#### Table 1 Child Care and Development Fund **Preliminary Estimates** Average Monthly Adjusted Number of Families and Children Served (FFY 2007) States/Territories **Average Number of Families Average Number of Children** Alabama 16,800 31.700 Alaska 2,300 3,800 American Samoa 18,000 29,800 Arizona Arkansas 4,000 5,800 California 93,500 147,100 Colorado 9,200 16,500 Connecticut 6,200 9,700 Delaware 4,200 7,000 District of Columbia 2,700 3,900 108,900 71.800 Florida Georgia 28,300 53,600 Guam Hawaii 6,400 10,000 Idaho 4.600 8.700 Illinois 41,300 76,200 18,400 35,200 Indiana Iowa 10,900 19,200 Kansas 12,000 22,500 Kentucky 16.400 29,400 27,300 44,800 Louisiana Maine 3.900 5.800 13,900 23,900 Maryland 28,600 Massachusetts 20.700 Michigan 38,700 75,500 25,600 Minnesota 14.000 Mississippi 16,600 30,600 19,300 Missouri 31.400 Montana 2,900 4,800 Nebraska 8,400 14,900 Nevada 3.600 6,100 New Hampshire 5,200 7,600 35,100 New Jersey 24,300 New Mexico 12,600 21,300 69,400 New York 115.500 North Carolina 30,700 64,200 North Dakota 2.300 3.800 Northern Mariana Islands 200 500 31,200 54,600 Ohio Oklahoma 13,300 22,600 Oregon 10,500 19,600 Pennsylvania 53,400 94,900 Puerto Rico 7,400 9,100 Rhode Island 4.700 7,700 South Carolina 11,700 20,500 5.100 South Dakota 3.200 21,100 40,400 Tennessee 71,300 132.000 Texas Utah 6,700 12,600 Vermont 4,200 6,100 Virgin Islands 300 500 15,000 24,400 Virginia 56,700 Washington 34,700 West Virginia 5,500 9,300 14,500 25,700 Wisconsin 2,700 4,400 Wyoming

Notes applicable to this table:

**National Total** 

Data as of: 09- II II -2008

1.705.200

992,400

- 4. At the time of publication, American Samoa and Guam had not yet reported any ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 5. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska began reporting full population data in February 2006; however, they are still resolving the difficulty of capturing information on children in Protective Services and Foster Care.
- 6. The reported results shown above have been rounded to the nearest 100. The national numbers are simply the sum of the State and Territory numbers.

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.

<sup>2.</sup> All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

<sup>3.</sup> All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

Table 2 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates									
Percent of Children Served by Payment Method (FFY 2007)									
State	Grants / Contracts	Certificates %	Cash %	Total					
Alabama	0%	100%	0%	52,836					
Alaska	0%	84%	16%	10,729					
American Samoa									
Arizona	0%	100%	0%	82,323					
Arkansas	43%	57%	0%	51,486					
California	37%	63%	0%	,					
Colorado	1%	98%	1%						
Connecticut	31%	69%	0%	,					
Delaware	0%	100%	0%	21,582					
District of Columbia	0%	100%	0%	11,721					
Florida	53%	47%	0%	,					
Georgia	0%	100%	0%	101,155					
Guam	43%	57%	0%	,					
Hawaii	42%	0%	58%	26,183					
Idaho	0%	100%	0%	,					
Illinois	8%	92%	0%	289,350					
Indiana	2%	98%	0%	56,566					
Iowa	0%	100%	0%	38,314					
Kansas	0%	100%	0%	,					
Kentucky	0%	100%	0%	71,557					
Louisiana	0%	100%	0%	95,464					
Maine	29%	70%	1%	8,881					
Maryland	0%	100%	0%	39,854					
Massachusetts	44%	56%	0%	93,976					
Michigan	0%	71%	29%	183,315					
Minnesota	0%	100%	0%	59,873					
Mississippi	3%	97%	0%	48,932					
Missouri	0%	100%	0%	75,137					
Montana	0%	97%	3%	10,874					
Nebraska	0%	100%	0%	32,292					
Nevada	19%	81%	0%	18,002					
New Hampshire	0%	100%	0%	12,838					
New Jersey	19%	81%	0%	72,134					
New Mexico	0%	100%	0%	36,497					
New York	20%	80%	0%						
North Carolina	0%	100%	0%	145,630					
North Dakota	0%	100%	0%	8,243					
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	0%	100%						
Ohio	0%	100%	0%						
Oklahoma	0%	100%	0%	59,537					
Oregon	3%	97%	0%	,					
Pennsylvania	0%	82%	18%	196,802					
Puerto Rico	66%	34%	0%						
Rhode Island	0%	100%	0%						
South Carolina	0%	100%	0%						
South Dakota	1%	99%	0%						
Tennessee	0%	100%	0%						
Texas	0%	100%	0%	,					
Utah	0%	0%	100%						
Vermont	1%	99%	0%						
Virgin Islands	0%	100%	0%						
Virginia	0%	100%	0%	·					
Washington	0%	100%	0%						
West Virginia	0%	100%	0%						
Wisconsin	0%	100%	0%	,					
Wyoming	0%	100%	0%	·					
National Total	12%	85%	3%	3,928,995					

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 11-JUL-2008

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2007. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e., a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.

<sup>2.</sup> All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

<sup>3.</sup> A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

<sup>4.</sup> At the time of publication, American Samoa had not reported any ACF-800 data for FFY 2007.

## **Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates**

Average Monthly Percentages of Children Served by Types of Care (FFY 2007)

State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Invalid / Not Reported	Total
Alabama	0%	14%	3%	82%	1%	100%
Alaska	16%	30%	7%	48%	0%	100%
American Samoa						
Arizona	3%	15%	7%	75%	0%	100%
Arkansas	0%	17%	0%	83%	0%	100%
California	4%	38%	10%	45%	0%	100%
Colorado	3%	25%	0%	72%	3%	100%
Connecticut	22%	30%	0%	43%	3%	100%
Delaware	3%	34%	3%	58%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	0%	5%	0%	95%	1%	100%
Florida	1%	10%	0%	80%	0%	100%
Georgia	1%	12%	2%	85%	0%	100%
Guam						
Hawaii	17%	50%	0%	30%	0%	100%
Idaho	1%	32%	15%	51%	0%	100%
Illinois	20%	44%	1%	31%	0%	100%
Indiana	1%	43%	0%	32%	0%	100%
lowa	0%	54%	6%	40%	0%	100%
Kansas	5%	21%	40%	34%	0%	100%
Kentucky	0%	16%	2%	82%	0%	100%
Louisiana	10%	11%	0%	77%	0%	100%
Maine	3%	43%	0%	54%	0%	100%
Maryland	11%	47%	0%	42%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	3%	1%	26%	70%	0%	100%
Michigan	28%	44%	10%	17%	1%	100%
Minnesota	13%	47%	0%	37%	0%	100%
Mississippi	3%	21%	1%	76%	0%	100%
Missouri	1%	39%	2%	51%	2%	100%
Montana	4%	20%	39%	38%	0%	100%
Nebraska	0%	38%	8%	54%	0%	100%
Nevada	8%	6%	1%	67%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	6%	32%	0%	62%	1%	100%
New Jersey	2%	18%	0%	81%	4%	100%
New Mexico	1%	32%	6%	61%	2%	100%
New York	16%	40%	11%	31%	0%	100%
North Carolina	0%	16%	0%	84%	1%	100%
North Dakota	0%	42%	33%	25%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	7%	37%	7%	49%	0%	100%
Ohio	0%	30%	2%	68%	9%	100%
Oklahoma	0%	25%	0%	75%	0%	100%
Oregon	21%	56%	4%	17%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	3%	42%	4%	52%	2%	100%
Puerto Rico	5%	26%	1%	61%	2%	100%
Rhode Island	0%	34%	0%	65%	0%	100%
South Carolina	5%	16%	3%	77%	0%	100%
South Dakota	1%	47%	0%	52%	0%	100%
Tennessee	1%	15%	5%	79%	0%	100%
Texas	5%	11%	2%	82%	0%	100%
Utah	11%	43%	7%	39%	2%	100%
Vermont	0%	50%	0%	50%	2%	100%
Virgin Islands	8%	0%	4%	87%	0%	100%
Virginia	6%	30%	1%	60%	0%	100%
Washington	11%	32%	0%	57%	14%	100%
West Virginia	0%	33%	5%	60%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	0%	32%	0%	68%	4%	100%
Wyoming	6%	26%	5%	63%	50%	100%
National Total Notes applicable to this table:	6%	28%	5%	59%	1%	100% s of: 09-JUL-2008

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2007. In years prior to FFY 2005, this table was based on the ACF-800 rather than the ACF-801. The CCB decided to use ACF-801 data wherever possible because it is now considered more representative.
- 2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages. National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 4. At the time of publication, American Samoa and Guam had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 5. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. If a child was in more than one setting category within the same month, the child was counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example if the child spent 70-hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).
- 6. For consistency with related reports involving setting data, the Invalid/Not Reported category includes children with any element of any setting identified as invalid or not reported including zero hours served, zero cost, or no setting records.
- 7. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska began reporting full population data in February 2006; however, they are still resolving the difficulty of capturing information on children in Protective Services and Foster Care.

## Table 4 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Average Monthly Percentages of Children Served in Regulated Settings vs.
Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation (FFY 2007)

Sett	ings Legally Opera	ating Without Regula	11011 (FF1 2007)	
State	Licensed /	Legally Operating	Invalid /	Total
	Regulated	Without Regulation	Not Reported	
Alabama	71%	28%	1%	100%
Alaska	73%	27%	0%	100%
American Samoa		-		
Arizona	90%	10%	0%	100%
Arkansas	99%	1%	0%	100%
California	70%	30%	0%	100%
Colorado	88%	8%	3%	100%
Connecticut	53%	44%	3%	100%
Delaware	90%	10%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	98%	1%	1%	100%
Florida	90%	10%	0%	100%
Georgia	96%	4%	0%	100%
Guam	-	-		-
Hawaii	37%	63%	0%	100%
Idaho	66%	34%	0%	100%
Illinois	52%	48%	0%	100%
Indiana	68%	32%	0%	100%
Iowa	83%	17%	0%	100%
Kansas	84%	16%	0%	100%
Kentucky	90%	10%	0%	100%
Louisiana	77%	23%	0%	100%
Maine	86%	14%	0%	100%
Maryland	79%	21%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	96%	4%	0%	100%
Michigan	33%	66%	1%	100%
Minnesota	72%	28%	0%	100%
Mississippi	76%	24%	0%	100%
Missouri	62%	36%	2%	100%
Montana	86%	14%	0%	100%
Nebraska	81%	19%	0%	100%
Nevada	72%	28%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	69%	30%	1%	100%
New Jersey	87%	9%	4%	100%
New Mexico	67%	31%	2%	100%
New York	54%	46%	0%	100%
North Carolina	98%	1%	1%	100%
North Dakota	65%	35%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	56%	44%	0%	100%
Ohio	91%	0%	9%	100%
Oklahoma	100%	0%	0%	100%
Oregon	42%	58%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	61%	37%	2%	100%
Puerto Rico	67%	31%	2%	100%
Rhode Island	98%	2%	0%	100%
South Carolina	84%	16%	0%	100%
South Dakota	87%	13%	0%	100%
Tennessee	90%	10%	0%	100%
Texas	87%	13%	0%	100%
Utah	55%	43%	2%	100%
Vermont	98%	0%	2%	100%
Virgin Islands	98%	2%	0%	100%
Virginia	81%	19%	0%	100%
Washington	68%	18%	14%	100%
West Virginia	97%	3%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	96%	0%	4%	100%
Wyoming	27%	23%	50%	100%
National Total	75%	24%	1%	100%

National Total

Notes applicable to this table:

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2007. In years prior to FFY 2005, this table was based on the ACF-800 rather than the ACF-801. The CCB decided to use ACF-801 data wherever possible because it is now considered more representative.
- 2. These percentages were based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 4. At the time of publication, American Samoa and Guam had not yet reported any ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 5. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. If a child was in more than one setting category within the same month, the child was counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example if the child spent 70-hours in a center and 30-hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).
- 6. For consistency with related reports involving setting data, the Invalid/Not Reported category includes children with any element of any setting identified as invalid or not reported including zero hours served, zero cost, or no setting records.
- 7. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska began reporting full population data in February 2006; however, they are still resolving the difficulty of capturing information on children in Protective Services and Foster Care.

#### Table 5 **Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates** Of Children in Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation, Average Monthly Percent Served by Relatives vs. Non-Relatives (FFY 2007) State Relative Non-Relative **Total Count** Total % 99% Alabama 1% 100% 916 Alaska 85% 15% 100% 1.031 American Samoa 100% 0% 100% 2,897 Arizona 98% 100% 46 Arkansas 2% California 74% 26% 100% 39 717 Colorado 75% 25% 100% 1.381 77% 23% 100% 3,805 Connecticut Delaware 99% 1% 100% 547 District of Columbia 100% 0% 100% 41 78% 936 22% 100% Florida Georgia 80% 20% 100% 2 173 Guam 87% 13% 100% 5,996 Hawaii Idaho 34% 66% 100% 2,939 64% 33.582 Illinois 36% 100% Indiana 30% 70% 100% 2.608 Iowa 14% 86% 100% 3,339 84% 16% 100% 3,548 Kansas 49% Kentucky 519 100% 2,983 Louisiana 51% 49% 9,638 100% 51% 49% Maine 100% 805 Maryland 47% 53% 100% 5.073 Massachusetts 78% 22% 100% 1,140 Michigan 100% 0% 100% 49,624 Minnesota 49% 51% 100% 6,504 Mississipp 54% 46% 100% 7.242 Missouri 7% 93% 100% 8,919 Montana 59% 41% 100% 654 Nebraska 3% 97% 100% 2,754 Nevada 25% 75% 100% 550 29% 71% 100% 2,292 New Hampshire 63% 37% 100% 3.030 New Jersey New Mexico 72% 28% 100% 6,677 New York 45% 55% 100% 50,328 North Carolina 73% 27% 100% 799 North Dakota 36% 64% 100% 1,318 Northern Mariana Islands 99% 2% 100% 200 Ohio NA NA N/ Oklahoma NA NA NA 0 31% 69% 100% 11,173 Oregon Pennsylvania 55% 45% 100% 35,058 Puerto Rico 83% 17% 100% 2,210 35% Rhode Island 65% 1009 162 South Carolina 0% 100% 100% 3.199 South Dakota 61% 39% 100% 683 39% 61% 100% 3,850 Tennesse Texas 100% 0% 100% 17,230 Utah 5% 100% 5,377 95% NA Vermont NA N/ Virgin Islands 50% 50% 100% 10 Virginia 47% 53% 100% 3,885 Washington 99% 1% 100% 10,038

National Total

Notes applicable to this table:

West Virginia

Wisconsin

Wvomina

**360,039** Data as of: 09-JUL-2008

96

1 031

0

100%

100%

100%

NA

36%

NA

39%

38%

- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding. In this table, centers operating without regulation (data element 26 = 11) were considered Non-Relative.
- 4. In some States there were no children served in unregulated settings and thus the percent is "NA" since division by zero is undefined. States with no Providers Legally Operating Without Regulation include Ohio, Oklahoma, Vermont and Wisconsin.
- 5. At the time of publication, American Samoa and Guam had not yet reported any ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.

64%

NA

61%

62%

- 6. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. If a child was in more than one setting category within the same month, the child was counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example if the child spent 70-hours in a center and 30-hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).
- 7. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alsaka began reporting full population data in February 2006; however, they are still resolving the difficulty of capturing information on children in Protective Services and Foster Care.

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2007. In years prior to FFY 2005, this table was based on the ACF-800 rather than the ACF-801. The CCB decided to use ACF-801 data wherever possible because it is now considered more representative.

<sup>2.</sup> All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. Percentages are based on these counts. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

### Table 6 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates Average Monthly Percentages of Children Served in All Types of Care (FFY 2007)

Licensed or Regulated Providers **Providers Legally Operating without Regulation** Total % Invalid / Child's Home **Group Home Family Home** Child's Group State Family of Not Children Relative Reported Home Home Home Relative Relative Relative Relative Relative Alabama 100% 0% 11% 57% 0% 3% 0% 25% 0% 0% 09 0% Alaska 09 19% 489 8% 09 0% 0% American Samoa 7% Arizona 1009 09 7% 7% 75% 2% 09 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Arkansas 100% 0% 16% 0% 83% 0% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% California 100% 09 15% 10% 45% 3% 1% 17% 6% 0% 0% 3% 0% 1009 0% 19% 0% 699 2% 0% 4% 29 0% 09 Colorado 3% Connecticu 100% 0% 13% 40% 16% 6% 15% 3% 5% 3% Delaware 100% 29% 3% 58% 3% 0% 5% 0% 0% 0% 2% 0% District of Columbia 100% 0% 4% 0% 94% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% Florida 100% 0% 10% 0% 80% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 9% 0% Georgia 100% 0% 9% 2% 85% 1% 0% 2% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% Guam 100% 30% 15% 37% 0% Hawaii 0% 7% 0% 2% 6% 0% 0% 3% Idaho 100% 0% 0% 15% 51% 1% 1% 11% 22% 0% 0% 0% 0% Illinois 100% 0% 20% 1% 31% 9% 119 7% 18% 0% 0% 4% 0% 2% 100% 0% 36% 0% 32% 0% 0% 0% 24% 0% Indiana 0% 59 Iowa 100% 0% 37% 6% 40% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 11% 100% 0% 10% 40% 34% 2% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 2% Kansas Kentucky 100% 0% 6% 2% 82% 0% 0% 5% 5% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% 0% 779 7% 4% 0% 0% 0% 8% 0% 0% Louisiana 5% Maine 100% 0% 32% 0% 54% 1% 2% 6% 0% 0% 0% Maryland 100% 0% 37% 0% 42% 5% 6% 5% 6% 0% 0% 0% 0% Massachusetts 100% 0% 0% 26% 70% 2% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 28% 38% 0% 1% Michigan 100% 10% 0% 0% 09 0% Minnesota 100% 0% 34% 0% 379 8% 5% 5% 8% 0% 0% 3% 0% Mississipp 100% 0% 0% 19 769 2% 10 11% 10% 0% 0% 0% 0% Missouri 100% 11% 499 0% 0% 2% 0% 0% 8% 0% 2% 26% Montana 100% 0% 10% 39% 38% 3% 1% 5% 4% 0% 0% 0% 0% Nebraska 100% 0% 19% 8% 54% 0% 0% 1% 18% 0% 0% 0% 0% Nevada 100% 0% 4% 1% 67% 2% 0% 0% 0% 19% 0% 69 New Hampshire 100% 0% 8% 0% 61% 3% 39 6% 18% 0% 0% 0% 1% New Jersey 100% 11% 76% 1% 1% 2% 4% 0% 4% New Mexico 100% 0% 2% 6% 59% 0% 0% 22% 8% 0% 0% 0% 2% New York 100% 0% 12% 11% 31% 8% 7% 11% 17% 0% 0% 2% 0% North Carolina 100% 0% 15% 0% 83% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 13% North Dakota 100% 0% 7% 33% 25% 0% 0% 22% 0% 0% 0% 0% Northern Mariana Islands 100% 0% 49% 7% 36% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Ohio 2% 59% 0% 0% 0% 0% 9% 100% 0% 30% 0% 0% 0% Oklahoma 100% 0% 25% 0% 759 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 09 0% 0% Oregon 100% 0% 21% 17% 11% 8% 27% 0% 0% 1% 0% Pennsylvania 100% 0% 4% 509 2% 19% 15% 0% 0% 0% 2% 1% Puerto Rico 100% 49 3% 1% 60% 0% 19% 4% 0% 0% 7% 2% Rhode Island 100% 0% 32% 0% 65% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% South Carolina 100% 0% 5% 3% 77% 0% 5% 0% 11% 0% 0% 0% 0% South Dakota 100% 0% 35% 0% 52% 0% 19 8% 4% 0% 0% 0% 0% Tennessee 100% 6% 5% 799 1% 0% 3% 6% 0% 0% 0% 0% Texas 100% 0% 3% 82% 5% 0% 8% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Utah 100% 0% 11% 7% 37% 11% 1% 30% 1% 0% 0% 1% 2% Vermont 100% 50% 48% 0% 2% Virgin Islands 100% 7% 0% 4% 87% 1% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Virginia 100% 2% 18% 60% 2% 2% 5% 7% 0% 3% Washington 100% 0% 25% 0% 43% 11% 0% 6% 0% 0% 0% 0% 14% West Virginia 100% 0% 32% 5% 60% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 2% 0% Wisconsin 100% 0% 329 0% 649 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 09 0% 4% 1009 0% 149 4% 0% 0% 50% Wyoming 89 0% National Percentage 100% 14% 5% 4% 0% 1% 0% 57% 2% 9% 6% 0% 3%

Notes applicable to this table:

- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 4. At the time of publication, American Samoa and Guam had not yet reported any ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 5. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. If a child was in more than one setting category within the same month, the child was counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example if the child spent 70-hours in a center and 30-hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).
- 6. For consistency with related reports involving setting data, the Invalid/Not Reported category includes children with any element of any setting identified as invalid or not reported including zero hours served, zero cost, or no setting records.
- 7. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska began reporting full population data in February 2006; however, they are still resolving the difficulty of capturing information on children in Protective Services and Foster Care.

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2007. In years prior to FFY 2005 this table was based on the ACF-800 rather than the ACF-801. The CCB decided to use ACF-801 data whereve possible because it is now considered more representative.

<sup>2.</sup> These percentages were based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusting the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

#### Table 7 **Child Care and Development Fund and Additional State Efforts** Preliminary Estimates Number of Child Care Providers Receiving CCDF Funds (FFY 2007) State **Child's Home** Total **Family Home Group Home** Center Alabama 1,280 246 1,700 3,245 455 94 Alaska 813 202 1,564 American Samoa 734 3,597 350 1,353 6,034 Arizona Arkansas n 603 99 1,594 California 9,825 61,958 6,176 5,369 83,328 Colorado 535 2,569 1,406 4,510 Connecticut 4,811 4,033 17 1,586 10,447 43 310 1,079 Delaware 726 District of Columbia 105 146 214 465 Florida 35 5,464 0 7,444 12,943 4,177 Georgia 413 212 3,583 8,385 Guam 27 46 75 Hawaii 1,529 5,060 5 212 6,806 120 Idaho 1,732 397 525 2,774 Illinois 28,644 47,553 304 3,496 79,997 3,495 67 0 1,199 4,761 Indiana 6,814 286 176 589 7,865 Iowa 2,355 726 Kansas 688 2,646 6,415 Kentucky 232 2,996 132 1,800 5,160 2.010 6,887 2,705 2,172 Louisiana 0 Maine 215 1,821 0 491 2,527 5,738 2,375 1,616 9,729 Maryland 2,956 Massachusetts 1,203 1,819 2,339 8,317 Michigan 26.804 36.159 2.666 2.438 68.067 Minnesota 3,214 13,433 1,989 18,636 Mississippi 760 5,699 24 1,404 7,887 174 2,061 Missouri 579 6 565 9,379 447 237 2,214 Montana 247 1,283 Nebraska 309 3,235 284 648 4,476 100 659 564 1,334 Nevada 11 New Hampshire 367 1,540 609 2,516 New Jersey 681 4,897 2,479 8,057 New Mexico 4.268 162 502 4.941 19,276 71,255 New York 43,118 4,37 4,490 North Carolina 95 3,917 4,316 8,328 0 North Dakota 1,706 637 140 2,484 Northern Mariana Islands 0 19 102 83 Ohio 9 10,373 227 3,846 14,455 Oklahoma 17 2,252 1,303 3,572 0 Oregon 4.250 10,710 240 722 15,922 Pennsylvania 3,710 46,146 734 3,748 54,338 2,697 759 3,517 Puerto Rico 61 0 Rhode Island 36 1,133 356 1,531 South Carolina 664 2,511 163 1,199 4,537 South Dakota 57 84 240 1,388 1,769 Tennessee 354 4,325 52 1,898 7,098 Texas 7.412 12.749 897 6,556 27,614 500 511 Utah 2 592 6,802 10 405 Vermont 361 1,990 508 2,859 Virgin Islands 0 3 28 71 102 0 0 0 0 Virginia 20,377 Washington 9,574 8,834 1,969 West Virginia 14 2,239 93 441 2,787 105 5,993 2.340 Wisconsin 8.438 0 Wyoming 213 1,107 146 159 1,625

Notes applicable to this table:

**National Total** 

Data as of: 11-JUL-2008

665,529

87,891

136,784

414,865

25,989

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2007, an unduplicated annual count.

<sup>2.</sup> This data has not been adjusted by the pooling factor (unadjusted data) because ACF-800 Data Element 6a is reported as a count of provider receiving CCDF funding.

<sup>3.</sup> Note that this table reports the number of providers (not the number of children). A provider that serves only one child per day is counted th same as, for example, a provider serving 200 children per day.

<sup>4.</sup> At the time of publication, American Samoa had not yet reported FFY 2007 ACF-800 data.

<sup>5.</sup> Virginia is not able to report the number of providers because payments are made locally and information on providers is also kept at the local level. They are working towards an automated system in order to report the number of providers.

# Table 8 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Consumer Education Strategies Summary (FFY 2007) Grants / Types/ Resource Health **Child Care Child Care Estimated Number of** Contracts / Provider Quality of Mass Regulatory Other State and and Complaint **Families Receiving** Certificates Media List Care Referral Safety Info **Policy Consumer Education** Info Materials Alabama Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 50.286 NA Υ Υ Υ Alaska 10,709 American Samoa Arizona NA V V Υ Υ Υ V ٧ Ν 224,944 Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ 14,849 Ν Arkansas California V Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ 2,069,910 NA Ν Ν 1,645,831 Colorado Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Connecticut Ν 74,126 Delaware Υ ٧ ٧ Υ Υ ٧ ٧ Υ Ν 19,990 Υ Υ Υ 25,000 Υ Υ District of Columbia Florida V V V **V** V V V Ν 178,933 Υ Georgia Ν 44,292 Guam Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 1,103 Hawaii 8,883 Υ Υ Υ NA Ν Υ Ν Υ Ν 8.688 Idaho Illinois Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 264,600 Ν 28,388 Indiana Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Iowa N Υ Ν 44.998 NA Υ Ν 124,277 Kansas Υ N Υ 43 736 Ν Υ Ν Ν Kentucky Louisiana NA V V Υ V V V ٧ Ν 55,954 Υ Υ Υ Ν 7,200 Maine Maryland NA Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ 251.548 85,000 Massachusetts Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν NA Υ Michigan 918.235 Minnesota Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 1,859,277 Υ 25,704 Mississippi Ν Missouri V V V V V V V Ν 40,231 Montana NA Υ 1,251,465 Υ Υ Υ Ν 462,408 Nebraska NA Υ Υ V Υ ٧ Υ ٧ 10,427 Nevada Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν Ν 8.256 New Hampshire New Jersey Υ Υ Ν Υ Υ V Υ Υ Ν 115,739 New Mexico NA 21,316 Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ New York Υ 986.274 Ν Ν 274,735 North Carolina 9.879 NA Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν North Dakota Northern Mariana Islands Υ V V Υ V V V Ν 639 Ohio Υ Ν 120,696 Oklahoma Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 200.380 Ν 157,339 Oregon NA Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 193 117 Pennsylvania Puerto Rico Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ ٧ Υ Υ Ν 11,299 Rhode Island Ν Ν Ν 8,000 South Carolina NA Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν Υ Ν Ν 23,671 Υ Υ South Dakota 257,167 Ν Υ Υ Υ Ν Ν 90 Tennessee Ν Texas NA V V Υ Υ Υ ٧ Υ Ν 125,420 Utah NA Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 9,703 Vermont Ν Υ Υ Υ Υ V Υ Υ Ν 8,756 Virgin Islands Ν 664

Notes applicable to this table:

Virginia

Washington West Virginia

Wisconsin

Wyoming

**Total Yes** 

**12,519,711**Data as of: 11-JUL-2008

37.335

24,988

8.457

52,801

11,998

Υ

Υ

V

55

Υ

٧

Υ

Ν

52

Υ

Υ

Υ

Υ

55

2. This data has not been adjusted by the pooling factor (unadjusted data) because it is impossible to tell which families receiving consumer information also received CCDF funding.

Υ

Υ

Υ

V

51

Υ

Υ

Υ

V

51

Υ

٧

V

52

Υ

Υ

50

Υ

Υ

Ν

Ν

8

- 3. NA=Not applicable, does not offer grants or contracts for subsidized child care slots.
- 4. A blank cell indicates that the State did not provide a response.

Υ

Υ

V

NA

35

5. At the time of publication, American Samoa had not yet reported FFY 2007 ACF-800 data.

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2007, an unduplicated annual count.

### Table 9 **Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates** Average Monthly Percentages of Children In Care By Age Group (FFY 2007)

	-	verage mon	illy Percellic	iges of Cillio	ren in Care i	Jy Age Grou	J (1 1 2007)			
Ctata	0 to	1 yr to	2 yrs to	3 yrs to	4 yrs to	5 yrs to	6 yrs to	40	Invalid/Not	Tatal
State	< 1 yr	< 2 yrs 12%	< 3 yrs 13%	< <b>4 yrs</b>	< 5 yrs	< 6 yrs	< 13 yrs 34%	13+ yrs 0%	Reported 0%	<b>Total</b> 100%
Alabama Alaska	7%	12%	13%	13%	13%	11%	32%	0%	0%	100%
American Samoa	1 70	12/0	1370	1370	1370	1170	3270	070	070	10070
Arizona	6%	11%	13%	13%	13%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Arkansas	13%	20%	22%	20%	16%	8%	0%	0%	0%	100%
California	3%	7%	10%	14%	18%	11%	38%	0%	0%	100%
Colorado	7%	12%	13%	14%	13%	10%	31%	0%	0%	100%
Connecticut	6%	11%	13%	14%	13%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Delaware	7%	12%	13%	13%	12%	10%	34%	0%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	6%	15%	20%	19%	12%	7%	21%	0%	0%	100%
Florida	6%	12%	14%	15%	14%	11%	29%	0%	0%	100%
Georgia	7%	12%	14%	13%	11%	9%	32%	0%	0%	100%
Guam		.270								
Hawaii	6%	11%	13%	17%	19%	8%	26%	0%	0%	100%
Idaho	7%	11%	13%	13%	12%	11%	32%	0%	0%	100%
Illinois	6%	10%	11%	11%	11%	9%	42%	1%	0%	100%
Indiana	6%	11%	12%	13%	13%	12%	32%	0%	0%	100%
lowa	8%	12%	13%	13%	11%	9%	34%	0%	0%	100%
Kansas	7%	11%	13%	13%	12%	11%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Kentucky	8%	12%	13%	13%	12%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Louisiana	9%	15%	17%	15%	11%	7%	26%	0%	0%	100%
Maine	4%	9%	12%	14%	15%	11%	35%	1%	0%	100%
Maryland	6%	11%	13%	13%	12%	9%	36%	0%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	7%	10%	14%	13%	15%	8%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Michigan	6%	9%	10%	10%	10%	9%	45%	1%	0%	100%
Minnesota	9%	11%	12%	12%	13%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Mississippi	6%	11%	13%	13%	11%	9%	36%	0%	0%	100%
Missouri	5%	11%	12%	13%	12%	10%	28%	1%	9%	100%
Montana	8%	12%	14%	14%	13%	11%	28%	0%	0%	100%
Nebraska	9%	13%	13%	13%	12%	9%	30%	1%	0%	100%
Nevada	6%	11%	12%	13%	13%	10%	35%	0%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	5%	10%	12%	13%	13%	11%	33%	0%	0%	100%
New Jersey	4%	11%	14%	14%	10%	9%	36%	1%	0%	100%
New Mexico	7%	12%	13%	14%	13%	10%	32%	0%	0%	100%
New York	5%	9%	11%	13%	13%	9%	41%	0%	0%	100%
North Carolina	6%	9%	11%	12%	12%	10%	40%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	11%	14%	14%	14%	11%	9%	27%	0%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	8%	9%	9%	10%	11%	11%	41%	0%	0%	100%
Ohio	7%	12%	14%	12%	11%	10%	35%	0%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	5%	13%	14%	14%	12%	10%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Oregon	8%	11%	11%	12%	11%	10%	37%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	5%	10%	11%	12%	12%	10%	39%	1%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	3%	8%	12%	16%	19%	12%	29%	2%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	5%	9%	11%	12%	12%	10%	42%	0%	0%	100%
South Carolina	8%	13%	14%	14%	12%	9%	30%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	9%	12%	14%	15%	13%	11%	26%	0%	0%	100%
Tennessee	7%	12%	13%	13%	12%	9%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Texas	7%			13%	12%	9%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Utah	7%	10%	12%	12%	12%	11%	35%	0%	0%	100%
Vermont	5%	10%	13%	13%	14%	11%	33%	1%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	3%	11%	14%	17%	18%	8%	28%	0%	0%	100%
Virginia	6%	11%	15%	15%	13%	10%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Washington	7%	11%	12%	12%	12%	10%	35%	0%	0%	100%
West Virginia	6%	11%	13%	13%	12%	10%	35%	0%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	8%	11%	12%	12%	12%	10%	35%	0%	0%	100%
Wyoming	7%	12%	14%	16%	14%	10%	27%	0%	0%	100%
National	6%	11%	12%	13%	13%	10%	35%	0%		100%
Notes applicable to this report:									Data as c	f: 09-JUL-2008

- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication American Samoa and Guam had not yet reported any ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 6. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska began reporting full population data in February 2006; however, they are still resolving the difficulty of capturing information on children in Protective Services and Foster Care.
- 7. The Invalid/Not Reported category only includes children with an invalid year/month of birth or report date.

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.

<sup>2.</sup> All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

<sup>3.</sup> All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

### Table 10 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Reasons for Receiving Care, Average Monthly Percentage of Families (FFY 2007

	Reason	s for Receiving C	Care, Average Monthly	Percentage of F	amilies (FFY 2007		
State	Employment	Training/ Education	Both Emp & Training/Education	Protective Services	Other	Invalid/ Not Reported	Total
Alabama Alaska	79% 86%	7% 3%	4% 8%	9% 0%	1% 2%	0% 0%	100% 100%
American Samoa		370	070	070	270	070	10070
Arizona	70%	1%	5%	23%	2%	0%	100%
Arkansas	46%	9%	8%	5%	32%	0%	100%
California	86%	6%	5%	1%	3%	0%	100%
Colorado	81%	11%	5%	0%	2%	2%	100%
Connecticut	95%	4%	1%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Delaware	82%	6%	4%	2%	5%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	63%	26%	3%	1%	6%	0%	100%
Florida	67%	4%	3%	25%	1%	0%	100%
Georgia	81%	7%	2%	8%	0%	1%	100%
Guam				-			
Hawaii	85%	3%	8%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Idaho	77%	9%	14%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Illinois	91%	3%	1%	0%	5%	0%	100%
Indiana	69%	10%	7%	0%	13%	0%	100%
lowa	88%	6%	0%	6%	0%	0%	100%
Kansas	93%	4%	2%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Kentucky	78%	7%	2%	13%	0%	0%	100%
Louisiana	76%	8%	10%	5%	0%	0%	100%
Maine	86%	4%	6%	2%	2%	0%	100%
Maryland	79%	12%	6%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	72%	10%	0%	15%	3%	0%	100%
Michigan	84%	12%	1%	1%	2%	0%	100%
Minnesota	78%	7%	7%	0%	8%	0%	100%
Mississippi	77%	17%	5%	1%	0%	0%	100%
Missouri	60%	16%	6%	8%	0%	10%	100%
Montana	67%	11%	15%	7%	0%	0%	100%
Nebraska	75%	11%	2%	12%	1%	0%	100%
Nevada	90%	6%	2%	0%	2%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	83%	8%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%
New Jersey	81%	2%	3%	5%	8%	0%	100%
New Mexico	77%	12%	11%	0%	0%	0%	100%
New York	75%	14%	3%	0%	8%	0%	100%
North Carolina	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	78% 75%	14% 19%	6% 6%	0% 0%	2% 0%	0% 0%	100% 100%
Northern Mariana Islands	75%	15%	5%	0%	11%	0%	100%
Ohio	81%	15%	3%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Oklahoma Oregon	75%	4%	20%	1%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	78%	6%	13%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	71%	18%	9%	1%	2%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	90%	8%	2%	0%	0%	0%	100%
South Carolina	81%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	64%	10%	12%	14%	0%	0%	100%
Tennessee	42%	35%	21%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Texas	79%	16%	3%	1%	2%	0%	100%
Utah	84%	2%	3%	0%	10%	0%	100%
Vermont	63%	14%	2%	15%	6%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	79%	16%	1%	4%	0%	0%	100%
Virginia	89%	4%	5%	0%	2%	0%	100%
Washington	82%	8%	0%	9%	1%	0%	100%
West Virginia	79%	13%	8%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	93%	1%	5%	0%	2%	0%	100%
Wyoming	92%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
National	78%	9%	5%	4%	3%	0%	100%
	. 370	370	<b>3</b> 70	170	J 70		a as of: 09. II II2008

Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" number is represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record. National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication American Samoa and Guam had not yet reported any ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 6. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska began reporting full population data in February 2006; however, they are still resolving the future future future for the future.
- 7. The Invalid/Not Reported only includes family records with an invalid or missing number for ACF-801 element 6, Reason for Receiving Subsidized Child Care.
- 8. Several States only capture the primary reason for receiving services and therefore do not report any families in Both Employment and Training/Education categories. States reporting no families in this combination category of Both Employment and Training/Education are lowa, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Wyoming.
- 9. CCB has observed some issues with income reporting across most States to varying degrees. CCB is working with States to address and resolve internal inconsistencies between ACF-801 element 6 (reason for receiving a subsidy), element 9 (total income for determining eligibility), and elements 10 through 15 (sources of income).

### Table 11 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Racial Group (FFY 2007)

	Native	Average Monthly	Black /	Native	ciai Group (FF t	2007)		
State	American /	Asian	African	Hawaiian /	White	Multi-	Invalid / Not	Total
State	Alaskan Native		American	Pacific	wille	Racial	Reported	Total
Alabama	0%	0%	76%	0%	23%	1%	0%	100%
Alaska	10%	5%	10%	6%	47%	16%	6%	100%
American Samoa								
Arizona	5%	1%	14%	1%	77%	3%	0%	100%
Arkansas	0%	0%	59%	0%	40%	0%	0%	100%
California	2%	5%	24%	1%	66%	2%	0%	100%
Colorado	1%	0%	15%	0%	34%	3%	46%	100%
Connecticut	1%	0%	34%	0%	27%	6%	31%	100%
Delaware	0%	0%	65%	0%	34%	1%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	1%	0%	88%	0%	9%	0%	2%	100%
Florida	0%	0%	50%	0%	47%	3%	0%	100%
Georgia	0%	0%	80%	0%	17%	1%	2%	100%
Guam								
Hawaii	0%	31%	1%	35%	11%	21%	0%	100%
Idaho	1%	0%	1%	0%	96%	1%	0%	100%
Illinois	0%	0%	62%	1%	19%	2%	16%	100%
Indiana	0%	0%	48%	0%	42%	9%	0%	100%
Iowa	0%	1%	20%	0%	79%	0%	0%	100%
Kansas	1%	1%	28%	0%	63%	2%	5%	100%
Kentucky	0%	0%	31%	0%	60%	0%	9%	100%
Louisiana	0%	0%	77%	0%	22%	1%	0%	100%
Maine	1%	1%	4%	0%	86%	5%	2%	100%
Maryland	0%	0%	78%	0%	18%	2%	1%	100%
Massachusetts	0%	2%	17%	0%	25%	1%	54%	100%
Michigan	0%	0%	57%	0%	41%	2%	0%	100%
Minnesota	3%	8%	33%	0%	54%	2%	0%	100%
Mississippi	0%	0%	88%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%
Missouri	0%	0%	56%	0%	38%	1%	4%	100%
Montana	13%	0%	2%	0%	80%	4%	1%	100%
Nebraska	3%	0%	27%	0%	68%	1%	0%	100%
Nevada	2%	1%	29%	1%	57%	9%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	0%	0%	3%	0%	75%	0%	21%	100%
New Jersey	0%	1%	56%	12%	25%	1%	5%	100%
New Mexico	6%	0%	4%	0%	85%	3%	0%	100%
New York	1%	1%	53%	2%	40%	3%	0%	100%
North Carolina	2%	0%	62%	0%	35%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	24%	0%	4%	0%	69%	4%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	0%	0%	99%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Ohio	0%	0%	53%	0%	44%	2%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	-
Oregon	2%	2%	10%	0%	85%	1%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	0%	1%	44%	0%	53%	2%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	0%	0%	0%	0%	67%	0%	33%	100%
Rhode Island	0%	0%	7%	0%	17%	0%	75%	100%
South Carolina	0%	0%	75%	0%	25%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	20%	0%	4%	0%	69%	6%	0%	100%
Tennessee	0%	0%	73%	0%	26%	0%	0%	100%
Texas	0%	0%	35%	0%	46%	1%	18%	100%
Utah	3%	2%	5%	1%	89%	0%	0%	100%
Vermont	0%	1%	3%	0%	93%	3%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	5%	0%	94%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Virginia	3%	1%	67%	0%	27%	1%	0%	100%
Washington	3%	2%	10%	0%	41%	0%	44%	100%
West Virginia	0%	0%	11%	0%	76%	11%	2%	100%
Wisconsin	2%	1%	40%	0%	40%	3%	13%	100%
Wyoming	3%	0%	4%	0%	80%	0%	13%	100%
National	1%		44%	1%	44%	2%	8%	100%
Notes applicable to this report:	.,,		1170			-70		as of: 09II II -2008

Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication American Samoa and Guam had not yet reported any ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 6. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska began reporting full population data in February 2006; however, they are still resolving the difficulty of capturing information on children in Protective Services and Foster Care.
- 7. The multi-racial category includes any child where more than one race was answered Yes (1). Several States do not capture and report more than one race per child and thus do not provide multi-racial data.
- 8. The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where one or more race fields had anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1), blank, null, or space.
- 9. It appears that several States and Territories are still reporting ethnicity (Latino/Hispanic) as a race rather than as an ethnicity in accordance with the Pre-FFY 2000 Technical Bulletin 3 standard. In many of these instances if a child is designated as Latino, no race is designated.
- 10. National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.

## Table 12 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Latino Ethnicity (FFY 2007)

State	Latino	Not Latino	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	1%	99%	0%	100%
Alaska	10%	88%	2%	100%
American Samoa				
Arizona	48%	52%	0%	100%
Arkansas	0%	100%	0%	100%
California	52%	48%	0%	100%
Colorado	33%	67%	0%	100%
Connecticut	37%	63%	0%	100%
Delaware	10%	90%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	11%	89%	0%	100%
Florida	24%	76%	0%	100%
Georgia	2%	98%	0%	100%
Guam				
Hawaii	6%	94%	0%	100%
Idaho	16%	84%	0%	100%
Illinois	15%	83%	3%	100%
Indiana	7%	93%	0%	100%
Iowa	6%	94%	0%	100%
Kansas	12%	88%	0%	100%
Kentucky	4%	91%	5%	100%
Louisiana	2%	98%	0%	100%
Maine	3%	97%	0%	100%
Maryland	3%	97%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	33%	67%	0%	100%
Michigan	4%	96%	0%	100%
Minnesota	3%	97%	0%	100%
Mississippi	1%	99%	0%	100%
Missouri	3%	97%	0%	100%
Montana	7%	93%	0%	100%
Nebraska	10%	90%	0%	100%
Nevada	31%	69%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	5%	71%	24%	100%
New Jersey	29%	71%	0%	100%
New Mexico	75%	25%	0%	100%
New York	24%	76%	0%	100%
North Carolina	5%	95%	0%	100%
North Dakota	3%	97%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	100%	0%	100%
Ohio	4%	96%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	0%	100%	0%	-
Oregon	14%	86%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	11%	83%	5%	100%
Puerto Rico	99%	1%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	21%	79%	0%	100%
South Carolina	0%	100%	0%	100%
South Dakota	3%	97%	0%	100%
Tennessee	1%	99%	0%	100%
Texas	44%	56%	0%	100%
Utah	16%	84%	0%	100%
Vermont	2%	98%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	9%	91%	0%	100%
Virginia	6%	94%	0%	100%
Washington	13%	87%	0%	100%
West Virginia	2%	98%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	8%	92%	0%	100%
Wyoming	12%	88%	0%	100%
Notes applicable to this report:	19%	80%	1%	100% Data as of: 09-JUL-2008

- 2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication American Samoa and Guam had not yet reported any ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 6. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska began reporting full population data in February 2006; however, they are still resolving the difficulty of capturing information on children in Protective Services and Foster Care. New Hampshire does not appear to properly report ethnicity for a significant proportion of the children served.
- 7. The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1) was in the Ethnicity field.
- 8. National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.

# Table 13 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Average Monthly Percentages of Children in Child Care by Age Category and Care Type (FFY 2007)

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total
Infants (0 to <1 yr)	6%	33%	6%	55%	100%
Toddlers (1 yr to <3 yrs)	5%	28%	6%	62%	100%
Preschool (3 yrs to <6 yrs)	4%	23%	5%	68%	100%
School Age (6 yrs to <13 yrs)	10%	34%	4%	52%	100%
13 years and older	17%	51%	4%	28%	100%
All Ages	6%	29%	5%	60%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 2. Nationally 1.5% of the children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of the child's setting record(s) were invalid or not reported.
- 3. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 4. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- 5. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 6. At the time of publication American Samoa and Guam had not yet reported any ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 7. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska began reporting full population data in February 2006; however, they are still resolving the difficulty of capturing information on children in Protective Services and Foster Care.
- 8. The National values were determined by multiplying each State's percentage by the adjusted number of children served for each State, summing across the States and then dividing by the adjusted number of children served for the Nation. "Adjusted" means adjusted to represent CCDF funding only.
- 9. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. If a child was in more than one of the above setting categories within the same month, the child was counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example if the child spent 70-hours in a center and 30-hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).

# Table 14 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Average Monthly Hours for Children In Care By Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2007)

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Weighted Averages
0 to < 1 yr	155	159	159	162	161
1 to < 2 yrs	161	165	158	169	167
2 to < 3 yrs	162	166	162	171	168
3 to < 4 yrs	167	166	164	169	168
4 to < 5 yrs	161	163	159	164	164
5 to < 6 yrs	148	147	142	142	144
6 to < 13 yrs	139	130	120	112	121
13+ yrs	138	124	108	101	119
National	148	148	146	148	148

Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 2. Nationally 1.5% of the children children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of a child's setting record was invalid or not reported.
- 3. Average hours per month were based on sums of hours per month in categories divided by counts of children in categories as further defined below.
- 4. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 5. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- 6. At the time of publication, American Samoa and Guam had not yet reported any ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 7. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska began reporting full population data in February 2006; however, they are still resolving the difficulty of capturing information on children in Protective Services and Foster Care.
- 8. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each State-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The State's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The national results shown above represent a weighted average of the State's fiscal annual results where the weight for each State is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each State for the fiscal year.
- 9. Some States have been reporting the maximum number of hours authorized rather than the actual number of service hours provided.

# Table 15 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Average Monthly Expenditures for Children Including Family CoPay In Care By Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2007)

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Weighted Averages
0 to < 1 yr	\$299	\$381	\$518	\$482	\$439
1 to < 2 yrs	\$315	\$392	\$500	\$483	\$447
2 to < 3 yrs	\$291	\$384	\$516	\$464	\$438
3 to < 4 yrs	\$296	\$367	\$485	\$441	\$421
4 to < 5 yrs	\$286	\$362	\$470	\$441	\$418
5 to < 6 yrs	\$263	\$332	\$436	\$381	\$365
6 to < 13 yrs	\$255	\$295	\$401	\$298	\$297
13+ yrs	\$250	\$276	\$327	\$310	\$283
National	\$272	\$339	\$460	\$401	\$377

Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 2. Nationally 1.5% of the children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of a child's setting record was invalid or not reported.
- 3. Cost is defined as the total amount received by the provider. It is the sum of the State subsidy and the family copay.
- 4. Average costs per month were based on sums of costs per month in categories divided by counts of children in categories as further defined below.
- 5. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 6. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- 7. At the time of publication, American Samoa and Guam had not yet reported any ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 8. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska began reporting full population data in February 2006; however, they are still resolving the difficulty of capturing information on children in Protective Services and Foster Care.
- 9. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each State-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The State's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The national results shown above represent a weighted average of the State's fiscal annual results where the weight for each State is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each State for the fiscal year.
- 10. Some States have been reporting the maximum number of hours authorized and/or dollars authorized rather than the actual number provided.

## Table 16 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates

Average Monthly Percent of Families Receiving TANF (FFY 2007)

State	71701	age monthly refeelt or i	annus nostring name	(	
Alaska	State	TANF (% Yes)	TANF (% No)	Invalid / Not Reported	Total
Alaska	Alabama	13%	87%	0%	100%
American Samona 1996 1997 Arbanasa 599 9999 0999 0999 0000 Colorado 779 90399 0999 0999 0999 0999 0999 0999				0%	100%
Alexona Alexanas 9	American Samoa	1	-	-	
Arkanasas 95% 95% 0% 100% 100% Arkanasas 111% 889% 0% 100% 100% 100% 000 111% 111% 889% 0% 100% 100% 000 100% 000 111% 111% 1		19%	81%	0%	100%
California					
Colorado 7% 93% 0% 100% Colorado 17% 93% 0% 0% 100% Delavare 9% 91% 0% 0% 100% Delavare 9% 91% 0% 0% 100% Plorida 9% 91% 0% 0% 100% Florida 9% 99% 91% 0% 0% 100% Florida 9% 99% 93% 2% 100% Sacroja 0% 94% 0% 0% 100% Garan					
Connecticut 13% 87% 0% 0% 100% Desirat of Columbia 9% 91% 91% 0% 100% Desirat of Columbia 9% 91% 91% 0% 100% Georgia 9% 94% 0% 100% Georgia 0% 9% 94% 0% 0% 100% Georgia 12% 0% 95% 0% 0% 100% Georgia 12% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Georgia 12% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Georgia 12% 0% 0% 0% 0% Georgia 12% 0% 0% 0% 0% Georgia 12% 0% Geor					
Delaware 99% 91% 0% 00% 100% 100% 100% 100% 191% 0% 100% 191% 0% 191% 0% 191% 0% 191% 0% 191% 0% 191% 0% 191% 0% 191% 0% 190% 19					
Destrict of Columbia   9%   91%   91%   9%   100%   100%   100%   60 crys   93%   23%   100%   60 crys   93%   23%   100%   60 crys   93%   23%   100%   1					
Florida					
Georgia   6%   94%   94%   0%   100					
Guam					
Hawaii 13% 87% 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%			3470	070	100 /8
Islaho         11%         99%         0%         100%           Illinois         55%         95%         0%         100%           Indiana         21%         77%         0%         100%           Iowa         27%         73%         0%         100%           Kansas         7%         93%         0%         100%           Kantucky         1%         99%         0%         100%           Kentucky         1%         99%         0%         100%           Maine         3%         97%         0%         100%           Maryland         13%         87%         0%         100%           Massachusetts         22%         78%         0%         100%           Massachusetts         22%         78%         0%         100%           Michigan         57%         43%         0%         100%           Mississippi         11%         89%         0%		139/	979/	08/	100%
Infois					
Indiana					
1099a   27%   73%   0%   100					
Kentacky 17% 93% 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%					
Kentucky					
Louisiana 10% 85% 5% 5% 100% 100% Maine 37% 97% 0% 100% 100% Maryland 13% 87% 0% 0% 100% 100% Massachusetts 22% 78% 0% 0% 100% Minnesota 57% 43% 0% 100% 100% Minnesota 36% 64% 0% 100% Minnesota 17% 85% 0% 100% 100% Minnesota 17% 85% 0% 0% 100% Mortana 17% 85% 0% 0% 100% Nevada 17% 85% 0% 0% 100% Nev Hampshire 27% 65% 8% 0% 100% New Hampshire 27% 65% 8% 0% 100% New Hampshire 27% 65% 8% 0% 100% New Jork 46% 55% 8% 0% 100% North Carolina 175% 85% 0% 0% 100% North Carolina 4% 96% 0% 100% 100% North Carolina 4% 96% 0% 100% North Carolina 4% 96% 0% 100% North Carolina 175% 85% 0% 0% 100%					
Maine         3%         97%         0%         100%           Maryland         13%         87%         0%         100%           Massachusetts         22%         78%         0%         100%           Michigan         57%         43%         0%         100%           Minnesota         36%         64%         0%         100%           Missouri         11%         89%         0%         100%           Missouri         17%         83%         0%         100%           Nevada         24%         76%         0%         100%           Nevada         17%         83%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         27%         65%         8%         100%           New Jersey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New Mexico         15%         85%         0%         100%           New Jersey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New York         46%         54%         0%         100%           North Dakota         20%         80%         0%         100%           North Dakota         20%         80%         0%<	,				
Maryland         13%         87%         0%         100%           Massachusetts         22%         78%         0%         100%           Michigan         57%         43%         0%         100%           Minnesota         36%         64%         0%         100%           Mississippi         11%         89%         0%         100%           Montana         11%         89%         0%         100%           Mortana         11%         89%         0%         100%           Nevada         17%         83%         0%         100%           New Hares         27%         65%         8%         100%           New Hampshire         27%         65%         8%         100%           New Warco         15%         85%         0%         100%           New York         46%         54%					
Massachusetts         22%         78%         0%         100%           Michigan         57%         43%         0%         100%           Minnesota         36%         64%         0%         100%           Missispip         11%         89%         0%         100%           Missouri         17%         83%         0%         100%           Montana         11%         89%         0%         100%           Mortana         11%         89%         0%         100%           Nevada         24%         76%         0%         100%           Nevada         17%         83%         0%         100%           New Jersey         27%         65%         8%         100%           New Jersey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New Jersey         15%         85%         0%         100%           New Jersey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New Jersey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New Jersey         13%         85%         0%         100%           New Jersey         13%         85%         0% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Michigan         57%         43%         0%         100%           Minnesota         36%         64%         0%         100%           Mississippi         11%         89%         0%         100%           Missouri         17%         83%         0%         100%           Montana         11%         89%         0%         100%           Nebraska         24%         76%         0%         100%           New Ada         11%         83%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         2.7%         65%         8%         100%           New Jersey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New Jork         46%         54%         0%         100%           New York         46%         54%         0%         100%           North Carolina         44%         96%         0%         100%           Norther Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Norther Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Oregon         32%         66%         0%         0%         100%           Orland	,				
Minnesota         36%         64%         0%         100%           Mississippi         11%         89%         0%         100%           Missouri         17%         83%         0%         100%           Montana         11%         89%         0%         100%           Nebraska         24%         76%         0%         100%           Nevada         11%         83%         0%         100%           New Jarsey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New Jersey         13%         87% <td< td=""><td>Massachusetts</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Massachusetts				
Mississippi         11%         89%         0%         100%           Missouri         17%         83%         0%         100%           Montana         111%         89%         0%         100%           Nebraska         24%         76%         0%         100%           Nevada         17%         83%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         27%         65%         8%         100%           New Jersey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New Mexico         15%         85%         0%         100%           New York         46%         54%         0%         100%           North Carolina         4%         96%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         10%         90%         0%         100%           Orio         15%         85%         0%         100%           Oregon         32%         68%         0%         100%           Oregon         32%         68	Michigan				
Missouri         17%         83%         0%         100%           Montana         11%         89%         0%         100%           Nebraska         24%         76%         0%         100%           Nevada         17%         83%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         27%         65%         8%         100%           New Jersey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New Jersey         13%         85%         0%         100%           New Jersey         13%         85%         0%         100%           New Jersey         15%         85%         0%         100%           New Jersey         15%         85%         0%         100%           New Jersey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New Jersey         13%         85%         0%         100%           New Jersey         13%         85%         0%         100%           New Jersey         48%         94%         0%         100%           New Jersey         48%         94%         0%         100%           New Jersey         48%         94%	Minnesota	36%			
Montana         11%         89%         0%         100%           Nebraska         24%         76%         0%         100%           Nevada         17%         83%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         27%         65%         8%         100%           New Jersey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New Mexico         15%         85%         0%         100%           New York         46%         54%         0%         100%           North Carolina         4%         96%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         15%         85%         0	Mississippi				
Nebraska         24%         76%         0%         100%           Nevada         17%         83%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         27%         66%         8%         100%           New Jersey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New Mexico         15%         85%         0%         100%           New York         46%         54%         0%         100%           North Carolina         4%         96%         0%         100%           North Dakota         20%         80%         0%         100%           North Dakota         20%         80%         0%         100%           Ohio         15%         85%         0%         100%           Okalaoma         10%         90%         0%         100%           Oregon         32%         68%         0%         100%           Pensylvania         12%         88%         0%	Missouri				
Nevada         17%         83%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         27%         65%         8%         100%           New Jersey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New Mexico         15%         85%         0%         100%           New York         46%         54%         0%         100%           North Carolina         4%         96%         0%         100%           North Dakota         20%         80%         0%         100%           Ohio         15%         85%         0%         100%           Oregon         32%         68%         0%         100%           Pennsylvaria         12%         88%	Montana	11%	89%	0%	100%
New Hampshire         27%         65%         8%         100%           New Jersey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New Mexico         15%         85%         0%         100%           New York         46%         54%         0%         100%           North Carolina         44%         96%         0%         100%           North Dakota         20%         80%         0%         100%           North Dakota         20%         80%         0%         100%           North Dakota         20%         80%         0%         100%           Norther Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         15%         85%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         10%         90%         0%         100%           Oregon         32%         68%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         12%         88%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         12%         88%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%         100%           Routh Carolina         8%	Nebraska	24%	76%	0%	100%
New Jersey         13%         87%         0%         100%           New Mexico         15%         85%         0%         100%           New York         46%         54%         0%         100%           North Carolina         4%         96%         0%         100%           North Dakota         20%         80%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         15%         85%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         10%         90%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         10%         90%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         12%         88%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         12%         88%         0%         100%           Penst Rico         0%         100%         0%         100%           Rode Island         8%         92%         0%         100%           South Carolina         26%         74%         0%         100%           South Dakota         6%         94%         0%         100%           Tennessee         60%	Nevada	17%	83%	0%	100%
New Mexico         15%         85%         0%         100%           New York         46%         54%         0%         100%           North Carolina         4%         96%         0%         100%           North Dakota         20%         80%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         15%         85%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         10%         90%         0%         100%           Oregon         32%         68%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         12%         88%         0%         100%           Suth Carolina         26%	New Hampshire	27%	65%	8%	100%
New York         46%         54%         0%         100%           North Carolina         4%         96%         0%         100%           North Dakota         20%         80%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         15%         85%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         10%         90%         0%         100%           Oregon         32%         68%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         12%         88%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         8%         92%         0%         100%           Routh Carolina         26%         74%         0%         100%           South Dakota         6%         94%         0%         100%           Tennessee         60%         40%         0%         100%           Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Utah         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%	New Jersey	13%	87%	0%	100%
North Carolina         4%         96%         0%         100%           North Dakota         20%         80%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         15%         85%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         10%         90%         0%         100%           Oregon         32%         68%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         12%         88%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         8%         92%         0%         100%           South Carolina         26%         74%         0%         100%           South Dakota         6%         94%         0%         100%           Tennessee         60%         40%         0%         100%           Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Utah         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95% <td>New Mexico</td> <td>15%</td> <td>85%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>100%</td>	New Mexico	15%	85%	0%	100%
North Dakota         20%         80%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         15%         85%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         10%         90%         0%         100%           Oregon         32%         68%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         12%         88%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         8%         92%         0%         100%           South Carolina         26%         74%         0%         100%           South Dakota         6%         94%         0%         100%           Tennessee         60%         40%         0%         100%           Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Utah         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Virginia         31%         69%	New York	46%	54%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands         0%         100%         0%         100%           Ohio         15%         85%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         10%         90%         0%         100%           Oregon         32%         68%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         12%         88%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         8%         92%         0%         100%           South Carolina         26%         74%         0%         100%           South Dakota         6%         94%         0%         100%           Tennessee         60%         40%         0%         100%           Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Utah         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%	North Carolina	4%	96%	0%	100%
Ohio         15%         85%         0%         100%           Oklahoma         10%         90%         0%         100%           Oregon         32%         68%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         12%         88%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         8%         92%         0%         100%           South Carolina         26%         74%         0%         100%           South Dakota         6%         94%         0%         100%           Tennessee         60%         40%         0%         100%           Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Vermont         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0	North Dakota	20%	80%	0%	100%
Oklahoma         10%         90%         0%         100%           Oregon         32%         68%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         12%         88%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         8%         92%         0%         100%           South Carolina         26%         74%         0%         100%           South Dakota         6%         94%         0%         100%           Tennessee         60%         40%         0%         100%           Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Vermont         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Virginia         31%         69%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         <	Northern Mariana Islands	0%	100%	0%	100%
Oklahoma         10%         90%         0%         100%           Oregon         32%         68%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         12%         88%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         8%         92%         0%         100%           South Carolina         26%         74%         0%         100%           South Dakota         6%         94%         0%         100%           Tennessee         60%         40%         0%         100%           Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Vermont         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Virginia         31%         69%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         <	Ohio	15%	85%	0%	100%
Oregon         32%         68%         0%         100%           Pennsylvania         12%         88%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         8%         92%         0%         100%           South Carolina         26%         74%         0%         100%           South Dakota         6%         94%         0%         100%           Tennessee         60%         40%         0%         100%           Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Vermont         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Virginia         31%         69%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0%         100%           Wyoming         0%         100%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%					
Pennsylvania         12%         88%         0%         100%           Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         8%         92%         0%         100%           South Carolina         26%         74%         0%         100%           South Dakota         6%         94%         0%         100%           Tennessee         60%         40%         0%         100%           Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Vermont         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Virginia         31%         69%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%         100%		32%	68%	0%	
Puerto Rico         0%         100%         0%         100%           Rhode Island         8%         92%         0%         100%           South Carolina         26%         74%         0%         100%           South Dakota         6%         94%         0%         100%           Tennessee         60%         40%         0%         100%           Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Utah         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Virginia         31%         69%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%         100%				0%	
Rhode Island         8%         92%         0%         100%           South Carolina         26%         74%         0%         100%           South Dakota         6%         94%         0%         100%           Tennessee         60%         40%         0%         100%           Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Utah         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Virginia         31%         69%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%         100%					
South Carolina         26%         74%         0%         100%           South Dakota         6%         94%         0%         100%           Tennessee         60%         40%         0%         100%           Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Utah         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Virginia         31%         69%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0%         100%           Wyoming         0%         100%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%         100%					
South Dakota         6%         94%         0%         100%           Tennessee         60%         40%         0%         100%           Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Utah         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Virginia         31%         69%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0%         100%           Wyoming         0%         100%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%         100%					
Tennessee         60%         40%         0%         100%           Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Utah         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Virginia         31%         69%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0%         100%           Wyoming         0%         100%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%         100%					
Texas         1%         99%         0%         100%           Utah         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Virginia         31%         69%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0%         100%           Wyoming         0%         100%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%         100%					
Utah         12%         88%         0%         100%           Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Virginia         31%         69%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0%         100%           Wyoming         0%         100%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%         100%					
Vermont         19%         81%         0%         100%           Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Virginia         31%         69%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0%         100%           Wyoming         0%         100%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%         100%					
Virgin Islands         5%         95%         0%         100%           Virginia         31%         69%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0%         100%           Wyoming         0%         100%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%         100%					
Virginia         31%         69%         0%         100%           Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0%         100%           Wyoming         0%         100%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%         100%					
Washington         20%         80%         0%         100%           West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0%         100%           Wyoming         0%         100%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%         100%					
West Virginia         6%         94%         0%         100%           Wisconsin         4%         96%         0%         100%           Wyoming         0%         100%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%         100%					
Wisconsin     4%     96%     0%     100%       Wyoming     0%     100%     0%     100%       National     17%     83%     0%     100%					
Wyoming         0%         100%         0%         100%           National         17%         83%         0%         100%					
National 17% 83% 0% 100%					
		17%	83%	0%	

Notes applicable to this report:

- 2. These percentages were based on the "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record. National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication, American Samoa and Guam had not yet reported any ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.
- 6. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska began reporting full population data in February 2006; however, they are still resolving the difficulty of capturing information on children in Protective Services and Foster Care.
- 7. The percentage shown as "Yes" is the number reported as "Yes" divided by the families that answered "Yes" or "No" excluding families that were in protective services. The Invalid/Not Reported column includes families that did not indicate whether TANF was a source of income and the family was reported as being in protective services.

The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.

#### **Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates** Average Monthly Mean Family Co-payment as a Percent of Family Income (FFY 2007) Mean CoPay as a Percent of Income Percent of Families Families with \$0 Income: Families with Families with Including Excluding Headed by a Child; Total of All State/Territories \$0 CoPay CoPay > \$0 **Families** Families In Protective Services; (and not in (and not in **Families** with with Invalid CoPay or Income Category A) Category A) \$0 CoPay \$0 CoPay (Category A) Alahama 16% 7% 76% 100% 5% 5% Alaska 9% 8% 84% 100% 4% 4% American Samoa 27% 7% 66% 100% 4% Arizona 4% 78% 1% 6% 12% 10% Arkansas 100% 1% 65% 32% 100% 3% California 3% 8% 68% 100% 9% 11% Colorado 8% 88% 100% 5% Connecticu 38% 9% 9% 53% 100% 5% District of Columbia 36% 17% 47% 100% 3% 4% 28% 72% 100% 7% 7% Florida 0% Georgia 15% 12% 739 100% 6% 7% Guam Hawaii 3% 46% 50% 100% 1% 2% 12% 10% ldaho 0% 889 100% 10% Illinois 3% 1% 95% 100% 6% 6% 1% Indiana 2% 75% 22% 100% 6% 9% 55% 35% 100% 6% Iowa Kansas 17% 18% 66% 100% 5% 6% 100% 8% Kentucky 149 219 65% 9% 5% 86% 100% 12% 12% Maine 5% 3% 92% 100% 8% Maryland 80% 100% 8% 9% Massachusetts 20% 26% 53% 100% 6% 8% Michigan 4% 26% 70% 100% 2% 3% Minnesota 2% 28% 70% 100% 3% 4% 16% 83% 4% 4% Mississippi 1% 100% 47% 16% 4% 37% 100% 6% Missouri Montana 91% 100% 4% 4% 38% 15% 100% 2% 9% Nebraska 18% 80% 100% 6% 7% Nevada New Hampshire 37% 52% 100% 0% 129 14% 749 100% 6% 7% New Jersey New Mexico 7% 16% 77% 100% 4% 5%

National
Notes applicable to this report:

New York

Ohio

Oklahoma

Puerto Rico

Rhode Island

Tennessee

Texas

Utah

Vermont

Virginia

Wyoming

Virgin Islands

Washington

West Virginia Wisconsin

South Carolina South Dakota

Oregon Pennsylvania

North Carolina

North Dakota

Northern Mariana Islands

6% Data as of: 09-JUL-2008

8%

17%

4%

6%

9%

9%

5%

4%

5%

3%

11%

1%

4%

5%

0%

10%

7%

4%

6%

6%

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FY 2007.
- 2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. DC has indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported unmber of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding

37%

5%

0%

0%

4%

22%

7%

3%

35%

26%

0%

44%

88%

4%

14%

7%

60%

33%

59%

13%

3%

1%

23%

62%

80%

719

83%

88%

449

67%

85%

37%

69%

929

34%

119

75%

84%

63%

31%

64%

16%

80%

84%

84%

64%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

3%

8%

17%

4%

6%

6%

8%

5%

4%

3%

5%

0%

9%

4%

5%

0%

2%

3%

6%

6%

5%

5. At the time of publication, American Samoa and Guam had not yet reported any ACF-801 data for FFY 2007.

2%

14%

29%

17%

8%

33%

26%

139

28%

6%

8%

22%

1%

21%

3%

30%

9%

6%

13%

14%

13%

- 6. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Alaska began reporting full population data in February 2006; however, they are still resolving the difficulty of capturing information on children in Protective Services and Foster Care.
- 7. The "Mean CoPay/Income" columns exclude families with zero income because dividing by zero is undefined.
- 8. The column labeled as "Category A" includes: families with zero income; families in protective services or families headed by a child; families with invalid income or copay.
- 9. The "Families with \$0 Copay ..." category is the percentage of families that had a \$0 co-payment and were not in Category A, divided by the count of all families. The sum of these three categories is 100%.
- 10. The results shown under "Mean Copay/Income" feature two different statistics, "Including" and "Excluding" \$0 copay. The data analyzed for the "Including Families with \$0 CoPay" category includes all families except those families in the "Category A" data i.e., the total minus the Category A data. The data analyzed for "Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay" includes only those families in the category "Families with CoPay >\$0 (and not in Category A)\*. Alternatively, the data for "Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay" is all the family data minus those families in Category A and minus those families with \$0 CoPay.
- 11. The National weighted values were determined by multiplying each State's average co-payment/income percentage by the adjusted number of children in each State, summing across the States and then dividing by the adjusted number of children served for the Nation.