United States
Department of Agriculture

Food and
Nutrition
Service
Office of
Analysis,
Nutrition, and
Evaluation

## Food Stamp Program Participation Rates: 2004

## Current Perspectives on Food Stamp Program Participation

## Titles in this series:

## Food Stamp Program Participation Rates

Pat Doyle and Harold Beebout
November 1988
Food Stamp Program Participation Rates Among the Poverty Population, 1980-1987
Carole Trippe and Harold Beebout
November 1988

Determinants of Participation in the Food Stamp Program: A Review of the Literature
Susan Allin and Harold Beebout
November 1989
Estimating Rates of Participation in the Food Stamp Program: A Review of the

## Literature

Carole Trippe November 1989
Food Stamp Program Participation Rates: August 1985
Pat Doyle
April 1990
The Effects of Food Stamps on Food Consumption: A Review of the Literature Thomas M. Fraker

October 1990
Participation in the Food Stamp Program: A Multivariate Analysis
Alberto Martini
March 1992
Food Stamp Program Participation Rates: January 1988
Carole Trippe and Pat Doyle
July 1992
Trends in Food Stamp Program Participation Rates: 1976 to 1990
Carole Trippe, Pat Doyle, and Andrew Asher
July 1992
Food Stamp Program Participation Rates: January 1989
Carole Trippe and Pat Doyle July 1992
Dynamics of the Food Stamp Program as Reported in the Survey of Income and Program Participation
Nancy R. Burstein
January 1993
Food Stamp Program Participation Rates: January 1992
Carole Trippe and Julie Sykes
October 1994
Food Stamp Program Participation Rates: January 1994
Michael Stavrianos
March 1997
Trends in Food Stamp Program Participation Rates: Focus on September 1997
Laura Castner and Scott Cody
November 1999
Trends in Food Stamp Program Participation Rates: 1994 to 1999
Randy Rosso
October 2001
Trends in Food Stamp Program Participation Rates: 1994 to 2000
Karen Cunnyngham
June 2002
Trends in Food Stamp Program Participation Rates: 1999 to 2001
Karen Cunnyngham
June 2003
Trends in Food Stamp Program Participation Rates: 1999 to 2002
Karen Cunnyngham
September 2004
Food Stamp Program Participation Rates: 2003
Karen Cunnyngham
July 2005

# Food Stamp Program <br> Participation Rates: 2004 

Allison Barrett<br>Anni Poikolainen

A product of<br>Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.<br>600 Maryland Avenue, SW

Suite 550
Washington, DC 20024

June 2006

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communications of program information (Braille, large point, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report was prepared by Allison Barrett and Anni Poikolainen of Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. for the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis, Nutrition, and Evaluation. Many individuals made important contributions to the report. The authors thank Karen Cunnyngham, Carole Trippe, Laura Castner, Anne Gordon, and Allen Schirm for providing guidance and reviewing the report, Bruce Schechter and Joel Smith for providing programming support, and Micki Morris for preparing the manuscript. The authors also thank Jenny Genser, Steven Carlson, Bob Dalrymple, Art Foley, Lefki Orphanides, and Kate Fink of the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food and Nutrition Service for providing guidance and program information.

This work was conducted under contract number FNS-03-030-TNN with the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS). An electronic version of this report is available at http://www.fns.usda.gov/oane/MENU/Published/FSP/participation.htm.

| Author: | Allison Barrett and Anni Poikolainen |
| :--- | :--- |
| MPR Project Director: | Carole Trippe |
| MPR Project Number: | $6044-310$ |
| FNS Project Officer: | Jenny Genser |
| FNS Contract Number: | FNS-03-030-TNN |

June 2006

## CONTENTS

Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... xi
INTRODUCTION ..... 1
NATIONAL PARTICIPATION RATES IN FY 2004 ..... 2
SUBGROUP PARTICIPATION RATES IN FY 2004 ..... 3
HISTORICAL TRENDS IN PARTICIPATION RATES ..... 5
METHODOLOGY ..... 7
REFERENCES ..... 17
APPENDIX A: PARTICIPATION RATES IN FY 2004 ..... 19
APPENDIX B: CHANGE IN INDIVIDUAL FSP PARTICIPATION RATES, 1988-2004 ..... 33
APPENDIX C: METHODOLOGY ..... 37
APPENDIX D: SAMPLING ERROR OF PARTICIPATION RATE ESTIMATES ..... 64
APPENDIX E: ECONOMIC AND POLICY INFLUENCES ON THE FOOD STAMP PROGRAM ..... 70
APPENDIX F: CHANGES IN THE MARCH CPS OVER TIME ..... 84
APPENDIX G: FSP ELIGIBILITY PARAMETERS ..... 88

## TABLES

Table Page1 INDIVIDUAL, HOUSEHOLD, AND BENEFIT PARTICIPATION RATES,FISCAL YEAR 20049
2 FSP PARTICIPATION RATES FOR INDIVIDUALS BY DEMOGRAPHICCHARACTERISTICS, FY 200410
3 FSP PARTICIPATION RATES FOR INDIVIDUALS BY ECONOMICCHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS, FY 200411
4 PREVIOUSLY ESTIMATED FSP PARTICIPATION RATES FOR INDIVIDUALS BY SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS, FY 1999 - FY 2002 ..... 12
5 MONTHLY NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE AND PARTICIPATING INDIVIDUALS, HOUSEHOLDS, AND BENEFITS, AND PARTICIPATION RATES, 1976-2004 ..... 14

## FIGURES

Figure Page

1 INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY SUBGROUP, FY 2004 .......................... 13
2 TRENDS IN MONTHLY FSP PARTICIPATION RATES, 1976-2004....................... 15

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Food Stamp Program (FSP) helps low-income individuals purchase food so that they can obtain a nutritious diet. One important measure of a program's performance is its ability to reach its target population, as indicated by the fraction of people eligible for benefits who actually participate.

Of the 38 million individuals who were eligible for food stamp benefits in an average month of 2004, 23 million individuals ( 60.5 percent) chose to participate. There were over 15 million eligible individuals who did not participate in 2004. Although the FSP served more than 60 percent of all eligible individuals, it provided over two-thirds ( 70.6 percent) of the benefits that all eligible individuals could receive. This is because the neediest individuals, who are eligible for higher benefits, participated at higher rates than other eligible individuals.

The rate of participation by demographic and economic subgroups continued to follow historical patterns in 2004. Rates were relatively high for individuals in households below the poverty line, in households with children, and for recipients of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) or Supplemental Security Income (SSI), with at least three-quarters of all eligibles from these groups participating. On the other hand, less than a third of eligible elderly adults, nondisabled childless adults, and individuals living in households with incomes above the poverty line, and only slightly more eligible noncitizens, participated in the FSP in 2004. Participation rates for eligible individuals in households with earnings and citizen children living with noncitizens were also lower than average, just over 50 percent.

Nationally, the participation rate among individuals increased by just under 5 percentage points between 2003 and 2004, the third annual increase after declining for 7 years. Almost every demographic and economic subgroup experienced a rise in participation rates, with particularly large increases in the participation rate of children, of individuals in households with very low income, and of individuals receiving the maximum benefit.

Expanded eligibility continued to affect participation rates for some subgroups, although it had less of an effect than in previous years. The 2002 Farm Security and Rural Investment Act restored eligibility for qualified noncitizens receiving disability benefits effective October 1, 2002; to qualified noncitizens who have lived in the United States for over 5 years effective April 1, 2003; and to all legal noncitizens under the age of 18 years effective October 1, 2003. This expansion of eligibility explains why noncitizens are one of the few subgroups not experiencing a significant change in its participation rate in 2004. The number of participating noncitizens increased 28 percent over 2003, but the number of eligible noncitizens also increased in the same time period, so the change in the participation rate was not statistically significant.

## INTRODUCTION

The Food Stamp Program (FSP) helps low-income individuals purchase food so that they can obtain a nutritious diet. The number of eligible individuals served in an average month by the FSP increased from 20.6 million in fiscal year (FY) 2003 to 23.2 million participants in fiscal year 2004, an increase of over 12 percent. Most individuals are eligible for the program if their financial resources fall below certain income and asset thresholds. Not all of those who are eligible participate in the program, however. While some choose not to participate, many others are unaware that they are eligible. When eligible individuals do not participate in the FSP, they lose out on nutrition assistance that could stretch their food dollars at the grocery store and their communities lose out on the economic benefits provided by new food stamp dollars flowing into local markets.

One important measure of a program's performance is its ability to reach its target population. The national food stamp participation rate has been a standard for assessing performance for over 15 years. In fiscal year 2004, the participation rate for eligible individuals rose by almost 5 percentage points to over 60 percent (Table 1). Both the household and benefit participation rates also increased 5 percentage points, rising to 55 percent and 71 percent, respectively. ${ }^{1}$ Participation rates began rising in 2001 after declining for 7 years; rates rose by almost 2 points in 2003 and by an additional 5 points in $2004 .^{2}$

Along with presenting the overall 2004 participation rate, this report presents participation rates for subgroups of the eligible population, describes historic trends in participation rates, and

[^0]describes the estimation methodology employed. More detailed tables on subgroups' participation rates are contained in Appendix A, and Appendix B displays the change in individual FSP participation rates since 1988. Appendixes C and D present an in-depth explanation of the methodology and the sampling error of the participation rate estimates, while Appendix E lists historic economic and policy influences on the FSP, Appendix F lists changes in the March CPS over time, and Appendix G contains prior years’ FSP eligibility parameters. All 2004 participation rate estimates in this report are based on data from the March 2005 Current Population Survey (CPS) and FY 2004 FSP administrative data.

## NATIONAL PARTICIPATION RATES IN FY 2004

Of the 38 million individuals who were eligible for the FSP in an average month of 2004, 23 million individuals ( 60.5 percent) chose to participate. This is the second year in a row that participation rates have increased, rising by almost 2 points in 2003 and by 5 points in $2004 .^{3}$ The number of individuals eligible for the FSP rose by over 1 million people, while the number of individuals participating rose more than twice as fast, by over $21 / 2$ million. The number of eligible individuals who did not participate dropped from 16 million in 2003 to 15 million in 2004.

Although the FSP served just over 60 percent of eligible individuals, it provided 71 percent of the benefits that eligible individuals qualified for. This is because the neediest individuals, who are eligible for higher benefits, participated at higher rates than other eligible individuals. Children and adults living in households with children had the highest benefit participation rate,

[^1]receiving over 80 percent of the benefits to which they were entitled. ${ }^{4}$ The elderly and individuals living in households above the poverty line had the lowest benefit participation rates, receiving only about one-third of the benefits for which they were eligible. The benefit participation rate increased 5 points in 2004, matching the rise in the individual participation rate.

Since small households were on average less likely to participate, the household participation rate ( 55 percent) was slightly lower than the individual rate. Over two-thirds of eligible individuals living in households containing 3 or more people participated, while less than half of eligible individuals living in smaller households of 1 or 2 people participated. The household participation rate increased 5 points in 2004.

## SUBGROUP PARTICIPATION RATES IN FY 2004

As noted above, analysis of the patterns of participation among demographic and economic subgroups helps in understanding changes in overall participation, but they are also of interest in themselves. Table 2 presents 2003 and 2004 participation rates for individuals by demographic characteristics and Table 3 presents 2003 and 2004 participation rates for individuals by economic characteristics of households. Table 4 presents previously estimated subgroup participation rates for 1999-2002. These participation rates are presented here for the reader's convenience-the revised methodology for estimating the participation rate has not been applied to 2002 and earlier years, so these rates are not strictly comparable to the 2003 and 2004 rates presented in Tables 2 and 3.

Nonetheless, the rates of participation by demographic and economic subgroups continued to follow historical patterns in 2004. Rates were relatively high for individuals in households

[^2]containing children and households below the poverty line, as well as for recipients of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) or Supplemental Security Income (SSI), with at least three-quarters of all eligibles from these groups participating. On the other hand, less than a third of eligible elderly adults, nondisabled childless adults, and individuals in households with income above the poverty line participated. Participation rates for eligible noncitizens were only slightly higher (42 percent). Just over half of the individuals in eligible households with earnings, and citizen children living with noncitizens, participated in 2004.

Almost every demographic and economic subgroup experienced a rise in participation rates in 2004, although the change was not statistically significant for some subgroups. No subgroups experienced a statistically significant decline in participation rates. The change in participation rates for elderly individuals, noncitizens, citizen children living with noncitizen adults, children living in children-only households, and nondisabled childless adults subject to work registration was not statistically significant. In contrast, some subgroups with already high participation rates saw large increases. Individuals living in households with children increased their participation rate by almost 7 points to 76 percent, and children's participation rate rose by more than 7 points to 81 percent. The participation rate of preschool-age children, in particular, expanded by over 9 points to 86 percent.

The poorest and most needy households also experienced relatively larger gains in participation rates. The participation rate of individuals in households below the poverty line increased by 6 points, twice as much as the rate of individuals in households above the poverty line. Participation rates increased at every income level with the exception of individuals in households above 130 percent of the poverty line, who experienced a statistically insignificant change in participation rates. Individuals in households eligible for larger benefits also saw significant increases: the participation rate rose almost 10 percent for individuals in households
eligible for a benefit worth at least three-quarters of the maximum benefit, and the participation rate rose 13 percent for individuals in households receiving the maximum benefit. Individuals at lower benefit levels had no significant change in participation rates.

Access to the FSP expanded in 2004 through the continued restoration of eligibility to certain noncitizens under the 2002 Farm Security and Rural Investment Act. In FY 2004, noncitizens under the age of 18 years old who met the program's requirements, regardless of the date of entry into the United States, were eligible to receive food stamps. ${ }^{5}$ This expansion of eligibility explains why noncitizens had no significant increase in their participation rate in 2004, despite a jump in the number of participating noncitizens over 2003. Rule changes expanding eligibility lower participation rates in the short term because it takes time for information about policy changes to make its way into communities, reach the people likely to be affected, and influence their decision to apply for benefits. The number of participating noncitizens increased 28 percent over 2003, but the number of eligible noncitizens increased by over 41 percent in the same time period, causing a statistically insignificant decline in the overall participation rate for noncitizens.

## HISTORICAL TRENDS IN PARTICIPATION RATES

Table 5 presents estimates of participating and eligible individuals, households, and benefits along with participation rates from 1976 through 2004. Both the number of participants and the number of eligibles have been increasing since 2000. Since 2001, the number of participants has been increasing more quickly than the number of eligibles, so participation rates have been rising. This trend continued in 2004 even as policy reforms continued to expand eligibility. The

[^3]two most significant recent eligibility expansions were the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002's restoration of eligibility to many legal noncitizens, and an increasing number of States choosing to expand their asset rules by aligning their FSP vehicle rules with less-stringent rules from a TANF or State Maintenance of Effort-funded (TANF/MOE) assistance program or exempting vehicles entirely through broadly conferred categorical eligibility.

As shown in Figure 2, participation rates increased substantially in the late 1970s, leveled off in the early and mid-1980s, and then increased again through the early 1990s. ${ }^{6}$ After peaking in 1994, individual participation rates began a 7 -year decline. This decline can be attributed to a combination of changes in the economy, program rules, trends in other public assistance programs, and the participation decisions of eligible people. ${ }^{7}$ Specifically, the strong economy increased job opportunities for low-income families, thus reducing eligibility for and participation in the FSP. In addition, the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA) reduced eligibility for many noncitizens and nonelderly nondisabled childless adults and added a new focus on moving people from welfare to work. The increased emphasis on work and the reduced TANF caseload lowered FSP participation rates because households not receiving public assistance are less likely to participate in the FSP. ${ }^{8}$

[^4]Since 2001, factors such as increased outreach and improved access to the FSP have contributed to the rise in participation rates. During this period, States increased outreach to low-income households and implemented program simplifications to make it easier for eligible persons to apply for and receive food stamps. Many States, for example, now provide extended hours of operation, waive the requirement for in-person interviews in hardship situations, and have streamlined their overall application process. Most States have also reduced the amount of information that recipients must report during their certification period in order to maintain their eligibility and benefit levels, which also makes it easier for low-income families to participate. Ongoing studies are examining the reasons for the recent rise in participation rates.

Historically, some subgroups have had consistently high participation rates while others have had consistently low rates. Children, individuals in households receiving TANF, and those with very low incomes have consistently participated at higher-than-average rates. In contrast, elderly individuals, noncitizens, and individuals in households with earnings have consistently participated at lower-than-average rates.

## METHODOLOGY

The estimates of participation rates presented in this report were derived using data from the March 2005 CPS and FY 2004 FSP administrative data. ${ }^{9}$ The participation rate is calculated as the ratio of the number of individuals participating in the FSP to the number of individuals eligible for food stamps, with the ratio expressed as a percentage. The estimates of participants are from the FY 2004 FSP Statistical Summary of Operations (Program Operations) and the FY 2004 FSP Quality Control (FSPQC) data. We use administrative counts of participants because FSP participation is underreported in the CPS. From the administrative data, we use the average

[^5]monthly number of participants over the 12 months in the fiscal year. We make adjustments to the participant counts in order to ensure consistency between the participation rate numerators and denominators.

We estimate the number of eligible individuals by applying the food stamp eligibility rules that were in effect in FY 2004 to households in the CPS using a microsimulation model. These eligibility rules include the food stamp unit formation rules, gross and net income thresholds, and financial and vehicle asset limits. In addition, we impute some missing information that is needed to determine FSP eligibility, and produce an average monthly estimate of the number of eligible individuals.

Participation rates are calculated by dividing the number of participating individuals based on the adjusted administrative data by the number of eligible individuals based on the CPS-based model of food stamp eligibility. Appendix C describes the methodology in more detail.

TABLE 1
INDIVIDUAL, HOUSEHOLD, AND BENEFIT PARTICIPATION RATES, FISCAL YEAR 2004

|  |  |  | Participation Rate <br> Participating <br> with 90\% Confidence |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(000 \mathrm{~s})$ | Eligible <br> $(000 \mathrm{~s})$ | Interval |

Sources: FY 2004 FSP Program Operations Data and FSPQC Data, and March 2005 CPS Data
Note: These estimates of participants differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.
TABLE 2
FSP INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, FY 2003 AND 2004

|  | Eligible Individuals (000s) |  | Participating ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Individuals (000s) |  | Participation Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | Difference With 90\% <br> Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individuals in All Households | 37,028 | 38,355 | 20,591 | 23,188 | 55.6 | 60.5 | 4.8 +/- | 1.3 |
| Individuals by Households Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small (1-2 members) | 16,543 | 16,912 | 7,278 | 8,246 | 44.0 | 48.8 | 4.8 +/- | 1.4 |
| Medium (3-4 members) | 12,407 | 13,107 | 8,369 | 9,347 | 67.5 | 71.3 | 3.9 +/- | 3.2 |
| Large (5 or more members) | 8,078 | 8,335 | 4,944 | 5,595 | 61.2 | 67.1 | $5.9+$ - | 5.2 |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 14,172 | 14,294 | 10,456 | 11,648 | 73.8 | 81.5 | 7.7 +/- | 2.8 |
| Preschool Age (0 to 4 years) | 4,560 | 4,571 | 3,480 | 3,914 | 76.3 | 85.6 | 9.3 +/- | 4.4 |
| School Age (5 to 17 years) | 9,612 | 9,723 | 6,976 | 7,734 | 72.6 | 79.5 | 7.0 +/- | 3.4 |
| Nonelderly Adults (18 to 59 years) | 16,438 | 17,367 | 8,369 | 9,640 | 50.9 | 55.5 | 4.6 +/- | 1.4 |
| Elderly Individuals | 6,417 | 6,694 | 1,765 | 1,900 | 27.5 | 28.4 | $0.9+$ - | 1.4 |
| Nondisabled Childless Adults Subject to Work Registration ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2,568 | 2,855 | 734 | 873 | 28.6 | 30.6 | 2.0 +/- | 2.3 |
| Noncitizens ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,504 | 2,124 | 703 | 901 | 46.7 | 42.4 | -4.3 +/- | 4.9 |
| Citizen Children Living With Noncitizen Adults ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2,888 | 2,899 | 1,362 | 1,493 | 47.2 | 51.5 | 4.3 +/- | 4.7 |
| Individuals in Households Without Any Nondisabled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Childless Adults Subject to Work Registration or Noncitizens | 31,118 | 30,985 | 18,067 | 20,147 | 58.1 | 65.0 | 7.0 +/- | 1.7 |
| Individuals by Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households With Children | 23,384 | 23,830 | 16,070 | 18,015 | 68.7 | 75.6 | 6.9 +/- | 2.5 |
| One Adult | 9,984 | 9,749 | 9,306 | 10,399 | 93.2 | 106.7 | 13.5 +/- | 5.8 |
| Married Household Head | 8,098 | 8,584 | 3,885 | 4,469 | 48.0 | 52.1 | 4.1 +/- | 3.5 |
| Other Multiple Adults | 3,874 | 4,204 | 1,805 | 2,080 | 46.6 | 49.5 | 2.9 +/- | 5.3 |
| Children Only | 1,429 | 1,293 | 1,074 | 1,067 | 75.2 | 82.6 | 7.4 +/- | 10.2 |
| Households Without Children | 13,643 | 14,525 | 4,521 | 5,173 | 33.1 | 35.6 | 2.5 +/- | 1.1 |
| Sources: FY 2003 and 2004 FSP Program Operations Data and FSPQC Data, and March 2004 and 2005 CPS Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The estimates of participants in this report exclude certain participants and thus differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Referred to as ABAWDs in previous reports, these individuals are age 18 to 49 , not disabled, not living with children under age 18 , and are subject to work registration. With some exceptions, these individuals must meet work requirements or face time limit on benefit receipt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{c}$ Noncitizens who are eligible and/or participating. This row does not include noncitizens who are outside the food stamp unit but reside in the same household. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Citizen children living in a household with a noncitizen adult, regardless of the FSP participation of the adult. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS. See Appendix C for more information.
FSP INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS, FY 2003 AND FY 2004

|  | Eligible Individuals (000s) |  | Participating ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Individuals (000s) |  | Participation Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | Difference With 90\% <br> Confidence Interval |
| Individuals in All Households | 37,028 | 38,355 | 20,591 | 23,188 | 55.6 | 60.5 | 4.8 +/- 1.3 |
| Individuals by Household Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings | 16,869 | 17,878 | 7,996 | 9,164 | 47.4 | 51.3 | 3.9 +/- 2.0 |
| TANF | 3,804 | 3,458 | 4,785 | 5,026 | 125.8 | 145.3 | 19.5 +/- 13.5 |
| Elderly SSI | 1,264 | 1,288 | 1,167 | 1,250 | 92.3 | 97.0 | 4.7 +/- 12.3 |
| Nonelderly SSI | 3,703 | 3,848 | 3,509 | 3,745 | 94.8 | 97.3 | 2.6 +/- 8.6 |
| Social Security | 9,102 | 9,748 | 3,376 | 3,854 | 37.1 | 39.5 | 2.4 +/- 2.1 |
| Individuals by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Below Poverty Line | 26,277 | 27,065 | 18,275 | 20,414 | 69.5 | 75.4 | $5.9+$ +- 2.2 |
| No Income | 5,579 | 5,862 | 1,857 | 2,314 | 33.3 | 39.5 | $6.2+$ +- 2.5 |
| 1-50\% | 7,209 | 7,143 | 6,678 | 7,448 | 92.6 | 104.3 | 11.6 +/- 6.1 |
| 51-100\% | 13,488 | 14,059 | 9,740 | 10,652 | 72.2 | 75.8 | 3.6 +/- 3.1 |
| Total Above Poverty Line | 10,751 | 11,290 | 2,316 | 2,774 | 21.5 | 24.6 | 3.0 +/- 1.5 |
| 101-130\% | 8,760 | 8,987 | 2,212 | 2,644 | 25.2 | 29.4 | 4.2 +/- 1.9 |
| 131\% or more | 1,991 | 2,303 | 104 | 130 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 0.4 +/- 1.0 |
| Individuals by Household Benefit as a Percentage of Maximum Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum benefit (\$10 or less) | 4,026 | 3,795 | 824 | 674 | 20.5 | 17.8 | -2.7 +/- 1.4 |
| 1-25\% | 8,725 | 8,181 | 2,724 | 2,627 | 31.2 | 32.1 | 0.9 +/- 1.8 |
| 26-50\% | 7,860 | 7,975 | 3,985 | 4,038 | 50.7 | 50.6 | -0.1 +/- 3.1 |
| 51-75\% | 6,742 | 7,784 | 4,824 | 5,254 | 71.6 | 67.5 | -4.1 +/- 4.6 |
| 76-99\% | 4,964 | 5,337 | 4,458 | 5,311 | 89.8 | 99.5 | 9.7 +/- 7.6 |
| 100\% | 8,736 | 9,077 | 4,601 | 5,957 | 52.7 | 65.6 | 13.0 +/- 3.1 |

${ }^{a}$ The estimates of participants in this report exclude certain participants and thus differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.
Note: Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS. See Appendix C for more information.

TABLE 4
PREVIOUSLY ESTIMATED FSP PARTICIPATION RATES BY SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS, FY 1999 - FY 2002

|  | Participation Rates ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 1999 | FY 2000 | FY 2001 | FY 2002 |
| Individuals in All Households | 56.2 | 55.7 | 53.2 | 53.8 |
| Households by Size |  |  |  |  |
| Small (1-2 members) | 45.8 | 43.8 | 42.3 | 42.6 |
| Medium (3-4 members) | 67.6 | 68.2 | 62.5 | 64.5 |
| Large (5 or more members) | 59.6 | 60.4 | 61.5 | 57.3 |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 69.7 | 71.4 | 69.1 | 70.3 |
| Preschool Age (0 to 4 years) | 76.5 | 73.3 | 71.1 | 72.4 |
| School Age (5 to 17 years) | 66.9 | 70.5 | 68.2 | 69.3 |
| Nonelderly Adults (18 to 59 years) | 52.9 | 51.9 | 49.1 | 49.9 |
| Elderly Individuals | 31.1 | 30.3 | 28.1 | 26.9 |
| Individuals by Household Composition |  |  |  |  |
| Households With Children | 67.0 | 68.0 | 64.7 | 65.4 |
| One Adult | 94.3 | 96.5 | 93.8 | 96.1 |
| Married Household Head | 49.4 | 49.6 | 44.1 | 44.7 |
| Other Multiple Adults | 42.4 | 39.5 | 41.4 | 39.2 |
| Children Only | 39.5 | 47.3 | 46.3 | 56.5 |
| Households Without Children | 35.1 | 34.2 | 32.9 | 33.2 |
| Individuals by Household Income Source |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings | 43.2 | 46.0 | 45.7 | 46.1 |
| TANF | 149.7 | 153.1 | 166.2 | 167.9 |
| Elderly SSI | 91.8 | 90.1 | 80.5 | 88.0 |
| Nonelderly SSI | 92.9 | 93.3 | 88.3 | 97.0 |
| Individuals by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |  |
| No Income | 30.1 | 28.3 | 27.7 | 30.3 |
| 1 to 50\% | 85.5 | 85.1 | 84.9 | 92.4 |
| 51 to $100 \%$ | 73.2 | 74.3 | 70.7 | 68.6 |
| 101 to 130\% | 24.1 | 25.8 | 23.7 | 24.8 |
| 131\%+ | 6.9 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 8.3 |
| Individuals by Household Benefit as a Percentage of Maximum Benefit |  |  |  |  |
| 1 to 50\% | 41.5 | 42.7 | 40.4 | 40.1 |
| 51 to 99\% | 82.5 | 83.5 | 79.0 | 78.4 |
| 100\% | 47.1 | 45.0 | 45.2 | 49.2 |

Sources: FSP Program Operations Data, FSPQC Data, and CPS Data for the years shown
${ }^{a}$ These participation rates were developed for Cunnyngham (2004) using methodologies that differ from the current methodologies and so should not be directly compared to the 2003 rates presented in Tables 1 and 2. See Appendix C for more information.
Note: Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS. See Appendix C for more information.

TABLE 5
MONTHLY NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE AND PARTICIPATING INDIVIDUALS, HOUSEHOLDS, AND BENEFITS, AND PARTICIPATION RATES, 1976 - 2004

|  | Eligible (000's) |  |  | Participating (000's) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Participation Rates (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Individuals | Households | Benefits | Individuals | Households | Benefits | Individuals | Households | Benefits |
| September $1976{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 50,061 | 16,282 | \$1,075,819 | 15,880 | 5,308 | \$375,461 | 31.1 | 32.6 | 34.9 |
| February 1978 | 40,175 | 13,984 | 934,427 | 15,387 | 5,286 | 398,066 | 38.3 | 37.8 | 42.6 |
| August 1980 | 36,567 | 14,042 | 1,108,330 | 20,185 | 7,372 | 689,381 | 55.2 | 52.5 | 62.2 |
| August 1982 | 39,364 | 14,538 | 1,352,251 | 20,548 | 7,487 | 785,658 | 52.2 | 51.5 | 58.1 |
| August 1984 | 38,591 | 14,194 | 1,386,231 | 19,990 | 7,324 | 841,442 | 51.8 | 51.6 | 60.7 |
| August 1986 | 40,061 | 15,273 | 1,544,833 | 19,069 | 7,102 | 860,472 | 47.6 | 46.5 | 55.7 |
| August 1988 | 38,166 | 14,896 | 1,646,310 | 18,358 | 7,016 | 907,117 | 48.1 | 47.1 | 55.1 |
| August 1990 | 37,631 | 14,523 | 1,905,141 | 20,396 | 7,973 | 1,188,808 | 54.2 | 54.9 | 62.4 |
| August 1991 | 40,989 | 15,574 | 2,229,403 | 23,364 | 9,204 | 1,471,406 | 57.0 | 59.1 | 66.0 |
| August 1992 | 43,474 | 16,627 | 2,491,671 | 25,759 | 10,238 | 1,749,058 | 59.3 | 61.6 | 70.2 |
| August 1993 | 45,241 | 17,031 | 2,515,761 | 27,260 | 10,900 | 1,839,469 | 60.3 | 64.0 | 73.1 |
| August 1994 | 44,327 | 17,040 | 2,473,299 | 27,207 | 11,005 | 1,873,953 | 61.4 | 64.6 | 75.8 |
| September 1994 | 35,053 | 15,305 | 2,028,290 | 26,229 | 10,659 | 1,747,990 | 74.8 | 69.6 | 86.2 |
| September 1995 | 34,665 | 14,994 | 2,017,983 | 25,213 | 10,374 | 1,751,560 | 72.7 | 69.2 | 86.8 |
| September 1996 | 34,478 | 15,264 | 2,060,242 | 23,874 | 9,934 | 1,706,230 | 69.2 | 65.1 | 82.8 |
| September 1997 | 31,818 | 14,692 | 1,913,367 | 20,365 | 8,446 | 1,407,148 | 64.0 | 57.5 | 73.5 |
| September 1998 | 30,350 | 14,024 | 1,836,184 | 18,152 | 7,606 | 1,253,632 | 59.8 | 54.2 | 68.3 |
| September 1999 | 29,502 | 13,723 | 1,779,829 | 17,081 | 7,280 | 1,199,679 | 57.9 | 53.0 | 67.4 |
| FY 1999 | 31,528 | 14,503 | 1,876,732 | 17,710 | 7,496 | 1,251,475 | 56.2 | 51.7 | 66.7 |
| FY 2000 | 29,968 | 14,296 | 1,795,209 | 16,705 | 7,157 | 1,192,194 | 55.7 | 50.1 | 66.4 |
| FY 2001 | 31,783 | 15,162 | 1,986,479 | 16,898 | 7,285 | 1,239,291 | 53.2 | 48.0 | 62.4 |
| FY 2002 | 34,693 | 16,609 | 2,290,877 | 18,656 | 8,023 | 1,450,803 | 53.8 | 48.3 | 63.3 |
| FY 2003 | 37,028 | 17,829 | 2,612,492 | 20,591 | 8,890 | 1,707,485 | 55.6 | 49.9 | 65.4 |
| FY 2004 | 38,355 | 18,266 | 2,807,735 | 23,188 | 9,989 | 1,981,192 | 60.5 | 54.7 | 70.6 |

Sources: FSP Program Operations data, FSPQC data, and March CPS data for the years shown.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The estimates of participants in this report exclude certain participants and thus differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.
The benefit rates for 1976 and 1978 are based on the net benefit (maximum benefits-purchase requirement). Hence, the benefit rates are consistent over all years. Note: There are two estimates for both 1994 and 1999 due to revised methodologies for determining food stamp eligibility and the number of participants. The September 1976 - August 1994 estimates are methodologically consistent, as are the September 1994 - September 1999 estimates and the FY 1999 - FY 2002 estimates. Because of additional changes in methodology, the estimates for 2003 and 2004 should not be directly compared to previous estimates . Please see Appendix C for details.
乙 มษกÐI

Sources: FSP Program Operations data, FSPQC data, and March CPS data for the years shown.
*There are breaks in the time series in 1994 and 1999 and between 2002 and 2003 due to revisions in the methodology for determining eligibility.
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## APPENDIX A

## PARTICIPATION RATES IN FY 2004

TABLE A. 1
INDIVIDUAL, HOUSEHOLD, AND BENEFIT PARTICIPATION RATES, FISCAL YEAR 2004

|  |  |  | Participation <br> Rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Participating | Eligible | $(\mathrm{QC})$ |  |

Note: These estimates of participants differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.

TABLE A. 2
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE, FISCAL YEAR 2004

|  | Participating <br> $(\mathrm{QC})$ | Eligible <br> $(\mathrm{CPS})$ | Participation <br> Rate <br> $(\mathrm{QC} / \mathrm{CPS})$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Individuals by Household Size |  |  |  |
| 1 Person | $4,193,377$ | $9,543,868$ | 43.94 |
| 2 People | $4,052,430$ | $7,368,582$ | 55.00 |
| 3 People | $4,890,613$ | $6,461,608$ | 75.69 |
| 4 People | $4,456,676$ | $6,645,747$ | 67.06 |
| 5 People | $2,876,363$ | $4,353,297$ | 66.07 |
| 6 or More People | $2,718,229$ | $3,981,591$ | 68.27 |
| Individuals in All Households | $23,187,688$ | $38,354,693$ | 60.46 |

Note: These estimates of participants differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.

TABLE A. 3
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, FISCAL YEAR 2004

|  | Participating (QC) | Eligible (CPS) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Participation } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \text { (QC/CPS) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals in All Households | 23,187,688 | 38,354,693 | 60.46 |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |
| Children Under Age 18 | 11,647,694 | 14,293,940 | 81.49 |
| Preschool | 3,913,919 | 4,571,214 | 85.62 |
| School-age | 7,733,775 | 9,722,726 | 79.54 |
| Adults Age 18 to 59 | 9,639,966 | 17,367,239 | 55.51 |
| Elderly Age 60 and Over | 1,900,029 | 6,693,514 | 28.39 |
| Living Alone | 1,346,504 | 3,616,384 | 37.23 |
| Living with Others | 553,525 | 3,077,130 | 17.99 |
| Nondisabled Childless Adults Subject to Work Registration | 872,651 | 2,855,321 | 30.56 |
| Noncitizens | 901,145 | 2,124,131 | 42.42 |
| Citizen Children Living with Noncitizen Adults | 1,492,690 | 2,898,691 | 51.50 |
| Employment Status of Nonelderly Adults |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,687,334 | 5,878,435 | 45.72 |
| Not Employed | 6,952,632 | 11,488,805 | 60.52 |
| Individuals by Race/Ethnicity of Household Head |  |  |  |
| Black or African American Only | 7,623,753 | 10,436,328 | 73.05 |
| Hispanic | 4,378,944 | 8,504,163 | 51.49 |
| White Only | 10,255,575 | 17,784,118 | 57.67 |
| Not Tabulated Above | 929,417 | 1,630,084 | 57.02 |
| Individuals by Household Composition |  |  |  |
| Households with Children | 18,014,875 | 23,829,673 | 75.60 |
| One Adult | 10,398,921 | 9,749,026 | 106.67 |
| Married Household Head | 4,469,047 | 8,583,878 | 52.06 |
| Other Multiple Adults | 2,079,655 | 4,203,987 | 49.47 |
| Children Only | 1,067,253 | 1,292,781 | 82.55 |
| Households without Children | 5,172,813 | 14,525,020 | 35.61 |
| Gender of Individual |  |  |  |
| Male | 9,545,161 | 16,861,220 | 56.61 |
| Female | 13,642,527 | 21,493,473 | 63.47 |
| Metropolitan Status |  |  |  |
| Urban | 17,890,597 | 30,140,712 | 59.36 |
| Rural | 5,297,091 | 8,213,981 | 64.49 |

Notes: Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS. See Appendix C for more information. These estimates of participants differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.

TABLE A. 4
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS, FISCAL YEAR 2004

|  | Participating $(\mathrm{QC})$ | Eligible (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals in All Households | 23,187,688 | 38,354,693 | 60.46 |
| Individuals by Household Income Sources |  |  |  |
| No Earnings | 14,024,162 | 20,476,893 | 68.49 |
| Earnings | 9,163,526 | 17,877,800 | 51.26 |
| No TANF | 8,002,623 | 16,804,132 | 47.62 |
| TANF | 1,160,903 | 1,073,668 | 108.12 |
| TANF | 5,025,838 | 3,458,087 | 145.34 |
| Unemployment Compensation | 761,222 | 496,259 | 153.39 |
| Nonelderly SSI Benefits | 3,745,342 | 3,847,749 | 97.34 |
| Elderly SSI Benefits | 1,250,141 | 1,288,345 | 97.03 |
| Social Security | 3,854,040 | 9,748,092 | 39.54 |
| Individuals by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total $100 \%$ of Poverty or Less | 20,413,854 | 27,064,734 | 75.43 |
| No Income | 2,314,208 | 5,862,013 | 39.48 |
| 1-50\% | 7,447,547 | 7,143,375 | 104.26 |
| 51-100\% | 10,652,099 | 14,059,346 | 75.77 |
| Total Greater Than $100 \%$ of Poverty | 2,773,835 | 11,289,959 | 24.57 |
| 101\%-130\% | 2,644,097 | 8,987,300 | 29.42 |
| $131 \%$ or more | 129,738 | 2,302,660 | 5.63 |
| Individuals by Monthly Household Benefit |  |  |  |
| \$10 or less | 674,108 | 3,795,299 | 17.76 |
| \$11-\$25 | 398,084 | 846,945 | 47.00 |
| \$26-\$50 | 831,219 | 1,686,620 | 49.28 |
| \$51-\$75 | 820,932 | 1,834,663 | 44.75 |
| \$76-\$100 | 932,923 | 1,859,510 | 50.17 |
| \$101-\$150 | 3,559,983 | 6,868,997 | 51.83 |
| \$151-\$200 | 1,708,250 | 3,194,384 | 53.48 |
| \$201 or more | 14,262,188 | 18,268,277 | 78.07 |
| Benefit as a Percentage of Maximum Benefit |  |  |  |
| Low Benefits (1-50\%) | 6,665,454 | 16,156,034 | 41.26 |
| 1-25\% | 2,627,241 | 8,180,791 | 32.11 |
| 26-50\% | 4,038,213 | 7,975,243 | 50.63 |
| High Benefits (51-99\%) | 10,564,953 | 13,121,306 | 80.52 |
| 51-75\% | 5,254,018 | 7,784,209 | 67.50 |
| 76-99\% | 5,310,934 | 5,337,096 | 99.51 |
| 100\% | 5,957,281 | 9,077,354 | 65.63 |

Notes: Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS. See Appendix C for more information. These estimates of participants differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.

TABLE A.5A
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION, FISCAL YEAR 2004
Households with Children

|  | Participating (QC) | Eligible (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals in Households with Children | 18,014,875 | 23,829,673 | 75.60 |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |
| Children Under Age 18 | 11,647,504 | 14,293,940 | 81.49 |
| Adults Age 18 to 59 | 6,247,458 | 9,149,647 | 68.28 |
| Elderly Age 60 and Over | 119,913 | 386,086 | 31.06 |
| Individuals by Household Income Sources |  |  |  |
| No Income | 1,457,015 | 3,150,007 | 46.25 |
| No Earnings | 9,449,391 | 9,249,770 | 102.16 |
| Earnings | 8,565,484 | 14,579,903 | 58.75 |
| TANF | 4,966,778 | 3,170,611 | 156.65 |
| Earnings | 1,151,647 | 953,175 | 120.82 |
| No Earnings | 3,815,131 | 2,217,436 | 172.05 |
| No TANF | 13,048,097 | 20,659,062 | 63.16 |
| Earnings | 7,413,838 | 13,626,728 | 54.41 |
| No Earnings | 5,634,260 | 7,032,334 | 80.12 |
| Social Security | 1,815,747 | 2,757,368 | 65.85 |
| Individuals by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total $100 \%$ of Poverty or Less | 15,865,594 | 17,883,521 | 88.72 |
| No Income | 1,457,015 | 3,150,007 | 46.25 |
| 1-50\% | 6,742,571 | 5,491,806 | 122.78 |
| 51-100\% | 7,666,008 | 9,241,708 | 82.95 |
| Total Greater Than 100 \% of Poverty | 2,149,281 | 5,946,152 | 36.15 |
| 101\%-130\% | 2,109,756 | 5,468,173 | 38.58 |
| $131 \%$ or more | 39,525 | 477,979 | 8.27 |
| Individuals by Household Earnings as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total $100 \%$ of Poverty or Less | 16,784,301 | 19,368,089 | 86.66 |
| No Earnings | 9,552,573 | 9,249,770 | 103.27 |
| 1-50\% | 2,965,049 | 2,742,899 | 108.10 |
| 51-100\% | 4,266,679 | 7,375,420 | 57.85 |
| Total Greater Than 100 \% of Poverty | 1,230,574 | 4,461,584 | 27.58 |
| 101\%-130\% | 1,223,597 | 4,318,078 | 28.34 |
| $131 \%$ or more | 6,977 | 143,506 | 4.86 |

Notes: Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS. See Appendix C for more information. These estimates of participants differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.

TABLE A.5B
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION, FISCAL YEAR 2004
Households with One Adult and Children

|  | Participating (QC) | Eligible (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals in Households with One Adult and Children | 10,398,921 | 9,749,026 | 106.67 |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |
| Children Under Age 18 | 7,001,786 | 6,469,708 | 108.22 |
| Adults Age 18 to 59 | 3,342,635 | 3,193,133 | 104.68 |
| Elderly Age 60 and Over | 52,694 | 86,185 | 61.14 |
| Individuals by Household Income Sources |  |  |  |
| No Income | 979,059 | 1,827,325 | 53.58 |
| No Earnings | 6,385,966 | 5,414,041 | 117.95 |
| Earnings | 4,012,955 | 4,334,986 | 92.57 |
| TANF | 3,172,859 | 2,218,179 | 143.04 |
| Earnings | 561,984 | 553,166 | 101.59 |
| No Earnings | 2,610,876 | 1,665,012 | 156.81 |
| No TANF | 7,226,062 | 7,530,847 | 95.95 |
| Earnings | 3,450,971 | 3,781,819 | 91.25 |
| No Earnings | 3,775,091 | 3,749,028 | 100.70 |
| Social Security | 976,045 | 1,000,230 | 97.58 |
| Individuals by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total $100 \%$ of Poverty or Less | 9,345,085 | 8,081,538 | 115.63 |
| No Income | 979,059 | 1,827,325 | 53.58 |
| 1-50\% | 4,412,554 | 3,012,206 | 146.49 |
| 51-100\% | 3,953,472 | 3,242,007 | 121.95 |
| Total Greater Than 100 \% of Poverty | 1,053,836 | 1,667,488 | 63.20 |
| 101\%-130\% | 1,028,125 | 1,490,920 | 68.96 |
| $131 \%$ or more | 25,710 | 176,568 | 14.56 |
| Individuals by Household Earnings as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total $100 \%$ of Poverty or Less | 9,864,046 | 8,617,473 | 114.47 |
| No Earnings | 6,409,837 | 5,414,041 | 118.39 |
| 1-50\% | 1,460,778 | 973,121 | 150.11 |
| 51-100\% | 1,993,431 | 2,230,311 | 89.38 |
| Total Greater Than $100 \%$ of Poverty | 534,875 | 1,131,554 | 47.27 |
| 101\%-130\% | 529,818 | 1,062,731 | 49.85 |
| $131 \%$ or more | 5,057 | 68,823 | 7.35 |

Notes: Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS. See Appendix C for more information. These estimates of participants differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.

TABLE A.5C
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION, FISCAL YEAR 2004
Households with Married Household Head and Children

|  | Participating (QC) | Eligible (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals in Households with Married Household Head and |  |  |  |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |
| Children Under Age 18 | 2,518,394 | 4,635,112 | 54.33 |
| Adults Age 18 to 59 | 1,909,253 | 3,786,762 | 50.42 |
| Elderly Age 60 and Over | 41,399 | 162,003 | 25.55 |
| Individuals by Household Income Sources |  |  |  |
| No Income | 289,859 | 674,384 | 42.98 |
| No Earnings | 1,494,648 | 1,909,015 | 78.29 |
| Earnings | 2,974,399 | 6,674,863 | 44.56 |
| TANF | 743,739 | 486,561 | 152.86 |
| Earnings | 293,433 | 236,909 | 123.86 |
| No Earnings | 450,306 | 249,652 | 180.37 |
| No TANF | 3,725,307 | 8,097,317 | 46.01 |
| Earnings | 2,680,966 | 6,437,955 | 41.64 |
| No Earnings | 1,044,342 | 1,659,362 | 62.94 |
| Social Security | 508,690 | 872,568 | 58.30 |
| Individuals by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total $100 \%$ of Poverty or Less | 3,657,187 | 5,687,074 | 64.31 |
| No Income | 289,859 | 674,384 | 42.98 |
| 1-50\% | 1,121,169 | 1,276,770 | 87.81 |
| 51-100\% | 2,246,158 | 3,735,919 | 60.12 |
| Total Greater Than 100 \% of Poverty | 811,860 | 2,896,804 | 28.03 |
| 101\%-130\% | 801,850 | 2,716,503 | 29.52 |
| $131 \%$ or more | 10,010 | 180,301 | 5.55 |
| Individuals by Household Earnings as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total $100 \%$ of Poverty or Less | 3,927,982 | 6,195,881 | 63.40 |
| No Earnings | 1,509,607 | 1,909,015 | 79.08 |
| 1-50\% | 868,712 | 960,633 | 90.43 |
| 51-100\% | 1,549,662 | 3,326,234 | 46.59 |
| Total Greater Than $100 \%$ of Poverty | 541,065 | 2,387,997 | 22.66 |
| 101\%-130\% | 539,880 | 2,334,455 | 23.13 |
| $131 \%$ or more | 1,185 | 53,542 | 2.21 |

Notes: Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS. See Appendix C for more information. These estimates of participants differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.

TABLE A.5D
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION, FISCAL YEAR 2004
Households with Other Multiple Adults and Children
\(\left.\begin{array}{lrrr}\hline \& \& \& Participation <br>

Rate\end{array}\right]\)| (QC/CPS) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Notes: Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS. See Appendix C for more information. These estimates of participants differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.

TABLE A.5E
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION RATES BY HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION, FISCAL YEAR 2004
Households with No Children

|  | Participating (QC) | Eligible (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals in Households with No Children | 5,172,813 | 14,525,020 | 35.61 |
| Age of Individual |  |  |  |
| Children Under Age 18 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Adults Age 18 to 59 | 3,392,598 | 8,217,593 | 41.28 |
| Elderly Age 60 and Over | 1,780,215 | 6,307,428 | 28.22 |
| Individuals by Household Income Sources |  |  |  |
| No Income | 857,192 | 2,712,006 | 31.61 |
| No Earnings | 4,574,771 | 11,227,124 | 40.75 |
| Earnings | 598,042 | 3,297,897 | 18.13 |
| TANF | 59,060 | 287,476 | 20.54 |
| Earnings | 9,256 | 120,493 | 7.68 |
| No Earnings | 49,804 | 166,983 | 29.83 |
| No TANF | 5,113,753 | 14,237,544 | 35.92 |
| Earnings | 588,786 | 3,177,404 | 18.53 |
| No Earnings | 4,524,967 | 11,060,140 | 40.91 |
| Social Security | 2,038,294 | 6,990,724 | 29.16 |
| Individuals by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total $100 \%$ of Poverty or Less | 4,548,260 | 9,181,213 | 49.54 |
| No Income | 857,192 | 2,712,006 | 31.61 |
| 1-50\% | 704,976 | 1,651,570 | 42.69 |
| 51-100\% | 2,986,091 | 4,817,638 | 61.98 |
| Total Greater Than $100 \%$ of Poverty | 624,553 | 5,343,807 | 11.69 |
| 101\%-130\% | 534,341 | 3,519,126 | 15.18 |
| $131 \%$ or more | 90,212 | 1,824,681 | 4.94 |
| Individuals by Household Earnings as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total 100\% of Poverty or Less | 5,090,743 | 13,334,955 | 38.18 |
| No Earnings | 4,582,007 | 11,227,124 | 40.81 |
| 1-50\% | 311,136 | 586,844 | 53.02 |
| 51-100\% | 197,600 | 1,520,987 | 12.99 |
| Total Greater Than 100 \% of Poverty | 82,070 | 1,190,065 | 6.90 |
| 101\%-130\% | 77,162 | 950,398 | 8.12 |
| $131 \%$ or more | 4,909 | 239,667 | 2.05 |

Note: These estimates of participants differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.

TABLE A. 6
PARTICIPATION RATES FOR INDIVIDUALS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITHOUT ANY NONCITIZENS OR NONDISABLED CHILDLESS ADULTS SUBJECT TO WORK REGISTRATION, FISCAL YEAR 2004
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrr}\hline & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Participation } \\ \text { Rate }\end{array} \\ \text { (QC/CPS) }\end{array}\right]$

Notes: Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS. See Appendix C for more information. These estimates of participants differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.

TABLE A. 7
HOUSEHOLD PARTICIPATION RATES, FISCAL YEAR 2004

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Participating } \\ (\mathrm{QC}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Eligible (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Households with Elderly | 1,731,427 | 5,936,458 | 29.17 |
| Households with Children | 5,415,609 | 6,924,441 | 78.21 |
| Households with Disabled Nonelderly Adults | 2,300,002 | 3,914,765 | 58.75 |
| Households by Composition |  |  |  |
| Households with Children | 5,415,609 | 6,924,441 | 78.21 |
| One Adult and Children | 3,408,847 | 3,245,993 | 105.02 |
| Married Household Head and Children | 993,713 | 1,999,545 | 49.70 |
| Other Multiple Adults and Children | 485,490 | 959,816 | 50.58 |
| Children Only | 527,559 | 719,086 | 73.37 |
| Households with No Children | 4,573,209 | 11,341,893 | 40.32 |
| Households by Income Source |  |  |  |
| Earnings | 2,881,762 | 6,310,973 | 45.66 |
| TANF | 1,623,344 | 1,119,433 | 145.01 |
| SSI | 2,707,704 | 2,582,936 | 104.83 |
| Households with Noncitizens | 621,558 | 1,397,046 | 44.49 |
| Households with Nondisabled Childless Adults Subject to |  |  |  |
| Work Registration | 799,223 | 2,355,342 | 33.93 |
| Households by Income as a Percentage of Poverty Level |  |  |  |
| No Income | 1,306,724 | 3,279,469 | 39.85 |
| 1-50\% | 2,663,054 | 2,848,740 | 93.48 |
| 51-100\% | 4,870,339 | 6,356,905 | 76.61 |
| 101\% or more | 1,148,702 | 5,781,219 | 19.87 |

Notes: Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS. See Appendix C for more information. These estimates of participants differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.

TABLE A. 8
BENEFIT PARTICIPATION RATES, FISCAL YEAR 2004

|  | Participating (QC) | Eligible (CPS) | Participation Rate (QC/CPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benefits for Elderly | 146,751,716 | 422,721,913 | 34.72 |
| Benefits for Children | 1,533,598,440 | 1,830,102,112 | 83.80 |
| Benefits for Disabled Nonelderly Adults | 315,631,154 | 610,902,226 | 51.67 |
| Benefits by Household Composition |  |  |  |
| Households with Children | 1,533,598,440 | 1,830,102,112 | 83.80 |
| One Adult and Children | 938,083,596 | 862,158,444 | 108.81 |
| Married Household Head and Children | 324,323,411 | 567,290,286 | 57.17 |
| Other Multiple Adults and Children | 163,301,327 | 280,093,030 | 58.30 |
| Children Only | 107,890,106 | 120,560,353 | 89.49 |
| Households with No Children | 447,593,094 | 977,632,567 | 45.78 |
| Benefits by Household Income Source |  |  |  |
| Earnings | 673,149,079 | 1,092,192,417 | 61.63 |
| TANF | 445,427,613 | 283,594,707 | 157.06 |
| SSI | 329,074,325 | 288,382,726 | 114.11 |
| Benefits for Households with Noncitizens | 137,485,757 | 288,921,506 | 47.59 |
| Benefits for Households with Nondisabled Childless Adults |  |  |  |
| Subject to Work Registration | 144,010,240 | 346,434,992 | 41.57 |
| Benefits by Household Income as a Percentage of Poverty |  |  |  |
| Level |  |  |  |
| No Income | 300,584,300 | 739,072,058 | 40.67 |
| 1-50\% | 831,887,921 | 802,271,443 | 103.69 |
| 51-100\% | 752,332,674 | 952,030,064 | 79.02 |
| $101 \%$ or more | 96,386,639 | 314,361,115 | 30.66 |

Notes: Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS. See Appendix C for more information. These estimates of participants differ from official participant counts. See Appendix C for details.

## APPENDIX B

CHANGE IN INDIVIDUAL FSP PARTICIPATION RATES, 1988-2004

TABLE B. 1

## CHANGE IN INDIVIDUAL FSP PARTICIPATION RATES <br> 1988-2004

| Time Period | Change in Participation <br> Rate | Change in <br> Participants | Change in Eligible <br> Individuals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1988-1990$ | 6.1 points | $11.1 \%$ | $-1.4 \%$ |
| $1990-1991$ | 2.8 points | $14.6 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| $1991-1992$ | 2.3 points | $10.3 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| $1992-1993$ | 1.0 points | $5.8 \%$ | $-1.1 \%$ |
| $1993-1994^{\text {a }}$ | 1.1 points | $-0.2 \%$ | $-2.0 \%$ |
| $1994-1995^{\text {a }}$ | -2.1 points | $-3.9 \%$ | $-1.1 \%$ |
| $1995-1996$ | -3.5 points | $-5.3 \%$ | $-0.5 \%$ |
| $1996-1997$ | -5.2 points | $-14.7 \%$ | $-7.7 \%$ |
| $1997-1998$ | -4.2 points | $-10.9 \%$ | $-4.6 \%$ |
| $1998-1999^{\text {b }}$ | -1.9 points | $-5.9 \%$ | $-2.8 \%$ |
| $1999-2000^{\text {b }}$ | -0.4 points | $-5.7 \%$ | $-4.9 \%$ |
| $2000-2001$ | -2.6 points | 0.6 points | --8 points |

Sources: FSP Program Operations data, FSPQC data, and March CPS data for the years shown.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ There are two estimates for 1994 due to revised methodologies for determining food stamp eligibility and for determining the number of participants. The original estimate is used for the change between 1993 and 1994, while the revised estimate is used for the change between 1994 and 1995.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ There are two estimates for 1999 due to reweighting of the March 2000-2003 CPS files to Census 2000 by the Census Bureau and revised methodologies for determining food stamp eligibility. The original estimate is used for the change between 1998 and 1999, while the revised estimate is used for the change between 1999 and 2000.
${ }^{c}$ We do not present the change in individual FSP participation rates from 2002 to 2003 because the methodologies used to estimate the two rates differ enough that the rates should not be directly compared.

## APPENDIX C

## METHODOLOGY


#### Abstract

APPENDIX C

The participation rates reported here rely on estimates of individuals eligible for food stamps and individuals participating in the Food Stamp Program (FSP). The estimates of eligible individuals are derived from a model that uses data from the U.S. Census Bureau's March Current Population Survey (CPS) and detailed information on program rules to simulate eligibility for the FSP. The estimates of participants are based on FSP Program Operations data and FSP Quality Control (FSPQC) data. The resulting participation rates estimate the percentage of individuals who are eligible for the FSP that choose to participate in the program. ${ }^{1}$

In the first section of the appendix, we provide a brief introduction to the methodologies used to estimate the number eligible for and the number participating in the FSP. Subsequent sections discuss selected items in detail.

The 2004 participation rates presented in this report are methodologically consistent with the 2003 rates published in Cunnyngham (2005), and thus can be directly compared. ${ }^{2}$ The 2003 and 2004 rates include a methodological change that corrects for a previous inconsistency between the numerator and denominator that existed in the 2002 and 2001 rates. The rates published in 2002 and 2001 include in the numerator (participants) but not the denominator (eligible persons) persons who are categorically eligible for the FSP through receipt of noncash public assistance

^[ ${ }^{1}$ In an average month in fiscal year 2004, about 104,400 individuals participated in the Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations (FDPIR). Some of these individuals were income and asset eligible for the FSP, but FDPIR participants are not eligible to participate in the FSP at the same time. However, because the number of individuals who are participating in the FDPIR can be estimated only with substantial sampling and nonsampling error, they are included in the number of FSP-eligible individuals in this report. Because FDPIR participants are included in estimates of FSP eligibles, but not in estimates of FSP participants, the participation rates are slightly underestimated. ${ }^{2}$ We made one minor correction to the way in which earnings were counted in SSI units, but this had virtually no impact on the estimates. ]


(PA) benefits but who would fail the FSP income tests. These persons were not included in the denominator because CPS data do not provide sufficient information to identify them. In the 2003 and 2004 rates, we remove these persons from the numerator in order to maintain consistency with the denominator. ${ }^{3}$

Historically, when we have made major methodological improvements to the estimation procedure, we report two participation rates for the previous year: an original rate, based on the previous methodology, and a revised rate, based on the improved methodology. This allows for a consistent trend in rates without a gap in the years with changes. As shown in Table 5 of the report, rates from 1976 to 1994, from 1994 to 1999, from 1999 to 2002, and from 2003 to 2004 are consistent. The 1994 to 1999 rates correct for a downward bias in rates due to limitations in the CPS data.

The 1999 to 2002 rates reflect a change to report fiscal year rather than monthly rates because the larger sample size results in smaller sampling errors for subgroup participation rates. The 1999 to 2002 rates also differ from previous rates because these are based on a revised March 2000 CPS file that was reweighted based on Census 2000 and because of minor modeling changes for forming FSP units and identifying individuals with disabilities.

The 2003 to 2004 rates remove from the numerator participating persons who are categorically eligible only through receipt of noncash PA (but who would fail the FSP income tests) to be consistent with the definition of eligible persons used in the denominator. However, unlike with previous methodological improvements, there is no revised 2002 rate that would allow for a consistent comparison between 2002 and 2003.

[^7]We did not make any methodological changes to the CPS eligibility file between 2003 and 2004. Therefore, to prepare the 2004 file, we updated the data to March 2005 CPS, and updated the food stamp eligibility parameters and rules to fiscal year 2004. In summary, we made the following parameter and eligibility rule changes in the 2004 eligibility file:

- Updated the FSP gross income screen, net income screen, and maximum benefit amounts to reflect the implementation of fiscal year 2004 FSP regulations
- Updated the regression equation used to estimate FSP net income using the fiscal year 2004 FSPQC data
- Estimated two new asset equations simulating asset rules in place in October 2003 and September 2004
- Updated the percentage of nondisabled nonelderly childless adults who are qualified to receive food stamps, and the estimated percentage of noncitizens who are refugees
- Implemented changes made under the Farm Security Act of 2002 that were effective starting in FY 2004. A key policy change that was implemented on October 1, 2003, was the restoration of eligibility for all legal noncitizens under age 18 (regardless of their date of entry). Changes implemented under the Farm Security Act are described in more detail below.

The remainder of this appendix describes the methodology used to calculate the participation rates. At the end of the appendix, we include a section describing potential future improvements to our methodology. Appendix Tables C.1-C. 6 list the updated eligibility parameters and other information used in updating the participation rates.

## A. DETERMINING FSP-ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS

The March CPS provides income and program participation information for the previous calendar year. ${ }^{4}$ We estimate the number of food stamp eligible individuals with a model that uses March CPS data to simulate the FSP in an average month. Although the model does not capture data specific to a particular month, it does impute monthly income for 12 individual (random)

[^8]months. ${ }^{5}$ We average the results from all 12 simulations to produce an average monthly estimate. Because asset eligibility rules changed during fiscal year 2004, we ran two sets of 12 simulations. The first set of simulations reflects rules in place at the beginning of the fiscal year and the second set reflects rules in place at the end of the fiscal year. We average the results to simulate asset eligibility using the earlier rules for the first 6 months of the year and the later rules for the second 6 months.

In the simulation procedure, FSP eligibility guidelines that were in effect in 2004 are applied to each household in the CPS. The FSP guidelines include unit formation rules, asset limits, and income limits. Because several types of information needed to determine FSP eligibility are missing from the CPS data, we impute some information to improve the model estimates of eligible households. This estimation procedure is explained below.

## 1. Simulating the Composition of the Food Stamp Unit

In the FSP, the food stamp unit is based on shared living quarters and who purchases and prepares food together. While the CPS defines the dwelling unit based on shared living quarters, it does not identify who purchases and prepares food together. As a result, we simulate the formation of food stamp units within each household. For most households, we simulate all household members to be in the same food stamp unit. For some households with certain compositions (e.g., multiple family households, households with unrelated individuals, etc.) we may simulate two or more groups of people to form separate food stamp units. The probability that a household will form multiple units is based on observed rates for similar households from FSPQC data. We also use the following rules in identifying food stamp units:

[^9]- We exclude ineligible noncitizens and nondisabled nonelderly childless adults subject to work registration.
- We exclude SSI recipients who are not eligible for the FSP because they receive cash instead of food stamps in SSI cashout States (currently only California). ${ }^{6}$
- We exclude all individuals who are living in group quarters, are full-time students, or live in households headed by a member of the Armed Forces.


## 2. Identifying Eligible Noncitizens and Nondisabled Nonelderly Childless Adults Subject to Work Registration

The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA) made most noncitizens ineligible and required many nondisabled childless adults to work or face time limits on benefit receipt. However, some noncitizens remained eligible through waiver exemptions, and more had their eligibility restored by the Agricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform Act (AREERA) of 1998 and the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (Farm Security Act). Likewise, some nondisabled nonelderly childless adults retained their eligibility through waivers, exemptions, or by meeting the work requirements. Because the CPS does not track all of the information needed to identify eligible noncitizens and nondisabled nonelderly childless adults, we make assumptions about how many and which of these individuals remain eligible. In order to retain sample size, we implement our eligibility assumptions for these populations through weighting adjustments. ${ }^{7}$

[^10]
## a. Identifying Eligible Noncitizens

Although some legal noncitizens remain categorically ineligible for the FSP, AREERA and the Farm Security Act restored eligibility to many noncitizens who were otherwise eligible for the FSP. In fiscal year 2004, the following noncitizens were eligible:

- Noncitizens who have lived legally in the United States for over 5 years (effective April 2003)
- Noncitizens who are receiving disability benefits, regardless of date of entry (effective October 2002)
- Noncitizens who are under age 18 , regardless of date of entry (effective October 2003)
- Refugees, deportees, or asylees (eligible for 7 years after arrival)
- Other groups of noncitizens such as lawful permanent residents with a military connection.

The CPS data distinguish between citizens and noncitizens, but do not include more detailed information such as whether a noncitizen is lawfully in the United States, is a refugee, or has permanent resident status. Since undocumented noncitizens are ineligible for the FSP, we randomly assign undocumented immigrant status to noncitizens according to estimates of the number of undocumented immigrants by State in 2002 and exclude those individuals from the food stamp unit. ${ }^{8}$

The CPS file includes information on noncitizens' year of arrival in the United States, so we use this information to determine which noncitizens have been in the country for at least 5 years. We allowed qualified noncitizens age 18 and over who have been in the country for at least 5
(continued)
copy of the record, we exclude the noncitizen and multiply the household weight by the probability that the noncitizen is ineligible.
${ }^{8}$ Passel et al, 2004.
years to be eligible in 2004. We allowed qualified noncitizens under age 18 to be eligible in 2004, regardless of year of entry.

We also use CPS year-of-arrival information and data from the Immigration and Naturalization Service to estimate the percent of noncitizens who are refugees by year of entry. Using these estimates in our 2004 eligibility determination, we assume 16 percent of noncitizens who entered the United States in 1998 or 1999, 14 percent who entered in 2000 or 2001, and 8 percent who entered in 2002, 2003, or 2004 are refugees. We allowed refugees who have been in the United States for 7 years or less to be eligible in 2004.

The remaining noncitizens are identified as ineligible. We exclude them, along with undocumented noncitizens, from the food stamp unit and assign a prorated portion of their income to the FSP unit. We also consider the excluded members' income and assets when determining the eligibility of the remaining unit members.

## b. Identifying Nondisabled Nonelderly Childless Adults Subject to Work Registration

Referred to as ABAWDs in past reports, these individuals are ages 18 to 49 , not disabled, not living with children under age 18 , and subject to work registration. With some exceptions, these individuals must meet work requirements to participate in the FSP. If they fail to meet the work requirements, they are limited to 3 months of benefit receipt in any 36-month period. They may be exempt from these requirements if they live in an area with high unemployment or insufficient jobs (waiver area), participate in an employment and training program, or are covered by their State's 15-percent exemption.

We identify nondisabled nonelderly childless adults subject to work registration by looking at basic demographic characteristics in the CPS. ${ }^{9}$ Because we cannot determine from the CPS

[^11]which of these individuals remain eligible for the FSP, we impute this information. We estimate the proportion who have not reached the time limit based on data from the SIPP and the proportion who are eligible due to the 15-percent exemption, participation in an employment and training program, or because they live in waiver areas using federal and State administrative data. ${ }^{10}$ The target proportions for nondisabled nonelderly childless adults subject to work registration who are eligible for the FSP are presented in Table C.1. Nondisabled nonelderly childless adults who are not eligible are excluded from the food stamp unit and, as with noncitizens, have a prorated portion of their income assigned to the FSP unit and their assets considered when we determine whether the unit is asset-eligible.

## 3. Identifying Categorically Eligible Food Stamp Units

Certain food stamp units are categorically eligible for the FSP and therefore not subject to income or asset limits. A unit is categorically eligible if all of its members receive SSI, cash or in-kind TANF benefits, or in some places, General Assistance (GA). A broader interpretation of categorical eligibility rules implemented on November 21, 2000, requires States to confer categorical eligibility on families receiving benefits or services that are at least 50 percent funded by TANF or Maintenance of Effort (MOE) funds. States have the option of conferring categorical eligibility on families receiving benefits or services that are less than 50 percent funded by TANF/MOE. They may also confer categorical eligibility on households where 1 member receives the benefit or service, but the State determines that the whole household benefits. To be categorically eligible for the FSP, households that receive services or benefits from a TANF/MOE-funded program whose purpose is to prevent out-of-wedlock pregnancies or foster or strengthen marriage must have gross incomes under 200 percent of poverty.

[^12]All the estimates in this report include households that are categorically eligible due to receipt of cash public assistance benefits (defined as TANF, SSI, or GA income). They also include households that are categorically eligible due to receipt of noncash TANF assistance and that would pass the applicable income tests, regardless of whether they would pass the FSP asset test. However, for reasons discussed below, in the 2003 and 2004 estimates, households that are categorically eligible through noncash TANF assistance but have incomes higher than FSP eligibility limits are not included in either the numerator or the denominator of the estimated participation rates.

Using CPS data, we can identify units in which all members receive some type of cash public assistance benefits. These units are automatically eligible for the FSP program and, as mentioned above, those that qualify for a positive benefit are included in the denominator of the participation rates presented in this report. ${ }^{11}$

There are, however, significant challenges to identifying units that are categorically eligible due to the receipt of noncash public assistance benefits. Some States have very broad programs that provide a simple service-a TANF/MOE funded brochure on domestic violence, for example-to confer categorical eligibility on a large number of households. Other States have a wide variety of smaller programs, such as job training or after-school programs, with specific eligibility requirements for each program. While we are able to identify households that are likely eligible for some of these programs, we do not have data on which households are actually participating in the programs. For other programs, we are unable to ascertain which households
${ }^{11}$ Because of the underreporting of program participation in the CPS, we likely underestimate the number of pure public assistance units.
may be eligible. Because of these challenges, we are presenting participation rates that do not include individuals who are eligible solely through noncash TANF/MOE programs. ${ }^{12}$

Although we do not identify noncash public assistance recipients to include in the denominator of our participation rates, we do simulate asset eligibility based on expanded vehicle rules. ${ }^{13}$ Some States expanded their asset rules by aligning their FSP vehicle rules with those from a TANF/MOE program. Other States expanded asset rules through broadly conferred categorical eligibility. We simulate asset rules implemented through either program alignment or categorical eligibility. We do this in order to be consistent with our estimates of participating households. ${ }^{14}$ As a result, both the numerator and the denominator are restricted to households that are asset-eligible based on expanded State-level asset rules and are either income-eligible or pure cash public assistance (PA) households.

## 4. Determining Income Eligibility

Non-categorically eligible food stamp units must meet income limits in order to be eligible for benefits. Food stamp units that do not contain elderly or disabled members must have a gross income below 130 percent of the monthly poverty guidelines. There is no gross income limit for units that contain elderly or disabled members. In addition, all non-categorically eligible food stamp units must have a net income below 100 percent of the poverty guidelines.

Before determining each household's income eligibility, we estimate monthly income and household net income as follows:

[^13]- Estimating Monthly Income. The CPS database includes information on annual income, but eligibility for the FSP is determined according to monthly income. Therefore, we distribute annual income to months on the basis of patterns of income receipt shown by SIPP data and number of weeks worked shown in CPS data. We then sum the monthly income allocated to each month for each person in the household to determine each household's gross income for each month. Simply dividing annual income by 12 would underestimate the number of eligible individuals in any given month.
- Estimating Net Income. The CPS database does not include information on the expenses that are deducted from gross income to compute net income. Therefore, we model net income as a function of the household's earnings, unearned income, gross income, and geographic location for each year. ${ }^{15}$ This model is based on patterns observed in the FSPQC data. The estimated relationships (coefficients) are presented in Table C.2.

We use the food stamp gross and net income screens and the maximum benefit amounts to reflect regulations for each fiscal year. We then determine income eligibility for each household based on these regulations. These parameters, along with other FSP eligibility criteria, are presented in Table C.3.

## 5. Determining Asset Eligibility

To be eligible for FSP benefits, a non-categorically eligible food stamp unit must have countable assets under the applicable FSP asset limit. If the unit contains an elderly or disabled person, the asset limit is $\$ 3,000$. For all other households, the asset limit is $\$ 2,000$. Since asset balances are not reported in the CPS database, we use equations estimated from our SIPP-based microsimulation model to impute the probability that income-eligible units subject to the asset test are asset-eligible and, therefore, fully eligible.

[^14]Expansions in FSP vehicle rules were gradually implemented across States throughout 2001 and 2002. Regulations implemented in January 2001 exclude from the asset test the value of vehicles with equity less than $\$ 1,500$ and the value of vehicles used to produce income, used as a home, used to transport a disabled household member, or used to carry fuel or water. In addition, for each adult household member, 1 vehicle not totally excluded is exempt from the equity test and instead counted at the fair market value (FMV) in excess of $\$ 4,650.1$ additional vehicle per minor household member that is driven by the minor to work, school, or training is also counted at the vehicle's FMV in excess of $\$ 4,650$.

Additional vehicle rule expansions began in July 2001, when States were permitted to align their FSP vehicle rules with rules in place for other programs, such as TANF or child care assistance. In response to this new flexibility, States began gradually changing their vehicle rules. Additional States used broader categorical eligibility rules to exempt more households from the asset test. By the end of fiscal year 2004, all but 2 States had implemented changes in the FSP vehicle rules.

To model these rule changes, we estimate asset imputation equations at 2 points in time. The first set of equations simulates FSP asset rules in place in October 2003 and is used to simulate the first half of 2004. The second set of equations simulates asset rules in place in September 2004 and is used to simulate the second half of 2004. For each time period, we model 20 different vehicle rules to fully capture State-level differences.

The unweighted counts of households in the March CPS for 1976-2004 are listed in Table C.4. Unweighted counts of households by their probability of being eligible in 2004 are listed in Table C.5.

## 6. Identifying Food Stamp Eligible Units with TANF

Although we simulate food stamp eligible units as described above, we use the reported information in the CPS to identify those food stamp eligible units receiving TANF. Because TANF receipt is underreported in the CPS, the number of food stamp eligible units with TANF is underestimated. This leads to participation rates for food stamp eligible households receiving TANF that exceed 100 percent. Rates for subgroups that include a large proportion of households with TANF, such as households with single adults and children, also exceed 100 percent. Typically, the number of households reporting TANF receipt in the March CPS is about 75 percent of the administrative totals.

One approach to correct for underreporting of TANF is to simulate TANF eligibility and receipt in the model. Future updates may incorporate a TANF model that would correct for the underreporting of TANF and improve the estimates of participation rates among food stamp units with TANF. ${ }^{16}$

## B. DETERMINING THE NUMBER OF FSP PARTICIPANTS

The number of participants for the participation rate comes from the FSP Statistical Summary of Operations (Program Operations) data and the FSPQC datafile. We use these databases because FSP participation is under-reported in the CPS data. The Program Operations data provides counts of individuals and households that were issued benefits and the total dollar value of these benefits in each month. The FSPQC datafile is an edited version of the raw datafile generated by the FSP Quality Control System and contains data on the demographic and economic characteristics of a sample of participating households. The fiscal year 2004 FSPQC datafile is weighted on the unit level to match program operations counts that have been reduced

[^15]to account for benefits issued to: 1) ineligible households; 2) eligible households that do not qualify for a positive benefit; and 3) households eligible only under disaster-related rules. The FSPQC file is not weighted on the individual or benefit level, so we ratio-adjust estimates of eligible participating individuals and benefits to match disaster- and ineligible-adjusted Program Operations counts of participating individuals and issued benefits. ${ }^{17}$ Because we adjust the Program Operations data by State and by month, our current methodology is more precise than for pre-2003 reports. To be consistent with the estimated eligible population, we also remove households in Guam and the U.S. Virgin Islands and households that are categorically eligible and not income-eligible.

For the participation rate numerators, we use the average monthly number of participants over the 12 months in the fiscal year. The sample sizes of participating households in the FSPQC datafiles are listed in Table C.6.

## 1. Identifying Categorically Eligible Participating Food Stamp Units

Because the 2004 FSPQC file does not contain the individual level information on TANF receipt that is needed to identify pure cash PA households, we use an algorithm for determining program coverage. ${ }^{18}$ First, we assign coverage flags for individual programs. SSI and GA cover only the person coded with the income, whereas TANF can cover additional family members as follows:

[^16]- TANF received by the head of the unit or the head's spouse covers the unit head, spouse, and children (as identified by the relationship codes and age)
- TANF received by a child of the head of the unit covers that child and other relatives (presumably the grandchildren of the unit head)
- In child-only units, TANF receipt anywhere in the household covers all the children

After assigning coverage flags, we identify a unit as pure cash PA if everyone in the unit is covered by TANF, SSI, or GA or if the unit has TANF income and all adults are covered by TANF, SSI, or GA. Pure cash PA units are categorically eligible for the FSP and therefore exempt from the income and asset tests. These units still must qualify for a positive benefit.

We can also identify units that are categorically eligible through noncash PA programs through a variable in the FSPQC data that flags these units. By applying the applicable income tests, we can determine which of these units are not income-eligible and remove them from the participation rate numerator to be consistent with our estimates of eligibles (Section A.3). However, because many of these units do not have any assets recorded on the file, we are unable to identify which of these households would fail the asset test if they were not categorically eligible. As a result, we do not remove any households from the numerator based on their assets and we also restrict the denominator to households that are asset-eligible based on expanded State-level asset rules and are either income-eligible or pure cash PA households.

## C. CALCULATING FSP PARTICIPATION RATES

We estimate participation rates by dividing the number of participants recorded in the adjusted Program Operations data by the number of eligible individuals simulated on the basis of CPS data. The numbers of participants and eligible individuals used to calculate the 2004 participation rates are presented in Appendix A.

## D. COMPARISON WITH SIPP-BASED RATES

In addition to the CPS-based estimates presented in this report, the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) can also be used to measure participation rates. SIPP data contain more of the information needed to estimate eligibility for the FSP, and the methodology used to estimate eligibility with SIPP data more closely replicates the actual FSP eligibility determination process. However, the SIPP data cover a shorter period than the CPS, and certain types of SIPP data that are needed to estimate eligible individuals are available only for a limited number of years. In addition, CPS data are consistently available on a timelier basis than SIPP data.

Historically, the trends identified through the CPS-based data have been consistent with those identified through SIPP-based data (Figure C.1). The change in methodology implemented in the August 1995 report shifted the CPS-based rates up, so that both the trend and the level of the CPS-based rates are more in line with the SIPP-based rates.

## E. POTENTIAL FUTURE METHODOLOGICAL IMPROVEMENTS

We are currently assessing several additional methodological improvements that may be implemented for future participation rate estimates. First, as mentioned above, we continue to explore appropriate ways to identify households eligible through receipt of noncash public assistance benefits. Second, we are investigating the feasibility of simulating TANF receipt in our eligibility file rather than using reported TANF receipt. We anticipate that doing so would have minimal impact on the overall number of eligible households, but would substantially increase the number of eligible households with TANF, lowering the participation rates among these households and raising participation rates among non-TANF households. Third, we are exploring the possibility of modeling the effect of stricter financial support requirements for the sponsors of immigrants, which may identify as ineligible some noncitizens currently identified as
eligible in our model. We are also exploring the possibility of using estimates of undocumented immigrants that are based on combined years of data, rather than a single year of data, to reduce the variability of the estimates based on work conducted by Jeffrey Passel of the Pew Hispanic Center. Finally, we are examining the impact of the net income imputation in the eligibility file. Based on the results of that examination, we may respecify the net income imputation equations or develop alternative approaches for determining net income.

TABLE C. 1

## PERCENT OF NONDISABLED NONELDERLY CHILDLESS ADULTS SUBJECT TO WORK REGISTRATION WHO ARE ELIGIBLE FOR THE FSP BY REASON FOR ELIGIBILITY, 2004

|  | Percent Eligible by Reason for Eligibility |  |  |  | Total Percent Eligiblefor the FSP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Living in Waiver Area | Have Not Exceeded Time Limits ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | In E \& T Program | Received Exemption |  |
| Alabama | 29 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 1 | 73 / 80 |
| Alaska | 100 | 62 / 72 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Arizona | 33 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | 74 / 81 |
| Arkansas | 57 | 62 / 72 | 0 | 0 | $84 / 88$ |
| California | 17 | 62 / 72 | 0 | 0 | $68 / 77$ |
| Colorado | 0 | $62 / 72$ | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Connecticut | 29 | 62 / 72 | 0 | 2 | 73 / 81 |
| Delaware | 0 | 62 / 72 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| District of Columbia | 100 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Florida | 48 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | 80 / 85 |
| Georgia | 23 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | $71 / 79$ |
| Hawaii | 17 | 62 / 72 | 0 | 0 | 69 / 77 |
| Idaho | 0 | 62 / 72 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Illinois | 0 | $62 / 72$ | 100 | 1 | 100 |
| Indiana | 0 | 62 / 72 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Iowa | 0 | 62 / 72 | 0 | 0 | 62 / 72 |
| Kansas | 32 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | 74 / 81 |
| Kentucky | 62 | $62 / 72$ | 1 | 0 | 85 / 89 |
| Louisiana | 100 | 62 / 72 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Maine | 43 | 62 / 72 | 0 | 0 | $78 / 84$ |
| Maryland | 32 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | 74 / 81 |
| Massachusetts | 0 | 62 / 72 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Michigan | 0 | 62 / 72 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Minnesota | 12 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | 67 / 75 |
| Mississippi | 0 | 62 / 72 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Missouri | 39 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | 77 / 83 |
| Montana | 44 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 1 | 79 / 84 |
| Nebraska | 0 | $62 / 72$ | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Nevada | 14 | 62 / 72 | 0 | 0 | 67 / 76 |
| New Hampshire | 36 | 62 / 72 | 0 | 0 | 75 / 82 |
| New Jersey | 0 | 62 / 72 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| New Mexico | 67 | $62 / 72$ | 0 |  | $87 / 91$ |
| New York | 0 | $62 / 72$ | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| North Carolina | 100 | $62 / 72$ | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| North Dakota | 6 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 1 | 64 / 74 |
| Ohio | 0 | $62 / 72$ | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Oklahoma | 15 | 62 / 72 | 0 | 0 | 68 / 76 |
| Oregon | 100 | 62 / 72 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Pennsylvania | 42 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 1 | $78 / 84$ |
| Rhode Island | 51 | 62 / 72 | 0 | 0 | $81 / 86$ |
| South Carolina | 100 | 62 / 72 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| South Dakota | 0 | $62 / 72$ | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Tennessee | 34 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 2 | 75 / 82 |
| Texas | 0 | $62 / 72$ | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Utah | 0 | 62 / 72 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Vermont | 8 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | $65 / 74$ |
| Virginia | 13 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | 67 / 76 |
| Washington | 100 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| West Virginia | 0 | $62 / 72$ | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Wisconsin | 35 | $62 / 72$ | 1 | 0 | 75 / 82 |
| Wyoming | 7 | $62 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | 64 / 74 |

${ }^{a}$ The lower number is for individuals in households reporting food stamp receipt in the SIPP. The higher number is for individuals in households not reporting food stamp receipt in the SIPP.

## TABLE C. 2

RESULTS FOR THE FOOD STAMP NET INCOME REGRESSION EQUATION
(Standard Error Estimates in Parentheses)

| Explanatory Variable | Coefficients Estimated Using Administrative Data for 2004 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} -261.20922 * \\ (3.23963) \end{gathered}$ |
| Earnings | $\begin{gathered} 0.64377 \text { * } \\ (0.00478) \end{gathered}$ |
| Earnings Squared | $\begin{gathered} 0.00005085 \text { * } \\ (0.00000247) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unearned Income | $\begin{gathered} 0.80608 * \\ (0.00680) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unearned Income Squared | $\begin{gathered} 0.00006680 \text { * } \\ (0.00000454) \end{gathered}$ |
| Flag for Households With Gross Income $\leq \$ 100$ | $\begin{gathered} 164.56214 * \\ (5.60545) \end{gathered}$ |
| Flag for Households Residing in Alaska | $\begin{aligned} & -25.85443 * \\ & (9.78675) \end{aligned}$ |
| Flag for Households Residing in Hawaii | $\begin{aligned} & 55.22945 \text { * } \\ & (6.13139) \end{aligned}$ |
| Flag for Households Residing in the Midwest | $\begin{aligned} & 35.85739 * \\ & (2.61072) \end{aligned}$ |
| Flag for Households Residing in the South | $\begin{aligned} & 70.00583 * \\ & (2.39353) \end{aligned}$ |
| Flag for Households Residing in the West | $\begin{aligned} & 33.83448 * \\ & (2.67359) \end{aligned}$ |
| Sample Size | 40,864 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.8175 |
| Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.8175 |

*Indicates significance at the .05 level using a two-tail t-test. Coefficients identified as significant at the .05 level are those with $t$-values greater than 1.96 .

## TABLE C. 3

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FSP ELIGIBILITY PARAMETERS

| Countable Assets Screen | $\$ 2,000$ for households without elderly or disabled members $\$ 3,000$ for households with elderly or disabled members |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Income Screen | 130 percent of the Monthly Poverty Guidelines |  |  |  |
| Net Income Screen | 100 percent of the Monthly Poverty Guidelines |  |  |  |
| Monthly Poverty Guidelines | Unit Size | Continental US | Alaska | Hawaii |
|  | 1 | \$ 749 | \$ 935 | \$ 861 |
|  | 2 | 1,010 | 1,262 | 1,162 |
|  | 3 | 1,272 | 1,590 | 1,463 |
|  | 4 | 1,534 | 1,917 | 1,764 |
|  | 5 | 1,795 | 2,245 | 2,065 |
|  | 6 | 2,057 | 2,572 | 2,365 |
|  | 7 | 2,319 | 2,900 | 2,666 |
|  | 8 | 2,580 | 3,227 | 2,967 |
|  | Each Additional | +262 | +328 | +301 |
| Standard Deduction | Unit Size | Continental US | Alaska | Hawaii |
|  | 1-4 | \$134 | \$229 | \$189 |
|  | 5 | 149 | 229 | 189 |
|  | 6+ | 171 | 229 | 197 |
| Maximum Dependent Care Deduction | \$200 for dependents under age 2, \$175 for dependents age 2 and over |  |  |  |
| Excess Shelter Deduction |  | Continental US | Alaska | Hawaii |
|  |  | \$378 | \$604 | \$509 |
| Benefit Calculation | Benefit $=$ Maximum benefit -30 percent of Net Income |  |  |  |
| Maximum Monthly Benefit | Unit Size | Continental US | Alaska | Hawaii |
|  | 1 | \$141 | \$167 | \$210 |
|  | 2 | 259 | 307 | 386 |
|  | 3 | 371 | 439 | 553 |
|  | 4 | 471 | 558 | 702 |
|  | 5 | 560 | 663 | 834 |
|  | 6 | 672 | 795 | 1,001 |
|  | 7 | 743 | 879 | 1,106 |
|  | 8 | 849 | 1,005 | 1,264 |
|  | Each Additional | +106 | +126 | +158 |
| Minimum Monthly Benefit | Unit Size |  |  |  |
|  | 1-2 \$10 |  |  |  |
|  | 3+ \$0 |  |  |  |
| Categorically Eligible | Receipt of cash or in-kind TANF benefits, SSI, or GA |  |  |  |
| SSI Cashout States | California only |  |  |  |

Note: Eligibility parameters are for the 50 States and the District of Columbia.

TABLE C. 4
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZES FOR THE CPS, 1976-2004

| Analysis Year | All Households |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 68,294 |
| 1978 | 68,455 |
| 1980 | 81,451 |
| 1982 | 73,195 |
| 1984 | 74,568 |
| 1986 | 73,843 |
| 1988 | 70,454 |
| 1990 | 75,076 |
| 1991 | 74,236 |
| 1992 | 73,878 |
| 1993 | 73,126 |
| 1994 | 72,152 |
| 1995 | 63,339 |
| 1996 | 64,046 |
| 1997 | 64,659 |
| 1998 | 65,377 |
| 1999 | 64,944 |
| 2000 | 78,054 |
| 2001 | 78,265 |
| 2002 | 78,310 |
| 2003 | 75,064 |
| 2004 |  |
|  | 749 |

TABLE C. 5
UNWEIGHTED COUNTS OF HOUSEHOLDS BY THE PROBABILITY OF BEING
ELIGIBLE, 2004

|  | Unweighted <br> Counts |
| :--- | :---: |
| All Households | 75,064 |
| Households With a Probability of Being Eligible Greater Than Zero |  |
| Total | 15,687 |
| Probability of Being Eligible |  |
| $>0.0-0.25$ | 1,270 |
| $>0.25-0.50$ | 735 |
| $>0.50-0.75$ | 3,187 |
| $>0.75-<1.00$ | 8,544 |
| 1.00 | 1,951 |

Note: Estimates in this table reflect the number of CPS households in which at least 1 unit has a non-zero probability of being eligible for food stamps. We determine the probability that a unit is eligible by calculating whether it passes the appropriate income tests and estimating the probability of passing the asset test. The final probability of being eligible is multiplied by the weight to determine the unit's contribution to the total (weighted) number of eligible units. In households with multiple food stamp units, the probabilities of each unit are combined into one household probability. The data shown in each column reflect the number of households in the month with the median number of households with a positive probability of being eligible.

TABLE C. 6
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE SIZES FOR THE FSPQC CASE RECORDS

| Month/Year | FSPQC Case Records |
| :---: | :---: |
| September 1976 | 11,038 |
| February 1978 | 14,211 |
| August 1980 | 4,140 |
| August 1982 | 7,224 |
| August 1984 | 6,918 |
| July/August 1986 | 11,010 |
| July/August 1988 | $10,695$ |
| July/August 1990 | $10,639$ |
| July/August 1991 | $10,602$ |
| July/August 1992 | 9,586 |
| July/August 1993 | 9,389 |
| August/September 1994 | 8,933 |
| August/September 1995 | 8,313 |
| August/September 1996 | $8,304$ |
| August/September 1997 | 7,907 |
| August/September 1998 | 7,336 |
| August/September 1999 | 7,558 |
| Fiscal Year 1999 | 46,935 |
| Fiscal Year 2000 | 46,336 |
| Fiscal Year 2001 | 46,412 |
| Fiscal Year 2002 | 47,602 |
| Fiscal Year 2003 | 48,896 |
| Fiscal Year 2004 | 48,806 |


$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 & 1992 & 1993 & 1994^{*} & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999^{*} & 2000 & 2001 & 2002 & 2003 & 2004\end{array}$
Sources: FSP Program Operations data, FSPQC data, SIPP data, and March CPS data for the years shown.
*There are breaks in the time series in 1994 and 1999 and between 2002 and 2003 due to revisions in the methodology for determining eligibility.

## APPENDIX D

## SAMPLING ERROR OF PARTICIPATION RATE ESTIMATES

## APPENDIX D

The participation rates contained in this report represent the ratio of FSP participants to FSP eligible individuals. Participant counts are based on FSP Program Operations data and FSP Quality Control (FSPQC) data. Eligible counts are based on March CPS data. Since both counts are derived from samples, both are subject to statistical sampling error, as are the resulting participation rate estimates.

## Standard Errors of Participation Rates

One indicator of the magnitude of the sampling error associated with a given estimate is its standard error. Standard errors measure the variation in estimated values that would be observed if multiple replications of the sample were drawn. The magnitude of the standard errors depends on: (1) the degree of variation in the variable within the population from which the sample is drawn; (2) the design of the sample, including such issues as stratification and sampling probabilities; and (3) the size of the sample on which the estimate is based.

Generally, the standard error of a nonlinear variable, such as a participation rate, cannot be estimated directly. Rather, one must estimate the standard error of a linear approximation of the nonlinear variable. To estimate the standard error of participation rates contained in this report, we use a Taylor series expansion to produce a linear approximation of the participation rate. The variance of the participation rate, $\operatorname{var}(r)$, can be expressed as a function of the number of participants $(p)$, the number of eligible individuals $(e)$, and their respective variances:

$$
\text { (1) } \operatorname{var}(r)=\operatorname{var}(p / e) \mathrm{B}(p / e)^{2}\left[\operatorname{var}(p) / p^{2}+\operatorname{var}(e) / e^{2}\right]
$$

The standard error of the participation rate is simply the square root of the variance.
Because the FSPQC sample design is relatively simple, we directly calculated the variance of the number of participants. The CPS, however, has a complex sample design. Therefore, we
estimated the variance of the number of eligible individuals using a "jackknife" estimator. The jackknife method involves calculating alternative estimates of the number of eligible individuals based on subsamples of the CPS, then obtaining a variance by measuring the variability in the estimates.

## Confidence Intervals

Standard errors can be used to compute confidence intervals for the estimated participation rates. A confidence interval is a range of values that will contain the true value of an estimated participation rate with a known probability. For instance, a 90-percent confidence interval extends 1.645 standard errors above and below the estimated rate, and indicates that there is a 90-percent chance that the confidence interval will contain the true value. Table D. 1 presents standard errors and confidence intervals for selected participation rates.
TABLE D. 1


| Variable | Participation Rate with 90\% Confidence Interval | Eligibles |  | Participants |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Standard Error | Number | Standard Error |
| Individuals | 60.5 +/- 1.2 | 38,354,693 | 437,769 | 23,187,688 | 91,040 |
| Households | 54.7 +/- 0.9 | 18,266,333 | 187,820 | 9,988,818 | 0 |
| Benefits | 70.6 +/- 1.7 | 2,807,734,679 | 38,355,563 | 1,981,191,535 | 8,502,220 |
| Children | 81.5 +/- 2.6 | 14,293,940 | 253,752 | 11,647,694 | 81,914 |
| Nonelderly Adults | 55.5 +/- 1.1 | 17,367,239 | 199,345 | 9,639,966 | 39,108 |
| Elderly Individuals | 28.4 +/- 1.1 | 6,693,514 | 125,870 | 1,900,029 | 25,656 |
| Nondisabled Childless Adults Subject to Work Registration | 30.6 +/- 1.7 | 2,855,321 | 77,962 | 872,651 | 17,571 |
| Noncitizens | $42.4+$ - 3.6 | 2,124,131 | 86,946 | 901,145 | 28,796 |
| Citizen Children Living With Noncitizen Adults | $51.5+/-4.3$ | 2,898,691 | 122,705 | 1,492,690 | 43,234 |
| Individuals in Households With Children and One Adult | 106.7 +/- 4.8 | 9,749,026 | 250,963 | 10,398,921 | 94,239 |
| Individuals In Households |  |  |  |  |  |
| With Earnings | 51.3 +/- 1.7 | 17,877,800 | 292,521 | 9,163,526 | 100,078 |
| With TANF | 145.3 +/- 11.4 | 3,458,087 | 155,601 | 5,025,838 | 76,718 |
| With Nonelderly SSI | 97.3 +/- 7.1 | 3,847,749 | 158,807 | 3,745,342 | 59,973 |
| With Elderly SSI | 97.0 +/- 11.3 | 1,288,345 | 87,506 | 1,250,141 | 25,940 |
| Individuals In Households With Very Low Income | 104.3 +/- 5.2 | 7,143,375 | 198,367 | 7,447,547 | 88,926 |
| Individuals In Households With Income Slightly Above Poverty | 29.4 +/- 1.5 | 8,987,300 | 204,687 | 2,644,097 | 54,075 |

Note: Participation rates over 100 percent are due to reporting errors in the CPS. See Appendix C for more information.

APPENDIX E

ECONOMIC AND POLICY INFLUENCES ON THE FOOD STAMP PROGRAM

TABLE E. 1
SUMMARY OF MAJOR INFLUENCES ON FSP PARTICIPATION RATES, 1976-2004

| Period of Change | Major Influences | Effect on Number of Participants and Eligible Individuals | Participation Rate Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 to 1978 | Rising inflation and strengthening economy | Almost no change in participants. Substantial decrease in eligible individuals. | Up 7 points |
| 1978 to 1980 | Food Stamp Act of 1977 | Substantial increase in participants. Decrease in eligible individuals. | Up 17 points |
| 1980 to 1982 | Recession | Almost no change in participants. Substantial increase in eligible individuals. | Down 3 points |
| 1982 to 1984 | Economic recovery | Slight decline in both participants and eligible individuals. | No change |
| 1984 to 1986 | 1985 Food Security Act | Almost no change in participants. Substantial increase in eligible individuals. | Down 4 points |
| 1986 to 1988 | Growth in economy | Small decline in participants and eligible individuals. | No change |
| 1988 to 1990 | Medicaid expansion, Homeless Assistance Act, IRCA, worsening economy | Increase in participants. Small decline in eligible individuals. | Up 6 points |
| 1990 to 1991 | Continued Medicaid expansion, recession | Increase in participants. Smaller percent increase in eligible individuals. | Up 3 points |
| 1991 to 1992 | Continued Medicaid expansion, recession | Increase in participants. Smaller percent increase in eligible individuals. | Up 2 points |
| 1992 to 1993 | Improving economy | Increase in participants. Smaller percent increase in eligible individuals. | Up 1 point |
| 1993 to 1994 | Improving economy | No change in participants. Small drop in eligible individuals. | Up 1 point |
| 1994 to 1995 | Improving economy | Decrease in eligible individuals. Relatively larger decrease in participants. | Down 2 point |
| 1995 to 1996 | Improving economy | No change in eligible individuals, decrease in participants. | Down 3 points |
| 1996 to 1997 | Welfare reform, improving economy | Large decrease in participants and eligible individuals. Larger decrease in participants. | Down 5 points |
| 1997 to 1998 | Welfare reform, improving economy | Large decrease in participants and eligible individuals. Larger decrease in participants. | Down 4 points |
| 1998 to 1999 | Welfare reform, improving economy | Decrease in participants and eligible individuals. Larger decrease in participants. | Down 2 points |
| 1999 to 2000 | Welfare reform, improving economy | Decrease in participants and eligible individuals. Larger decrease in participants. | Down less than 1 point |
| 2000 to 2001 | Increased asset eligibility, worsening economy | Slight increase in participants. Large increase in eligible individuals. | Down 3 points |
| 2001 to 2002 | Increased asset eligibility, increased poverty | Large increase in participants and eligible individuals. Larger increase in participants. | Up less than 1 point |
| 2002 to 2003 | Increased outreach, increased eligibility for noncitizens, increased poverty | Increase in both participants and eligible individuals. | Rates cannot be directly compared due to different methodologies |
| 2003 to 2004 | Increased outreach, increased poverty | Increase in both participants and eligible individuals. Large increase in participants | Up 4 points |

TABLE E. 2

MAJOR ECONOMIC INDICATORS, CALENDAR YEARS 1976-2004

| Year | Real GDP <br> Increase ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Productivity Increase ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Unemployment Rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Inflation Rate ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Poverty Rate | Individuals in Poverty (000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 11.8 | 24,975 |
| 1977 | 4.6 | 1.7 | 7.1 | 6.4 | 11.6 | 24,720 |
| 1978 | 5.6 | 1.1 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 11.4 | 24,497 |
| 1979 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 8.3 | 11.7 | 26,072 |
| 1980 | -0.2 | -0.2 | 7.1 | 9.1 | 13.0 | 29,272 |
| 1981 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 7.6 | 9.4 | 14.0 | 31,822 |
| 1982 | -1.9 | -0.8 | 9.7 | 6.1 | 15.0 | 34,398 |
| 1983 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 9.6 | 3.9 | 15.2 | 35,303 |
| 1984 | 7.2 | 2.7 | 7.5 | 3.8 | 14.4 | 33,700 |
| 1985 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 7.2 | 3.0 | 14.0 | 33,064 |
| 1986 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 7.0 | 2.2 | 13.6 | 32,370 |
| 1987 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 13.4 | 32,221 |
| 1988 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 13.0 | 31,745 |
| 1989 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 12.8 | 31,528 |
| 1990 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 13.5 | 33,585 |
| 1991 | -0.2 | 1.6 | 6.8 | 3.5 | 14.2 | 35,708 |
| 1992 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 7.5 | 2.3 | 14.8 | 38,014 |
| 1993 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 2.3 | 15.1 | 39,265 |
| 1994 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 6.1 | 2.1 | 14.5 | 38,059 |
| 1995 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 13.8 | 36,425 |
| 1996 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 1.9 | 13.7 | 36,529 |
| 1997 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 13.3 | 35,574 |
| 1998 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 12.7 | 34,476 |
| 1999 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 11.8 | 32,258 |
| 2000 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 11.3 | 31,581 |
| 2001 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 11.7 | 32,907 |
| 2002 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 1.7 | 12.1 | 34,570 |
| 2003 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 12.5 | 35,861 |
| 2004 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 12.7 | 36,997 |

Sources (by column of data):
First: Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, National Income and Product Accounts.
Second: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. "Major Sector Productivity and Costs Index."
Third: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
Fourth: Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, National Income and Product Accounts. Fifth and sixth: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Poverty in the United States.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percentage change from preceding year.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Percentage change from preceding year in output per hour, business sector.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ All civilian workers
${ }^{d}$ Percentage change from preceding year in the implicit price deflator for Gross Domestic Product.

TABLE E.3A

## SELECTED FEATURES OF THE FSP UNDER PAST AND CURRENT LEGISLATION Income Limits

| Legislation | Income Limits |
| :---: | :---: |
| Food Stamp Act of 1964 as Amended (PL 88-525) | Net income had to be less than or equal to the maximum food stamp net income which was tied to the maximum coupon allotment. |
| Food Stamp Act of 1977 (PL 95-113) Effective 1/1/79 | Net income had to be less than or equal to the poverty line. |
| Food Stamp Amendments of 1979 and 1980 (PL 96-58 and PL 96-249) | Excluded energy assistance as income. Included income of ineligible aliens less prorated share. |
| OBRA of 1981 (PL 86-35) and Food Stamp Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1981 (PL97-98) Effective 10/1/98 | Gross income had to be less than or equal to $130 \%$ of the poverty line, except for elderly and disabled, who kept previous net income limit. |
| Food Stamp Amendments of 1982 (PL 97253) Effective 10-82 and Continuing Resolution of 1984 (PL 84-473) | Nonelderly and nondisabled subjected to both net and gross income limits. |
| 1985 Food Security Act (PL 99-198) Effective 5-86 | Minor changes in treatment of income. |
| 1987 Homeless Assistance Act (PL 10077) | Moved annual adjustment in income eligibility guidelines to October 1 of each year from July 1. |
| Hunger Prevention Act of 1988 (PL 100435) | No Change |
| Farm, Agriculture, Conservation and Trade Act of 1990 and 1991 (FACTA) (PL 102-237) | Certain types of educational assistance not counted as income. |
| Amendments to FACTA of 1991 | No Change |
| The Mickey Leland Childhood Hunger Relief Act of 1993 (PL 103-66) | Earnings of students excluded from income through age 21. Excluded as income $100 \%$ of vendor payments made to transitional housing facilities on behalf of homeless households and GA vendor payments for utility-cost assistance. |
| The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA) (PL 104-193) | Earnings of students excluded from income through age 17. |
| The Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (BBA) (PL 105-33) | No Change |
| Agricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform Act of 1998 <br> (AREERA) (PL 105-185) | No Change |
| Agriculture Appropriations Act of 2001 <br> (PL 106-387) | No Change |
| Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 | State options to exclude certain types of income that are not counted under the State's TANF cash assistance or Medicaid programs and to treat legally obligated child support payments to a non-household member as an income exclusion rather than a deduction. |

TABLE E.3B

## SELECTED FEATURES OF THE FSP UNDER PAST AND CURRENT LEGISLATION Asset Limits

| Legislation | Asset Limits |
| :---: | :---: |
| Food Stamp Act of 1964 as Amended (PL 88-525) | $\$ 1,500 ; \$ 3,000$ for elderly household of at least 2 persons. Excluded vehicles used for employment or handicapped transportation. |
| Food Stamp Act of 1977 (PL 95-113) Effective 1/1/79 | $\$ 1,750 ; \$ 3,000$ for elderly household of at least 2 persons. Excluded first $\$ 4,500$ of the Fair Market Value for vehicles. |
| Food Stamp Amendments of 1979 and 1980 (PL 96-58 and PL 96-249) | $\$ 1,500 ; \$ 3,000$ for elderly household of at least 2 persons. Excluded vehicles used for handicapped. |
| OBRA of 1981 (PL 86-35) and Food Stamp Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1981 (PL97-98) Effective 10/1/98 | No Change |
| Food Stamp Amendments of 1982 (PL 97253) Effective 10-82 and Continuing Resolution of 1984 (PL 84-473) | State option to waive asset test for pure AFDC households passing gross income test. IRA KEOGH accounts counted as assets. |
| 1985 Food Security Act (PL 99-198) Effective 5-86 | $\$ 2,000 ; \$ 3,000$ for households with elderly member(s) (including one-person households). Changed definition of countable resources. |
| 1987 Homeless Assistance Act (PL 10077) | No Change |
| Hunger Prevention Act of 1988 (PL 100435) | No Change |
| FACTA (PL 102-237) | Non-liquid resources and those exempted by AFDC and SSI are not counted. |
| Amendments to FACTA of 1991 | Same limits. Asset holding of AFDC and SSI recipients not counted. |
| The Mickey Leland Childhood Hunger Relief Act of 1993 (PL 103-66) | Raised the vehicle Fair Market Value asset limit to $\$ 4,550$ on $9 / 1 / 94$, to $\$ 4,600$ on $10 / 1 / 95$, and $\$ 5,000$ on $10 / 1 / 96$ with annual cost-of-living adjustments thereafter. Excluded vehicles necessary to carry food or water. |
| PRWORA (PL 104-193) | Vehicle Fair Market Value asset limit raised to $\$ 4,650$, with no planned future cost-of-living adjustments. |
| BBA (PL 105-33) | No Change |
| AREERA (PL 105-185) | No Change |
| Agriculture Appropriations Act of 2001 <br> (PL 106-387) | Allowed States to use the vehicle limit they use in a TANF assistance program, if it would be result in a lower attribution of resources for the household. |
| Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 | Increased the resource limit for households with a disabled member from $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 3,000$. |

TABLE E.3C

## SELECTED FEATURES OF THE FSP UNDER PAST AND CURRENT LEGISLATION Benefits

| Legislation | Maximum Benefit | Minimum Benefit | Benefit Reduction Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food Stamp Act of 1964 as Amended (PL 88-525) | Thrifty Food Plan. Indexed since 1971, indexed semiannually from 1973-1979 based on BLS food price index. | Minimum benefit varied by household size. | Basis of issuance tables (average 30\% above lowest levels). |
| Food Stamp Act of 1977 (PL 95-113) Effective 1/1/79 | Indexed semiannually based on Thrifty Food Plan components. | \$10 for one-and twoperson households only. | 30\% |
| Food Stamp Amendments of 1979 and 1980 (PL 96-58 and PL 96-249) | Indexed annually in January based on September cost of Plan components. | No Change | No Change |
| OBRA of 1981 (PL 86-35) and Food Stamp Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1981 (PL97-98) Effective 10/1/98 | Indexing frozen until $7 / 1 / 83$, next adjustment 10/1/84 based on June cost of Plan components. | No Change | No Change |
| Food Stamp Amendments of 1982 (PL 97-253) Effective 1082 and Continuing Resolution of 1984 (PL 84-473) | Indexed to $99 \%$ of Thrifty Food Plan cost. Changed back to $100 \%$ by PL 98-473. Last step in benefit calculation rounded down. | No Change | No Change |
| 1985 Food Security Act (PL 99198) Effective 5-86 | No Change | No Change | No Change |
| 1987 Homeless Assistance Act (PL 100-77) | No Change | No Change | No Change |
| Hunger Prevention Act of 1988 <br> (PL 100-435) | Incremental indexing to $103 \%$ of Thrifty Food Plan by FY 1991 and thereafter. | No Change | No Change |
| FACTA (PL 102-237) | No Change | Required annual adjustments to the $\$ 10$ minimum benefit. | No Change |
| Amendments to FACTA of 1991 | No Change* | No Change | No Change |
| The Mickey Leland Childhood Hunger Relief Act of 1993 (PL 103-66) | No Change | No Change | No Change |
| PRWORA (PL 104-193) | Reduced to $100 \%$ of Thrifty Food Plan for Continental U.S. and District of Columbia; Alaska and Hawaii remained at 1996 levels. | Removed requirement for indexing of minimum benefit. | No Change |
| BBA (PL 105-33) | No Change | No Change | No Change |
| AREERA (PL 105-185) | No Change | No Change | No Change |
| Agriculture Appropriations Act of 2001 (PL 106-387) | No Change | No Change | No Change |
| Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 | No Change | No Change | No Change |

TABLE E.3D

# SELECTED FEATURES OF THE FSP UNDER PAST AND CURRENT LEGISLATION Deductions 

| Legislation | Deductions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Food Stamp Act of 1964 as Amended (PL 88-525) | Payroll; $10 \%$ of earnings up to $\$ 30$; child care; education; medical over $\$ 10$; alimony or child support; casualty losses; shelter in excess of $30 \%$ of net income. |
| Food Stamp Act of 1977 (PL 95-113) Effective 1/1/79 | Standard \$60. Indexed semi-annually to CPI nonfood components. $20 \%$ of earnings; child care up to $\$ 75$; shelter in excess of $50 \%$ of net not to exceed $\$ 80$ in combination with child care. Limit indexed annually in July based on shelter-fuel-utilities component of the CPI. |
| Food Stamp Amendments of 1979 and 1980 (PL 96-58 and PL 96-249) | 1980 Act: standard deduction and shelter/child care cap indexed annually in Jan. based on Sept./Sept. change; 1979 Act: elderly and disabled not subjected to the shelter deduction maximum and allowed medical expenses over \$35.* |
| OBRA of 1981 (PL 86-35) and Food Stamp Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1981 (PL97-98) Effective 10/1/98 | $18 \%$ of earnings, shelter/child care cap set at $\$ 115$ with next inflation adjustment on $7 / 1 / 83$, then $10 / 1 / 84$ and each October thereafter. |
| Food Stamp Amendments of 1982 (PL 97253) Effective 10-82 and Continuing Resolution of 1984 (PL 84-473) | Standard deduction raised to $\$ 89$. Next inflation adjustment delayed until 10/1/83. Limited use of standard utility expense allowances. |
| 1985 Food Security Act (PL 99-198) Effective 5-86 | $20 \%$ of earnings; separate cap on shelter deduction of $\$ 147$, with indexed increases; separate cap on dependent care of $\$ 160$, not indexed. |
| 1987 Homeless Assistance Act (PL 100-77) | Increased cap on shelter deduction for all households certified after 10/1/87. |
| Hunger Prevention Act of 1988 (PL 100435) | Dependent care deduction increased to $\$ 160$ per month per dependent, rather than per household. |
| FACTA (PL 102-237) | No Change |
| Amendments to FACTA of 1991 | No Change |
| The Mickey Leland Childhood Hunger Relief Act of 1993 (PL 103-66) | Increased cap on shelter deductions for all households to \$231 after 7/1/94 and to $\$ 247$ after 10/1/95. Raised the dependent care deduction cap to $\$ 200$ a month for each child under the age of 2 and $\$ 175$ a month for all other dependents. |
| PRWORA (PL 104-193) | Standard deduction frozen at current levels. Raised excess shelter deduction to $\$ 250$ on $1 / 1 / 97$, to $\$ 275$ on $10 / 1 / 98$, to $\$ 300$ on $10 / 1 / 00$. |
| BBA (PL 105-33) | No Change |
| AREERA (PL 105-185) | No Change |
| Agriculture Appropriations Act of 2001 (PL 106-387) | Increased the excess shelter cap to $\$ 340$ in fiscal year 2001 and then indexed the cap to changes in the Consumer Price Index for All Consumers each year beginning in fiscal year 2002. |
| Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 | Changed standard deduction to vary according to household size and be adjusted annually for cost-of-living increases, allowed States to simplify the SUA if they elect to use the SUA rather than actual utility costs for all households, and allowed States to use a standard deduction of $\$ 143$ per month for homeless households with some shelter expenses. |

[^17]TABLE E.3E

## SELECTED FEATURES OF THE FSP UNDER PAST AND CURRENT LEGISLATION Accounting Period, Categorical Eligibility

| Legislation | Accounting Period | Categorical Eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food Stamp Act of 1964 as Amended (PL 88-525) | Prospective month | Public assistance households automatically eligible |
| Food Stamp Act of 1977 (PL 95-113) Effective 1/1/79 | Prospective month | Public assistance households not automatically eligible |
| Food Stamp Amendments of 1979 and 1980 (PL 96-58 and PL 96-249) | State option to use prospective or retrospective with monthly report. | No Change |
| OBRA of 1981 (PL 86-35) and Food Stamp Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1981 (PL97-98) Effective 10/1/98 | Retrospective becomes mandatory 10/1/83 for some households, prospective for others. | No Change |
| Food Stamp Amendments of 1982 (PL 97-253) Effective 10-82 and Continuing Resolution of 1984 (PL 84473) | Migrant workers, elderly and disabled households with no earnings exempt from monthly reporting. | No Change |
| 1985 Food Security Act (PL 99-198) Effective 5-86 | Retrospective budgeting and monthly reporting required for households with earnings or work history except migrant farmers and elderly or disabled. | Categorical eligibility for pure AFDC or SSI households. |
| 1987 Homeless Assistance Act (PL 100-77) | Exempted seasonal farm workers and households in which all members are homeless from monthly reporting requirements. | No Change |
| Hunger Prevention Act of 1988 (PL 100-435) | No Change | No Change |
| FACTA (PL 102-237) | No Change | Expanded categorical eligibility to recipients of certain State and local general assistance payments. |
| Amendments to FACTA of 1991 | No Change | No Change |
| The Mickey Leland Childhood Hunger Relief Act of 1993 (PL 103-66) | No Change | No Change |
| PRWORA (PL 104-193) | No Change | No Change |
| BBA (PL 105-33) | No Change | No Change |
| AREERA (PL 105-185) | No Change | No Change |
| Agriculture Appropriations Act of 2001 (PL 106-387) | No Change | No Change |
| Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 | No Change | No Change |

## TABLE E.3F

## SELECTED FEATURES OF THE FSP UNDER PAST AND CURRENT LEGISLATION Work Registration Requirements and Time Limits

| Legislation | Work Registration Requirements and Time Limits |
| :--- | :--- |
| Food Stamp Act of 1964 as Amended (PL 88- <br> 525) | Required work registration and employment as a condition of <br> eligibility for able-bodied adults between 18 and 65 years except <br> for individuals with responsibility for care of a dependent child or <br> of an incapacitated adult; students; or persons employed 30 <br> hours/week. |
| Food Stamp Act of 1977 (PL 95-113) <br> Effective 1/1/79 | Lowered age for individuals required to work from 65 to 60 years; <br> added job search as a work requirement; lowered age for caretaker <br> exemption from 18 to 12 years. |
| Food Stamp Amendments of 1979 and 1980 <br> (PL 96-58 and PL 96-249) | No requirement |
| OBRA of 1981 (PL 86-35) and Food Stamp <br> Amendments and Reauthorization Act of <br> 1981 (PL97-98) Effective 10/1/98 | Applied disqualification for voluntarily quitting a job to <br> participants as well as applicants; lowered age for caretaker <br> exemption to 6 years old. |
| Food Stamp Amendments of 1982 (PL 97- | No requirement |
| 253) Effective 10-82 and Continuing |  |
| Resolution of 1984 (PL 84-473) |  |

TABLE E.3G

## SELECTED FEATURES OF THE FSP UNDER PAST AND CURRENT LEGISLATION Treatment of Noncitizens

| Legislation | Treatment of Noncitizens |
| :--- | :--- |
| Food Stamp Act of 1964 as Amended <br> (PL 88-525) | No disqualifications |
| Food Stamp Act of 1977 (PL 95-113) <br> Effective 1/1/79 | No disqualifications |
| Food Stamp Amendments of 1979 and <br> 1980 (PL 96-58 and PL 96-249) | No disqualifications |
| OBRA of 1981 (PL 86-35) and Food <br> Stamp Amendments and Reauthorization <br> Act of 1981 (PL97-98) Effective 10/1/98 | The 1980 amendments required certification workers to report an <br> ineligible alien to INS. Income and assets of aliens' sponsors were <br> deemed to alien for 3 years after entry into the country. |
| Food Stamp Amendments of 1982 (PL <br> 97-253) Effective 10-82 and Continuing <br> Resolution of 1984 (PL 84-473) | No disqualifications |
| 1985 Food Security Act (PL 99-198) <br> Effective 5-86 | No disqualifications |
| 1987 Homeless Assistance Act (PL 100- <br> 77) | No disqualifications |
| Hunger Prevention Act of 1988 (PL 100- <br> 435) | No disqualifications |
| FACTA (PL 102-237) | No disqualifications |
| Amendments to FACTA of 1991 | No disqualifications |
| The Mickey Leland Childhood Hunger <br> Relief Act of 1993 (PL 103-66) | No disqualifications |
| PRWORA (PL 104-193) | Permanent resident aliens disqualified unless they have 40 quarters of <br> qualified work history in the United States, are currently or were <br> formerly members of the U.S. Armed Forces. Members of their family <br> also exempt. Refugees, asylees, and deportees eligible for 5 years after <br> entering the United States. |
| No Change <br> Farm Security and Rural Investment Act <br> of 2002 <br> (PL 106-387) | Restored eligibility to qualified noncitizens who are otherwise eligible <br> for the FSP and who: are receiving disability benefits regardless of date <br> of entry (effective FY 2003); are under 18 regardless of date of entry <br> (effective FY 2004); or have lived in the U.S. for 5 years as a qualified <br> noncitizen (effective April 2003). |
| BBA (PL 105-33) | Restored eligibility to permanent resident aliens lawfully in the United <br> States on August 22, 1996 and disabled, blind, or under age 18, or were <br> 65 or older on August 22, 1996. Extended eligibility for refugees, <br> asylees, and deportees from 5 to 7 years after entering the United <br> States. |
| AREERA (PL 105-185) | No Change |

TABLE E.3H

## SELECTED FEATURES OF THE FSP UNDER PAST AND CURRENT LEGISLATION Other Changes

| Legislation | Other Changes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Food Stamp Act of 1964 as <br> Amended (PL 88-525) | Nationwide program |
| Food Stamp Act of 1977 <br> (PL 95-113) Effective <br> 1/1/79 | Eliminated purchase requirement |
| Food Stamp Amendments <br> of 1979 and 1980 (PL 96-58 <br> and PL 96-249) | Increased State incentives for reducing error. SSNs required. Limits on eligible <br> students; residents of shelters for battered women and disabled in small groups may <br> participate. Established Quality Control system. |
| OBRA of 1981 (PL 86-35) <br> and Food Stamp | Tightened definition of household, no extra benefits for strikers, prorated first month <br> benefits. Replaced the FSP with a block grant Nutrition Assistance Program for <br> Amendments and <br> Reauthorization Act of <br> 1981 (PL97-98) Effective <br> 10/1/98 |
| Food Stamp Amendments <br> of 1982 (PL 97-253) | Replaced three-tiered incentive system with increased administrative funding for <br> States with error rates below 5\%, limited student eligibility, benefits rounded down, <br> job search requirements, Puerto Rico cashout prohibited. Household unit definition <br> Continuing Resolution of <br> altered. No initial month benefits less than \$10. SSU and SS COLA adjustments <br> disregarded up to 3 months. New definition of disabled. |
| 1984 (PL 84-473) |  |

## APPENDIX F

## CHANGES IN THE MARCH CPS OVER TIME

TABLE F. 1

## CHANGES IN THE MARCH CPS OVER TIME

| March <br> Year | Data <br> Year | Changes in Design or Weighting From Previous Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78 | 77 | None |
| 79 | 78 | Changes in metro/nonmetro definitions. New, more detailed income questions were introduced for 2 rotation groups. |
| 80 | 79 | Definition of adult changed from age 14 to age 15 . New concept of families and headship status. New income questions were introduced for all rotation groups. |
| 81 | 80 | New weighting procedure based on 1980 Census was introduced which increased the overall population by $2.3 \%$ and had a disproportionate impact on Hispanics. |
| 82 | 81 | Top coding of income variables was increased from \$50,000 to \$75,000. |
| 83 | 82 | New industry and occupation coding. New definition of group quarters. The poverty index was modified slightly (deleting the farm/nonfarm dimension). |
| 84 | 83 | The March 1984 file was issued twice. In the second (unofficial) version, the Bureau of the Census introduced the revised weighting procedure developed for the March 1985 CPS. |
| 85 | 84 | Revised weighting procedures--specifically, the control on Hispanics was changed. This caused a slight increase in poverty with disproportionate impacts on the Hispanic population, male unrelated individuals, and persons in related subfamilies. Changes in the designation of metro/nonmetro, farm/nonfarm, central city/noncentral city statuses. |
| 86 | 85 | More metro/nonmetro changes |
| 87 | 86 | None |
| 88 | 87 | None |
| 89 | 88 | Revised processing procedures increased income overall and reduced poverty. The poverty rate changed more severely for blacks and persons in selected age ranges. |
| 90 | 89 | None |
| 91 | 90 | None |
| 92 | 91 | None |
| 93 | 92(r) | New population controls based on 1990 census and adjustments for the census undercount increased the poverty population. The largest increases in poverty rates were for Hispanic families, families with single female householders, white children, and persons in unrelated subfamilies. |
| 94 | 93 | Survey was redesigned to improve the measurement of labor force concepts and wording of questions, and to implement a computerized questionnaire. |
| 95 | 94 | None |
| 96 | 95 | Sample reduction. Revised earnings topcoding--instead of topcoding earnings variables at 99,999, records that were topcoded were assigned the mean earnings for topcoded individuals with similar characteristics. Revised race edit and allocation. Caution is urged when comparing 1995 and 1996 data on race groups. |
| 97 | 96 | None |
| 98 | 97 | None |
| 99 | 98 | None |
| 00 | 99 | Reweighted based on Census 2000 |
| 01 | 00 | Reweighted based on Census 2000, expanded sample size |
| 02 | 01 | Weights based on Census 2000 |
| 03 | 02 | Expanded racial categories |
| 04 | 03 | None |
| 05 | 04 | None |

## APPENDIX G

## FSP ELIGIBILITY PARAMETERS

TABLE G. 1
SELECTED FOOD STAMP ELIGIBILITY PARAMETERS, 1976 TO 2003

| Analysis Year |  | September 1976 Food Stamp Act of 1964 As Amended |  |  | February 1978 <br> Food Stamp Act of 1964 As Amended |  |  | August 1980 <br> Food Stamp Act of 1977 As Amended; Effective late 1978, early 1979 |  |  | August 1982 <br> OBRA 1981 As Amended in 1981; Effective 10/81 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Income Eligibility |  | No test |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <=1.3 * Poverty Line |  |  |
| Net Income Eligibility |  | <= Maximum Food Stamp Income |  |  |  |  |  | <= Poverty Line |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset Eligibility |  | \$1500; \$3000 for elderly households with at least 2 members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit |  | Varies by household size |  |  |  |  |  | \$10 for 1 and 2 person households; \$0 for all others |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligibility of Pure PA Households |  | No Automatic Eligibility |  |  | Automatically Eligible |  |  | No Automatic Eligibility |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit Calculation |  | Benefit = Maximum benefit (household size) |  |  |  |  |  | Benefit $=$ Maximum benefit minus $30 \%$ of net income |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSI Cashout States |  | California, Wisconsin, Massachusetts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Food Stamp Net Income Screen | Unit Size | US | AK | $\underline{\mathrm{HI}}$ | US | AK | $\underline{\mathrm{HI}}$ | US | AK | $\underline{\mathrm{HI}}$ | US | AK | $\underline{\mathrm{HI}}$ |
|  | 1 | 245 | 307 | 273 | 262 | 328 | 286 | 316 | 397 | 365 | 390 | 490 | 450 |
|  | 2 | 322 | 413 | 407 | 344 | 447 | 427 | 418 | 524 | 481 | 519 | 650 | 597 |
|  | 3 | 433 | 593 | 580 | 460 | 633 | 607 | 520 | 650 | 598 | 647 | 810 | 745 |
|  | 4 | 553 | 753 | 740 | 580 | 807 | 773 | 621 | 777 | 715 | 775 | 970 | 892 |
|  | 5 | 660 | 893 | 880 | 687 | 960 | 920 | 723 | 904 | 831 | 904 | 1,130 | 1,040 |
|  | 6 | 787 | 1,073 | 1,053 | 827 | 1,147 | 1,100 | 825 | 1,030 | 948 | 1,032 | 1,290 | 1,187 |
|  | 7 | 873 | 1,187 | 1,167 | 913 | 1,273 | 1,220 | 926 | 1,157 | 1,065 | 1,180 | 1,450 | 1,335 |
|  | 8 | 993 | 1,353 | 1,333 | 1,047 | 1,453 | 1,393 | 1,028 | 1,284 | 1,181 | 1,289 | 1,610 | 1,482 |
|  | additional | + 127 | +167 | + 166 | + 133 | +180 | +173 | + 102 | + 127 | + 117 | + 129 | + 160 | + 142 |
| Monthly Maximum Food Stamp Allotment | Unit Size | US | AK | HI | US | AK | HI | US | AK | $\underline{\mathrm{HI}}$ | US | AK | $\underline{\mathrm{HI}}$ |
|  | 1 | 50 | 68 | 66 | 52 | 72 | 70 | 63 | 98 | 84 | 70 | 108 | 95 |
|  | 2 | 92 | 124 | 122 | 96 | 134 | 128 | 115 | 180 | 158 | 128 | 197 | 175 |
|  | 3 | 130 | 178 | 174 | 138 | 190 | 182 | 165 | 258 | 226 | 183 | 293 | 250 |
|  | 4 | 166 | 226 | 222 | 174 | 242 | 232 | 209 | 327 | 287 | 233 | 359 | 318 |
|  | 5 | 198 | 268 | 264 | 206 | 288 | 276 | 248 | 388 | 341 | 277 | 426 | 378 |
|  | 6 | 236 | 322 | 316 | 248 | 344 | 330 | 298 | 466 | 409 | 332 | 512 | 453 |
|  | 7 | 262 | 356 | 350 | 274 | 382 | 366 | 329 | 515 | 452 | 367 | 565 | 501 |
|  | 8 | 298 | 406 | 400 | 314 | 436 | 418 | 376 | 589 | 517 | 419 | 646 | 572 |
|  | additional | +38 | + 50 | + 50 | $+40$ | + 54 | + 52 | +47 | + 74 | +65 | + 53 | +81 | + 72 |


| Analysis Year |  | August 1984 OBRA As Amended in 1982; Effective 10/82 |  |  | August 1986 Food Security Act of 1985; Effective 5/86 |  |  | August 1988 1987 Homeless Assistance Act; |  |  | August 1990 <br> Leland Hunger Prevention Act of 1988 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Income Eligibility |  | < 1.3 * Poverty Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income Eligibility |  | <= Poverty Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset Eligibility |  | $\$ 1,500 ; \$ 3,000$ for elderly households with 2 or more members |  |  | \$2000; \$3000 for elderly households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit |  | \$10 for 1 and 2 person households; \$0 for all others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligibility of Pure PA Households |  | No Automatic Eligibility |  |  | Automatically Eligible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit Calculation |  | Benefit = Maximum benefit minus 30\% of net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSI Cashout States |  | CA, WI, MA |  |  | California, Wisconsin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Food Stamp Net Income Screen | Unit Size | US | AK | HI | US | AK | HI | US | AK | HI | US | AK | HI |
|  | 1 | 415 | 520 | 478 | 447 | 559 | 515 | 459 | 572 | 526 | 499 | 624 | 573 |
|  | 2 | 560 | 701 | 645 | 604 | 755 | 695 | 617 | 770 | 709 | 699 | 836 | 769 |
|  | 3 | 705 | 882 | 811 | 760 | 950 | 875 | 775 | 969 | 891 | 839 | 1,049 | 965 |
|  | 4 | 850 | 1,063 | 978 | 917 | 1,146 | 1,055 | 934 | 1,167 | 1,074 | 1,009 | 1,261 | 1,160 |
|  | 5 | 995 | 1,244 | 1,145 | 1,074 | 1,342 | 1,235 | 1,092 | 1,365 | 1,256 | 1,179 | 1,474 | 1,356 |
|  | 6 | 1,140 | 1,425 | 1,311 | 1,230 | 1,538 | 1,415 | 1,250 | 1,564 | 1,439 | 1,349 | 1,686 | 1,552 |
|  | 7 | 1,285 | 1,605 | 1,478 | 1,387 | 1,732 | 1,595 | 1,409 | 1,762 | 1,621 | 1,519 | 1,899 | 1,748 |
|  | 8 | 1,430 | 1,786 | 1,645 | 1,544 | 1,930 | 1,775 | 1,567 | 1,960 | 1,804 | 1,689 | 2,111 | 1,944 |
|  | additional | + 145 | + 181 | + 167 | + 157 | +196 | + 180 | + 158 | + 198 | + 183 | + 170 | +213 | +196 |
| Monthly Maximum Food Stamp Allotment | Unit Size | US | AK | HI | US | AK | HI | US | AK | HI | US | AK | HI |
|  | 1 | 76 | 109 | 108 | 80 | 111 | 124 | 87 | 113 | 133 | 99 | 123 | 151 |
|  | 2 | 139 | 200 | 198 | 147 | 204 | 228 | 159 | 207 | 244 | 182 | 227 | 276 |
|  | 3 | 199 | 286 | 283 | 211 | 293 | 327 | 228 | 297 | 350 | 260 | 325 | 396 |
|  | 4 | 253 | 364 | 360 | 268 | 372 | 415 | 290 | 378 | 444 | 331 | 413 | 503 |
|  | 5 | 301 | 432 | 427 | 318 | 442 | 493 | 344 | 448 | 527 | 393 | 490 | 598 |
|  | 6 | 361 | 518 | 513 | 382 | 530 | 592 | 413 | 538 | 633 | 472 | 588 | 717 |
|  | 7 | 399 | 473 | 567 | 422 | 586 | 654 | 457 | 595 | 700 | 521 | 650 | 793 |
|  | 8 | 457 | 655 | 648 | 483 | 670 | 748 | 522 | 680 | 800 | 596 | 743 | 906 |
|  | additional | + 57 | + 82 | + 81 | + 60 | + 84 | +94 | +65 | +85 | + 100 | + 75 | +93 | +113 |


| Analysis Year |  | August 1991 <br> FACTA of 1991 |  |  | August 1992 <br> FACTA of 1991 and amendments |  |  | August 1993 <br> FACTA of 1991 and amendments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Income Eligibility |  | <=1.3 * Poverty Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income Eligibility |  | <= Poverty Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset Eligibility |  | \$2000; \$3000 for elderly households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit Reduction Rate |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit |  | \$10 for 1 and 2 person households; \$0 for all others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligibility of Pure PA Households |  | Automatically Eligible (AFDC orSSI) |  |  | Automatically Eligible (AFDC, SSI, or GA) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit Calculation |  | Benefit = Maximum benefit minus $30 \%$ of net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSI Cashout States |  | California, Wisconsin |  |  | California only |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Food Stamp Net Income Screen | Unit Size | US | AK | HI | US | AK | $\underline{\mathrm{HI}}$ | US | AK | HI |
|  | 1 | 524 | 654 | 603 | 552 | 691 | 635 | 568 | 709 | 653 |
|  | 2 | 702 | 877 | 808 | 740 | 926 | 851 | 766 | 957 | 881 |
|  | 3 | 880 | 1,100 | 1,013 | 929 | 1,161 | 1,068 | 965 | 1,205 | 1,110 |
|  | 4 | 1,059 | 1,324 | 1,218 | 1,117 | 1,396 | 1,285 | 1,163 | 1,454 | 1,338 |
|  | 5 | 1,237 | 1,547 | 1,428 | 1,305 | 1,631 | 1,501 | 1,361 | 1,702 | 1,566 |
|  | 6 | 1,415 | 1,770 | 1,628 | 1,494 | 1,866 | 1,718 | 1,560 | 1,950 | 1,795 |
|  | 7 | 1,594 | 1,994 | 1,833 | 1,682 | 2,101 | 1,935 | 1,758 | 2,199 | 2,023 |
|  | 8 | 1,772 | 2,217 | 2,038 | 1,870 | 2,336 | 2,151 | 1,956 | 2,447 | 2,251 |
|  | additional | +179 | 224 | +205 | +189 | +235 | +217 | +199 | +249 | +229 |
| Monthly Maximum Food Stamp Allotment | Unit Size | US | AK | HI | US | AK | $\underline{\text { HI }}$ | US | AK | HI |
|  | 1 | 105 | 137 | 172 | 111 | 142 | 181 | 111 | 143 | 182 |
|  | 2 | 193 | 252 | 316 | 203 | 261 | 333 | 203 | 262 | 335 |
|  | 3 | 277 | 361 | 452 | 292 | 374 | 477 | 292 | 376 | 480 |
|  | 4 | 352 | 459 | 574 | 370 | 475 | 606 | 370 | 477 | 609 |
|  | 5 | 418 | 545 | 682 | 440 | 564 | 720 | 440 | 567 | 724 |
|  | 6 | 502 | 655 | 819 | 528 | 677 | 864 | 528 | 680 | 868 |
|  | 7 | 555 | 723 | 905 | 584 | 748 | 955 | 584 | 752 | 960 |
|  | 8 | 634 | 827 | 1,034 | 667 | 855 | 1,091 | 667 | 859 | 1,097 |
|  | additional | + 79 | + 103 | +129 | + 83 | + 107 | +136 | + 83 | + 107 | + 137 |


| Analysis Year |  | September 1994 |  |  | September 1995 <br> Mickey Leland Childhood Hunger Relief Act of 1993 |  |  | September 1996 <br> Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Income Eligibility |  | <= 1.3 * Poverty Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income Eligibility |  | <= Poverty Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset Eligibility |  | \$2000; \$3000 for elderly households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit |  | \$10 for 1 and 2 person households; \$0 for all others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligibility of Pure PA Households |  | Automatically Eligible (AFDC/TANF, SSI, or GA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit Calculation |  | Benefit = Maximum benefit minus $30 \%$ of net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSI Cashout States |  | California only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Food Stamp Net Income Screen | Unit Size | US | AK | HI | US | AK | $\underline{\text { HI }}$ | US | AK | HI |
|  | 1 | 581 | 725 | 670 | 614 | 767 | 706 | 623 | 779 | 718 |
|  | 2 | 786 | 982 | 905 | 820 | 1,025 | 944 | 836 | 1,045 | 963 |
|  |  | 991 | 1,239 | 1,140 | 1,027 | 1,284 | 1,181 | 1,050 | 1,312 | 1,208 |
|  | 4 | 1,196 | 1,495 | 1,375 | 1,234 | 1,542 | 1,419 | 1,263 | 1,579 | 1,453 |
|  | 5 | 1,401 | 1,752 | 1,610 | 1,440 | 1,800 | 1,656 | 1,476 | 1,845 | 1,698 |
|  | 6 | 1,606 | 2,009 | 1,845 | 1,647 | 2,059 | 1,894 | 1,690 | 2,112 | 1,943 |
|  | 7 | 1,811 | 2,265 | 2,080 | 1,854 | 2,317 | 2,131 | 1,903 | 2,379 | 2,188 |
|  | 8 | 2,016 | 2,522 | 2,315 | 2,060 | 2,575 | 2,369 | 2,116 | 2,645 | 2,433 |
|  | additional | +205 | +257 | +235 | + 207 | +259 | +238 | +214 | +267 | +245 |
| Monthly Maximum Food Stamp Allotment | Unit Size | US | AK | HI | US | AK | HI | US | AK | $\underline{\text { HI }}$ |
|  | 1 | 112 | 147 | 187 | 115 | 147 | 193 | 119 | 153 | 198 |
|  | 2 | 206 | 271 | 343 | 212 | 271 | 354 | 218 | 280 | 364 |
|  | 3 | 295 | 388 | 492 | 304 | 388 | 508 | 313 | 401 | 522 |
|  | 4 | 375 | 492 | 625 | 386 | 492 | 645 | 397 | 510 | 663 |
|  | 5 | 446 | 585 | 742 | 459 | 585 | 766 | 472 | 605 | 787 |
|  | 6 |  | 702 | 890 | 550 | 702 | 919 | 566 | 726 | 945 |
|  | 7 | 535 591 | 776 | 984 | 608 | 776 | 1,016 | 626 | 803 | 1,044 |
|  | 8 | 591 676 | 887 | 1,125 | 695 | 887 | 1,161 | 716 | 918 | $1,193$ |
|  | additional | $\begin{array}{r} 676 \\ +85 \end{array}$ | + 111 | +141 | +87 | + 111 | + 145 | +90 | + 115 | + 149 |



| Analysis Year |  | September 2000 |  |  | FY 2001 |  |  | FY 2002 |  |  | FY 2003 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Income Eligibility |  | $<=1.3$ * Poverty Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income Eligibility |  | <= Poverty Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset Eligibility |  | \$2,000; \$3,000 for elderly households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit |  | \$10 for 1 and 2 person households; \$0 for all others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligibility of Pure PA Households |  | Automatically Eligible (TANF, SSI, or GA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit Calculation |  | Benefit $=$ Maximum benefit (household size) - . $3 \times$ Food Stamp Net Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSI Cashout States |  | California only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly <br> Food <br> Stamp Net <br> Income <br> Screen | Unit Size | US | AK | $\underline{\mathrm{HI}}$ | US | AK | HI | US | AK | $\underline{\mathrm{HI}}$ | $\underline{\text { US }}$ | AK | $\underline{\mathrm{HI}}$ |
|  | 1 | 687 | 860 | 791 | 696 | 870 | 800 | 716 | 895 | 825 | 739 | 924 | 850 |
|  | 2 | 922 | 1,154 | 1,061 | 938 | 1,172 | 1,078 | 968 | 1,210 | 1,114 | 995 | 1,245 | 1,145 |
|  | 3 | 1,157 | 1,447 | 1,331 | 1,180 | 1,475 | 1,356 | 1,220 | 1,525 | 1,403 | 1,252 | 1,565 | 1,440 |
|  | 4 | 1,392 | 1,740 | 1,601 | 1,421 | 1,770 | 1,635 | 1,471 | 1,840 | 1,692 | 1,507 | 1,886 | 1,735 |
|  | 5 | 1,627 | 2,034 | 1,871 | 1,663 | 2,080 | 1,913 | 1,723 | 2,155 | 1,981 | 1,765 | 2,207 | 2,030 |
|  | 6 | 1,862 | 2,327 | 2,141 | 1,905 | 2,382 | 2,191 | 1,975 | 2,470 | 2,270 | 2,022 | 2,528 | 2,325 |
|  | 7 | 2,097 | 2,620 | 2,411 | 2,146 | 2,685 | 2,470 | 2,226 | 2,785 | 2,560 | 2,279 | 2,849 | 2,620 |
|  | $8$ | $2,332$ | $2,914$ | $2,681$ | 2,388 | $2,987$ | $2,748$ | 2,478 | $3,100$ | $2,849$ | 2,535 | 3,170 | $2,915$ |
|  | additional | + 235 | +270 | +270 | + 242 | +303 | +279 | + 252 | +315 | +290 | + 257 | + 321 | + 295 |
| Monthly <br> Maximum <br> Food <br> Stamp <br> Benefits | Unit Size | $\underline{\text { US }}$ | $\underline{\text { AK }}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{HI}}$ | US | $\underline{\text { AK }}$ | HI | US | AK | $\underline{\mathrm{HI}}$ | US | AK | $\underline{\mathrm{HI}}$ |
|  | 1 | 127 | 158 | 199 | 130 | 160 | 199 | 135 | 167 | 204 | 139 | 169 | 212 |
|  | 2 | 234 | 290 | 365 | 238 | 294 | 366 | 248 | 307 | 374 | 256 | 309 | 389 |
|  | 3 | 335 | 415 | 523 | 341 | 421 | 524 | 356 | 440 | 536 | 366 | 443 | 557 |
|  | 4 | 426 | 528 | 664 | 434 | 535 | 665 | 452 | 559 | 680 | 465 | 563 | 707 |
|  | 5 | 506 | 627 | 789 | 515 | 635 | 790 | 537 | 663 | 808 | 553 | 669 | 840 |
|  | 6 | 607 | 752 | 947 | 618 | 762 | 948 | 644 | 796 | 970 | 664 | 803 | 1,008 |
|  | 7 | 671 | 831 | 1,047 | 683 | 842 | 1,048 | 712 | 880 | 1,072 | 733 | 887 | 1,114 |
|  | 8 | 767 | 950 | 1,196 | 781 | 963 | 1,198 | 814 | 1,006 | 1,225 | 838 | 1,014 | 1,273 |
|  | additional | +96 | + 119 | +150 | +98 | + 120 | +150 | + 102 | + 126 | +153 | + 105 | + 127 | + 159 |

Notes: Eligibility parameters are for the 50 States and the District of Columbia. Puerto Rico is excluded from data for 1976 and 1978 in order to be consistent with other years, and Guam and the Virgin Islands are excluded for all years. FY 2004 eligibility parameters are presented in Table C.3.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ A reduction in the maximum benefit between 1992 and 1993 was prevented by an amendment to the Food Stamp Act of 1977 P.L. 102-351).


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The benefit participation rate measures the amount of benefits received as a proportion of total benefits that would be paid out if every eligible household participated.
    ${ }^{2}$ The 2-point rise in the participation rate between 2002 and 2003 is based on an adjusted 2002 rate that is methodologically consistent with the 2003 and 2004 rates presented in this report.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Because of important improvements in the estimation methodology, the 2003 and 2004 participation rates presented in this report should not be directly compared to the estimates in Cunnyngham (2004). Comparisons can be made, however, after adjusting the 2002 rates so that they are methodologically consistent with the 2003-2004 rates presented here. All comparisons made in this report refer to the change between the 2003 rate and the adjusted 2002 rate.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ See Table A. 8 in Appendix A for benefit participation rates by subgroup.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ The 2002 Farm Security and Rural Investment Act restored eligibility for qualified noncitizens receiving disability benefits effective October 1, 2002, and to qualified noncitizens who had lived in the United States for over 5 years effective April 1, 2003. Eligibility was restored for qualifying noncitizen children on October 1, 2003.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ See Appendix Table B. 1 for the change in individual participation rates from 1988 to 2004. Note that the table does not present the change in individual FSP participation rates from 2002 to 2003 because different methodologies are used to estimate the two rates.
    ${ }^{7}$ See Appendix E for economic and policy influences on participation rates.
    ${ }^{8}$ Individuals who receive TANF are categorically eligible for the FSP. While most individuals who leave TANF still qualify for food stamps, that eligibility is only automatic if the household continues to receive certain in-kind benefits or lives in a State using transitional benefits for TANF leavers. As a result, some individuals are unaware that they are still eligible for the FSP and others choose not to apply or recertify. In 2004, individuals receiving TANF were almost 3 times more likely to participate in the FSP than individuals not receiving TANF.

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ Because the CPS collects household income data for the previous calendar year, we used the March 2005 CPS to derive estimates of eligible individuals in calendar year 2004.

[^7]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Sections A. 3 and B. 1 of this appendix for more detail on modeling categorical eligibility.

[^8]:    ${ }^{4}$ A summary of changes in the March CPS over time is presented in Appendix F.

[^9]:    ${ }^{5}$ In the CPS-based estimate of eligibles, we simulate the number of months (or weeks in the case of earnings) that households typically receive various types of income, but we do not know in which months the income is received.

[^10]:    ${ }^{6}$ Since SSI is under-reported in the CPS, we would exclude too few individuals in California, thus artificially increasing the number of eligible individuals and lowering the participation rate, if we used reported SSI. (In other States, the under-reporting may affect benefit levels, but is not as likely to affect the number of eligible individuals.) To obtain the most accurate number of eligible individuals as possible, we simulate SSI receipt in California and exclude simulated SSI recipients.
    ${ }^{7}$ The weighting adjustments reflect the probability that a household of a certain composition is eligible for the FSP. For example, if a household has 1 noncitizen, we duplicate the record for that household. In the first copy of the record, we retain the noncitizen and multiply the household weight by the probability that the noncitizen is eligible for food stamps. In the second

[^11]:    ${ }^{9}$ The population of nondisabled nonelderly childless adults subject to work registration includes some eligible noncitizens.

[^12]:    ${ }^{10}$ We estimate the proportion that has received no more than 3 months of benefits while not working, using separate estimates for current participants and for non-participants.

[^13]:    ${ }^{12} \mathrm{We}$ are examining approaches for overcoming these data limitations in future reports.
    ${ }^{13}$ FSP asset rules and our asset-eligibility imputation are described below in Section A.5.
    ${ }^{14}$ Because many households flagged as categorically eligible in the FY 2004 FSPQC datafile have no recorded countable assets, we are unable to identify which of these households would fail the asset test if they were not categorically eligible (Section B.1.)

[^14]:    ${ }^{15}$ The net income imputation also contains a randomly generated error term. When we duplicate a food stamp unit that contains an excluded member to implement our weighting adjustments, we now hold the error term constant among all duplications of the same unit. This is an improvement over the pre-2003 methodology that allowed the error term to vary.

[^15]:    ${ }^{16}$ Difficulties correctly identifying the food stamp unit and the overlap between food stamp and TANF units may also add to the problem of rates exceeding 100 percent.

[^16]:    ${ }^{17}$ For 2002 and previous estimates, we adjusted the household weight by State and month to remove disaster-related benefits, then ratio-adjusted estimates of households, individuals, and benefits to match Program Operations data that had been ratio-adjusted for benefits issued in error or for disasters.
    ${ }^{18}$ The 2002 and previous FSPQC datafiles had additional person-level information on program participation.

[^17]:    *A provision to reduce the medical deduction from $\$ 35$ to $\$ 25$ was repealed in OBRA and never implemented.

