## **Summary of Data Collection Operations**

### **Summary of Data Collection Operations**

### Form EIA-23 Survey Design

The data collected on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," were used to produce this report. This section provides information concerning the survey design, response statistics, reporting requirements, and frame maintenance.

Form EIA-23 is mailed annually to all known large and intermediate size operators, and a scientifically selected sample of small operators. Operator size categories were based upon their annual production as indicated in various Federal, State, and commercial records. The term **State/subdivision** refers to an individual subdivision within a State or an individual State that is not subdivided. Operators were divided into the three size categories shown below.

- Category I Large Operators: Operators who produced 1.5 million barrels or more of crude oil, or 15 billion cubic feet or more of natural gas, or both.
- Category II Intermediate Operators: Operators
  who produced at least 400,000 barrels of crude oil
  or 2 billion cubic feet of natural gas, or both, but
  less than Category I operators.
- Category III Small Operators: Operators who produced less than the Category II operators.

Category III operators were further subdivided into operators sampled with Certainty (**Certainty**) and operators that were randomly sampled (**Noncertainty**).

Data were filed for calendar year 2003 by crude oil or natural gas well operators who were active as of December 31, 2003. EIA defines an operator as an organization or person responsible for the management and day-to-day operation of crude oil or natural gas wells. The purpose of this definition is to eliminate responses from royalty owners, working interest owners (unless they are also operators), and others not directly responsible for operations. An operator need not be a separately incorporated entity. To minimize reporting burden, corporations are permitted to report on the basis of operating units of the company convenient for them. A large corporation

may be represented by a single form or by several forms.

Table E1 shows a comparison of the EIA-23 sample and sampling frame between 1996 and 2003, and depicts the number of active operators, with 1997 showing the largest in the series. The 2003 sampling frame consisted of 164 Category I, 512 Category II, 399 Category III Certainty, and 19,848 Category III Noncertainty operators, for a total of 20,923 active operators. The survey sample consisted of 1,075 operators selected with certainty that included all of the Category I and II Certainty operators, the 399 smaller operators that were selected with certainty because of their size in relation to the area or areas in which they operated, and 479 Noncertainty operators selected as a systematic random sample of the remaining operators.

# Form EIA-23 Response Statistics

Each company and its parent company or subsidiaries were required to file Form EIA-23 if they met the survey specifications. Response to the 2003 survey is summarized in **Table E2**. EIA makes a considerable effort to gain responses from all operators. About 3.5 percent of those selected turned out to be nonoperators (those that reported being nonoperators during the report year and operators that could not be located). Of the 55 nonoperators, 15 had successor operators that had taken over the production of the nonoperator. These successor operators were subsequently sampled. The overall response rate for the 2003 survey was 96 percent. For the 61 operators that did not respond, production data was obtained from State or other sources.

# Form EIA-23 Reporting Requirements

The collection format for Form EIA-23 actually consists of two forms. The form the respondent is required to file is dependent upon the annual production levels of crude oil, natural gas, and lease condensate. Category I and Category II operators file a more detailed field

Table E1. Comparison of the EIA-23 Sample and Sampling Frame, 1996-2003

Operator Category	Number of Operators							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002`	2003
Certainty								
Category I	176	180	178	177	175	179	176	164
Category II	486	461	420	399	436	485	480	512
Category III	3	1,194	862	648	854	559	388	399
Sampled	665	1,835	1,460	1,224	1,465	1,223	1,044	1,075
Percent Sampled	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Noncertainty								
Sampled	0	1,645	1,459	1,305	1,311	644	533	479
Percent Sampled	0	8	7	6	6	3	3	2
Total								
Active Operators	23,410	22,678	23,620	22,089	22,102	22,519	22,823	20,923
Not Sampled	22,745	19,198	20,701	19,560	19,326	20,652	21,246	19,369
Sampled	665	3,480	2,919	R2,529	2,776	1,867	1,577	1,554
Percent Sampled	3	15	12	R11	13	8	7	7

R=Revised data.

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

Table E2. Form EIA-23 Survey Response Statistics, 2003

•	•	•						
Original Sample Selected	Successor <sup>a</sup> Operators	Net <sup>b</sup> Category Changes	Non- <sup>c</sup> operators	Adjusted <sup>d</sup> Sample	Responding Operators Number Percent		Nonresponding Operators Number Percent	
164	0	10	-7	167	167	100.0	0	0.0
512	15	-39	-23	465	459	98.7	6	1.3
399	0	38	-12	425	401	94.4	<sup>e</sup> 24	5.6
1,075	15	9	-42	1,057	1,027	97.2	<sup>e</sup> 30	2.8
479	0	-9	-13	457	426	93.2	<sup>e</sup> 31	6.8
1,554	15	0	-55	1,514	1,453	96.0	<sup>e</sup> 61	4.0
	164 512 399 1,075 479	Sample Selected         Successor <sup>a</sup> Operators           164         0           512         15           399         0           1,075         15           479         0	Sample Selected         Successor <sup>a</sup> Operators         Category Changes           164         0         10           512         15         -39           399         0         38           1,075         15         9           479         0         -9	Sample Selected         Successor <sup>a</sup> Operators         Category Changes         Non-c operators           164         0         10         -7           512         15         -39         -23           399         0         38         -12           1,075         15         9         -42           479         0         -9         -13	Sample Selected         Successor <sup>a</sup> Operators         Category Changes         Non-c operators         Adjusted Sample           164         0         10         -7         167           512         15         -39         -23         465           399         0         38         -12         425           1,075         15         9         -42         1,057           479         0         -9         -13         457	Sample Selected         Successor <sup>a</sup> Operators         Category Changes         Non-c operators         Adjusted <sup>d</sup> Sample         Operators           164         0         10         -7         167         167           512         15         -39         -23         465         459           399         0         38         -12         425         401           1,075         15         9         -42         1,057         1,027           479         0         -9         -13         457         426	Sample Selected         Successora Operators         Category Changes         Non-c operators         Adjusted Sample         Operators Number         Percent           164         0         10         -7         167         167         100.0           512         15         -39         -23         465         459         98.7           399         0         38         -12         425         401         94.4           1,075         15         9         -42         1,057         1,027         97.2           479         0         -9         -13         457         426         93.2	Sample Selected         Successor <sup>a</sup> Operators         Category Changes         Non-c Operators         Adjusted Sample         Operators         Operators         Operators           164         0         10         -7         167         167         100.0         0           512         15         -39         -23         465         459         98.7         6           399         0         38         -12         425         401         94.4         e²24           1,075         15         9         -42         1,057         1,027         97.2         e³30           479         0         -9         -13         457         426         93.2         e³31

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Successor operators are those, not initially sampled, that have taken over the production of a sampled operator.

Source: Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves" 2003.

level data form. Category III operators file a summary report which is aggregated at a State/subdivision level.

The cover page required of all respondents identifies each operator by name and address (Figure I1, Appendix I). The oil and gas producing industry includes a large number of small enterprises. To minimize reporting burden, only a sample of small operators were required to file a summary report of Form EIA-23 (Figures I2 and I3, Appendix I). Report year production data were required by State/subdivision areas for crude oil, natural gas, and lease condensate. Proved reserves data for operators

were required only for those properties where estimates existed in the respondent's records.

All Category I and Category II operators were required to file field level data on Schedule A, "Operated Proved Reserves, Production, and Related Data by Field," for each oil and/or gas field in which the respondent operated properties (**Figure 14**, Appendix I). All Category I and those Category II operators who had reserve estimates were required to file on a total operated basis for crude oil, nonassociated natural gas, associated-dissolved natural gas, and lease condensate. The following data items were required to be filed: proved reserves at the beginning and the end of the

bNet of recategorized operators in the sample (excluding nonoperators).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup>Includes former operators reporting that they were not operators during the report year and operators that could not be located who are treated as nonoperators.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Adjusted sample equals original sample plus successor operators plus net category changes minus nonoperators.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>For the 61 operators (24 Category III operators and 6 Noncertainty operators) that did not respond, production data was obtained from State or other sources.

report year, revision increases and revision decreases, sales and acquisitions, extensions, new field discoveries, new reservoirs in old fields, production, indicated additional reserves of crude oil, nonproducing reserves, field discovery year, water depth, and field location information.

Category II operators who did not have reserves estimates were required to file the field location information and report year production for the four hydrocarbon types from properties where reserves were not estimated. These respondents used Schedule B, "Footnotes," to provide clarification of reported data items when required in the instructions, or electively to provide narrative or detail to explain any data item filed (**Figure 15**, Appendix I).

Crude oil and lease condensate volumes were reported rounded to thousands of barrels of 42 U.S. gallons at  $60^{\circ}$  Fahrenheit, and natural gas volumes were reported rounded to millions of cubic feet. All natural gas volumes were requested to be reported at  $60^{\circ}$  Fahrenheit and a pressure base of 14.73 pounds per square inch absolute. Other minor report preparation standards were specified to assure that the filed data could be readily processed.

### Oil and Gas Field Coding

A major effort to create standardized codes for all identified oil or gas fields throughout the United States was implemented during the 1982 survey year. Information from previous lists was reviewed and reconciled with State lists and a consolidated list was created. The publication of the *Oil and Gas Field Code Master List 2003*, in January of 2004, was the 22nd annual report and reflected data collected through November 2003. This list was made available to operators to assist in identifying the field code data necessary for the preparation of Form EIA-23.

### Form EIA-23 Comparison with Other Data Series

Estimated crude oil, lease condensate, and natural gas production volumes from Form EIA-23 were compared with official EIA production data supplied by Federal and State oil and natural gas regulatory agencies and published in EIA's monthly and annual reports. Reports published by the Federal and State oil and natural gas regulatory agencies were used to compare specific operator production responses to these

agencies with Form EIA-23 responses. When significant differences were found, responses were researched to detect and reconcile possible reporting errors.

For 2003, Form EIA-23 National estimates of production were 2,067 million barrels for crude oil and lease condensate or 7 million barrels (less than 1 percent) lower than that reported in the *Petroleum Supply Annual 2003* for crude oil and lease condensate (2,074 million barrels). Form EIA-23 National estimates of production for dry natural gas were 19,425 billion cubic feet, 357 billion cubic feet (less than 2 percent) higher than the *Natural Gas Monthly, September 2004* for 2003 dry natural gas production (19,068 billion cubic feet).

## Form EIA-23 Frame Maintenance

Operator frame maintenance is a major data quality control effort. Extensive effort is expended to keep the frame as current as possible. The Form EIA-23 frame contains a listing of all crude oil and natural gas well operators in the United States and must be maintained and updated regularly in order to ensure an accurate frame from which to draw the sample for the annual crude oil and natural gas reserves survey. The original frame, created in 1977, is revised annually. In addition, outside sources, such as State publications and electronic data, and commercial information data bases such as IHS Energy Group, are used to obtain information on operator status and to update addresses for the frame each year.

A maintenance procedure is utilized in conjunction with State production records and commercial information data bases to update possible crude oil and natural gas well operators presently listed on EIA's master frame and add new operators to the master frame. This procedure identifies active operators and nonoperators which improves the frame for future sample selections for the annual survey. **Table E3** provides a summary of changes made to the Form EIA-23 frame of crude oil and natural gas well operators for the 2003 survey mailing. These changes resulted from all frame maintenance activities.

The Form EIA-23 operator frame contained a total of 68,616 entries as of December 14, 2003. Of these, 20,923 were confirmed operators. These are operators who have filed in the past or for whom the EIA has recent production data from an outside source. The remaining

Table E3. Summary of the 2003 Operator Frame Activity, Form EIA-23

Total 2002 Operator Frame	68,616 22,823 45,793
Changes to 2002 Operator Status  From Nonoperator to Operator From Operator to Nonoperator	2,824 503 2,321
No Changes to 2002 Operator Status Operators	65,792 20,502 45,290
Additions to 2002 Operator Frame Operator	0 0 0
Total 2003 Operator Frame  Operators  Nonoperators	<b>68,616</b> 20,923 47,693

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes operator frame activity through December 14, 2003. <sup>b</sup>Relatively few additions were made since EIA ID numbers are

operators (including both definite and probable nonoperators) exist as a pool of names and addresses that may be added to the active list if review indicates activity.

### Form EIA-64A Survey Design

The data for this report are also collected on Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production." This section provides information concerning the survey design, response statistics, reporting requirements, and frame maintenance for Form EIA-64A.

Form EIA-23 for report years 1977 and 1978 required natural gas well operators to report their natural gas data on a fully dry basis. It was discovered in the course of those surveys that many operators had little or no knowledge of the extraction of liquids from their produced natural gas streams once custody transfer had taken place. Therefore, these operators reverted to reporting the only natural gas volume data they had in their possession. These volume data were for dryer natural gas than that which had passed through the wellhead, but wetter than fully dry natural gas. With reference to **Figure E1**, they reported their volumes

either at the wellhead or after removal of lease condensate in their lease or field separation facilities.

Some of the larger operators, however, also owned or operated natural gas processing plants. They reported their volumes after removal of both lease condensate and plant liquids, as required by Form EIA-23. The aggregate volumes resulting from the 1977 and 1978 surveys, therefore, were neither fully dry (as was intended) nor fully wet. They do appear to have been more dry than wet simply because the operators who reported fully dry volumes also operated properties that contained the bulk of proved natural gas reserves.

The EIA recognized that its estimates of proved reserves of natural gas liquids (NGL) had to reflect not only those volumes extractable in the future under current economic and operating conditions at the lease or field (lease condensate), but also volumes (plant liquids) extractable downstream at existing natural gas processing plants. Form EIA-64, which already canvassed these processing plants, did not request that the plants' production volumes be attributed to source areas. Beginning with the 1979 survey, a new form to collect plant liquids production according to the area or areas where their input natural gas stream had been produced was mailed to all of the operating plants. The instructions for filing the Form EIA-23 were altered to collect data from natural gas well operators that reflected those volumes of natural gas dried only through the lease or field separation facilities. The reporting basis of these volumes are referred to as "wet after lease separation." The methodology used to estimate NGL reserves by State and State subdivision is provided in Appendix F.

# Form EIA-64A Response Statistics

EIA mailed EIA-64A forms to all known natural gas processing plant operators as of February 1, 2003. In addition, plant operators whose plants were shut down or dismantled during 2002 were required to complete forms for the portion of 2002 when the plants were in operation.

Natural gas processing plant operators were requested to file a Form EIA-64A for each of their plants. A total of 209 operators of 504 plants were sent forms. This number included 2 new plants, 1 reactivated plants, and 7 successor plants identified after the initial 2003 survey mailing. A total of 26 plants were reported as nonoperating according to the Form EIA-64A

<sup>&</sup>quot;Relatively few additions were made since EIA ID numbers are now being recycled when no useable data is available with a specific EIA ID number. This procedure will increase the number of Nonoperator to Operator changes more than usual.

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

Figure E1. Natural Gas Liquids Extraction Flows Wet Gas after Separation Dry Gas Wet Gas **Natural Gas Processing Plant** Lease Condensate Production Wellhead Lease or Field Separation Facilities Plant Liquids Production Lease Condensate Storage **TOTAL** NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS **PRODUCTION** 

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

definition. For the 16<sup>th</sup> consecutive year the response rate was 100 percent.

Form EIA-64A respondents were requested to report natural gas liquids production data by area of origin. **Table E4** summarizes the responses by plant operators of the volume and origin of natural gas delivered to the processing plants and the volume of the natural gas liquids extracted by the plants by State. The majority of the plant operators reported only one area of origin for the natural gas that was processed by a plant. The State or area of origin reported is generally also the plant's location.

# Form EIA-64A Reporting Requirements

Form EIA-64A consisted of the reporting schedule shown in **Figure 16**, Appendix I. The form identifies the plant, its geographic location, the plant operator's name and address, and the parent company name. The certification was signed by a responsible official of the operating entity. The form pertains to the volume of natural gas received and of natural gas liquids produced at the plant, allocated to each area of origin. Operators also filed the data pertaining to the amount

of natural gas shrinkage that resulted from extraction of natural gas liquids at the plant, and the amount of fuel used in processing.

Natural gas liquids volumes were reported rounded to thousands of barrels of 42 U.S. gallons at  $60^{\circ}$  Fahrenheit, and natural gas volumes were reported rounded to millions of cubic feet. All natural gas volumes were requested to be reported at  $60^{\circ}$  Fahrenheit and a pressure base of 14.73 pounds per square inch absolute. Other minor report preparation standards were specified to assure that the filed data could be readily processed.

# Form EIA-64A Comparison with Other Data Series

Form EIA-64A plant liquids production data were compared with data collected on Form EIA-816, "Monthly Natural Gas Liquids Report." Aggregated production from Form EIA-816 represents the net volume of natural gas processing plant liquid output less input for the report year. These data are published in EIA's *Petroleum Supply Annual* reports. The Form EIA-64A annual responses reflect all corrections and

Table E4. Natural Gas Processed and Liquids Extracted at Natural Gas Processing Plants, 2003

	Volume of Natural Gas Delivered to Processing Plants						
Plant Location	State Production	Federal Production	Out of State Production	Natural Gas Processed	Total Liquids Extracted		
		(million cubic	(thousand barrels)				
Alaska	2,447,017			2,447,017	28,025		
Alabama	38,437	197,484	1,456	237,377	7,994		
Arkansas	13,725			13,725	236		
California	237,778	965		238,743	10,598		
Colorado	555,544			555,544	23,982		
Florida	3,504		2,267	5,771	796		
Kansas	405,697		125,241	530,938	24,311		
Kentucky	42,758			42,758	1,304		
Louisiana	1,269,356	2,122,514		3,391,870	88,732		
Michigan	41,619			41,619	2,818		
Mississippi	2,150	281,525		283,675	8,431		
Montana	6,263			6,263	491		
North Dakota	58,479			58,479	4,448		
New Mexico	987,762			987,762	76,393		
Oklahoma	802,437		1,592	804,029	53,116		
Texas	3,607,239		51,690	3,658,929	233,252		
Utah	157,082		4,193	161,275	2,532		
West Virginia	86,012		30,749	116,761	5,220		
Wyoming	1,071,066		30,359	1,101,425	49,034		
Miscellanous <sup>a</sup>	13,356			13,356	578		
Total	11,847,281	2,602,488	247,547	14,697,316	622,291		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes Illinois, Ohio, and Pennsylvania.

Source: Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production," 2003

revisions to EIA's monthly estimates. Differences, when found, were reconciled in both sources. For 2003, the Form EIA-64A National estimates were less than 1 percent (5 million barrels) lower than the *Petroleum Supply Annual 2003* volume for natural gas plant liquids production.

Table E5. Form EIA-64A 2003 Plant Frame Activity

Frame as of 2002 survey mailing	515
Additions	70
Deletions	-81
Frame as of 2003 survey mailing	504

Note: Includes operator frame activity through February 15, 2004. Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

# Form EIA-64A Frame Maintenance

The Form EIA-64A plant frame contains data on all known active and inactive natural gas processing plants in the United States. The 2003 plant frame was compared to listings of natural gas processing plants from Form EIA-816, "Monthly Natural Gas Liquids Report"; the *LPG Almanac*; and the *Oil and Gas Journal*. A list of possible additions to the plant frame was compiled. **Table E5** summarizes the Form EIA-64A plant frame changes made as a result of the comparisons as of January 31, 2004.