

**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
Omaha District  
Monthly Drought Report  
December 2005**



**US Army Corps  
of Engineers  
Omaha District**

## Table of Contents


Current Conditions	3
Drought Outlook	10
Ft. Peck, Montana	
Reservoir Elevation Overview	13
Water Intake Overview	14
Access Overview	14
Noxious Weeds Overview	14
Cultural Resources Overview	14
Garrison, North Dakota	
Reservoir Elevation Overview	15
Water Intake Overview	16
Access Overview	20
Boat Ramp Information	21
Noxious Weeds Overview	23
Cultural Resources Overview	23
Other Areas of Interest/Concern	23
Oahe, South Dakota	
Reservoir Elevation Overview	24
Water Intake Overview	25
Access Overview	27
Noxious Weeds Overview	27
Cultural Resources Overview	27
Mainstem Reservoir Information, Weekly Elevation Comparison 7 NOV 2005 through 28 NOV 2005	28
Mainstem Reservoir Storage Comparison Water Year 2004 vs. Water Year 2005	
Ft. Peck, MT	29
Garrison, ND	30
Oahe, SD	31

## **CURRENT CONDITIONS**

The current Omaha District drought has impacted parts of the Missouri River Basin including the entire Upper Missouri River Basin in Montana and Wyoming since 2000. Long term (72-month) precipitation departures range from 5 to 10 inches below normal in Montana to 15 to 20 inches below normal in parts of Nebraska; while during the present 12-month period, precipitation is less than three inches below normal. Water year 2005 snow pack was severely limited as in years past. Despite rain storms in the latter part of September, drought conditions persist over much of the upper basin with only 78 percent of normal runoff recorded so far this year. Current drought indicators including the Palmer Drought Severity Index and the Drought Monitor reflect short-term water deficits and long-term drought impacts.

## Precipitation Departures


Precipitation accumulations in the Western U.S. have largely affected the severity and extent of the drought since 2000. Precipitation departures from normal during the last 72-months for the United States are shown in Figure 1. Precipitation departures or deficits in the Western U.S. have shown significant improvement due to recent moisture. In much of western and southwestern Montana, accumulated precipitation is well below normal (deficit of 5 to 20 inches). The majority of Wyoming's accumulated precipitation remains 10 to 15 inches below normal for the observation period. Southeast Nebraska and southwest Iowa have received from near normal to 10 inches less than normal precipitation. The Dakotas have largely received a surplus (10 to 15 inches) of precipitation in the central and eastern regions, while western regions remain normal to 5 inches below normal. The South Platte River Basin in Colorado still shows precipitation deficits of 10 to 15 inches during a majority of the 72-month period.



**Figure 1 – 72 month Precipitation Departure From Normal**


<http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/spiFmap.pl?dep72>

The 12-month precipitation accumulation in Figure 2 indicates that precipitation throughout much of the western and northwestern District is from normal to six-inches above normal. This is indicative of the recent rain and snow events within the basin.




**Figure 2 – 12 month Precipitation Departure From Normal**  
<http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/spiFmap.pl?dep12>

The three-month period (Figure 3) shows precipitation ranges are near normal throughout the District. Continued winter precipitation will further improve this condition.



**Figure 3 – 3 month Precipitation Departure From Normal**  
<http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/spiFmap.pl?dep03>

During November, the majority of the basin received normal to a one-inch rainfall surplus (Figure 4).



**Figure 4 – 1 month Precipitation Departure From Normal**

<http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/spiFmap.pl?dep01>

### **Water Year 2005 Mountain Snow**

The depth and snow water equivalent (SWE) of mountain tributary basin snow pack in Water Year 2005 was poor over most of the Missouri River basin mountain basins as a result of a mild and wavering El Nino phenomenon. At the same time conditions were not favorable to develop winter storms with normal mountain snowfall in the Central and Northern Rockies.

Areas most severely impacted include the Northern Rockies of Montana and Wyoming. As of April 1, 2005, Missouri River headwaters in Montana and Yellowstone River headwaters in Wyoming contained 50 to 69 % of normal SWE in the poorest areas, and 70 to 89 % of normal SWE in most other areas. Additionally northwest portions of Missouri River basin tributary headwaters in Montana and the Belle Fourche River basin in northeastern Wyoming and west-central South Dakota held, at best, 50 % of normal SWE. Both the North and South Platte River basins contained between 70 and 89% of normal SWE with some sub basins slightly better or worse.


## Drought Indicators

The Palmer Drought Severity Index and the Drought Monitor are two commonly used drought-indicator products that convey both short-term and long-term drought conditions and impacts. Both the Palmer Index and Drought Monitor depict some regions exhibiting varying degrees of drought in Nebraska, South Dakota, Wyoming, and Montana, which have been suffering from drought since 2000.

## Palmer Drought Severity Index

The Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) is a meteorological drought index that monitors the hydrologic water balance including the basic terms such as precipitation, evapotranspiration, soil recharge, runoff, and moisture loss. The purpose of this index is to provide standardized measurements of the moisture balance in a region without taking into account streamflow, lake and reservoir levels, and other hydrologic impacts. PDSI is a multi-month drought index; therefore, it responds well and is more suitable for short-term droughts.

Changes to the PDSI are more immediate in response to heavy precipitation over short periods. The PDSI shown in Figure 5 reflects near normal to extremely moist spells in the majority of the Omaha District with only small portions of Montana exhibiting moderate to severe drought conditions.



**Figure 5 – Long-Term Palmer Drought Indicator Ending 26 NOV 2005**

[http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis\\_monitoring/regional\\_monitoring/palmer.gif](http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/regional_monitoring/palmer.gif)



## Drought Monitor

The Drought Monitor is a multi-agency comprehensive drought classification scheme updated weekly by the National Drought Mitigation Center. The Drought Monitor combines information from the Palmer Drought Index, the Climate Prediction Center's soil moisture model, USGS weekly streamflow percentiles, the standard precipitation index, the crop moisture index, and during the snow season basin snow water content, basin average precipitation, and the surface water supply index. Since this product considers streamflow conditions and reservoir water supply, and it allows manual adjustment; it is a good depiction of long-term drought impacts to the affected areas. The Drought Monitor uses four levels of drought classification (moderate, severe, extreme, and exceptional), and it notes the type of impact caused by the drought (agricultural and hydrologic).

Omaha District drought has steadily improved throughout the spring, summer, and fall. Above-normal rainfall and increased pool levels in Oahe Reservoir, Lake Sakakawea, and Ft. Peck reservoir have helped reduce the drought impacts. Portions of Nebraska, South Dakota, Montana and Wyoming are currently classified as Abnormally Dry to Moderate Drought. The western half of South Dakota and North Dakota are currently classified as exhibiting Normal conditions.




Figure 6 – U.S. Drought Monitor – November 8, 2005 Through November 29 2005  
<http://drought.unl.edu/dm/monitor.html>

## DROUGHT OUTLOOK

The basin drought outlook uses several expert products that indicate precipitation needs necessary to reduce the Palmer Drought to normal conditions, a one- and three-month climate outlook, and the impacts that future climate predictions could have on the current drought situation. The three-month Drought Outlook (Figure 7) indicates that the majority of the basin is returning to normal moisture conditions with the exception south-central and western Nebraska, small areas of Montana, and the majority of Wyoming.





Figure 7 – Three-Month Seasonal Drought Outlook Through February 2006  
[http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/expert\\_assessment/seasonal\\_drought.html](http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/expert_assessment/seasonal_drought.html)

### Weekly Precipitation Need

Figure 8 is the weekly precipitation needed to reduce the current Palmer Drought Severity Index value to -0.5 or near normal conditions. According to the PDSI (Figure 5) drought currently is affecting portions of Montana, Wyoming, southeastern South Dakota and portions of southeastern Nebraska.



**Figure 8 – Weekly Precipitation Need to Bring PDI to -0.5**

[http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis\\_monitoring/regional\\_monitoring/addpcp.gif](http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/regional_monitoring/addpcp.gif)

In order to reach near normal Palmer Drought conditions, Montana would need approximately 3 to 6 inches of precipitation across the western portion of the state, the North Platte River basin in Wyoming would require 3 to 6 inches of precipitation and the southwestern portion of South Dakota would require approximately 3 inches in a week; while southeastern Nebraska would require 3 to 6 inches. Water supply deficits in large reservoirs, groundwater reserves, and possibly subsoil moisture reserves would receive limited benefit from the weekly Palmer precipitation needs. Mitigation of a multi-year drought would likely require multiple years of normal and above-normal water inflow conditions.

## **Mainstem Reservoir Information**

The mainstem reservoir system is in far better condition when compared with conditions at the same time one year ago. In particular, the upper three reservoirs are at or above the elevation they were December 1, 2004. Fort Peck is approximately three-feet higher, Garrison is approximately one-foot higher and Oahe is very near the same elevation. At this time, no municipal water intakes within the reservoirs appear to be in jeopardy.

Recent fall showers and early snowfall have contributed to the condition of the reservoirs. Continued moisture will further improve conditions throughout the basin. Overall, the basin appears to be in better hydrologic condition than anticipated at the beginning of the water year.


**Fort Peck, Montana**

**Reservoir Elevation Overview**

Lake Elevation 7/01/2005 (ft. msl)	Current Lake Elevation 11/30/2005 (ft. msl)	30-Day Projected Elevation* (12/31/2005) (ft. msl)	60-Day Projected Elevation* (1/31/2006) (ft. msl)	90-Day** Projected Elevation* (2/28/2006) (ft. msl)
2203.0	2202.9	2201.5	2200.4	2199.9

**Comments:**

1. Current reservoir elevation is 31.5-feet below the top of conservation pool (elevation 2234.0 ft. msl).
2. \*Projections provided are based upon the Lower Basic Simulation prepared by the Reservoir Control Center.
3. Current elevation is 2.7-ft. higher than elevation on 10/31/2004 (2199.8).
4. \*\*90-day projection used in lieu of 180-day due to 2/28/06 being the end of the water year.



### **Water Intake Overview**

Intake	Comments
Hell Creek State Park	No issues. Well completed 22 NOV 2004

### **Access Overview**

1. 8 ramps usable (Corps and State); 3 ramps unusable. No permanent ramps operational.
2. Remaining concessionaires marginal.

### **Noxious Weeds Overview**

1. As the reservoir elevation dropped, the noxious weeds spread along the shoreline.
2. Main concern is Saltcedar, which thrives along the shoreline as the reservoir elevation declines.
3. Noxious weed control will again be addressed Spring 2006.

### **Cultural Resources Overview**

1. No issues to date.


**Garrison, North Dakota**

**Reservoir Elevation Overview**

Lake Elevation 5/31/2005 (ft. msl)	Current Lake Elevation (11/30/2005) (ft. msl)	30-Day Projected Elevation* (12/31/2005) (ft. msl)	60-Day Projected Elevation* (1/31/2006) (ft. msl)	90-Day** Projected Elevation* (2/28/2006) (ft. msl)
1808.8	1813.6	1812.0	1810.3	1809.2

**Comments:**

1. Current reservoir elevation is 23.5-feet below the top of conservation pool (elevation 1837.5 ft. msl).
2. \*Projections provided are based upon the Lower Basic Simulation prepared by the Reservoir Control Center.
3. Current reservoir elevation is 1.1 ft. higher than elevation on 10/31/04.
4. \*\*90-day projection used in lieu of 180-day due to 2/28/06 being the end of the water year.



### Water Intake Overview

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Whiteshield	Operational	1813.6	1782.4	1801	1801	1801	720	N	TAT/BOR

**Comments:**

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005. The intake was extended and lowered 2-feet since the Corps' survey in 2005.

**Future Plans:**

1. Ft. Berthold Rural Water System secured \$1.0 million funding through USDA Emergency Community Water Assistance Grant Program for improvements in 2006. Currently, FBRW is working on the appropriate paperwork and the design of the system improvements. The improvements are planned to include:
  - a. Extending approximately 400 to 500 feet from the current intake screen with 8" to 12" casing pipe. The new intake screen elevation would be approximately 1780 (or lower).
  - b. Estimated cost: \$1.16 million.
  - c. Estimated time of completion: Late 2006.



Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Twin Buttes	Operational	1813.6	1784.4	1805		1790	425	N	TAT/BOR

Comments:

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005.
2. In August, Ft. Berthold Rural Water System cleaned the “short tube” side of the existing intake structure and lowered the pump to a new elevation of approximately 1800. Both the “long tube” and “short tube” pumps should be at nearly equal elevations.
3. Erosion due to low reservoir levels have caused increased sediment in the intake piping. This has increased maintenance cost to remove the sediment and increased the cost of treating the water.

Future Plans:

1. Ft. Berthold Rural Water System has secured funding through the Indian Health Services, the Bureau of Reclamation, and the USDA Emergency Community Water Assistance Grant Program to improve the system in 2006. The FBRW is currently completing the necessary paperwork and working on the design for the improvements. The current plans are to:
  - a. Install a new casing approximately 450-feet into the lake.
  - b. Install a new 10” to 12” supply line, approximately 300- to 400-feet beyond the current location to approximate elevation 1780.0.
  - c. Provide bank stabilization and erosion control over the new line.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Mandaree	Operational	1813.6	1786	1789.0	1787	1790	780	N	TAT/BOR

Comments:

1. The new intake screen is at elevation 1786.
2. Grant monies for the project were secured from USDA Emergency Community Water Assistance Grant Program and Indian Health Services.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Four Bears	Operational	1813.6	1789.9	1800.0		1794	900	N	TAT/BOR

Comments:

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005.
2. The screen has been checked by divers and it was confirmed that approximately 20-feet of water is over the intake.
3. Erosion due to low reservoir levels have caused increased sediment in the intake piping. This has increased maintenance cost to remove the sediment and increased the cost of treating the water.

Future Plans:

1. Ft. Berthold Rural Water System has secured funding through USDA Emergency Community Water Assistance Grant Program to improve the intake in 2006. FBRW is currently completing paperwork and working on the design for the following:
  - a. Exploration and mapping of the intake area.
  - b. Replacement/extension approximately 200- to 250-feet from the current intake screen with 8" to 12" casing pipe. The new intake screen would be at approximate elevation 1780 (or lower).
  - c. Estimated cost: \$942,500
  - d. Estimated time of completion: 2006.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Parshall	Operable	1813.6	1803.6	1806.6	1797.5	1801.5	1000	N	Parshall

\*Currently using the City well. Turbidity is currently causing problems/issues with the intake.

Comments:

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005.
2. The City had a telescoping riser attached to the intake by 30 July 2005. The riser extended the intake to within 3- to 4-feet of the water's surface.
3. Require at least 3 feet of water over the intake for proper operation.
4. Water quality at current level is good following water treatment.

Future Plans:

1. Discussions have been held between Parshall and New Town regarding future water supply. No formal decisions have been reached.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Pick City	Operational	1813.6	1795	1800	1798	1800	200		Pick City

Comments:

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005.
2. At least 5-feet of water is necessary to operate this intake. If continued usage is planned, the intake will have to be lowered.

Future Plans:

1. Rural water is available to the City, however, they have chosen to continue using their intake until the water no longer meets State Health Standards or work is required on their intake.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Garrison	Operational	1813.6	1787.2	1805	1792	1792	1830	N	Garrison

Comments:

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005.
2. The City plans to extend the existing intake during the Fall of 2005.
3. The existing line has been exposed as water levels have dropped. A portion of the line was covered with soil and the pumps cycled last December (2004) to prevent freezing. Continuation of this practice is not a feasible alternative.
4. Directional boring will be used to extend the water line.

## **Access Overview**

1. Project personnel would like to establish a plan for continuing boat ramp extensions, including expected costs for FY 06 budget considerations.
2. Lake Sakakawea State Park/Kit's Marina has been modified for low water operation by the vendor. The marina will be usable to approximate elevation 1802.
3. Ft. Stevenson State Park Marina design to be completed prior to Spring 2006.
4. A \$900,000 Congressional add for boat ramp extensions was proposed by Senator Dorgan for FY 06. The add has been rejected at the Congressional level.

Updated 11/16/2005

Reservoir Elevation 12/1/05 – 1813.5

Location	Type	Top Elevation	Bottom Elevation	Comments	Managing Agency	Contact Person	Phone
Beaver Bay (low-water-COE)	poured concrete	1829	1808	Usable	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411
Beulah Bay	poured concrete	1852.4	1799	Usable	Beulah Park Board	Greg Logan	870-5852
Charging Eagle Bay (2nd low water)	poured concrete, planks	1816	1806	Unusable	Three Affiliated Tribes	Jim Mossett	880-1203
Charging Eagle Bay (1st low water)	poured concrete	1835	1810.6	Usable	Three Affiliated Tribes	Jim Mossett	880-1203
Dakota Waters Resort (low-water)	poured concrete, planks	1853.1	1797	Usable	Beulah Park Board	Kelvin Heinsen	873-5800
Deepwater Creek (2nd low water)	poured concrete, planks	1818	1802	Usable	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411
Deepwater Creek (1st low water)	poured concrete	1838	1809	Usable	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411
Douglas Creek (low water)	poured concrete, planks	1828	1801	Usable	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411
Fort Stevenson State Park (low water)	poured concrete	1851	1797	Usable	ND Parks & Rec	Dick Messerly	337-5576
Four Bears Park (south low water)	concrete planks	1824	1803	Usable	Three Affiliated Tribes	Alan Chase	627-4018
Garrison Creek Cabin Site	poured concrete	1849.2	1802	Usable	Garrison Cabin Assc.		
Government Bay (low water)	slide-in metal sections	1812	1803	Unusable	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411
Government Bay (main ramp)	poured concrete	1857	1810	Usable	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411
Hazen Bay (2nd low water)	poured concrete	1829	1810	Usable	Hazen Park Board	Hazen City Hall	748-2550
Indian Hills (3rd low water)	slide-in metal sections	1810	1801	Unusable	Parks & Rec/Tribes	Kelly Sorge	743-4122
Indian Hills (2nd low water)	concrete planks	1818.3	1807	Usable	Parks & Rec/Tribes	Kelly Sorge	743-4122
Indian Hills (1st low water)	concrete planks	1826.4	1811.8	Usable	Parks & Rec/Tribes	Kelly Sorge	743-4122
McKenzie Bay (east ramp)	poured concrete	1855	1796	Usable	McKenzie Marine Club	Rhonda Logan	579-3366

<b>Location</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Top Elevation</b>	<b>Bottom Elevation</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Managing Agency</b>	<b>Contact Person</b>	<b>Phone</b>
<b>Parshall Bay (3rd low-water)</b>	slide-in metal sections	1818.4	1808.5	<b>Usable</b>	Mountrail County Park Board		628-2145
<b>Pouch Point (3rd low-water)</b>	slide-in metal sections	1820	1809	<b>Usable</b>	Three Affiliated Tribes	Royce Wolf	627-3553
<b>Pouch Point (2nd low-water)</b>	poured concrete	1829	1813	<b>Usable</b>	Three Affiliated Tribes	Royce Wolf	627-3553
<b>Reunion Bay (2nd low water)</b>	concrete planks	1825.8	1808	<b>Usable</b>	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411
<b>Sakakawea State Park (main)</b>	poured concrete	1850	1800	<b>Usable</b>	ND Parks & Rec	John Tunge	487-3315
<b>Sanish Bay (Aftem) (low water)</b>	poured concrete	1831.1	1807.4	<b>Usable</b>	Aftem Lake Development	Gerald Aftem	852-2779
<b>Skunk Creek Recreation Area (main)</b>	poured concrete	1850	1806.5	<b>Usable</b>	Three Affiliated Tribes	Ken Danks	290-2841
<b>Sportsmen's Centennial Park</b>	poured concrete	1831.2	1808.5	<b>Usable</b>	McLean County	Marlin Hvinden	462-8541
<b>Van Hook (Gull Island south low-water)</b>	metal bridge deck sections	1823	1805	<b>Usable</b>	Mountrail County Park Board	Clarence Weltz	627-3377
<b>Van Hook (Gull Island north low-water)</b>	metal bridge deck sections	1823.1	1805	<b>Usable</b>	Mountrail County Park Board	Clarence Weltz	627-3377
<b>Van Hook (1st low water)</b>	poured concrete	1822	1807	<b>Usable</b>	Mountrail County Park Board	Clarence Weltz	627-3377
<b>White Earth Bay (low-water)</b>	concrete plank & PSP	1833	1801	<b>Usable</b>	Mountrail County Park Board	Greg Gunderson	755-3277
<b>Wolf Creek Recreation Area (2nd low water)</b>	concrete planks & metal sec	1830	1802.5	<b>Usable</b>	Corps of Engineers	Linda Phelps	654-7411

## **Noxious Weeds Overview**

1. Funding for Noxious Weed Control included in FY 06 O&M budget. Project personnel will continue efforts beginning in the Spring of 2006.

## **Cultural Resources Overview**

1. Project personnel continue to monitor the shoreline for the protection of cultural resources. As the reservoir elevation falls, more opportunities are uncovered for looters, which collect artifacts and sell them on the open market.

## **Other Areas of Interest/Concern**

1. Garrison National Fish Hatchery – Three issues exist and are of concern to the State of North Dakota and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
  - a. Addition of a fifth boiler and necessary power for operation.
  - b. Ability to fill 40 rearing ponds.
  - c. Adequacy of the existing 20-inch water supply line from the penstocks.
2. Fact sheets for the hatchery issues exist. OP-TM is investigating a design for additional power requirements to the hatchery. An MOU may need to be set up to address future operating needs and requirements.
  - a. Garrison Cold Water Fishery – The modification to the trashracks of intakes 2 and 3, was completed 22 July 2005. The modified units are operating as predicted. It is planned to leave the modifications in place throughout the winter period, as the cost to remove and replace is comparable to lost power generation costs. The plates will be inspected in the spring to ensure structural adequacy.


**Oahe, South Dakota**

**Reservoir Elevation Overview**

Lake Elevation 5/31/2005 (ft. msl)	Current Lake Elevation (11/30/2005) (ft. msl)	30-Day Projected Elevation* (12/31/2005) (ft. msl)	60-Day Projected Elevation* (1/31/2006) (ft. msl)	90-Day** Projected Elevation* (2/28/2006) (ft. msl)
1576.5	1575.5	1576.0	1576.9	1577.6

**Comments:**

1. Current reservoir elevation is 33.4-feet below the top of conservation pool (elevation 1607.5 ft. msl).
2. \*Projections provided are based upon the Lower Basic Simulation prepared by the Reservoir Control Center.
3. Current reservoir elevation is 0.4-ft. below the elevation 10/31/2004.
4. The Oahe project office has received a request from the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe for the Corps of Engineers to participate in the construction of lake access at the Walker Bottom Recreation Area Marina. The marina is currently dry and the area is in riverine conditions. A preliminary design for constructing a channel from the river to the boat ramp has been completed by an engineering consultant. Project personnel have committed to reviewing the design for the SRST and providing comments/recommendations. No commitment has been made or discussed for performing construction or cost sharing in the construction costs.
5. \*\*90-day projection used in lieu of 180-day due to 2/28/06 being the end of the water year.





### Water Intake Overview

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Ft. Yates	Operational	1575.5	1571.2	1573	1572.2	1575.2	3,400	Y	SRST/BOR

**Comments:**

1. Sediment to be removed from the intake sump during the week of 12 December 2005.
2. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005.
3. A backup well has been drilled and tested.
4. A Contingency Action Plan has been completed by the Corps.
5. A Table Top Exercise for the Contingency Action Plan, coordinated by the State of North Dakota, was held on 31 August 2005. The exercise went well, positive comments were received by the participants. Minor updates to the plan will be incorporated, as discussed during the exercise.

**Future Plans:**

1. Connection of new well to existing water distribution system.
2. The intake at Fort Yates remains in a river condition and may continue to have sedimentation problems as long as Oahe remains below elevation 1580. Sediment levels in the sump are measured weekly and the river channel is monitored.
3. Contingency plans are in place and have been exercised.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Wakpala	Operational	1575.5	1563	1563	1566	1569	>500	N	SRST/BOR

**Comments:**

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005, a new low profile screen was installed lowering the top of the screen elevation to 1563.
2. With the Corps of Engineers July reservoir projections for Oahe, the Wakpala intake will remain operational through the winter of 2005 with all reservoir projections over 1570.
3. Contingency plans are being drafted to respond to an intake failure. Initial response to an intake failure at Wakpala would be hauling water from the city of Mobridge to the treatment plant to be distributed using the existing transmission lines.

Intake	Status	Current Reservoir Elev.	Top of Screen Elev.	Operational Concern Elev.	Shutdown Elev.		Population Supported	Contingency Plan? (Y/N)	Resp. Agency
					Summer	Winter			
Mni Wasté	Operational	1575.5	1555.7	1580	1561.9	1560.4	14,000	Y(DRAFT)	CRST

Comments:

1. Top of Screen Elevation taken from survey completed by the Corps in 2005.
2. "Option 2", Phase 1 – Design, moving forward.
3. Trigger Points for the implementation of construction are being closely monitored.
4. Work is to begin soon on construction of hard surface road, and routing of power to the selected site.
  - a. Current schedule uses August, 2006 as having the new system "on-line" and works backwards to determine design and construction schedule.
5. Approval of funding to proceed with construction received from HQUSACE 8 AUG 05.
6. CRST is continuing effort to acquire grant money to cover funding gap between Corps' assistance and project budget.
7. A cooperative agreement between the Corps and the CRST has been sent to the tribe for review.

### Access Overview

1. The State of South Dakota is responsible for maintaining recreational areas and access to the reservoir in South Dakota. The Oahe Project maintains the access in North Dakota.
2. The Oahe Project worked on two ramps in the Fall of 2005 in order to improve access for fall fishing. Beaver Bay north low water and Hazelton.
3. Ramps on Oahe Project in North Dakota

AREA	Status
Sibley Park	Usable
Little Heart Bottoms	Usable
Kimball (Desert)	Usable
Graner's Bottoms	Usable
Maclean Bottoms	Usable
Hazelton	Usable
Ft. Rice	Usable
North Beaver Bay	Unusable
Walker Bottoms	Usable
Jennerville (Rivery)	Usable
Fort Yates	Unusable
Cattail Bay	Unusable
Langeliers Bay	Unusable
State Line	Unusable

<http://www.nd.gov/gnf/fishing/mo-riv-system-boatramps-status.html>

### Noxious Weeds Overview

1. Two Contracts were issued in the fall of 2005. The contractors, and chemical regime are very effective and effiecent during this time of year due to foliage color and chemical application method.

### Cultural Resources Overview

1. Project personnel continue to monitor the shoreline for the protection of cultural resources. As the reservoir elevation falls, more opportunities are uncovered for looters, which collect artifacts and sell them on the open market.

## Mainstem Reservoir Information, Weekly Elevation Comparison

7 Nov. 2005								
Project	Project Information		Reservoir Elevation			Reservoir Storage		
	Multi-Purpose Pool Elev.	Flood Control Pool Elev.	Current Elevation (11/7/05)	Previous Elevation (10/31/05)	Change	Current Storage (MAC-FT) (11/7/05)	Previous Storage (MAC-FT) (10/31/05)	Change (MAC-FT)
Ft. Peck, MT	2160 - 2246	2246 – 2250	2202.6	2202.4	0.2	9.387	9.366	0.021
Garrison, ND	1775 – 1850	1850 – 1854	1814.1	1814.1	0.0	11.832	11.839	-0.007
Oahe, SD	1540 - 1617	1617 – 1620	1574.4	1574.0	0.4	10.562	10.496	0.066
Big Bend, SD	1415 – 1422	1422 – 1423	1421.0	1420.8	0.2	1.678	1.670	0.008
Ft. Randall, SD	1320 – 1365	1365 – 1375	1340.9	1341.5	-0.6	2.494	2.532	-0.038
Gavins Point, SD	1204.5 - 1208	1208 - 1210	1207.5	1207.6	-0.1	0.396	0.401	-0.005

14 Nov. 2005								
Project	Project Information		Reservoir Elevation			Reservoir Storage		
	Multi-Purpose Pool Elev.	Flood Control Pool Elev.	Current Elevation (11/14/05)	Previous Elevation (11/7/05)	Change	Current Storage (MAC-FT) (11/14/05)	Previous Storage (MAC-FT) (11/7/05)	Change (MAC-FT)
Ft. Peck, MT	2160 - 2246	2246 – 2250	2202.7	2202.6	0.1	9.398	9.387	0.011
Garrison, ND	1775 – 1850	1850 – 1854	1814.0	1814.1	-0.1	11.812	11.832	-0.020
Oahe, SD	1540 - 1617	1617 – 1620	1574.8	1574.4	0.4	10.652	10.562	0.090
Big Bend, SD	1415 – 1422	1422 – 1423	1420.7	1421.0	-0.3	1.662	1.678	-0.016
Ft. Randall, SD	1320 – 1365	1365 – 1375	1340.2	1340.9	-0.7	2.449	2.494	-0.045
Gavins Point, SD	1204.5 - 1208	1208 - 1210	1207.5	1207.5	0.0	0.395	0.396	-0.001


21 Nov. 2005								
Project	Project Information		Reservoir Elevation			Reservoir Storage		
	Multi-Purpose Pool Elev.	Flood Control Pool Elev.	Current Elevation (11/21/05)	Previous Elevation (11/14/05)	Change	Current Storage (MAC-FT) (11/21/05)	Previous Storage (MAC-FT) (11/14/05)	Change (MAC-FT)
Ft. Peck, MT	2160 - 2246	2246 – 2250	2202.8	2202.7	0.1	9.411	9.398	0.013
Garrison, ND	1775 – 1850	1850 – 1854	1813.9	1814.0	-0.1	11.806	11.812	-0.006
Oahe, SD	1540 - 1617	1617 – 1620	1575.1	1574.8	0.3	10.694	10.652	0.042
Big Bend, SD	1415 – 1422	1422 – 1423	1420.8	1420.7	0.1	1.670	1.662	0.008
Ft. Randall, SD	1320 – 1365	1365 – 1375	1339.6	1340.2	-0.6	2.416	2.449	-0.033
Gavins Point, SD	1204.5 - 1208	1208 - 1210	1207.3	1207.5	-0.2	0.393	0.395	-0.002

28 Nov. 2005								
Project	Project Information		Reservoir Elevation			Reservoir Storage		
	Multi-Purpose Pool Elev.	Flood Control Pool Elev.	Current Elevation (11/28/05)	Previous Elevation (11/21/05)	Change	Current Storage (MAC-FT) (11/28/05)	Previous Storage (MAC-FT) (11/21/05)	Change (MAC-FT)
Ft. Peck, MT	2160 - 2246	2246 – 2250	2202.9	2202.8	0.1	9.425	9.411	0.014
Garrison, ND	1775 – 1850	1850 – 1854	1813.8	1813.9	-0.1	11.760	11.806	-0.046
Oahe, SD	1540 - 1617	1617 – 1620	1576.1	1575.1	1.0	10.793	10.694	0.099
Big Bend, SD	1415 – 1422	1422 – 1423	1421.1	1420.8	0.3	1.668	1.670	-0.002
Ft. Randall, SD	1320 – 1365	1365 – 1375	1339.5	1339.6	-0.1	2.389	2.416	-0.027
Gavins Point, SD	1204.5 - 1208	1208 - 1210	1207.5	1207.3	0.2	0.391	0.393	-0.002

**Mainstem Reservoir Storage Comparison – Water Year 2004 vs. Water Year 2005**


**Fort Peck, Montana**

Water Year 2004 (FEB 2004 - JAN 2005)			Water Year 2005 (FEB 2005 - JAN 2006)		
Date	Elevation	Storage (MAC- Ft.)	Date	Elevation	Storage (MAC- Ft.)
FEB	2205.3	9.806	2/1/2005	2198.4	8.749
MAR	2204	9.603	3/1/2005	2198.3	8.732
APR	2205.5	9.837	4/1/2005	2198.5	8.773
MAY	2204.9	9.740	5/1/2005	2198.5	8.773
JUN	2203.4	9.507	6/1/2005	2199.6	8.935
JUL	2203.8	9.565	7/1/2005	2203.0	9.448
AUG	2202.4	9.357	8/1/2005	2203.2	9.472
SEP	2200.9	9.121	9/1/2005	2202.2	9.325
OCT	2199.8	8.969	10/1/2005	2202.0	9.286
NOV	2199.8	8.963	11/1/2005	2202.6	9.371
DEC	2199.8	8.961	12/1/2005	2202.9	9.432
JAN	2198.9	8.829	1/1/2006		



**Garrison, ND**

Water Year 2004 (FEB 2004 – JAN 2005)			2005 (FEB 2005 – JAN 2006)		
Date	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)	Date	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)
FEB	1816.7	12.446	2/1/2005	1808.4	10.574
MAR	1814.3	11.891	3/1/2005	1808.2	10.537
APR	1815.6	12.110	4/1/2005	1808.65	10.632
MAY	1814.7	11.989	5/1/2005	1806.47	10.189
JUN	1815.3	12.121	6/1/2005	1808.8	10.665
JUL	1816.5	12.426	7/1/2005	1814.9	12.026
AUG	1816.5	12.401	8/1/2005	1817.17	12.591
SEP	1814.3	11.914	9/1/2005	1815.56	12.216
OCT	1813.3	11.645	10/1/2005	1814.11	11.861
NOV	1813.1	11.589	11/1/2005	1814.00	11.837
DEC	1812.3	11.422	12/1/2005	1813.50	11.707
JAN	1810	10.936	1/1/2006		



## Oahe, SD

Water Year 2004 (FEB 2004 - JAN 2005)			2005 (FEB 2005 - JAN 2006)		
Date	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)	Date	Elevation	Storage (MAC-Ft.)
FEB	1577.6	11.204	2/1/2005	1575.2	10.715
MAR	1579.2	11.504	3/1/2005	1576.2	10.924
APR	1582.1	12.110	4/1/2005	1574.29	10.568
MAY	1581.6	12.056	5/1/2005	1574.82	10.608
JUN	1578.4	11.338	6/1/2005	1576.47	10.980
JUL	1576.8	11.045	7/1/2005	1577.6	11.214
AUG	1574.3	10.540	8/1/2005	1576.38	10.958
SEP	1572.1	10.112	9/1/2005	1572.64	10.363
OCT	1573.2	10.316	10/1/2005	1572.63	10.267
NOV	1574.8	10.608	11/1/2005	1573.90	10.501
DEC	1576	10.866	12/1/2005	1575.6	10.814
JAN	1575.8	10.824	1/1/2006		

