Harbor, or the massive ocean dumping by Montrose of its DDT waste until well after the vast amount of DDT had been discharged by the DDT defendants.

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Fourth, because the Settling Local Governmental Entities were and are not-for-profit public entities obligated to provide essential public services through the operation of sewer systems and stormwater channels, they are unlike the generator defendants that discharged the DDT and PCBs at issue as part of for-profit enterprises.

Fifth, the Settling Local Governmental Entities, in particular LACSD, undertook significant actions to halt the discharge of DDT and PCBs from the Montrose DDT Plant Property, the Westinghouse plant and the Potlatch/Simpson plant. Those actions began with LACSD's early efforts to monitor discharges from its outfalls, efforts to identify the source of DDT that was identified in the effluent, efforts to curtail the Montrose DDT discharge as early as 1969, and subsequent efforts to identify and curtail industrial sources of PCBs. LACSD's efforts resulted in large reductions in the amounts of those contaminants in the discharge from the outfalls involved herein, including a massive decline in DDT discharge from the White's Point Outfall after the Montrose DDT Plant Property ceased discharging its process waste to the LACSD sewer. In addition, LACSD has engaged in substantial monitoring on the Palos Verdes shelf and the results of the LACSD monitoring were made available to, and used by, the Trustees to better understand the conditions currently existing on the Palos Verdes shelf.

Sixth, the stormwater channels and outfalls owned and/or operated by Settling Local Governmental Entities, other than

LACSD's White's Point Outfall, and other activities by Settling Local Governmental Entities are believed to have contributed far lower quantities of DDT and PCBs to the area which is the subject of this action (to the extent that they contributed any DDT or PCBs). In addition, those contributions, if any, are understood to be in areas with a less direct relationship to the areas which are the subject of the plaintiffs' claims.

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Seventh, the Montrose-affiliated Defendants, as the owners and operators of the plant at which the DDT was manufactured and from which the DDT was released into the environment, not the Settling Local Governmental Entities, bear the overwhelming responsibility for the DDT contamination of the groundwater and soil underlying the Montrose DDT Plant Property, the stormwater channels (including the Kenwood Drain, the Torrance Lateral, and the Dominguez Channel) the Consolidated Slip, the LACSD and sewers, and neighborhoods. Of the Settling Local Governmental Entities only LACSD, the County of Los Angeles, and the City of Los Angeles, as the owners of the sewers, the stormwater channels, and public rights-of-way that are contaminated with Montrose DDT waste, can conceivably have any "factual responsibility" for the cleanup of DDT and other hazardous substances released or dumped by the Montrose-affiliated Defendants, and their responsibility is minimal when compared with that of the Montrose-affiliated Defendants who are responsible for manufacturing and formulating the DDT and releasing it into the environment including the sewers, stormwater channels and the public rights-of-way.

Eighth, the Settling Local Governmental Entities continue to cooperate with plaintiffs in resolving their potential liability

relatively early in the suit, and without contested litigation. By agreeing to payment of the settlement amount, the Settling Local Governmental Entities have assumed both the risk that such amount might later prove to be an overestimate and the possibility that such total amount might later prove to have been underestimated. Additionally, plaintiffs have considered of particular significance the continued high degree of cooperation of the Settling Local Governmental Entities with plaintiffs as evidenced by their continued willingness to resolve this lengthy action without further litigation or trial, despite rulings of the District Court that, if affirmed by the Court of Appeals, would have serious adverse effect upon plaintiffs' positions herein.

The Parties further recognize that the District Court's rulings of March 22, 1995, as they presently stand, both bar and preclude any recovery of damages under the First Claim for Relief and limit the potential amount of such recovery if recovery is not totally barred and precluded. Further, the fact that plaintiffs now have the burden of proving that any pre-1980 damages for which plaintiffs seek recovery are indivisible from post-1980 damages also may limit plaintiffs' ability to recover all damages alleged under the First Claim for Relief.

In estimating possible damages and costs for settlement purposes, the Parties recognize that control of the contaminated offshore sediments through response activities by EPA on the Palos Verdes shelf more than likely will be based upon an evaluation of similar approaches, involving similar types of costs, and achieving similar results, as would have been obtained through physical restoration by the Trustees of those same offshore areas of the

Montrose NRD Area had that action been taken by the Trustees, except that EPA has greater statutory and administrative flexibility in the manner in which it undertakes response activities. The plaintiffs believe that EPA's flexibility will result in the incurrence of lower expenses for physical activities that are similar to those that the Trustees evaluated. Thus, the Trustees' 1994 estimate for physical restoration activity is not believed to reflect the actual costs to EPA of a response action on the Palos Verdes shelf and the Trustees' estimates may in fact exceed the actual costs of the EPA response action.

Based on the above-recited considerations, and without limiting the Governments' position at trial, the Governments' current estimate of total damages and costs for settlement purposes is between \$225 million and \$250 million. For the purposes of settlement, the payment of \$45.7 million by the Settling Local Governmental Entities under this Amended Decree is reasonable. It reflects a proportion of about one-fifth to be paid by the Settling Local Governmental Entities, which is more than reasonable given their limited role, as set forth above, and their cooperation in settlement.

The United States and the State also have agreed on the application of the settlement funds between EPA/DTSC response costs relating to the Montrose NPL Site (as defined herein to include the effluent-affected sediments on the Palos Verdes shelf) and the Trustees' damage assessment costs and natural resource damages relating to the Montrose NRD Area. The United States and the State have agreed that the Settling Local Governmental Entities should pay a total of \$23,700,000 to the Trustees for natural resource

damages and costs which amounts to approximately one-fifth of the Trustees' total damages and costs as estimated for settlement purposes. Similarly, the United States and the State have agreed that the Settling Local Governmental Entities should pay a total of \$22,000,000 to EPA and DTSC for response costs which also amounts to approximately one-fifth of EPA's and DTSC's total response costs as estimated for settlement purposes.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In determining the settlement amount paid for EPA/DTSC response costs and for the Trustees' damage assessment costs and natural resource damages, the United States and the State have considered the current estimates of potential costs and damages and the proportional relationship between the amount to be paid in settlement and potential costs and damages, and the court decisions In addition, the United States and the State have noted above. considered the total amount of available settlement funds, the expenses incurred by the Trustees in connection with the characterization of the effluent-affected DDT and PCB contaminated sediment deposit on the Palos Verdes shelf and the assessment of the contaminated sediments on the environment and the usefulness of much of their work to EPA; EPA's current estimate of the expenses associated with initiating response activity on the Palos Verdes shelf; the Trustees' current estimates of the funds required to initiate scoping studies with respect to the planning of biological restoration programs designed to aid in the recovery of injured trust resources; and the availability of funds from the settlement with Potlatch Corporation and Simpson Paper Company.

All claims against the Settling Local Governmental Entities, including claims for costs, damages, contribution, and other

claims, are addressed and covered by this Amended Decree. This Amended Decree resolves the Settling Local Governmental Entities' liability to the United States, on behalf of the Federal Trustees, and the State, on behalf of the State Trustees, for natural resource damages alleged in the Complaint with respect to the Montrose NRD Area, and liability to the United States and the State for response costs incurred and to be incurred in connection with the Montrose NPL Site, as defined herein, and provides contribution protection to the Settling Local Governmental Entities for all matters addressed herein. Except where otherwise specifically stated, this Amended Decree is intended to cover all past and future response cost claims which the United States and the State (through its authorized agencies) may have with respect to the Montrose NPL Site against the Settling Local Governmental Entities.

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This settlement is made in good faith after arms-length negotiations conducted under the supervision of Special Master Harry V. Peetris pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 1. Entry of this Amended Decree is the most appropriate means to resolve the matters covered herein and is fair, reasonable and in the public interest.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. For purposes of entry and enforcement of this Amended Decree only, the Parties to this Amended Decree agree that the Court has personal jurisdiction over the Parties and has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and the Parties to this Amended Decree pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1345, and 1367, and Sections 106, 107, and 113(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, and 9613(b), and the principles of supplemental

jurisdiction. Solely for the purposes of this Amended Decree, the Parties waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District and to service of process. Nothing herein shall constitute: an admission or a finding that this Court has jurisdiction over the cross-claims or third party complaints against the Settling Local Governmental Entities or over any counterclaims against plaintiffs; an admission or finding that any counterclaim, cross-claim or third party complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted; or a waiver of any defenses to any such counterclaim, cross-claim or third party complaint.

SETTLING LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES

2. The Settling Local Governmental Entities that are Parties to this Amended Decree are listed in Attachment A to this Amended Decree and for purposes of implementing Paragraphs 8 through 12 herein are further delineated in Attachment B to this Amended Decree as the Category I entities (i.e., LACSD and the various other county sanitation districts of Los Angeles County) and the Category II entities (i.e., the other Settling Local Governmental Entities).

APPLICABILITY OF AMENDED DECREE

3. The provisions of this Amended Decree, including the covenants not to sue and contribution protection, shall be binding. on, apply to, and inure to the benefit of the United States and the State, and to the Settling Local Governmental Entities and their agencies and departments, including those that may be sued independently, both proprietary and non-proprietary, and including their past, present and future officials, directors, employees,

predecessors, successors and assigns. No change in the ownership or organizational form or status of any Settling Local Governmental Entity shall affect its rights or obligations under this Amended Decree.

EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT/ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

- 4. This Amended Decree was negotiated and executed by the Parties hereto in good faith at arms-length to avoid the continuation of expensive and protracted litigation and is a fair and equitable settlement of claims which were vigorously contested. The execution of this Amended Decree is not, and shall not constitute or be construed as, an admission of liability by any of the Parties to this Amended Decree, nor is it an admission or denial of any of the factual allegations set out in the Complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third party complaints, or an admission of violation of any law, rule, regulation, or policy by any of the Parties to this Amended Decree. Nothing in this Amended Decree is intended to affect the authority or jurisdiction of EPA to take action beyond the boundaries of the Montrose NPL Site.
- 5. Upon approval and entry of this Amended Decree by the Court, this Amended Decree shall constitute a final judgment between and among the United States and the State, and the Settling Local Governmental Entities.

DEFINITIONS

- 6. This Amended Decree incorporates the definitions set forth in Section 101 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601. In addition, whenever the following terms are used in this Amended Decree, they shall have the following meanings:
 - A. "Damage Assessment Costs" shall mean all costs associated

with the planning, design, implementation and oversight of the Trustees damage assessment process, which addresses the fact, extent and quantification of the injury to, destruction of or loss of natural resources and the services provided by these resources resulting from releases of hazardous substances alleged in the First Claim for Relief in the Complaint, and with the planning of restoration or replacement of such natural resources and the services provided by those resources, or the planning of the acquisition of equivalent resources or services, and any other costs necessary to carry out the Trustees' responsibilities with respect to those natural resources, including all related enforcement costs.

- B. "Date of Execution of the 1993 Decree" shall mean November 2, 1992, which is the date by which the 1993 Decree was signed by all of the following: the authorized representatives of each of the Settling Local Governmental Entities, of the State, and of the EPA, and by the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the United States Department of Justice.
- C. "Date of Execution of this Amended Decree" shall mean the date by which this Amended Decree has been signed by all of the following: the authorized representatives of each of the Settling Local Governmental Entities, of the State, and of the EPA, and by the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the United States Department of Justice.
- D. "Date of Initial Approval of this Amended Decree" shall mean the date on which this Amended Decree has been initially approved and signed by the United States District Court.

E. "Date of Final Approval of this Amended Decree" shall mean the later of (1) the date on which the District Court has approved and entered this Amended Decree as a judgment and all applicable appeal periods have expired without an appeal being filed, or (2) if an appeal is taken, the date on which the District Court's judgment is affirmed and there is no further right to appellate review.

- F. "Joint Outfall System" shall mean that wastewater collection, treatment and disposal facility of certain county sanitation districts of Los Angeles County discharging effluent through the White's Point Outfall and consisting of the Joint Water Pollution Control Plant and the associated sewers, pumping plants, inland water reclamation plants, treatment plants, treatment plant outfall sewers and incidental sanitation works operated pursuant to the 1995 Amended Joint Outfall Agreement by LACSD and as defined therein, including subsequent modifications to that system, as contemplated by that agreement.
- G. "Montrose-affiliated Defendants" shall mean, collectively, the Montrose Chemical Corporation of California ("Montrose"), Chris-Craft Industries, Inc. ("Chris-Craft"), Rhone-Poulenc Basic Chemicals Co. ("Rhone-Poulenc") now a division of Rhone-Poulenc, Inc., Atkemix Thirty-Seven, Inc. ("Atkemix"), Stauffer Management Company, and ZENECA Holdings Inc. formerly known as ICI American Holdings, Inc. ("ICI").
- H. "Montrose DDT Plant Property" shall mean for purposes of this Amended Decree the thirteen (13) acre parcel at 20201 South Normandie Ave., Los Angeles, California 90044, which is the site of Montrose Chemical Corporation of California's former DDT production

and formulation plant. The Montrose DDT Plant Property is part of the Montrose NPL Site.

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"Montrose NPL Site" for purposes of this Amended Decree, includes, but is not limited to, the Montrose DDT Plant Property; the real property located at 1401 West Del Amo Boulevard, Los Angeles, California and owned by Jones Chemicals, Inc.; those portions of the Normandie Avenue Ditch adjacent to and south of 20201 South Normandie Avenue; the Kenwood Drain; the Torrance Lateral; the Dominguez Channel (from Laguna Dominguez to the Consolidated Slip); the portion of the Los Angeles Harbor known as the Consolidated Slip from the mouth of the Dominguez Channel south to, but not including or proceeding beyond, Pier 200B and Pier 200Y; the LACSD's J.O. "D" sewer from manholes D33 to D5 (approximately Francisco Street to 234th Street); the District 5 Interceptor sewer from manholes A475 to A442 (approximately Francisco Street to Sepulveda Boulevard); the real property on which the sewer rights-of-way are located for those portions of the District 5 Interceptor and J.O. "D" sewer identified above; the real property burdened by the adjacent railroad right-of-way for those portions of the District 5 Interceptor and J.O. "D" sewer identified above; the "Montrose CERCLA Removal Site" as defined in EPA Region IX's Unilateral Administrative Order 95-18, Findings of Fact at § 3, ¶ 2, dated June 7, 1995; those areas of the Palos Verdes shelf where effluent-affected DDT- and/or PCB-contaminated sediments have come to be located, respectively; and any other areas that EPA determines to be part of the EPA Montrose NPL Site investigation; except that the Montrose NPL Site shall not include, for purposes of this Amended Decree, the following locations:

19 20

21

22

23

18

24

27

26

- (1) any other location or area designated as a hazardous substance release site pursuant to the California Hazardous Substance Account Act, California Health and Safety Code §§ 25300 et seg., or which is the subject of a cleanup or abatement order pursuant to the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, California Water Code §§ 13000, et seq., other than the area defined herein as the Montrose NPL Site, at which one or more hazardous substances released from the Montrose DDT Plant Property or from the plant(s) once operated there have come to be located; (2) any other location or area listed on, proposed for or added by EPA to, the National Priorities List (currently found at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, Appendix B), other than the area defined herein as the Montrose NPL Site, at which one or more hazardous substances released from the Montrose DDT Plant Property or from the plant(s) once operated there have come to be located; and
- (3) the proposed Del Amo NPL Site as it may be defined by EPA.
- J. "Montrose NRD Area" shall mean for purposes of this Amended Decree the area defined in the 1993 Decree as the Montrose NRD Site and shall mean the area in and around the Channel Islands, the Palos Verdes shelf, the San Pedro Channel including Santa Catalina Island, and the Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbors as described in the Complaint and as described in the draft Damage Assessment Plan and draft Injury Determination Plan published by the Trustees on February 6, 1990 and March 8, 1991, respectively.
 - K "Parties" shall mean each of the signatories to this

Amended Decree.

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- L. "Natural Resource Damages" shall mean damages, including loss of use, restoration costs, resource replacement costs or equivalent resource values, and Damage Assessment Costs, and response costs incurred by the Trustees, with respect to injury to, destruction of, or loss of any and all natural resources in and around the Montrose NPL Site and the Montrose NRD Area.
- M. "Response Costs" shall mean for purposes of this Amended Decree all costs of response as provided in Section 107(a)(1-4)(A) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a)(1-4)(A), and as defined in Section 101(25) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(25), that the United States or the State have incurred or will incur with respect to the Montrose NPL Site.

NATURAL RESOURCE CLAIM PAYMENTS

7. The Settling Local Governmental Entities shall pay to the Trustees a total sum of \$23,700,000 plus all interest accrued on all funds deposited in the escrow account (the "Escrow") established in accordance with Paragraph 8.A of the 1993 Decree (the "Settlement Amount") for the promises and undertakings of the Trustees herein, with the Settling Local Governmental Entities jointly and severally responsible for this obligation except as hereinafter provided in Paragraphs 8 through 10. The Settlement Amount shall be paid by the disbursement of funds paid into the |. Escrow established in accordance with Paragraph 8.A of the 1993 Decree, and maintained under Paragraph 8.A of this Amended Decree. The provisions of this Amended Decree are not intended to and shall not be interpreted to restrict the ultimate authority and discretion of the Trustees to determine the use of settlement funds

received for Natural Resource Damages in accordance with the provisions of CERCLA and regulations issued thereunder. Nor are the provisions of this Amended Decree intended to restrict the right of the Settling Local Governmental Entities to allocate responsibility for payment of the Settlement Amount by agreement among themselves, provided that no such allocation is binding on the Trustees.

- 8. A. The Category I entities shall continue to maintain the Escrow established for the deposit of payments by the Category I and Category II entities pursuant to the 1993 Decree, with said Escrow bearing interest on commercially reasonable terms, in a federally-chartered bank with an office in the State of California. The Category I entities shall bear all costs of maintaining the Escrow. The Category I entities shall notify the Trustees in writing of any payments to or disbursements from the Escrow and provide on request all documentation concerning the account, including any agreements concerning the determination of interest rates.
- B. Subject only to the provisions of Paragraph 8.C, the obligations of the Category I entities and of the Category II entities establishing and maintaining the Escrow as specified in the 1993 Decree are contractual obligations to the Trustees under the 1993 Decree, and shall remain contractual obligations enforceable under the terms and conditions of this Amended Decree effective as of the Date of Execution of this Amended Decree, and those obligations shall be enforceable as a matter of contract law until such time as this Amended Decree is finally entered by the Court. The consideration for these contractual undertakings by the

Category I entities and by the Category II entities includes the immediate cessation of litigation activities by the Trustees against those entities until a determination is made by the District Court as to the entry of this Amended Decree.

- C. All settlement funds paid into the Escrow shall remain in the Escrow and may not be withdrawn except to make the payment required by Paragraph 9.A of this Amended Decree or as specified in Paragraph 14.F of this Amended Decree or unless a final judicial determination is made that entry of this Amended Decree will not be approved, and one of the Parties to this Amended Decree exercises its option pursuant to Paragraph 29 to void the agreement. If that latter event occurs, all sums paid into the Escrow and all accrued interest shall be returned to the Category I entities and to the appropriate Category II entities.
- 9. Within ten (10) working days after the Date of Final Approval of this Amended Decree, the amount of \$23,700,000, together with all interest that has accrued on all settlement funds in the Escrow since the Date of Execution of the 1993 Decree, and except as otherwise provided in Paragraph 14.B, shall be paid to the Trustees, payment to be made as follows:
- A. The Category I entities, for themselves and the Category II entities, shall cause that amount to be paid from the Escrow into the Registry of the Court, United States District Court for the Central District of California, to be administered by the Registry of the Court for the Trustees. This payment shall be made in the manner specified in Paragraph 9.B below, and the amount so paid and any interest thereon shall be administered and disbursed as provided in Paragraphs 9.C and 9.D below.