

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Kinder Morgan Louisiana Pipeline Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF APPENDICES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND TERMS	xi
 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	 ES-1
INTRODUCTION	ES-1
PROJECT BACKGROUND	ES-1
PROPOSED ACTION	ES-1
PUBLIC OUTREACH AND COMMENTS	ES-2
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS.....	ES-2
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	ES-4
CONCLUSIONS	ES-4
 1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	 1-1
1.1 PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED.....	1-2
1.2 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THIS STATEMENT	1-2
1.3 PERMITS, APPROVALS, AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	1-3
1.4 PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT.....	1-6
1.5 NONJURISDICTIONAL FACILITIES	1-8
 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION.....	 2-1
2.1 PROPOSED FACILITIES.....	2-1
2.1.1 Pipelines	2-3
2.1.2 Aboveground Facilities	2-5
2.1.3 Ancillary Areas	2-6
2.2 LAND REQUIREMENTS	2-7
2.2.1 Pipeline Rights-of-Way and Extra Workspaces.....	2-7
2.2.2 Aboveground Facilities	2-10
2.2.3 Ancillary Areas	2-10
2.3 CONSTRUCTION AND RESTORATION PROCEDURES	2-10
2.3.1 Pipelines	2-12
2.3.1.1 Conventional Upland Construction Methods	2-12
2.3.1.2 Wetland Construction Techniques	2-24
2.3.1.3 Special Pipeline Construction Techniques	2-31
2.3.2 Aboveground Facilities	2-43
2.3.3 Ancillary Facilities	2-44
2.4 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	2-44
2.5 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE, INSPECTION, AND MITIGATION MONITORING.....	2-45
2.6 SAFETY CONTROLS	2-46
2.7 FUTURE PLANS AND ABANDONMENT	2-47

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	<u>Page</u>
3.0 ALTERNATIVES	3-1
3.1 NO ACTION OR POSTPONED ACTION ALTERNATIVE	3-1
3.2 SYSTEM ALTERNATIVES	3-2
3.2.1 Use of Existing Pipeline Systems.....	3-3
3.2.2 Use of Proposed Pipeline Systems.....	3-3
3.2.2.1 System Alternative #1	3-4
3.2.2.2 System Alternative #2	3-7
3.3 MAJOR ROUTE ALTERNATIVES.....	3-8
3.3.1 Southern Route Alternative #1	3-10
3.3.2 Southern Route Alternative #2.....	3-10
3.3.3 Northern Route Alternative	3-12
3.3.4 Center Route Alternative.....	3-13
3.4 Route Variations	3-13
3.4.1 Blue Buck Point Route Variation (Leg 1 MP 1.1 – MP 7.1)	3-15
3.4.2 Garrison's Ridge Route Variation (Leg 1 MP 2.1 – MP 4.6)	3-16
3.4.3 Vinton Drainage Canal Route Variation (Leg 1 MP 31.2 – MP 35.7).....	3-16
3.4.4 Bayou Choupique Route Variation (Leg 1 MP 40.1 – MP 45.2).....	3-17
3.4.5 Calcasieu River Route Variation (Leg 1 MP 47.8 – MP 52.4)	3-18
3.4.6 Tom Herbert Road Route Variations (Leg 1 MP 57.2 – MP 59.7)	3-18
3.4.7 Interstate Highway 10 (I-10) Route Variation (Leg 1 MP 74.9 – MP 78.4).....	3-19
3.4.8 Freeland Road Route Variation (Leg 1 MP 88.6 – MP 89.1)	3-20
3.4.9 Bayou Nezpique Route Variations (Leg 1 MP 95.3 – MP 100.5).....	3-20
3.4.10 US Highway 190 Route Variation (Leg 1 MP 111.9 – MP 112.5)	3-20
3.4.11 South Forty Acre Subdivision Route Variation (Leg 1 MP 114.8 – MP 115.7).....	3-21
3.4.12 Old Schoolhouse Road Route Variation (Leg 1 MP 122.0 – MP 123.2)	3-22
3.4.13 Perron Road Route Variation (Leg 1 MP 127.6 – MP 129.8).....	3-23
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS	4-1
4.1 GEOLOGIC RESOURCES	4-1
4.1.1 Affected Environment.....	4-1
4.1.2 Impacts and Mitigation	4-5
4.2 SOILS	4-9
4.2.1 Affected Environment.....	4-9
4.2.2 Impacts and Mitigation	4-9
4.2.2.1 Construction Impacts.....	4-9
4.2.2.2 Operation Impacts	4-15
4.3 WATER RESOURCES	4-16
4.3.1 Groundwater.....	4-16
4.3.1.1 Affected Environment.....	4-16
4.3.1.2 Impacts and Mitigation.....	4-17
4.3.2 Surface Water.....	4-19
4.3.2.1 Affected Environment.....	4-19
4.3.2.2 Impacts and Mitigation.....	4-24

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	<u>Page</u>
4.4 4.3.2.3 The Sabine Lake and Calcasieu River Crossings 4-26 WETLANDS 4-29 4.4.1 Affected Environment 4-29 4.4.2 Impacts and Mitigation 4-38	4-26
4.5 VEGETATION 4-42 4.5.1 Affected Environment 4-42 4.5.2 Impacts and Mitigation 4-43 4.5.2.1 Primary Impact to Vegetative Cover Types 4-43 4.5.2.2 Exotic/Invasive Plant Species 4-44	4-42
4.6 WILDLIFE AND AQUATIC RESOURCES 4-46 4.6.1 Terrestrial Wildlife Resources 4-46 4.6.1.1 Affected Environment 4-46 4.6.1.2 Impacts and Mitigation 4-49 4.6.2 Freshwater Aquatic Resources 4-51 4.6.2.1 Affected Environment 4-51 4.6.2.2 Impacts and Mitigation 4-52 4.6.3 Marine Fishery Resources 4-55 4.6.3.1 Affected Environment 4-55 4.6.3.2 Impacts and Mitigation 4-57 4.6.4 Essential Fish Habitat 4-58 4.6.4.1 Affected Federally Managed Species 4-59 4.6.4.2 Impacts and Mitigation 4-62 4.6.4.3 Conclusions 4-64	4-46
4.7 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES 4-65 4.7.1 Federally Listed Threatened and Endangered Species 4-65 4.7.2 State-Sensitive Species 4-71 4.7.3 Conclusions and Recommendations 4-72	4-65
4.8 LAND USE, RECREATION, AND VISUAL RESOURCES 4-74 4.8.1 Land Use 4-74 4.8.1.1 Temporary Extra Workspaces 4-74 4.8.1.2 Aboveground Facilities 4-77 4.8.1.3 Access Roads 4-77 4.8.1.4 Pipe Storage and Contractor Yards 4-78 4.8.2 Acquisition of Land through Easements and Eminent Domain 4-78 4.8.3 Land Use Impacts and Mitigation 4-78 4.8.3.1 Agricultural Areas 4-78 4.8.3.2 Open Water 4-79 4.8.3.3 Forest 4-79 4.8.3.4 Developed Land 4-79 4.8.3.5 Open Land, Beaches, and Other 4-80 4.8.3.6 Residences and Planned Residential Developments 4-80 4.8.3.7 Recreation and Special Use Areas 4-81 4.8.3.8 Wetland Restoration and Mitigation Projects 4-83 4.8.3.9 Natural and Scenic Rivers 4-83 4.8.3.10 Hazardous Waste Sites 4-83 4.8.4 Visual Resources 4-84 4.8.4.1 Pipeline Facilities 4-84	4-74

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	<u>Page</u>
4.9 4.8.4.2 Aboveground Facilities	4-84
4.8.5 Coastal Zone Management.....	4-85
4.9 SOCIOECONOMIC RESOURCES	4-86
4.9.1 Region of Influence.....	4-86
4.9.2 Population	4-86
4.9.3 Employment and Economy	4-87
4.9.4 Housing	4-88
4.9.5 Infrastructure and Public Services.....	4-90
4.9.6 Transportation and Traffic	4-90
4.9.6.1 Land Transportation	4-90
4.9.6.2 Marine Transportation.....	4-91
4.9.7 Government Tax Revenue.....	4-91
4.10 CULTURAL RESOURCES	4-93
4.10.1 Consultation with Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer	4-93
4.10.2 Native American Consultation	4-93
4.10.3 Results of Cultural Resources Survey	4-93
4.10.4 General Impacts and Mitigation	4-95
4.10.5 Unanticipated Discovery Plan.....	4-96
4.11 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	4-97
4.11.1 Water Resources.....	4-99
4.11.2 Wetlands.....	4-103
4.11.3 Biological Resources.....	4-103
4.11.4 Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources	4-106
4.11.5 Socioeconomics.....	4-106
4.11.6 Shoreline Erosion	4-107
4.11.7 Air Quality and Noise	4-107
4.11.8 Cumulative Impacts Conclusions.....	4-108
4.12 AIR QUALITY AND NOISE	4-109
4.12.1 Air Quality	4-109
4.12.1.1 Affected Environment	4-109
4.12.1.2 Regulatory Requirements	4-109
4.12.1.3 General Impacts and Mitigation	4-112
4.12.2 Noise	4-113
4.12.2.1 Affected Environment	4-114
4.12.2.2 Impacts and Mitigation.....	4-114
4.13 RELIABILITY AND SAFETY.....	4-116
4.13.1 Safety Standards.....	4-116
4.13.2 Pipeline Accident Data.....	4-120
4.13.3 Impact on Public Safety	4-122
5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	5-1
5.1 SUMMARY OF THE STAFF'S ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS	5-1
5.1.1 Geology	5-1
5.1.2 Soils.....	5-1
5.1.3 Water Resources.....	5-2
5.1.4 Wetlands.....	5-3
5.1.5 Vegetation	5-3

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	<u>Page</u>
5.1.6 Wildlife and Aquatic Resources.....	5-4
5.1.7 Threatened and Endangered Species.....	5-6
5.1.8 Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources	5-7
5.1.9 Socioeconomics.....	5-8
5.1.10 Cultural Resources	5-8
5.1.11 Cumulative Impacts	5-9
5.1.12 Air Quality and Noise	5-9
5.1.13 Reliability and Safety	5-9
5.1.14 Alternatives	5-9
5.2 FERC STAFF'S RECOMMENDED MITIGATION.....	5-10

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

- APPENDIX A DISTRIBUTION LIST
- APPENDIX B FACILITY LOCATION MAPS
- APPENDIX C LAND REQUIREMENTS FOR EXTRA WORKSPACES, ACCESS ROADS, AND PIPE STORAGE AND CONTRACTOR YARDS
- APPENDIX D LOCATION OF REQUESTED ALTERNATIVE MEASURES
- APPENDIX E PIPELINE CONSTRUCTION METHODS (BY MILEPOST)
- APPENDIX F ROUTE VARIATION MAPS
- APPENDIX G WATERBODY CROSSINGS
- APPENDIX H AFFECTED WETLANDS
- APPENDIX I DRAFT HORIZONTAL DIRECTIONAL DRILLING CONTINGENCY PLAN
- APPENDIX J DRAFT AQUATIC RESOURCE MITIGATION PLAN
- APPENDIX K SEA TURTLE CONSTRUCTION GUIDELINES
- APPENDIX L REFERENCES
- APPENDIX M LIST OF PREPARERS
- APPENDIX N SUBJECT INDEX

TABLES

<u>Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.3-1	Major Permits, Approvals, and Consultations for the KMLP Project.....	1-5
1.4-1	Issues Identified in the Public and Agency Scoping Process for the KMLP Project	1-7
2.1-1	Proposed KMLP Pipelines	2-1
2.1.1-1	Pig Launchers/Receivers and Mainline Block Valves	2-4
2.1.2-1	Interconnect Locations and Connecting Pipelines	2-6
2.1.3-1	Number of Ancillary Areas by Parish/County	2-7
2.2-1	Locations and Land Requirements for the Project	2-8
2.3-1	Acceptance or Denial of Requested Alternative Measures	2-11
2.3.1-1	Construction Methods by Category	2-12
3.2-1	Comparison of KMLP's Proposed System with System Alternatives	3-6
3.3-1	Comparison of KMLP's Proposed Route with Major Route Alternatives for Leg 1	3-11
3.4-1	Route Variations Considered in Developing the Proposed Route for Leg 1.....	3-14
3.4.1-1	Environmental Comparison of Blue Buck Point Route Variation	3-15
3.4.1-2	Bottom Substrate Crossed by the Blue Buck Pipeline Route Variation within Sabine Lake	3-15
3.4.2-1	Environmental Comparison of Garrison's Ridge Route Variation	3-16
3.4.3-1	Environmental Comparison of Vinton Drainage Canal Route Variation.....	3-17
3.4.4-1	Environmental Comparison of Bayou Choupique Route Variation.....	3-17
3.4.5-1	Environmental Comparison of Calcasieu River Route Variation	3-18
3.4.6-1	Environmental Comparison of Tom Herbert Road Route Variations #1 and #2	3-19
3.4.7-1	Environmental Comparison of I-10 Route Variation.....	3-19
3.4.8-1	Environmental Comparison of the Freeland Road Route Variation	3-20
3.4.9-1	Environmental Comparison of Bayou Nezpique Route Variations #1 and #2.....	3-21
3.4.10-1	Environmental Comparison of US Highway 190 Route Variation	3-21
3.4.11-1	Environmental Comparison of the South Forty Acre Subdivision Route Variation	3-22
3.4.12-1	Environmental Comparison of the Old Schoolhouse Road Route Variation	3-22
3.4.13-1	Environmental Comparison of the Perron Road Route Variation.....	3-23
4.1.1-1	Geology Along the Proposed KMLP Project.....	4-2
4.1.1-2	Oil and Gas Wells Within 150 feet of the KMLP Project.....	4-4
4.2.1-1	Soils Crossed by the Proposed KMLP Pipeline	4-10
4.3.1.1-1	Drinking Water Wellhead Protection Areas That Would be Crossed by the KMLP Project	4-17
4.3.1.1-2	Wells Located Within 150 Feet of the KMLP Project.....	4-18
4.3.2.1-1	Water Quality/Use Designations Other Than No Quality/Use	4-20
4.3.2.1-2	Sensitive Waterbodies Affected by the Proposed Project	4-21
4.3.2.1-3	Features Crossed Using HDD Along the Kinder Morgan Louisiana Pipeline	4-21
4.3.2.2-1	Hydrostatic Test Water Source and Discharge Locations.....	4-26
4.4.1-1	Common Wetland Species Identified Within the KMLP Project Area.....	4-30
4.4.1-2	Summary of Wetlands Affected by the KMLP Project.....	4-31
4.4.1-3	Acceptance or Denial of Requested Alternative Measures from our Procedures	4-34
4.4.1-4	The Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection, and Restoration Act Projects in the Vicinity of the KMLP Project	4-36
4.5.1-1	Upland Vegetation Types Crossed by the KMLP Project.....	4-42

TABLES (cont'd)

<u>Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
4.5.2.1-1	Upland Vegetation Cover Types Affected by the KMLP Project.....	4-43
4.6.1-1	Habitats and Typical Non-Fish Wildlife Species Found within the Project Area.....	4-47
4.6.2.1-1	Freshwater Aquatic Species Occurring Within Waterbodies Crossed by the Proposed KMLP Project	4-51
4.6.3.1-1	Marine Species Occurring Within Waterbodies Crossed by the Proposed KMLP Project	4-55
4.6.3.1-2	Bottom Substrate Crossed by the KMLP Project within Sabine Lake.....	4-56
4.6.4.1-1	Summary of EFH Categories Potentially Used by Specific Life Stages of Federally Managed Species.....	4-60
4.6.4.1-2	Relative Abundance of Managed Species within the Project Area.....	4-61
4.7.1-1	Federally Listed Species Potentially Occurring in the KMLP Project Area.....	4-66
4.8.1-1	Land Use Affected by Construction and Operation of the KMLP Project.....	4-75
4.8.1-2	Existing Rights-of-Way Paralleled by the KMLP Projecta.....	4-76
4.8.1.2-1	Acres of Land Affected by Construction and Operation of the Aboveground Facilities	4-77
4.8.3.6-1	Structures Within 50 Feet of the Construction Work Areas	4-81
4.9.2-1	Population Conditions in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project.....	4-86
4.9.2-2	Estimated Workforce in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project	4-87
4.9.3-1	Employment Conditions in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project	4-88
4.9.4-1	General Housing Conditions in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project.....	4-89
4.9.4-2	Unoccupied Housing Characteristics in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project.....	4-89
4.11-1	Existing, Approved, or Proposed Projects and Activities that Could Contribute to Cumulative Impacts with the KMLP Project	4-100
4.11-2	Resources of Concern that Could be Affected by Construction or Development of Existing, Approved, or Proposed Projects or Activities in the Vicinity of the KMLP Project	4-102
4.11-3	Cumulative Impacts to Environmental Resources Resulting from the Construction and Operation of Projects in the Vicinity of the KMLP Project	4-104
4.12.1.2-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards	4-110
4.12.1.3-1	Emission from Construction Activities	4-112
4.12.1.3-2	Emission from Heaters Located at Interconnect Sites	4-113
4.13.1-1	Area Classifications	4-118
4.13.2-1	Natural Gas Service Incidents by Cause	4-121
4.13.2-2	Outside Forces Incidents by Cause (1970-1984)	4-122
4.13.2-3	External Corrosion by Level of Control (1970-1984).....	4-122
4.13.3-1	Annual Average Fatalities - Natural Gas Transmission and Gathering Systems.....	4-123
4.13.3-2	Nationwide Accidental Deaths.....	4-123

FIGURES

<u>Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
2.1-1	General Location of KMLP Project	2-2
2.3.1.1-1	Upland Pipeline Construction Sequence	2-13
2.3.1.1-2	Typical Cross-Section for Upland Construction of 42" Pipe Without Topsoil Segregation.....	2-17
2.3.1.1-3	Typical Cross-Section for Upland Construction of 42" Pipe Adjacent to Foreign Pipe without Topsoil Segregation	2-18
2.3.1.1-4	Typical Cross-Section of 42" Pipe with Full-Width Topsoil Segregation	2-19
2.3.1.1-5	Typical Cross-Section for Upland Construction of 42" Pipe Adjacent to Foreign Pipe with Ditch-plus-Spoil-Side Topsoil Segregation	2-20
2.3.1.1-6	Typical Cross-Section for Upland Construction of 42" and 36" Parallel Pipes within the Sabine Pass LNG Terminal without Topsoil Segregation	2-21
2.3.1.1-7	Typical Cross-Section for Upland Construction of 36" Pipe within the Sabine Pass LNG Terminal without Topsoil Segregation	2-22
2.3.1.1-8	Typical Cross-Section for Upland Construction of 24" Pipe Adjacent to Foreign Pipe without Topsoil Segregation	2-23
2.3.1.2-1	Unsaturated Wetland Crossing with Topsoil Segregation	2-25
2.3.1.2-2	Unsaturated Wetland Crossing with Topsoil Segregation	2-26
2.3.1.2-3	Saturated Wetland Crossing without Topsoil Segregation	2-28
2.3.1.2-4	Saturated Wetland Crossing without Topsoil Segregation	2-29
2.3.1.2-5	Typical Submerged Marsh Crossing (Push-Pull).....	2-30
2.3.1.3-1	Typical HDD Waterbody Crossing	2-32
2.3.1.3-2	Flume Crossing Method.....	2-35
2.3.1.3-3	Typical Access Canal in Open Water	2-37
2.3.1.3-4	Typical Inland Open Water Construction, <8 feet depth of water	2-38
2.3.1.3-5	Typical Inland Open Water Construction, >8 feet depth of water	2-39
2.3.1.3-6	Typical Foreign Pipeline Crossing	2-41
3.2-1	System Alternatives for the KMLP Project.....	3-5
3.3-1	Major Route Alternatives for the KMLP Project	3-9
4.11-1	Existing, Approved, or Proposed Gas Pipeline and LNG Projects that Could Contribute to Cumulative Impacts	4-98

[This page intentionally left blank]

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND TERMS

ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
ANR	ANR Pipeline Company
APC	area of probable concern
APE	area of potential effects
API	American Petroleum Institute
AQCR	Air quality control region
ASME	American Society of Mechanical Engineers
BA	Biological Assessment
BACT	Best Available Control Technology
Bcf/d	billion cubic feet per day
BMP	Best Management Practices
BOD	biochemical oxygen demand
Cameron	Cameron Pipeline
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
Certificate	Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity
CGT	Columbia Gulf Transmission
CMD	Coastal Management Division
COE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Construction Conditions	Sea Turtle and Smalltooth Sawfish Construction Conditions
CRP	Conservation Reserve Program
CTP	Creole Trail Pipeline
CUP	Coastal Use Permit
CWA	Clean Water Act
CWPPRA	Coastal Wetland Planning, Protection, and Restoration Act
CZMA	Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972
CZMP	Coastal Zone Management Program
DO	dissolved oxygen
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
DSM	Demand Side Management
Dth	decatherms
E2EM	estuarine emergent
E2SS	estuarine scrub-shrub
EFH	essential fish habitat
EI	Environmental Inspector
EIA	Energy Information Administration
EIS	environmental impact statement
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ES&C	Erosion & Sediment Control
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FERC or Commission	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FGT	Florida Gas Transmission
FMP	Fishery Management Plan
FWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
GIWW	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway
GMFMC	The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council
GPP	Golden Pass Pipeline
GPS	Global Positioning System

HAPs	Hazardous Air Pollutants
HCA	High-Consequence Areas
HDD	Horizontal Directional Drilling
IRP	Integrated Resource Planning
KMLP	Kinder Morgan Louisiana Pipeline LLC
LDEQ	Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality
LDNR	Louisiana Department of Natural Resources
LDOT	Louisiana Department of Transportation
LDWF	Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries
LOSCO	Louisiana Oil Spill Coordinators Office
LPDES	Louisiana Pollution Discharge Elimination System
LNG	Liquefied Natural Gas
LNWR	Lacassine National Wildlife Refuge
MACT	Maximum Achievable Control Technology
MAOP	Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure
Memorandum	Memorandum of Understanding on Natural Gas Transportation Facilities
MLV	Mainline block valves
MMBtu	million British thermal units
MMBtu/d	million British thermal units per day
MP	milepost
MSA	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
NGA	Natural Gas Act
NGPL	Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America
NGPSA	Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act
NHP	National Heritage Program
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NNSR	Non-attainment New Source Review
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOAA Fisheries Service	NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service
NOI	Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Kinder Morgan Pipeline Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRCS	National Resource Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NSA	Noise Sensitive Area
NSPS	New Source Performance Standards
NSR	New Source Review
NWF	National Wildlife Refuge
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory
OEP	FERC's Office of Energy Projects
OPS	Office of Pipeline Safety
our Plan	FERC's Upland Erosion Control, Revegetation and Maintenance Plan
our Procedures	Wetland and Waterbody Construction and Mitigation
PAP	Port Arthur Pipeline
PEM	palustrine emergent
PFO	palustrine forested
PGA	Peak Ground Acceleration
PHMSA	Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration
ppt	parts per thousand

PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
psig	pounds per square inch gauge
PSS	palustrine scrub-shrub
RCW	red-cockaded woodpecker
SAV	submerged aquatic vegetation
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
SH	State Highway
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
SNWR	Sabine National Wildlife Refuge
SPECT	Sabine Pass Pipeline/ Creole Trail Extension/ Creole Trail Pipeline
sp.	specie
SPP	Sabine Pass Pipeline
spp.	species
SPRP	Spill Prevention and Response Plan
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
Tcf	trillion cubic feet
TCEQ	Texas Department of Environmental Quality
TPWD	Texas Parks and Wildlife Department
tpy	tons per year
TTS	Temporary Threshold shift
USGS	United States Geologic Survey
UTOS	Enbridge Offshore Pipeline
VOC	volatile organic compound
WRP	Wetlands Reserve Program

[This page intentionally left blank]