Release Date: September 1999 Next Release Date: One-time

The Comprehensive Electricity Competition Act: A Comparison of Model Results

Table 3. Comparison of Major Differences Between EMM and TRADELEC™

| Area | NEMS | POEMS |
|---------------------|---|---|
| Regional Detail | 13 regions | 114 regions |
| Pricing Methodology | Marginal energy, taxes, reliability component | Marginal energy, O&M, ancillary services charge |
| Stranded Costs | Calculated at the EMM region level as the discounted difference of power plant revenues available to meet fixed costs under regulation and competition | Calculated at the utility level by subcomponent—generating plant stranded costs, regulatory asset costs, and nuclear decommissioning costs |
| Reserve Margins | Endogenous, based on cost of capacity and value of unserved energy | Exogenous |
| Trade | Aggregated to NERC region | Disaggregated to the power control area level |

Sources: **NEMS**: National Energy Modeling System Documentation, http://www.eia.doe.gov/bookshelf/docs/html. **POEMS**: U.S. Department of Energy, *Supporting Analysis for the Comprehensive Electricity Competition Act*, DOE/PO-0059 (Washington, DC, May 1999).