%         100%           Virginia         9%         91%         0%         100%           Washington         14%         86%         0%         100%           West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0%         100%		15%	85%	0%	
Virgin Islands         9%         91%         0%         100%           Virginia         9%         91%         0%         100%           Washington         14%         86%         0%         100%           West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0%         100%					
Virginia         9%         91%         0%         100%           Washington         14%         86%         0%         100%           West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0%         100%	Virgin Islands			0%	
Washington         14%         86%         0%         100%           West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0%         100%		9%			
West Virginia         2%         98%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0%         100%	Washington	14%		0%	
Wisconsin         8%         92%         0%         100%           Wyoming         12%         88%         0%         100%					
Wyoming 12% 88% 0% 100%					
					100%

Notes applicable to this report:

- 2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication American Samoa had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006 and Guam only submitted four (4) months of data. Oklahoma had not submitted the ACF-800 form or otherwise reported the pooling factor and had not reported all the ACF-801 data, so OK was not included in this data.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served in Oct 2005 through January 2006 served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties. However, Alaska began reporting full population data in Feb 2006. Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child. New Hampshire does not appear to properly report the etnicity of all or nearly all the non-Latino children served.
- 7. The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1) was in the Ethnicity field.
- 8. National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2006.

# Table 13 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Average Monthly Percentages of Children in Child Care by Age Category and Care Type (FFY 2006)

					•
Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	<b>Group Home</b>	Center	Total
Infants (0 to <1 yr)	8%	35%	5%	52%	100%
Toddlers (1 yr to <3 yrs)	6%	29%	6%	60%	100%
Preschool (3 yrs to <6 yrs)	5%	24%	5%	66%	100%
School Age (6 yrs to <13 yrs)	11%	35%	4%	50%	100%
13 years and older	21%	49%	3%	28%	100%
All Ages	8%	30%	5%	58%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2006.
- 2. Nationally 2.3% of the children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of the child's setting record(s) were invalid or not reported.
- 3. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 4. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- 5. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 6. At the time of publication American Samoa had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006 and Guam only submitted four (4) months of data. Oklahoma had not submitted the ACF-800 form or otherwise reported the pooling factor and had not reported all the ACF-801 data, so OK was not included in this data.
- 7. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served in Oct 2005 through January 2006 served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties. However, Alaska began reporting full population data in Feb 2006. Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 8. The National values were determined by multiplying each State's percentage by the adjusted number of children served for each State, summing across the States and then dividing by the adjusted number of children served for the Nation. "Adjusted" means adjusted to represent CCDF funding only.
- 9. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. If a child was in more than one of the above setting categories within the same month, the child was counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example if the child spent 70-hours in a setting and 30-hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).

# Table 14 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Average Monthly Hours for Children In Care By Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2006)

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Weighted Averages
0 to < 1 yr	159	160	156	163	161
1 to < 2 yrs	160	165	162	170	167
2 to < 3 yrs	167	168	161	171	170
3 to < 4 yrs	167	166	166	169	168
4 to < 5 yrs	165	162	160	166	165
5 to < 6 yrs	156	145	141	143	144
6 to < 13 yrs	141	129	117	112	121
13+ yrs	133	121	128	103	119
National	151	148	145	148	148

Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2006.
- 2. Nationally 2.3% of the children children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of a child's setting record was invalid or not reported.
- 3. Average hours per month were based on sums of hours per month in categories divided by counts of children in categories as further defined below.
- 4. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 5. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- 6. At the time of publication American Samoa had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006 and Guam only submitted four (4) months of data. Oklahoma had not submitted the ACF-800 form or otherwise reported the pooling factor and had not reported all the ACF-801 data, so OK was not included in this data.
- 7. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served in Oct 2005 through January 2006 served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties. However, Alaska began reporting full population data in Feb 2006. Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 8. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each State-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The State's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The national results shown above represent a weighted average of the State's fiscal annual results where the weight for each State is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each State for the fiscal year.
- 9. Some States have been reporting the maximum number of hours authorized rather than the actual number of service hours provided.

## Table 15 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Average Monthly Expenditures for Children In Care By Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2006)

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Weighted Averages
0 to < 1 yr	\$299	\$372	\$465	\$459	\$417
1 to < 2 yrs	\$304	\$383	\$492	\$461	\$428
2 to < 3 yrs	\$301	\$379	\$475	\$450	\$424
3 to < 4 yrs	\$296	\$361	\$465	\$431	\$409
4 to < 5 yrs	\$282	\$348	\$451	\$432	\$406
5 to < 6 yrs	\$284	\$323	\$398	\$380	\$360
6 to < 13 yrs	\$259	\$292	\$366	\$293	\$292
13+ yrs	\$272	\$288	\$324	\$285	\$285
National	\$276	\$332	\$430	\$389	\$365

Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2006.
- 2. Nationally 2.3% of the children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of a child's setting record was invalid or not reported.
- 3. Average costs per month were based on sums of costs per month in categories divided by counts of children in categories as further defined below.
- 4. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 5. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- 6. At the time of publication American Samoa had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006 and Guam only submitted four (4) months of data. Oklahoma had not submitted the ACF-800 form or otherwise reported the pooling factor and had not reported all the ACF-801 data, so OK was not included in this data.
- 7. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served in Oct 2005 through January 2006 served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties. However, Alaska began reporting full population data in Feb 2006. Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 8. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each State-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The State's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The national results shown above represent a weighted average of the State's fiscal annual results where the weight for each State is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each State for the fiscal year.
- 9. The current Technical Bulletin 3 indicates that a payment over \$1,000 per month is considered above the Out of Range Standard and therefore is considered invalid. However, the FFY 2005 market survey data from the highest cost areas of some States shows that the 75-percentile full-time child care market rate cost is above \$1,000 per month. In addition several States have indicated in their ACF-801 notes that they have valid costs over \$1,000. States that have been identified with this issue are: Minnesota, Massachusetts, District of Columbia, Wisconsin, California, Washington, Pennsylvania, North Carolina, New York and Oregon. Nationally approximately 1% of the reported cost data in FFY 2005 exceeded \$1,000 and no State had more than 5% exceeding \$1,000. It is anticipated that the percentage of valid costs that exceed \$1,000 would be slightly higher in FFY 2006 than in FFY 2005. (Note that some of these data percentages with costs over \$1,000 were very large and thus clearly invalid.) The Child Care Bureau has increased this Out of Range Standard to \$2,000 effective October 1, 2006 (FFY 2007), so this should not be an issue in FFY 2007 data
- 10. Some States have been reporting the maximum number of hours authorized and/or dollars authorized rather than the actual number provided.

## Table 16 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Average Monthly Percent of Families Receiving TANF (FFY 2006)

Alaska	State	TANF (% Yes)	TANF (% No)	Invalid / Not Reported	Total
American Samoa  121% 79% 0% Arkansas  45% 96% 0% California  122% 67% 0% California  122% 67% 0% Connecticut  123% 88% 0% Connecticut  43% 57% 0% Connecticut  124% 88% 0% District of Columbia  144% 86% 0% District of Columbia  144% 86% 0% District of Columbia  144% 86% 0% Florida  77% 91% 2% Georgia  68% 92% 0% Georgia  68% 92% 0% Georgia  68% 92% 0% Georgia  68% 92% 0% Idaho  15% 85% 0% Idaho  27% 98% 0% Idaho  27% 98% 0% Idaho  27% 98% 0% Indiana  27% 73% 0% Indiana  27% 73% 0% Indiana  27% 73% 0% Louisiana  88% 91% 0% Kansas  88% 91% 0% Mayland  15% 85% 5% Mayland  15% 85% 0% Massachusetts  18% 85% 0% Massac	Alabama	13%	87%	0%	100%
American Samoa  121% 79% 0% Arkansas  45% 96% 0% California  122% 67% 0% California  122% 67% 0% Connecticut  123% 88% 0% Connecticut  43% 57% 0% Connecticut  124% 88% 0% District of Columbia  144% 86% 0% District of Columbia  144% 86% 0% District of Columbia  144% 86% 0% Florida  77% 91% 2% Georgia  68% 92% 0% Georgia  68% 92% 0% Georgia  68% 92% 0% Georgia  68% 92% 0% Idaho  15% 85% 0% Idaho  27% 98% 0% Idaho  27% 98% 0% Idaho  27% 98% 0% Indiana  27% 73% 0% Indiana  27% 73% 0% Indiana  27% 73% 0% Louisiana  88% 91% 0% Kansas  88% 91% 0% Mayland  15% 85% 5% Mayland  15% 85% 0% Massachusetts  18% 85% 0% Massac					100%
Arizona         21%         79%         0%           Arkanasa         4%         96%         0%           California         12%         87%         0%           Colorado         12%         86%         0%           Connecticut         43%         57%         0%           Delaware         12%         88%         0%           District of Columbia         14%         86%         0%           Florida         7%         91%         2%           Georgia         8%         92%         0%           Guam         0%         100%         0%           Hawaii         15%         85%         0%           Idaho         2%         99%         0%           Ilinois         6%         94%         0%           Ilinois         6%         94%         0%           Iowa         32%         68%         0%           Kentucky         1%         99%         0%           Louisiana         10%         85%         5%           Marie         4%         96%         0%           Marie         4%         96%         0%           Marie	American Samoa	_	_	-	-
Arkanasa		21%	79%	0%	100%
California		4%	96%	0%	100%
Colorado					100%
Connecticut         43%         57%         0%           Delaware         12%         88%         0%           District of Columbia         14%         88%         0%           Florida         7%         91%         2%           Georgia         8%         92%         0%           Guam         10%         100%         100%           Hawaii         15%         85%         0%           Idaho         2%         98%         0%           Idaho         2%         98%         0%           Ilinois         6%         94%         0%           Ilminois         6%         94%         0%           Iowa         32%         68%         0%           Kansas         8%         91%         0%           Kantas         8%         91%         0%           Kentucky         1%         99%         0%           Louisiana         10%         85%         5%           Maine         4%         96%         0%           Maryand         15%         85%         5%           Massachusetts         18%         82%         0%           Mas					100%
Delaware					100%
District of Columbia   14%   86%   0%					100%
Florida					100%
Seorgia					100%
Guam         0%         100%         0%           Hawaii         15%         85%         0%           Lotho         2%         98%         0%           Illinois         6%         94%         0%           Indiana         27%         73%         0%           Iowa         32%         66%         0%           Kansas         8%         91%         0%           Kansas         8%         91%         0%           Kentucky         1%         99%         0%           Louisiana         10%         85%         5%           Maline         4%         96%         0%           Maryland         15%         85%         0%           Massachusetts         18%         82%         0%           Michigan         51%         49%         0%           Michigan         51%         49%         0%           Missouri         19%         85%         0%           Missouri         19%         85%         0%           Missouri         19%         81%         0%           Mortana         15%         85%         0%           Mevada					100%
Hawaii					100%
Idaho					100%
Illinois					
Indiana					100%
Iowa         32%         68%         0%           Kansas         8%         91%         0%           Kentucky         1%         99%         0%           Louisiana         10%         85%         5%           Maine         4%         96%         0%           Maryland         15%         85%         0%           Massachusetts         18%         82%         0%           Michigan         51%         49%         0%           Minnesota         41%         59%         0%           Missispipi         15%         85%         0%           Missispipi         15%         85%         0%           Missispipi         15%         85%         0%           Mortana         15%         85%         0%           Mortana         15%         85%         0%           Nebraska         28%         72%         0%           Nebraska         28%         72%         0%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Hexico         17%         83%         0%           New Hexico         17%         83%         0%					100%
Kansas         8%         91%         0%           Kentucky         1%         99%         0%           Louisiana         10%         85%         5%           Maine         4%         96%         0%           Maryland         15%         85%         0%           Massachusetts         18%         82%         0%           Michigan         51%         49%         0%           Minnesota         41%         59%         0%           Mississippi         15%         85%         0%           Missouri         19%         81%         0%           Montana         15%         85%         0%           Nebraska         28%         72%         0%           Nevadad         18%         82%         0%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Jersey         12%         80%         8%           New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           New Morth Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%					100%
Kentucky         1 %         99%         0%           Louisiana         10%         85%         5%           Maine         4%         96%         0%           Maryland         15%         85%         0%           Massachusetts         18%         82%         0%           Michigan         51%         49%         0%           Michigan         41%         59%         0%           Misnout         41%         59%         0%           Mississippi         15%         85%         0%           Missouri         19%         81%         0%           Montana         15%         85%         0%           Nebraska         28%         72%         0%           Nebraska         28%         72%         0%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Jersey         12%         80%         8%           New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           New York         47%         53%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%					100%
Louisiana         10%         85%         5%           Maine         4%         96%         0%           Maryland         15%         85%         0%           Massachusetts         18%         82%         0%           Michigan         51%         49%         0%           Michigan         41%         59%         0%           Minnesota         41%         59%         0%           Mississippi         15%         85%         0%           Missisuri         19%         81%         0%           Montana         15%         85%         0%           Mebraska         28%         72%         0%           Nevada         18%         82%         0%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Haxico         17%         83%         0%           New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%					100%
Maine         4%         96%         0%           Maryland         15%         85%         0%           Massachusetts         18%         82%         0%           Michigan         51%         49%         0%           Minnesota         41%         59%         0%           Missispipi         15%         85%         0%           Missouri         19%         81%         0%           Montana         15%         85%         0%           Nebraska         28%         72%         0%           Nevada         18%         82%         0%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Jersey         12%         80%         8%					100%
Maryland         15%         85%         0%           Massachusetts         18%         82%         0%           Michigan         51%         49%         0%           Minnesota         41%         59%         0%           Mississippi         15%         85%         0%           Missouri         19%         81%         0%           Montana         15%         85%         0%           Nebraska         28%         72%         0%           Nevada         18%         82%         0%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Jersey         12%         80%         8%           New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           New York         47%         53%         0%           New York         47%         53%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         <					100%
Massachusetts         18%         82%         0%           Michigan         51%         49%         0%           Minnesota         41%         59%         0%           Mississippi         15%         85%         0%           Missouri         19%         81%         0%           Montana         15%         85%         0%           Nebraska         28%         72%         0%           Nevada         18%         82%         0%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Hesico         17%         83%         0%           New Moxico         17%         83%         0%           New York         47%         53%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -         -           Oregon         30% <t< td=""><td></td><td>• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •</td><td></td><td></td><td>100%</td></t<>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			100%
Michigan         51%         49%         0%           Minnesota         41%         59%         0%           Mississippi         15%         85%         0%           Missouri         19%         81%         0%           Montana         15%         85%         0%           Nebraska         28%         72%         0%           Nevada         18%         82%         0%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Jersey         12%         80%         8%           New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           New York         47%         53%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0% <td>Maryland</td> <td>15%</td> <td>85%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>100%</td>	Maryland	15%	85%	0%	100%
Minnesota         41%         59%         0%           Mississippi         15%         85%         0%           Missouri         19%         81%         0%           Montana         15%         85%         0%           Nebraska         28%         72%         0%           Nevada         18%         82%         0%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Jersey         12%         80%         8%           New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           New York         47%         53%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rode Island         9%         91%	Massachusetts	18%	82%	0%	100%
Mississippi         15%         85%         0%           Missouri         19%         81%         0%           Montana         15%         85%         0%           Nebraska         28%         72%         0%           Nevada         18%         82%         0%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Jersey         12%         80%         8%           New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%	Michigan	51%	49%	0%	100%
Missouri         19%         81%         0%           Montana         15%         85%         0%           Nebraska         28%         72%         0%           Nevada         18%         82%         0%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Jersey         12%         80%         8%           New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           New York         47%         53%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%	Minnesota	41%	59%	0%	100%
Montana         15%         85%         0%           Nebraska         28%         72%         0%           Nevada         18%         82%         0%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Jersey         12%         80%         8%           New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           New York         47%         53%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%	Mississippi	15%	85%	0%	100%
Nebraska         28%         72%         0%           Nevada         18%         82%         0%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Jersey         12%         80%         8%           New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           New York         47%         53%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%	Missouri	19%	81%	0%	100%
Nevada         18%         82%         0%           New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Jersey         12%         80%         8%           New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           New York         47%         53%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%	Montana	15%	85%	0%	100%
New Hampshire         28%         65%         7%           New Jersey         12%         80%         8%           New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           New York         47%         53%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%	Nebraska	28%	72%	0%	100%
New Jersey         12%         80%         8%           New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           New York         47%         53%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%	Nevada	18%	82%	0%	100%
New Mexico         17%         83%         0%           New York         47%         53%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%	New Hampshire	28%	65%	7%	100%
New York         47%         53%         0%           North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%	New Jersey	12%	80%	8%	100%
North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%	New Mexico	17%	83%	0%	100%
North Carolina         6%         94%         0%           North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%	New York	47%	53%	0%	100%
North Dakota         21%         79%         0%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%	North Carolina				100%
Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%           Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%		21%	79%	0%	100%
Ohio         16%         84%         0%           Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%			100%	0%	100%
Oklahoma         -         -         -           Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%					100%
Oregon         30%         70%         0%           Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%		-		-	
Pennsylvania         9%         91%         0%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%		30%	70%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%           Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%					100%
Rhode Island         9%         91%         0%           South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%					100%
South Carolina         29%         71%         0%           South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%					100%
South Dakota         7%         93%         0%           Tennessee         63%         37%         0%					100%
Tennessee 63% 37% 0%					100%
					100%
					100%
Utah 16% 84% 0%					
					100% 100%
Virgin Islands         2%         98%         0%           Virginia         2007         7497         007	·				100%
Virginia 29% 71% 0%	·				100%
Washington 19% 81% 0%					100%
West Virginia         7%         93%         0%	· ·				100%
Wisconsin         5%         95%         0%					100%
Wyoming 0% 100% 0%					100%
Notes applicable to this report:  National 18% 82% 0%  Data as of: 09-Ji		18%	82%	0%	100% Data as of: 09-JUL-2007

Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2006.
- 2. These percentages were based on the "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY). National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication American Samoa had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006 and Guam only submitted four (4) months of data. Oklahoma had not submitted the ACF-800 form or otherwise reported the pooling factor and had not reported all the ACF-801 data, so OK was not included in this data.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served in Oct 2005 through January 2006 served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties. However, Alaska began reporting full population data in Feb 2006. Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 7. The percentage shown as "Yes" is the number reported as "Yes" divided by the families that answered "Yes" or "No" excluding families that were in protective services. The Invalid/Not Reported column includes families that did not indicate whether TANF was a source of income and the family was reported as being in protective services.

#### Table 17 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Average Monthly Mean Family Co-payment as a Percent of Family Income (FFY 2006)

	Average Monthly Mean Family Co-payment as a Percent of Family Income (FFY 200  Percent of Families				Mean CoPay as a Percent of Income	
State/Territories	Families with \$0 Income; Headed by a Child; In Protective Services; Invalid CoPay or Income (Category A)	Families with \$0 CoPay (and not in Category A)	Families with CoPay > \$0 (and not in Category A)	Total of All Families	Including Families with \$0 CoPay	Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay
Alabama	17%	8%	75%	100%	5%	5%
Alaska American Samoa	10%	9%	81%	100%	4%	4%
Arizona	25%	9%	66%	100%	4%	5%
Arkansas	14%	66%	20%	100%	2%	8%
California	5%	67%	28%	100%	1%	3%
Colorado	27%	8%	65%	100%	9%	10%
Connecticut	9%	4%	87%	100%	5%	5%
Delaware	9%	55%	36%	100%	4%	10%
District of Columbia	45%	18%	38%	100%	3%	4%
Florida	21%	0%	78%	100%	6%	6%
Georgia	16%	11%	73%	100%	6%	7%
Guam	13%	6%	81%	100%	4%	4%
Hawaii	4%	45%	51%	100%	2%	3%
Idaho	11% 3%	0% 1%	89% 95%	100% 100%	10% 6%	10% 6%
Illinois Indiana	3% 2%	78%	20%	100%	1%	7%
Iowa	11%	56%	34%	100%	2%	5%
Kansas	19%	17%	64%	100%	5%	6%
Kentucky	15%	22%	63%	100%	6%	8%
Louisiana	6%	6%	87%	100%	12%	13%
Maine	6%	3%	91%	100%	7%	8%
Maryland	4%	21%	76%	100%	6%	8%
Massachusetts	19%	24%	57%	100%	6%	9%
Michigan	4%	24%	73%	100%	2%	3%
Minnesota	6%	27%	67%	100%	3%	5%
Mississippi	27%	3%	69%	100%	4%	5%
Missouri	31%	23%	46%	100%	5%	7%
Montana Nebraska	5% 40%	0% 45%	95% 15%	100% 100%	4% 2%	4% 9%
Nevada	2%	15%	83%	100%	6%	7%
New Hampshire	10%	39%	51%	100%	0%	0%
New Jersey	18%	13%	69%	100%	5%	6%
New Mexico	7%	18%	76%	100%	4%	5%
New York	10%	36%	55%	100%	3%	5%
North Carolina	16%	4%	79%	100%	8%	8%
North Dakota	30%	0%	70%	100%	17%	17%
Northern Mariana Islands	20%	5%	75%	100%	12%	13%
Ohio	7%	4%	89%	100%	6%	6%
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	25% 8%	6% 7%	69% 85%	100%	8% 5%	9%
Pennsylvania Puerto Rico	8% 21%	33%	85% 45%	100% 100%	2%	6% 4%
Rhode Island	5%	27%	68%	100%	4%	5%
South Carolina	7%	0%	93%	100%	4%	4%
South Dakota	23%	45%	32%	100%	5%	11%
Tennessee	1%	86%	14%	100%	0%	1%
Texas	25%	6%	70%	100%	9%	10%
Utah	3%	18%	79%	100%	3%	4%
Vermont	30%	5%	64%	100%	5%	5%
Virgin Islands	7%	65%	28%	100%	0%	0%
Virginia	6%	29%	65%	100%	7%	10%
Washington	23%	57%	20%	100%	2%	7%
West Virginia	7%	14%	79%	100%	4%	4%
Wisconsin Wyoming	15% 15%	3% 2%	82% 84%	100% 100%	6% 5%	6% 5%
National	13%	24%	63%	100%	5%	
Notes applicable to this report:	1370	2470	0370	10070	376	Data as of: 09-JUL-2007

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FY 2006.
- 2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication American Samoa had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2006 and Guam only submitted four (4) months of data. Oklahoma had not submitted the ACF-800 form or otherwise reported the pooling factor and had not reported all the ACF-801 data, so OK was not included in this data.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served in Oct 2005 through January 2006 served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties. However, Alaska began reporting full population data in Feb 2006. Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 7. The "Mean CoPay/Income" columns exclude families with zero income because dividing by zero is undefined.
- 8. Columns labeled as "Category A" include: families with zero income; families in protective services or families headed by a child; families with invalid income or copay.
- 9. The "Families with \$0 Cappa, ..." category is the percentage of families that had a \$0 co-payment and were not in Category A, divided by the count of all families. The sum of these three categories is 100%.
- 10. The results shown under "Mean Copay/Income" feature two different statistics, "Including" and "Excluding" \$0 copay. The data analyzed for the "Including Families with \$0 CoPay" category includes all families except those families in the "Category A" data i.e., the total minus the Category A data. The data analyzed for "Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay" includes only those families in the category "Families with CoPay >\$0 (and not in Category A)". Alternatively, the data used for "Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay" is all the family data minus those families in Category A and minus those families with \$0 CoPay.
- 11. The National weighted values were determined by multiplying each State's average co-payment/income percentage by the adjusted number of children in each State, summing across the States and then dividing by the adjusted number of children served for the Nation.