8

## 9 10

11

14

15

13

16

18

19 20

21

22 |

24

2526

27

28

- 10. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Decree, the covenants not to sue in Paragraph 8 shall apply only to matters addressed in Paragraph 8 and specifically shall not apply to the following claims:
- A. claims based on a failure by the DDT Defendants to satisfy the requirements of this Decree;
  - B. claims for criminal liability; and
- C. claims arising from the past, present or future disposal, release or threat of release of hazardous substances that do not involve the Offshore Areas.

#### PAYMENTS WITH RESPECT TO RESPONSE ACTIVITIES

- 11. A. Within ten (10) business days after the Date of Final Approval of this Decree, or on May 11, 2001, whichever date is later, the DDT Defendants shall pay to EPA the sum of \$33 million from the Escrow account together with a proportional share of the accrued interest. The DDT Defendants shall make this payment to "the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Montrose Chemical National Priorities List Superfund Site-Palos Verdes Shelf Operable Unit Special Account." The payment to EPA shall be made by Electronic Funds Transfer ("EFT" or "wire transfer") in accordance with instructions provided by the United States to the DDT Defendants at the time of Lodging of the Decree. Any EFT received after 11:00 A.M. (Eastern Time) will be credited on the next business day. The DDT Defendants shall send notice of the EFT to Plaintiffs as provided in Paragraph 29 of this Decree. All payments to the United States under this Paragraph shall reference the Montrose Chemical Corporation of California Superfund Site, Site # 9T26, DOJ Case # 90-11-3-511, and U.S.A.F.I. file number 9003085. The amounts paid to EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree and deposited into the above-referenced EPA special account shall be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Palos Verdes shelf, or transferred by EPA to the Hazardous Substance Superfund.
- B. Within ten (10) business days after the Date of Final Approval of this Decree, or on May 11, 2001, whichever date is later, the DDT Defendants shall make a

payment of \$10 million from the Escrow (together with a proportional share of the accrued interest) into a Court Registry Account ("the Court Registry Account"). The payment shall be made by certified or bank check payable to "Clerk, United States District Court." The check shall include on its face a statement that it is a payment in Civil Action NO. CV 90-3122-R (C.D. Cal.) and shall be sent to:

Office of the Clerk United States District Court for the Central District of California 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90012-4793.

The DDT Defendants shall send notice of this payment to Plaintiffs as provided in Paragraph 29 of this Decree. The Registry of Court shall administer the amount transferred by the DDT Defendants in an interest bearing account as provided in the Order Directing the Deposit of Settlement Amounts Into the Registry of Court ("Deposit Order") issued by this Court pursuant to Rule 67 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 28 U.S.C. Section 2041, and Local Rule 22 of the Local Rules for the Central District of California. The Deposit Order shall be lodged concurrently with the lodging of this Decree and shall be entered by the Court at the time of entry of this Decree. All funds and all interest accrued thereon in the Court Registry Account shall be held in the name of the "Clerk, United States District Court" for the benefit of the United States and State. Upon joint application by the United States and the State and upon order of this Court, monies in the Court Registry Account shall be disbursed consistent with Paragraph 11.C below.

C. In the event EPA selects an in-situ remedial action for the Palos Verdes Shelf (e.g. capping, dredging or biological treatment) and if the Record of Decision includes an operation and maintenance requirement, then all funds retained in the Court Registry Account (established under Paragraph 11.B above), including interest, shall be paid from the Court Registry Account to DTSC for the State's use in implementing operation and maintenance actions with respect to such in-situ remedial action. Or in the event EPA makes a response action selection determination to not select any in-situ response action

(either in a Record of Decision which would not require operation and maintenance or in a Removal Action Memorandum), then all funds retained in the Court Registry Account (established under Paragraph 11.B above), including interest, shall be paid from the Court Registry Account to the Trustees. Otherwise, the funds shall be paid from the Court Registry Account (established under Paragraph 11.B above), including interest, to the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Montrose Chemical National Priorities List Superfund Site-Palos Verdes Shelf Operable Unit Special Account to be used by EPA as specified in Paragraph 11.A. above.

#### **COVENANT NOT TO SUE FOR**

# RESPONSE ACTIVITIES AND COSTS RELATING TO THE OFFSHORE AREAS AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

Except as specifically provided in Paragraphs 13 and 14 of this Decree, the 12. United States, the State, and agencies and instrumentalities thereof, each hereby covenants not to sue or to take any other civil or administrative action against the Released Parties to compel response activities relating to the Offshore Areas, to recover DOJ Costs, or to recover Response Costs, including but not limited to, costs for studies and evaluations of the area covered by response activities under CERCLA Sections 106 and 107, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606 and 9607, or pursuant to the California Hazardous Substance Account Act, California Health and Safety Code §§ 25300, et seq., or any other state statute or state common law. In addition, the United States, the State, and agencies and instrumentalities thereof, each hereby covenants not to sue or take administrative action against the Released Parties to compel response activities with respect to the Offshore Areas, recover DOJ Costs, or recover Response Costs under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") Sections 3008(h), 3013, or 7003, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6928(h), 6934, or 6973, or California Health and Safety Code § 25187. The State, and agencies and instrumentalities thereof, each hereby further covenants not to sue or take administrative action against the Released Parties to compel response activities with

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 42 U.S.C. § 6972.

  13. The covenants set forth in Paragraph 12 pertain only to matters expressly specified therein, and extend only to the Released Parties. Any claim or defense which
- The United States and the State reserve, and this Decree is without prejudice to, all other rights and claims against Released Parties with respect to all other matters, including but

the United States or the State has against any other person or entity is expressly reserved.

- A. any and all claims against the DDT Defendants based upon or resulting from a failure to meet a requirement of this Decree;
  - B. claims for criminal liability;

not limited to, the following:

- C. claims for violations of any other federal or state law;
- D. claims arising from the presence of a hazardous substance at any location outside of the Offshore Areas (as defined herein), including but not limited to the Onshore Areas (as defined herein), the Stauffer Dominguez Plant Property and the proposed Del Amo NPL Site, as it may be defined by EPA.
- 14. A. In addition to the reservations set out in Paragraph 13, the United States and the State reserve, and this Decree is without prejudice to, the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action seeking to compel the Released Parties to take a response action or reimburse the United States or the State for additional Response Costs if, subsequent to the Date of Lodging of this Decree, the United States or the State:
- 1. receives, in whole or in part, information unknown to EPA, DTSC or the Regional Board as of the Date of Lodging of this Decree, indicating that after the Date of Lodging of this Decree one or more of the Released Parties released one or more hazardous substances that come to be located at the Offshore Areas, and that EPA, DTSC or the Regional Board determines may be a threat to human health or the environment, provided that the foregoing shall not be deemed to apply to any re-exposure or resuspension on the Offshore Areas of the DDT- or PCB-contaminated sediments

7 8

9

10 11

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

currently located there; including but not limited to, such re-exposure or resuspension of sediments resulting from:

- LACSD's sampling activities (by coring, trawling or otherwise); (a)
- LACSD's institution of full secondary treatment of wastewater at the (b) JWPCP and the discharge of such wastewater through the White's Point Outfall:
- any response activity or similar activity performed by or at the direction of (c) any Federal or State governmental body or any other person;
- (d) any act of God; or
- an earthquake. (e)
- discovers a condition at the Offshore Areas, that EPA, DTSC or the 2. Regional Board determines may be a threat to human health or welfare or the environment, and that was unknown to EPA, DTSC or the Regional Board prior to the Date of Lodging of this Decree.
- B. The DDT Defendants reserve their right to contest any claims allowed by Paragraphs 14.A.1 or 14.A.2 of this Decree, and the DDT Defendants do not by consenting to this Decree waive any defenses to such claims, except that the DDT Defendants covenant not to assert, and may not maintain, any defense based upon principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim splitting or other defense based upon the contention that the claims that are allowed by Paragraphs 14.A.1. or 14.A.2 of this Decree were or should have been brought in the instant case. In the event that the United States or the State institutes proceedings under Paragraphs 14.A.1 or 14.A.2 of this Decree, the DDT Defendants reserve the right to assert potential crossclaims, counterclaims or third party claims against the United States, the State, or any employee, officer, agency or instrumentality thereof, relating to such claims asserted by the United States or the State, and the agencies or instrumentalities thereof. Nothing in this Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700 (d).

15. A. Subject to Paragraph 9.C, the Released Parties hereby covenant not to sue or to assert any civil or administrative claim or cause of action against the United States, or any employee, officer, agency or instrumentality thereof, and/or against the State, or any employee, officer, agency or instrumentality thereof (but not including counties, cities, local governmental entities or sanitation districts), for any matters relating to Natural Resource Damages including, but not limited to, the counterclaims asserted in the DDT Defendants' answer to any of the complaints in this action, claims arising pursuant to any other federal law, state law or common law, including, but not limited to, any direct or indirect claim pursuant to Section 112 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9612, against the Hazardous Substance Superfund, any claim pursuant to Section 113(f) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f), for contribution, any claim pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b) and 2671, et seq., or any claim arising from any express or implied contract pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1346(a)(2) or 28 U.S.C. § 1491(a)(1).

B. Subject to Paragraph 14.B, the Released Parties hereby covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any civil or administrative claim or cause of action against the United States, or any employee, officer, agency or instrumentality thereof, and/or the State, or any employee, officer, agency or instrumentality thereof (but not including counties, cities, local governmental entities or sanitation districts) with respect to the Offshore Areas or this Decree, including but not limited to (1) any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund established pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 9507, under CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 111, 112 or 113, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(b)(2), 9611, 9612 or 9613, any claim pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b) and 2671 et seq., or any claim arising from any express or implied contract pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1346(a)(2) or 28 U.S.C. § 1491(a)(1), or any claim pursuant to the California Hazardous Substance Account Act, California Health and Safety Code §§ 25300, et seq., or under any other provision of law; (2) any claim with respect to the Offshore Areas under CERCLA Sections 107 or 113, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 or

9613, against the United States, including any department, agency or instrumentality of the United States and/or the State, or any employee, officer, agency or instrumentality thereof (but not including counties, cities, local governmental entities or sanitation districts); or (3) any claims arising out of response activities at the Offshore Areas.

Nothing in this Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).

- C. DDT Defendants covenant to and shall, within ten (10) days after the Date of Final Approval of this Decree, dismiss and withdraw their appeal to the Ninth Circuit of this Court's entry of the Amended Consent Decree with the Settling Local Governmental Entities, the Amended Consent Decree with Potlatch/Simpson and the Consent Decree with CBS (collectively, Case No. 99-56895).
- D. The DDT Defendants covenant to and hereby do dismiss with prejudice, effective upon the Date of Final Approval of this Decree, any and all counterclaims that have been asserted at any time in this action. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1), all parties stipulate to the dismissal with prejudice of all counterclaims that have been asserted at any time in this action. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and (c), the Court hereby orders that on the Date of Final Approval of this Decree, all counterclaims that have been asserted at any time in this action are dismissed with prejudice. No party hereto shall appeal the Court's disposition of any of the counterclaims in this action. Nothing herein shall preclude the Released Parties from asserting claims permitted by Paragraph 9.C. and 14.B.
- E. The Released Parties covenant not to raise, in any future administrative or judicial proceeding or otherwise, and hereby waive, any argument that any portion of the settlement amounts paid pursuant to this Decree should be used for or credited towards any response actions relating to the Onshore Areas. Defendants shall not object to the manner in which Plaintiffs use, distribute, or credit the settlement amounts. No portion of the settlement amounts paid pursuant to this Decree shall be credited towards any future response costs relating to the Onshore Areas.

- F. The Released Parties hereby covenant not to sue or to assert any civil or administrative claim or cause of action against any other signatory to a settlement with the United States and State of California that has previously been approved by the United States District Court in this action, or any employee, officer, agency or instrumentality of such a signatory, for any matter relating to Natural Resource Damages, Response Costs, or DOJ Costs, including but not limited to any claim under Sections 107 or 113(f) of CERCLA (42 U.S.C. Section 9607 and Section 9613(f)), to the same extent the Released Parties are protected against claims in Paragraph 27. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the scope of this covenant not to sue is limited to suits or civil or administrative actions for Natural Resource Damages, Response Costs, or DOJ Costs encompassed by the covenants contained in Paragraphs 8 and 12 above but which for whatever reason are not encompassed by the covenants not to sue given by the United States and the State of California to the signatories to the prior settlements.
- G. The covenants set forth in Paragraph 15.A-15.E pertain only to matters expressly specified therein, and extend only to the United States and State. The Released Parties reserve, and this Decree is without prejudice to, all other rights, claims and defenses against the United States or State, including without limitation, in response to claims brought pursuant to Paragraphs 10 and 13.

#### **MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS**

of the DDT Defendants for certain onshore areas to which hazardous substances from the Montrose Plant are alleged to have been released. On October 27, 2000, the Court took under submission the issue of the alleged liability of Chris-Craft as an operator of the Montrose Plant. The Court may render judgment on these two issues regardless of whether this Consent Decree is approved. No Party may make any motion to the Court prior to the Court's rendering judgment on the foregoing two issues except for motions to enter and enforce this Decree. The DDT Defendants shall not seek from the Court in this action any review or relief with respect to EPA's or DTSC's conduct with regard to the

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Onshore Areas, including without limitation, EPA's or DTSC's investigations, determinations, decisions, or response actions. The foregoing sentence, however, shall not apply should the United States or the State seek, after the Date of Lodging of this Decree, additional relief not sought in this action before the Date of Lodging of this Decree, nor shall it prevent the DDT Defendants from pursuing review or relief in a separate action.

By lodging this Decree, the United States, State of California, and the DDT 17. Defendants jointly request that the Court vacate, and by entry of this Decree as an order of the Court, the Court orders the vacatur of, the following orders: the portion of Civil Minute Order dated June 26, 2000 (entered July 5, 2000) relating to Motion # 2; Order Re: Sanctions Against State of California, dated August 1, 2000; June 5, 2000 Order Denying Plaintiffs Motion to Vacate Order to EPA, and September 19, 2000 Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Sanctions for Failure to Comply With Court Orders (entered September 20, 2000). Such vacatur shall become effective on the Date of Final Approval of this Decree. Such Orders shall have no precedential effect in any state or federal administrative or judicial proceeding. The DDT Defendants hereby waive and release any claim for fees and/or costs to which they could claim entitlement under any of the foregoing orders and agree that upon Final Approval of this Decree, these orders are a nullity for all purposes.

#### PENALTIES FOR LATE PAYMENTS

A. If the payments required of the DDT Defendants by Paragraphs 6, 7 and 18. 11 of this Decree are not made by the dates specified in those Paragraphs, the DDT Defendants shall be liable, in addition to the payments specified in Paragraphs 6, 7, and 11, for the following amounts for each day of delay in payment:

25	<u>Days of Delay</u>	Payment Per Day of Delay
26	1-14	\$ 5000/day
27	15-60	\$ 7500/day
28	Beyond 60 Days	\$ 10,000/day

Payments due under this Paragraph shall be paid by certified or bank check or warrant and disbursed, 50% to the United States and 50% to the State (the latter to be held in trust for the state signatories hereto), to the addressees identified in Paragraph 27. Stipulated penalties due under this Paragraph 18 are due within thirty (30) days following receipt by the DDT Defendants of a written demand by the United States or the State for payment of such stipulated penalties, and shall be made in accordance with instructions provided by the United States or the State to the DDT Defendants subsequent to the Date of Lodging of this Decree, with notice to the United States or the State.

- B. In addition to the remedy provided for in the preceding subparagraph, if the payments required of the DDT Defendants by Paragraphs 6, 7, and 11 of this Decree are not made by the dates specified in those Paragraphs, the DDT Defendants shall be liable, in addition to the payments specified in those Paragraphs, for interest on those amounts at the same rate paid by the Escrow established pursuant to Paragraph 6.
- C. In addition to the remedy provided for in the preceding subparagraphs, if the payments required of the DDT Defendants by Paragraphs 6, 7, and 11, of this Decree or by this Paragraph, are not made, the DDT Defendants shall be liable, in addition to the payments specified in those Paragraphs, for any costs and attorneys fees incurred by Plaintiffs in enforcing the terms of this Decree.
- 19. Payments due under Paragraph 18 shall be in addition to any other remedies or sanctions that may be available to the United States and the State on account of the DDT Defendants' failure to comply with the terms of this Decree.

#### RETENTION OF RECORDS

20. A. Until five years after the entry of this Decree, each DDT Defendant shall preserve and retain all records and documents now in their possession or control or which come into their possession or control, that relate to the identification, nature and quantity of hazardous substances at the Montrose DDT Plant, the nature and extent of alleged releases of hazardous substances from the Montrose DDT Plant, or the alleged release of any hazardous substance to or from the Offshore Areas. This obligation does

not apply to records or documents previously exchanged between the DDT Defendants and the United States or the State prior to the Date of Lodging of this Decree. At the conclusion of this document retention period, the DDT Defendants shall notify the United States and the State at least ninety (90) days prior to the destruction of any such records or documents, and upon request by the United States and the State, the DDT Defendants shall produce or make available for their inspection any non-privileged records or documents at a mutually convenient time and place agreed upon by the Parties.

- B. In addition to the opportunity to obtain documents at the conclusion of the retention period set forth in Paragraph 20.A, the United States and the State may request, at any time during such retention period, that the DDT Defendants make available for their inspection, or at the DDT Defendants' option produce, any documents retained pursuant to Paragraphs 20.A. DDT Defendants shall produce or make available for inspection non-privileged documents at a mutually convenient time and place after the request is made.
- C. With respect to the obligation to retain records and to produce or make them available for inspection as set forth in Paragraph 20.A and B, the DDT Defendants may assert that certain documents, records and other information are privileged under the attorney client privilege, or any other privilege recognized under state or federal law. If Plaintiffs request any privileged documents either (1) at the time the DDT Defendants provide notice of intent to destroy documents at the conclusion of the retention periods from Paragraph 20.A, or (2) pursuant to Plaintiffs' request under Paragraph 20.B the DDT Defendants shall provide the United States and the State with the following information relating to any documents that are requested and withheld as privileged: (1) title of document or record; (2) date of document or record; (3) name and position of the author of the document or record; (4) description of the subject of the document or record; and (5) the specific basis for the privilege asserted. The privilege log relating to the subject documents must be produced to the Plaintiffs at a mutually convenient time and place after Plaintiffs request the documents that are withheld. DDT Defendants shall

retain the documents that are withheld as privileged, until any privilege disputes relating
to those documents are resolved. If Plaintiffs do not request any particular privileged
documents, the DDT Defendants need not produce a privilege log for such non-requested
documents.

E. This Paragraph in no way effects or limits any obligation of the DDT

E. This Paragraph in no way effects or limits any obligation of the DDT Defendants to retain records under any other administrative or judicial order or agreement, whether such order or agreement is currently extant or created in the future. Further, this Paragraph in no way effects or limits any obligation of the DDT Defendants to retain records under any other judicial, statutory, or common law doctrine that would otherwise require retention of records.

#### **VOIDABILITY**

21. In the event that a final judicial determination is made by the District Court or, upon appellate review, by a higher court, that the entry of this Decree in its entirety shall not be approved, this Decree and the settlement embodied herein is voidable at the discretion of any party and the terms hereof may not be used as evidence in any litigation or other proceeding.

#### **COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS**

22. This Decree shall not be construed in any way to affect any past, current or future obligation of the DDT Defendants or any other person or entity to comply with any federal, state or local law.

#### **RETENTION OF JURISDICTION**

23. After the Court renders judgment on the issues described in Paragraph 16, the Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purpose of entering such further order, direction or relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction, implementation or enforcement of this Decree or other consent decrees.

retain the documents that are withheld as privileged, until any privilege disputes relating to those documents are resolved. If Plaintiffs do not request any particular privileged documents, the DDT Defendants need not produce a privilege log for such non-requested documents.

E. This Paragraph in no way effects or limits any obligation of the DDT Defendants to retain records under any other administrative or judicial order or agreement, whether such order or agreement is currently extant or created in the future. Further, this Paragraph in no way effects or limits any obligation of the DDT Defendants to retain records under any other judicial, statutory, or common law doctrine that would otherwise require retention of records.

#### **VOIDABILITY**

21. In the event that a final judicial determination is made by the District Court or, upon appellate review, by a higher court, that the entry of this Decree in its entirety shall not be approved, this Decree and the settlement embodied herein is voidable at the discretion of any party and the terms hereof may not be used as evidence in any litigation or other proceeding.

#### **COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS**

22. This Decree shall not be construed in any way to affect any past, current or future obligation of the DDT Defendants or any other person or entity to comply with any federal, state or local law.

#### RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

23. After the Court renders judgment on the issues described in Paragraph 16, the Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purpose of entering such further order, direction or relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction, implementation or enforcement of this Decree or other consent decrees.

## 

## 

## 

## 

#### **AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE**

24. Each of the undersigned representatives of the Released Parties certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Decree and to legally execute and bind that party to this Decree.

#### **MODIFICATION**

25. The terms of this Decree may be modified only by a subsequent written agreement signed by all of the Parties signatory hereto, and approved by the Court as a modification to this Decree.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

26. The Parties acknowledge that this Decree will be subject to a public comment period of not less than 30 days in accordance with 28 C.F.R. § 50.7. The Parties further acknowledge that this Decree may be the subject of a public meeting as specified in Section 7003 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6973. The United States and the State reserve the right to withdraw their consent to this Decree if comments received disclose facts or considerations which show that this Decree is inappropriate, improper or inadequate.

DDT Defendants consent to the entry of this Decree by the Court without further notice.

#### PROTECTION AGAINST CLAIMS

27. The United States and the State acknowledge and agree that the payments to be made by the DDT Defendants pursuant to this Decree represent a good faith settlement and compromise of disputed claims and that the settlement represents a fair, reasonable and equitable discharge for the matters addressed in this Decree. With regard to any costs, damages, actions or other claims against the Released Parties for matters addressed in this Decree, the Released Parties are entitled to, as of the Date of Entry of this Decree, such protection as is provided in Section 113(f) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f), and all other provisions of federal or state statutes or of common law which limit or extinguish their liability to persons not party to this Decree. The "matters addressed" in this Decree are all claims against the Released Parties including claims for costs, damages, contribution and other claims for: (1) Natural Resource Damages, (2) Response Costs, and

l	(3) DOJ Costs. No contribution protection is provided pursuant to this Decree for any
2	claim for response costs under CERCLA incurred in connection with the presence, release
3	or threatened release of a hazardous substance outside the Offshore Areas, as defined
4	herein. Any rights the Released Parties may have to obtain contribution or otherwise
5	recover costs or damages from persons not party to this Decree are preserved, except as
6	provided in Paragraph 15.F.
7	28. The Trustees have determined that the payment to be made pursuant to
8	Paragraphs 6 and 7 of this Decree is an appropriate action necessary to protect and restore
9	the natural resources damaged by the release of DDT, PCBs and other hazardous
10	substances alleged in the First Claim for Relief of the complaints and that the payment
11	satisfies the requirements of Section 122(j)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(j)(2).
12	<u>NOTICE</u>
13	29. Any notice required hereunder shall be in writing and shall be delivered by
14	hand, facsimile or overnight mail as follows:
15	Notice to the United States and the State:
16	A deal TV is 1 Garages
17	As to the United States: Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section
18	Environment and Natural Resources Division DOI Case #90-11-3-511
19	U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611
20	Washington, D.C. 20044-7611
21	As to EPA: John Lyons
22	Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. EPA Region 9
23	Mailcode ORC3 75 Hawthorne St.
24	San Francisco, CA 94105
25	As to DTSC: Barbara Coler
26	Division Chief, Statewide Cleanup Operations Div. California Department of Toxic Substances Control
27	700 Heinz Avenue, Suite 200 Berkeley, CA 94710-2721

As to State of California: Supervising Deputy Attorney General Land Law Section Office of the Attorney General 300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90013 Facsimile No. (213) 897-2801 As to DDT Defendants: President Montrose Chemical Corporation of California 600 Ericksen Avenue, Suite 380, Bainbridge Island, WA 98110 David Mulliken Latham & Watkins 701 B Street, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101 10 General Counsel Chris-Craft Industries, Inc. 767 Fifth Avenue, 46th Floor New York, N.Y. 10153 13 Peter Simshauser Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP 300 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071 15 Joseph C. Kelly Vice President and General Counsel 16 Stauffer Management Company 1800 Concord Pike P.O. Box 15438 Wilmington, DE 19850-5438 18 19 Paul B. Galvani Ropes & Gray 20 One International Place Boston, MA 02110. 21 22 Each party to this Decree may change the person(s) it has designated to receive 23 notice for that party, or the addresses for such notice, by filing a written notice of such 24 change with the Court and serving said notice on each of the other Parties to this Decree. 25 30. This Decree may be executed in any number of counterparts, and each 26 executed counterpart shall have the same force and effect as an original instrument. 27 28

,	
1	ENTIRE AGREEMENT
2	31. This Decree constitutes the entire understanding of the Parties with respect
3	to its subject matter. The fact that any party suggested language different from, or
4	additional to, any language ultimately adopted in this Decree shall not be taken into
5	account in interpreting this Decree.
6	EFFECTIVE DATE
7	32. This Decree shall be effective upon the date which this Decree has been
8	entered by the United States District Court.
9	33. By signature below, all Parties consent to this Decree.
10	<u>JUDGMENT</u>
11	THE FOREGOING Consent Decree among Plaintiffs United States and
12	State of California, and the DDT Defendants is hereby APPROVED and ORDERED.
13	There being no just reason for delay, this Court expressly directs, pursuant
14	to Rule 54(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT in
15	accordance with the terms of this Decree; each party hereto shall bear its own costs and
16	attorney's fees except as specifically provided herein.
17	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED
19	
20	DATED: March 14, 2001
21	THE HONORABLE MANUEL REAL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22	ONTED STATES DISTRICT JODGE
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	