Fact Sheet for the Chairman, Subcommittee on Intergovernmental Relations and Human Resources, House Committee on Government **Operations**

April 1986

CHILD AND FAMILY WELFARE

Selected HHS Discretionary Grant Funding in Fiscal Year 1985





RESTRICTED-Not to be released outside the General Accounting Office except on the basis of specific approval by the Office of Congressional Relations.

RELEASED

•	2 7		
		,	
*			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		



UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

HUMAN RESOURCES DIVISION April 10, 1986

B-222774

The Honorable Ted Weiss
Chairman, Subcommittee on
Intergovernmental Relations
and Human Resources
Committee on Government Operations
House of Representatives

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This fact sheet was prepared in response to your March 21, 1986, request for information on grants funded by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and administered by the Office of Human Development Services (OHDS) under section 426, part B, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Funds for section 426 research and demonstration projects involving child and family welfare and development activities are included in HHS' Family Social Services Appropriation.

We agreed to first focus on the largest dollar grants funded during fiscal year 1985 and, time permitting, to develop information on the other fiscal year 1985 and 1984 grants. In addition to our fact sheet, your office requested copies of review panels' evaluation comments and recommendations relating to grant applications received in fiscal years 1984 and 1985.

Our work was done at OHDS headquarters in Washington, D.C. We obtained relevant legislation and regulations relating to section 426 grant activities. We discussed the basic purposes and objectives of these grant activities, how funding decisions are made, and how the projects are managed with responsible officials in OHDS' Office of Management Services, the Children's Bureau, the Office of Program Development, and the Administration for Children, Youth, and Families.

We reviewed the official grant folders, which are maintained in the Division of Grants and Contracts Management in OHDS' Office of Management Services, and extracted the requested information for each of the section 426 grants that totaled about \$100,000 or more from all sources of funding. We reviewed the official OHDS decision memoranda relating to the section 426 grants; these memoranda document which grant applications are funded and the amounts funded. We obtained pertinent budget and financial data from the OHDS' Division of Budget and Financial

Management in the Office of Management Services and discussed related matters with the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Budget, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Management and Budget, HHS.

Because of the tight time frame, this fact sheet is limited to 43 fiscal year 1985 grants funded for about \$100,000 or more. These grants amounted to \$5.3 million of the \$9.9 million obligated under section 426 in fiscal year 1985. About \$1.1 million of other OHDS funds were awarded to 13 of the 43 grantees. Information requested relating to the scores and ranks of a few grants was not readily available and is therefore not included in our fact sheet. As arranged with your office, we are continuing to obtain the information on the review panels' evaluation comments and recommendations relating to all 1984 and 1985 grant applications.

We discussed the contents of this fact sheet and the results of our work with responsible program and budget officials and considered their comments in preparing this document.

Unless you publicly announce its contents earlier, we plan no further distribution of this fact sheet until 30 days from its issue date. At that time we will provide copies to OHDS and HHS and other interested parties. Also, copies will be made available to others on request.

Please call me on 275-5451 if you have any questions related to this fact sheet.

Sincerely yours,

Franklin a. Curtie

Franklin A. Curtis Associate Director

GRANTS FUNDED BY THE DEPARTMENT

OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

OFFICE OF HUMAN DEVELOPMENT SERVICES,

UNDER SECTION 426 OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT,

FISCAL YEAR 1985

As authorized by section 426 of the Social Security Act, as amended, the Congress appropriates funds to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to support research and demonstration projects involving child and family development and welfare. The Office of Human Development Services (OHDS) administers child and family development and welfare projects, including those funded under section 426 in HHS. Within OHDS, the Office of Program Development (OPD) and the Administration for Children, Youth and Families (ACYF) administer projects funded under section 426.

This fact sheet (1) highlights background information on OHDS' grants funded with appropriations used for section 426 purposes and (2) provides information on the grants funded for about \$100,000 or more, which included funds appropriated for section 426 purposes during fiscal year 1985.

BACKGROUND

Funds appropriated for section 426 activities support research and demonstration projects in four areas—child welfare, child care, youth development, and child and family development. Only state or local government agencies and nonprofit organizations engaged in child welfare activities or related research are eligible to apply for funding under section 426. Contracts may also be awarded for research and demonstration projects.

Research and demonstration grants funded under section 426 are generally competed for by grant applicants, although some continuation grants were funded without competition. At least once each year OHDS announces its priority areas in the Federal Register and invites eligible organizations to submit project applications. Priority areas identify child and family welfare issues that grant applicants must focus on to be considered for funding under section 426.

After the grant applications are received by OHDS, they are evaluated and rated by review panels consisting of experts engaged in child and family welfare programs and activities. Usually three or four reviewers from various private and public organizations make up a review panel. The grant applications

that are competing for funds under a given priority area are reviewed and scored--based on criteria provided in the announcement--by each reviewer before the panel convenes to further evaluate the applications. An average score is computed for each application based on the reviewers' scores, which may range from 0 to 100. Based on the average scores, the applications are ranked and forwarded to OHDS program managers as recommendations for funding. The program managers meet with their program commissioners to further consider the recommendations. OHDS officials told us that final funding decisions are made collaboratively by the Assistant Secretary of OHDS and program commissioners.

OHDS officials stated that in reaching funding decisions, OHDS may deviate from the ranking order of the review panels, and it is not unusual for lower rated applications to be funded before those rated higher. OHDS officials told us that this may occur because they consider factors other than the information included in individual grant applications; for example, (1) the potential for the application to result in the development of a new method or approach in a priority area; (2) whether an application comes from a minority organization; (3) strong indications of private sector financial support; and (4) the number of applications submitted under each priority area.

Also, OHDS officials told us that in fiscal year 1985 and prior years, some applications were "administratively reviewed" by the OHDS program staff, primarily when the application described a project of "exceptional merit" or "unique interest." Applications administratively reviewed and found acceptable by these reviewers automatically receive a score of 99. These officials also stated that an administratively reviewed application with a score of 99 competes with other applications for funding.

The Assistant Secretary of OHDS allocates section 426 funds to OPD and ACYF. Funds are first allocated to meet OPD's (1) commitments for continuation grants and contracts initiated in previous years and (2) new grants and contracts during the current fiscal year. The remaining funds are then allocated to ACYF for its projects. OPD, in addition to administering its own projects—such as use of automatic data processing to facilitate management information—is responsible for child and family welfare projects involving more than one OHDS office or HHS agency; that is, cross—cutting projects. ACYF is responsible only for projects that are related to its child and family welfare programs. OPD and ACYF have management responsibility, which primarily involves monitoring the progress being made by the grantees to accomplish the grants' objectives.

GRANTS FUNDED WITH SECTION 426 FUNDS

In fiscal year 1985, OHDS funded section 426 projects totaling about \$11.7 million--OPD received \$6.2 million and ACYF received \$5.5 million. OPD and ACYF obligated about \$9.9 million to fund grants and the other \$1.8 million to fund contracts and supplies to support the section 426 program.

The Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Budget (DASB), Office of the Assistant Secretary for Management and Budget, HHS, told us HHS requested and received approval to use a portion of the Family Social Services Appropriation (which includes appropriations for projects authorized under section 426) for activities authorized under section 1110 of the Social Security Act, as amended. In letters dated April 1, 1982, from the Chairman, Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Labor, HHS, and Education, and June 21, 1982, from the Chairman, House Appropriations Subcommittee on Labor, HHS, and Education, HHS received approval to reprogram fiscal year 1982 Family Social Services appropriations to activities authorized under section 1110. According to the Acting DASB, this approval was not sought in subsequent years, and HHS has continued to reprogram funds as approved in 1982. Accordingly, the Acting DASB told us that appropriations for section 426 purposes are used to fund projects under section 1110. We did not determine the legality of using appropriations for activities authorized under section 426 for activities authorized under section 1110.

Table 1 summarizes appropriations used by ACYF and OPD during fiscal year 1985 for activities and projects authorized under sections 426 and 1110 of the Social Security Act, as amended. As indicated in the table, about \$4.5 million of the \$11.7 million used for section 426 purposes were used to fund projects under section 1110.

<u>Table 1</u>
<u>Funds Appropriated for Section 426</u>
<u>Fiscal Year 1985</u>

Legislative authorization	OHDS manag ACYF	ing office <u>OPD</u>	Total
Section 426 Section 1110	\$5,564,058	\$1,715,251 4,452,867	\$ 7,279,309 4,452,867
Total	\$5,564,058	\$6,168,118	\$11,732,176

¹section 1110 authorizes research and demonstration projects for (1) preventing and reducing welfare dependency and (2) improving the effectiveness and administration of aid provided by private and public welfare agencies.

The Acting DASB told us that \$525,000 of the section 1110 funds were obligated for section 1136 grant projects because OHDS believed the section 1110 authority was sufficiently broad to cover these projects. Section 1136 authorized demonstration projects to increase the effectiveness and coordination of integrated human service programs for individuals and families.

We obtained information on 43 grants with obligations totaling about \$5.3 million under section 426. Thirteen of the 43 grants were jointly funded with other OHDS funds totaling about \$1.1 million. Five of the 43 grants received "administrative reviews"; these five received \$755,153 under section 426 and \$180,542 from other OHDS programs.

Tables 2 and 3 present more detailed information on the 43 grants.

²These included funding under the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act, as amended, and the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act, as amended.

Table 2:
Grants Obligated for About \$100,000 or More
Through Section 426² and Administered by ACYF
Fiscal Year 1985

Grantee name and address	Grant number	Amount o Sec 426	Other ^b	Priority area ^C	Project officer ^d	Average score ^e	<u>Rank</u> f	Brief description
Child Welfare Institute P.O. Box 77364 Atlanta, GA 30357	900,70103	\$159,829	\$100,000	1	Ragan	94.5	1/4	Resource Center for Foster and Residential Care; to provide training and consultation to public and voluntary child welfare agencies throughout the nation.
Nebraska State Department of Social Services Human Services Division P.O. Box 95026 Lincoln, NE 68509	900/10753	60,000	90,000	1.03A	Lewis Kansas City R.O.	90.0	2/11	To develop agreements among the major agencies involved with children with special needs who live outside their own homes so that funding or legal issues do not interfere with receiving services.
Trustees of Boston University School of Social Work 881 Commonwealth Avenue Boston, MA 02215	900/10726	105,7%		1.3	Thomas	78.8	7/15	To develop and test a model program for measuring employees' work/family conflict and the impact of that conflict on the workplace.
Community Coordinated Child Care for Central Florida, Inc. 816 Broadway Orlando, FL 32803	900H0723	100,000		1.3	Hawkins	71.7	10/15	To market an employer-supported child care plan to employers.
Spaulding for Children 3660 Waltrous Road P.O. Box 337 Chelsea, MI 48118	900N0754	200,000		2	Weathers	83.25	2/4	Create the Spaulding National Training, Consultation, and Leadership Center for Special Needs Adoption to increase the quantity and quality of placements and postadoption support.

	Grantee name and address	Grant number	Amount obligated Sec 426 Other ^D	Priority area ^C	Project officer ^d	Average score ^e	<u>Ranik ^f</u>	Brief description
	American Bar Association (National Legal Resource Center) 750 N. Lake Shore Drive Chicago, IL 60601	900-10729	\$1 90,83 5	2.03	Sudia	99 . 08	1/7	To develop guidelines to assist child welfare agencies and courts to implement the "reasonable efforts" requirements of Public Law 96-272—to improve services to children living outside their own homes.
	University of Utah Graduate School of Social Work 114 Social Work Building Salt Lake City, UT 84112	90040731	150,000	2.04	Fusillo	80.5	4/9	To address the question: "What factors are associated with family-based child welfare service failures?" Purpose—to identify families in Utah and Washington and the elements of service that appear associated with failure.
ω	University of Iowa School of Social Work North Hall Iowa City, IA 52242	900w0732	136,348	2.04	Yusillo	91•3	1/9	To provide empirical basis for the development of family-based child welfare services and identify organizational, social worker, and client characteristics contributing to success and failure in family-based services.
	Johns Hopkins University Dept. of Maternal and Child Health 615 N. Wolfe St. Baltimore, MD 21205	900x0719	149,997	2.5	Brown	91.3	17/40	To study, examine, and compare child, family, and agency characteristics associated with the duration of foster child care.
	Connecticut Child and Family Services Research Department 1680 Albany Avenue Hartford, CT 06105	900x0721	149,159	2.5	Fusillo	89.3	18/40 (tie)	To examine long-term foster care to understand the characteristics of the children and their families and the reasons for their length of stay in care in order to better address their permanency needs.

Ēr.

Grantee name and address	Grant number	Amount ob Sec 426	oligated Other ⁰	Priority area ^C	Project officer ^d	Average score ^e	Rankf	Brief description
Northwest Resource Associates 909 N.E. 43rd Suite 208 Seattle, WA 98105	900,0088	\$ 65,700	\$ 34,28 0	2.03	Sudia	78.6	4/7	To enhance the knowledge about what constitutes "reasonable efforts" to maintain a child in his own home.
Council of Three Rivers American Indian Center, Inc. 200 Charles Street Pittsburgh, PA 15238	900x0751	148,000		3B	Hogle	81.5	6/8	To establish the C.H.I.L.D. Project as a nationwide program for training and technology transfer and assist agen- cies in eliminating unnecessary wait- ing by American Indian children for adoption placement.
Three Feathers Association Office of Indian Child Welfare P.O. Box 5508 Norman, OK 73070	900x0740	150,000		3	Sudia	83.4		To affect the efficient and effective development as well as the delivery of child welfare services to Indian children and their families through the provision of training and technological transfer of best practices.
American Indian Law Center, Inc. P.O. Box 4456, Station A Albuquerque, NM 87196		149,995		3	Sudia	90.6		To develop the capacities of tribes and states to implement the Indian Child Welfare Act and the Adoption Assistance Act.
Law Enforcement Planning Commission P.O. Box 3807 St. Thomas, VI 00801	900x10750	150,000		4.08A	Collins	86.3	6/20	To achieve self-sufficiency through preemployment training skills, hands-on work experience, and cottage industry to address problems of teenage pregnancy, sexual exploitation, school dropouts, and youths without marketable skills.
Family Resource Coalition 230 N. Michigan #1625 Chicago, IL 60601	90CN0748	171,237		4.10	Fuentes	91.3	7/29 (tie)	To synthesize existing materials related to starting local prevention programs into a single training package combining printed and visual materials.

Grantee name and address	Grant number	Amount obligated Sec 426 Other	Priority area ^c	Project officerd	Average score ^e	Rankf	Brief description
Atlanta University School of Social Work 223 Chestnut St. SW Atlanta, GA 30314	900 4 0741	\$131,443	4.12	Osborne Atlanta R.O.	80.3	6/14	To mobilize a minimum of 200 concerned citizens and human service professionals in the black community to work collaboratively with Department of Family and Children Services personnel in order to improve the permanency planning outcomes for black children and their families.
Illinois State Department of Children and Families 1 North Old State Capitol Plaza Springfield, IL 62706	900H0734	125,000	4.07B	Sudia	90.3	2/4	To develop, demonstrate, and evaluate a unique program of intensive in-home service for black families whose children have been determined to be in need of placement.
Urban League of Eastern Massachusetts 236 Huntington Ave. Boston, MA 02115	900N0752	120,000	4.12	Bostom	83.3	4/14	To expand the capacity of three exist- ing networks among organized black groups in Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island to work with state agencies to improve the plight of black children and families in the child welfare system.
University of Nebraska- Lincoln Human Development/ Family, Conf. and Institutes RLH 110 - NCCE 205 Lincoln, NE 68583	900w0749	110,000	4.10	Fuentes	91.3	7/29 (tie)	To establish a training and dis- semination system that will identify, select, and train a nationwide group of volunteer leaders to serve as transfer agents in setting up programs in all 50 states aimed at dealing more effectively with families in need.
New Mexico State Depart- ment of Human Services Social Services Division P.O. Box 2348 - Rm. 518 Pera Building Santa Fe, NM 87503		105,463	4•07B	Sudia	99•0	1/4	To incorporate adaptation of the model developed by National Resource Center on Family Based Services into the Departments of Social Services delivery systems.

Grantee name and address	Grant number	Amount o	Other ^D	Priority area ^C	Project officer ^d	Average score ^e	Rankf	Brief description
Oregon Comm. College Parent Education Consortium Linn-Benton Community College 6500 SW. Pacific Blvd. Albamy, OR 97321	900H0746	\$100,000		4.10	Touch	81.3	19/29	To implement a successful model of parent education in three additional community colleges based on the Linn-Benton model.
University of Oklahoma Juvenile Personnel Training Program Suite 751 440 S. Houston Tulsa, OK 74127	900A0756	254,287		5	Dean	74.5	2/13	To develop products and services that are relevant to the issues of working with troubled youths.
University of Southern Maine Center for Research and Advanced Study 246 Deering Avenue Portland, ME 04102	900 M 0755	199,877		6	Ragan	71.25	3/6	To strengthen the capacity of child welfare administrators to use exemplary methods and resources to provide effective services to children and families.
University of Iowa The School of Social Work North Hall Iowa City, IA 52242	90CJ0102	113,969	\$144,142	3	Sudia	89.8	1/7	National Resource Center for Family Based Services—to assist public and private child and family service pro- viders and communities to develop and sustain programs designed to prevent child abuse and neglect, child foster care placement, and replacement fol- lowing a child's return home.

_

Apunds are appropriated through section 426 of the Social Security Act, as amended, to provide financial support for research and demonstration projects in the areas of child and family development and welfare.

^COHDS developed priority areas that grant applicants were to focus on in their applications for funding. See app. I for a description of the priority areas.

drogram staff member responsible for monitoring grant activities; all in ACYF headquarters except where otherwise indicated.

Oberived from scores developed by individual panel members who evaluated grant applications competing for funds within a specific priority area, such as 1.03. The grant applications that were "administratively reviewed" were reviewed by OHDS staff only, and automatically received an average score of 99, if acceptable to these reviewers.

frankings were developed by OHDS from the average scores of all full applications reviewed, including those not selected for funding. For example, a rank of 1/4 means that the application's average score was the highest in the priority area for which it was reviewed and that four applications were reviewed in that area. Some grants on this table are not ranked because complete information on grant rankings was not available.

⁸Administratively reviewed.

brunds appropriated through legislation other than section 426.

National Committee for
the Furtherance of
Jewish Education, Inc.

San Diego State								
University Foundation								
5300 Companile Drive								
Can Diago CA 92182								

Vinland National Cen
3675 Thdulapi Road
Box 308

Table 3: Grants Obligated for About \$100,000 or More Through Section 426^a and Administered by OPD Fiscal Year 1985

Grantee name and address	Grant number	Amount obligated Sec 426 Other C	Priority aread	Project officer ^e	Average scoref	Ranks	Brief description
E.S., Inc. RAP House/Oasis House P.O. Hox 120655 Nashville, TN 37212	900,10092	\$175 , 000	1.03B	Dowling	85•5	4/17	To develop a comprehensive service delivery system for homeless youths in conjunction with the Council of Community Services and the Nashville Coalition of the Homeless.
National Committee for the Furtherance of Jewish Education, Inc. 824 Eastern Parkway Brooklyn, NY 11213	90PD5605	125,000	1.038	Sinclair New York R.O.	72.0	6/17	To deliver social, vocational, and housing services to 300 Chassidic Jewish, black, and Hispanic youths ages 16 to 21. Most are homeless and all require primary planning to function as emancipated adults.
San Diego State University Foundation 5300 Companile Drive San Diego, CA 92182	900,00087	239,313 \$159,542	1.05C	Jakopic	99 . 0h	1/5 (tie)	Cooperative agreement for administra- tive, technical support, evaluation, and dissemination of results/products of projects funded through the Com- munity Alternatives for Youth Without Homes Program.
Vinland National Center 3675 Indulapi Koad Box 308 Loretto, MN 55357	90011032	67,800 67,800	1.06	Queen	52.0	16/17	To develop, demonstrate, and disseminate a model that will assist social service agencies nationwide in maximizing the impact of corporate contributions and volunteer labor.
National Executive Service Corps 622 Third Avenue New York, NY 10017	90011033	60,000 40,000	1.06	McSwain	79•3	1/17	To develop and test a model for bro- kering the services of retired execu- tives and professionals to help solve human service organizations' manage- ment problems.

Grantee name and address	Grant number	Amount of Sec 426 ^b		Priority area ^d	Project officer ^e	Average score ^f	Rankg	Brief description
National Congress of American Indians, Human Resources Unit 804 D Street, NE. Washington, D.C. 20002	900071039	\$ 40,000	\$60,000	1.07A	Sweeney	75•0	4/8	To demonstrate a replicable, intergenerational health promotion and education model in which Indian/Alaskan Native elderly volunteers provide elementary school students with health behavior information.
Childhelp USA, Research Division 14700 Mazanita Park Road P.O. Box 247 Beaumont, CA 92223	900,10093	75,000	25,000	2-04	Sudia ACYF	83.3	3/9	To research and document contributing factors to placement failures for assaulted children and their dysfunctional families. To develop and demonstrate foster-adoption and aftercare procedures to prevent the placement failures and the attendant drift, abuse, and recidivism of the child welfare system.
American Bar Association National Legal Resource Center for Child Advocacy 750 North Lake Shore Dr. Chicago, IL 60611		111,452	21,000	3.01	Britt	99•0 ^h	1/25 (tie)	To develop, test, and disseminate the country's first evaluation instruments on the measurement of child welfare agency legal assistance.
The Eisenhower Foundation 1726 I Street, NW. Washington, D.C. 20006	90PD1403	113,553		3.01	Britt	99 . 0h	1/25 (tie)	To evaluate 120 youths associated with the House of Umaja (Philadelphia) who have the right support and jobs, and compare them to 120 youths unassoci- ated with Umaja who do not.
Human Resources Admin. Policy and Program Dev. 240 Church Street Room 10013 New York, NY 10013	90PD0110	100,000		3.02	Neilson	99 . 0 ^h	1/17 (tie)	To develop a microcomputer system to track and manage the intake, investigation, and disposition of complaints of fraud, theft, and mismanagement in social service programs.

	Grantee name and address	Grant number	Amount obligated Sec 426 ^b Other ^c	Priority aread	Project officer ^e	Average scoref	Ranks	Brief description			
	University of Nebraska- Omaha Center for Applied Urban Research Omaha, NE 68182	90030100	\$ 39,913 \$100,000	3.03	Sanchez ADD	91.7	1/7	To (1) create an inventory of successful job matching and job placement services; (2) identify factors critical to success on the job for individuals, employers, and service agencies; and (3) disseminate products for rehabilitation service providers and employers.			
	YMCA of San Diego County 7510 Clairemont Mesa Boulevard San Diego, CA 92111	90PD1405	102,645	4.01	Dowling	47.7	5/5	To establish a model to provide finan- cial assistance to families with spe- cial needs children through the voucher system and an expanded refer- ral program.			
J J	National Association of Rehabilitation Facilities P.O. Box 17675 Washington, D.C. 20041	900Л034	105,000 70,000	4.02	Sanchez ADD	57.3	6/7	To establish a nationwide work information network for the developmentally disabled.			
	Hispanic Americana Incorporated 1511 K Street, NW. Suite 1029 Washington, D.C. 20005	90PD1407	99,955	4.10	Garcia	92.0	6/29	A knowledge transfer program to dis- seminate state-of-the-art knowledge on strategies for supporting and strengthening families in cases of runaways, delinquents, adolescent pregnancy prevention, isolated elders, and disabled among others.			
	The American Public Welfare Association Research and Demonstration Department 1125 15th Street, NW. Washington, D.C. 20005	90PD1030	99,820		Jaganna- than	84.0		To (1) contribute a better understanding of policies and program issues related to child welfare and the Social Services Block Grant by generating and disseminating statistical data relative to these programs and (2) promote greater understanding of service trends in child welfare and social service fields.			

V	-
_	
•	

				-	906*550*1\$	685,045,6	- :\$	CERAID TOTALS	
				•	215°264	1/6,882,8		ACYF Totals	
cipients and reduce abuse and teenage					%5,542 \$	815*17741	(\$	statoľ (PD)	
staff training and networking of community services to promote self- sufficiency of public assistance re-								Services P.O. Box 25352 Oklahoma City, OK 73125	
Family oriented services—to provide		5*7/	Faris			118,012	90200103	Oklahoma Dept. of Human	
grant received a continuation obliga- tion in PY 1985 for Phase II funding. It was reviewed and awarded in FY 1984 in which it received Phase I funding.									
duce employee turnover among minority and disadvantaged employees (initially young blacks and hispanics). This								Englewood, CO 80155	
To develop a commercial program to re-		Ţ	Catnes			\$ 86°622	90PD10068	-	
Brief description	Ranks	Average score	Project Officer ^e	Priority area ^d		Amount of	uruper Crant	Grantee name and address	

Funds are appropriated through section-426 of the Social Security Act, as amended, to provide financial support for research and demonstration projects in the areas of child and family development and welfare.

^bFunds were initially appropriated under section 426. Later some of these funds were used to fund projects used under section 1110 of the act.

CF\mods appropriated through legislation other than section 426, such as OHDS' Administration on Developmental Disabilities (ADD), in which funds are appropriated through section 145 of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act, as amended.

dOHDS developed priority areas which grant applicants were to focus on in their applications for funding. Where blanks exist on the table for priority areas, we could not determine a priority area from the documents we reviewed. See app. I for a description of priority areas.

^eProgram staff member responsible for monitoring grant activities; all in OPD headquarters except where otherwise indicated (see footnote c for ADD).

fDerived from scores developed by individual panel members who evaluated grant applications competing for funds within a specific priority area, such as 1.03B. The grant applications that were "administratively reviewed" were reviewed by OHDS staff only and automatically received an average score of 99, if acceptable to these reviewers.

Stanking was provided by OPD from the average scores of all full applications, including those not selected for funding. For example, a rank of 1/7 means that the application's average score was the highest in the priority area for which it was reviewed and that seven applications were reviewed in that area. Some grants on this table are not ranked because complete information on grant rankings was not evident on the documents we reviewed.

hAdministratively reviewed.

¹Continuation grant—not reviewed in fiscal year 1985.

DESCRIPTION OF PRIORITY AREAS

COORDINATED DISCRETIONARY FUNDS PROGRAM

- Priority Area 1: Demonstrations of National Relevance
 - 1.03 Permanency Planning
 - 1.03A Interagency Recruitment of Specialized Foster Family Homes
 - 1.03B Service Systems Response to the Needs of Emancipated or Near Emancipated Youth
 - 1.05 Runaway Youth
 - 1.05C Community Alternative for Youth Without Homes
 - 1.06 Recruiting Private Sector Volunteers
 - 1.07 Indian Families
 - 1.07A Intergenerational Pilot Programs for Indian Elders/Indian Children
- Priority Area 2: Basic Knowledge and Data Development
 - 2.03 Implementation of the Adoption Assistance and Child Welfare Act of 1980
 - 2.04 Analysis of Factors Contributing to the Failure of Family Based Child Welfare Services
- Priority Area 3: Evaluation and Management Systems
 - 3.01 Evaluation as a Management Tool
 - 3.02 Management Systems
 - 3.03 Employment: Job Match and Job Placement Systems

Priority Area 4: Knowledge Transfer

- 4.01 Implementation of Voucher Systems
- 4.02 Social and Economic Development
- 4.07 Management Improvements
 - 4.07B Preplacement Preventive Services
- 4.08 Employment
 - 4.08A Youth Employment
- 4.10 Strengthening Families
- 4.12 Minority Volunteer Network for Child Welfare Services

Priority Area 5: Gerontological Training

FISCAL YEAR 1985 RESOURCE CENTERS FOR CHILD WELFARE SERVICES

Priority Areas

- 1. Resource Center for Foster Care Programs
- 2. Resource Center for Adoption Services
- 3. Resource Center for Family Based Preventive Services
 - 3.B Resource Center for Family Based Preventive Services--Community Level

APPENDIX I

6. Resource Center for Child Welfare Program Management and Administration

7. Center for Legal Resources on Child Welfare Programs

OTHER

Priority Areas

- 1.3 Developing a Model Program for Managing Work/Family Roles
- 2.5 Analysis of Child, Family, and Agency Factors in Long Term Foster Care

(118155)

Requests for copies of GAO reports should be sent to:

U.S. General Accounting Office Post Office Box 6015 Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877

Telephone 202-275-6241

The first five copies of each report are free. Additional copies are \$2.00 each.

There is a 25% discount on orders for 100 or more copies mailed to a single address.

Orders must be prepaid by cash or by check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents.

Ė

United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300 First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
GAO
Permit No. G100