

**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Omaha District
Quarterly Drought Report
February 2008**



**US Army Corps
of Engineers
Omaha District**

Table of Contents



Current Conditions	3
Reservoir Predictions	4
Drought Outlook	15
Missouri River Region Mountain Snowpack Report	17
Ft. Peck, Montana	
Reservoir Elevation Overview	20
Water Intake Overview	21
Access Overview	21
Noxious Weeds Overview	21
Cultural Resources Overview	21
Garrison, North Dakota	
Reservoir Elevation Overview	22
Water Intake Overview	23
Access Overview	25
Boat Ramp Information	26
Noxious Weeds Overview	28
Cultural Resources Overview	28
Other Areas of Interest/Concern	28
Oahe, South Dakota	
Reservoir Elevation Overview	29
Water Intake Overview	30
Access Overview	31
Noxious Weeds Overview	31
Cultural Resources Overview	31
Mainstem Reservoir Storage Comparison Water Years 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008	
Ft. Peck, MT	32
Garrison, ND	34
Oahe, SD	36

CURRENT CONDITIONS


The Missouri River basin is entering its ninth year of drought. The water year 2007 ended with runoff volume at 21.5 million acre-feet, 85% of normal. The forecasted runoff for 2008 is 19.5 million acre-feet or 78% of normal. Currently, the mountain snowpack in the major contributing areas are 104% of normal above Ft. Peck and 98% of normal between Ft. Peck and Garrison. This compares with last year's snowpack numbers at this time of 74% of normal above Ft. Peck and 74% of normal between Ft. Peck and Garrison. The upper three reservoirs, Ft. Peck, Garrison, and Oahe continue to operate well under their base annual flood control capacities. Ft. Peck reservoir is currently at 2198.7 ft. msl (8.802 M ac-ft), Garrison is at 1808.1 ft. msl (10.517 M ac-ft), and Oahe is at 1581.9 ft. msl (12.098 M ac-ft). This compares with their base annual flood control elevations of 2234.0 (14.995 M ac-ft.), 1837.5 (18.109 M ac-ft.), and 1607.5 (18.834 M ac-ft); respectively. Finally, based on the most recent runoff conditions, lower than normal reservoir elevations are to be expected throughout 2008. The Omaha District will continue to monitor the elevations of the reservoirs and their effects on cultural resources, municipal water intakes and reservoir access. The Drought Report will continue to be prepared on a quarterly basis unless conditions warrant a more frequent effort.

Reservoir Predictions

The following charts give a graphic illustration of the reservoir predictions prepared by the Northwestern Division's Missouri River Basin Water Control Division. Each line represents a simulation scenario that is prepared; the Lower Basic, the Basic and the Upper Basic simulations. The solid line in each chart is an illustration of the record low for the given reservoir. From the charts it becomes apparent that if the reservoirs follow the Lower Basic simulation they could reach new record lows in 2008. However, if they more closely follow either of the other two scenarios the reservoirs will remain at or above their current elevations.



**OAHE
RESERVOIR PREDICTION COMPARISON
Feb 29, 2008 to Jan 31, 2009**



Precipitation Departures

Precipitation departures from normal during the last 72 months for the United States are shown in Figure 1. While the majority of the basin is near normal, there are still areas that are suffering from a deficit of precipitation. Large areas of Wyoming and northwestern Nebraska still indicate a deficit of 10 to 15 inches.




Figure 1 – 72 month Precipitation Departure From Normal

<http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/spiFmap.pl?dep72>

Figure 2 indicates that the annual precipitation accumulation in the basin is mostly normal to a surplus of moisture.




Figure 2 – 12 month Precipitation Departure From Normal
<http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/spiFmap.pl?dep12>

The three-month period (Figure 3) again shows that much of the basin is trending towards normal precipitation amounts.




Figure 3 – 3 month Precipitation Departure From Normal
<http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/spiFmap.pl?dep03>

Drought Indicators

The Palmer Drought Severity Index and the Drought Monitor are two commonly used drought-indicator products that convey both short-term and long-term drought conditions and impacts. Both the Palmer Index and Drought Monitor depict some regions exhibiting varying degrees of drought within the basin. However, comparatively it appears that the severity of the drought is less than that of recent years.

Palmer Drought Severity Index

The Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) is a meteorological drought index that monitors the hydrologic water balance including the basic terms such as precipitation, evapotranspiration, soil recharge, runoff, and moisture loss. The purpose of this index is to provide standardized measurements of the moisture balance in a region without taking into account streamflow, lake and reservoir levels, and other hydrologic impacts. PDSI is a multi-month drought index; therefore, it responds well and is more suitable for short-term droughts.

Changes to the PDSI are more immediate in response to heavy precipitation over short periods. Figure 5 indicates that the majority of the basin is experiencing “Near Normal” or even “Unusual Moist Spell or Very Moist Spell”. Furthermore, the indicators for the areas that are experiencing drought conditions are of a lesser severity when compared to the past several years.




Figure 5 – Long-Term Palmer Drought Indicator Ending 16 FEB 2008

http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/regional_monitoring/palmer.gif

Drought Monitor

The Drought Monitor is a multi-agency comprehensive drought classification scheme updated weekly by the National Drought Mitigation Center. The Drought Monitor combines information from the Palmer Drought Index, the Climate Prediction Center's soil moisture model, USGS weekly streamflow percentiles, the standard precipitation index, the crop moisture index, and during the snow season basin snow water content, basin average precipitation, and the surface water supply index. Since this product considers streamflow conditions and reservoir water supply, and it allows manual adjustment; it is a good depiction of long-term drought impacts to the affected areas. The Drought Monitor uses four levels of drought classification (moderate, severe, extreme, and exceptional), and it notes the type of impact caused by the drought (agricultural and hydrologic).




Figure 6 – U.S. Drought Monitor – February 12, 2008

<http://www.drought.unl.edu/dm/monitor.html>

In an effort to further define the drought within the basin, regional drought monitor maps have been included within this report for the states within the basin. These maps also include a table indicating the percentage of area experiencing drought and the severity within that area. Further, these tables give an areal comparison of the current status to the conditions one week ago, three months ago, the start of the calendar year, and one year ago.

U.S. Drought Monitor


High Plains

February 12, 2008
Valid 7 a.m. EST

	Drought Conditions (Percent Area)					
	None	D0-D4	D1-D4	D2-D4	D3-D4	D4
Current	40.1	59.9	29.2	14.6	0.6	0.0
Last Week (02/05/2008 map)	40.1	59.9	29.5	14.6	0.6	0.0
3 Months Ago (11/20/2007 map)	52.4	47.6	28.8	11.1	0.3	0.0
Start of Calendar Year (01/01/2008 map)	46.8	53.2	29.4	11.8	0.3	0.0
Start of Water Year (10/02/2007 map)	55.8	44.2	23.3	10.8	1.0	0.0
One Year Ago (02/13/2007 map)	31.5	68.5	53.1	34.4	16.8	0.0

Intensity:

- D0 Abnormally Dry
- D1 Drought - Moderate
- D2 Drought - Severe
- D3 Drought - Extreme
- D4 Drought - Exceptional



The Drought Monitor focuses on broad-scale conditions. Local conditions may vary. See accompanying text summary for forecast statements

<http://drought.unl.edu/dm>



Released Thursday, February 14, 2008

Author: J. Lawrimore/L. Love-Brotak, NOAA/NESDIS/NCDC


U.S. Drought Monitor

North Dakota

February 12, 2008
Valid 7 a.m. EST

Drought Conditions (Percent Area)

	None	D0-D4	D1-D4	D2-D4	D3-D4	D4
Current	0.0	100.0	55.5	39.1	2.4	0.0
Last Week (02/05/2008 map)	0.0	100.0	55.5	39.1	2.4	0.0
3 Months Ago (11/20/2007 map)	24.5	75.5	55.2	16.2	0.0	0.0
Start of Calendar Year (01/01/2008 map)	19.8	80.2	55.5	16.4	0.0	0.0
Start of Water Year (10/02/2007 map)	25.6	74.4	38.1	4.4	0.0	0.0
One Year Ago (02/13/2007 map)	0.0	100.0	77.5	25.9	2.1	0.0



Intensity:



The Drought Monitor focuses on broad-scale conditions. Local conditions may vary. See accompanying text summary for forecast statements

<http://drought.unl.edu/dm>



Released Thursday, February 14, 2008

Author: J. Lawrimore/L. Love-Brotak, NOAA/NESDIS/NCDC


U.S. Drought Monitor

South Dakota

February 12, 2008
Valid 7 a.m. EST

Drought Conditions (Percent Area)

	None	D0-D4	D1-D4	D2-D4	D3-D4	D4
Current	30.1	69.9	34.3	9.7	0.0	0.0
Last Week (02/05/2008 map)	30.1	69.9	34.3	9.7	0.0	0.0
3 Months Ago (11/20/2007 map)	58.4	41.6	34.0	0.9	0.0	0.0
Start of Calendar Year (01/01/2008 map)	55.3	44.7	34.5	8.9	0.0	0.0
Start of Water Year (10/02/2007 map)	59.7	40.3	19.2	8.6	0.0	0.0
One Year Ago (02/13/2007 map)	11.7	88.3	60.0	52.2	11.5	0.0



Intensity:



The Drought Monitor focuses on broad-scale conditions. Local conditions may vary. See accompanying text summary for forecast statements

<http://drought.unl.edu/dm>



Released Thursday, February 14, 2008

Author: J. Lawrimore/L. Love-Brotak, NOAA/NESDIS/NCDC


U.S. Drought Monitor

Nebraska

February 12, 2008
Valid 7 a.m. EST

Drought Conditions (Percent Area)

	None	D0-D4	D1-D4	D2-D4	D3-D4	D4
Current	66.7	33.3	15.7	7.8	1.7	0.0
Last Week (02/05/2008 map)	66.7	33.3	15.7	7.8	1.7	0.0
3 Months Ago (11/20/2007 map)	69.1	30.9	15.9	7.8	1.7	0.0
Start of Calendar Year (01/01/2008 map)	66.7	33.3	15.9	7.8	1.7	0.0
Start of Water Year (10/02/2007 map)	70.9	29.1	13.6	7.0	1.7	0.0
One Year Ago (02/13/2007 map)	35.5	64.5	56.3	38.9	26.2	0.0



Intensity:

- D0 Abnormally Dry
- D1 Drought - Moderate
- D2 Drought - Severe
- D3 Drought - Extreme
- D4 Drought - Exceptional

The Drought Monitor focuses on broad-scale conditions. Local conditions may vary. See accompanying text summary for forecast statements

<http://drought.unl.edu/dm>



Released Thursday, February 14, 2008

Author: J. Lawrimore/L. Love-Brotak, NOAA/NESDIS/NCDC


U.S. Drought Monitor

West

February 12, 2008
Valid 7 a.m. EST

Drought Conditions (Percent Area)

	None	D0-D4	D1-D4	D2-D4	D3-D4	D4
Current	33.2	66.8	37.7	16.9	0.0	0.0
Last Week (02/05/2008 map)	34.1	65.9	43.6	18.9	0.0	0.0
3 Months Ago (11/20/2007 map)	25.4	74.6	56.6	38.1	7.9	0.0
Start of Calendar Year (01/01/2008 map)	26.3	73.7	54.7	33.1	2.7	0.0
Start of Water Year (10/02/2007 map)	22.0	78.0	62.3	44.7	12.4	0.0
One Year Ago (02/13/2007 map)	41.7	58.3	33.2	18.9	5.0	0.0



Intensity:

- D0 Abnormally Dry
- D1 Drought - Moderate
- D2 Drought - Severe
- D3 Drought - Extreme
- D4 Drought - Exceptional

The Drought Monitor focuses on broad-scale conditions. Local conditions may vary. See accompanying text summary for forecast statements

<http://drought.unl.edu/dm>



Released Thursday, February 14, 2008

Author: J. Lawrimore/L. Love-Brotak, NOAA/NESDIS/NCDC


U.S. Drought Monitor

Montana

February 12, 2008
Valid 7 a.m. EST

Drought Conditions (Percent Area)

	None	D0-D4	D1-D4	D2-D4	D3-D4	D4
Current	13.2	86.8	51.6	9.6	0.0	0.0
Last Week (02/05/2008 map)	7.0	93.0	73.1	20.7	0.0	0.0
3 Months Ago (11/20/2007 map)	19.3	80.7	57.7	30.2	0.0	0.0
Start of Calendar Year (01/01/2008 map)	0.8	99.2	61.2	26.4	0.0	0.0
Start of Water Year (10/02/2007 map)	3.9	96.1	88.3	46.2	9.5	0.0
One Year Ago (02/13/2007 map)	42.8	57.2	40.4	21.7	3.4	0.0



Intensity:

- D0 Abnormally Dry
- D1 Drought - Moderate
- D2 Drought - Severe
- D3 Drought - Extreme
- D4 Drought - Exceptional

The Drought Monitor focuses on broad-scale conditions. Local conditions may vary. See accompanying text summary for forecast statements

<http://drought.unl.edu/dm>



Released Thursday, February 14, 2008

Author: J. Lawrimore/L. Love-Brotak, NOAA/NESDIS/NCDC

DROUGHT OUTLOOK

The basin drought outlook uses several expert products that indicate precipitation needs necessary to reduce the Palmer Drought to normal conditions, a one- and three-month climate outlook, and the impacts that future climate predictions could have on the current drought situation. As is evident from Figure 7, with the exception of northwest North Dakota, it is predicted that the basin's drought condition will improve through April.




Figure 7 – Three-Month Seasonal Drought Outlook through April 2008

http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/expert_assessment/seasonal_drought.html

Weekly Precipitation Need

Figure 8 is the weekly precipitation needed to reduce the current Palmer Drought Severity Index value to -0.5 or near normal conditions. The current chart indicates that the vast majority of the basin is very near normal with respect to the PDI.




Figure 8 – Weekly Precipitation Need to Bring PDI to -0.5

http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/regional_monitoring/addpcp.gif

Missouri River Region Mountain Snowpack Report

2007 - 2008 Mountain Snowpack Report for Missouri River Basin

Summary of Winter 2007-2008. The Missouri River runoff for 2007 was 21.5 MAF, 85% of normal. This marked the eighth consecutive year of less than normal runoff in the basin. The continued drought has taxed the System storage leaving upstream reservoir levels very low, much like what occurred in the drought of the mid 1980's and early 1990's. The forecasted runoff for 2008 is 19.5 MAF, 78% of normal. As of February 1, mountain snowpack above Fort Peck is 104% of normal, while mountain snowpack between Fort Peck and Garrison is also 98% of normal. Mountain snowpack in the North Platte and South Platte River basins is 99% and 97% of normal, respectively. Normally, 100% of the peak accumulation has occurred by April 15.

Summary of Winter 2006-2007. Like the previous four winters, the winter of 2006 and 2007 was distinguished by the total lack of plains snowpack. The runoff in January, February, and March was 86%, 102% and 108% of normal, respectively. The runoff in April, May and June was only 74%, 108% and 83% of normal, respectively. This is significant because, historically, runoff during these three months accounts for nearly half the total yearly runoff. Except for a brief period in November 2006, the mountain snowpack was below normal levels during the entire 2006-2007 mountain snowpack reporting period. The snowpack in the reach above Fort Peck peaked at 76% of normal. In the reach between Fort Peck and Garrison, the snowpack peak was 81% of normal. The total runoff into the basin for 2007 was approximately 21.5 MAF, 85% of normal.

The following tabulation is a summary of this year's mountain snowpack accumulations and the CY 2008 runoff forecast for the first of each month. The three most upstream Missouri River main stem reservoirs, Fort Peck, Garrison and Oahe, are significantly below their base of the annual flood control zones due to eight consecutive years of drought and the System stands poised to handle significant runoff if that were to occur during 2008.

CY 2008 Mountain Snowpack Accumulations in Percent of Normal Peak							
Drainage Basin	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul
Above Fort Peck Dam	93%	104%
Fort Peck to Garrison	93%	98%
Percent of Normal Total Acc.	93%	100%
North Platte River	91%	99%
South Platte River	93%	97%

Forecasted CY 2008 Missouri River Basin Annual Runoff in MAF							
Location	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul
Above Sioux City, Iowa.	19.5	19.5
Percent of Normal 25.2 MAF	78%	78%

SNOTEL Mountain snowpack station data is provided by the National Resource Conservation Service. Normally by April 15, 100% of the peak accumulation has occurred. The January through June 2007 actual runoff above Sioux City was 15.1 MAF, 92% of normal. The 2007 Calendar Year runoff above Sioux City was 21.5 MAF, 85% of normal. The forecasted runoff for 2008 is 19.5 MAF, 78% of normal. As stated earlier, the Missouri River basin endured its eighth consecutive year of drought in 2007. The January 29, 2008 drought monitor map (<http://drought.unl.edu/dm/monitor.html>) indicates that the entire state of Iowa and the eastern halves of Nebraska and South Dakota are in "normal" conditions. The rest of the basin is considered either "abnormally dry" or "moderate" drought conditions.

The table above labeled [CY 2008 Mountain Snowpack](#), gives information in percent of average for the two significant snowpack accumulation reaches of Fort Peck and Fort Peck to Garrison. The snow melts during the May through July timeframe and provides significant main stem inflow which is stored to prevent downstream flooding and later used to meet main stem authorized project purposes. Even knowing the amount of snow at the first of each month for selected mountain snowpack areas results in considerable runoff variability because the weather conditions during the melt period greatly influences the runoff yield. The total percent of normal accumulation are shown for the first of each month through May. For the period of May through July the percentages shown are a percent of the peak accumulation for the year to indicate the remaining snow to melt in the mountains.

Mainstem Reservoir Information

As mentioned at the beginning of this report, the upper three reservoirs continue to operate well below the preferred level, which is the base of the flood control pool. Furthermore, the current reservoir and runoff predictions indicate that significant improvement is not expected during Water Year 2008.

Fort Peck, Montana

Reservoir Elevation Overview

Lake Elevation (2/15/2007) (ft. msl)	Current Lake Elevation (2/15/2008) (ft. msl)	30-Day Projected Elevation (2/29/2008) (ft. msl)	120-Day Projected Elevation (6/30/2008) (ft. msl)
2196.7	2198.8	2198.6	2195.8

Comments:

1. Current reservoir elevation is 35.2-feet below the top of conservation pool (elevation 2234.0 ft. msl).
2. Projections provided are based upon the Lower Basic Simulation prepared by the Reservoir Control Center. This is done solely for planning purposes.
3. Current elevation is 2.1-feet higher than 2/15/07 (2196.4).
4. The elevation of 2196.2 is the current record low.

Water Intake Overview

Intake	Comments
Hell Creek State Park	No issues. Well completed 22 NOV 2004

Access Overview

1. 15 ramps usable; 3 ramps unusable. No permanent ramps operational.
2. \$250,000 programmed for boat ramp extensions/maintenance in FY 2007.
3. Once the reservoir is free of ice, the boat ramps will be extended to provide the most optimum access possible given the current reservoir conditions.

Boat Ramp	Status	Bottom Elevation	Top Elevation	Managing Agency
Fort Peck Marina	USABLE	2197	2250	COE/Concessionaire
Duck Creek	USABLE	2197	2250	COE/MTFW&P
Flat Lake	USABLE	2197	2250	COE
Rock Creek (North Fork)	USABLE	2197	2250	COE/MTFW&P
Rock Creek Marina	USABLE	2197	2250	Concessionaire
Nelson Creek	UNUSABLE	2220 (Cannot Be Extended)	2250	COE
Hell Creek	USABLE	2197	2250	COE/MTFW&P
Devils Creek	USABLE	2197	2250	COE
Crooked Creek	UNUSABLE	2223 (Cannot Be Extended)	2250	Concessionaire
Fourchette	UNUSABLE	2204 (Cannot Be Extended)	2250	COE
Bone Trail	USABLE	2197	2250	COE
Pines	USABLE	2197	2250	COE
James Kipp	USABLE	Missouri River, Upstream of Dam		BLM
Floodplain	USABLE	Missouri River, Below Dam		COE
Roundhouse Point	USABLE	Missouri River, Below Dam		COE
Nelson Dredge	USABLE	Missouri River, Below Dam		COE
Trout Pond	USABLE	Missouri River, Below Dam		MTFW&P
Rock Creek West	USABLE	Missouri River, Upstream of Dam		USFWS

Noxious Weeds Overview

1. As the reservoir elevation dropped, the noxious weeds spread along the shoreline.
2. Main concern is Saltcedar, which thrives along the shoreline as the reservoir elevation declines.
3. \$200,000 programmed for noxious weed control in FY 2008.

Cultural Resources Overview

1. No issues to date.

Garrison, North Dakota

Reservoir Elevation Overview

Lake Elevation 2/15/2007 (ft. msl)	Current Lake Elevation 2/15/2008 (ft. msl)	30-Day Projected Elevation (2/29/2008) (ft. msl)	120-Day Projected Elevation (6/30/2008) (ft. msl)
1806.7	1808.3	1807.5	1807.8

Comments:

1. Current reservoir elevation is 29.2-feet below the top of conservation pool (elevation 1837.5 ft. msl).
2. Projections provided are based upon the Lower Basic Simulation prepared by the Reservoir Control Center. This is done solely for planning purposes.
3. Current reservoir elevation is 1.6-feet higher than elevation on 2/15/07 (1806.7).
4. Record low for the reservoir is 1805.76 on May 12, 2005.

Water Intake Overview

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Whiteshield	Operational	1808.3	1787	1805	1794	1796	720	N	TAT/BOR

Comments:

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005. The intake was extended and lowered 2-feet since the Corps' survey in 2005.
2. Operation concern level corresponds to previous record lows where erosion of newly exposed shoreline may cause problems with erosion at the intake.
3. A new intake was to be installed in 2007. The new elevation and operational information will be provided when available.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Twin Buttes	Operational	1808.3	1784.4	1805	1788	1790	425	N	TAT/BOR

Comments:

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005.
2. Erosion due to low reservoir levels have caused increased sediment in the intake piping. This has increased maintenance cost to remove the sediment and increased the cost of treating the water.
3. A new intake was to be installed in 2007. The new elevation and operational information will be provided when available.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Mandaree	Operational	1808.3	1786	1789.0	1789	1794	780	N	TAT/BOR

Comments:

1. The new intake screen is at elevation 1786.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Four Bears	Operational	1808.3	1789.9	1800.0	1792	1794	900	N	TAT/BOR

Comments:

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005.
2. Erosion due to low reservoir levels have caused increased sediment in the intake piping. This has increased maintenance cost to remove the sediment and increased the cost of treating the water.
3. A new intake was to be installed in 2007. The new elevation and operational information will be provided when available.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Parshall	Operable	1808.3	1803.6*	1806.6	1797.5	1801.5	1000	N	Parshall

Comments:

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005.
2. The City had a telescoping riser attached to the intake by 30 July 2005. The riser extended the intake to within 3- to 4-feet of the water's surface.
3. Require at least 3 feet of water over the intake for proper operation.
4. Water quality at current level is good following water treatment.
5. Technical Assistance Report was completed by the Corps of Engineers for Parshall in December 2006.
6. A backup well is available for use should the intake fail. The well has been used successfully in the past.

Future Plans:

1. Discussions have been held between Parshall and New Town regarding future water supply. No formal decisions have been reached. Parshall is a proposed supplier for the Rural Water System.

*Screen is raised or lowered according to reservoir elevations.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Pick City	Operational	1808.3	1795	1800	1798	1800	200		Pick City

Comments:

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005.
2. At least 5-feet of water is necessary to operate this intake. If continued usage is planned, the intake will have to be lowered.

Future Plans:

1. Rural water is available to the City, however, they have chosen to continue using their intake until the water no longer meets State Health Standards or work is required on their intake.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Garrison	Operational	1808.3	1787.2	1805	1792	1792	1830	N	Garrison

Comments:

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005.
2. A regulatory permit was currently issued for the reinstallation of existing 950-feet of 8" poly pipe and installation of new 250-feet of 8" poly pipe to extend the intake system.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
SW Pipeline	Operational	1808.3	1779.0	1782	1776		34,000	N	SW Pipeline

Comments:

1. This system provides water for the City of Dickinson, Antelope Valley Power Plant, Coal Gasification Plant, and the Southwest Water Authority.

Access Overview

1. Ft. Stevenson State Park Marina bids were opened and the contract was awarded. Work hauling rock and constructing the coffer dam was begun during the Fall of 2007. The project is scheduled to be completed in FY 2009.

The following table provides the updated boat ramp status on Lake Sakakawea.

Updated 2/20/2008

Reservoir Elevation 2/15/08 – 1808.3

Location	Type	Top Elevation	Bottom Elevation	Comments	Managing Agency	Contact Person	Phone
Beaver Bay (low-water-COE)	poured concrete	1829	1808	Unusable	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411
Beulah Bay	poured concrete	1852.4	1799	Usable	Beulah Park Board	Bev Sullivan	873-5852
Camp of the Cross	Slide-in metal sections	1819	1806	Unusable	Lutheran Bible Camp	Larry Crowder	337-2246
Charging Eagle Bay (1st low water)	poured concrete	1829.2	1810.6	Unusable (Can be extended)	Three Affiliated Tribes	Jim Mossett	880-1203
Dakota Waters Resort (low-water)	poured concrete, planks	1853.4	1802.6	Usable	Beulah Park Board	Kelvin Heinsen	873-5800
Deepwater Creek (2nd low water)	concrete planks & metal	1820	1805.5	Usable	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411
Deepwater Creek (1st low water)	poured concrete	1838.5	1809	Unusable	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411
Douglas Creek (low water)	poured concrete, planks	1831	1790	Usable	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411
Fort Stevenson State Park (low water)	poured concrete	1821.8	1790	Usable	ND Parks & Rec	Dick Messerly	337-5576
Four Bears Park (south low water)	concrete planks	1820.7	1805.5	Usable	Three Affiliated Tribes	Alan Chase	627-4018
Garrison Creek Cabin Site	poured concrete	1857	1802	Usable	Garrison Cabin Assc.	Percy Radke	337-2247
Government Bay (low water)	slide-in metal sections	1815	1803	Usable	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411
Government Bay (main ramp)	poured concrete	1857	1810	Unusable	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411
Hazen Bay (2nd low water)	poured concrete	1830.6	1808	Unusable	Hazen Park Board	Mannie Hendrickson	748-5958
Indian Hills (2nd low water)	concrete planks	1817.6	1807	Unusable	Parks & Rec/Tribes	Kelly Sorge	743-4122
Indian Hills (3rd low water)	Will need to reinstall	1810	1795				
McKenzie Bay (east ramp)	poured concrete	1850.9	1796	Usable	McKenzie Marine Club	Rhonda Logan	579-3366

Location	Type	Top Elevation	Bottom Elevation	Comments	Managing Agency	Contact Person	Phone
New Town (proposed ramp)	slide-in metal sections	1819.0	1806.0	Unusable	New Town Park Board	Dusty Rhodes	627-3900
Parshall Bay (2nd low-water)	slide-in metal sections	1817.8	1808.5	Unusable	Mountrail County Park Board	Clarence Weltz	627-3377
Pouch Point (3rd low-water)	slide-in metal sections	1819	1807	Unusable	Three Affiliated Tribes	Paul Danks	627-3627
Pouch Point (2nd low-water)	poured concrete	1834.8	1813	Unusable	Three Affiliated Tribes	Paul Danks	627-3627
Reunion Bay (2nd low water)	concrete planks	1826.6	1808	Unusable	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411
Sakakawea State Park (main)	poured concrete	1850	1800	Usable	ND Parks & Rec	John Tunge	487-3315
Sakakawea State Park (low water)	will need to finish ramp	1807	1790				
Sanish Bay (Aftem) (low water)	poured concrete	1830.8	1807.4	Unusable	Aftem Lake Development	Gerald Aftem	852-2779
Skunk Creek Recreation Area (main)	poured concrete	1840	1806.5	Unusable	Three Affiliated Tribes	Ken Danks	290-2841
Sportsmen's Centennial Park	poured concrete	1831.6	1808.5	Unusable	McLean County	Les Korgel	462-8541
Sportsmen's Centennial Park (2nd low water)	slide-in metal sections	1810	1795	Usable			
Steinke Bay	poured concrete	1833.1	1813.4	Unusable	North Dakota Game & Fish	Bob Frohlich	328-6346
Van Hook (Gull Island south low-water)	metal bridge deck sections	1817.8	1805	Usable	Mountrail County Park Board	Clarence Weltz	627-3377
Van Hook (west low water ramps)	poured concrete	1821.2	1808	Unusable	Mountrail County Park Board	Clarence Weltz	627-3377
White Earth Bay (main)	poured concrete	1850.9	1801	Usable	Mountrail County Park Board	Greg Gunderson	755-3277
Wolf Creek Recreation Area (1st low water)	poured concrete	1833.8	1802.5	Usable	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411

Noxious Weeds Overview

1. Project personnel are continuing efforts to combat noxious weeds.
2. \$123,000 programmed for noxious weed control in FY 2008.

Cultural Resources Overview

1. Corps and Tribal personnel continue to monitor the shoreline for exposure of cultural site and opportunities for protection of sites.

Other Areas of Interest/Concern

1. Garrison National Fish Hatchery – Three issues exist and are of concern to the State of North Dakota and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
 - a. Addition of a fifth boiler and necessary power for operation.
 - b. Ability to fill 40 rearing ponds.
 - c. Adequacy of the existing 20-inch water supply line from the penstocks.
2. Fact sheets for the hatchery issues exist. OP-TM is investigating a design for additional power requirements to the hatchery. An MOU may need to be set up to address future operating needs and requirements.

Oahe, South Dakota

Reservoir Elevation Overview

Lake Elevation 2/15/2007 (ft. msl)	Current Lake Elevation 2/15/2008 (ft. msl)	30-Day Projected Elevation (2/29/2008) (ft. msl)	120-Day Projected Elevation (6/30/2008) (ft. msl)
1572.0	1581.8	1582.1	1573.5

Comments:

1. Current reservoir elevation is 25.7-feet below the top of conservation pool (elevation 1607.5 ft. msl).
2. Projections provided are based upon the Lower Basic Simulation prepared by the Reservoir Control Center. This is done solely for planning purposes.
3. Current reservoir elevation is 3.8-feet higher than 2/15/07 (1572.0).
4. Record low for the reservoir is 1570.17 on August 31, 2006.

Water Intake Overview

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Ft. Yates	Operational	1581.8	1571.2	1573	1572.2*	1575.2*	3,400	Y	SRST/BOR

Comments:

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005.
2. A backup well has been drilled and tested.
3. New well and plumbing is installed at Fort Yates and can be used as a backup water source.

*Intake is in riverine conditions and flow to the intake may be influenced by releases from Garrison reservoir.

Future Plans:

1. The intake at Fort Yates remains in a river condition and may continue to have sedimentation problems as long as Oahe remains below elevation 1580. Sediment levels in the sump are measured weekly and the river channel is monitored.
2. Contingency plans are in place and have been exercised.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Wakpala	Operational	1581.8	1563	1563	1566	1569	>500	N	SRST/BOR

Comments:

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005, a new low profile screen was installed lowering the top of the screen elevation to 1563, this elevation was confirmed in February 2007.
2. Contingency plans are being drafted to respond to an intake failure. Initial response to an intake failure at Wakpala would be hauling water from the city of Mobridge to the treatment plant to be distributed using the existing transmission lines.

Access Overview

1. The State of South Dakota is responsible for maintaining recreational areas and access to the reservoir in South Dakota. The Oahe Project maintains the access in North Dakota. To view ramp status on Oahe in South Dakota, click on the following link:

[Oahe Boat Ramp Status in South Dakota](#)

2. Ramps on Oahe Project in North Dakota:

AREA	Status
Sibley Park	Unusable
Little Heart Bottoms	Unusable
Kimball (Desert)	Marginal
Graner's Bottoms	Usable
Maclean Bottoms	Usable
Hazelton	Usable
Ft. Rice	Usable
North Beaver Bay	Usable
Walker Bottoms	Usable
Jennerville (Rivery)	Usable
Fort Yates	Unusable
Cattail Bay	Unusable
Langeliers Bay	Unusable
Beaver Creek	Unusable
State Line	Unusable

<http://www.gf.nd.gov/boating/mo-riv-system-boatramps-status.html>

Noxious Weeds Overview

1. \$225,000 programmed for noxious weed control in FY 2008.


Cultural Resources Overview

1. Corps and Tribal personnel continue to monitor the shoreline for exposure of cultural site and opportunities for protection of sites.

Mainstem Reservoir Storage Comparison – Water Years 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007,2008

Fort Peck, Montana



Water Year 2004 (FEB 2004 - JAN 2005)		Water Year 2005 (FEB 2005 - JAN 2006)		Water Year 2006 (FEB 2006 - JAN 2007)		Water Year 2007 (FEB 2007 - JAN 2008)		Water Year 2008 (FEB 2008 - JAN 2009)	
Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)
2204	9.603	2198.3	8.732	2200.4	9.048	2197.5	8.618	2198.9	8.826
2205.5	9.837	2198.6	8.773	2201.5	9.222	2196.3	8.44		
2204.9	9.74	2198.6	8.773	2203.5	9.54	2197.5	8.619		
2203.4	9.507	2199.6	8.935	2205.5	9.741	2198.8	8.804		
2203.8	9.565	2203	9.448	2206.3	9.962	2200.8	9.103		
2202.4	9.357	2203.2	9.472	2206.2	9.958	2203.1	9.465		
2200.9	9.121	2202.2	9.325	2204.9	9.75	2202.3	9.342		
2199.8	8.969	2202	9.286	2203.6	9.525	2200.9	9.122		
2199.8	8.963	2202.6	9.371	2202.5	9.359	2200.3	9.04		
2199.8	8.961	2202.9	9.432	2202.6	9.383	2200.3	9.034		
2198.9	8.829	2201.6	9.223	2199.4	8.913	2199.5	8.914		
2198.5	8.749	2201	9.134	2199.4	8.907	2198.9	8.828		



Garrison, ND

Water Year 2004 (FEB 2004 - JAN 2005)		Water Year 2005 (FEB 2005 - JAN 2006)		Water Year 2006 (FEB 2006 - JAN 2007)		Water Year 2007 (FEB 2007 - JAN 2008)		Water Year 2008 (FEB 2008 - JAN 2009)	
Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)
1814.3	11.891	1808.2	10.538	1811.4	11.04	1807	10.277	1809.1	10.719
1815.6	12.197	1808.7	10.632	1810.6	11.076	1806.9	10.241		
1814.7	11.989	1806.6	10.189	1810.7	11.46	1808.7	10.631		
1815.3	12.121	1808.8	10.665	1812.5	11.992	1808.6	10.612		
1816.5	12.426	1814.9	12.026	1817.3	12.628	1813.1	11.612		
1816.5	12.401	1817.2	12.591	1817.4	12.629	1818.1	12.774		
1814.3	11.914	1815.8	12.216	1815.5	12.172	1816.9	12.514		
1813.3	11.645	1814.1	11.861	1812.1	11.372	1814.6	11.999		
1813.1	11.589	1814	11.837	1809.5	10.838	1813.7	11.766		
1812.3	11.422	1813.5	11.707	1809.6	10.822	1813.2	11.636		
1810	10.936	1812	11.368	1807.8	10.441	1813.2	11.636		
1808.4	10.574	1811.4	11.222	1807.8	10.439	1812.7	11.589		

Garrison, North Dakota '04, '05, '06, '07, '08 Comparison



Oahe, SD

Water Year 2004 (FEB 2004 - JAN 2005)		Water Year 2005 (FEB 2005 - JAN 2006)		Water Year 2006 (FEB 2006 - JAN 2007)		Water Year 2007 (FEB 2007 - JAN 2008)		Water Year 2008 (FEB 2008 - JAN 2009)	
Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)
1577.6	11.204	1575.2	10.715	1576.8	11.037	1572.9	10.287	1582.2	12.217
1579.2	11.504	1576.2	10.924	1577.6	11.209	1572.3	10.151		
1582.1	12.11	1574.29	10.568	1576.7	11.024	1575.8	10.839		
1581.6	12.056	1574.82	10.608	1577.4	11.15	1577.7	11.221		
1578.4	11.338	1576.47	10.98	1577	11.088	1580.5	11.826		
1576.8	11.045	1577.6	11.214	1575.8	10.881	1582.8	12.346		
1574.3	10.54	1576.38	10.958	1573.4	10.378	1581.4	12.045		
1572.1	10.112	1572.6	10.363	1570.3	9.807	1580.1	11.752		
1573.2	10.316	1572.63	10.267	1571.4	9.998	1580.9	11.927		
1574.8	10.608	1573.9	10.501	1572.6	10.214	1580.8	11.898		
1576	10.866	1575.6	10.814	1572.9	10.263	1582.2	12.148		
1575.8	10.824	1575.3	10.75	1572.8	10.26	1582.2	12.217		

Oahe, South Dakota '04, '05, '06, '08 Comparison

