

US Army Corps of Engineers Walla Walla District

Interim Report

Supplement to Special Report

Lower Snake River Fish and Wildlife Compensation Plan, Lower Snake River, Washington and Idaho June 1975

April 1996

INTERIM REPORT SUPPLEMENT TO SPECIAL REPORT LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE COMPENSATION PLAN LOWER SNAKE RIVER, WASHINGTON AND IDAHO JUNE 1975

Table of Contents

	Title	<u>Page</u>
1.	PURPOSE AND SCOPE	1
2.	AUTHORITY	1
3.	DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AREA	2
4.	BACKGROUND	2
5.	 PROGRAM ELEMENTS AND DESCRIPTION a. Fish Hatcheries and Related Facilities (Excluding Congressional Add) (1) Description (2) Status b. Wildlife Lands (1) Evolution of Development and Description (2) Status c. Fish Facilities Under Congressional Add (1) Description (2) Biological Needs (3) Status (a) Coordination (b) Summary of Progress to Date (c) Environmental Concerns (d) Other Concerns (e) National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) Documentation and Environmental Compliance 	18
	d. Other Ongoing Itemse. Lingering Items	20 20

i

Table of Contents Continued

	Title	<u>Page</u>
6.	BASELINE COST ESTIMATE, CONGRESSIONAL ADD FACILITIES a. General	21 21
	 b. Water Treatment Facilities at Lookingglass Hatchery 	21
	c. Fall Chinook Salmon Initiative	21
	d. Spring Chinook Salmon Initiative	22
	e. Summary	22
7.	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE, CONGRESSIONAL ADD FACILITIES	24
8.	PROGRAM COST AND COST LIMITS	25
	a. Historical Project Costs	25
	b. Future Funding Needs	25
	c. Authorized Cost Limit	25
9.	PROGRAM FUND REQUIREMENTS BY FISCAL YEAR	27
10.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	29

List of Tables

1.	Summary of Hatchery Facilities	4
2.	Summary of Satellite Facilities for Hatcheries	5
3.	Summary of Fish and Wildlife Lands	9
4.	Congressional Add Facilities, Fully-Funded Baseline Cost Estimate	23
5.	Total Program Fully-Funded Costs Estimate	26
6.	Summary of Program Fund Requirements by Fiscal Year	28

List of Plates

S

- 2. Public Hunting and Fishing Lands, Washington
- 3. Public Fishing Lands, Idaho

List of Appendixes

Appendix A.Baseline Cost Estimate, Congressional Add Fish FacilitiesAppendix B.History of Expenditures Through 31 January 1996

INTERIM REPORT SUPPLEMENT TO SPECIAL REPORT LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE COMPENSATION PLAN LOWER SNAKE RIVER, WASHINGTON AND IDAHO JUNE 1975

1. PURPOSE AND SCOPE.

The purpose of this report is to summarize the commitments, accomplishments, costs, and remaining work to be accomplished in the Lower Snake River Fish and Wildlife Compensation Plan (Compensation Plan) as originally conceived and subsequently amended. This report will also serve as the basis for future program funding needs. This report does not make any recommendations.

2. <u>AUTHORITY</u>.

The Lower Snake River Fish and Wildlife Compensation Plan, Lower Snake River, Washington and Idaho, was originally authorized by the Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) of 1976, Section 102, Public Law (PL) 94-587 (22 October 1976). The following is a quotation of the pertinent language of the Law:

> "Fish and Wildlife Compensation Plan for the Lower Snake River, Washington and Idaho, substantially in accordance with a report on file with the Chief of Engineers, at an estimated cost of \$58,400,000."

This project was subsequently amended by WRDA 1986, Section 856, PL 99-662 (17 November 1986). The following is a quotation of the pertinent language of the Law:

"The project for the Lower Snake River Fish and Wildlife Compensation Plan, authorized by the Water Resources Development Act of 1976, is modified in accordance with the recommendations contained in the report of the Chief of Engineers, dated March 6, 1985, at a total cost of \$177,000,000, with a first Federal cost of \$177,000,000."

A Congressional Add was included in a conference report [to accompany House Resolution (H.R.) 4506] that resulted in PL 103-316 (H.R. 4506); 26 August 1994. The conference report language of the Congressional Add was not included in PL 103-316. The following is a quotation of the pertinent language included in the conference report:

"The conferees have provided an additional \$5,000,000 for the lower Snake River Fish and Wildlife Compensation Program for hatchery construction projects. The projects to be initiated include adult trapping and juvenile acclimation facilities for the upper Grande Ronde River and Catherine Creek, a water treatment facility for Lookingglass Hatchery, and final rearing and/or acclimation facilities for the Clearwater, Snake, and lower Grande Ronde Rivers. The conferees direct the Corps to work with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the National Marine Fisheries Service and the affected state and tribal hatchery managers in developing these projects. The conferees emphasize that only projects which will protect, maintain, or enhance biological diversity of existing wild salmon stocks should be pursued."

3. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AREA.

The Compensation Plan encompasses the lower Snake River Basin from Ice Harbor Dam to the slack water above Lower Granite Dam. Compensation is for impacts resulting from construction of the four lower Snake River Dams: Ice Harbor, Lower Monumental, Little Goose, and Lower Granite. The Compensation Plan includes hatcheries, acclimation ponds, fish trapping facilities, satellite hatchery facilities, and wildlife lands. The wildlife lands are located on both on- and off-project lands as shown on plates 2 and 3.

4. BACKGROUND.

The original authorization of the Compensation Plan was based on a report titled Special Report, Lower Snake River Fish and Wildlife Compensation Plan, Lower Snake River, Washington and Idaho, dated June 1975. The purpose of the Compensation Plan is to mitigate for the losses of fish and wildlife resources and fish- and wildlifeoriented recreational opportunities caused by the construction of the four lower Snake River dams. As originally authorized, the plan was divided into two parts: fisheries compensation and wildlife compensation. The fisheries compensation centered around fish propagation facilities and providing fisherman access along tributary streams. The propagation facilities, or hatcheries, were to annually produce 101,800 pounds of fall chinook salmon, 450,000 pounds of spring and summer chinook salmon, 1,377,500 pounds of steelhead, and 93,000 pounds of rainbow trout. Seven thousand pounds of rainbow trout were to be provided by stream habitat improvement. The wildlife compensation involved on-project habitat development, off-project habitat acquisition, and the purchase and release of game farm birds (pheasants). On-project habitat development was to restore the 334,000 game animals that were estimated to have been lost when the riparian habitat was inundated. The game farm bird component called for the purchase and release of 20,000 game farm pheasants each year for 20 years to offset some losses until restored habitat matured. The off-project land acquisition was combined with the fisherman access to form the three-component offproject land acquisition program. Under this program the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Walla Walla District (CENPW) was to acquire 8,400 acres of upland game habitat and hunting lands (Element X), 15,000 acres of chukar habitat and hunting lands (Element Y), and 750 acres of fisherman access (Element Z). The lands were to be acquired in fee (400 acres) and perpetual easement on a willing-seller, willing-buyer basis.

In the original report to Congress, Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (HQUSACE), Chief of Engineers made a commitment to report to Congress within 5 years as to how the acquisition was proceeding. As part of that commitment, the CENPW prepared a second report titled *Special Report For Congress, Lower Snake River Fish and Wildlife Compensation Plan,* dated March 1983, reporting on the status of land acquisition. Using the March 1983 report as a basis, the HQUSACE Chief of Engineers prepared and submitted a separate report to Congress reporting on the status of land acquisition. As a result of the HQUSACE Chief of Engineer's report, the Compensation Plan was reauthorized under the WRDA of 1986 (PL 99-662), allowing all lands to be purchased in fee title or perpetual easements.

The scope of the Compensation Plan was again modified by the Congressional Add by adding, through directive language, construction of adult trapping and juvenile acclimation facilities for the upper Grande Ronde River and Catherine Creek for spring chinook salmon, a water treatment facility for Lookingglass Hatchery, and final rearing and/or acclimation facilities for the Clearwater, Snake, and lower Grande Ronde Rivers for fall chinook salmon.

5. PROGRAM ELEMENTS AND DESCRIPTION.

The following subparagraphs include a description and status of the total Compensation Plan. The fish related improvements included as part of the Congressional Add are presented separately in subparagraph 5.c.

a. Fish Hatcheries and Related Facilities (Excluding Congressional Add).

Since authorization of the Compensation Plan in 1976, a series of implementation reports has been completed as part of the development of the fish facilities. The following is a summary and current status of existing fish hatchery related facilities that have been constructed as part of the fisheries portion of the Compensation Plan.

(1) <u>Description</u>.

A total of nine hatcheries with associated satellite facilities are included as part of the Compensation Plan. In addition, a centralized fish health laboratory is located in Eagle, Idaho, which serves as a support facility for the hatcheries located in the state of Idaho. Tables 1 and 2 summarize and give the current status of the hatcheries and satellite facilities respectively. The locations of the facilities are presented on plate 1.

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF HATCHERY FACILITIES

Hatchery	Status	Species of Fish Raised	Design Capacity (Ib)	Design Smolt Size (No/Ib)
McCall, Idaho	Complete. Transferred to USFWS.	Summer Chinook Salmon	61,300	15
Magic Valley, Idaho ^{1/2/}	Complete. Transferred to USFWS.	Steelhead	291,500	8
Hagerman, Idaho	Complete. Operated by USFWS.	Steelhead	340,000	8
Sawtooth, Idaho	Complete. Transferred to USFWS.	Spring Chinook Salmon	149,000	15
Clearwater, Idaho	To be completed Fiscal Year (FY) 97.	Steelhead Spring Chinook Salmon	350,000 91,300	8 15
Dworshak Hatchery Expansion, Idaho	Complete. Operated by USFWS.	Spring Chinook Salmon	70,000	15
Lyons Ferry, Washington	Transfer pending.	Steelhead Rainbow Trout Spring Chinook Salmon Fall Chinook Salmon	116,400 ^{⊉/} 45,000 ^{₫/} 8,800 101,800	8 5 15 90
Wallowa Expansion & Irrigon, Oregon	To be completed FY 98.	Steelhead	280,000	6
Lookingglass, Oregon	Transfer date to be determined.	Spring Chinook Salmon	69,600	20

Total Fish Production: Rainbow Trout, 93,000 lbs; Fall Chinook Salmon, 101,800 lbs; Spring Chinook Salmon, 388,700 lbs; Summer Chinook Salmon, 61,300 lbs; Steelhead, 1,377,500 lbs.

 Formerly Crystal Springs.
 Clearwater and Magic Valley Hatcheries' major lab functions will be transferred to a centralized laboratory facility at Eagle as discussed in Letter Supplement 12 to Design Memorandum No 1. ³² Includes 360,000 fingerling (500 fish per pound) reared at Irrigon Hatchery and transferred to Lyons Ferry Hatchery. ⁴² Seven thousand pounds provided by stream habitat improvement.

TA	BLE	2

SUMMARY OF SATELLITE FACILITIES FOR HATCHERIES

Hatchery Served	Status	Species of Fish	Stream Name Location	Function
McCall, Idaho	Complete. Transferred to USFWS.	Summer Chinook Salmon	S. Fork Salmon River	Catch & Release
Magic Valley, Idaho ^{1/}	Complete. Transferred to USFWS.	Steelhead	E. Fork Salmon River	Catch & Release
Hagerman, Idaho	Complete. Operated by USFWS.	Steelhead	E. Fork Salmon River	Catch & Release
Sawtooth, Idaho	Complete. Transferred to USFWS.	Spring Chinook Salmon	E. Fork Salmon River	Catch & Release
Clearwater, Idaho	Complete. Transferred to USFWS.	Steelhead Spring Chinook Salmon	Red River Crooked River Powell	Catch & Release
Dworshak Hatchery Expansion, Idaho	Complete. Operated by USFWS.	Spring Chinook Salmon	2/	<u>2</u> /
Lyons Ferry, Washington	Complete. Transferred to USFWS.	Steelhead Rainbow Trout Spring Chinook Salmon Fall Chinook Salmon	Cottonwood Creek Dayton Pond Tucannon River Tucannon Hatchery	Release Only - Catch & Release -
Wallowa Expansion & Irrigon, Oregon	Transfer pending.	Steelhead	Big Canyon and Little Sheep Creek	Catch & Release
Lookingglass, Oregon	Complete. Operated by USFWS.	Spring Chinook Salmon	Big Canyon and a site to be determined later	Catch & Release

¹ Formerly Crystal Springs.
 ² None required.

-

С

(2) <u>Status</u>.

Five of the nine hatcheries have been completed and transferred to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). These hatcheries include the McCall, Magic Valley, Haggerman, Sawtooth, and Dworshak Hatchery Expansion. The Clearwater, Lyons Ferry, Irrigon, and Lookingglass Hatcheries are in various stages of completion. The Clearwater Hatchery completion contract is essentially complete, and modifications to the water intake system are programmed for Fiscal Year 1997. The HQUSACE, Real Estate Office is working with the Department of the Interior to complete the transfer of Lyons Ferry Hatchery to the USFWS. The completion contract for the Irrigon Hatchery is scheduled to be finished by 30 June 1996. After well capping of the water supply and an oxygen supplementation test at the Irrigon Hatchery programmed for Fiscal Year 1998, the hatchery will be transferred to the USFWS in Fiscal Year 1998. The Lookingglass Hatchery completion contract is complete and scheduled to be transferred to the USFWS in the latter part of 1996.

All satellite facilities, except for the Wallowa, Big Canyon, and Little Sheep Creek associated with the Irrigon Hatchery, have been completed and transferred to the USFWS. The HQUSACE, Real Estate Office is working with the Department of the Interior to complete the transfer of the remaining satellite facilities to the USFWS.

b. Wildlife Lands.

(1) Evolution of Development and Description.

There have been several changes made to the wildlife features of the original Compensation Plan since 1975. Development of wildlife habitat on project lands (Federal land surrounding the project reservoirs administered by CENPW) received little emphasis in the Compensation Plan. However, subsequent studies identified habitat development potential on project lands and the Compensation Plan was amended to include development of selected areas.

In 1979, 54 management units were classified as wildlife lands. Ten Habitat Management Units (HMU's) were identified to be intensively developed (irrigation systems and plantings on 3,042 acres), 25 units moderately developed (dryland development with guzzlers, fencing, *etc.*), and the remaining 19 units were to remain undeveloped except for fencing. Subsequently, CENPW entered into an agreement with Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) to develop and maintain the identified wildlife management lands. The CENPW later reassumed operation and maintenance responsibilities for these lands.

To compensate for lost upland game production and hunting opportunities, the originally authorized Compensation Plan recommended off-project acquisition of 400 acres of riparian habitat in fee and 8,000 acres of hunting area

surrounding the riparian habitat in perpetual hunting easements (Element X). It also required acquisition of perpetual easements on 15,000 acres of chukar habitat adjacent to lower Snake River project lands (Element Y). With regard to the loss of streambank fishing access, this plan provided for the acquisition of 750 acres of land along the Snake River and tributaries of streams adjacent to the lower or middle Snake River in easement or fee (Element Z). Fifty acres of this Element Z land was scheduled for acquisition in Idaho. Additionally, the 1976 Compensation Plan authorized CENPW to provide a lump-sum payment to the State of Washington for the development and maintenance of a game bird farm intended to rear 20,000 birds per year for a 20-year period.

A progress report was submitted to HQUSACE in 1983 by CENPW which identified problems encountered by WDFW and CENPW in trying to implement the Compensation Plan provisions of 1978. Subsequently, the HQUSACE Chief of Engineers issued a report on the Compensation Plan in March 1985. Difficulties identified in the report centered around the unwillingness of landowners to sell a strip of their property in fee and to give a perpetual easement on portions of their cultivated lands. Also, the state's policy regarding raising game birds to stock hunting lands had changed to favor natural production through habitat development and preservation.

The WRDA of 1986 modified the Compensation Plan in accordance with the recommendations made in the HQUSACE Chief of Engineers' 1985 report. Those recommendations included language which made two important modifications to the Compensation Plan. The WRDA of 1986 authorized all off-project land acquisitions to be acquired in fee title or perpetual easement. This program was conducted jointly with the WDFW who has operation and maintenance responsibilities for all lands purchased in Washington that are non-contiguous to Corps lands. Also, the game bird farm portion of the Compensation Plan was changed to emphasize natural game bird production in lieu of artificial rearing.

The DM No. 20, *Game Bird Farm Alternative, Habitat Development*, was prepared by CENPW and approved by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, North Pacific Division, in June 1987. The DM No. 20 recommended a lump-sum payment to WDFW to accomplish the Game Bird Farm Alternative. Payment of \$2,571,512 was negotiated with WDFW in October 1988. This payment and all earned interest must be expended by the program's sunset date in the year 2007. The program was implemented in May 1989 with WDFW entering into lease agreements with southeastern Washington farmers to set aside or develop upland game bird habitat and provide public hunting access for an 18-year period. Three public hunting access arrangements are utilized (Feel Free to Hunt, Hunting Only by Written Permission, and Register to Hunt).

Under the original acquisition authority (1976), 3,896 acres were acquired under Element X (perpetual easement on one parcel), zero acres for Element Y and 86 acres for Element Z. Appropriations under the 1986 authority were available in late 1989. Purchase of lands was concluded on September 30, 1994. In

the State of Washington, acreage goals for all three elements (X, Y, and Z) were completely satisfied. Written concurrence has been obtained from WDFW that mitigation acreage goals were met. In Idaho, 34 of the 50 acres of Element Z lands were acquired.

Letter supplements to authorize development actions and funding levels have been approved for all 29 sites in Washington and 2 of 4 sites in Idaho. The letter supplements for the two remaining sites in Idaho are presently undergoing final review by the Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG). Wildlife habitat developments and improvements are now beginning on these lands.

(2) <u>Status</u>.

Table 3 is a summary of the recently acquired off-project Compensation Plan lands. Of the total 24,150 acres authorized, 24,124 acres have been acquired by fee title or perpetual easements. The lands include 24,090 acres in Washington and 34 acres for public fishing access in Idaho.

Developments include such actions as fencing (to eliminate or restrict grazing); planting of shrubs, trees, food plots, and native grasses; installation of gallinaceous guzzlers; installation or development of natural water or irrigation systems; and parking areas and informational signs. In Washington, the full habitat development potential eventually realized through these management actions will be determined through Habitat Evaluation Procedures (HEP). The Compensation Plan identified no wildlife losses in Idaho; therefore, HEP analyses will not be conducted on Idaho's Element Z lands. Initial wildlife habitat loss assessments using HEP analyses were completed for the lower Snake River projects in 1991. These HEP analyses compared the habitats existing prior to dam construction to the 1987 habitat quantity and quality existing within the project boundaries. Preliminary HEP analyses of the Element X, Y, and Z lands acquired in Washington was completed in December 1994. These results were preliminary since boundary surveys were not completed, title had not been finalized for one property, and the methodology for generation of habitat acreage was not as rigorous as is required for an accurate HEP. The HEP analyses for the Compensation Plan's total project area are presently being initiated and completion is expected in October 1996. Results of this HEP will be furnished to the Northwest Power Planning Council, who will consider incorporating them into the Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. The CENPW will acquire additional Habitat Units (HU's) of wildlife compensation from the additional habitat succession and developments occurring since 1987 on the on-project and off-project lands first evaluated in the 1991 report. The 2 years in each 10-year cycle HEP monitoring will determine these compensation gains for the on- and off-project lands combined.

			·						Tota	I Area In /	Acres		•	
Site	Credit				Washington Sites By Credit Element Class			By Deed			By Survey			
Mgmt By	Ele- ment	ST	Site Name/Management	County	Body of Water	X	γ	Z ^{2/}	Fee- Title	Ease- ment	Total	Re- duced	In- creased	Total
WDFW	x	WA	Bailie Ranch	Franklin	Irrigation Outflow	3,897.0				3,897.0	3.897.0			3,897.0
WDFW	х	WA	Revere Ranch	Whitman	Rock Cr	2.264.0		27.0	2,291.0	3,097.0	2.291.0			2.291.0
WDFW	X	WA	Windmill Ranch	Franklin	N/A	1,533.7		27.0	1,533.7		1,533.7			1.533.7
Corps	X	WA	Central Ferry HMU	Whitman	Lake Bryan	164.8	123.4		288.2		288.0			288.0
Corps	x	WA	Mill Creek HMU	Walla Walla	N/A	63.0	123.4		63.0		200.0 63.0			63.0
Corps	x	WA	Wallula HMU (part of)	Walla Walla	Walla Walla R	182.0		14.6	182.0		182.0		8.0	190.0
WDFW	Ŷ	WA	Schumaker U of Joseph Cr WA	Asotin	Grande Ronde R	102.0	2,033.0	8.1	2,033.0		2.033.0		47.8	2.080.8
WDFW	Ý	WA	Pentler Cr	Asotin	Pentler Cr		4,261.0	0.1	4,261.0		4,261.0		100.0	4.361.0
WDFW	Y	WA	Hartsock U of Wooten WA	Columbia	Tucannon & Tumalum	133.5	2,214.9	7.0	2,342.0		2.348.4		93.6	2.442.0
WDFW	Ý	WA	Fisher Gulch U of Joseph Cr	Asotin	Grande Ronde R	135.5	1,647.0	7.0	1,647.0	•	1,647.0		43.1	1,690.1
WDFW	Ŷ	WA	Campbell U of Asotin Cr WA	Asotin	S Fork - Asotin Cr		529.3	4.6	529.3		529.3		3.5	532.8
WDFW	Ý	WA	Hartsock U (695 Addition)	Columbia	N/A		8.0	4.0	8.0		8.0	1.3	5.5	6.7
Corps	Ŷ	WA	John Henley HMU	Whitman	N/A	162.0	556.0		718.0		718.0	1.5	38.0	756.0
Corps	Ŷ	WA	Nisqually John Canyon HMU	Whitman	N/A	102.0	3,077.8		3.077.8		3,077.8	18.1	50.0	3.059.7
Corps	Ý	WA	Kelly Bar HMU	Garfield	N/A		268.0		268.0		268.0	14.4		253.6
WDFW	z	WA	Eight Mile Touchet R PFA	Walla Walla	Touchet R		200.0	2.4	200.0	2.4	2.4			2.4
WDFW	z	WA	Asotin Creek Easements	Asotin	Asotin Cr			12.5		12.5	12.5			12.5
WDFW	z	WA	Couse Cr PFA	Asotin	Snake River			3.0			3.0			3.0
WDFW	z l	WA	Swank PFA	Asotin	Grande Ronde R			51.4	51.4		51,4			51.4
WDFW	z	WA	Donald Rd PFA	Yakima	Yakima River			75.3	75.3		75.3			75.3
WDFW	ž	WA	McDonald Br. PFA	Walla Walla	Walla Walla R			22.6	22.6		22.6	1.6		21.0
WDFW	z	WA	Ferry Road PFA	Yakima	Yakima R			117.0	117.0		117.0			117.0
WDFW	z	WA	Swegle Rd PFA (Phase I)	Walla Walla	Mill Cr & Walla Walla R			72.7	37.4	35.3	72.7		5.3	78.0
WDFW	ž	WA	Swegle Rd PFA (Phase II)	Walia Walla	Walla Walla R			46.8	46.8		46.8			46.8
WDFW	z	WA	Sulphur Cr PFA	Yakima	Yakima R			88.0	88.0		88.0		1.3	89.3
WDFW	z	WA	Whitstrand PFA	Benton	Yakima R			21.6	. 21.6		21.6		1.0	22.6
WDFW	z	WA	Naches Rd PFA	Yakima	Naches R			7.1	7.1		7.1		0.4	7.5
WDFW	z	WA	Benton City PFA	Benton	Yakima R			16.1	16.1		16.1			16.1
WDFW	z	WA	Burma Rd PFA	Okanogan	Methow R			4.2	4.2		4.2			4.2
WDFW	z	WA	McDonald Br PFA	Walla Walla	Walla Walla R			99.4	99.4		99.4	2.1		97.3
IDFG	z	ID	Myrtle Beach PFA	Nez Perce	Clearwater R				11.0		11.0			11.0
IDFG	z	ID	Magili PFA	Nez Perce	Clearwater R				14.0		14.0			14.0
IDFG	z	ID	Ahsahka PFA	Nez Perce	Clearwater R				9.0 [.]		9.0			9.0
	•••••		·····		TOTAL	8,400.0	14,718.4	701.4	19,862.9	3,947.2	23,819.3	37.5	342.0	24,123.8
			Washington Land Area as Adjuste	d by Final Surve	y Results	8,400.0	15,010.6	705.7	24,089.8					
		e			Idaho Public Fishing Area	s (50 acres	authorized)		34.0					

TABLE 3 - SUMMARY OF FISH AND WILDLIFE LANDS ^{1/}

^{1/} PFA = Public Fishing Area, HMU = Habitat Management Unit (on-project land to be managed by COE all others by state wildlife agencies), X = California Quail and Pheasant Hunting Land, Y = Chukar Hunting Land, Z = Fisherman Access Land, U = Unit, R = River, Cr = Creek, WA = Both State of Washington and wildlife area, HEP = Habitat Evaluation Procedures, HU's = Habitat Units. ^{2/} Some acres are double credited. The property was purchased as an element "X" or "Y" property; however, the land also provided acres that were creditable as fisherman

access lands, so element "Z" credit was also assigned.

Baseline cost estimates for the development costs for

Elements X, Y, and Z lands have been developed. Some irrigation developments have greatly exceeded the initial baseline cost estimate. Funding for the completion of development actions on all of these properties has a project sunset date of 30 September 1998. A total of \$295,000 will be needed to complete the initial HEP assessments once all habitat development is complete. The total cost of the Wildlife Compensation Lands portion of the Compensation Plan is estimated at \$31 million. To date, WDFW has developed 1,388 acres of wildlife habitat and opened 56,495 acres to public hunting under the Game Farm Alternative Program. The WDFW has expended \$853,147 in Game Farm Alternative Program funds to implement these above accomplishments. Funding of \$140,000 is required for CENPW to administer this program that concludes in 2008.

- c. Fish Facilities Under Congressional Add.
 - (1) <u>Description</u>.

The projects to be initiated under the Congressional Add include adult trapping and juvenile acclimation facilities for spring chinook salmon on the upper Grande Ronde River and Catherine Creek, a water treatment facility for Lookingglass Hatchery, and final rearing and/or acclimation facilities for fall chinook salmon on the Clearwater, Snake, and lower Grande Ronde Rivers. The overall projects are still in the planning stage, although a temporary acclimation facility for fall chinook salmon has been constructed at Pittsburg Landing as addressed in paragraph 5.c.(3)(b) below.

(2) <u>Biological Needs</u>.

Fall chinook salmon.

The biological needs of Snake River fall chinook salmon can be summarized as the following:

- Adequate spawning habitat, both in quality and quantity: Approximately 95 percent of the original Snake River fall chinook salmon spawning habitat has been blocked by dams on the middle Snake River, and additional spawning habitat was inundated by the construction of the lower Snake River dams. However, the remaining spawning habitat appears to be in good condition and underutilized.
- Adequate rearing habitat, both in quality and quantity: This appears to be available in the Snake River.

- Protection of the gene pool of the wild stock: Release age of the hatchery fish should mimic wild stocks that outmigrate as subyearlings. However, yearling releases appear to have higher adult returns, therefore, the hatchery managers intend to release the fish as yearlings.
- Protection of the gene pool of the wild stock: Keep hatchery characteristics out. Hatchery fish are subject to different selection pressures than wild fish which may alter genetic make-up.
- Adequate migration corridor, sustainable harvest, favorable weather and ocean conditions: High directed and incidental harvest has affected adult return rates in historical times, as has the construction of mainstem dams which have caused considerable mortality to outmigrating juveniles. In addition, meteorological and oceanographic conditions for a decade or more have been unfavorable to the stock. The construction of bypass facilities for juvenile chinook salmon has lessened mortality rates for outmigrants and the harvest rate of adults has been reduced.

Spring chinook salmon in upper Grande Ronde River and Catherine

The biological needs of Snake River spring chinook salmon can be summarized as the following:

Creek.

- Adequate spawning habitat, both in quality and quantity: Adequate spawning habitat is lacking in the upper Grande Ronde River and Catherine Creek as there are few holding pools for returning adult salmon and water temperatures are too high.
- Adequate rearing habitat, both in quality and quantity: Rearing habitat for juveniles is limited as both Catherine Creek and the Grande Ronde River have been degraded by logging, channelization, livestock grazing, irrigation, *etc*.
- Protection of the gene pool of the wild stock: Keep hatchery characteristics out. Hatchery fish are subject to different selection pressures than wild fish which may alter genetic make-up.
- Adequate migration corridor, sustainable harvest, climatic conditions, and ocean conditions: High directed and incidental harvest has also affected adult return rates in historical times, as has the construction of mainstem dams which have caused considerable mortality to outmigrating juveniles. In addition, meteorological and

oceanographic conditions for a decade or more have been unfavorable to the stock. The construction of bypass facilities for juvenile chinook salmon has lessened mortality rates for outmigrants and the harvest rate of adults has been reduced.

- (3) <u>Status</u>.
 - (a) <u>Coordination</u>.

Coordination has been maintained with participating agencies and tribes. Key participants associated with the ongoing Congressional Add studies include the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), USFWS, Bonneville Power Administration (BPA), Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW), IDFG, WDFW, Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission (CRITFC), Nez Perce Tribe (NPT), and Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR). Initial meetings were held in early 1995 in which the scope, schedule, and priority of projects were discussed and developed. The initial meetings resulted in planning, design, and construction of the Pittsburg Landing temporary acclimation and release facility for fall chinook salmon and the initiation of the design of the water treatment facility for Lookingglass Hatchery.

A second series of coordination meetings was initiated in January 1996 to further develop and scope the spring and fall chinook salmon initiatives to build additional trapping, holding, acclimation/release facilities. The NPT, CTUIR, and the CRITFC have consistently indicated strong support of the traditional fish hatchery and satellite approach to fishery compensation. Generally, NMFS and USFWS have not shown strong support of the traditional fish hatchery and satellite approach, except by agency hatchery personnel. The hatchery personnel from the USFWS and the state agencies have indicated strong support for the traditional hatchery concept. Overall, there are opposing viewpoints within the USFWS, NMFS, and affected state and tribal hatchery managers.

Natural habitat restoration improvement was also identified as a viable alternative for fishery compensation as part of the coordination effort for spring chinook salmon, however, none of the fisheries' managers were willing to support this option openly.

For fall chinook salmon, there is a general consensus that acclimation facilities should be of a temporary nature and eventually be phased out when the wild stock have been re-established. For spring chinook salmon, the general consensus is that more permanent facilities are needed since they must be operational for a longer period (until the wild stocks have re-established). For both stocks, for re-establishment to occur, adequate spawning and rearing habitat will have to be provided.

Further discussion of coordination with the tribes and agencies is included under subparagraphs (b) and (c) below.

(b) Summary of Progress to Date.

As mentioned above, initial scoping meetings were held with the participating agencies in early 1995. The initial meetings resulted in the initiation of the design of the water treatment facility for Lookingglass Hatchery and planning, design and construction of the Pittsburg Landing temporary acclimation and release facility for fall chinook salmon.

Lookingglass Hatchery has seen the incidence of waterborne pathogen-caused diseases increase in recent years. These have affected both the production goals of the hatchery and the quality of the hatchery stock. Just upstream of the hatchery intake, adult spring chinook salmon are introduced into natural habitat areas for spawning. From this practice, the potential for the introduction of pathogens into the hatchery water supply has been further increased, as has the incidence of disease at the hatchery. An interagency advisory group consisting of representatives from ODFW, NMFS, USFWS, CTUIR, CRITFC, and BPA concluded that the disease problems at Lookingglass Hatchery could only be controlled by providing a pathogen free source of water. Geologic evaluations concluded that additional ground water development may be limited. Because of the success of disinfection through ozonation at other hatcheries, this treatment method was determined to be the most appropriate for the Lookingglass Hatchery as documented in Letter Supplement No. 2 to DM No. 5, Lower Snake River Fish and Wildlife Compensation Plan, Lookingglass Fish Hatchery, which is currently under review. Various treatment configurations consisting of the alternate technologies were evaluated and are presented in Letter Supplement 2 to DM No. 5. The proposed system was selected based on its overall functional viability, reliability, and economics including both initial capital expense and operation and maintenance costs. The main components of the proposed system are generally described in paragraph 6 below.

The newly constructed Pittsburg Landing temporary acclimation and release facility is located within the Hells Canyon National Recreation Area on the east bank of the Snake River at River Mile 215, approximately 17 miles southwest of White Bird, Idaho. The facility includes 16 circular fiberglass tanks, (20 feet in diameter and 4 feet deep), piping systems, and support equipment. Because of the temporary nature, the tanks and pipe sections are hauled in by commercial tractor/trailers and assembled on site when needed. All tanks and piping are above ground which required no excavation. A more detailed description of the facilities and operation are included in Letter Supplement 13 to DM No. 1, *Fish Facilities Site Selection Report*. The facility became operational on 1 March 1996 for a 3 year test program and acclimated approximately 120,000 yearling fall chinook salmon supplied from Lyons Ferry Hatchery in April 1996. Approximately 150,000 yearlings will be acclimated and released in 1997 and 1998. Planning and coordination efforts are continuing on the remaining fall chinook salmon facilities. Two additional facilities are being planned to supplement the existing Pittsburg Landing facilities discussed above. For the two additional facilities, a total of three sites have been identified for consideration. The first is the Big Canyon Creek site located at about River Mile 35 on the main stem Clearwater River. The other two sites, referred to as the Captain John Rapids and Grain Elevator sites, are located at about River Mile 166 and 160 on the mainstem Snake River, respectively. The Big Canyon Creek site is owned by the NPT, one of the project's proponents. The NPT has expressed its full cooperation to enter into a Memorandum of Agreement for the use of this site for 1997 implementation. Both of the other sites are privately owned and rights-of-entry have been obtained to begin surveys and investigations for engineering/design work and environmental clearances. Both owners have expressed a cooperative attitude toward the project. The approximate location of the alternative sites for the temporary facilities are shown on plate 1, depicted as a single block associated with the Lyons Ferry Hatchery.

Planning for the spring chinook salmon facilities is still in the initial stages. Based on discussions with participating agencies and tribes, spring chinook salmon plans are being given secondary status while fall chinook salmon work was given priority because of being more straight forward, less controversial, and because of limited annual budgets and in-house capability. In addition, fall chinook smolts were available for acclimation beginning in 1996. The *Northeast Oregon Hatchery Project Conceptual Design Report* (prepared by Montgomery Watson for BPA), dated March 1995, included alternative sites for adult salmon capture and holding and juvenile salmon acclimation and direct release sites within the Catherine Creek and upper Grande Ronde River sub-basins.

(c) Environmental Concerns.

The language of the Congressional Add appears to be in conflict. On the one hand, it directs the Corps to initiate hatchery construction projects while on the other hand, instructs the Corps to only implement projects that will increase the biodiversity of the listed stocks. The hatchery projects in and of themselves will not accomplish the latter language in the Congressional Add without incorporation of a habitat restoration component. While the hatchery projects are necessary to help re-establish the listed fish in the various basins, there will always be a reliance on the hatchery facilities to continue to produce fish unless there is sufficient and available spawning and rearing habitat that will eventually support a natural, self-sustaining population. Once that is achieved, the hatchery facilities can be decommissioned.

Fall chinook salmon, Snake River: The free-flowing portion of the Snake River, in and just below Hells Canyon, provides most of the last remaining spawning habitat for Snake River fall chinook salmon listed as a threatened species under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Hells Canyon Dam now blocks upstream migration to historic fall chinook salmon spawning areas in the middle and upper Snake

River. Fall chinook salmon now spawn mostly in the mainstem of the lower Snake River, with some spawning occurring in the lower reaches of large tributary streams such as the Clearwater, Grande Ronde, and Salmon Rivers. Although there is no data on how much fall chinook salmon spawning habitat remains in the free-flowing Snake River, it appears there is considerably more spawning habitat available than is being used.

Hatchery managers from the NPT, WDFW, ODFW, NMFS, and USFWS believe that acclimating and releasing yearling fall chinook salmon above Lower Granite Dam will increase the number of adult fall chinook salmon returning to suitable habitat for natural spawning compared to the recent practice of releases from Lyons Ferry Hatchery, which mostly results in adult salmon returns to that hatchery for artificial spawning. The draft salmon recovery plan prepared by NMFS states that supplementation above Lower Granite Dam should be considered, with careful evaluation.

However, there are concerns that the Congressional Add may not be beneficial to fall chinook salmon. A review by USFWS of over 300 similar supplementation projects found that only a few were successful at increasing existing natural runs. The USFWS reached several conclusions: successes were primarily for returning adult fish for harvest; supplementation adversely impacts wild stocks; chinook salmon are one of the most difficult species to supplement; and supplementation works better for fish stocks having a shorter run to the ocean (Snake River fall chinook salmon have one of the longest runs). The NMFS, in its Biological Opinion for the 1995 to 1998 Hatchery Operations in the Columbia River Basin, stated that "hatchery operations ... are likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed ... Snake River fall chinook salmon" and directed changes in hatchery operation. Another concern identified by NMFS technical staff was that the use of yearling juvenile fall chinook salmon instead of sub-yearling juveniles, which is the wild stock behavior, may alter the life history of fall chinook salmon. Adults returning from yearling releases would be expected to differ genetically from adults returning from subyearling releases because of differing selection pressure. Based on the above information, there is a risk that the fall chinook salmon acclimation facilities, as proposed by the NPT and the hatchery managers, would not meet the intent of the Congressional Add that "...only projects which will protect, maintain, or enhance biological diversity of existing wild salmon stocks should be pursued."

Fall chinook salmon, Clearwater River: Although some fall chinook salmon currently spawn in the Clearwater River (far fewer than in the Snake River), many of these adults are strays, and it is unclear that the Clearwater can support higher numbers of spawning salmon under current hydrologic conditions. The main problem with the Clearwater River is that the operation of Dworshak Dam keeps the river too cold for fall chinook salmon production much of the year. This means that juvenile fall chinook salmon, spawned in the fall in the Clearwater River, develop more slowly than they would in the Snake River. Because the outmigration behavior of fall chinook salmon depends largely upon size, Clearwater River fall chinook salmon juveniles migrate later in the year than Snake River juveniles. This is significant because, the later in the summer subyearling chinook salmon migrate, the lower their survival. This is because flows become lower through the summer, predators are more ravenous, and other migration conditions deteriorate. Therefore a given number of juveniles naturally produced in the Clearwater River would likely produce fewer adult returns than would the same number of juveniles produced in the Snake River.

The operation of an acclimation facility on the Clearwater River would likely result in a supplementation-dependent fall chinook salmon population rather than a self-sustaining population. Juvenile fall chinook salmon from a hatchery would have to be released annually to maintain adult returns. This would not further fall chinook salmon recovery efforts and would not meet the intent of the Congressional Add.

Fall chinook salmon summary:

- Supplementation projects of similar characteristics have been mostly unsuccessful.
- Release of yearling fall chinook salmon instead of subyearling may alter genetic make-up of resulting stock.
- The location of the acclimation facility should be near adequate spawning habitat - Snake River sites are preferable to Clearwater or Grande Ronde River sites.
- The location of the acclimation facility should be near adequate rearing habitat - the Clearwater and Grande Ronde Rivers are unsuitable.
- Additional harvest by tribal, sport, and commercial fishermen may mean successful supplementation would result in little additional wild escapement.

Spring chinook salmon: Hatchery managers from the CTUIR, ODFW, NMFS, and USFWS believe that trapping adult spring chinook salmon in the upper Grande Ronde River and Catherine Creek and acclimating and releasing yearling spring chinook salmon into these same waterways will increase the number of adult spring chinook salmon returning for natural spawning. Snake River spring/summer chinook salmon have been listed as a threatened species under the ESA.

However, this proposal does not address one of the main problems with spring chinook salmon stocks in the upper Grande Ronde River and Catherine Creeks - lack of adequate habitat. There are few holding pools for the adults to wait in until they are ready to spawn and water temperatures are higher than optimal for salmon survival. Rearing habitat for juveniles is limited as both Catherine Creek and the Grande Ronde River have been degraded by logging, channelization, livestock grazing, irrigation, *etc.* Without adequate quality habitat in which the salmon can complete their natural life cycle, supplementation, as proposed, would be only partially successful and the trapping and acclimation facilities would likely have to be operated indefinitely to maintain adult returns. This does not appear to meet the requirements of the Congressional Add language without an associated habitat restoration component.

Another concern is the effect of the trapping and acclimating on the genetic make-up of the spring chinook salmon. Trapping the wild adult salmon reduces the number available to spawn in the wild. Raising the progeny of these wild adult salmon in a hatchery subjects the juveniles to different selection pressures than they would experience in the wild and may change the genetic make-up of the stock. The hatchery-reared spring chinook salmon may compete with and interbreed with the wild fish, causing a degradation in the wild stocks.

Spring chinook salmon summary:

- Supplementation projects of similar characteristics have been mostly unsuccessful.
- Trapping wild adults for supplementation may further depress wild runs.
- Release of hatchery-raised spring chinook salmon may alter genetic make-up of resulting stock.
- The location of the acclimation facilities should be near adequate spawning habitat - upper Grande Ronde River and Catherine Creek have few adequate adult holding pools.
- The location of the acclimation facilities should be near adequate rearing habitat - Catherine Creek and the Grande Ronde River are degraded.
- Additional harvest by tribal, sports, and commercial fishermen may mean successful supplementation would result in little additional wild escapement.
- (d) Other Concerns.

The Lookingglass Hatchery water treatment facility utilizes technologies currently being employed at other northwest hatcheries that have proven to be effective and reliable. Provisions have been made in the proposed design to mitigate for severely cold creek water and air temperatures typically experienced in the winter. At this time, no other potential engineering concerns are apparent.

The newly constructed Pittsburg Landing temporary acclimation facilities for fall chinook salmon performed as designed with the exception of several of the modular fiberglass tanks that failed. At this time, the tanks, as supplied, are being analyzed to determine the cause of failure. Once the cause of failure has been determined, modifications for the two new fall chinook salmon acclimation facilities will be decided. For the Big Canyon Creek site, the participating agencies and tribes will determine whether there is adequate mixing of the Clearwater River and Big Canyon Creek. If there is not adequate mixing, the water supply intake will have to be located above the mouth of Big Canyon Creek or farther into the Clearwater River. In either case, additional engineering effort and cost will be incurred. The current concept assumes adequate mixing and places the intake at the downstream end of the site at the boat ramp where an eddy creates a slower current. At this time no potential engineering concerns for the Captain John Rapids site or the Grain Elevator site have been identified.

For the spring chinook salmon facilities, similar adult fish capture and holding facilities as well as acclimation facilities have been designed and constructed by the Corps. At this time no potential engineering concerns have been identified.

Although real estate efforts have been preliminary to date, no apparent real estate type problems have been identified.

(e) <u>National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Documentation and</u> Environmental Compliance.

<u>1</u>. <u>The National Environmental Protection Act.</u>

The CENPW prepared a Categorical Exclusion for the Lookingglass Hatchery water treatment facilities. The CENPW prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the construction and operation of the Pittsburg Landing temporary acclimation facilities and is preparing another EA to address construction and operation of the two remaining fall chinook temporary acclimation facilities. The CENPW will initiate a separate EA addressing the spring chinook facilities in summer 1996.

2. The Endangered Species Act.

The CENPW did not need to consult with either USFWS or NMFS for the Lookingglass Hatchery water treatment facility. The CENPW determined there would be no effect on wintering bald eagles or migrating peregrine falcons that

18.

may utilize habitat in the project area. Therefore, consultation with USFWS was not necessary for the project. Snake River spring/summer chinook salmon pass through the area. However, since the water treatment facility would not affect water quality, would not release ozone into Lookingglass Creek, and would not increase the number of hatchery-produced salmon, the CENPW determined the facility would not affect individuals of the listed salmon stocks, and consultation with NMFS was not necessary.

The ESA coordination, to date, has been only for the construction and operation of the Pittsburg Landing temporary fall chinook acclimation facility. The CENPW prepared a biological evaluation for the wildlife and plant species and determined that constructing and operating the fish facilities at Pittsburg Landing was "Not Likely to Adversely Affect" any of the listed species that may be found in the project area. The CENPW provided this evaluation to the USFWS.

The CENPW prepared a Biological Assessment for impacts to listed salmon species associated with the construction of the Pittsburg Landing facility. In the assessment, the Corps determined the construction of the facility was "Not Likely to Adversely Affect" the listed salmon stocks. The CENPW initiated informal consultation with NMFS regarding this project. In their August 10, 1995 letter, the NMFS concurred with the CENPW's determination.

The USFWS was responsible for consulting with NMFS for the operation of the Pittsburg Landing fish facility. The NPT, as operator of the facility, prepared the Biological Assessment for operation of the facility and provided it to USFWS. The USFWS then provided the document to NMFS as a modification to the 1995-1998 Biological Assessment for the Lower Snake River Compensation Plan's Lyons Ferry Fall Chinook Salmon Program. The USFWS and the NPT determined that operating the facility may affect but would not adversely affect listed spring/summer and fall chinook and sockeye salmon. In their November 6, 1995 letter, the NMFS concurred with this determination.

It is anticipated that the CENPW and the USFWS will continue to share ESA coordination efforts. The CENPW would coordinate with USFWS for impacts to wildlife and plant species. The CENPW would also coordinate with NMFS for impacts to listed anadromous fish species caused by the construction of the fish facilities. The USFWS, in cooperation with the operators of the facilities (NPT, CTUIR, and/or ODFW), would coordinate with NMFS for impacts to listed anadromous fish species caused by the operation of the facilities.

3. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.

For the Lookingglass Hatchery water treatment facility, coordination with the USFWS under the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act (FWCA) did not apply since provisions of the act are not applicable when activities are primarily land management based as described in paragraph 2(h) of the act. For the Pittsburg Landing Fish Facility, site selection, facility design, and biological concerns were directly coordinated with the USFWS and the state fish and wildlife agencies. A Coordination Act report was not required. However, the USFWS is preparing separate Coordination Act reports for the remaining fall chinook facilities and the spring chinook facilities.

<u>4</u>. <u>Cultural Resources</u>.

For the Lookingglass Hatchery water treatment facility, the CENPW determined all construction activities for the water treatment facility would take place at a completed CENPW project in areas that had been previously disturbed. Staff archaeologists searched contracting records, performed a field evaluation, and determined that no cultural resources would be affected by the project. The Corps sent a coordination letter to the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office reporting this determination.

The CENPW determined that locating the facilities at the Pittsburg Landing site would not impact cultural resources because the equipment was to be placed on the existing graveled staging area which had been surveyed for cultural resources prior to its development. Since there was no soil disturbance, there was no opportunity to disturb any cultural items.

The CENPW will perform cultural resources surveys for the fall chinook and spring chinook facilities as sites are identified and will arrange for any testing as needed. The CENPW has already discovered cultural artifacts at the Big Canyon Creek site and is identifying what measures need to be taken to protect these items during construction and operation of the proposed facility.

d. Other Ongoing Items.

Habitat development on off-project lands (Elements X, Y, and Z) is still ongoing as addressed in paragraph 5.b.(2).

e. Lingering Items.

Lingering items are defined as work-items identified as part of the Compensation Plan that are not in progress but must be completed in order to meet our commitment. Other than items to be included under the Congressional Add, there are no lingering items.

6. BASELINE COST ESTIMATE, CONGRESSIONAL ADD FACILITIES.

a. <u>General</u>.

Cost estimates for the Congressional Add facilities are based on the most current information available at the time of preparation of this report. For facilities that have been essentially completed such as the Pittsburg Landing temporary acclimation and release facility, a higher degree of accuracy is reflected in the cost estimate. For the water treatment facility at Lookingglass Hatchery, the cost estimate is based on a draft letter supplement that is currently being reviewed at CENPW. For other facilities that are still in the planning stages, the cost estimates are based on conceptual design and similar facilities built by CENPW. In all cases, real estate costs were based on purchase of required land in fee title. The following is a summary of the basis of the cost estimates for each major element identified to date as part of the Congressional Add.

b. Water Treatment Facilities at Lookingglass Hatchery.

Background on the need and planning of the water treatment facilities is included in paragraph 5.c.(3)(b) above. The cost estimate for the water treatment facilities is based on information included in Letter Supplement 2 to DM No. 5 which is currently in the final review stage. The proposed system is similar to ozone treatment plants employed at other Pacific Northwest hatcheries. The facilities are designed to treat a peak flow of 42 cubic feet per second (cfs). The facilities include a 13,000 gallon liquid oxygen storage tank with associated vaporizers and regulators. A total of four, 100 pound per day medium frequency ozone generators are included. During peak flow, three ozone generators will produce the 251 pounds per day requirement at 84 percent of rated capacity. The fourth generator will be used for standby purposes as well as for additional capacity when needed. Stainless steel contacting tanks will be used as contacting chambers. Five parallel contacting banks will be constructed, each capable of handling one-fifth of the total peak flow of 42 cfs. Each contacting bank will consist of two, 11-foot-diameter by approximately 25-foot-high tanks with a working side water depth of 22 feet. Flow through the tanks will be downward and countercurrent to the flow of ozone gas. Removal of ozone residual to below 0.010 milligrams per liter will be through the use of air stripping towers. A total of five stainless steel stripping towers will be designed and constructed based on a pilot test at the Dworshak National Fish Hatchery. A residence dwelling is also included. Except for the liquid oxygen storage tanks, all equipment will be housed in a 73-foot by 142-foot support building.

c. Fall Chinook Salmon Initiative.

The cost estimate for the fall chinook salmon facilities is based on a total of three separate facilities similar in size and scope to the newly constructed Pittsburg Landing temporary acclimation and release facility. A description of the Pittsburg

Landing temporary acclimation facility, as well as alternative sites, is described in paragraph 5.c.(3)(b) above. Cost estimates are based on the Big Canyon Creek site located at about River Mile 35 on the main stem Clearwater River and the Captain John Rapids site located at about River Mile 166 on the main stem Snake River. The approximate location of the sites are shown on plate 1.

d. <u>Spring Chinook Salmon Initiative</u>.

As discussed in paragraph 5.c.(3)(b) above, conceptual design is still being developed for the spring chinook salmon facilities. However, for cost estimating purposes, sites identified in the "*Northeast Oregon Hatchery Project Conceptual Design Report*" dated March 1995, as recommended by the participating agencies and tribes, were used. Facilities would be located in the upper Grande Ronde River and Catherine Creek sub-basins as described below. Because of the preliminary nature of the sites, location of the facilities were not included on plate 1.

The upper Grande Ronde River adult salmon capture and holding facility would be-located on the Grande Ronde River at Vey Meadows at Splash Dam site. The site is within a private ranch surrounded by National Forest System Lands. Land owner contacts have not been made. Approximately 500 cubic feet of holding volume would be required for temporary holding of adult fish. In addition, two acclimation and release sites would be provided including the Upper Vey Meadows site and the Sheep Creek site both of which are located on U. S. Forest Service (USFS) lands. The Upper Vey Meadows site on the Grande Ronde River would have approximately 10,000 cubic feet of in-ground acclimation pond volume. The Sheep Creek site on Sheep Creek would have approximately 2,500 cubic feet of above-ground acclimation tank volume. Preliminary contacts have been made with the USFS.

The Catherine Creek adult salmon capture and holding facility would be located on the Catherine Creek at Union site. Approximately 500 cubic feet of holding volume would be required for temporary holding of adult fish. An acclimation and release site would be located at the Oregon State University site on Catherine Creek. In-ground ponds would provide approximately 12,500 cubic feet of acclimation volume. The Catherine Creek site is owned by the State of Oregon, Department of Education, Oregon State University.

e. <u>Summary</u>.

The total baseline cost estimate for fish facilities included under the Congressional Add is estimated to be \$38,545,000 at 1 October 1996 price level and \$39,531,000 at 1 October 1997 price level. The fully funded cost estimate, cost projected out to mid-point of construction, is estimated to be \$41,290,000. A summary of the fully-funded cost estimate by code of accounts is shown on table 4. The fullyfunded cost estimate includes contingency factors for the Lookingglass water treatment plant, fall chinook salmon facilities, and spring chinook salmon facilities of 25 percent,

27 percent, and 35 percent respectively. More detailed information on the baseline cost estimate is included in appendix A.

TABLE 4

CONGRESSIONAL ADD FACILITIES FULLY-FUNDED **BASELINE COST ESTIMATE**

		Water Treatment	Fall Chinook	Spring Chinook	
	Code of Account	Facilities ^{1/}	Facilities ^{2/}	Facilities ³	Totals
01	Lands and Damages	\$41,000	\$232,000	\$857,000	\$1,130,000
06.2	Fish Facilities	\$11,130,000	\$4,539,000	\$14,014,000	\$29,683,000
18	Cultural Resources	\$0	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$15,000
30	Planning, Engineering, and				· ·
	Design	\$2,783,000	\$961,000	\$3,143,000	\$6,887,000
31	Construction				
	Management	\$1,113,000	\$576,000	\$1,886,000	\$3,575,000
	Total Project Costs	\$15,067,000	\$6,318,000	\$19,905,000	\$41,290,000

^{1/} At Lookingglass Hatchery.
 ^{2/} Temporary acclimation and release facilities.
 ^{3/} Includes final rearing/acclimation and adult trapping holding facilities.

7. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE, CONGRESSIONAL ADD FACILITIES.

The projected completion dates for the ongoing studies as well as design/construction of the facilities are shown below. The completion dates reflect the perceived direction at this time and assumes availability of adequate funding.

Element	Completion Date
Lookingglass Hatchery Water Treatment Plant Implementation Document Design/Construction	June 1996 September 1999
Fall Chinook Initiative	
First Temp. Acclimation Facility (Pittsburg Landing) Second Temp. Acclimation Facility (Big Canyon)	Complete
Implementation Document	June 1996
Design/Construction Third Temp. Acclimation Facility (Captain John Rapids)	March 1997
Implementation Document	June 1997
Design/Construction	March 1998
Spring Chinook Initiative ^{1/} Adult Capture and Adult Holding Facilities	· · ·
Vey Meadows at Splash Dam Site	
Catherine Creek at Union Site Implementation Document	lonuon 1007
Design/Construction	January 1997 August 1998
Acclimation and Release Facilities	0
Upper Vey Meadows Site Sheep Creek Site	
Oregon State University Site	
Implementation Document	September 1998
Design/Construction	April 1999

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Priority between Catherine Creek and upper Grande Ronde River has not yet been determined.

8. PROGRAM COST AND COST LIMITS.

a. <u>Historical Project Cost</u>.

A total of \$215,696,000 have been expended through 31 January 1996 on the Compensation Plan. A summary of expenditures by Fiscal Year through 31 January 1996 is included in appendix B. Table 5 shows a breakdown of the expenditures by facility through 31 January 1996.

b. Future Funding Needs.

Table 5 includes projected fund requirements by facility in the out-years to complete the total compensation plan including the Congressional Add.

c. Authorized Cost Limit.

As addressed in paragraph 2 above, the authorized cost limit for the compensation plan at October 1982 price level was \$177,000,000. This authorized cost limit updated to October 1995 price level is \$237,614,000, not including the Congressional Add.

TABLE 5 - LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE COMPENSATION PLAN TOTAL PROGRAM FULLY-FUNDED COST ESTIMATE \$1,000

			Project Future Expenditures						
	Expenditures Through	Remaining				Balance To	Total Project		
ltern	31 January 1966	FY 96	FY 97	FY 98	FY 99	Complete	Cost		
Fish Hatchery, Satellite & Support Facilities ¹⁷									
McCall Hatchery	\$6,787	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,78		
Haggerman Hatchery	13,895	0	0	l ō	0	0	13,89		
Dworshak Expansion Hatchery	2,282	0	Ō	Ō	Ō	0	2,28		
Magic Valley Hatchery	20,999	0	0	0	0	0	20,99		
Lookingglass Hatchery	10,948	22	0	0	62	0	11,03		
Irrigon Hatchery	24,181	174	0	341	22	0	24,71		
Lyons Ferry Hatchery	38,378	0	0	0	0	0	38,37		
Sawtooth Hatchery	14,255	0	0	0	0	0	14,25		
Clearwater Hatchery	50,783	116	\$375	4	170	0	51,448		
Subtotal	\$182,508	\$312	\$375	\$345	\$254	\$0	\$183,794		
			:						
Wildlife Lands			•	Į					
Element "X" Lands	7 940	061	400		200	0	0.63		
State of Washington	7,849 1,434	261 . 985	128 209	6 1,737	388 780	0	8,632		
Corps				1 '		\$0	5,14		
Sub-Subtotal	\$9,283	\$1,246	\$337	\$1,743	\$1,168	\$U	\$13,777		
Element "Y" Lands									
State of Washington	5,841	340	58	0	406	0	6,64		
Corps	609	936	202	506	645	0	2,898		
Sub-Subtotai	\$6,450	\$1,276	\$260	\$506	\$1,051	\$0	\$9,543		
Element "Z" Lands									
State of Washington	3,572	250	56	1,202	758	0	5,838		
State of Idaho	949	31	0	0	443	0	1,423		
Sub-Subtotal	\$4,521	\$281	\$56	\$1,202	\$1,201	\$0	\$7,261		
Subtotal Wildlife Lands	\$20,254	\$2,803	\$653	\$3,451	\$3,420	\$0	\$30,581		
North Others There Figh Hatcherse ²	\$12,431	\$49	\$23	\$44	\$76	\$0	\$12,623		
Work Other Than Fish Hatchery ²	\$12,431		423		\$10	J	\$12,023		
Congressional Add Fish Facilities									
Water Treatment, Lookingglass Hatchery	\$136	\$178	\$20	\$6,041	\$8,692	\$0	\$15,067		
Fall Chinook Initiative	250	1,427	2,389	2,252	0	\$0	6,318		
Spring Chinook Initiative	117	345	140	9,265	10,038	\$0	19,905		
Subtotal	\$503	\$1,950	\$2,549	\$17,558	\$18,730	\$0	\$41,290		
GRAND TOTALS	\$215,696	\$5,114	\$3,600	\$21,398	\$22,480	\$0	\$268,288		

² "Work Other Than Fish Hatchery" includes Eagle Laboratory (serves as a centralized fish health laboratory for hatcheries in Idaho developed under the Compensation Plan), pilot program, habitat development, and fish development program.

9. PROGRAM FUND REQUIREMENTS BY FISCAL YEAR.

Fund requirements to complete the compensation plan including the Congressional Add are summarized below in table 6. The total fully-funded cost estimate of the Compensation Plan including the Congressional Add is \$268,288,000.

TABLE 6

SUMMARY OF PROGRAM FUND REQUIREMENTS BY FISCAL YEAR (\$1,000)

	Expenditures Through	Remaining				Balance To	Total Project
Item	31 January 96	FY 96	FY 97	FY 98	FY 99	Complete	Cost
Fish Hatchery and Satellite Facilities ${}^{1\!\prime}$	\$182,508	\$312	\$375	\$345	\$254	0	\$183,794
Wildlife Lands	20,254	2,803	653	3,451	3,420	0	30,58 ⁻
Work Other Than Fish Hatchery	12,431	49	23	4 4	76	0	12,62
Congressional Add Facilities	503	1,950	2,549	17,558	18,730	0	41,29
Totals	\$215,696	\$5,114	\$3,600	\$21,398	\$22,480	0	\$268,28

28

Excluding U Uligh essional Auu

10. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.

Fish Facilities: Five of the nine hatcheries have been completed and transferred to USFWS. The remaining four hatcheries are in various stages of completion. They are scheduled to be completed by Fiscal Year 1998. Six of nine satellite facilities have been completed and transferred to USFWS. The remaining satellite facilities are being operated by the USFWS.

Wildlife Lands: The purchase of Element X, Y, and Z lands was concluded on September 30, 1994. Habitat development on these lands is in various stages and is currently scheduled for completion by 1999.

Congressional Add: Language in the Congressional Add directed the Corps to initiate action on several hatchery construction projects. However, the language appears to be in conflict. On one hand, it directs the Corps to initiate hatchery construction projects while, on the other hand, instructs the Corps to only implement projects that will increase the biodiversity of the listed stocks.

A water treatment facility has been designed for Lookingglass Hatchery. The Letter Supplement is currently under review. A temporary acclimation facility was constructed at Pittsburg Landing in Hells Canyon National Recreation Area. The facility became operational on March 1, 1996. This is part of a 3-year test program that will acclimate yearling fall chinook salmon from Lyons Ferry Hatchery. Coordination and planning are continuing on two additional facilities for fall chinook salmon. The acclimation facilities are expected to be at least partially successful in enhancing the wild stocks on a near-term basis.

Plans for spring chinook salmon facilities are still evolving. Adult capture/holding and acclimation/release facilities are being considered at five sites in the Catherine Creek and upper Grande Ronde River sub-basins.

Based on coordination with other agencies and review of research reports, there is concern that the facilities being planned would not meet the conference report requirement that "...only projects which will protect, maintain, or enhance biological diversity of existing wild salmon stocks should be pursued." Supplementation projects similar to the ones proposed under the Congressional Add have been largely unsuccessful. Operation of the fall chinook salmon facilities, as proposed, may alter the genetic make-up of the wild Snake River fall chinook salmon stocks. Operation of a fall chinook salmon facility on the Clearwater River would likely have to be continued indefinitely to maintain adult returns as the habitat is unsuitable for self-sustaining fall chinook salmon populations. Operation of the spring chinook salmon returning to the upper Grande Ronde River and Catherine Creek. Trapping wild adult spring chinook salmon in these waterways would reduce the numbers available to spawn in the wild. Although the acclimation facilities directed under the Congressional Add are

expected to bring some near-term benefit to existing wild salmon stocks, additional rearing habitat in the upper Grande Ronde River and Catherine Creek sub-basins would bring long-term benefits to the wild salmon stock.

There are opposing viewpoints within the USFWS, NMFS, and effected state and tribal hatchery managers. It is CENPW's position that these facilities could provide at least short-term biological benefits provided the habitat restoration component is included to achieve a goal of self-sustaining wild populations as opposed to perpetual reliance on hatchery-produced fish. Such a plan would be in concert with NMFS' Proposed Recovery Plan. Precedence has been set within the authority of the Compensation Plan to undertake habitat development/ restoration for both the wildlife and fisheries components. This, coupled with the Corps' Ecosystem/Environmental Restoration authorities and current mission emphasis, places the Corps in a highly qualified position to plan and carry out the intention of the Congressional Add in concert with the other agencies and tribes.

APPENDIX A

BASELINE COST ESTIMATES CONGRESSIONAL ADD FISH FACILILITIES

Table of Contents

Cost Estimates	Page No			
Water Treatment Facility at Lookingglass Hatchery	A-1			
Fall Chinook Temporary Acclimation Facilities	A-13			
Spring Chinook Facilities	A-27			

SUBTOTAL - ALL CONTRACTS - BFL54

**** TOTAL PROJECT COST SUMMARY ****

.

		•			**************	AL PROJECT COST SU					PAGE	1 OF 2	
Project .ocatio	the second of the cooking gasa	THIS ESTIN Fish Hatchery	ATE IS BA	SED ON	THE SCOP	E CONTAINED IN THE C	CONCEPT "	DISTRICT	SANCE" REPORT, DATEL : Walla Walla KIM CALLAN, CHIEF, CO				
CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 96 ACCOUNT					* = * = =	AUTHORIZ./BUDGET	YEAR: 19	=====	FULLY FUNDED ESTIMATE				
	FEATURE DESCRIPTION	COST (\$K) = = = = =	CNTG (\$K) =====	CNTG (%) = = =	TOTAL {\$K}	COST (\$K)	CNTG {\$K}	TOTAL (\$K)	SPENT THRU FY 95 (\$K)	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	FUL (\$K)	
6.2	FISH HATCHERY	8,220	2,055	25%	10,275	8,442	2,111	10,553		= = = = = = 8,904	2,226	= = = :	
	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = =	8,220	2,055	25%	10,275	8,442	2,111	10,553	1	8,904	2,226	11,1	
							•						
	LANDS AND DAMAGES	32	6	20%	38	33	7	. 40	 	34	7		
	CULTURAL RESOURCES					1				•			
)	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	2,023	505	25%	2,528	2,077	519	2,596		2,226	557	2,7	
<u>۲</u>		822	205	25%	1,027	844	211	1,055		890	223	1,1	
	TOTAL PROJECT COSTS = = = = = =	11,097	2,771	25%	13,868	11,396	2,848	14,244		12,054	3,013	15,0	
	•						• • •	TOTAL FEDE	ERAL COSTS = = = = = =		= = = >	15,0	
	THIS TPCS REFLECTS A PROJECT COS						TOTAL NON-FEDERAL COSTS = = = = = = = = =						
	DISTRICT APPROVED:		~~~	~~~	~~~~	I	THE MAXIMUM PROJECT COST IS = = = = = = > \$						
	CHIEF, COS	T ENGINEERI	NG				~						
	CHIEF, REAL	ESTATE				C	DIVISION APPROVED:						
-	CHIEF, PLAN	INING				-	CHIEF, COST ENGINEERING						
-	CHIEF, ENGI	NEERING				-	DIRECTOR, REAL ESTATE						
_	CHIEF, OPER	ATIONS				-							
						-	APPROVED DATE:						
-	CHIEF, PROG		AGEMENT			۵							
	PROJECT MA												

DDE (PM)

BFL54 CONTRACT 1

**** TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUMMARY ****

PAGE 2 OF 2

ROJECT:	Water Treatment at Lookingglass Fis	h Hatchery								ANCE" REPOR Walla Walla IM CALLAN, C					
							= = = = = Z./BUDGET	= = = = = = YEAR: 199	= = = = = 8	FULLY FUNDED ESTIMATE					
	EFFECTIVE PRICING LE	EVEL: 1 OCT COST (\$K)	96 CNTG (\$K)	CNTG (%)	TOTAL (\$K)	EFFECT. I OMB (%)	PRICING LEV COST (\$K)	VEL: 1 OCT CNTG (\$K)	97 TOTAL (\$K)	FEATURE MID PT	OMB (%)	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K) = = = = = = =	FULL (\$K) = = = = = =	
	Ozone Water Treatment Facility & Dwelling Facility at Lookingglass Fish Ha Estimate Completed before D.M. #5 Lett	8,220 8,220 htchery ter Suppleme	= = = = = = 2,055 ant #2	=	10,275	= = = = 2.7%	8,442	2,111	10,553	2 QTR 99	5.5%	8,904	2,226	11,130	
	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = =	8,220	2,055	25%	10,275		8,442	2,111	10,553			8,904	2,226	11,130	
)1	LANDS AND DAMAGES	32	6	20%	38	2.7%	33	7	40	1 QTR 98	2.7%	34	7	4	
	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	1,201	300	25%	1,501	2.7%	1,233	308	1,541	2 QTR 99	8.3%	1,336	334	1,670	
90		822	205	25%	1,027	2.7%	844	211	1,055	1 QTR 99	5.5%	890	223	1,11:	
30 31	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN PM, Planning, Contracting, EDC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	. 822	205			2.7%	844	211	1,055	2 QTR 99	5.5%	890	223	1,11:	
A-2	TOTAL COSTS = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	11,097	2,771	25%	13,868		11,396	2,848	14,244			12,054	3,013	15,06	

Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 10/01/96

₽-ω

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT LGWSDM: LOOKINGGLASS FH, WATER TREATMENT - OZONE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY TIME 12:23:48

TITLE PAGE 1

LOOKINGGLASS FH, WATER TREATMENT OZONE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY CONSTRUCTION BASELINE ESTIMATE Effective Price Level 1 OCT 96 --- FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY ---

Designed By: NPW Engineering Division Estimated By: Gareth Clausen

Prepared By: NPW, Cost Engineering Branch Kim Callan, P.E., Branch Chief

Preparation Date: 04/15/96 Effective Date of Pricing: 10/01/96 Est Construction Time: 365 Days

.....

Sales Tax: 0.00%

This report is not copyrighted, but the information contained herein is For Official Use Only.

M C A C E S G O L D E D I T I O N Composer GOLD Software Copyright (c) 1985-1994 by Building Systems Design, Inc. Release 5.30A

Currency in DOLLARS

Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 10/01/96 PROJECT NOTES

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT LGWSDM: LOOKINGGLASS FH, WATER TREATMENT - OZONE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY

TITLE PAGE

** BASIS OF THIS ESTIMATE

Lower Snake River Fish and Wildlife Compensation Plan, Design Memorandum No. 5, Lookingglass Fish Hatchery, Letter Supplement No. 2, Hatchery Water Tre atment System.

****** PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This estimate presents the cost to demolish an existing storage building, relocate an existing fueling facility, site preparation, construct a 73'x 142' concrete masonry wall building, furnish and install ozone water tr eatment equipment, and construct one residence dwelling.

Diversion and care of water is included in the estimate because the hatch ery water supply through the existing 42" pipe is used year-round.

Site work includes; grading, asphalt paving, and landscaping.

The building will be insulated for winter operation. Exterior and interi or walls will be constructed of concrete masonry block. The roof will be supported by a structural steel truss system. About 500 SF of ventilation louvers will be installed along one wall and about 500 SF in each gable end of the building. An upper floor (about 4,000 SF) and a third level access (abou t 900 SF) will be constructed of fiberglass grating supported by structural steel columns and beams.

Installed equipment includes 3 emergency generators and 4 ozone generator s. Each engine generator will have an 8,000 gallon underground fuel tank and a fifty gallon day tank.

Two 42" butterfly valves and 5 24" gate valves will be installed on the e xisting 42" water supply pipe. Submersible pumps and a pipe distribution heade r will supply water to 10 - 11' dia x 25' high ozone contact towers and 5 - 11' dia x 25' high stripping towers. Spare pumps will be supplied for spe edy replacement and repair. Air supply and exhaust to the stripping tower inc ludes blowers and heat exchangers. Towers, metal work, duct, piping, equipmen t. and pumps are primarily stainless steel construction.

The treatment facility will be located near an existing underground mediu m voltage service primary. The existing primary will feed a padmount oil type transformer will be installed by the power company. Power distributi on at 480/277 volts will feed low bay lighting and major 3 phase loads, and distribution at 120/208 volts will feed receptacles and lighting an d other small to medium loads. Telephones, fire alarm system, and annunciatio n will be installed in the treatment facility and interfaced with existing project systems. Treatment system controls will incorporate distribut interface capability. Where potential building foundation interference is enco untered, existing utilities will be relocated.

Work also includes the construction of a 26'x 60' single floor residence dwelling that includes a single vehicle garage and appliances.

** CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE

The contractor shall commence work under this contract within 10 calendar

Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 10/01/96 PROJECT NOTES

⊳ לי

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers LOOKINGGLASS FH, WATER TREATMENT - OZONE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY PROJECT LGWSDM:

TITLE PAGE 3

days of receiving the notice to proceed and prosecute said work diligently, and complete the entire work ready for use, with the time frames a nd not later than the date listed below.

Bid Opening	May	1998
Contract Award	June	1998
Begin Construction	July	1998
Complete Construction	August	1999

* CONSTRUCTION WINDOWS

Diversion and care of the water supply to the hatchery is critical. Hatch ery water requirements are at a minimum during December, January, and February . Minimal (hours) interruptions to the water supply during April are possible

. More than several hours interruption will require a temporary

pumping facility with a capacity of 1,000 gpm. During the construction window a 42" - 250 foot bypass/diversion pipe would be installed.

* OVERTIME

This estimate contains no overtime to complete the project.

* ACQUISITION PLAN

This work is not to be performed by a Contractor under the Small Business Administration 8a program. The project will be acquired by the Bidding process.

****** SUB-CONTRACTING PLAN

The following are anticipated subcontractors on this project:

- Electrical Subcontractor (EL)
- Mechanical Subcontractor (ME)
- Structural Steel Subcontractor (SS)
- Asphalt Paving Subcontractor (SW)

** PROJECT CONSTRUCTION

* SITE ACCESS

The project site is located about 34 miles north of LaGrande, Oregon. The access road is paved and single lane in some locations.

Contractor's staging, storage, and work area is constrained by limited ar ea, topographic, and operational considerations. Preservation of existing feat ures will be provided for where possible.

* BORROW \ DISPOSAL AREAS

Borrow could be obtained from required excavations or from approved Contr actor sources. Soils at the site include; clayey silt to silt material, silty, sandy, gravel material, and rock. Distribution of soil materials is unknown at this time, therefore, the estimate includes shrinkage (in fill) and

swelling (loose) factors of 10% and 20% respectively. Disposal areas for exc ess soil have not been identified at this time but are available near the hatc hery or at previously used sites along the access road.

* CONSTRUCTION METHODOLOGY

The construction methodology is standard.

Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 10/01/96 PROJECT NOTES

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT LGWSDM: LOOKINGGLASS FH, WATER TREATMENT - OZONE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY

TIME 12:23:48

TITLE PAGE

r to completion of the building components.

* UNUSUAL CONDITIONS

Subsurface investigations encountered bedrock at depths ranging from 6 to 11 feet, classified as basalt ranging from fractured and weathered to hard. Excavation of the test pits was noted as difficult due to the number an d size of large boulders. It was also noted that the upper 2 to 3 feet of bas alt may be rippable with a dozer or large backhoe/excavator.

* UNIQUE TECHNIQUES OF CONSTRUCTION NONE

* EQUIPMENT/LABOR AVAILABILITY & DISTANCE TRAVELED

Equipment and labor is available within Oregon, Washington, or Idaho. App ropriate zone differential pay is included in the estimate. It is estimated a large, possibly 100 ton capacity, crane will be needed to place th

e contact and stripping tanks (15,000 - 16,000 LB at a load radius of 60-65 fe et).

* ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS

This estimate has provisions for Monthly Anticipated Adverse Weather Dela ys as specified in the contract clauses.

* CONTINGENCIES

A weighted average analysis was done to determine an overall project cont ingency factor. Contingencies ranging from 20 - 40 percent were assigned to i ndividual cost items based on the level of design details available for that w ork. The result is 23.9% (increments of 5 = 25%).

A contingency of 25 percent is appropriate to identify the uncertainty as sociated with the level of design provided for this estimate.

Contingencies are not included in this estimate. Baseline estimate docum ents include contingency and present escalation to midpoint of construction.

* EFFECTIVE DATES FOR LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIAL PRICING

MCACES database files:

NAT95A	1995 National Unit Price Book	Issued 4-26-95
NAT94B	1994 National Crews Database	Issued 3-28-94
EORG96	Eastern Oregon Labor Rates #0	Issued 3-15-96
NAT95A	Eq Rates EP 1110-1-8, Aug95	Issued 10-3-95

125 Apr 1 . Date 1		LOOKINGGLASS FH, WATER		CONE WATER	TREATMENT	FACILITY			SUMMARY I	08:58: PAGE
		** PROJECT INDIRECT S	SUMMARY - CSI	(TEM **						
		QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	HOOH	PROF	PL & PD	BOND	TOTAL COST I	UNIT CO
	AA WATER TREATMENT FACILITY									
	AA 06 FISH AND WILDLIFE									
	AA 06 02 SITE WORK									
•	AA 06 02 03 SITE WORK									
	AA 06 02 03-002A GENERAL CONSTRUCTION SITE WORK									
	AA 06 02 03-002A-01AA General Requirements AA 06 02 03-002A-01AB Relocations AA 06 02 03-002A-01AC Diversion and Care of Wate AA 06 02 03-002A-02AA Demolition and Disposal AA 06 02 03-002A-02AB Earthwork, Excavation & F AA 06 02 03-002A-02AC Asphalt Paving AA 06 02 03-002A-02AA Landscaping AA 06 02 03-002A-03AA Concrete Retaing Wall AA 06 02 03-002A-05AA Fencing		12,279 23,277 90,240 17,463 39,849 44,239 5,523 21,251 3,247	0 9,926 0 4,866 0 0 0	0 0 4,007 0 0 1,964 0 0	0 0 7,214 0 0 3,537 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1,010 0 495 0 0 0	12,279 23,277 112,397 17,463 39,849 55,102 5,523 21,251 3,247	449 4 348 15
A-7	TOTAL GENERAL CONSTRUCTION SITE	WORK	257,368	14,793	5,971	10,751	0	1,505	290,387	
	AA 06 02 03-101- AREA LIGHTING									
	AA 06 02 03-101 168 Area Lighting AA 06 02 03-101 16C Area Ltg Conduit & Wire	21842.00 SF 21842.00 SF	8,018 4,490	882 494	356 199	641 359	0 0	90 50	9,987 5,592	(
	TOTAL AREA LIGHTING	21842.00 SF	12,508	1,376	555	1,000	0	140	15,579	C
•	AA 06 02 03-102- POWER DISTRIBUTION TO BUILDING									
	AA 06 02 03-102 16A Main Service to the Build AA 06 02 03-102 16B Intertie to Hatchery Build		14,104 44,157	1,551 4,857	626 1,961	1,127 3,530	0 0	158 494	17,567 54,999	(137
	TOTAL POWER DISTRIBUTION TO BUIL		58,261	6,409	2,587	4,658	0	652	72,566	3
•	AA 06 02 03-103- COMMUNICATION SYSTEM - ON-SITE	·								
	AA 06 02 03-103 16A Comm. System -On-Site Tele	ephones 21842.00 SF	3,404	374	151	272	• 0	38	4,240	0
	TOTAL COMMUNICATION SYSTEM - ON-	SITE 21842.00 SF	3,404	374	151	272	0	38	4,240	0
	AA 06 02 03-104- EXISTING U/G UTILITIES MOVEMENT	s								
	AA 06 02.03-104 16A Primary Relocation	21842.00 SF	1,250	138	56	100 .	0	14	1,557	0

CREW ID: NAT94A UPB ID: NAT92A

Thu 25 Apr Eff. Date	10/01/96 PROJECT LGWSDM: LOUKINGG	U.S. Army Corr LASS FH, WATER JECT INDIRECT S		ONE WATER	TREATMENT	FACILITY			TIME (SUMMARY P	08:58:33 AGE 2
		QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	HOOH	PROF	PL & PD	BOND	TOTAL COST U	NIT COST
	AA 06 02 03-104 16B Existing Duct Bank Relocation	21842.00 SF	18,433	2,028	818	1,474	0	206	22,959	1.0
	TOTAL EXISTING U/G UTILITIES MOVEMENTS	21842.00 SF	19,684	2,165	874	1,574	0	220	24,517	1.1
	TOTAL SITE WORK		351,225	25,117	10,138	18,254	0	2,555	407,289	
	TOTAL SITE WORK		351,225	25,117	10,138	18,254	0	2,555	407,289	
	AA 06 10 WATER TREATMMENT BUILDING									
	AA 06 10 10 WATER TREATMENT BUILDING			•						
	AA 06 10 10-003A WATER TREATMENT BUILDING									
	AA 06 10 10-003A-03AA Building Concrete AA 06 10 10-003A-05AB Building Structure AA 06 10 10-003A-05AD Grating, Stairs, Handrails AA 06 10 10-003A-15AA Building Mechanical	395.00 CY 10366.00 SF	188,089 308,907 342,132 87,037	0 0 37,634 9,574	0 0 15,191 3,864	0 0 27,351 6,958	0 0 0 0	0 0 3,829 974	188,089 308,907 426,136 108,407	476.1 29.8
		10366.00 SF	926,165	47,209	19,055	34,309	0	4,803	1,031,539	99.5
A-8	TOTAL WATER TREATMENT BUILDING		926,165	47,209	19,055	34,309	Ò	4,803	1,031,539	
	AA 06 10 11 ELECTRIC POWER & LIGHTING									
	AA 06 10 11- 01 ELECTRICAL POWER & LIGHTING									
	AA 06 10 11- 01-16AB Lighting AA 06 10 11- 01-16AC Power and Receptacle Plan AA 06 10 11- 01-16AD Infrared Heaters incl electrical	16705.00 SF 16705.00 SF 16705.00 SF	42,405 24,356 31,607	4,665 2,679 3,477	1,883 1,081 1,403	3,390 1,947 2,527	0 0 0	475 273 354	52,817 30,336 39,368	3.1 1.8 2.3
	TOTAL ELECTRICAL POWER & LIGHTING	16710.00 SF	98,368	10,820	4,368	7,864	0	1,101	122,521	7.3
	TOTAL ELECTRIC POWER & LIGHTING	16710.00 SF	98,368	10,820	4,368	7,864	0	1,101	122,521	7.3
	AA 06 10 12 ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	·								
	AA 06 10 12- 01 ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS									
	AA 06 10 12- 01-16AA Communications AA 06 10 12- 01-16AB Fire Alarm System AA 06 10 12- 01-16AC Grounding AA 06 10 12- 01-16AD Leak Detection Systems	16705.00 SF 16705.00 SF 16705.00 SF 16705.00 SF	1,257 6,327 7,004 4,862	138 696 770 535	56 281 311 216	100 506 560 389	0 0 0 0	14 71 78 54	1,566 7,880 8,724 6,056	0.0 0.4 0.5 0.3
	TOTAL ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS		19,450	2,140	864	1,555	0	218	24,226	
	TOTAL ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	16710.00 SF	19,450	2,140	864	1,555	0	218	24,226	1.4

٠.

CREW ID: NAT94A UPB ID: NAT92A

Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 10/01/96

.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT LGWSDM: LOOKINGGLASS FH, WATER TREATMENT - OZONE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY

	** PR	OJECT INDIRECT	SUMMARY - CSI	ITEM **		THOILIT!			SUMMARY	PAGE 3
		QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	Ноон	PROF	PL & PD	BOND	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST
	TOTAL WATER TREATMMENT BUILDING	16710.00 SF	1,043,983	60,169	24,286	43,727	0	6,121	1,178,286	70.51
	AA 06 11 WATER TREATMENT SYSTEM									
	AA 06 11 05 WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM							•		
	AA 06 11 05-004A MECHANICAL									
	AA 06 11 05-004A-15AB Mechanical Treatment Equipment AA 06 11 05-004A-15AC Modify Existing 42" Water Supply AA 06 11 05-004A-15BA 42" Drain to Lookingglass Creek		4,368,767 33,206 18,666	480,564 3,653 2,053	193,973 1,474 829	349,249 2,655 1,492	0 0 0	48,888 372 209	5,441,441 41,359 23,249	
	TOTAL MECHANICAL		4,420,638	486,270	196,276	353,396	0	49,468	5,506,049	
	TOTAL WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM		4,420,638	486,270	196,276	353,396	0	49,468	5,506,049	
	AA 06 11 1A POWER FEEDS									
D	AA 06 11 1A- 16A PUMPING									
A-9	AA 06 11 1A- 16A- 16A PUMP FEEDERS	6.00 EA	18,395	2,023	817	1,471	0	206	22,912	3818.59
	TOTAL PUMPING	16710.00 SF	18,395	2,023	817	1,471	0	206	22,912	1.37
	AA 06 11 1A- 16B OZONE GENERATION									·
	AA 06 11 1A- 16B- 16A OZONE GENERATOR FEED	4.00 EA	2,019	222	90	161	0	23	2,514	628.59
	TOTAL OZONE GENERATION	16710.00 SF	2,019	222	90	161	0	23	2,514	0.15
	AA 06 11 1A- 16D OZONE REMOVAL									
	AA 06 11 1A- 16D- 16A BLOWERS AND ASSOCIATED ELECT. AA 06 11 1A- 16D- 16B DUCT HEATERS - ELECTRICAL AA 06 11 1A- 16D- 16C MOTORIZED DAMPERS - ELECTRICAL	16710.00 SF 16710.00 SF 16710.00 SF	8,003 6,867 6,747	880 755 742	355 305 300	<u>640</u> 549 539	0 0 0	90 77 75	9,968 8,553 8,403	0.60 0.51 0.50
	TOTAL OZONE REMOVAL	16710.00 SF	21,616	2,378	960	1,728	0	242	26,923	1.61
	AA 06 11 1A- 16E OZONE DESTRUCTION	. ·								
	AA 06 11 1A- 16E- 16A OZONE DESTRUCTOR FEEDS AA 06 11 1A- 16E- 16B OZONE DESTRUCTOR FEED Panelboard	16710.00 SF 16710.00 SF	12,037 2,254	1,324 248	534 100	962 180	0 0	135 25	14,992 2,807	0.90
	TOTAL OZONE DESTRUCTION	16710.00 SF	14,290	1,572	634	1,142	0	160	17,799	1.07
	TOTAL POWER FEEDS	16710.00 SF	56,320	6,195	2,501	4,502	0	630	70,148	4.20

.

.

Thu 25 Apr	1004		U.S. Army Corr	s of Engineers						TIME	08:58:33
Eff. Date	10/01/96		GGLASS FH, WATER	TREATMENT - OZ	ONE WATER 1	TREATMENT I	FACILITY			SUMMARY	PAGE 4
		** P	ROJECT INDIRECT S	SUMMARY - CSI I	TEM **						
			QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	Ноон	PROF	PL & PD	BOND	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST
		FIGTATION MONITOBING EVETENS									
		ELECTRICAL MONITORING SYSTEMS									
		16A PUMPING	5 00 54	25 242	2,779	1,122	2,020	0	283	31,465	6293.02
	AA 06 11 18 AA 06 11 18	- 16A-16AE Flow Sensing Systems - 16A-16AF Annunciation	5.00 EA 6.00 EA	25,262 158	17	7	13	Ŏ	2	196	32.73
		TOTAL PUMPING	6.00 EA	25,420	2,796	1,129	2,032	0	284	31,661	5276.92
	AA 06 11 18	· 16B OZONE GENERATION						2			45070 5/
	AA 06 11 18	- 16B-16AF Annunciation - 16B-16AG Air Monitors in Building	3.00 EA 1.00 EA	38,149 13,570	4,196 1,493	1,694 603	3,050 1,085	0 0	427 152	47,515 16,902	15838.50 16902.05
		TOTAL OZONE GENERATION	4.00 EA	51,719	5,689	2,296	4,135	0	579	64,418	16104.38
	AA 06 11 18	- 16C OZONE INTRODUCTION									
Ъ	AA 06 11 18	- 16C-16AE Ozone Flow Sensing Systems	5.00 EA	29,793	3,277	1,323	2,382	0	333	37,108	7421.51
A-10		TOTAL OZONE INTRODUCTION	16710.00 SF	29,793	3,277	1,323	2,382	0	333	37,108	2.22
	AA 06 11 18	- 16D OZONE REMOVAL					/ 7/0		604	67,277	13455.50
	AA 06 11 15	- 16D-16AF Annunciation	5.00 EA	54,015	5,942	2,398	4,318			67,277	4.03
		TOTAL OZONE REMOVAL	16710.00 SF	54,015	5,942	2,398	4,318	. 0	604	01,211	4.03
	AA 06 11 1	- 16E OZONE DESTRUCTION									
	AA 06 11 1	- 16E-16AF Annunciation	10.00 EA	9,637	1,060	428	770		108	12,003	1200.27
		TOTAL OZONE DESTRUCTION	16710.00 SF	9,637	1,060	428	770	0	108	12,003	0.72
		TOTAL ELECTRICAL MONITORING SYSTEMS	16710.00 SF	170,583	18,764	7,574	13,637	0	1,909	212,467	12.71
	AA 06 11 1	DISTRIBUTED CONTROL SYSTEM									
*	AA 06 11 1	- 001 DISTRIBUTED CONTROL SYSTEM									
	AA 06 11 1 AA 06 11 1 AA 06 11 1	C-001-16A LOCAL OPERATOR INTERFACE C-001-16B REMOTE OPERATOR INTERFACE C-001-16C MAIN CPU and Components C-001-16D Documentation C-001-16E software	16710.00 SF 16710.00 SF 16710.00 SF 16710.00 SF 16710.00 SF 16710.00 SF	4,650 4,650 21,328 119 1,324	512 512 2,346 13 146	206 206 947 5 59	372 372 1,705 10 106	0 0 0 0	52 52 239 1 15	5,792 5,792 26,565 148 1,649	0.35 0.35 1.59 0.01 0.10

CREW ID: NAT94A UPB ID: NAT92A

i 25 Apr 5. Date	10/01/96 PROJECT LGWSDM: LOOK	U.S. AFMY COF INGGLASS FH, WATER PROJECT INDIRECT		ZONE WATER	TREATMENT	FACILITY			TIME SUMMARY I	08:58: PAGE
		QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	Fooh	HOOH	PROF	PL & PD	BOND	TOTAL COST I	UNIT C
	TOTAL DISTRIBUTED CONTROL SYSTEM	16710.00 SF	32,071	3,528	1,424	2,564	0	359	39,946	2
	TOTAL DISTRIBUTED CONTROL SYSTEM	16710.00 SF	32,071	3,528	1,424	2,564	0	359	39,946	2
	TOTAL WATER TREATMENT SYSTEM	16710.00 SF	4,679,613	514,757	207,775	374,099	0	52,366	5,828,610	348
	AA 06 12 MAIN SERVICE SWITCHGEAR			•						
	AA 06 12 01 MAIN SERVICE									
	AA 06 12 01- 01 MAIN SERVICE									
	AA 06 12 01- 01- 1A MAIN SERVICE SWITCHBOARD AA 06 12 01- 01- 1B MOTOR CONTROL CENTERS AA 06 12 01- 01- 1C 480 VOLT PANELBOARDS AA 06 12 01- 01- 1D LOW VOLTAGE TRANSFS & ASSOC AA 06 12 01- 01- 1E LIGHTING PANELBOARDS	16710.00 SF 16710.00 SF 16710.00 SF 16710.00 SF 16710.00 SF 16710.00 SF	28,366 133,495 10,124 12,880 5,596	3,120 14,684 1,114 1,417 616	1,259 5,927 449 572 248	2,268 10,672 809 1,030 447	0 0 0 0 0	317 1,494 113 144 63	35,331 166,272 12,609 16,042 6,970	
	TOTAL MAIN SERVICE	16710.00 SF	190,460	20,951	8,456	15,226	0	2,131	237,224	1
7 7 >	TOTAL MAIN SERVICE	16710.00 SF	190,460	20,951	8,456	15,226	0	2,131	237,224	1
	AA 06 12 18 MOTOR CONTROL CENTERS									
	AA 06 12 18- 16A MOTOR CONTROL CENTER NO. 1									
	AA 06 12 18- 16A-16AG MCC	16705.00 SF	35,171	3,869	1,562	2,812	0	394	.43,806	:
	TOTAL MOTOR CONTROL CENTER NO. 1	16710.00 SF	35,171	3,869	1,562	2,812	0	394	43,806	:
	AA 06 12 18- 168 MOTOR CONTROL CENTER NO. 2									
	AA 06 12 1B- 16B-16AG MCC	16705.00 SF	31,577	3,473	1,402	2,524	0	353	39,330	2
	TOTAL MOTOR CONTROL CENTER NO. 2	16710.00 SF	31,577	3,473	1,402	2,524	0	353	39,330	ä
	TOTAL MOTOR CONTROL CENTERS	16710.00 SF	66,747	7,342	2,964	5,336	0	747	83,136	.4
	TOTAL MAIN SERVICE SWITCHGEAR	16710.00 SF	257,207	28,293	11,420	20,562	0	2,878	320,360	19
	AA 06 13 EMERGENCY POWER SOURCES-ELECT									
	AA 06 13 01 ENGINE GENERATORS & ASSOC.									

AA 06 13 01- 01 ENGINE GENERATORS & ASSOC.

(hu 25 Apr	1996	U.S. Army Corp	s of Engineers	5					TIME	08:58:33
ff. Date	10/01/96 PROJECT LGWSDM: LOOKING	GLASS FH, WATER Oject indirect s			TREATMENT	FACILITY			SUMMARY F	PAGE (
		QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	HOOH	PROF	PL & PD	BOND	TOTAL COST L	JNIT COS
	AA 06 13 01- 01- 1A ENGINE GENERATORS & ASSOC.	16710.00 SF	263,826	29, 021 ·	11,714	21,091	0	2,952	.328,604	19.6
	TOTAL ENGINE GENERATORS & ASSOC.	16710.00 SF	263,826	29,021	11,714	21,091	0	2,952	328,604	19.6
	TOTAL ENGINE GENERATORS & ASSOC.	16710.00 SF	263,826	29,021	11,714	21,091	0	2,952	328,604	19.6
	TOTAL EMERGENCY POWER SOURCES-ELECT	16710.00 SF	263,826	29,021	11,714	21,091	ò	2,952	328,604	19.6
	AA 06 16 DWELLING FACILITIES									
	AA 06 16 01 DWELLING FACILITIES				•					
	AA 06 16 01-001A Dwelling Facilities									
	AA 06 16 01-001A-01AA House, Furnishings, and Garage AA 06 16 01-001A-02AA Site Work, Roadway & Driveway AA 06 16 01-001A-15AA Plumbing & Mechanical AA 06 16 01-001A-16AA Residence Elec-Power Co Service AA 06 16 01-001A-16AB Res Elect-Emerg Source Ext. Work	1560.00 SF 3540.00 SF	93,668 13,493 9,874 15,473 11,807	0 1,484 1,086 1,702 1,299	0 599 438 687 524	0 1,079 789 1,237 944	0 0 0 0	0 151 110 173 132	93,668 16,806 12,299 19,273 14,706	60.(4.)
A-12	TOTAL Dwelling Facilities		144,315	5,571	2,249	4,049	0	567	156,751	
12	TOTAL DWELLING FACILITIES		144,315	5,571	2,249	4,049	0	567	156,751	
	TOTAL DWELLING FACILITIES		144,315	5,571	2,249	4,049	0	567	156,751	
	TOTAL FISH AND WILDLIFE		6,740,169	662,928	267,582	481,781	0	67,439	8,219,899	
	TOTAL WATER TREATMENT FACILITY		6,740,169	662,928	267,582	481,781	0	67,439	8,219,899	
	TOTAL LOOKINGGLASS FH, WATER TREATMENT	г. 1.00 ЕА	6,740,169	662,928	267,582	481,781	0	67,439	8,219,899	821989

.

.

CREW ID: NAT94A UPB ID: NAT92A

SUBTOTAL - ALL CONTRACTS - BFL56

**** TOTAL PROJECT COST SUMMARY ****

PAGE 1 OF 4

.

				1017	AL PROJECT COST SU					PAGE 1	01-4			
Fall Chinook Temporary Facilities : Washington & Idaho States														
CURRENT MCACES ESTIM EFFECTIVE PRICING	= = = = = ATE PREPARE LEVEL: 1 OC1	= = = = = D: 18 AP 96	= = = RIL 96	* = = = =	•									
	COST (\$K) = = = = = =	CNTG (\$K) = = = = = =	CNTĠ (%) = = = =	TOTAL (\$K)	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	TOTAL (\$K)	SPENT THRU FY 95	COST (\$K)	ĊNTG (\$K)	FULL (\$K)			
FISH HATCHERY GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES	2,953 519	784 165	27% 32%	3,737 684	3,008 527	798 165	3,806 692		3,037 531	805 166	3,842 697			
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = =	3,472	949	27%	4,421	3,535	963	4,498		3,568	971	4,539			
ANDS AND DAMAGES	165	34	21%	199	 169	35	204	28	169	35	232			
CULTURAL RESOURCES	7	3	40%	10	. 7	3	10		7	3	10			
PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	739	195	26%	934	753	199	952		760	201	961			
	444	117	26%	561	452	119	571	1	456	120	576			
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS = = = = = =	4,827	1,298	27%	6,125	4,916	1,319	6,235	28	4,960	1,330	6,318			
							TOTAL FED	ERAL COSTS = = = = =		===>	6,318			
HIS TPCS REFLECTS A PROJECT COS	ST CHANGE ()F \$					TOTAL NO	N-FEDERAL COSTS = = =		= = >				
DISTRICT APPROVED:		~~~		~~~~			NUM PROJE	CT COST IS = = = = = =	= = > \$	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~				
		ING				DIVISION A	PPROVED:							
								CHIEF, COST ENGIN	IEERING					
						. <u> </u>		DIRECTOR, REAL ES	STATE		,			
			•					CHIEF, PROGRAMS	MANAGEME	NT				
)					
			_			APPROVED	DATE:	<u></u>						
		IAGEMEN	F											
PROJECT N	ANAGER					:								
	CURRENT MCACES ESTIM EFFECTIVE PRICING EATURE DESCRIPTION EISH HATCHERY GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = = ANDS AND DAMAGES CULTURAL RESOURCES PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT TOTAL PROJECT COSTS = = = = = COTAL PROJECT COSTS = = = = = = COTAL PROJECT COSTS = = = = = = CHIST TPCS REFLECTS A PROJECT COST CONSTRUCT APPROVED: CHIEF, COST CHIEF, REA CHIEF, PLA CHIEF, PRO	Fall Chinook Temporary Facilities Washington & Idaho States CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARE EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT COST CANDE DESCRIPTION (\$K) FISH HATCHERY SOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES SOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = ANDS AND DAMAGES 165 CULTURAL RESOURCES 7 PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN 739 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT 444 TOTAL PROJECT COSTS = = = = = 4.827	Fall Chinook Temporary Facilities Washington & Idaho States CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 AP EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 96 COST CNTG FEATURE DESCRIPTION (\$K) FISH HATCHERY 2,953 784 GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES 519 165 GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES 519 165 COTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = 3,472 949 ANDS AND DAMAGES 165 34 CULTURAL RESOURCES 7 3 PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN 739 195 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT 444 117 OTAL PROJECT COSTS = = = = = 4,827 1,298 HIS TPCS REFLECTS A PROJECT COST CHANGE OF \$ 11298 HIS TPCS REFLECTS A PROJECT COST CHANGE OF \$ 57	Fall Chinook Temporary Facilities Washington & Idaho States CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 96 COST CNTG EATURE DESCRIPTION (\$K) (\$K) (\$K) GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES 519 TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = 3,472 949 27% ANDS AND DAMAGES 165 165 34 21100 21% CULTURAL RESOURCES 7 3 40% PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN 739 200TAL PROJECT COSTS = = = = 4,827 1,298 27% COTAL PROJECT COSTS = = = = = 4,827 1,298 27% CHIEF, COST ENGINEERING CHIEF, REAL ESTATE CHIEF, REAL ESTATE CHIEF, OPERATIONS CHIEF, OPERATIONS CHIEF, OPERATIONS CHIEF, OPERATIONS CHIEF, PROGRAMS MANAGEMENT	THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE Fail Chinook Temporary Facilities Washington & Idaho States CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 96 COST COST </td <td>THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE IS Fall Chinook Temporary Facilities Washington & Idaho States CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 AUTHORIZ, JOUGE EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OC 796 COST CNTG CNTG TOTAL COST CNTG TOTAL OCTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = - 3,472 949 27% 4,421 3,535 COTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = - 3,472 949 27% 6,125 4,916 COTAL CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT COTAL PROJECT COSTS = - - - - - - <td>THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT 'T Fail Chinook Temporary Facilities Washington & Idaho States CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 AUTHORIZ,BUDGET VER: 19 EFFECTIVE PRICINC LEVEL: 1 OCT 96 COST CNTG CNTG TOTAL CATG CNTG CNTG CATG (\$K) COST CNTG CNTG CATG (\$K) ISH HATCHERY SOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES 519 165 3,472 949 CONSTRUCTION COSTS = = 3,472 949 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT CON</td><td>Fail Chinook Temporary Facilities THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT *RECONNAIS DISTRICT Washingtons idaho States District P.O.C.1 CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 96 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 96 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG TUTION COSTS = 3,472 ANDS AND DAMAGES 165 34 21% 199 169 35 204 ANDS AND DAMAGES 165 34 21% 199 169 35 204 ANDS AND DAMAGES 166 34 21% 199 169 35 204 ANDS AND DAMAGES 166 34 21% 199 169 35 204 ANDS AND DAMAGES 165 26% 934 753 199 952 COTAL PROJECT COSTS == = = 4,827 1,298 27% 6,12</td><td>THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT "RECONNAISSANCE" REPORT, DATE DISTRICT Value Value Washingtone idade States CONTRUCTION CONCEPT "RECONNAISSANCE" REPORT, DATE DISTRICT Value Value DISTRICT Value Value EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 98 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 98 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST EATURE DESCRIPTION CONT CARE TOTAL (\$K) CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 98 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 EATURE DESCRIPTION AUTHORIZ./BUDGET VEAR: 1998 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 TOTAL (\$K) FULLY FUNDED EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 (\$K) FULLY FUNDED EFFECT.</td><td>THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT "RECONNAISSANCE" REPORT, DATED: 18 APRIL Pail Chinock Temporary Pacifices Fail Chinock Temporary Pacifices CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 10 CT 98 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 10 CT 97 ECT 00 CNTG TOTAL EATURE DESCRIPTION CURT CNTG TOTAL (1%) CURT CNTG COST S == 3.472 S4 TOTAL CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT 4.421 3.3008 7.53 3.906 3.907 3.907</td><td>THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT "RECONALISSANCE" REPORT, DATED: 18 APRIL 96 Vasimington & Idaho States Vasimington & Idaho States CURRENT MCACESE ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 CURRENT MCACESE ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 AUTHORIZ, HUNGET VEAR, 1989 ISTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 CURRENT CALL STATE COST CNTG CNTG CNTG CNTG COST CNTG COST CNTG (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K)</td></td>	THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE IS Fall Chinook Temporary Facilities Washington & Idaho States CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 AUTHORIZ, JOUGE EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OC 796 COST CNTG CNTG TOTAL COST CNTG TOTAL OCTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = - 3,472 949 27% 4,421 3,535 COTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = - 3,472 949 27% 6,125 4,916 COTAL CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT COTAL PROJECT COSTS = - - - - - - <td>THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT 'T Fail Chinook Temporary Facilities Washington & Idaho States CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 AUTHORIZ,BUDGET VER: 19 EFFECTIVE PRICINC LEVEL: 1 OCT 96 COST CNTG CNTG TOTAL CATG CNTG CNTG CATG (\$K) COST CNTG CNTG CATG (\$K) ISH HATCHERY SOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES 519 165 3,472 949 CONSTRUCTION COSTS = = 3,472 949 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT CON</td> <td>Fail Chinook Temporary Facilities THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT *RECONNAIS DISTRICT Washingtons idaho States District P.O.C.1 CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 96 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 96 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG TUTION COSTS = 3,472 ANDS AND DAMAGES 165 34 21% 199 169 35 204 ANDS AND DAMAGES 165 34 21% 199 169 35 204 ANDS AND DAMAGES 166 34 21% 199 169 35 204 ANDS AND DAMAGES 166 34 21% 199 169 35 204 ANDS AND DAMAGES 165 26% 934 753 199 952 COTAL PROJECT COSTS == = = 4,827 1,298 27% 6,12</td> <td>THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT "RECONNAISSANCE" REPORT, DATE DISTRICT Value Value Washingtone idade States CONTRUCTION CONCEPT "RECONNAISSANCE" REPORT, DATE DISTRICT Value Value DISTRICT Value Value EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 98 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 98 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST EATURE DESCRIPTION CONT CARE TOTAL (\$K) CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 98 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 EATURE DESCRIPTION AUTHORIZ./BUDGET VEAR: 1998 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 TOTAL (\$K) FULLY FUNDED EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 (\$K) FULLY FUNDED EFFECT.</td> <td>THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT "RECONNAISSANCE" REPORT, DATED: 18 APRIL Pail Chinock Temporary Pacifices Fail Chinock Temporary Pacifices CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 10 CT 98 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 10 CT 97 ECT 00 CNTG TOTAL EATURE DESCRIPTION CURT CNTG TOTAL (1%) CURT CNTG COST S == 3.472 S4 TOTAL CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT 4.421 3.3008 7.53 3.906 3.907 3.907</td> <td>THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT "RECONALISSANCE" REPORT, DATED: 18 APRIL 96 Vasimington & Idaho States Vasimington & Idaho States CURRENT MCACESE ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 CURRENT MCACESE ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 AUTHORIZ, HUNGET VEAR, 1989 ISTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 CURRENT CALL STATE COST CNTG CNTG CNTG CNTG COST CNTG COST CNTG (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K)</td>	THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT 'T Fail Chinook Temporary Facilities Washington & Idaho States CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 AUTHORIZ,BUDGET VER: 19 EFFECTIVE PRICINC LEVEL: 1 OCT 96 COST CNTG CNTG TOTAL CATG CNTG CNTG CATG (\$K) COST CNTG CNTG CATG (\$K) ISH HATCHERY SOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES 519 165 3,472 949 CONSTRUCTION COSTS = = 3,472 949 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT CON	Fail Chinook Temporary Facilities THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT *RECONNAIS DISTRICT Washingtons idaho States District P.O.C.1 CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 96 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 96 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG CNTG CNTG LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST CNTG TUTION COSTS = 3,472 ANDS AND DAMAGES 165 34 21% 199 169 35 204 ANDS AND DAMAGES 165 34 21% 199 169 35 204 ANDS AND DAMAGES 166 34 21% 199 169 35 204 ANDS AND DAMAGES 166 34 21% 199 169 35 204 ANDS AND DAMAGES 165 26% 934 753 199 952 COTAL PROJECT COSTS == = = 4,827 1,298 27% 6,12	THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT "RECONNAISSANCE" REPORT, DATE DISTRICT Value Value Washingtone idade States CONTRUCTION CONCEPT "RECONNAISSANCE" REPORT, DATE DISTRICT Value Value DISTRICT Value Value EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 98 EFFECTIVE PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 98 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 COST EATURE DESCRIPTION CONT CARE TOTAL (\$K) CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 98 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 EATURE DESCRIPTION AUTHORIZ./BUDGET VEAR: 1998 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 TOTAL (\$K) FULLY FUNDED EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 97 (\$K) FULLY FUNDED EFFECT.	THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT "RECONNAISSANCE" REPORT, DATED: 18 APRIL Pail Chinock Temporary Pacifices Fail Chinock Temporary Pacifices CURRENT MCACES ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 10 CT 98 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 10 CT 97 ECT 00 CNTG TOTAL EATURE DESCRIPTION CURT CNTG TOTAL (1%) CURT CNTG COST S == 3.472 S4 TOTAL CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT 4.421 3.3008 7.53 3.906 3.907 3.907	THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT "RECONALISSANCE" REPORT, DATED: 18 APRIL 96 Vasimington & Idaho States Vasimington & Idaho States CURRENT MCACESE ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 CURRENT MCACESE ESTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 AUTHORIZ, HUNGET VEAR, 1989 ISTIMATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 CURRENT CALL STATE COST CNTG CNTG CNTG CNTG COST CNTG COST CNTG (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K) (4K)			

DDE (PM)

.

	INTRACT 1		**** TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUMMARY ****											OF 4
ROJECT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	THIS ESTIM	ATE IS BA	SED ON	THE SCOPE	CONTAINED	IN THE C	CONCEPT "F	RECONNAISS DISTRICT:	SANCE" REPO Walla Walla KIM CALLAN,	ORT, DATED	: 18 APRIL	96	
* * *	CURRENT MCACES ESTIM/ EFFECTIVE PRICING	ATE PREPARED: 18 APRIL 96 AUTHORIZ./BUDGET YEAR: 1998 FULLY FUNDED ESTIMA LEVEL: 1 OCT 96 EFFECT. PRICING LEVEL: 1 OCT 98											= = = = = 	
CCOUN JMBER	FEATURE DESCRIPTION	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	CNTG (%)	TOTAL (\$K)	OMB (%)	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	TOTAL (\$K)	FEATURE MID PT	OMB (%)	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	FULL (\$K)
= = = 5.2	Acclimation & Release Facilities - Idaho Pittsburg Landing 16 Tank Farm w/ 2 Hydrocylones - Doe LETTER SUPPLEMENT #13	State 919	276	30%		1	919	276	= = = = = = 1,195	= = = = = = 1 QTR 96 	¥ = = 5 #	919	276	1,195
	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = =	919	276	30%	1,195		919	276	1,195	 		919	276	1,195
	LANDS AND DAMAGES									1 OTR 96				
	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	138	41	30%	179		138	41	179	1 QTR 96		138	41	179
	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN PM, Planning, Contracting, EDC	92	28	30%	120		92	28	120	1 QTR 96		92	28	120
 ∼	CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	138	41	30%	179		138	41	179	1 QTR 96		138	41	179
-1 4	TOTAL COSTS ========	1,287	386	30%	1,673		1,287	386	1,673			1,287	386	1,673
.2 .2	Pittsburg Landing GOVERNMENT FURNISH MATERIALS GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES	152 45	76 23	50% 50%			152 45	76 23	228 68	 1 QTR 96 1 QTR 96		152 45	76 23	228
	TOTAL WDFW GFS COSTS = = = = =	197	99		296		197	99	296			197	99	296

----BFL56 CONTRACT 2

**** TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUMMARY ****

PAGE 3 OF 4

	UNTRACT 2	**** TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUMMARY ****												PAGE 3 OF 4		
	i an annound y ruomitios	THIS ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE SCOPE CONTAINED IN THE CONCEPT "RECONNAISSANCE" REPORT, DATED: 18 APRIL DISTRICT: Walla Walla P.O.C.: KIM CALLAN, CHIEF, COST ENGINEE														
	CURRENT MCACES ESTIM			= = = RIL 96	~ = = = =		IZ./BUDGET			I						
	FEATURE DESCRIPTION	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	CNTG (%)	TOTAL (\$K)	OMB (%)	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	TOTAL (\$K)	FEATURE MID PT	ОМВ (%)	COST {\$K}	CNTG (\$K)	FULL (\$K)		
06.2	Acclimation & Release Facilities - Idaho Clearwater River, Big Canyon Site 16 Tank Farm w/ 2 Hydrocylones - Doo Interim Report Supplement, R. M. 35	994	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	= = = 25% r the Ind	= = = = = 1,243 ans to Oper	= = = = 2.7% ate the fac 	= = = = = = = 1,021 ilities.	255	= = = = = 1,276	= = = = = 3 QTR 97 		1,021	255	= = = = = 1,276		
	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = =	994	249	25%	1,243	 	1,021	255	1,276	 	<u> </u>	1,021	255	1,276		
01	LANDS AND DAMAGES	12	4	30%	16 .	 2.7%	12	4	16	 3 QTR 96	-2.6%	12	4	16		
18	CULTURAL RESOURCES	7	3	40%	10	2.7%	7	3	10	 1 QTR 99	5.5%	7	3	10		
30	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	149	37	25%	186	2.7%	153	38	191	 3 QTR 97		153	38	191		
³⁰ >	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN PM, Planning, Contracting, EDC	99	25	25%	124	2.7%	102	26	128	3 QTR 97		102	26	128		
31 <u>-'-</u> נדו	CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	149	37	25%	186	2.7%	153	38	191	3 QTR 97		153	38	191		
	TOTAL COSTS = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	1,410	354	25%	1,764		1,448	364	1,812			1,448	364	1,812		
)6.2)6.2	Clearwater River, Big Canyon Site GOVERNMENT FURNISH MATERIALS GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES	124 37	25 8	20% 20%	149 45	,2.7% 2.7%	127 38	25 8	152 46	3 QTR 97 3 QTR 97		127 38	25 8	152 46		

BFL56 CONTRACT	3
----------------	---

**** TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUMMARY ****

.

PAGE 4 OF 4

•

FL56 CON	NTRACT 3								••••••					
PROJECT: OCATION	Fall Chinook Temporary Facilities	THIS ESTIM	ATE IS BAS	SED ON T		CONTAINED		ONCEPT "R	DISTRICT:	SANCE" REPO Walla Walla IM CALLAN, S	CHIEF, COS'	T ENGINEER = = = = = =	RING = = = = = = =	= = = = =
		E PREPARE	D: 18 APF	RIL 96				YEAR: 199 VEL: 1 OCT		FULL'	Y FUNDED E	STIMATE		
	EFFECTIVE PRICING LE FEATURE DESCRIPTION	COST (\$K)	96 CNTG (\$K)	CNTG (%)	TOTAL (\$K)	OMB (%)	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	TOTAL (\$K)	FEATURE MID PT	OMB (%)	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	FULL (\$K)
				= = =		====				=====				
06.2	Acclimation & Release Facilities - Washir Snake River, Captain John Rapids Site 16 Tank Farm w/ 2 Hydrocylones - Does Interim Report Supplement, R. M. 166	1.040	260 the cost fo	25% r the Indi	1,300 ans to Oper	2.7% ate the faci	1,068 Ilities.	267	1,335	3 QTR 98 	2.7%	1,097	274	1,371
	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = =	1,040	260	25%	1,300		1,068	267	1,335		·	1,097	274	1,37
)1	LANDS AND DAMAGES	153	31	20%	184	. 2.7%	157	31	188	2 QTR 97		157	31	18
30	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	157	39	25%	196	2.7%	161	40	201	3 QTR 98	2.7%	165	41	20
10	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	104	25	25%	129	2.7%	107	26	133	3 OTR 98	2.7%	110	27	13
31	PM, Planning, Contracting, EDC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	157	39	25%	196	2.7%	161	40	201	3 QTR 98	2.7%	165	41	20
A-16	TOTAL COSTS = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	1,611	394	24%	2,005		1,654	404	2,058			1,694	414	2,10
	Snake River, Captain John Rapids Site	104	25	20%	149	 2.7%	127	25	152	 3 QTR 98	2.7%	130	26	15
06.2 06.2	GOVERNMENT FURNISH MATERIALS GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES	124 37	8		45	2.7%	38	8	46	3 QTR 98	2.7%	39	8	47
	TOTAL WDFW GFS COSTS = = = = =	161	33		194		165	33	198			169	34	203

.

Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 12/29/93

A-17

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT BIGCAN: BIG CANYON CREEK, IDAHO - TEMPORARY FALL CHINOOK ACCLIMA-Estimate 4/9/1996 TIME 13:51:04

TITLE PAGE 1

BIG CANYON CREEK, IDAHO TEMPORARY FALL CHINOOK ACCLIMA-TION FACILITY-BIG CANYON CREEK, NEZ PERCE COUNTY, IDAHO --- FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY ---

Designed By: Corps Eng/Design Division Estimated By: JESUS BARRIOS

Prepared By: WALLA WALLA DIST COST ENG KIM CALLAN, Chief, Cost Eng

Preparation Date: 04/09/96 Effective Date of Pricing: 12/29/93 Est Construction Time: 120 Days

Sales Tax: 5.00%

This report is not copyrighted, but the information contained herein is For Official Use Only.

M C A C E S G O L D E D I T I O N Composer GOLD Software Copyright (c) 1985-1994 by Building Systems Design, Inc. Release 5.30A

Currency in DOLLARS

Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 12/29/93 PROJECT NOTES

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT BIGCAN: BIG CANYON CREEK, IDAHO - TEMPORARY FALL CHINOOK ACCLIMA-Estimate 4/9/1996

TITLE PAGE 2

This estimate consists of projected costs for construction of the Temporary Fall Chinook Acclimation Facility - Big Canyon Creek, Nez Perce County, Idaho. The site is located on the west bank of Big Canyon Creek at River Mile 35. The site is nearby the town of Peck, Idaho. Access is via Hwy 12, then local road to Peck.

a. All construction work will be contained in approximately 35,000. square feet.

b. The site is not level and will require placement of fill material (sand or gravel) and timber cribs to level the tanks and provide support for the pumps, distribution boxes, etc.

c. Support facilities will consist of camping trailers, walk-in storage containers, & emergency lighting. The camping trailers are to be set up adjacent to the tank site in the same general vicinity. The camping trailers are to house 2-4 workers during the time the facility is operating (approximately 2 months). Pumps will be leased. Emergency lighting will be mounted on trailers and used to illuminate the facilities for emergencies.

d. The fish will be placed in the tanks on or about March 1, 1997, held in the tanks until mid-April, then released into the river. When all the fish have been released, the facility components will be disassembled, loaded onto tractor/trailers, hauled to a storage area, and stored until the following year. Operation and Maintenance costs will be the responsibility of the US Fish and Wildlife Service.

The contractor shall commence work under this contract within 10 calendar days of receiving the notice to proceed, prosecute said work diligently, and complete the entire work ready for use not later than 15 February 1998.

This work is not to be performed by a Contractor under the Small Business Administration 8a program.

Equipment rates from EP 1110-1-8, Aug 93.

Labor rates, Davis Bacon, State of Idaho, Revision 01, Date: 3/29/1996

Basis of design: DM #1, Letter Supplement #13, Lower Snake River Fish and Wildlife Compensation Plan, 17 Aug 95.

Thu 25 Apr 1 Eff. Date 1		PROJECT BIGCAN:	BIG CANYON CREEK,	ps of Engineer: IDAHO - TEMPOR 4/9/1996	S Ary fall Ci	HINOOK ACC	LIMA-				E 13:51:0
		*	* PROJECT INDIRECT	SUMMARY - CSI	ITEM **					SUMMARY	PAGE
			CHANTITY HOM	TOTAL DIRECT	Fooh	HOOH	PROF	OTHR TAX	BOND	TOTAL COST	UNIT CO
	AA BIG CANYON CREEK	, IDAHO	· ·								
	AA 06 FISH AND WILD	LIFE FACILITIES									
	AA 06 02 FISH HATCH	ERY (INCL TRAP/REL)		·							
	AA 06 02 69 REARING	AND HOLDING PONDS									
	AA 06 02 69-001- FU	RNISH ALL ITEMS & EQUIPMENT									
A-19	AA 06 02 69-00115A AA 06 02 69-00115A AA 06 02 69-00115B AA 06 02 69-00115D AA 06 02 69-00115D AA 06 02 69-00115F AA 06 02 69-00115F AA 06 02 69-00115G AA 06 02 69-00116A	B Demobilization A Site Prep - Gravel/Level Sur A Netting over Tanks A 6" Dia Intake Pipe Line B Distribution Box W/2 Packed C Hydrocyclone D 20' Round Tanks, 5' high E 8", 6" & 4" Dia. Supply Pipe F 8" Dia Release Pipe G Rental Pumps, Storage, Light A Electrical	20000.00 SF 1330.00 LF Colm 2.00 EA 4.00 EA 16.00 EA 850.00 LF 1835.00 LF Plt 12.00 WK 16.00 EA	5,537 1,976 21,778 8,474 48,918 49,200 51,120 208,462 21,539 49,859 13,252 65,390	830 296 3,267 1,271 7,338 7,380 7,668 31,269 3,231 7,479 1,988 9,808	446 159 1,753 682 3,938 3,961 4,115 16,781 1,734 4,014 1,067 5,264	681 243 2,680 1,043 6,019 6,054 6,290 25,651 2,650 6,135 1,631 8,046		102 36 401 156 901 906 941 3,837 396 918 244 1,204	70,135 286,002 29,551 68,404 18,181 89,712	45. 0. 50. 33750. 17533. 17875. 34. 37. 1515.0
	N (1997)	AL FURNISH ALL ITEMS & EQUIPMEN	ſ	545,505	81,826	43,913	67,124	0	10,042	748,410	
		RNISH TECHNICAL REPRESENTATIVE		70 700			A (A)				
		A Furnish Technical Representa AL FURNISH TECHNICAL REPRESENTA		78,700 	11,805	6,335	9,684		1,449	107,973	71.
	AA 06 02 69-003- PO		1145	35,000	11,805 5,250	6,335 2,818	9,684 4,307	0	1,449 644	107,973	
		SASSEMBLE, TRANSPORT, & STORE		33,000	3,230	2,010	4,501	Ū	044	48,019	
	AA 06 02 69-00401AA AA 06 02 69-00401AB AA 06 02 69-00401AB AA 06 02 69-00401AB AA 06 02 69-00405AA AA 06 02 69-00415AA AA 06 02 69-00415CA AA 06 02 69-00415CC AA 06 02 69-00415CC	Mobilization Demobilization Transport/Store at Lyon's Fer Site Prep - Gravel/Level Surf Netting over Tanks 6" Dia Intake Pipe Line Distribution Box W/2 Packed C Hydrocyclone 20' Round Tanks, 4' high 8", 6" & 4" Dia. Supply Pipe 8" Dia Release Pipe	face 650.00 CY 20000.00 SF 1330.00 LF Colm 2.00 EA 4.00 EA 16.00 EA	1,976 6,597 19,260 2,928 1,028 1,028 1,318 809 1,625 17,108 1,388 1,388 1,355 9,734	296 990 2,889 439 154 198 121 244 2,566 208 185 1,460	159 531 1,550 236 83 106 65 131 1,377 112 99 784	243 812 2,370 360 127 162 100 200 2,105 171 152 1,198		36 121 355 54 19 24 15 30 315 26 23 179	2,711 9,051 26,424 4,017 1,411 1,808 1,110 2,230 23,471 1,905 1,694 13,355	26423.8 6.1 0.0 1.3 555.2 557.5 1466.9 2.2 834.6

٠,

Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 12/29/93

.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT BIGCAN: BIG CANYON CREEK, IDAHO - TEMPORARY FALL CHINOOK ACCLIMA-Estimate 4/9/1996 ** PROJECT INDIRECT SUMMARY - CSI ITEM **

TIME 13:51:04

SUMMARY PAGE 2

	** 96	ROJECT INDIRECT	SUMMARY - CSI	ITEM **					JOHINKI	
		QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	HOOH	PROF	OTHR TAX	BOND	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST
	TOTAL DISASSEMBLE, TRANSPORT, & STORE		65,007	9,751	5,233	7,999	0	1,197	89,187	
	TOTAL REARING AND HOLDING PONDS	16.00 EA	724,212	108,632	58,299	89,114	0	13,331	993,588	62099.20
	TOTAL FISH HATCHERY (INCL TRAP/REL)		724,212	108,632	58,299	89,114	0	13,331	993,588	
	TOTAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FACILITIES	1.00 EA	724,212	108,632	58,299	89,114	0	13,331	993,588	993588.1
	TOTAL BIG CANYON CREEK, IDAHO	1.00 EA	724,212	108,632	58,299	89,114	0	13,331	993,588	993588.1
	BB GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIALS									
	BB 06 FISH AND WILDLIFE FACILITIES	•								
	BB 06 02 FISH HATCHERY (INCL TRAP/REL)									
	BB 06 02 69 REARING AND HOLDING PONDS									
	BB 06 02 69-001- Furnish Trailer - Utility	•								
A-20	BB 06 02 69-00110AA Furnish Trailer - Utility	1.00 EA	8,000	0	0	0	0	0	8,000	8000.0
00	TOTAL Furnish Trailer - Utility	1.00 EA	8,000	0	•0		0	0	8,000	8000.0
	BB 06 02 69-002- Furnish Trailers- Living									
	BB 06 02 69-00210AA Furnish Trailers- Living	2.00 EA	50,000	0	0	0	0	0	50,000	
	TOTAL Furnish Trailers- Living	2.00 EA	50,000	0	0	0	0	0	50,000	25000.00
	BB 06 02 69-003- Furnish Pickup Trucks 1/4 ton							_		
	BB 06 02 69-00310AA Furnish Pickup Trucks 1/4 ton	2.00 EA	36,000	0	0	0		0	36,000	
	TOTAL Furnish Pickup Trucks 1/4 ton	2.00 EA	36,000	0		0		0	36,000	18000.00
	BB 06 02 69-004- Furnish Pickup Truck 3/4 ton									70000 0
	BB 06 02 69-00410AA Furnish Pickup Truck 3/4 ton	1.00 EA	30,000	0	0	0		0	30,000	
	TOTAL Furnish Pickup Truck 3/4 ton	1.00 EA	30,000	0	0	0	0	0	30,000	
	TOTAL REARING AND HOLDING PONDS	16.00 EA	124,000	0	0	0	0	0	124,000	
	TOTAL FISH HATCHERY (INCL TRAP/REL)		124,000	0	0	0	0	0	124,000	

Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 12/29/93

A-21

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT BIGCAN: BIG CANYON CREEK, IDAHO - TEMPORARY FALL CHINOOK ACCLIMA-TIME 13:51:04 Estimate 4/9/1996 SUMMARY PAGE 3 ** PROJECT INDIRECT SUMMARY - CSI ITEM ** -----_____ QUANTITY UON TOTAL DIRECT FOOH HOOH PROF OTHR TAX BOND TOTAL COST UNIT COST -----. TOTAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FACILITIES 1.00 EA 124,000 0 0 0 0 0 124,000 124000.00 TOTAL GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIALS 124,000 0 0 0 0 0 124,000 TOTAL BIG CANYON CREEK, IDAHO 1.00 MO 848,212 108,632 58,299 89,114 0 13,331 1,117,588 1117588 Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 04/22/96

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT CAPTAI: CAPTAIN JOHN RAPIDS, WASHINGTON - TEMPORARY FALL CHINOOK ACCLIMA-Estimate 4/9/1996

TIME 13:52:19

TITLE PAGE 1

CAPTAIN JOHN RAPIDS, WASHINGTON TEMPORARY FALL CHINOOK ACCLIMA-TION FACILITY-CAPTAIN JOHN RAPIDS, ASOTIN COUNTY, WA --- FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY ---

Designed By: Corps Eng/Design Division Estimated By: JESUS BARRIOS

Prepared By: WALLA WALLA DIST COST ENG KIM CALLAN, Chief, Cost Eng

Preparation Date: 04/22/96 Effective Date of Pricing: 04/22/96 Est Construction Time: 120 Days

7.90% Sales Tax:

This report is not copyrighted, but the information contained herein is For Official Use Only.

MCACES GOLD EDITION Composer GOLD Software Copyright (c) 1985-1994 by Building Systems Design, Inc. Release 5.30A

Currency in DOLLARS

Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 04/22/96 PROJECT NOTES

1-23

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT CAPTAI: CAPTAIN JOHN RAPIDS, WASHINGTON - TEMPORARY FALL CHINOOK ACCLIMA-Estimate 4/9/1996

TIME 13:52:19

TITLE PAGE 2

This estimate consists of projected costs for construction of the Temporary Fall Chinook Acclimation Facility - Captain John Rapids, Asotin County, WA

a. All construction work will be contained in approximately 35,000. square feet.

b. The site is not level and will require placement of fill material (sand or gravel) and timber cribs to level the tanks and provide support for the pumps, distribution boxes, etc.

c. Support facilities will consist of camping trailers, walk-in storage containers, & emergency lighting. The camping trailers are to be set up adjacent to the tank site in the same general vicinity. The camping trailers are to house 2-4 workers during the time the facility is operating (approximately 2 months). Pumps will be leased. Emergency lighting will be mounted on trailers and used to illuminate the facilities for emergencies.

d. The fish will be placed in the tanks on or about March 1, 1998, held in the tanks until mid-April, then released into the river. When all the fish have been released, the facility components will be disassembled, loaded onto tractor/trailers, hauled to a storage area, and stored until the following year. Operation and Maintenance costs will be the responsibility of the US Fish and Wildlife Service.

The contractor shall commence work under this contract within 10 calendar days of receiving the notice to proceed, prosecute said work diligently, and complete the entire work ready for use not later than 15 February 1998.

This estimate has provisions for Monthly Anticipated Adverse Weather Delays as specified in the contract clauses.

This work is not to be performed by a Contractor under the Small Business Administration 8a program.

Equipment rates from EP 1110-1-8, Aug 93.

Labor rates, Davis Bacon, "Eastern Washington", Revision 11, Date: 12/13/1995

Basis of design: DM #1, Letter Supplement #13, Lower Snake River Fish and Wildlife Compensation Plan, 17 Aug 95.

Thu 25 Apr 1 Eff. Date 0	996 14/22/96	PROJECT CAPTAI:		JOHN RAPIDS, W	ps of Engineers ASHINGTON - TEM 4/9/1996 SUMMARY - CSI 1	PORARY FALL	L CHINOOK /	ACCLIMA-	•		TIME SUMMARY F	13:52:19 PAGE 1
				QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	HOOH	PROF	OTHR TAX	BOND	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST
	AA CAPTAIN JOHN RAPIDS											
	AA 06 FISH AND WILDLIF	E FACILITIES										
	AA 06 02 FISH HATCHERY	(INCL TRAP/REL)										•
	AA 06 02 69 REARING AN	ND HOLDING PONDS										
	AA 06 02 69-001- FURN	ISH ALL ITEMS & EQUIPMEN	т								8 202	
A-24	AA 06 02 69-00109AA AA 06 02 69-00115AA AA 06 02 69-00115BB AA 06 02 69-00115CC AA 06 02 69-00115CC AA 06 02 69-00115CD AA 06 02 69-00115EE	Demobilization Site Prep - Gravel/Leve Netting over Tanks 6" Dia Intake Pipe Line Distribution Box W/2 Pa Hydrocyclone 20' Round Tanks, 5' hig 8", 6" & 4" Dia. Supply 8" Dia Release Pipe Rental Pumps, Storage,	cked Colm h Pipe	1330.00 LF 2.00 EA 4.00 EA 16.00 EA 850.00 LF 1835.00 LF	6,044 2,097 23,454 8,890 50,661 50,669 52,809 217,150 22,379 51,453 13,845 70,149	907 315 3,518 1,333 7,593 7,600 7,921 32,572 3,357 7,718 2,077 10,522	487 169 1,888 716 4,075 4,079 4,251 17,481 1,802 4,142 1,114 5,647	744 258 2,886 1,094 6,229 6,235 6,498 26,720 2,754 6,331 1,704 8,632	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	111 39 432 164 932 933 972 3,997 412 947 255 1,291	8,292 2,877 32,178 12,196 69,449 69,516 72,452 297,921 30,703 70,592 18,994 96,242	49.50 0.61 52.22 34758.11 18112.99 18620.03 36.12 38.47 1582.84 6015.13
24		FURNISH ALL ITEMS & EQU	IIPMENT		569,561	85,434	45,850	70,084	0	10,485	781,413	
	AA 06 02 69-002- FURN	ISH TECHNICAL REPRESENTA	TIVE			_		o (0)	0	1,449	107,973	71.41
	AA 06 02 69-00201AA	Furnish Technical Repre	esentative	1512.00 HR	78,700	11,805	6,335	9,684			107,973	
		. FURNISH TECHNICAL REPRE			78,700	11,805	6,335	9,684	0	1,449		
	AA 06 02 69-003- POWE	R FOR FACILITY			35,000	5,250	2,818	4,307	0	644	48,019	
		SSEMBLE, TRANSPORT, & ST	ORE								-	
	AA 06 02 69-00401AA AA 06 02 69-00401AB AA 06 02 69-00401BB AA 06 02 69-00401BB AA 06 02 69-00402AA AA 06 02 69-00409AA AA 06 02 69-00415BB AA 06 02 69-00415EB AA 06 02 69-00415ED AA 06 02 69-00415ED	Mobilization Demobilization Transport/Store at Lyor Site Prep - Gravel/Leve Netting over Tanks 6" Dia Intake Pipe Line Distribution Box W/2 Pa Hydrocyclone 20' Round Tanks, 4' hig 8", 6" & 4" Dia. Supply 8" Dia Release Pipe	n's Ferry el Surface e acked Colm gh	1330.00 LF	2,097 7,201 21,204 3,228 1,586 942 1,947 20,510 1,672 1,486 11,471	315 1,080 3,181 484 186 238 141 292 3,077 251 223 1,721	169 580 1,707 260 100 128 76 157 1,651 135 120 923	258 886 2,609 397 152 195 116 240 2,524 206 183 1,412	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 133 390 59 23 29 17 36 378 31 27 211	2,877 9,880 29,092 1,699 2,176 1,293 2,672 28,139 2,294 2,039 15,738	29091.56 6.81 0.08 1.64 646.48 667.94 1758.69 2.70

.

.

CREW ID: NAT948 UPB ID: NAT95A

Thu 25 Apr Eff. Date	04/22/96 PROJECT CAPTALE CAPTAIN	I JOHN RAPIDS, W	4/9/1996	PORARY FAL	L CHINOOK	ACCLIMA-			TIME SUMMARY	13:52:19 PAGE 2
		QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	HOOK	PROF	OTHR TAX	BOND	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST
	TOTAL DISASSEMBLE, TRANSPORT, & STORE		74,584	11,188	6,004	9,178	0	1,373	102,326	
	TOTAL REARING AND HOLDING PONDS	16.00 EA	757,844	113,677	61,006	93,253	0	13,951	1,039,730	64983.12
	TOTAL FISH HATCHERY (INCL TRAP/REL)	. 10100 11	757,844	113,677	61,006	93,253	0	13,951	1,039,730	
	TOTAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FACILITIES	1.00 EA	757,844	113,677	61,006	93,253	0	13,951	1,039,730	1039730
	TOTAL CAPTAIN JOHN RAPIDS, WASHINGTON		757,844	113,677	61,006	93,253	0	13,951	1,039,730	1039730
	BB GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIALS									
	BB 06 FISH AND WILDLIFE FACILITIES				·					
	BB 06 02 FISH HATCHERY (INCL TRAP/REL)									
	BB 06 02 69 REARING AND HOLDING PONDS									
	BB 06 02 69-001- Furnish Trailer - Utility							_		
A-25	BB 06 02 69-00110AA Furnish Trailer - Utility	1.00 EA	8,000	0	0	0		0	8,000	
ι. Ο	TOTAL Furnish Trailer - Utility	1.00 EA	8,000	0	0	0	0	0	8,000	8000.00
· .	BB 06 02 69-002- Furnish Trailers- Living			-					50,000	25000.00
	BB 06 02 69-00210AA Furnish Trailers- Living	2.00 EA	50,000	0	0				50,000	
	TOTAL Furnish Trailers- Living	2.00 EA	50,000	0	0	C	. U	Ū	50,000	
	BB 06 02 69-003- Furnish Pickup Trucks 1/4 ton			-	•	C) 0	0	36,000	18000.00
	BB 06 02 69-00310AA Furnish Pickup Trucks 1/4 ton	2.00 EA	36,000	0					36,000	
	TOTAL Furnish Pickup Trucks 1/4 ton	2.00 EA	36,000	0	0	·	, 0	·		
	BB 06 02 69-004- Furnish Pickup Truck 3/4 ton	•						~	30,000	30000.00
	BB 06 02 69-00410AA Furnish Pickup Truck 3/4 ton	1.00 EA	30,000	0	0		0	0	30,000	
	TOTAL Furnish Pickup Truck 3/4 ton	1.00 EA	30,000	0	0		0 0			
	TOTAL REARING AND HOLDING PONDS	16.00 EA	124,000	0	0) 0	0	124,000	
	TOTAL FISH HATCHERY (INCL TRAP/REL)		124,000	0	0) 	D 0	0	124,000	•

.

.

CREW ID: NAT94B UPB ID: NAT95A

Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 04/22/96	PROJECT CAPTAI: CAPTAIN J	OHN RAPIÓS, W Estimate	ps of Engineer ASHINGTON - TE 4/9/1996 SUMMARY - CSI	MPORARY FAL	L CHINOOK	ACCLIMA-			TIME 13:52:19 SUMMARY PAGE 3
		QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	НООН	PROF	OTHR TAX	BOND	TOTAL COST UNIT COST
	TOTAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FACILITIES	1.00 EA	124,000	0	0	0	0	0	124,000 124000.00
	TOTAL GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIALS		124,000	0	0	.0	0	0	124,000
	TOTAL CAPTAIN JOHN RAPIDS, WASHINGTON	1.00 MO	881,844	113,677	61,006	93,253	0	13,951	1,163,730 1163730

CREW ID: NAT94B UPB ID: NAT95A

SUBTOTAL - ALL CONTRACTS - BFL52

.......

**** TOTAL PROJECT COST SUMMARY ****

	AL - ALL CONTRACTS - BFL52				*	AL PROJECT COST SU					PAGE	OF 6
		THIS ESTIN Grand Ronde	MATE IS BA River & C	SED ON atherine	THE SCOPE Creek Areas	CONTAINED IN THE	CONCEPT "	DISTRICT	SANCE" REPORT, DATE Walla Walla KIM CALLAN, CHIEF, CO			
ACCOUN	CURRENT MCACES ESTIN EFFECTIVE PRICING	3 LEVEL: 1 OC	T 96	= = = RIL 96		AUTHORIZ./BUDGE	= = = = = = T YEAR: 19 EVEL: 1 OC	==== 98	IFULLY FUNDED	= = = = =		
	FEATURE DESCRIPTION	COST (\$K) = =====	CNTG (\$K) =====	CNTG (%) = = = =	TOTAL (\$K)	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	TOTAL (\$K)	SPENT THRU FY 95	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	FULL (\$K)
06.2	FISH HATCHERY GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES	8,734 895	3,013 405	34% 45%	11,747 1,300	8,971 919	3,094 414	12,065 1,333		= = = = = = 9,351 991	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	= = = = = = 12,575 1,439
	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = =	9,629	3,417	35%	13,046	9,890	3,508	12,065	· · · · · ·	10,342	3,672	14,014
01	LANDS AND DAMAGES	664	140	21%	804	682	143	825		708	149	857
18	CULTURAL RESOURCES	3	2	50%	5	3	2	. 5		3	2	5
30	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	2,183	753	34%	2,936	2,242	773	3,015		2,336	807	3,143
31	CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	1,309	452	35%	1,761	1,345	464	1,809		1,402	484	1,886
4-27	TOTAL PROJECT COSTS = = = = =	13,788	4,764	35%	18,552	14,162	4,890	19,052	<u> </u>	14,791	5,114	19,905
								TOTAL FEDE	RAL COSTS = = = = = = =		===>	19,905
	THIS TPCS REFLECTS A PROJECT CO DISTRICT APPROVED:	ST CHANGE C)F\$						-FEDERAL COSTS = = =		= >	
		ST ENGINEERI	~~~ NC	~~~ .	~~~~			IUM PROJEC	T COST IS = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	=> \$		
		AL ESTATE	NG				DIVISION A	PPROVED:				
	CHIEF, PLA								CHIEF, COST ENGINE	ERING		
		GINEERING		·		-			DIRECTOR, REAL EST	TATE		
-	CHIEF, OPE					-			CHIEF, PROGRAMS N	ANAGEMEN	т	
-		NSTRUCTION	·						_ DIRECTOR OF PPMD			•
-		GRAMS MAN	ACCHICUT			ŀ	APPROVED (
-			AGEMENI									
-	PROJECT N	ANAGEK										
-	DDE (PM)											

				•••••••	••• TOTA	L CONTRAC	T COST SU	IMMARY *					PAGE 2	OF 6
BFL52 COI	Spring Chinook Facilities, Upper Gra	THIS ESTIM/ and Ronde R	ATE IS BAS	SED ON T	HE SCOPE	CONTAINED				ANCE" REPOR Walia Walia IM CALLAN, (
LOCATION										====≠ FULL۱	= = = = = = = = =	= = = = = STIMATE	****	
	CURRENT MCACES ESTIMAT	TE PREPARE	D: 22 APF	RIL 96		AUTHORI	Z./BUDGET	YEAR: 199	8 07	FULL1		01111111111		
	EFFECTIVE PRICING LE	EVEL: 1 OCT	96			EFFECT. F		/EL: 1 OCT CNTG	TOTAL	I FEATURE	OMB	COST	CNTG	FULL
ACCOUNT	· · ·	COST	CNTG	CNTG	TOTAL	UMB (%)	(\$K)	(\$K)	(\$K)	MID PT	(%)	(\$K)	(\$K)	(\$K)
	FEATURE DESCRIPTION	(\$K)	(\$K)	(%)	(\$K)		=====	• •	= = = = =		*****	====	=====	
06.2	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	1,948 s at Splash & Adult Hold	682 Dam Site ing Facilit	35%	2,630	2.7%	2,001	700	2,701	4 QTR 98	2.7%	2,055	719	2,774
	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = =	1,948	682	35%	2,630	 	2,001	700	2,701	1		2,055	719	2,774
	LANDS AND DAMAGES	148	30	20%	178	2.7%	152	30	182	2 QTR 98	2.7%	156	31	18
01	LANDS AND DAMAGES				· · · · ·	1		105	405	2 OTR 98	2.7%	308	108	41
30	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	292	102	35%	394	2.7%	300	105	405	2 4 1 1 2				
00			60	35%	263	1 2.7%	200	70	270	2 QTR 98	2.7%	205	72	27
30	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	195	68	3070	205	1 2.7 /0				1	0.70	308	108	41
31 🥿	PM, Planning, Contracting, EDC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	292	102	35%	394	2.7%	300	105	405	4 QTR 98	2.7%			
1 -28		2,875	983	34%	3,858		2,953	1,010	3,963			3,032	1,038	4,07
			Dem Site			1				1		59	30	8
	Upper Grand Ronde River, Vey Meadow	s at Splash 55	28	50%	83	2.7%	56	28	84	2 OTR 99		59 24	12	3
06.2	GOVERNMENT FURNISH MATERIALS GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES	22	11	4		2.7%	23	11	34	2 QTR 99	5.570	*		
06.2	GUVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES				· _ ·	. !	79	39	118			83	42	12
	TOTAL WDFW GFS COSTS = = = = =	77		1	116	1	/9	35	.10	1				
COST FO	OR ALL SITES							_	-		5.5%	3	2	
18	CULTURAL RESOURCES	3	2	50%	5	2.7%	3	2	5	1 QTR 99	5.5 %	0	-	

**** TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUMMARY ****

PAGE 3 OF 6

	Spring Chinook Facilities, Upper Gi	rand Ronde I	River & Ci	atherine	Creek Areas				DISTRICT	SANCE" REPO Walla Walla				
	CURRENT MCACES ESTIMA EFFECTIVE PRICING L	= = = = = TE PREPARI EVEL: 1 OC	===== ED: 22 AP T 96	= = = RIL 96				= = = = = = YEAR: 199 VEL: 1 OCT		= = = = = FULLY	= = = = = Y FUNDED E	STIMATE	_ _	
ACCOUN		COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	CNTG (%)	TOTAL (\$K)	OMB (%)	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	TOTAL (\$K)	FEATURE	OMB (%)	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	FULL (\$K)
06.2	Interim Report Supplement Adult Capture & Adult Holding Facility Catherine Creek at Union Site Similar to Crooked River Adult Capture &	1,948 & Adult Hold	682 ling Facilit	35%	2,630	2.7%	= = = = = = 2,001	= = = = = 700	= = = = = = 2,701	= = = = = 4 QTR 98	2.7%	= = = = = = 2,055	=	2,774
	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = =	1,948	682	35%	2,630		2,001	700	2,701			2,055	719	2,774
01	LANDS AND DAMAGES	153	31	20%	184	2.7%	157	31	188	 2 QTR 98	2.7%	161	32	193
30	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	292	102	35%	394	2.7%	300	105	405	2 QTR 98	2.7%	308	108	416
30	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN PM, Planning, Contracting, EDC	195	68	35%	263	2.7%	200	70	270	2 QTR 98	2.7%	205	72	277
31 P	CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	292	102	35%	394	 2.7% 	300	105	405	4 QTR 98	2.7%	308	108	416
-29	TOTAL COSTS ==========	2,880	984	34%	3,864		2,958	1,011	3,969			3,037	1,039	4,076
06.2 06.2	Catherine Creek at Union Site GOVERNMENT FURNISH MATERIALS GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES	55 22	28 11	50% 50%	83 33	2.7% 2.7%	56 23	28 11	84 34	 4 QTR 99 4 QTR 99	5.5% 5.5%	59 24	30 12	89 36
	TOTAL WDFW GFS COSTS = = = = =	77	39	······	116		79	39	118	1 1		83	42	125

**** TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUMMARY ****

PAGE 4 OF 6

ROJECT:		THIS ESTIMA and Ronde Ri	VE IS BAS	SED ON 1 Itherine C	THE SCOPE Creek Areas	CONTAINE	D IN THE C	UNCEPT "R	DISTRICT:	ANCE" REPOR Walla Walla				
OCATION									P.O.C.: K	IM CALLAN, O	CHIEF, COS	T ENGINEER		
			:====): 22 APF	= = = RIL 96			= = = = = Z./BUDGET PRICING LEV			FULL\		ESTIMATE		
	EFFECTIVE PRICING LE	COST	CNTG	CNTG	TOTAL	OMB	COST	CNTG	TOTAL	FEATURE	ОМВ	COST	CNTG	FULL
		(\$K)	(\$K)	(%)	(\$K)	(%)	(\$K)	(\$K)	(\$K)	MID PT	(%)	(\$K)	(\$K)	(\$K)
:===		===== *		= = =		====			= = = = =		====	* = = = =	= = = = = =	====
	Interim Report Supplement	1.949	682	35%	2,631	2.7%	2,002	701	2,703	2 QTR 99	5.5%	2,112	739	2,851
	Acclimation & Release Facilities Upper Grand Ronde River, Upper Vey Me Similar to Crooked River Acclimation & F	adows Site		33 %	2,001		2,002							
	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = =	1,949	682	35%	2,631		2,002	701	2,703			2,112	739	2,85
)1	LANDS AND DAMAGES	75	23	30%	98	2.7%	77`	23	100	1 OTR 98	2.7%	79	24	10:
30	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	293	102	35%	395	2.7%	301	105	406	1 QTR 99	5.5%	317	111	421
30	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	195	68	35%	263	2.7%	200	70	270	1 QTR 99	5.5%	211	74	28
31 >	PM, Planning, Contracting, EDC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	293	102	35%	395	2.7%	301	105	406	2 QTR 99	5.5%	317	111	421
A-30	TOTAL COSTS = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	2,805	977	35%	3,782		2,881	1,004	3,885			3,036	1,059	4,09
	Upper Grand Ronde River, Upper Vey M	eadows Site		·		1				1			4.67	
06.2	GOVERNMENT FURNISH MATERIALS	337	169	50%	506	2.7%	346	173	519	1 QTR 00	8.3%	375	187 75	562 225
)6.2)6.2	GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES	134	67	50%	201	2.7%	138	69	207	1 QTR 00	8.3%	150	75	223
	TOTAL WDFW GFS COSTS = = = = =	471	236	·	707		484	242	726			525	262	787

**** TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUMMARY ****

PAGE 5 OF 6

......

	Spring Chinook Facilities, Upper Gi	THIS ESTIM	IATE IS BA River & C	SED ON atherine	THE SCOPE Creek Areas		ed in the C	CONCEPT "I	DISTRICT	SANCE" REPO : Walla Walla (IM CALLAN,				
	CURRENT MCACES ESTIMA EFFECTIVE PRICING L	TE PREPARE	— — — — — — — D: 22 AP Г 96	RIL 96			IZ./BUDGET			= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	Y FUNDED I	ESTIMATE.		
ACCOUN	FEATURE DESCRIPTION	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	CNTG (%)	TOTAL (\$K)	OMB (%)	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	TOTAL (\$K)	FEATURE	ОМВ (%)	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	FULL (\$K)
06.2	Interim Report Supplement Acclimation & Release Facilities Catherine Creek, Oregon State Universit	= = = = = 2,445	856	= = = 35%	3,301	2.7%	2,511	879	= = = = = = 3,390	2 QTR 99	= = = = = 5.5%	= = = = = = 2,648	= = = = = 927	3,575
	Similar to Crooked River Acclimation & I	Felease Facil	lity		•									
	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = =	2,445	856	35%	3,301	 	2,511	879	3,390			2,648	927	3,575
)1	LANDS AND DAMAGES	213	43	20%	256	2.7%	219	44	263	 1 QTR 99	5.5%	231	46	277
10	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	366	129	35%	495	2.7%	376	132	508	1 QTR 99	5.5%	397	139	536
0	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN PM, Planning, Contracting, EDC	244	86	35%	330	2.7%	251	88	339	1 QTR 99	5.5%	265	93	358
1 ≥	CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	366	129	35%	495	2.7%	376	132	508	1 2 QTR 99	5.5%	397	139	536
31	TOTAL COSTS = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	3,634	1,242	34%	4,876	 	3,733	1,275	5,008		·	3,938	1,344	5,282
	Catherine Creek, Oregon State University	•			·	1				I				
)6.2)6.2	GOVERNMENT FURNISH MATERIALS GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES	68 . 28	34 14	50% 50%	102 42	2.7% 2.7%	70 29	35 14	105 43	1 QTR 00 1 QTR 00	8.3% 8.3%	76 31	38 15	114 46
	TOTAL WDFW GFS COSTS = = = = =	96	48		144		99	49	148			107	53	160

•

**** TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUMMARY ****

PAGE 6 OF 6

LOCATION	Spring Chinook Facilities, Upper Gra N: Oregon								P.O.C.: K		CHIEF, COS = = = = = =		{ing = = = = = =	
	CURRENT MCACES ESTIMAT	= = = = = = Fe prepare	= = = = = D: 22 APF	RIL 96				YEAR: 199		FULL	Y FUNDED E	ESTIMATE		
	EFFECTIVE PRICING LE			0.117.0	TOTAL			VEL: 1 OCT CNTG	97 TOTAL	FEATURE	ОМВ	COST	CNTG	FULL
ACCOUNT	FEATURE DESCRIPTION	COST (\$K)	CNTG (\$K)	CNTG (%)	TOTAL (\$K)	OMB (%)	COST (\$K)	(\$K)	(\$K)	MID PT	(%)	(\$K)	(\$K)	(\$K)
		# = =				====				= = = = =	= = = = =		~	
)6. 2	Interim Report Supplement Final Rearing, Acclimation, Direct Relea	444	111	25%	555	2.7%	456	114	570	2 QTR 99	5.5%	481	120	60
	Upper Grand Ronde River, Sheep Creek, 4 Tank Farm w/ 1 Hydrocylones - Doesn	't include th	ne cost for	the India	ns to Opera	i t				 				
	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS = =	444	111	25%	555		456	114	570			481	120	60
01	LANDS AND DAMAGES	75	15	20%	90	2.7%	77	15	92	1 QTR 99	5.5%	81	16	91
30	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	66	17	25%	83	2.7%	68	17	85	1 QTR 99	5.5%	72	18	90
30	PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN	45	11	25%	56	2.7%	· 46	11	57	1 QTR 99	5.5%	48	. 12	60
31	PM, Planning, Contracting, EDC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	66	.17	25%	83	2.7%	68	17	85	2 QTR 99	5.5%	72	18	90
A-32	TOTAL COSTS = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	696	171	25%	867		715	174	889			754	184	931
								·		1				
	Sheep Creek, Temporary Site GOVERNMENT FURNISH MATERIALS	124	31	25%	155	2.7%	. 127	32	159	1 QTR 00	8.3%	138	35	17
06.2 06.2	GOVERNMENT FURNISH MATERIALS	50	13	25%	63	2.7%	51	13	64	1 QTR 00	8.3%	55	14	69
···						1						193	49	242

fi 19 Apr 1996

A-33

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT UPMEOS: TRAPPING FACILITY - LOWER VEY MEADOWS & UNION DAM/ LOWER MEADOWS & UNION DAM SITES TRAPPING FAC.

TIME 10:19:43

TITLE PAGE 1

TRAPPING FACILITY LOWER VEY MEADOWS & UNION DAM/ CATHERINE CR. SITES S-CHINOOK STATE OF OREGON --- FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY ---

Designed By: Corp's Eng.Designing Division Estimated By: KARL PANKASKIE

Prepared By: WALLA WALLA DISTRICT KIM CALLAN, Chief, Cost Engr.

Preparation Date: 04/18/96 Effective Date of Pricing: 04/18/96 Est Construction Time: 250 Days

Sales Tax: 0.00%

This report is not copyrighted, but the information contained herein is For Official Use Only.

M C A C E S G O L D E D I T I O N Composer GOLD Software Copyright (c) 1985-1994 by Building Systems Design, Inc. Release 5.30A

Currency in DOLLARS

Fri 19 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 04/18/96 PROJECT NOTES

₽-34

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT UPMEOS: TRAPPING FACILITY - LOWER VEY MEADOWS & UNION DAM/ LOWER MEADOWS & UNION DAM SITES TRAPPING FAC.

TIME 10:19:43

TITLE PAGE 2

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This estimate consists of costs to build an adult trapping and temporary holding facility. There is no information at this time to base costs on other than a previous designed and build facility.

BASES OF DESIGN

This estimate is for Concept Report dated, 4/28/96. This estimate is a Reconnaissance type estimate.

The costs were taken from the Bid Schedule of Crooked River Satellite Facilities near Elk City, Idaho County, Idaho DACW68-89-B-0021 (2 Amendments), Dated March 14, 1989. See spread sheets for backup of costs. This is the latest facility build of this type on our estimating records.

CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE

Period of construction is unknown at this time.

CONSTRUCTION WINDOWS

Window of construction is unknown at this time.

OVERTIME

This estimate contains no overtime to complete the project.

PROJECT CONSTRUCTION

SITE ACCESS

The project site is not selected yet.

BORROW AREAS

The borrow sources are unknown.

CONSTRUCTION METHODOLOGY

The construction methodology should be standard. UNUSUAL CONDITION (Soil, Water, Weather)

Little or none thing is known at this time

UNIQUE TECHNIQUES OF CONSTRUCTION

Little or none thing is known at this time

EQUIPMENT/LABOR AVAILABILITY & DISTANCE TRAVELED Travel costs and remote conditions should be taken consideration because

Crooked River Traping facility was in a remote location.

This work is not to be performed by a Contractor under the Small Business Administration 8a program.

	PROJECT UPMEOS: TRAPPING FACILITY - LOWER VEY MEADOWS & UNION DAM/ LOWER MEADOWS & UNION DAM SITES TRAPPING FAC. ** PROJECT INDIRECT SUMMARY - BID ITEM **							SUMMARY PAGE 1		
		QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	HOOH	PROF OT	HR TAX	BOND	TOTAL COST I	UNIT COS
	AA UPPER MEADOWS & OSU SITES									
	AA 06 Fish and Wildlife Facilities					•				
	AA 06 02 Fish Hatchery (Include Trap/Rel)									
	AA 06 02 01 MOB, DEMOB & PREPARATORY WORK			·						
	AA 06 02 01-001- Mob, Demob & Preparatory Work		66,340	0	0	0	0	0	66,340	
	TOTAL MOB, DEMOB & PREPARATORY WORK		66,340	0	0	0	0	0	66,340	
	AA 06 02 02 SITE WORK									
A-35	AA 06 02 02-001-DIVERSION AND CARE OF WATERAA 06 02 02-002-SITE WORKAA 06 02 02-003-RIPRAP SLOPE PROTECTIONAA 06 02 02-004-COBBLE SLOPE PROTECTIONAA 06 02 02-005-CULVERTSAA 06 02 02-006-GRAVEL SUFFACINGAA 06 02 02-007-DRYLAND GRASS ESTABLISHMENT	800.00 CY 200.00 CY	118,090 233,860 64,800 19,600 18,410 73,970 9,120	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	118,090 233,860 64,800 19,600 18,410 73,970 9,120	81.0 98.0
•••	TOTAL SITE WORK		537,850	0	0	0	0	0	537,850	·
	AA 06 02 03 SITE UTILITIES									
	AA 06 02 03-001- SEWAGE SYSTEM AA 06 02 03-002- WATER SUPPLY PIPING AA 06 02 03-003- DRAIN PIPING AA 06 02 03-004- ELECTRICAL WORK		40,140 391,260 195,050 154,750	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	40,140 391,260 195,050 154,750	
	TOTAL SITE UTILITIES		781,200	0	0	0	0	0	781,200	
•	AA 06 02 04 BUILDINGS									
	AA 06 02 04-001- SUPPORT BUILDING	675.00 SF	105,300	0	0	0	0	0	105,300	156.00
	TOTAL BUILDINGS	656.00 SF	105,300	0	0	0	0	0	105,300	160.52
• .	AA 06 02 05 SPECIAL FEATURES									
	AA 06 02 05-001- RIVER INTAKE STRUCTURE-ADULT AA 06 02 05-002- ADULT TRAP, SILL AND ABUTMENT		76,300 380,530	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	76,300 380,530	
	TOTAL SPECIAL FEATURES	500.00 CF	456,830	0	0	0	0	0	456,830	913.66

CREW ID: NAT96C UPB ID: NAT92A

.

fri 19 Apr 1996

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers									
PROJECT	UPMEOS:	TRAPPING	FACILI	TY - LOWER	VEY MEADO	WS & UNION	DAM/		
	LOWER	MEADOWS	& UNION	DAM SITES	TRAPPING	FAC.			
	** (PROJECT I	NDIRECT	SUMMARY -	BID ITEM	**			

QUANTITY UOM TOTAL DIRECT FOOH HOOH PROF OTHR TAX BOND TOTAL COST UNIT COST TOTAL Fish Hatchery (Include Trap/Rel) 500.00 CF 1,947,520 0 0 0 0 0 1,947,520 3895.04 TOTAL Fish and Wildlife Facilities 1.00 EA 1,947,520 0 0 0 0 0 1,947,520 1947520 TOTAL UPPER MEADOWS & OSU SITES 1,947,520 0 0 0 0 0 1,947,520 CC GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIALS CC 06 Fish and Wildlife Facilities CC 06 02 Fish Hatchery (Include Trap/Rel) CC 06 02 69 REARING AND HOLDING PONDS CC 06 02 69-001- BASED ON CROOKED RIVER FACILITY 32,453 0 0 32,453 Ω n Ω 23,010 23010.00 CC 06 02 69-002-ELECTRICAL SUPPLY TO SITE 1.00 MI 23,010 0 0 0 TOTAL REARING AND HOLDING PONDS 55,463 0 0 0 0 0 55,463 TOTAL Fish Hatchery (Include Trap/Rel) 55,463 0 0 0 0 55,463 ۵ A-36 1.00 EA 55,463 0 0 0 0 55,463 55463.00 TOTAL Fish and Wildlife Facilities 0 0 TOTAL GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIALS 55,463 0 0 0 0 55,463 0 2,002,983 2,002,983 0 0 0 2002983 TOTAL TRAPPING FACILITY 1.00 MO D

TIME 10:19:43

2

SUMMARY PAGE

ri 19 Apr 1996

A-37

PROJECT UPPERV: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ACCLIMATION & RELEASE PONDS - UPPER VEY MEADOWS UPPER VEY MEADOWS SITE FACILITY TIME 10:22:37

TITLE PAGE 1

ACCLIMATION & RELEASE PONDS UPPER VEY MEADOWS SPRING CHINOOK STATE OF OREGON --- FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY ---

Designed By: Corp's Eng.Designing Division Estimated By: KARL PANKASKIE

Prepared By: WALLA WALLA DISTRICT KIM CALLAN, Chief, Cost Engr.

Preparation Date: 04/18/96 Effective Date of Pricing: 04/18/06 Est Construction Time: 250 Days

Sales Tax: 0.00%

This report is not copyrighted, but the information contained herein is For Official Use Only.

M C A C E S G O L D E D I T I O N Composer GOLD Software Copyright (c) 1985-1994 by Building Systems Design, Inc. Release 5.30A

ABOR ID: EORG95 EQUIP ID: NAT95A

Currency in DOLLARS

Fri 19 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 04/18/06 PROJECT NOTES

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT UPPERV: ACCLIMATION & RELEASE PONDS - UPPER VEY MEADOWS UPPER VEY MEADOWS SITE FACILITY

TIME 10:22:37

TITLE PAGE 2

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This estimate consists of costs to build an juvenile holding and release facility. There is no information at this time to base costs on other than a previous designed and build facility.

BASES OF DESIGN

This estimate is for Concept Report dated, 4/28/96. This estimate is a Reconnaissance type estimate.

The costs were taken from the Bid Schedule of Crooked River Satellite Facilities near Elk City, Idaho County, Idaho DACW68-89-B-0021 (2 Amendments), Dated March 14, 1989. See spread sheets for backup of costs. This is the latest facility build of this type on our estimating records.

CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE

Period of construction is unknown at this time.

CONSTRUCTION WINDOWS

. Window of construction is unknown at this time.

OVERTIME

This estimate contains no overtime to complete the project.

PROJECT CONSTRUCTION

SITE ACCESS

The project site is not selected yet.

BORROW AREAS

The borrow sources are unknown.

CONSTRUCTION METHODOLOGY

The construction methodology should be standard. UNUSUAL CONDITION (Soil, Water, Weather)

Little or none thing is known at this time

UNIQUE TECHNIQUES OF CONSTRUCTION

Little or none thing is known at this time

EQUIPMENT/LABOR AVAILABILITY & DISTANCE TRAVELED

Travel costs and remote conditions should be taken consideration because Crooked River Traping facility was in a remote location.

This work is not to be performed by a Contractor under the Small Business Administration 8a program.

19 Apr 1996 Date 04/18/06	PROJECT UPPERV: AC	ER VEY MEADOWS	of Engineers ELEASE PONDS - UP S SITE FACILITY MMARY - BID ITEM		Adows				TIME SUMMARY P	10:22:3 AGE
	······	QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	HOOH	PROF O	THR TAX	BOND	TOTAL COST U	NIT CO
	AA UPPER VEY ACCLIMATION & RELEASE									
	AA 06 Fish and Wildlife Facilities									
	AA 06 02 Fish Hatchery (Include Trap/Rel)									
	AA 06 02 01 MOB, DEMOB & PREPARATORY WORK									
	AA 06 02 01-001- Mob, Demob & Preparatory Work		66,340	0	0	0	0	0	66,340	
	TOTAL MOB, DEMOB & PREPARATORY WORK		66,340	0	0	0	0	0	66,340	
	AA 06 02 02 SITE WORK									
A-39	AA 06 02 02-001- DIVERSION AND CARE OF WATER AA 06 02 02-002- SITE WORK AA 06 02 02-003- RIPRAP SLOPE PROTECTION AA 06 02 02-004- COBBLE SLOPE PROTECTION AA 06 02 02-005- CULVERTS AA 06 02 02-006- GRAVEL SURFACING AA 06 02 02-007- DRYLAND GRASS ESTABLISHMENT	800.00 CY 200.00 CY	118,090 233,860 64,800 19,600 18,410 73,970 9,120	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	118,090 233,860 64,800 19,600 18,410 73,970 9,120	81. 98.
O	TOTAL SITE WORK		537,850	9	0	0	0	0	537,850	
	AA 06 02 03 SITE UTILITIES									
	AA 06 02 03-001- SEWAGE SYSTEM AA 06 02 03-002- WATER SUPPLY PIPING AA 06 02 03-003- DRAIN PIPING AA 06 02 03-004- ELECTRICAL WORK		40,140 391,260 195,050 154,750	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	40,140 391,260 195,050 154,750	
	TOTAL SITE UTILITIES		781,200	0	0	0	0	0	781,200	
	AA 06 02 04 BUILDINGS									
	AA 06 02 04-001- SUPPORT BUILDING AA 06 02 04-002- FREEZER AND DRY STORAGE BUILDING	676.00 SF 432.00 SF	104,780 88,560	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	104,780 88,560	155 205
	TOTAL BUILDINGS	1108.00 SF	193,340	0	0	0	0	0	193,340	174
	AA 06 02 05 SPECIAL FEATURES									
	AA 06 02 05-001- RIVER INTAKE STRUCTURE-JUVENILE AA 06 02 05-002- REARING RACEWAYS AA 06 02 05-003- SEDIMENTATION POND	1200.00 SF 1010.00 SF	119,750 84,000 166,650	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	119,750 84,000 166,650	70. 165.

.

.

CREW ID: NAT96C UPB ID: NAT92A

.

ri 19 Apr 1996 ff. Date 04/18/06	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT UPPERV: ACCLIMATION & RELEASE PONDS - UPPER VEY MEADOWS UPPER VEY MEADOWS SITE FACILITY ** PROJECT INDIRECT SUMMARY - BID ITEM **								TIME 10:22:37 SUMMARY PAGE 2		
		QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	HOOH	PROF O	THR TAX	BOND	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST	
	TOTAL SPECIAL FEATURES	10000.00 CF	370,400	0	0	0.	0	0	370,400	37.04	
	TOTAL Fish Hatchery (Include Trap/Rel)	10000.00 CF	1,949,130	0	0	0	0	0	1,949,130	194.91	
	TOTAL Fish and Wildlife Facilities	1.00 EA	1,949,130	0	0	0	0	0	1,949,130	1949130	
	TOTAL UPPER VEY ACCLIMATION & RELEASE		1,949,130	0	0	0	0	0	1,949,130		
	CC GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIALS			`							
	CC 06 Fish and Wildlife Facilities										
	CC 06 02 Fish Hatchery (Include Trap/Rel)										
	CC 06 02 69 REARING AND HOLDING PONDS										
	CC 06 02 69-001- GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES CC 06 02 69-002- ELECTRICAL SUPPLY TO SITE	14.00 MI	44,780 292,500	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	44,780 292,500	20892.86	
7	TOTAL REARING AND HOLDING PONDS		337,280	0	0	0	0	0	337,280		
A-40	TOTAL Fish Hatchery (Include Trap/Rel)		337,280	0	0	0	0	0	337,280		
0	TOTAL Fish and Wildlife Facilities	1.00 EA	337,280	0	0	0	0	0	337,280	337280.00	
	TOTAL GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIALS		337,280	0	0	0	0	0	337,280	•	
	TOTAL ACCLIMATION & RELEASE PONDS	1.00 MO	2,286,410	0	0	0	0	0	2,286,410	2286410	

CREW ID: NAT96C, UPB ID: NAT92A

Fri 19 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 04/18/06

> ₽ 4

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT CA_OSU: ACCLIMATION & RELEASE PONDS - OSU / CATHERINE CREEK SITE OSU / CATHERINE CREEK SITE FACILITY TIME 10:18:40

TITLE PAGE 1

ACCLIMATION & RELEASE PONDS OSU / CATHERINE CREEK SITE SPRING CHINOOK STATE OF OREGON --- FOR DFFICIAL USE ONLY ---

Designed By: Corp's Eng.Designing Division Estimated By: KARL PANKASKIE

Prepared By: WALLA WALLA DISTRICT KIM CALLAN, Chief, Cost Engr.

Preparation Date: 04/18/96 Effective Date of Pricing: 04/18/06 Est Construction Time: 250 Days

Sales Tax: 0.00%

This report is not copyrighted, but the information contained herein is For Official Use Only.

M C A C E S G O L D E D I T I O N Composer GOLD Software Copyright (c) 1985-1994 by Building Systems Design, Inc. Release 5.30A

Currency in DOLLARS

Fri 19 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 04/18/06 PROJECT NOTES

42

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT CA_OSU: ACCLIMATION & RELEASE PONDS - OSU / CATHERINE CREEK SITE OSU / CATHERINE CREEK SITE FACILITY

TITLE PAGE

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This estimate consists of costs to build an juvenile holding and release facility. There is no information at this time to base costs on other than a previous designed and build facility.

BASES OF DESIGN

This estimate is for Concept Report dated, 4/28/96. This estimate is a Reconnaissance type estimate.

The costs were taken from the Bid Schedule of Crooked River Satellite Facilities near Elk City, Idaho County, Idaho DACW68-89-B-0021 (2 Amendments), Dated March 14, 1989. See spread sheets for backup of costs. This is the latest facility build of this type on our estimating records.

CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE

Period of construction is unknown at this time.

CONSTRUCTION WINDOWS

Window of construction is unknown at this time.

OVERTIME

This estimate contains no overtime to complete the project.

PROJECT CONSTRUCTION SITE ACCESS

The project site is not selected yet.

BORROW AREAS

The borrow sources are unknown.

CONSTRUCTION METHODOLOGY

The construction methodology should be standard.

UNUSUAL CONDITION (Soil, Water, Weather) Little or none thing is known at this time

UNIQUE TECHNIQUES OF CONSTRUCTION

Little or none thing is known at this time

EQUIPMENT/LABOR AVAILABILITY & DISTANCE TRAVELED

Travel costs and remote conditions should be taken consideration because Crooked River Traping facility was in a remote location.

This work is not to be performed by a Contractor under the Small Business Administration 8a program.

Fr Ef	i 19 Apr f. Date	1996 04/18/06		PROJECT CA_OSU: ACCLIM OSU	/ CATHERINE CR	of Engineers E PONDS - OSU / C EEK SITE FACILITY MMARY - BID ITEM		REEK SITE				T I ME SUMMARY	10:18:40 PAGE 1
					QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	HOOK	PROF O	THR TAX	BOND	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST
			AA 06 02 01 MOB, D			66,340	0	0	0	0	0	66,340	
			TOTAL M	OB, DEMOB & PREPARATORY WORK		66,340	0	0	0	0	0	66,340	
	A-43		AA 06 02 02-002- S AA 06 02 02-003- R AA 06 02 02-004- CC AA 06 02 02-005- CL AA 06 02 02-006- GF AA 06 02 02-007- DF	ORK IVERSION AND CARE OF WATER ITE WORK IPRAP SLOPE PROTECTION OBBLE SLOPE PROTECTION ULVERTS RAVEL SURFACING RYLAND GRASS ESTABLISHMENT ITE WORK	800.00 CY 200.00 CY	118,090 233,860 64,800 19,600 18,410 73,970 9,120 537,850	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	118,090 233,860 64,800 19,600 18,410 73,970 9,120	81.00 98.00
			AA 06 02 03 SITE UT AA 06 02 03-001- SE AA 06 02 03-002- WA AA 06 02 03-003- DR	TILITIES EWAGE SYSTEM ATER SUPPLY PIPING RAIN PIPING		40,140 391,260 195,050	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	537,850 40,140 391,260 195,050	
			AA 06 02 03-004- EL Total SI	LECTRICAL WORK		154,750 781,200	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	154,750 781,200	
			AA 06 02 04 -BUILDIN			,	-	Ū	U	v	v		
			AA 06 02 04-001- SU AA 06 02 04-002- FR	JPPORT BUILDING REEZER AND DRY STORAGE BUILDING	676.00 SF 432.00 SF	104,780 88,560	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	104,780 88,560	155.0C 205.0C
			TOTAL BU	VILDINGS	1108.00 SF	193,340	0	0	0	0	0	193,340	174.49
			AA 06 02 05-002- REA	. FEATURES VER INTAKE STRUCTURE-JUVENILE ARING RACEWAYS DIMENTATION POND	7100.00 SF 1510.00 SF	119,750 497,000 249,150	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	119,750 497,000 249,150	70.00 165.00

.

.

CREW ID: NAT96C UPB ID: NAT92A

.

.

Fri 19 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 04/18/06	PROJECT CA_OSU: ACCLIMA	CATHERINE CRE	of Engineers PONDS - OSU / C EK SITE FACILITY MMARY - BID ITEM		REEK SITE				TIME SUMMARY P	10:18:4(PAGE ?
		QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	HOOH	PROF	OTHR TAX	BOND	TOTAL COST U	JNIT COS'
	TOTAL SPECIAL FEATURES	12500.00 CF	865,900	0	Ć O	0	0	0	865,900	69.2
	TOTAL Fish Hatchery (Include Trap/Rel)	12500.00 CF	2,444,630	0	0	0	0	0	2,444,630	195.5
	TOTAL Fish and Wildlife Facilities	1.00 EA	2,444,630	0	0	0	0	0	2,444,630	244463
	TOTAL OSU/CAT.CR ACCLIMATION & RELEASE	۲	2,444,630	0	0	0	0	0	2,444,630	
	CC GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIALS									
	CC 06 Fish and Wildlife Facilities									
	CC 06 02 Fish Hatchery (Include Trap/Rel)	•								
	CC 06 02 69 REARING AND HOLDING PONDS									
	CC 06 02 69-001- GOVERNMENT FURNISH SERVICES CC 06 02 69-002- ELECTRICAL SUPPLY TO SITE	1.00 MI	44,780 23,010	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	44,780 23,010	23010.0
	TOTAL REARING AND HOLDING PONDS		67,790	0	0	0	0	0	67,790	
₽	TOTAL Fish Hatchery (Include Trap/Rel)		67,790	0	0	0	0	0	67,790	
4	TOTAL Fish and Wildlife Facilities	1.00 EA	67,790	0	0	0	0	0	67,790	67790.0
	TOTAL GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIALS		67,790	0	0	0	0	0	67,790	
	TOTAL ACCLIMATION & RELEASE PONDS	1.00 MO	2,512,420	0	0	0	0	0	2,512,420	251242

LABOR ID: EORG95 EQUIP ID: NAT95A

Currency in DOLLARS

CREW ID: NAT96C UPB ID: NAT92A

Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 04/09/96

A-45

PROJECT SHEEPC:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers SHEEP CREEK, OREGON - TEMPORARY SPRING CHINOOK Estimate 4/25/1996

TIME 13:43:55

TITLE PAGE 1

SHEEP CREEK, OREGON TEMPORARY SPRING CHINOOK ACCLIMATION FACILITY-SHEEP CREEK, OREGON --- FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY ---

Designed By: Corps Eng/Design Division Estimated By: JESUS BARRIOS

Prepared By: WALLA WALLA DIST COST ENG KIM CALLAN, Chief, Cost Eng

Preparation Date: 04/09/96 Effective Date of Pricing: 04/09/96 Est Construction Time: 120 Days

> Sales Tax: 0.00%

This report is not copyrighted, but the information contained herein is For Official Use Only.

MCACES GOLD EDITION Composer GOLD Software Copyright (c) 1985-1994 by Building Systems Design, Inc. Release 5.30A

Currency in DOLLARS

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers PROJECT SHEEPC: SHEEP CREEK, OREGON - TEMPORARY SPRING CHINOOK Estimate 4/25/1996

Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 04/09/96 PROJECT NOTES

> This estimate consists of projected costs for construction of the Temporary Spring Chinook Acclimation Facility - Sheep Creek, State of Oregon.

a. The site is not level and will require placement of fill material (sand or gravel) and timber cribs to level the tanks and provide support for the pumps, distribution boxes, etc.

b. Support facilities will consist of camping trailers, walk-in storage containers, & emergency lighting. The camping trailers are to be set up adjacent to the tank site in the same general vicinity. The camping trailers are to house 2-4 workers during the time the facility is operating (approximately 2 months). Pumps will be leased. Emergency lighting will be mounted on trailers and used to illuminate the facilities for emergencies.

c. The fish will be placed in the tanks on or about March 1, held in the tanks until mid-April, then released into the river. When all the fish have been released, the facility components will be disassembled, loaded onto tractor/trailers, hauled to a storage area, and stored until the following year. Operation and maintenance costs are not included.

The contractor shall commence work under this contract within 10 calendar days of receiving the notice to proceed, prosecute said work diligently, and complete the entire work ready for use not later than 15 February 1998.

This work is not to be performed by a Contractor under the Small Business Administration 8a program.

Equipment rates from EP 1110-1-8, Aug 93.

Labor rates, Davis Bacon, State of Oregon, Revision 10.

Basis of design: DM #1, Letter Supplement #13, Lower Snake River Fish and Wildlife Compensation Plan, 17 Aug 95. TIME 13:43:5

TITLE PAGE

Thu 25 Apr 199 Eff. Date 04/	09/96 PROJECT SHEEPC: S	Estimate 4,	EGON - TEMPORARY		H I NOOK				TIME 13 SUMMARY PAG	
		QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	НООН	PROF	OTHR TAX	BOND	TOTAL COST UNI	IT COS
	AA SHEEP CREEK, OREGON AA 06 FISH AND WILDLIFE FACILITIES AA 06 02 FISH HATCHERY (INCL TRAP/REL) AA 06 02 69 REARING AND HOLDING PONDS AA 06 02 69-001- FURNISH ALL ITEMS & EQUIPMENT									
A-47	AA 06 02 69-00101AA Mobilization AA 06 02 69-00101AB Demobilization AA 06 02 69-00102AA Site Prep - Gravel/Level Surface AA 06 02 69-00109AA Netting over Tanks AA 06 02 69-00115AA 6" Dia Intake Pipe Line AA 06 02 69-00115BB Distribution Box W/2 Packed Colm AA 06 02 69-00115CC Hydrocyclone AA 06 02 69-00115EE 8", 6" & 4" Dia. Supply Pipe AA 06 02 69-00115FF 8" Dia Release Pipe AA 06 02 69-00115FF 8" Dia Release Pipe AA 06 02 69-00115GG Rental Pumps, Storage, Light Plt AA 06 02 69-00116AA Electrical for Tanks	20000.00 SF 1330.00 LF 1.00 EA 1.00 EA 4.00 EA 215.00 LF 460.00 LF	6,208 2,136 17,392 8,284 11,751 16,894 13,401 50,341 5,305 12,140 9,220 32,024	1,052 362 2,947 1,404 1,991 2,862 2,271 8,529 899 2,057 1,562 5,426	726 250 2,034 969 1,374 1,976 1,567 5,887 620 1,420 1,078 3,745	799 275 2,237 1,066 1,512 2,173 1,724 6,476 682 1,562 1,186 4,120	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	176 60 234 333 478 379 1,425 1,425 344 261 906	19,342 19 72,658 18 7,656 17,521 13,307 1	38.62 0.60 12.75 4382.67 9341.57 8164.52 35.67 38.09 1108.93 1108.93
	TOTAL FURNISH ALL ITEMS & EQUIPMENT AA 06 02 69-002- FURNISH TECHNICAL REPRESENTATIVE		185,095	31,361	21,646	23,810	0	5,238	267,149	
	AA 06 02 69-00201AA Furnish Technical Representative TOTAL FURNISH TECHNICAL REPRESENTATIVE		78,700 78,700	13,334 13,334	9,203 9,203	10,124 10,124	0	2,227 2,227	113,588 113,588	75.12
	AA 06 02 69-003- FURNISH FUEL FOR ENGINES AA 06 02 69-00301AA Furnish Fuel for engines	5000.00 GAL	12,971	2,198	1,517	1,669	0	367	18,722	3.74
	TOTAL FURNISH FUEL FOR ENGINES AA 06 02 69-004- DISASSEMBLE, TRANSPORT, & STORE		12,971	2,198	1,517	1,669	0	367	18,722	
	AA 06 02 69-00401AA Mobilization AA 06 02 69-00401AB Demobilization AA 06 02 69-00401BB Transport/Store AA 06 02 69-00402AA Site Prep - Gravel/Level Surface AA 06 02 69-00409AA Netting over Tanks AA 06 02 69-00415AA 6" Dia Intake Pipe Line AA 06 02 69-00415BB Distribution Box W/2 Packed Colm AA 06 02 69-00415CC Hydrocyclone	20000.00 SF 335.00 LF	2,136 7,396 5,512 3,442 1,193 386 708 616	362 1,253 934 583 202 65 120 104	250 865 645 402 139 45 83 72	275 951 709 443 153 50 91 79	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	60 209 156 97 34 11 20 17	4,968 1,721 557 1,022 1	7955.6(7.64 0.05 1.66 022.18 222.35

LABOR ID: EORG95 EQUIP ID: NAT95A

Currency in DOLLARS

CREW ID: NAT96C UPB ID: NAT95A

u 25 Apr 1996 f. Date 04/09,	/96 PROJECT SHEEPC: S	I.S. Army Corps HEEP CREEK, ORE Estimate 4/ HECT INDIRECT SU	GON - TEMPORARY 25/1996		INOOK	·			T I ME SUMMARY	E 13:43:5 PAGE
		QUANTITY UON	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	HOOH	PROF	OTHR TAX	BOND	TOTAL COST	UNIT COS
	AA 06 02 69-00415DD 20' Round Tanks, 4' high AA 06 02 69-00415EE 8", 6" & 4" Dia. Supply Pipe AA 06 02 69-00415FF 8" Dia Release Pipe AA 06 02 69-00416AA Electrical for Tanks	4.00 EA 215.00 LF 460.00 LF 4.00 EA	4,956 406 364 3,523	840 69 62 597	580 48 43 412	638 52 47 453	0 0 0 0	140 11 10 100	7,154 586 525 5,085	1.1
	TOTAL DISASSEMBLE, TRANSPORT, & STORE		30,638	5,191	3,583	3,941	0	867	44,220	
	TOTAL REARING AND HOLDING PONDS	4.00 EA	307,404	52,084	35,949	39,544	0	8,700	443,679	110919.
	TOTAL FISH HATCHERY (INCL TRAP/REL)	1.00 EA	307,404	52,084	35,949	39,544	0	8,700	443,679	443679.
	TOTAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FACILITIES	1.00 EA	307,404	52,084	35,949	39,544	0	8,700	443,679	443679.
	TOTAL SHEEP CREEK, OREGON	1.00 EA	307,404	52,084	35,949	39,544	0	8,700	443,679	443679.
•	BB GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIALS					-				
	BB 06 FISH AND WILDLIFE FACILITIES									
Þ	BB 06 02 FISH HATCHERY (INCL TRAP/REL)									
A-48	BB 06 02 69 REARING AND HOLDING PONDS	•								
ŵ	BB 06 02 69-001- Furnish Trailer - Utility							_		
	BB 06 02 69-00110AA Furnish Trailer - Utility	1.00 EA	8,000	0	0	0	0	0	8,000	
	TOTAL Furnish Trailer - Utility	1.00 EA	8,000	0	0	0	0	0	8,000	8000
	BB 06 02 69-002- Furnish Trailers- Living						•	0	50.000	25000
	BB 06 02 69-00210AA Furnish Trailers- Living	2.00 EA	50,000	0	0	0		0	50,000	
	TOTAL Furnish Trailers- Living	2.00 EA	50,000	0	0	0	0	0	50,000	25000
	BB 06 02 69-003- Furnish Pickup Trucks 1/4 ton									
	BB 06 02 69-00310AA Furnish Pickup Trucks 1/4 ton	2.00 EA	36,000	0	0	0	0	• 0	36,000	
	TOTAL Furnish Pickup Trucks 1/4 ton	2.00 EA	36,000	0	0	0	0	0	36,000	18000
	BB 06 02 69-004- Furnish Pickup Truck 3/4 ton							-		70000
	BB 06 02 69-00410AA Furnish Pickup Truck 3/4 ton	1.00 EA	30,000	0	0	• 0		0	30,000	
	TOTAL Furnish Pickup Truck 3/4 ton	1.00 EA	30,000	0	0 [°]	0	0	0	30,000	30000

CREW ID: NAT96C UPB ID: NAT95A

Currency in DOLLARS

.

TIME 13:43:55

Thu 25 Apr 1996 Eff. Date 04/09/96	PROJECT SHEEPC: S	.S. Army Corps C HEEP CREEK, OREC Estimate 4/2 ECT INDIRECT SUM	GON - TEMPORARY 25/1996		INCOK				SUMMARY PAGE 3
		QUANTITY UOM	TOTAL DIRECT	FOOH	НООН	PROF	OTHR TAX	BOND	TOTAL COST UNIT COST
	TOTAL REARING AND HOLDING PONDS	16.00 EA	124,000	0	0	0	0	0	124,000 7750.00
	TOTAL FISH HATCHERY (INCL TRAP/REL)		124,000	0	0	0	0	0	124,000
	TOTAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FACILITIES	1.00 EA	124,000	0	0	0	0	0	124,000 124000.00
•	TOTAL GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIALS		124,000		0	0	0	0	124,000
	TOTAL SHEEP CREEK, OREGON	1.00 MO	431,404	52,084	35,949	39,544	0	8,700	567,679 567679.41

of Engl

A-49

APPENDIX B

HISTORY OF EXPENDITURES THROUGH 31 JANUARY 1996

APPENDIX B

LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE COMPENSATION PLAN HISTORY OF EXPENDITURES THROUGH 31 JANUARY 1996 ^{1/2}

Fiscal Year	Expenditures
	(\$1,000)
•	
1977	· O
. 1978	1,558
1979	6,480
1980	3,664
1981	15,031
1982	19,254
1983	19,706
1984	25,024
1985	12,990
1986	15,097
1987	16,304
1988	5,391
1989	8,568
1990	9,877
1991	28,988
1992	7,094
1993	4,985
1994	4,995
1995	8,566
Subtotal, through FY 95	\$213,572
1996 (1 Oct 95 -31 Jan 96)	2,124
Total through 31 Jan 96	\$215,696

¹ Post-authorization expenditures.

B-1