

Section 319.56–4 of the regulations contains a performance-based process for approving the importation of commodities that, based on the findings of a pest risk analysis, can be safely imported subject to one or more of the designated phytosanitary measures listed in paragraph (b) of that section. Under that process, APHIS publishes a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing the availability of the pest risk analysis that evaluates the risks associated with the importation of a particular fruit or vegetable. Following the close of the 60-day comment period, APHIS may begin issuing permits for importation of the fruit or vegetable subject to the identified designated measures if: (1) No comments were received on the pest risk analysis; (2) the comments on the pest risk analysis revealed that no changes to the pest risk analysis were necessary; or (3) changes to the pest risk analysis were made in response to public comments, but the changes did not affect the overall conclusions of the analysis and the Administrator's determination of risk.

In accordance with that process, we published a notice<sup>1</sup> in the **Federal Register** on May 9, 2008 (73 FR 26360–26361, Docket No. APHIS–2008–0065), in which we announced the availability, for review and comment, of a pest risk analysis that evaluates the risks associated with the importation into the continental United States of dragon fruit from Vietnam. We solicited comments on the notice for 60 days ending on July 8, 2008. We received one comment by that date, from a private citizen. The commenter did not provide any information regarding the pest risk analysis. No changes to the pest risk analysis are necessary based on that comment.

Therefore, in accordance with the regulations in § 319.56–4(c)(2)(ii), we are announcing our decision to begin issuing permits for the importation into the continental United States of dragon fruit from Vietnam subject to the following phytosanitary measures:

- The dragon fruit must be irradiated with a minimum absorbed dose of 400 gray.
- Each consignment of dragon fruit must be accompanied by a phytosanitary certificate issued by the national plant protection organization (NPPO) of Vietnam. The phytosanitary certificate must document that the dragon fruit has been inspected by the NPPO of Vietnam and that the

consignment received the required irradiation treatment or that the consignment will receive the required treatment upon arrival in the continental United States, should an APHIS-approved facility exist.

- The dragon fruit may be imported to the United States in commercial consignments only.

These conditions will be listed in the fruits and vegetables manual (available at [http://www.aphis.usda.gov/import\\_export/plants/manuals/ports/downloads/fv.pdf](http://www.aphis.usda.gov/import_export/plants/manuals/ports/downloads/fv.pdf)). In addition to those specific measures, dragon fruit from Vietnam will be subject to the general requirements listed in § 319.56–3 that are applicable to the importation of all fruits and vegetables.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 450, 7701–7772, and 7781–7786; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.3.

Done in Washington, DC, this 24th day of July 2008.

**Kevin Shea,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. E8–17476 Filed 7–29–08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–34–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Food and Nutrition Service

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request—Report of the Child and Adult Care Food Program

**AGENCY:** Food and Nutrition Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice invites the general public and other public agencies to comment on proposed information collections. The proposed collection is an extension of a currently approved collection. The purpose of the Report of the Child and Adult Care Food Program is to collect Program activity information from eligible programs that provide nutritious meals and snacks to Program participants.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted by September 29, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments maybe sent to: Ms. Cynthia Long, Director, Child Nutrition Division, Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 3101 Park Center Drive, Room 638, Alexandria, Virginia 22302. Comments will also be accepted through the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments electronically.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval, and will become a matter of public record.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Request for additional information or copies of the information collection form and instructions should be directed to: Ms. Cynthia Long at (703) 305–2590.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Report of the Child and Adult Care Food Program.

*OMB Number:* 0584–0078.

*Form Number:* FNS–44.

*Expiration Date:* March 31, 2009.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Abstract:* The purpose of the Report of the Child and Adult Care Food Program is to collect information from eligible programs that provide nutritious meals and snacks to Program participants. The Child and Adult Care Food Program is mandated by Section 17 of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1766). Program implementing regulations are contained in 7 CFR part 226. In accordance with 226.7(d), State agencies must submit a monthly report of program activity in order to receive Federal reimbursement for meals served to eligible participants.

*Affected Public:* State Agencies.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 3 hours.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 55 respondents.

*Estimated Number of Annual Responses per Respondent:* 12 responses.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 1,980 annual burden hours.

<sup>1</sup> To view the notice, the pest risk analysis, and the comment we received, go to <http://www.regulations.gov/fdmspublic/component/main?main=DocketDetail&d=APHIS-2008-0065>.

Dated: July 22, 2008.

**Roberto Salazar,**

*Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.*

[FR Doc. E8-17372 Filed 7-29-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Natural Resources Conservation Service

#### Notice of Proposed Change to the Natural Resources Conservation Service's National Handbook of Conservation Practices

**AGENCY:** Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA, Idaho State Office.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of proposed changes in the NRCS National Handbook of Conservation Practices, Section IV of the Idaho State NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG) for review and comment.

**SUMMARY:** It is the intention of the NRCS in Idaho to issue a revised conservation practice standards in its National Handbook of Conservation Practices. The revised standard is: Filter Strip (393).

**DATES:** Comments will be received for a 30-day period commencing with this date of publication.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Inquire in writing to Jeff Burwell, State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), 9173 W. Barnes Dr., Suite C, Boise, Idaho 83709. Copies of the practice standards will be made available upon written request. You may also submit your electronic requests and comments to [Linda.Miller@id.usda.gov](mailto:Linda.Miller@id.usda.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 343 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 states that revisions made after enactment of the law to NRCS State Technical Guides used to carry out highly erodible land and wetland provisions of the law shall be made available for public review and comment. For the next 30 days, the NRCS in Idaho will receive comments relative to the proposed changes. Following that period, a determination will be made by the NRCS in Idaho regarding disposition of those comments and a final determination of change will be made.

Dated: July 14, 2008.

**Jeff Burwell,**

*State Conservationist, Boise, Idaho.*

[FR Doc. E8-17392 Filed 7-29-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-351-832, C-351-833, A-560-815, A-201-830, A-841-805, A-274-804, A-823-812]

#### Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine: Continuation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On September 4, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty ("AD") orders on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod ("wire rod") from Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine; and the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on wire rod from Brazil. *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 72 FR 50659 (September 4, 2007). As a result of the determinations by the Department and the U.S. International Trade Commission ("ITC") that revocation of the AD orders on wire rod from Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine, and the CVD order on wire rod from Brazil would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and countervailable subsidies, and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation of these AD and CVD orders.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* July 30, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Shelly Atkinson or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0116 and 482-0182, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

On October 22, 2002, the Department published the CVD orders on wire rod from Brazil and Canada. *See Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil and Canada*, 67 FR 64871 (October 22, 2002). Additionally, the Department published the AD orders on wire rod from Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine on October 29, 2002. *See Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova,*

*Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine*, 67 FR 65945 (October 29, 2002). On January 23, 2004, the CVD order on wire rod from Canada was revoked, pursuant to a changed circumstance review. *See* 69 FR 3330 (January 23, 2004).

On September 4, 2007, the Department initiated and the ITC instituted sunset reviews of the AD orders on wire rod from Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine, and the CVD order on wire rod from Brazil pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). *See Initiation of Five-year Sunset Reviews*, 72 FR at 50659; *see also Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine*, 72 FR 50696 (September 4, 2007). Additionally, on December 28, 2007, the ITC determined to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the CVD and AD orders. *See Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine*, 72 FR 73880 (December 28, 2007).

As a result of its reviews, the Department found that revocation of the AD and CVD orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and countervailable subsidies, and notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins and net countervailable subsidies likely to prevail were the orders to be revoked. *See Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders*, 73 FR 1321 (January 8, 2008) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum; *see also Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil: Final Results of Expedited Five-year Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order*, 73 FR 1323 (January 8, 2008) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

On July 17, 2008, the ITC published its determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the AD orders on wire rod from Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine, and the CVD order on wire rod from Brazil would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. *See ITC Final Determination: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine*, Investigation Nos. 701-TA-417 and