		EIS PROJE HLW & FD Control #
1	Thank you.	
2	THE FACILITATOR: Thank you.	
	And, Mr. Henneberry, you understand	
	that, although your comments are made in a	
	private setting, they will be part of the public	
	record?	
	MR. DAVID HENNEBERRY: Yes, sir.	
	THE FACILITATOR: Thank you.	
	MR. DAVID HENNEBERRY: Thank you.	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
	THE FACILITATOR: We will be off the	PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING ON
	record.	IDAHO HIGH-LEVEL WASTE
	(A recess was taken.)	AND FACILITIES DISPOSITION DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMEN'
	THE FACILITATOR: We're back on the	DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMEN
r	ecord, people.	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 2000
	I will ask that if anyone in the	IDAHO STATE UNIVER;;ITY POND STUDENT UNION BUILDING
aud	ience has who would like to comment orally	POCATELLO, IDAHO
thi	s evening formally on the record and who has	
no	ot commented yet would like to do so.	
	We've given you an opportunity to	
	register at the front desk, and I will report,	
	for the record, that no one has so registered.	
	If there is anyone who has not commented and	
	would like to do so, this is your final	Reported by:
	opportunity to do that this evening at the	Kimberly Carpenter, CSR #600
	Jackson Hole hearing.	
	9.9	EASTERN IDAHO COURT REPORTERS P. O. Box 50853 Idaho Falls, ID 83405 (208) 529-0222

Document 36, Public Comment Hearing, February 9, 2000, Jackson, WY Page 54 of 54

Document 37, Public Comment Hearing, February 8, 2000, Pocatello, ID Page 1 of 6

EIS PROJECT - AR PF Control # DC - 37

Idaho HLW & FD EIS

I.

New Information

I.

## Document 37, Public Comment Hearing, February 8, 2000, Pocatello, ID Page 2 of $\mathbf{6}$

MR. GEORGE WOOD: Thank you very much. 1 2 My name is George Wood. I live at 3 1680 North Mink Creek Road, Pocatello, Idaho, 83204. I'm representing Coalition 21. 4 5 I would like to know a little bit about 6 who I'm speaking to. So, I'd like a show of 7 hands. 8 How many of you here actually work for 9 the State, the EPA and INEEL? May a have a show 10 of hands? 11 And those who are of just the public, 12 who are not? 13 Well, I'm talking to the right people then. I didn't want to address my remarks so 14 much to the public as I did to the people who are 15 working for us. 16 There are several things I think are 17 37-1 VIII.A(1) 18 extremely necessary for an environmental impact statement to be effective. Number One, of course 19 you want to know what the impact is going to be 20 21 from a point of how much radiation, how much of 22 this hazardous material, is going to go into our environment. 23 37-2 24 But, on the other hand, that doesn't VIII.A(1) 25 mean a thing unless you know what the effect of 52

### Document 37, Public Comment Hearing, February 8, 2000, Pocatello, ID Page 3 of $\mathbf{6}$

	1	that radiation or that hazardous material is on
	2	the environment or on the people or the animals
	3	that are involved. And so we must consider what
	4	damage is it doing.
	5	What damage is it doing?
	6	How many people have been injured?
	7	How many people how much property has
	8	been damaged by the radioactive nature and by the
	9	other hazardous materials, the nonradioactive
	10	materials, at INEEL?
	11	That is certainly something that needs
	12	to be considered.
37.3	13	How much of a change in the environment
VIII.G(8)	14	does that make?
	15	In other words, if we have a huge impact
	16	on the environment or the amount of radiation
	17	added to the state of Idaho by the activities at
	18	INEEL, that is one thing. But if those
	19	activities and those additions at INEEL are
	20	trivial compared to the natural background and
	21	the natural amount of radiation that we have in
	22	the state, perhaps we need to back off and look
	23	at the basic necessity of this whole procedure.
	24	So, the Environmental Impact Statement
	25	should contain some of that information. For
		-
		53

D0E/EIS-0287

D-84

Т
Z
еw
Inj
6
rm
ati
on
I.

Idaho HLW & FD EIS

Document 37, Public Comment Hearing, February 8, 2000, Pocatello, ID Page 4 of 6

	,	inchange the 600 college of liquid upsto
37-4- VIII.C(1)	1	instance, the 600,000 gallons of liquid waste should be compared to the amount of water in the
	3	aquifer.
	4	So, how much would that change the
	5	aquifer or the water in the aquifer?
	6	If it were all mixed directly into the
	7	aquifer, how much change would occur?
	8	How much radioactivity would be added to
	9	the aquifer, if any?
	10	And what percentage of change would that
	11	be?
22.5	12	What difference would it make, as far as
31-5 /111.B(4)	13	the soil is concerned, the people, the animals,
	14	the crops, if we did have this sort of thing?
	15	The question came up awhile ago about
	16	how many cancer deaths, additional cancer deaths,
	17	are we talking about. And I I think the
	18	answer he gave was 9 per 10,000 people. And I
	19	believe, in just the natural scheme of things,
	20	about 2,100 or so out of 10,000 people get cancer
	21	anyhow. So, we're talking about 2,109 or maybe
	22	2,091 cancers per 10,000 people in this state.
	23	And that is a very, very iffy question.
37-6	24	So, what I would like to see added to
III. <del>A</del> (7)	25	the Environmental Impact Statement is the actual
		54

Document 37, Public Comment Hearing, February 8, 2000, Pocatello, ID Page 5 of 6

1	effects on the people, the land, the crops, of
2	the State of Idaho.
3	Thank you very much.
4	THE FACILITATOR: Thank you for your
5	comments.
6	We have a clarifying questions perhaps
7	from
8	MR. THOMAS WICHMANN: I need a
9	clarification question.
10	THE FACILITATOR: Mr. Wood, would you
11	yield to a question?
12	Come back to the microphone, if you
13	would. The Department of Energy might ask
14	clarifying questions of commentors
15	MR. GEORGE WOOD: All right.
16	THE FACILITATOR: just to ensure that
17	they understand the nature of your comments so
18	that they can be responded to adequately in the
19	Final Environmental Impact Statement. And
20	Mr. Wichmann has indicated he would like to ask a
21	question of you, Mr. Wood.
22	MR. GEORGE WOOD: All right.
23	MR. THOMAS WICHMANN: Yes or no, did you
24 25	read the accumulative impacts and the ground
	waters impacts section of this EIS before you

D-85

#### Document 37, Public Comment Hearing, February 8, 2000, Pocatello, ID Page 6 of 6

1	made your remarks, sir?
2	MR. GEORGE WOOD: No.
3	MR. THOMAS WICHMANN: Thank you.
4	THE FACILITATOR: Thank you for your
5	comments, Mr. Wood.
6	I would like to remind you that you
7	could file written comments submit written
8	comments through a variety of ways. And all
9	comments are reviewed and considered and analyze
10	by the Department of Energy and the State of
11	Idaho in preparing the Final Environmental Impac
12	Statement.
13	So, is anyone else in the room who has
14	not had an opportunity that would like to commen
15	formally this evening?
16	We'll let the record reflect that no on
17	has so indicated.
18	We will stand at ease, subject to call
19	of the hearing officer in the event that others
20	come who would like to comment. So, right now,
21	we'll be off the record.
22	(A recess was taken.)
23	THE FACILITATOR: We'll be back on the
24	record.
25	This is a continuation of the public
	56
	50

#### Document 38, Public Comment Hearing, February 22, 2000, Portland, OR Page 1 of 20

	HLW & FD Page EIS PROJECT (AR)PF Control # DC -38
1	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
2	IDAHO OPERATIONS OFFICES
3	
4	IDAHO HIGH-LEVEL WASTE AND
5	FACILITIES DISPOSITION
6	
7	Tuesday, February 22, 2000
8	
9	Portland, Oregon
10	
11	Doubletree Lloyd Center
12	
13	5:00 p.m. to 8:30 p.m.
14	
15	ORIGINAL
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	TEACH REPORTING (503) 248-1003 *** (800) 230-3302

# Appendix D

I.

New Information

i.

D-86

DOE/EIS-0287