SEAGRASS RESTORATION AND MONITORING PLAN

United States vs. Melvin Fisher, et al.,
Civ. No. 92-10027 (S. D. Fla. 1992)

January 1997

I INTRODUCTION

The objective of this plan is to provide for the restoration of 1.55 acres of seagrasses within the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (hereafter FKNMS or the Sanctuary) in order to compensate, through in-kind replacement of seagrasses, for losses of seagrasses (predominantly turtlegrass, Thalassia testudinum), including associated resource services, caused by the Defendants as a result of treasure salvaging activities in the Sanctuary in 1992. The appropriate scale for the restoration was determined using Habitat Equivalency Analysis (HEA) methodology. HEA calculations using this value result in the 1.55 acre project scale used in this plan.

II. RESTORATION APPROACH

NOAA has identified and evaluated options for seagrass restoration within the Sanctuary. This effort has included consideration of aerial surveys and photography, site visits, and consultation with government, academic and private individuals knowledgeable in the ecology, management and restoration of seagrass in the Florida Keys.

The options for seagrass restoration which have been identified and considered are (1) seagrass transplanting on-site at Coffins Patch (i.e. restoration at the site of injury), (2) seagrass transplanting off-site in shallow water areas where dredge fill has been removed (such as at sites of road spit removal), (3) seagrass transplanting off-site at vessel impact sites (primarily, scars from boat hull contact, propeller contact or suction from jet ski intakes) in managed areas (i.e. no-motor areas), and (4) seagrass transplanting off-site at vessel impact sites (i.e. scars) outside managed areas. Key factors in NOAA's evaluation of these options have been the necessary restoration acreage, the likelihood of achieving Thalassia (the predominate species injured) as a result of restoration actions, and the extent to which potential sites within each option may be otherwise suited or conducive to a successful restoration effort. Criteria used to assess the suitability of potential sites includes proximity to natural seagrass beds at similar depths, the degree or risk of anthropogenic disturbance, the extent or risk of chronic storm disturbance, the extent of or opportunity for natural recolonization, and previous experience with seagrass restoration at similar sites.

In sum, on-site seagrass transplanting at Coffin's Patch is not feasible and is, therefore, not an acceptable option. This is supported by expert opinion and is confirmed by the results of a pilot project on the effectiveness of transplanting techniques at the site, which NOAA initiated in 1993 and 1994. Shallow water sites where dredge fill has been removed generally possess many features conducive to successful transplanting. There are, however, only a few potential sites of this nature and other factors associated with available sites (such as evident natural recolonization, degree of exposure to wind/currents or existing recreational uses) prevent further consideration of this option to compensate for seagrass losses at Coffin's Patch. Vessel impact sites (i.e. scars), both within and outside managed areas of the Sanctuary, also possess features conducive to successful transplanting. Some of these scars, however, are not

experiencing quick or reliable natural recolonization and are considered candidates for active seagrass transplanting using seagrass restoration techniques which have proven successful. In selecting planting sites, priority should be given to areas where management actions or other site characteristics minimize the risk of further vessel disruptions at the restoration site.

The restoration of seagrass scars created by vessel impacts within a managed area represents NOAA's preferred approach to seagrass restoration off-site. Restoration efforts will focus on seagrass transplanting of scars in heavily injured Thalassia testudinum (Thalassia) seagrass meadows such as described by Sargent et al. (1995) within the Florida Keys. Thalassia is the seagrass species predominantly injured by the Defendant's actions and Thalassia restoration is the primary objective of this plan. Seagrass beds can be scarred by many activities, but scars are most commonly made when a vessel operates in areas vegetated by seagrasses that are too shallow for the vessel to avoid contact with the seafloor. The vessel's hull and/or propeller tears and cuts up the leaves, stems and roots of the seagrasses, typically leaving long, narrow, trench-like furrows devoid of seagrass. A typical scar created by a small vessel (less than 6.5 m in length) is approximately 0.25-0.5 m wide and 0.1-0.5 m deep. Larger vessels with twin propellers or inboard engines (greater than 6.5 m in length) can produce deeper (0.25-0.75 m) and wider trenches (0.5-1.5 m). While smaller scars may naturally recolonize over several years, some scars, especially in Thalassia seagrass beds experiencing moderate tidal currents or wave action, persist for decades and can enlarge from erosion (Zieman, 1976). The slow growth rate of Thalassia contributes to its comparatively slow recolonization of the bare sediments in scars.

One technique for restoring slow-growing seagrass species such as Thalassia focuses on planting another seagrass species such as shoalgrass, Halodule wrightii (Halodule) to achieve a "compressed succession" (Durako et al., 1992; *sensu* Fonseca, 1994). The compressed succession is a planting technique intended to achieve a more rapid rate of seagrass recovery by temporarily substituting the faster growing Halodule for the slower growing Thalassia. This sequence promotes more suitable conditions for Thalassia to recolonize the scar while stabilizing the sediment and establishing functional seagrass habitat.

Over 15,000 acres of moderately and severely scarred seagrass flats (or habitats) are documented within the Florida Keys (Sargent et al., 1995). In the Sargent report, moderate scarring is defined as 5-20% of the bottom area containing scars while severe scarring is defined as more than 20% of the bottom area containing scars. Given the magnitude of seagrass scarring in the Florida Keys, locating suitable sites for planting 1.55 acres of seagrass within the FKNMS makes restoration of seagrass scars a feasible alternative.

III. RESTORATION SITE SELECTION

Scarring can be an ongoing impact on seagrass meadows and restoration efforts should be conducted at locations that provide protective management, such as through restrictions on power vessel operations, where restoration is less likely to be disturbed by further scarring. Sites that

currently meet this criteria and thus will be considered under this plan include, but are not limited to, the Lignumvitae Key State Botanical Site (LBS) and the John Pennekamp Coral Reef State Park (PSP). Additional sites within the FKNMS may be considered outside of such management areas where other site characteristics or circumstances exist which will minimize the threat of future injury from vessel groundings.

Preliminary site selection of scars will encompass the inspection of existing high resolution vertical aerial photography of LBS, PSP, and/or additional areas in the FKNMS. NOAA will assist in securing access to photographic sources, the interpretation of the photographs, and critical evaluation of candidate sites. Low level vertical photos are required to quantitatively delineate areas. Photographs will be inspected, and scars identified and measured to calculate total area. If existing aerial photographs are not adequate, new aerial photography will be collected. Any required additional photography may be subject to delays from weather conditions unsuitable for aerial photography.

Following preliminary selection, the sites will be verified for the presence of seagrass adjacent to the scar and for plantable unconsolidated sediments within the scar. Verification will be conducted by snorkel or SCUBA divers, depending upon water depth at the site. Plantable unconsolidated sediments in a scar will be medium to fine grain and at least 10 cm thick. Sediment thickness will be determined by inserting a probe into the sediment approximately every 5 m along the length of the scar.

IV. METHODS

A. Planting Area, Site Marking and Site Preparation

The compensatory acreage of this plan (1.55 acres) converts to 6,290 m^2. Using a conservative estimate for scar width of 0.5 meters, the planned acreage will require delineating 12,580 linear meters of scar (6,290 /0.5); this estimate is conservative given that narrower scars translates into a greater linear distance needed to restore. The location of each scar selected for planting will be established using a differentially corrected Global Positioning System (GPS). Each end of the selected scar will be identified with a permanent underwater marker for positioning and the distances calculated in a Geographical Information System (GIS). Maps delineating the sites and the location of scars will be produced with GIS.

B. Planting Species and Technique

The selected scars will be planted with planting units of shoalgrass Halodule to achieve a "compressed succession" (Durako et al., 1992; *sensu* Fonseca, 1994). The compressed succession is a planting technique intended to achieve a more rapid rate of seagrass recovery by temporarily substituting the faster growing Halodule for the slower growing turtlegrass Thalassia.

This sequence promotes more suitable conditions for Thalassia to recolonize the scar while stabilizing the sediment and establishing functional seagrass habitat.

C. Planting Methods

Planting will occur during April and May, months which present optimal environmental conditions for planting. The planting method to be used will use commercially available "peat pots" (Fonseca et al., 1994). Peat pots (one peat pot = one planting unit) are made of an organic, compressed peat material with a surface area of 7.6 cm^2 and approximately 7 cm deep. A sod plugger of the same dimensions as the peat pot is used to extract plugs from the donor seagrass bed which is then extruded into the peat pots (see Fonseca, 1994 and Fonseca et al, 1994 for detailed description of method). The donor beds will be located on shallow, sandy shoals where Halodule grows at densities of at least 3,000 shoots per m^2 yielding planting unit shoot densities of at least 17 shoots per planting unit. Donor plugs will be extracted at no less than 25 cm between plugs to minimize any effects on the donor beds.

Prior to extruding a plug of Halodule, approximately 10 grams of constant release (70 day) phosphorus fertilizer (0-39-0, nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium) or an equivalent form will be added to each peat pot. Phosphorus has been shown to be a highly limiting nutrient in carbonate sediments such as are found in the Florida Keys (Powell et al., 1989; Fourqurean et al., 1995). A total of 12,580 plugs will then be planted at 1.0 m intervals in the scars selected for restoration.

V. SEAGRASS TRANSPLANT MONITORING

Monitoring of the restoration project is necessary to provide data required to evaluate the viability of the restoration project based on the performance standards identified in Section VI and to permit timely identification of problems or conditions that may require corrective action to ensure the success of the restoration project. Restoration monitoring herein will be in accordance with the following terms and specifications.

A. Monitoring schedule and activities

Field collection of data for performance monitoring will occur for four years after planting. Original plantings will be monitored for three years and potential remedial plantings in Year 2 will be monitored for three years for a total monitoring period of four years. Under this schedule the monitoring would be conducted as follows:

Year 1 - day 60, 180, 365
Year 2 - day 180, 365
Year 3 - day 180, 365
Year 4 - day 180, 365

The precise dates are weather dependent. At day 60 of Year 1, each surviving planting unit will receive an additional spike of constant release phosphorous fertilizer (0-39-0, nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium) to be delivered to each planting unit. Semi-annual refertilization of surviving Halodule planting units will be required at each planting unit.

B. Data Collection

Monitoring will focus on documenting the numbers of apicals at planting time, planting unit survival, shoot density and areal coverage under the following schedule and definitions. This monitoring protocol applies to original plantings for three years (Year 1-3) and to remedial plantings under Section VII for three years (Year 2-4).

1. Apical counts

Prior to planting, one planting unit (i.e. peat pot core) out of every one hundred (100) collected will be examined for the number of rhizome apicals.

2. Survival

Each scar will be examined for survival of all planting units during each survey in Year 1 (60, 180 and 365 days) or until coalescence. Survival of each species will be expressed as a percentage of the original number, but the actual whole number will also be reported.

3. Shoot density

A separate (from survival) random selection of three (3) planting units of Halodule will be assessed for number of shoots per planting unit at each survey time until coalescence begins. After some planting units begin to coalesce, 3 randomly selected locations will be surveyed for shoot density over a 1 meter linear distance along each planted scar at 0.0625 m^2 (25 cm x 25 cm) resolution. Shoot density will be monitored for three (3) years.

4. Areal coverage

Three (3) randomly selected planting units (may be same as shoot density selection) will be surveyed for coverage at each survey time starting at day 180 of Year 1. Measurements will be taken at a 0.0025 m^2 (5 cm x 5 cm) resolution prior to coalescence and over a 1 meter linear distance along a scar at 0.0625m^2 (25 cm x 25 cm) resolution after coalescence for each seagrass species present at each survey time. Areal coverage will be monitored for three (3) years.

5. Video Tape Transects

Five 100 meter transects along randomly selected portions of the planted scars will be video-tape recorded to establish permanent visual documentation of the progression of areal

coverage of seagrass through time. A metric tape measure will be laid along the central (long) axis of the scar and will be included in the video tape to allow physical reference of locations within the scar. Video recordings will be taken at each survey time during the monitoring period of three (3) years.

C. Reports

Monitoring reports (up to a total of 9) will include copies of raw data gathered in each survey, an analysis of the data, and a discussion of the analysis. Originals of all video tapes recorded since the previous report will be provided with each new report. Originals of all video tapes and other photography will be turned over to NOAA following project completion by the party conducting the monitoring.

VI. PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Although it is the overall objective to restore Thalassia at the selected scar sites, performance criteria will be based on the success of the Halodule planting as the planting methodology used is designed to expedite the recovery of Thalassia.

A. Apicals

A minimum average of one horizontal rhizome apical per unit will be maintained in all original planting and remedial planting.

B. Survival

The survival rate shall be considered successful if a minimum of 75% of the planting units have established themselves by the end of Year 1. If it is determined that less than 75% survival has occurred by the end of Year 1, then remedial planting will occur during the next available planting period to bring the percentage survival rate to the minimum standard by the next monitoring survey.

C. Growth

The third success criteria will be the measured growth rate of bottom coverage. The growth rate will be considered successful if, starting after one year, the planted seagrass in the scars (restoration sites) is projected to achieve 1.55 acres of bottom coverage, with 95% statistical confidence, within the three year monitoring period for original plantings. If this criteria is not met, then remedial planting will occur during the next available planting period.

VII. REMEDIAL PLANTINGS AND/OR PROJECT MODIFICATIONS

If data from a monitoring report establishes that the performance standards described in Section V are not being met or are projected not to be met, remedial plantings of those affected seagrass species will occur. If there is a recurring problem with survival of plantings or replantings in a particular area, remedial planting will occur in another scar within the Sanctuary subject to the approval of permitting agencies.

Based on past experience in seagrass restoration efforts, it is assumed that 30% of the planted area will require remedial planting in Year 2. All original plantings will be monitored for three (3) years in accordance with Sections V and VI. Remedial plantings will be monitored for three (3) years subsequent to the date of a remedial planting.

VII. PROJECT PERMITTING

The seagrass restoration and monitoring outlined in this plan will be implemented consistent with any applicable state or federal permitting requirements. The format of the restoration and monitoring plan outlined in this document may be amended in order to comply with applicable permitting requirements.

IX. CONTRACTOR(S)

NOAA will utilize the services of one or more qualified contractors to implement this restoration and monitoring plan.

X. NOAA OVERSIGHT OF SEAGRASS RESTORATION PROJECT

NOAA will oversee the implementation and monitoring of the seagrass restoration project in order to ensure its implementation in accordance with the terms of this plan. Costs which NOAA will incur to provide effective oversight are part of the costs of implementing this seagrass restoration and monitoring plan. Activities which NOAA may undertake in order to provide for effective implementation of this plan include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. actions associated with the identification, selection and hiring of any contractor(s) who will implement any part of this plan, including monitoring or remedial actions,

b. oversight of any field work at the project site, including remedial actions,

c. inspection of any completed field work, including remedial actions, to determine whether implementation is in accordance with this plan, including any applicable contract or

permitting requirements,

d. review and evaluation of monitoring reports,

e. identification and direction of any actions needed to bring field work, including remedial actions, into compliance with standards for project performance identified in this plan,

f. actions to address NEPA and permitting processes, and

g. actions associated with final site selection.

XI. REFERENCES

Durako, M.J., M.O. Hall, F. Sargent, S. Peck. 1992. Propeller scars in seagrass beds: an assessment and experimental study of recolonization in Weedon Island State Preserve, Florida. p. 42-53 IN Webb, F.J. (ed.) Proc. 19th Ann Conf. on wetlands restoration and creation. Hillsborough Comm. Coll., Plant City, FL.

Fonseca, M. S. 1994. A Guide to Planting Seagrasses in the Gulf of Mexico. Texas A&M Sea Grant College Program, TAMU-SG-94-601. 26 p.

Fonseca, M.S., W.J. Kenworthy, F.X. Courtney, and M.O. Hall. 1994. Seagrass planting in the southeastern United States: methods for accelerating habitat development. Rest. Eco. 2:198-212.

Fourqurean, J.W., G.V.N. Powell, W.J. Kenworthy and J.C. Zieman. 1995. The effects of long-term manipulation of nutrient supply on competition between the seagrasses Thalassia testudinum and Halodule wrightii in Florida Bay. Oikos 72: 349-358.

Powell, G.V.N., W.J. Kenworthy and J.W. Fourqurean. 1989. Experimental evidence for nutrient limitation of seagrass growth in a tropical estuary with restricted circulation. Bull. Mar. Sci. 44: 324-340.

Sargent, F.J., T.J. Leary, D.W. Crewz, and C.R. Kruer. 1995. Scarring of Florida's seagrasses: assessment and management options. Florida Marine Research Institute, Tech. Rep. TR-1.

Zieman, J.C. 1976. The ecological effects of physical damage from motorboats on turtle grass beds in Southern Florida. Aquat. Bot. 2: 127-139.