# U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 2002 Annual Report

December 2002

**Energy Information Administration** 

Office of Oil and Gas U.S. Department of Energy Washington, DC 20585

This report was prepared by the Energy Information Administration, the independent statistical and analytical agency within the U.S. Department of Energy. The information contained herein should be attributed to the Energy Information Administration and should not be construed as advocating or reflecting any policy position of the Department of Energy or any other organization.

#### **Preface**

The U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 2002 Annual Report is the 26th prepared by the Energy Information Administration (EIA) to fulfill its responsibility to gather and report annual proved reserves estimates. The EIA annual reserves report series is the only source of comprehensive domestic proved reserves estimates. This publication is used by the Congress, Federal and State agencies, industry, and other interested parties to obtain accurate estimates of the Nation's proved reserves of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids. These data are essential to the development, implementation, and evaluation of energy policy and legislation.

This report presents estimates of proved reserves of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids as of December 31, 2002, as well as production volumes for the United States and selected States and State subdivisions for the year 2002. Estimates are presented for the following four categories of natural gas: total gas (wet after lease separation), nonassociated gas and associated-dissolved gas (which are the two major types of wet natural gas), and total dry gas (wet gas adjusted for the removal of liquids at natural gas processing plants). In addition, reserve estimates for two types of natural gas liquids, lease condensate and natural gas plant liquids, are presented. The estimates are based upon data obtained from two annual EIA surveys: Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves" and Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production." Also included is information on indicated additional crude oil reserves and crude oil, natural gas, and lease condensate reserves in nonproducing reservoirs. A discussion of notable oil and gas exploration and development activities during 2002 is provided.

The appendices contain data by operator production size class for crude oil and natural gas reserves and production; the top 100 U.S. fields ranked within an oil or gas proved reserves group for 2002; Table 1 converted to metric units; historical State data; a summary of survey operations; a discussion of statistical considerations; methods used to develop

the estimates provided in this report; maps of selected State subdivisions; and examples of the survey forms. A glossary of the terms used in this report and in survey Forms EIA–23 and EIA–64A is provided to assist readers in more fully understanding the data.

This annual reserves report was prepared by the Reserves and Production Division (located in Dallas, Texas), Office of Oil and Gas, Energy Information Administration. General information regarding preparation of the report may be obtained from Kenneth A. Vagts, Director, Office of Oil and Gas and John H. Wood, Director, Reserves and Production Division (214·720·6160).

Authors were John H. Wood, Steven G. Grape, Rafi Zeinalpour, and Rhonda S. Green. Technical contributions were made by Jack Perrin, Dewayne Cravens, Paul Chapman, and Gwen Cox. Technical editing was provided by David F. Morehouse.

Address questions on specific sections of the publication to the following analyst/author:

 Executive Summary and Appendices F and G John H. Wood

Phone: 214·720·6160

E-mail: jwood@eia.doe.gov

Fax: 214.720.6155

Chapters 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
 Steven G. Grape
 Phone: 214·720·6174

E-mail: sgrape@eia.doe.gov

Fax: 214·720·6155

Appendices A, B, C, D, E, H, and I Rhonda S. Green
Phone: 214·720·6161

E-mail: rgreen@eia.doe.gov

Fax 214·720·6155

 Field-level Reserves Quality Assurance Rafi M. Zeinalpour

Phone: 214-720-6191

E-mail: rzeinalp@eia.doe.gov

Fax 214·720·6155

## **Internet Site Services** Visit the Energy Information Administration web site: http://www.eia.doe.gov The web site offers nearly all EIA publications. Users can view or download selected pages or entire reports, search for information, download EIA data and analysis applications, and find out about new EIA information products and services. **COVER PHOTO:**

#### Contents

	Page
Executive Summary	ix
1. Introduction	1
Background	
Survey Overview	
Form EIA-23	
Form EIA-64A	
Data Collection Operations	2
2. Overview	3
National Summary.	
Crude Oil	
Natural Gas	-
Natural Gas Liquids	
Reserves Changes Since 1977	7
Prices and Drilling	11
Mergers and Acquisitions	11
Reserve-to-Production Ratios and Ultimate Recovery	
R/P Ratios	
Proved Ultimate Recovery	
International Perspective	
International Reserves	
Petroleum Consumption	
Dependence on Imports	
3. Crude Oil Statistics	21
Proved Reserves	
Discussion of Reserves Changes	
Total Discoveries	
Extensions	
New Field Discoveries	24
New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields	24 24
Revisions and Adjustments	
Production	
Areas of Note: Large Discoveries and Reserve Additions	
Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore	
Other Gain Areas	
Areas of Note: Large Reserve Declines	
Alaska	26
Louisiana	
Utah	
Other Decline Areas	27
Reserves in Nonproducing Status	27
4. Natural Gas Statistics	29
Dry Natural Gas	29
Proved Reserves	
Discussion of Reserves Changes	32
Total Discoveries	32
Revisions and Adjustments	32
Sales and Acquisitions	32
Production	36
Wet Natural Gas	
Nonassociated Natural Gas	
Proved Reserves	
Total Discoveries	
Production	36

		Page
As	ssociated-Dissolved Natural Gas	36
	Proved Reserves	
	Production	
Co	palbed Methane	
	Proved Reserves	
۸r	Production	
AI	Wyoming	
	Colorado	
	Oklahoma	
Ar	eas of Note: Large Reserves Declines	
	Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore	
	Louisiana	
	Utah	
	·	
	ıral Gas Liquids Statistics	
Na	atural Gas Liquids	
	Proved Reserves	
	Total Discoveries	
	Sales and Acquisitions	
	Production	
Na	atural Gas Plant Liquids	
	Proved Reserves	
	Production	
Le	ase Condensate	
	Proved Reserves	
Re	eserves in Nonproducing Status.	
	nces	
		47
Appen		
A.	Operator Data by Size Class	A-1
B.	Top 100 Oil and Gas Fields for 2002	B-1
C.	Conversion to the Metric System	C-1
D.	Historical Reserves Statistics	D-1
E.	Summary of Data Collection Operations	E-1
F.	Statistical Considerations	F-1
G.	Estimation of Reserves and Resources	
Н.		
	Annual Survey Forms for Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves	
Glossa	ary	
Table	s	
1.	U.S. Proved Reserves of Crude Oil, Dry Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids, 1992-2002	4
	Reserves Changes, 1977-2002	9
3.	U.S. Average Annual First Purchase Prices for Crude Oil, Domestic Wellhead Prices for Natural Gas, and the Average Number of Active Rotary Drilling Rigs, 1977-2002	10
4.	U.S. Exploratory and Development Well Completions, 1970-2002	12
5.		
6.	Crude Oil Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, 2002	22
7.	Reported Reserves in Nonproducing Status for Crude Oil, 2002	27
8.	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, 2002	30

		Page
9.	Natural Gas Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, Wet After Lease Separation, 2002	33
10.	Nonassociated Natural Gas Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, Wet After Lease Separation, 2002	34
11.	Associated-Dissolved Natural Gas Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, Wet After Lease Separation, 2002	35
12.	U.S. Coalbed Methane Proved Reserves and Production, 1989-2002	37
13.	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, 2002	42
14.	Natural Gas Plant Liquids Proved Reserves and Production, 2002	43
15.	Lease Condensate Proved Reserves and Production, 2002	44
Figur	es	
1.	U.S. Crude Oil Proved Reserves, 1992-2002	5
2.	Components of Reserves Changes for Crude Oil, 1992-2002	5
3.	U.S. Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves, 1992-2002	6
4.	Components of Reserves Changes for Dry Natural Gas, 1992-2002	6
5.	U.S. Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves, 1992-2002	8
6.	Components of Reserves Changes for Natural Gas Liquids, 1992-2002	8
7.	U.S. Exploratory Gas Well Completions, 1977-2002	13
8.	U.S. Exploratory Oil Well Completions, 1977-2002	13
9.	U.S. Total Discoveries of Dry Natural Gas per Exploratory Gas Well Completion, 1977-2002	14
10.	U.S. Total Discoveries of Crude Oil per Exploratory Oil Well Completion, 1977-2002	14
11.	Reserves-to-Production Ratios for Crude Oil, 1945-2002	16
12.	Reserves-to-Production Ratios for Wet Natural Gas, 1945-2002	16
13.	Components of Ultimate Recovery for Crude Oil and Lease Condensate, 1977-2002	17
14.	Components of Ultimate Recovery for Wet Natural Gas, 1977-2002	17
15	Replacement of U.S. Crude Oil Production by Reserves Additions, 1993-2002	21
16.	Crude Oil Proved Reserves by Area, 2002	23
17.	Changes in Crude Oil Proved Reserves by Area, 2001 to 2002	23
18.	Replacement of U.S. Dry Gas Production by Reserves Additions, 1993-2002	29
19.	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves by Area, 2002	31
20.	Changes in Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves by Area, 2001 to 2002	31
21.	Coalbed Methane Proved Reserves, 1989-2002	37

## Executive Summary: U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 2002 Annual Report

Proved reserves of natural gas and crude oil have increased for the fourth year in a row. In fact, natural gas proved reserves have increased in eight of the past nine years.

U.S. crude oil proved reserves increased by 1 percent in 2002. Reserves additions were 112 percent of production. Ninety-seven percent of all new field discoveries of crude oil reported in 2002 were in the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore.

As of December 31, 2002 prove	d reserves were:					
Crude Oil (million barrels)						
2001	22,446					
2002	22,677					
Increase	1.0%					
Dry Natural Gas (billion cubic feet)						
2001	183,460					
2002	186,946					
Increase	1.9%					
Natural Gas Liquids (million barrels)						
2001	7,993					
2002	7,994					
Increase	0.0%					

U.S. natural gas reserves increased by 2 percent in 2002. Reserves additions were 118 percent of production. However, gas production declined 2 percent in 2002. Sharp production declines in the Gulf of Mexico were partially offset by large production increases in the Rocky Mountain States.

In 2002, the Rocky Mountain States and Texas had large gas reserves additions. These additions highlight a shift from conventional gas fields to unconventional gas fields, i.e., tight sands, shales, and coalbeds. Eleven of the top 20 natural gas fields of 2002 are located in the Rocky Mountain States. Significant reserves were added in the Powder River Basin coalbed methane fields and the Pinedale Field (deep and tight sand) in Wyoming, and the Wattenberg Field (tight sand) and coalbed methane fields in Colorado. In Texas, significant reserves were

added in the Newark East Field (Barnett Shale), the Nation's tenth largest natural gas field.

When gas reserves increase the natural gas liquids reserves usually do too, but natural gas liquids reserves remained level in 2002. That was because coalbed methane, which usually has no natural gas liquids content, accounted for a large portion of new gas reserves in 2002.

Proved reserves are the estimated quantities which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. Petroleum engineering and geological judgment are required in estimating proved reserves, therefore the results are not precise measurements. This report of 2002 U.S. proved reserves of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids is the 26th in an annual series prepared by the Energy Information Administration.

#### Crude Oil

Total discoveries are those reserves attributable to field extensions, new field discoveries, and new reservoir discoveries in old fields. They result from the drilling of exploratory wells. Total discoveries of crude oil were 946 million barrels in 2002, 7 percent less than the prior 10-year average and 63 percent less than 2001's discoveries of 2,565 million barrels. This is not surprising because 2001, which featured new proved reserves from bp's Thunder Horse Field, was an unusually successful year. Domestic field discoveries of that magnitude are no longer common.

The majority of crude oil total discoveries in 2002 were extensions, particularly in Texas, California, and the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore. The north slope of Alaska (normally a major contributor to total discoveries) had no significant impact on the Nation's total discoveries in 2002. Operators discovered 492 million barrels in extensions in 2002, 1 percent more than the prior 10-year average.

New field discoveries accounted for 300 million barrels of crude oil reserves additions. Almost all were in the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (290 of 300 million). This was 15 percent less than the prior 10-year average.

New reservoir discoveries in old fields were 154 million barrels, 47 percent less than in 2001 and 10 percent less than the prior 10-year average.

Reserves additions are the sum of total discoveries, revisions and adjustments, and sales and acquisitions. In 2002 the net of revisions and adjustments (1,136 million barrels) contributed more to crude oil reserves additions than did total discoveries, accounting for 54 percent of total reserves additions.

The sales component of the crude oil reserves changes (804 million barrels) was less than the revision decreases component in 2002 and acquisitions (828 million barrels) were less than revision increases. The net of sales and acquisitions of crude oil proved reserves was 24 million barrels.

Other 2002 crude oil events of note:

- Exploratory and developmental oil completions were down 38 percent from 2001.
- The annual average domestic first purchase price for crude oil increased 3 percent from the 2001 level to \$22.51 per barrel.

#### **Natural Gas**

Total discoveries of dry gas reserves were 17,795 billion cubic feet in 2002. This was 36 percent more than the prior 10-year average but 22 percent less than in 2001. The majority of natural gas total discoveries in 2002 were from extensions of existing conventional and unconventional gas fields.

Field extensions were 14,769 billion cubic feet, 10 percent less than extensions in 2001 but 65 percent more than the prior 10-year average of 8,931 billion cubic feet.

New field discoveries were 1,332 billion cubic feet, 63 percent less than the volume discovered in 2001 and 24 percent less than the prior 10-year average.

New reservoir discoveries in old fields were 1,694 billion cubic feet, down 40 percent from 2001 and 31 percent less than the prior 10-year average.

Natural gas net revisions and adjustments were 4,664 billion cubic feet. The net of sales and acquisitions of dry natural gas proved reserves was 380 billion cubic feet.

Coalbed methane proved reserves and production continued to grow in 2002. Coalbed methane proved reserves were 18,491 billion cubic feet, an increase of 5 percent from 2001 and accounted for 10 percent of

U.S. dry gas proved reserves. Coalbed methane production was 1,614 billion cubic feet, an increase of 3 percent from 2001 and accounted for 8 percent of U.S. dry gas production.

Other 2002 natural gas events of note:

- Exploratory gas well completions decreased 30 percent in 2002 and development well drilling was down 28 percent. Operators drilled 28 percent less wells for gas in 2002 than in 2001.
- Natural gas prices were down 27 percent in 2002 to an average of \$2.95 per thousand cubic feet at the wellhead, as compared to \$4.02 per thousand cubic feet in 2001. Prices did, however, steadily rise in the winter months of 2002 to a monthly average of \$3.84 per thousand cubic feet in December.
- U.S. gas production decreased by 2 percent in 2002. Two severe hurricanes, Isadore and Lilly, caused shutins of Gulf of Mexico production in September and October of 2002.

#### **Natural Gas Liquids**

U.S. natural gas liquids proved reserves remained level in 2002 (7,994 million barrels). Natural gas liquids reserves are the sum of natural gas plant liquids and lease condensate reserves.

Total proved reserves of liquid hydrocarbons (crude oil plus natural gas liquids) were 30,671 million barrels in 2002, a 0.8 percent increase from the 2001 level. Natural gas liquids represented 26 percent of total liquid hydrocarbon proved reserves in 2002.

#### Data

These estimates are based upon analysis of data from Form EIA-23, Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves, filed by 1,577 operators of oil and gas wells, and Form EIA-64A, Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production, filed by operators of 527 active natural gas processing plants. The U.S. proved reserves estimates for crude oil and natural gas are associated with sampling errors of less than 1 percent.

Almost 97 percent of the total natural gas production estimate was reported on the EIA-23 survey, 3 percent came from State or commercial sources, and only 0.2 percent was imputed from sampling data. Over 94 percent of the total crude oil production data was reported on the EIA-23 survey, 5 percent came from State or commercial sources, and only 0.4 percent was imputed from sampling data.

#### 1. Introduction

#### Background

The primary focus of EIA's reserves program is providing accurate annual estimates of U.S. proved reserves of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids. These estimates are essential to the development, implementation, and evaluation of national energy policy and legislation. In the past, the Government and the public relied upon industry estimates of proved reserves. However, the industry ceased publication of reserve estimates after its 1979 report.

In response to a recognized need for credible annual proved reserves estimates, Congress, in 1977, required the Department of Energy to prepare such estimates. To meet this requirement, the Energy Information Administration (EIA) developed a program that established a unified, verifiable, comprehensive, and continuing annual statistical series for proved reserves of crude oil and natural gas. It was expanded to include proved reserves of natural gas liquids for the 1979 and subsequent reports.

#### Survey Overview

EIA defines proved reserves, the major topic of this report, as those volumes of oil and gas that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. There are other categories of reserves, but by definition they are more speculative and less precise than proved reserves. Readers who are unfamiliar with the distinctions between types of reserves or with how reserves fit in the description of overall oil and gas resources should see Appendix G.

This report provides proved reserves estimates for calendar year 2002. It is based on data filed by large, intermediate, and a select group of small operators of oil and gas wells on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," and by operators of all natural gas processing plants on Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production." The U.S. crude oil and natural gas proved reserves estimates are associated with sampling errors of less than 1 percent at a 95-percent confidence level.

#### Form EIA-23

On Form EIA-23, an operator is defined as an organization or person responsible for the management and day-to-day operation of oil and/or gas wells. This definition eliminates responses from royalty owners, working interest owners (unless they are also operators), and others not directly responsible for oil and gas production operations.

Operator size categories are based upon operator annual production as indicated in various Federal, State, and commercial records. Large operators are those that produced at least 1.5 million barrels of crude oil or 15 billion cubic feet of natural gas, or both, during the report year. Intermediate operators produced less than large operators, but more than 400,000 barrels of crude oil or 2 billion cubic feet of natural gas, or both during the report year. Small operators are those that produced less than intermediate operators. All data are reported on a total operated basis, encompassing all proved reserves and production associated with wells operated by an individual operator within a field. This concept is also called the "gross operated" or "8/8ths" basis.

Large operators (Category I) and most intermediate size operators (Category II) report reserves balance data on Form EIA-23 to show how and why reserves components changed during the year on a field-by-field basis. Intermediate size operators who do not keep reserves data were not asked to provide estimates of reserves at the beginning of the year or annual changes to proved reserves by component of change; i.e., revisions, extensions, and new discoveries. These volumes were estimated using statistical calculations that preserved the relative relationships between these items within each State or State subdivision, as reported by large and intermediate operators.

A sample selected from the large group of small (Category III) operators are requested to provide annual production and, if available, year ending reserves volumes. Details on the selection of these operators and the determination of the reserves volumes is found in Appendix F.

The published reserve estimates include an additional term, adjustments, calculated by the EIA, that preserves an exact annual reserves balance of the form:

Published Proved Reserves at End of Previous Report Year

- + Adjustments
- + Revision Increases
- Revision Decreases
- Sales
- + Acquisitions
- + Extensions
- + New Field Discoveries
- + New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields
- Report Year Production
- = Published Proved Reserves at End of Report Year

Adjustments are the annual changes in the published reserve estimates that cannot be attributed to the estimates for other reserve change categories. They result from the survey and statistical estimation methods employed. For example, variations caused by changes in the operator frame, different random samples, different timing of reporting, incorrectly reported data, or imputations for missing or unreported reserve changes can contribute to adjustments.

#### Form EIA-64A

Form EIA-64A data were first collected for the 1979 survey year in order to develop estimates for total natural gas liquids reserves. Data on liquids recovered from natural gas, as reported by natural gas processing plant operators, are combined with lease condensate data collected on Form EIA-23 to provide the total natural gas liquids reserves estimates.

#### **Data Collection Operations**

An intensive effort is made each year to maintain an accurate and complete survey frame consisting of operators of oil and gas wells and of natural gas processing plants. The Form EIA-23 operator frame contained 22,823 probable active operators and the Form EIA-64A plant frame contained 515 probable active natural gas processing plants in the United States when the 2002 surveys were initiated. As usual, additional operators were added to the survey as it

progressed, and many operators initially in the sample frame were found to be inactive in 2002. For more details on the survey process, see Appendix E, Summary of Data Collection Operations.

The 2002 survey sample consisted of 1,577 operators. EIA sampled 1,044 operators with certainty; 176 Category I operators, 480 Category II operators, and 388 smaller operators that were selected with certainty because of their size in relation to the area or areas in which they operated. EIA also chose 533 Noncertainty operators as a systematic random sample of the remaining operators. There were no Successor operators in 2002. Sixty (60) of the 1,577 ceased operating oil and/or gas properties (became non-operator) during the survey year. Thirty five (35) operators changed size in 2002; 34 reduced in size from Category II to Category II, and one operator increased in size from Category II to Category II.

EIA mailed EIA-64A forms to all known natural gas processing plant operators as of February 1, 2003. More than one form is received for a plant that has more than one operator during the year. Forms were received from 100 percent of the operators of the 514 unique active natural gas processing plants in 2002.

National estimates of the production volumes for crude oil, lease condensate, natural gas liquids, and dry natural gas based on Form EIA-23 and Form EIA-64A were compared with corresponding official production volumes published by EIA, which are obtained from non-survey based State sources. For report year 2002, the Form EIA-23 National production estimates were less than 1 percent lower than the comparable *Petroleum Supply Annual (PSA)* 2002 volumes for crude oil and lease condensate combined, and were less than 2 percent higher than the comparable *Natural Gas Monthly, November* 2003 volume for 2002 dry natural gas. For report year 2002, the Form EIA-64A National estimates were less than 2 percent lower than the *PSA* 2002 volume for natural gas plant liquids production.

Accuracy in reserves reporting is EIA's first and foremost goal for this report. Because of differences in timing and data availability, the estimates of oil and gas production presented in this report may differ from those presented in other EIA reports.

#### 2. Overview

#### **National Summary**

The United States had the following proved reserves as of December 31, 2002:

- Crude Oil 22,677 million barrels
- Dry Natural Gas 186,946 billion cubic feet
- Natural Gas Liquids 7,994 million barrels.

This Overview summarizes the 2002 proved reserves balances of crude oil, dry natural gas, and natural gas liquids on a National level and provides historical comparisons between 2002 and prior years. **Table 1** lists the estimated annual reserve balances since 1992 for crude oil, dry natural gas, and natural gas liquids.

#### Crude Oil

Proved reserves of crude oil increased by 231 million barrels in 2002. **Figure 1** shows the crude oil proved reserves levels by major region and **Figure 2** shows the components of reserves changes from 1992 through 2002.

As indicated in **Figure 1**, U.S. crude oil proved reserves increased in 2002 due to reserves additions in the Lower 48 States onshore.

The components of reserves changes for crude oil are shown in **Figure 2**. EIA tracks the components of reserves changes: adjustments, revision increases, revision decreases, sales, acquisitions, extensions, new field discoveries, new reservoir discoveries in old fields, and estimated production.

Total discoveries are those reserves attributable to field extensions, new field discoveries, and new reservoir discoveries in old fields. They result from the drilling of exploratory wells. Total discoveries of crude oil were 946 million barrels in 2002, 7 percent less than the prior 10-year average and 63 percent less than 2001's discoveries of 2,565 million barrels. This is not surprising because 2001, which featured new proved reserves from bp's Thunder Horse Field, was an unusually successful year. Domestic field discoveries of that magnitude are not common.

The majority of crude oil total discoveries in 2002 were extensions, particularly in Texas, California, and the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore. The North Slope of

Alaska (normally a major contributor to total discoveries) had no significant impact on the Nation's total discoveries in 2002. Operators discovered 492 million barrels of extensions in 2002, 1 percent more than the prior 10-year average.

New field discoveries accounted for 300 million barrels of crude oil reserves additions. Almost all were in the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (290 of 300 million). This was 15 percent less than the prior 10-year average.

New reservoir discoveries in old fields were 154 million barrels, 47 percent less than in 2001 and 10 percent less than the prior 10-year average.

Reserves additions are the sum of total discoveries, revisions and adjustments, and sales and acquisitions. In 2002, there were 2,106 million barrels of reserves additions. As usual, the net of revisions and adjustments (1,136 million barrels) contributed more to crude oil reserves additions than did total discoveries, accounting for 54 percent of total reserves additions.

The sales component of crude oil reserves changes (804 million barrels) was less than the revision decreases component in 2002 and acquisitions (828 million barrels) were less than revision increases. The net of sales and acquisitions of crude oil proved reserves was 24 million barrels

Production of crude oil was an estimated 1,875 million barrels in 2002 (lease condensate not included, see Natural Gas Liquids section below for condensate volumes). This was down 2 percent from 2001's level (1,915 million barrels) and down 12 percent from the prior 10-year average (2,132 million barrels). Operators replaced 112% of crude oil production with reserves additions in 2002.

#### **Natural Gas**

Dry natural gas proved reserves increased by 3,486 billion cubic feet in 2002. **Figure 3** shows dry natural gas proved reserves levels by major region. **Figure 4** shows the components of reserves changes from 1992 through 2002.

Total discoveries of dry gas reserves were 17,795 billion cubic feet in 2002. This was 36 percent more than the

Table 1. Total U.S. Proved Reserves of Crude Oil, Dry Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids, 1992-2002

Year	Adjustments (1)	Net Revisions (2)	Revisions <sup>a</sup> and Adjustments (3)	Net of Sales and Acquisitions (4)	Extensions (5)	New Field Discoveries (6)	New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields (7)	Total <sup>b</sup> Discoveries (8)	Estimated Production (9)	Proved <sup>C</sup> Reserves 12/31 (10)	Change from Prior Yea (11)
				Cı	rude Oil (mil	lion barrels o	f 42 U.S. gallo	ins)			
1992	290	735	1,025	NA	391	8	85	484	2,446	23,745	-937
1993	271	495	766	NA	356	319	110	785	2,339	22,957	-788
1994	189	1,007	1,196	NA	397	64	111	572	2,268	22,457	-500
1995	122	1,028	1,150	NA	500	114	343	957	2,213	22,351	-106
1996	175	737	912	NA	543	243	141	927	2,173	22,017	-334
1997	520	914	1,434	NA	477	637	119	1,233	2,138	22,546	+529
1998	-638	518	-120	NA	327	152	120	599	1,991	21,034	-1,512
1999	139	1,819	1958	NA	259	321	145	725	1,952	21,765	+731
2000	143	746	889	-20	766	276	249	1,291	1,880	22,045	+280
2001	-4	-158	-162	-87	866	1,407	292	2,565	1,915	22,446	+401
2002	416	720	1,136	24	492	300	154	946	1,875	22,677	+231
				Dry Natura	I Gas (billior	n cubic feet, 1	14.73 psia, 60°	° Fahrenheit)			
1992	2,235	6,093	8,328	NA	4,675	649	1,724	7,048	17,423	165,015	-2,047
1993	972	5,349	6,321	NA	6,103	899	1,866	8,868	17,789	162,415	-2,600
1994	1,945	5,484	7,429	NA	6,941	1,894	3,480	12,315	18,322	163,837	+1,422
1995	580	7,734	8,314	NA	6,843	1,666	2,452	10,961	17,966	165,146	+1,309
1996	3,785	4,086	7,871	NA	7,757	1,451	3,110	12,318	18,861	166,474	+1,328
1997	-590	4,902	4,312	NA	10,585	2,681	2,382	15,648	19,211	167,223	+749
1998	-1,635	5,740	4,105	NA	8,197	1,074	2,162	11,433	18,720	164,041	-3,182
1999	982	10,504	11,486	NA	7,043	1,568	2,196	10,807	18,928	167,406	+3,365
2000	-891	6,962	6,071	4,031	14,787	1,983	2,368	19,138	19,219	177,427	+10,021
2001	2,742	-2,318	424	2,630	16,380	3,578	2,800	22,758	19,779	183,460	+6,033
2002	3,727	937	4,664	380	14,769	1,332	1,694	17,795	19,353	186,946	+3,486
				Natural	Gas Liquid	s (million bar	rels of 42 U.S	. gallons)			
1992	225	261	486	NA	190	20	64	274	773	7,451	-13
1993	102	124	226	NA	245	24	64	333	788	7,222	-229
1994	43	197	240	NA	314	54	131	499	791	7,170	-52
1995	192	277	469	NA	432	52	67	551	791	7,399	+229
1996	474	175	649	NA	451	65	109	625	850	7,823	+424
1997	-15	289	274	NA	535	114	90	739	864	7,973	+150
1998	-361	208	-153	NA	383	66	88	537	833	7,524	-449
1999	99	727	826	NA	313	51	88	452	896	7,906	+382
2000	-83	459	376	145	645	92	102	839	921	8,345	+439
2001	-429	-132	-561	102	717	138	142	997	890	7,993	-352
	720	102	001	54	, , , ,	100	174	551	000	,,,,,,	002

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Revisions and adjustments = Col. 1 + Col. 2.

Notes: Old means discovered in a prior year. New means discovered during the report year. The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves" and Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production." They may differ from the official EIA production data for crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids for 2002 contained in the *Petroleum Supply Annual 2002*, DOE/EIA-0340(02) and the *Natural Gas Annual 2002*, DOE/EIA-0131(02).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Total discoveries = Col. 5 + Col. 6 + Col. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Proved reserves = Col. 10 from prior year + Col. 3 + Col. 4 + Col. 8 - Col. 9.

NA=Not available.

Figure 1. U.S. Crude Oil Proved Reserves, 1992-2002





Figure 2. Components of Reserves Changes for Crude Oil, 1992-2002



Source: U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves, 1992-2001 annual reports, DOE/EIA-0216.{16-25}

Figure 3. U.S. Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves, 1992-2002





Figure 4. Components of Reserves Changes for Dry Natural Gas, 1992-2002



Source: U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves, 1992-2001 annual reports, DOE/EIA-0216.{16-25}

prior 10-year average but 22 percent less than in 2001. The majority of natural gas total discoveries in 2002 were from extensions of existing gas fields.

Field extensions were 14,769 billion cubic feet, 10 percent less than extensions in 2001 but 65 percent more than the prior 10-year average of 8,931 billion cubic feet.

New field discoveries were 1,332 billion cubic feet, 63 percent less than the volume discovered in 2001 and 24 percent less than the prior 10-year average.

New reservoir discoveries in old fields were 1,694 billion cubic feet, down 40 percent from 2001 and 31 percent less than the prior 10-year average.

Dry natural gas net revisions and adjustments were 4,664 billion cubic feet. The net of sales and acquisitions of dry natural gas proved reserves was 380 billion cubic feet.

Production removed an estimated 19,353 billion cubic feet of proved reserves from the National total. Dry gas production decreased by 2 percent compared to 2001. Operators replaced 118 percent of dry natural gas production with reserves additions.

Coalbed methane proved reserves and production are included in the 2002 totals. However, EIA tracks these reserves in order to record the development and performance of this unconventional gas source.

Coalbed methane proved reserves and production continued to grow in 2002. Coalbed methane proved reserves were 18,491 billion cubic feet, representing an increase of 5 percent over 2001 and accounting for 10 percent of U.S. dry gas proved reserves. Coalbed methane production was 1,614 billion cubic feet, representing an increase of 3 percent over 2001 and accounting for 8 percent of U.S. dry gas production.

#### **Natural Gas Liquids**

Proved reserves of natural gas liquids increased 1 million barrels to 7,994 million barrels during 2002—essentially level with 2001. **Figure 5** shows the natural gas liquids proved reserves levels by major region and **Figure 6** shows the components of reserves changes from 1992 through 2002.

Operators replaced 100 percent of their 2002 natural gas liquids production with reserve additions. Total

discoveries added 738 million barrels (primarily from extensions), net revisions and adjustments added 93 million barrels, and net sales and acquisitions added 54 million barrels.

Total proved reserves of liquid hydrocarbons (crude oil plus natural gas liquids) were 30,671 million barrels in 2002—a 1 percent increase from the 2001 level. Natural gas liquids represented 26 percent of total liquid hydrocarbon proved reserves in 2002.

#### **Reserves Changes Since 1977**

EIA has collected oil and gas reserves estimates annually since 1977. **Table 2** lists the cumulative totals of the components of reserves changes for crude oil and dry natural gas from 1977 through 2002. The table has two sections, one for the lower 48 States and another for the entire United States. Annual averages for each component of reserves changes are also listed, along with the percentage of that particular component's impact on total U.S. proved reserves.

Crude Oil: Since 1977 U.S. operators have:

- Discovered an average of 892 million barrels per year of new reserves
- Had proved reserves additions averaging 2,132 million barrels per year from total discoveries, net revisions and adjustments, and net sales and acquisitions
- Ended each year with an average net reduction in U.S. proved reserves of 416 million barrels (the difference between post-1976 average annual production and post-1976 average annual reserve additions).

Since 1977, crude oil reserves have been primarily sustained by proved ultimate recovery appreciation in existing fields rather than the discovery of new oil fields. Only 11 percent of reserves additions since 1976 were booked as new field discoveries. Proved ultimate recovery appreciation is the sum of net revisions, adjustments, net sales and acquisitions, extensions, and new reservoir discoveries in old fields (see the Proved Ultimate Recovery section later in this chapter.) The 23,195 million barrels of total discoveries since 1977 accounted for 42 percent of reserves additions in the 1977-2002 period.

Compared to the averages of reserves changes since 1977, 2002 was an up year for crude oil discoveries. Total discoveries of crude oil (946 million barrels) in 2002 were 6 percent greater than the post-1976 U.S. average (892 million barrels per year).

Figure 5. U.S. Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves, 1992-2002





Figure 6. Components of Reserves Changes for Natural Gas Liquids, 1992-2002



Source: U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves, 1992-2001 annual reports, DOE/EIA-0216.{16-25}

Table 2. Reserves Changes, 1977-2002

	L	ower 48 St	ates		otal	
Components of Change	Volume	Average per Year	Percent of Reserves Additions	Volume	Average per Year	Percent of Reserves Additions
		Cruc	le Oil (million bar	rels of 42 U.S	S. gallons)	
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/76	24,928		_	33,502		
New Field Discoveries	4,987	192	11.0	5,938	228	10.7
New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields	3,739	144	8.3	3,869	149	7.0
Extensions	11,832	455	26.2	13,388	515	24.2
Total Discoveries	20,558	791	45.5	23,195	892	41.8
Revisions, Adjustments, Sales & Acquisitions <sup>a</sup>	24,647	948	54.5	32,238	1,240	58.2
Total Reserves Additions	45,205	1,739	100.0	55,433	2,132	100.0
Production	52,070	2,003	115.2	66,258	2,548	119.5
Net Reserves Change	-6,865	-264	-15.2	-10,825	-416	-19.5
	Dry l	Natural Gas	(billion cubic fee	t at 14.73 psi	a and 60° F	ahrenheit)
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/76	180,838		_	213,278		
New Field Discoveries	50,968	1,960	11.2	51,190	1,969	11.6
New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields	65,310	2,512	14.4	65,723	2,528	14.9
Extensions	215,162	8,275	47.3	218,151	8,390	49.6
Total Discoveries	331,440	12,748	72.9	335,064	12,887	76.2
Revisions, Adjustments, Sales & Acquisitions <sup>a</sup>	123,016	4,731	27.1	104,800	4,031	23.8
Total Reserves Additions	454,456	17,479	100.0	439,864	16,918	100.0
Production	456,816	17,570	100.5	466,196	17,931	106.0
Net Reserves Change	-2,360	-91	-0.5	-26,332	-1,013	-6.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> EIA did not separately collect data on sales and acquisitions of proved reserves until the year 2000. Source: *U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves* 1977-2002 annual reports, DOE/EIA-0216.{1-25}

Looking at the components of total discoveries in 2002:

- 2002's new field discoveries (300 million barrels) were 32 percent greater than the post-1976 average for crude oil,
- New reservoir discoveries in old fields were 3 percent greater than the post-1976 average, and
- Extensions in 2002 were 4 percent less than the post-1976 average for crude oil.

**Dry Natural Gas:** Since 1977 U.S. operators have:

- Discovered an average of 12,887 billion cubic feet per year of new reserves
- Had proved reserves additions averaging 16,918 billion cubic feet per year from total discoveries, net revisions and adjustments, and net sales and acquisitions
- Had an average net reduction in U.S. reserves of 1,013 billion cubic feet per year.

Like crude oil reserves, natural gas reserves have been sustained primarily by proved ultimate recovery appreciation since 1977. However, extensions rather than net revisions and adjustments are usually the largest component. Extensions account for 50 percent while net revisions and adjustments account for only 24 percent of all reserves additions since 1977. In 2002, the net of revisions, adjustments, sales, and acquisitions was 22 percent of all reserves additions, and extensions were 65 percent of all reserves additions.

Compared to the averages of reserves changes since 1977, 2002 was an up year for natural gas reserves additions from total discoveries. Operators reported 17,795 billion cubic feet of total discoveries of dry natural gas proved reserves, 38 percent more than the post-1976 average (12,887 billion cubic feet). Also, the net of revisions, adjustments, sales, and acquisitions was 25 percent higher in 2002 (5,044 billion cubic feet) as compared to the post-1976 U.S. average (4,031 billion cubic feet per year).

Table 3. U.S. Average Annual Domestic First Purchase Prices for Crude Oil, Wellhead Prices for Natural Gas, and the Average Number of Active Rotary Drilling Rigs, 1977-2002

		C	rude Oil	Nat		
Year		Current	2002 Constant	Current	2002 Constant	
		(dollars per barrel)		(dollars per th	Number of Rigs	
1977		8.57	21.07	0.79	1.94	2,001
1978		9.00	20.65	0.91	2.09	2,259
1979		12.64	26.77	1.18	2.50	2,177
1980		21.59	41.88	1.59	3.08	2,909
1981		31.77	56.37	1.98	3.51	3,970
1982		28.52	47.63	2.46	4.11	3,105
1983		26.19	42.08	2.59	4.16	2,232
1984		25.88	40.09	2.66	4.12	2,428
1985		24.09	36.17	2.51	3.77	1,980
1986		12.51	18.38	1.94	2.85	964
1987		15.40	21.97	1.67	2.38	936
1988		12.58	17.35	1.69	2.33	936
1989		15.86	21.08	1.69	2.25	869
1990		20.03	25.62	1.71	2.19	1,010
1991		16.54	20.41	1.64	2.02	860
1992		15.99	19.26	1.74	2.10	721
1993		14.25	16.77	2.04	2.40	754
1994		13.19	15.20	1.85	2.13	775
1995		14.62	16.49	1.55	1.75	723
1996		18.46	20.43	2.17	2.40	779
1997		17.23	18.70	2.32	2.52	943
1998		10.87	11.65	1.96	2.10	827
1999		15.56	16.45	2.19	2.31	625
2000		26.72	27.66	3.69	3.82	918
2001	January	24.64	25.16	6.82	6.96	1,118
2001	February	25.27	25.73	5.08	5.17	1,136
	March	22.98	23.34	4.37	4.44	1,163
	April	23.39	23.72	4.52	4.58	1,206
	May	24.06	24.35	4.36	4.41	1,234
	June	23.43	23.67	3.80	3.84	1,270
	July	22.82	22.99	3.36	3.39	1,278
	August	23.08	23.23	3.34	3.36	1,252
	September	22.37	22.51	2.94	2.96	1,193
	October	18.73	18.88	2.81	2.83	1,111
	November	16.40	16.53	3.42	3.45	1,000
	December	15.54	15.66	3.44	3.47	901
2001	December	21.84	<b>22.09</b>	4.02	4. <b>07</b>	1,156
	January	15.89	15.98	2.35	2.36	867
2002	February	16.93	17.01	2.14	2.15	825
	March	20.28	20.35	2.52	2.53	763
		20.20	22.58	3.02	3.03	750
	April		23.55	3.01		826
	May	23.51			3.01	
	June	22.59	22.61	2.94	2.94	842 851
	July	23.51	23.51	2.89	2.89	851
	August	24.76	24.74	2.77	2.77	848
	September	26.08	26.02	2.98	2.97	860 851
	October	25.29	25.20	3.35	3.34	851
	November	23.38	23.26	3.59	3.57	834
2000	December	25.29	25.11	3.84	3.81	856
2002		22.51	22.51	2.95	2.95	830

<sup>=</sup>Revised data.

Sources: Current dollars and number of rigs: *Monthly Energy Review October 2003*, DOE/EIA-0035(2003/10). 2002 constant dollars: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Gross Domestic Product Implicit Price Deflators, October 2003.

#### **Prices and Drilling**

**Prices: Table 3** lists the average annual domestic wellhead prices of crude oil and natural gas, as well as the average number of active rotary drilling rigs, from 1977 through 2002.

The U.S. crude oil first purchase price started at an average of \$15.54 per barrel in December 2001, rose to \$26.08 in September 2002, then declined to \$25.29 per barrel in December 2002. The average U.S. crude oil first purchase price increased from \$21.84 in 2001 to \$22.51 per barrel in 2002.

Oil prices vary by region. The average 2002 crude oil first purchase price was \$23.41 per barrel in Texas, \$20.11 per barrel in California, \$24.82 per barrel in Colorado, \$22.55 per barrel in Ohio, and \$18.38 per barrel in the California Federal Offshore. The lowest average crude oil first purchase price in 2002 was \$18.18 per barrel for Alaskan North Slope crude. {26}

The average annual wellhead natural gas price decreased from \$4.07 in 2001 to \$2.95 per thousand cubic feet in 2002. Natural gas prices started at \$2.36 per thousand cubic feet in January 2002 and fluctuated between \$2.15 and \$3.03 until October, then increased steadily from \$3.35 to a high of \$3.81 per thousand cubic feet in December 2002.{27}

**Drilling:** The annual average active rig count decreased from 1,156 in 2001 to 830 in 2002 (**Table 3**), a 28 percent decrease in active rigs.

Looking first at exploratory wells, 2,068 were drilled in 2002 (**Table 4**). Of these, 11 percent were completed as oil wells, 32 percent were completed as gas wells, and 57 percent were dry holes. Exploratory oil and gas completions (excluding dry holes) in 2002 were 32 percent less (**Figures 7 and 8**) than the revised 2001 total.

**Figures 9 and 10** show the average volume of discoveries per exploratory well for dry natural gas and oil, respectively, since 1977. The 2002 average volume of oil discoveries per exploratory well decreased 47 percent as compared to 2001, while the 2002 average volume of gas discoveries per exploratory well increased 16 percent as compared to 2001.

The number of successful development wells decreased 26 percent for oil and 28 percent for gas from their 2001 levels. Including dry holes, there were an estimated 23,406 exploratory and development wells drilled in 2002. This is 26 percent less than in 2001 and 3

percent less than the average number of wells drilled annually in the prior 10 years (24,177).

For the tenth year in a row, the number of gas well completions exceeded the number of oil well completions in both the exploratory and development categories.

#### **Mergers and Acquisitions**

The following large mergers and acquisitions were announced in 2002, and are expected to have a major impact on the energy industry in the future:

On March 12, 2002, Shareholders of Conoco Incorporated and Phillips Petroleum Company approved the proposed \$15.6 billion merger. The new company ConocoPhillips, is assuming Conoco's home in Houston. The combined firm is the third largest U.S. petroleum company in terms of proved reserves. The U.S. Federal Trade Commission approved the merger in February 2003.{28}

On September 5, 2002, Unocal Corporation commenced its previously announced exchange offer to acquire all outstanding shares of Pure Resources common stock that it did not already own. Unocal, through its subsidiary Union Oil Company of California, already owned approximately 65 percent of Pure's outstanding common stock. In accordance with the final October 9, 2002 agreement, Unocal's Union Oil Company of California subsidiary exchanged 0.74 shares of Unocal common stock for each share of Pure's common stock. {29}

On July 29, 2002, MidAmerican Energy Holdings Company reached a definitive agreement with Dynegy Incorporated to acquire 100 percent ownership of Northern Natural Gas Company for \$928 million in cash and the assumption of \$950 million in debt. The sale closed in August 2002. With its acquisition of Kern River Gas Transmission Company in March 2002, the Kern River pipeline expansion, and completion of the Northern Natural Gas transaction, MidAmerican has become a leading owner of interstate natural gas pipeline systems. Northern Natural Gas is a 16,600-mile interstate pipeline transporting 4.3 billion cubic feet of natural gas per day from the Permian Basin in Texas to the upper Midwest. {30}

On August 1, 2002, Enterprise Products Partners L.P. announced that its operating partnership had completed the acquisition of Mid-America Pipeline

Table 4. U.S. Exploratory and Development Well Completions, a 1970-2002

		E	Exploratory			Total Exploratory and Development				
Year	Oil	Gas	Dry	Total	Oil	Gas	Dry	Total		
1970	763	478	6,193	7,434	13,043	4,031	11,099	28,173		
1971	664	472	5,995	7,131	11,903	3,983	10,382	26,268		
1972	690	659	6,202	7,551	11,437	5,484	11,013	27,934		
1973	642	1,067	5,952	7,661	10,167	6,933	10,320	27,420		
1974	859	1,190	6,833	8,882	13,647	7,138	12,116	32,901		
1975	982	1,248	7,129	9,359	16,948	8,127	13,646	38,721		
1976	1,086	1,346	6,772	9,204	17,688	9,409	13,758	40,855		
1977	1,164	1,548	7,283	9,995	18,745	12,122	14,985	45,852		
1978	1,171	1,771	7,965	10,907	19,181	14,413	16,551	50,145		
1979	1,321	1,907	7,437	10,665	20,851	15,254	16,099	52,204		
1980	1,764	2,081	9,039	12,884	32,639	17,333	20,638	70,610		
1981	2,636	2,514	12,349	17,499	43,598	20,166	27,789	91,553		
1982	2,431	2,125	11,247	15,803	39,199	18,979	26,219	84,397		
1983	2,023	1,593	10,148	13,764	37,120	14,564	24,153	75,837		
1984	2,198	1,521	11,278	14,997	42,605	17,127	25,681	85,413		
1985	1,679	1,190	8,924	11,793	35,118	14,168	21,056	70,342		
1986	1,084	793	5,549	7,426	19,097	8,516	12,678	40,291		
1987	925	754	5,049	6,728	16,164	8,055	11,112	35,331		
1988	855	732	4,693	6,280	13,636	8,555	10,041	32,232		
1989	607	705	3,924	5,236	10,204	9,539	8,188	27,931		
1990	654	689	3,715	5,058	12,198	11,044	8,313	31,555		
1991	592	534	3,314	4,440	11,770	9,526	7,596	28,892		
1992	493	423	2,513	3,429	8,757	8,209	6,118	23,084		
1993	502	548	2,469	3,519	8,407	10,017	6,328	24,752		
1994	570	726	2,405	3,701	6,721	9,538	5,307	21,566		
1995	542	570	2,198	3,310	7,627	8,354	5,075	21,056		
1996	483	570	2,136	3,189	8,314	9,302	5,282	22,898		
1997	428	536	2,110	3,074	10,436	11,327	5,702	27,465		
1998	291	504	1,647	2,442	7,604	11,308	4,840	23,212		
1999	R 154	R 539	R 1,195	R 1,888	R 4,176	R 10,877	R 3,364	R 18,417		
2000	R 264	R 609	R 1,288	R 2,161	R 7,358	R 16,455	R 4,025	R 27,838		
2001	R 317	R 988	R 1,444	R 2,749	R 8,060	R 22,083	R 2,640	R 31,478		
2002	220	668	1,180	2,068	5,996	15,947	2,351	23,406		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Excludes service wells and stratigraphic and core testing.

R = Revised Data.

Notes: Estimates include only the original drilling of a hole intended to discover of further develop already discovered oil or gas resources. Other drilling activities, such as drilling an old well deeper, drilling of laterals from the original well, drilling of service and injection wells, and drilling for resources other than oil and gas are excluded.

Figure 7. U.S. Exploratory Gas Well Completions, 1977-2002





Figure 8. U.S. Exploratory Oil Well Completions, 1977-2002



Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

Figure 9. U.S. Total Discoveries of Dry Natural Gas per Exploratory Gas Well Completion, 1977-2002





Figure 10. U.S. Total Discoveries of Crude Oil per Exploratory Oil Well Completion, 1977-2002



Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

Company and Seminole Pipeline Company from affiliates of The Williams Companies Inc. for approximately \$1.2 billion in cash. Mid-America Pipeline is a major natural gas liquids pipeline system with 7,226 miles of pipe and average transportation volumes of approximately 850,000 barrels per day. The Seminole Pipeline, a 1,281-mile pipeline, transports mixed NGLs and NGL products from Hobbs, New Mexico and the Permian Basin to Mont Belvieu, Texas, the largest NGL market hub in the United States. The average volume transported on Seminole is approximately 260,000 barrels per day. [31]

### Reserve-to-Production Ratio and Ultimate Recovery

#### **R/P Ratios**

The relationship between proved reserves and production levels, expressed as the ratio of reserves to production (R/P ratio) is often used in analyses. For a mature producing area, the R/P ratio tends to be reasonably stable, so that the proved reserves at the end of a year serve as a rough guide to the production level that can be maintained during the following year. Operators report data which yield R/P ratios that vary widely by area depending upon:

- category of operator
- geology
- economics
- number and size of new discoveries
- amount of drilling that has occurred.

R/P ratios are an indication of the state of development in an area and, over time, the ratios change. For example, when the Alaskan North Slope oil reserves were booked, the U.S. R/P ratio for crude oil increased because significant production from these reserves did not begin until 7 years after booking due to the need to first build the Trans Alaska pipeline. The U.S. R/P ratio for crude oil decreased from 11.1-to-1 to 9.4-to-1 between 1977 and 1982, as Alaskan North Slope oil production reached high levels.

In 2002, U.S. crude oil proved reserves increased and oil production decreased, increasing the National average R/P ratio from 11.7 to 12.1.

**Figure 11** shows the U.S. R/P ratio trend for crude oil since 1945. After World War II, increased drilling and discoveries led to a greater R/P ratio. Later, when drilling found fewer reserves than were produced, the

ratio became smaller. R/P ratios also vary geographically, because of differences in development history and reservoir conditions. The 2002 National average R/P ratio for crude oil was 12.1-to-1. Areas with relatively high R/P ratios are the Permian Basin of Texas and New Mexico, and California, where enhanced oil recovery techniques such as carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) injection or steamflooding have improved recoverability of oil in old, mature fields. Areas that have the lowest R/P ratios, like the Mid-Continent region, usually have many older fields. There, new technologies such as horizontal drilling have helped add reserves equivalent to the annual production, keeping the regional reserves and R/P ratio for oil relatively stable.

**Figure 12** shows the historical R/P ratio for wet natural gas since 1945. Prior to 1945, R/P ratios were very high since the interstate pipeline infrastructure was not well developed. The market for natural gas grew rapidly after World War II, lowering the R/P ratio. From 2001 to 2002 the U.S. average R/P ratio for natural gas increased from 9.2 to 9.4 since proved reserves increased and production decreased.

Different marketing, transportation, and production characteristics for gas are seen when looking at regional average R/P ratios, compared to the 2002 U.S. average R/P ratio of about 9.4-to-1. Areas with a higher range of R/P ratios than the National average were the Pacific offshore and the Rockies, and also include areas such as Alabama and Colorado where considerable booking of coalbed methane reserves has recently occurred. Several major gas producing areas have R/P ratios below the National average, particularly Texas, the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore, and Oklahoma.

#### **Proved Ultimate Recovery**

EIA had defined Ultimate Recovery as the sum of proved reserves and cumulative production. However, despite EIA's definition, the volume presented by EIA has often been misinterpreted as the maximum recoverable volume of resources for an area. This neglects the addition of proved reserves over time through ultimate recovery appreciation (a.k.a. reserves growth or field growth) and has led some to make overly-pessimistic resource assessments for the United States. EIA therefore introduced the term, *Proved Ultimate Recovery*:

**Proved Ultimate Recovery** is the sum of proved reserves and cumulative production. It is expected to change over time for any field, group

Figure 11. Reserves-to-Production Ratios for Crude Oil, 1945-2002





Figure 12. Reserves-to-Production Ratios for Wet Natural Gas, 1945-2002



Sources: Annual reserves and production - American Petroleum Institute and American Gas Association (1945–1976) {32} and Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas (1977–2001){1-25}. Cumulative production: *U.S. Oil and Gas Reserves by Year of Field Discovery* (1977-1988).{33}

Figure 13. Components of Proved Ultimate Recovery for Crude Oil and Lease Condensate, 1977-2002





Figure 14. Components of Proved Ultimate Recovery for Wet Natural Gas, 1977-2002



Sources: Annual reserves and production - American Petroleum Institute and American Gas Association (1945–1976) {32} and Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas (1977–2001){1-25}. Cumulative production: *U.S. Oil and Gas Reserves by Year of Field Discovery* (1977-1988).{33}

Table 5. International Oil and Natural Gas Reserves as of December 31, 2002

Oil (million barrels)				Natural Gas (billion cubic feet)				
Rank	t <sup>a</sup> Country	Oil & Gas Journal	World Oil	Ranl	k <sup>b</sup>	Country	Oil & Gas Journal	World Oil
1	Saudia Arabia <sup>C</sup>	<sup>d</sup> 261,800	<sup>d</sup> 261,750	1	R	ussia	1,680,000	1,700,000
2	Iraq <sup>C</sup>	112,500	115,000	2	Ira	an <sup>C</sup>	812,300	913,591
3	Kuwait <sup>C</sup>	<sup>d</sup> 96,500	<sup>d</sup> 98,850	3	Q	atar <sup>c</sup>	508,540	915,992
4	Iran <sup>c</sup>	89,700	100,060	4	S	audia Arabia <sup>C</sup>	<sup>d</sup> 224,700	<sup>d</sup> 234,600
5	Canada	180,021	5,485	5	U	nited Arab Emirates <sup>C</sup>	212,100	204,050
6	United Arab Emirates <sup>C</sup> .	97,800	63,010	6	U	nited States	183,460	188,965
7	Venezuela <sup>C</sup>	77,800	53,130	7	Α	lgeria <sup>C</sup>	159,700	170,000
8	Russia	60,000	58,765	8	Ν	igeria <sup>c</sup>	124,000	178,500
9	Libya <sup>C</sup>	29,500	30,000	9	V	igeria <sup>C</sup>	148,000	149,207
10	Nigeria <sup>C</sup>	24,000	32,000	10	Ira	aq <sup>C</sup>	109,800	112,600
Top '	10 Total	1,029,621	818,050	Top '	10	Total	4,162,600	4,767,505
11	United States	22,677	21,997	11	Α	ustralia	90,000	85,000
12	China	18,250	23,700	12		donesia <sup>C</sup>	92,500	73,500
13	Qatar <sup>C</sup>	15,207	19,559	13	M	alaysia	75,000	88,000
14	Mexico	12,622	17,197	14		orway	77,300	74,730
15	Algeria <sup>C</sup>	9,200	13,000	15		anada	60,118	60,126
16	Norway	10,265	9,018	16	Ν	etherlands	62,000	55,315
17	Brazil	8,322	9,813	17	E	gypt	58,500	58,600
18	Angola	5,412	8,900	18	K	uwait <sup>C</sup>	<sup>d</sup> 52,200	<sup>d</sup> 56,600
19	Oman	5,506	5,735	19	С	hina	53,325	46,650
20	Indonesia <sup>C</sup>	5,000	5,945	20	Li	bya <sup>C</sup>	46,400	46,000
21	India	5,367	4,595	21	0	man	29,280	31,000
22	United Kingdom	4,715	4,476	22	В	olivia	24,000	28,061
23	Malaysia	3,000	4,328	23	In	dia	26,943	23,550
24	Egypt	3,700	3,535	24	Α	rgentina	26,960	23,431
25	Australia	3,500	3,700	25	U	nited Kingdom	24,600	22,239
Top 2	25 Total	1,162,364	973,548	Top 2	25 <sup>-</sup>	Total	4,962,226	5,540,307
	C Total	819,007	792,304	_		otal	2,490,740	3,054,640
	d Total	1,212,881	1,033,993			otal	5,501,424	6,128,653

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Rank is based on an average of oil reserves reported by *Oil & Gas Journal* and *World Oil*.

bRank is based on an average of natural gas reserves reported by *Oil & Gas Journal* and *World Oil*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup>Member of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC).

dIncludes one-half of the reserves in the Neutral Zone.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Energy Information Administration proved reserves as of December 31, 2001 were published by the *Oil & Gas Journal* as its estimates as of December 31, 2002.

Note: The Energy Information Administration does not certify these international reserves data, but reproduces the information as a matter of convenience for the reader.

Sources: PennWell Publishing Company, Oil and Gas Journal, December 22, 2002, pp. 113-115. Gulf Publishing Company, World Oil, August, 2003, p 23.

of fields, State, or Country. Proved Ultimate Recovery does not represent the maximum recoverable volume of resources for an area. It is instead a gauge of how much has already been produced plus proved reserves. Proved reserves of crude oil or natural gas are the estimated quantities of petroleum which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. When deterministic proved reserves estimation methods are used, the term reasonable certainty is intended to express a high degree of confidence that the estimated quantities will be recovered. When probabilistic methods are used there should be at least a 90 percent probability that the actual quantities recovered will exceed the estimate.

**Figures 13 and 14** show successive estimates of U.S. proved ultimate recovery and its components (proved reserves and cumulative production) for crude oil plus lease condensate and for wet natural gas, during 1977-2002. These estimates illustrate the continued appreciation (growth) of proved ultimate recovery over time.

In 1977, U.S. crude oil plus lease condensate proved reserves were 33,615 million barrels. Cumulative production of crude oil plus lease condensate from 1977 - 2002 was 67,664 million barrels, a volume that substantially exceeds 1977 proved reserves. However, at the end of 2002, there were still 24,023 million barrels of crude oil plus lease condensate proved reserves. This reflects the fact that the Nation's estimated proved ultimate recovery of crude oil was fundamentally increased during this period owing to the proved ultimate recovery appreciation process (the continued development of old fields). In fact, only 11 percent of proved reserves additions of crude oil were booked as new field discoveries from 1976 through 2002. The rest came from the proved reserves categories related to the proved ultimate recovery appreciation process.

Similarly, the 1977 proved reserves of wet natural gas were 209,490 billion cubic feet, but more than twice this amount of gas was produced from 1977 through 2002 and there were still 195,561 billion cubic feet of wet natural gas proved reserves in 2002. Only 12 percent of proved reserve additions of natural gas were booked as new field discoveries from 1976 through 2002.

#### **International Perspective**

#### International Reserves

The EIA does not currently collect its own data on international oil and gas reserves. However, international reserves estimates are presented in two widely circulated trade publications and are shown in **Table 5** as a service to our readers. The world's total reserves are estimated to be roughly 1 trillion barrels of oil and 6 quadrillion cubic feet of gas.

The United States ranked 11th in the world for reserves of crude oil and 6th for reserves of natural gas in 2002. A comparison of EIA's U.S. proved reserves estimates with worldwide estimates obtained from other sources shows that the United States had 2 percent of the world's total crude oil proved reserves and 3 percent of the world's total natural gas proved reserves at the end of 2002. There are sometimes substantial differences between the estimates from these sources. For example, the *Oil & Gas Journal* reported oil reserves for the United Arab Emirates of about 98 billion barrels. This is about 56 percent higher than the *World Oil* estimate of 63 billion. One reason (among many) for these differences is that condensate is often included in foreign estimates of oil reserve.

The Oil & Gas Journal [34] estimate for world oil reserves increased 18 percent in 2002, due to its addition of large reserves of heavy oil from Canadian tar sands; an addition not shared by World Oil [35] who's estimate increased only 2 percent. The addition of large Canadian oil reserves in 2002 moves it up in rank from 20th in the world in 2001 to 5th in the world in 2002. For world gas reserves, the Oil & Gas Journal reported a 1 percent increase, while World Oil reported a 3 percent increase.

Several foreign countries have oil reserves considerably larger than those of the United States. Saudi Arabian oil reserves are the largest in the world, dwarfing U.S. oil reserves. Based on averages of the *World Oil* and *Oil & Gas Journal* estimates, Iraqi oil reserves are almost 5 times U.S. reserves. Venezuela and Canada have about 3 times U.S. reserves.

#### **Petroleum Consumption**

The United States is the world's largest energy consumer. The EIA's estimates of energy consumption are published in its *Annual Energy Review*. [36] In 2002:

- The U.S. consumed 97,350,000,000,000,000 Btu of energy (97.35 quadrillion Btu). This was an increase of 1.03 quadrillion Btu from the 2001 level of consumption
- 63 percent of U.S. energy consumption was provided by petroleum and natural gas—crude oil and natural gas liquids combined (39 percent), and natural gas (24 percent)
- U.S. petroleum consumption was about 19.8 million barrels of oil and natural gas liquids and 62.7 billion cubic feet of dry gas per day.

#### **Dependence on Imports**

The United States remains heavily dependent on imported oil and gas to satisfy its ever-increasing appetite for energy. In 2002, crude oil imports made up 61 percent of the U.S. crude oil supply. Saudi Arabia, Mexico, Canada, and Venezuela were the primary foreign suppliers of petroleum to the United States. [37]

Net gas imports increased slightly from the revised 2001 total of 3.73 trillion cubic feet to 3.78 trillion cubic feet in 2002. Imports were used for approximately 19 percent of consumption, and almost all of it was pipelined from Canada. Some came from Mexico, though Mexico remains a net importer of natural gas from the U.S., and some liquefied natural gas was imported from Algeria and Australia.

#### **List Of Appendices**

Appendix A: Reserves by Operator Production Size Class - How much of the National total of proved reserves are owned and operated by the large oil and gas corporations? Appendix A separates the large operators from the small and presents reserves data according to operator production size classes.

Appendix B: Top 100 Oil and Gas Fields - What fields have the most reserves and production in the United States? The top 100 fields for oil and natural gas out of the inventory of more than 45,000 oil and gas fields are listed in Appendix B. These fields hold two-thirds of U.S. crude oil proved reserves. Table B3 in Appendix B lists the top U.S. operators by reported 2002 production and indicates pending mergers announced in 2002 with linked arrows.

Appendix C: Conversion to the Metric System - To simplify international comparisons, a summary of U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves expressed in metric units is included as Appendix C.

Appendix D: Historical Reserves Statistics - Appendix D contains selected historical reserves data presented at the State and National level. Readers interested in a historical look at one specific State or region can review these tables. We have again included Table D9, Deepwater Production and Proved Reserves of the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore 1992-2002, due to expressed interest from the industry regarding this area. Table D9 contains the production and proved reserves for 1992-2002 for the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore region by water depths greater than 200 meters, and less than 200 meters.

Appendix E: Summary of Data Collection Operations - This report is based on two EIA surveys. Proved reserves data is collected annually from U.S. oil and gas field operators on Form EIA-23. Natural gas liquids production data is collected annually from U.S. natural gas plant operators on Form EIA-64A. Appendix E describes survey designs, response statistics, reporting requirements, and sampling frame maintenance.

Appendix F: Statistical Considerations - The EIA strives to maintain or improve the accuracy of its reports. Since complete coverage of all oil and gas operators is impractical, the EIA has adopted sound statistical methods to impute data for those operators not sampled and for those data elements that smaller operators are not required to file. These methods are described in Appendix F.

Appendix G: Estimation of Reserves and Resources Reserves are not measured directly. Reserves are estimated on the basis of the best geological, engineering, and economic data available to the estimator. Appendix G describes reserve estimation techniques commonly used by oil and gas field operators and EIA personnel when in the field performing quality assurance checks. A discussion of the relationship of reserves to overall U.S. oil and gas resources is also included.

Appendix H: Maps of Selected State Subdivisions - Certain large producing States have been subdivided into smaller regions to allow more specific reporting of reserves data. Maps of these States identifying the smaller regions are provided in Appendix H.

Appendix I: Annual Survey Forms of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves - Samples of Form EIA-23 and Form EIA-64A are presented in Appendix I.

**Glossary -** Contains definitions of many of the technical terms used in this report.

#### 3. Crude Oil Statistics

The United States had 22,667 million barrels of crude oil proved reserves as of December 31, 2002. This is 1 percent (231 million barrels) more than in 2001, and marks the fourth year in a row that crude oil proved reserves have increased.

The majority of crude oil total discoveries in 2002 were extensions, primarily in Texas, California, and the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore. The North Slope of Alaska, a key area for discoveries, had no significant impact on the National total in 2002. Operators replaced 112 percent of 2002 oil production with proved reserves additions (**Figure 15**).

#### **Proved Reserves**


**Table 6** presents the U.S. proved reserves of crude oil as of December 31, 2002, by selected States and State subdivisions.

**Figure 16** maps 2002 crude oil proved reserves by area. The following four areas account for 79 percent of U.S. crude oil proved reserves:

Area	Percent of U.S. Oil Reserves
Texas	22
Alaska	21
Gulf of Mexico Federal Off	shore 20
California	16
Area Total	79

Of these four areas, Texas, the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore, and California had increases in crude oil proved reserves in 2002. Alaska reported a decline in crude oil proved reserves.

Figure 15. Replacement of U.S. Crude Oil Production by Reserves Additions, 1993-2002.



Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

.

Table 6. Crude Oil Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, 2002 (Million Barrels of 42 U.S. Gallons)

State and Subdivision   Reserves   Aguitation   North   Nort				Changes in Reserves During 2002									
Lower 48 States		Proved Reserves	Adjustments	Increases	Decreases		•		Discoveries	Discoveries in Old Fields	Estimated Production	Proved Reserves 12/31/02	
Alahama	Alaska	4,851	2	192	39	0	0	25	0	8	361	4,678	
Arkannasa         a43         11         9         5         4         2         0         0         0         7           Coastal Region Onshore         385         3         19         2         4         122         9         0         0         18           Los Angeles Basin Onshore         297         4         48         16         1         0         155         0         0         17           San Josquin Basin Onshore         2,766         14         130         66         1         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         177           State Offshore         1196         18         30         13         1         0         2	Lower 48 States	17,595	414	1,733	1,166	804	828	467	300	146	1,514	17,999	
California 3,827 18 223 85 6 25 88 0 0 0 257 25 Castal Region Onshore 385 3 19 2 4 12 9 0 0 0 18 Los Angeles Basin Onshore. 297 4 4 48 16 1 0 15 0 0 0 17 San Josephin Basin Onshore 2,766 14 130 66 1 0 0 44 0 0 0 25 2 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 10 0 15 0 0 0 17 Colorado 196 18 30 13 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 17 Colorado 196 18 30 13 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 17 Colorado 196 18 30 13 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 17 Colorado 196 18 30 13 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Alabama	. 42		8	2	1	0	1	0	5	5	51	
Coastal Region Onshore         386         3         19         2         4         112         9         0         0         18           Los Angles Basin Onshore         2,766         14         130         66         1         0         64         0         0         205         2           State Offshore         179         -3         28         1         0         133         0         0         0         17           Florida         75         1         2         1         0         0         0         0         0         0         4           Illinois         92         22         17         15         0         2         L         Ludiana         1         1         1         0 <td< td=""><td>Arkansas</td><td>. a<sub>43</sub></td><td>11</td><td>9</td><td>5</td><td>4</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>7</td><td>49</td></td<>	Arkansas	. a <sub>43</sub>	11	9	5	4	2	0	0	0	7	49	
Los Angeles Basin Onshore. 297	California	3,627	18	223	85	6	25	88	0	0	257	3,633	
San Joaquin Basin Onshore   2,766   14   130   66   1   0   64   0   0   205	Coastal Region Onshore	385	3	19	2	4	12	9	0	0	18	404	
State Offshore	Los Angeles Basin Onshore	. 297	4	48	16	1	0	15	0	0	17	330	
Colorado	San Joaquin Basin Onshore	2,766	14	130	66	1	0	64	0	0	205	2,702	
Florida	State Offshore	179	-3	26	1	0	13	0	0	0	17	197	
Illinois	Colorado	. 196	18	30	13	1	0	1	0	0	17	214	
Illinois	Florida	. 75	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	73	
Kansas.			22		15	0	0	0	0	0	9	107	
Kansas.	Indiana	a <sub>12</sub>	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	15	
Kentucky						4				0		237	
Louislana		a										27 <sup>a</sup>	
North	-											501	
South Onshore												75	
State Offshore												335	
Michigan												91	
Mississippi												61	
Montana	-											179	
Nebraska										-		288	
New Mexico		2											
East         703         16         79         72         30         35         27         0         4         63           West         12         0         1         3         1         3         0         0         0         1           North Dakota         328         -2         52         13         57         58         9         0         0         33           Ohio         46         19         11         2         3         0         1         0         0         5           Oklahoma         556         43         79         68         176         199         23         0         0         58           Pennsylvania         10         2         3         1         0         0         0         0         0         28           Pennsylvania         4944         188         427         232         331         289         91         0         7         368         5           RRC District 1         46         7         13         9         13         12         1         0         0         7         RRC District 1         10         0         1												18	
West.         12         0         1         3         1         3         0         0         0         1           North Dakota         328         -2         52         13         57         58         9         0         0         33           Chio         46         19         11         2         3         0         1         0         0         5           Coklahoma         556         43         79         68         176         199         23         0         0         58           Pennsylvania         10         2         3         1         0         0         0         0         0         2a           Pennsylvania         10         2         3         1         0         0         0         0         0         2a           Pennsylvania         40         4         188         427         232         331         289         91         0         7         368         5           Pennsylvania         4         188         427         232         331         289         91         0         7         368         5           RRC District 3 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>710</td>										•		710	
North Dakota 328 -2 52 13 57 58 9 0 0 33   Ohio										-		699	
Ohio         46         19         11         2         3         0         1         0         0         5           Oklahoma         556         43         79         68         176         199         23         0         0         58           Pennsylvania         10         2         3         1         0         0         0         0         0         0         2a           Texas         4,944         188         427         232         331         289         91         0         7         368         5           RRC District 2 Onshore         48         3         8         6         2         5         4         0         1         7           RRC District 3 Onshore         195         22         37         22         7         4         16         0         1         28           RRC District 3 Onshore         195         22         37         22         7         4         16         0         1         7           RRC District 4 Onshore         32         2         5         7         4         3         2         0         0         5           RRC								-		_		11	
Oklahoma         556         43         79         68         176         199         23         0         0         58           Pennsylvania         10         2         3         1         0         0         0         0         0         2a           Texas         4,944         188         427         232         331         289         91         0         7         368         5           RRC District 2 Onshore         48         3         8         6         2         5         4         0         1         7           RRC District 3 Onshore         195         22         37         22         7         4         16         0         1         28           RRC District 3 Onshore         195         22         37         22         7         4         16         0         1         28           RRC District 4 Onshore         32         2         5         7         4         3         2         0         0         5           RRC District 5         29         0         3         5         0         1         0         0         0         20           RRC District												342	
Pennsylvania         10         2         3         1         0         0         0         0         2           Texas         4,944         188         427         232         331         289         91         0         7         368         5           RRC District 1         46         7         13         9         13         12         1         0         0         7         368         5           RRC District 2 Onshore         48         3         8         6         2         5         4         0         1         7           RRC District 3 Onshore         195         22         37         22         7         4         16         0         1         28           RRC District 4 Onshore         32         2         5         7         4         3         2         0         0         5           RRC District 5         29         0         3         5         0         1         0         0         0         4           RRC District 7C         188         15         23         13         30         6         4         0         0         1         113         1												67	
Texas         4,944         188         427         232         331         289         91         0         7         368         5           RRC District 1         46         7         13         9         13         12         1         0         0         7         7           RRC District 2 Onshore         48         3         8         6         2         5         4         0         1         7         7         RRC District 3 Onshore         195         22         37         22         7         4         16         0         1         28         RRC District 4 Onshore         32         2         5         7         4         3         2         0         0         5         RRC District 4 Onshore         32         2         2         5         7         4         3         2         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         4         18         1         2         1         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         1         1         1         0         0         0         1         1         1												598	
RRC District 1												12a	
RRC District 2 Onshore         48         3         8         6         2         5         4         0         1         7           RRC District 3 Onshore         195         22         37         22         7         4         16         0         1         28           RRC District 4 Onshore         32         2         5         7         4         3         2         0         0         5           RRC District 5         29         0         3         5         0         1         0         0         0         4           RRC District 6         200         27         19         22         10         1         3         0         0         0         4           RRC District 6         200         27         19         22         10         1         3         0         0         0         11           RRC District 7         188         15         23         13         30         6         4         0         0         16           RRC District 8         1,880         28         156         74         158         164         29         0         1         113         1												5,015	
RRC District 3 Onshore         195         22         37         22         7         4         16         0         1         28           RRC District 4 Onshore         32         2         5         7         4         3         2         0         0         5           RRC District 5         29         0         3         5         0         1         0         0         0         4           RRC District 6         200         27         19         22         10         1         3         0         0         20           RRC District 7B         91         31         10         9         36         5         1         0         0         16           RRC District 7C         188         15         23         13         30         6         4         0         0         16           RRC District 8         1,880         28         156         74         158         164         29         0         1         113         1           RRC District 8         1,880         28         156         74         158         164         29         0         1         113         1								•				50	
RRC District 4 Onshore       32       2       5       7       4       3       2       0       0       5         RRC District 5       29       0       3       5       0       1       0       0       0       4         RRC District 6       200       27       19       22       10       1       3       0       0       20         RRC District 7B       91       31       10       9       36       5       1       0       0       11         RRC District 7C       188       15       23       13       30       6       4       0       0       16         RRC District 8       1,880       28       156       74       158       164       29       0       1       113       1         RRC District 8       1,880       28       156       74       158       164       29       0       1       113       1         RRC District 8       1,880       28       156       74       158       164       29       0       1       113       1         RRC District 9       104       17       13       14       10       14       <	RRC District 2 Onshore	. 48					5			1		54	
RRC District 5         29         0         3         5         0         1         0         0         0         4           RRC District 6         200         27         19         22         10         1         3         0         0         20           RRC District 7B         91         31         10         9         36         5         1         0         0         11           RRC District 7C         188         15         23         13         30         6         4         0         0         16           RRC District 8         1,880         28         156         74         158         164         29         0         1         113         1           RRC District 8         1,880         28         156         74         158         164         29         0         1         113         1           RRC District 8         1,880         28         156         74         158         164         29         0         1         113         1           RRC District 9         104         17         13         14         10         14         3         0         1         15	RRC District 3 Onshore	. 195				7	4			1	28	218	
RRC District 6         200         27         19         22         10         1         3         0         0         20           RRC District 7B         91         31         10         9         36         5         1         0         0         11           RRC District 7C         188         15         23         13         30         6         4         0         0         16           RRC District 8         1,880         28         156         74         158         164         29         0         1         113         1           RRC District 8         2,070         25         132         41         61         74         27         0         2         135         2           RRC District 9         104         17         13         14         10         14         3         0         1         15           RRC District 10         55         11         7         9         0         0         0         0         0         6           State Offshore         6         0         1         1         0         0         0         0         1         1           <	RRC District 4 Onshore	. 32	2	5	7	4	3	2	0	0	5	28	
RRC District 7B       91       31       10       9       36       5       1       0       0       11         RRC District 7C       188       15       23       13       30       6       4       0       0       16         RRC District 8       1,880       28       156       74       158       164       29       0       1       113       1         RRC District 8A       2,070       25       132       41       61       74       27       0       2       135       2         RRC District 9       104       17       13       14       10       14       3       0       1       15         RRC District 10       55       11       7       9       0       0       1       0       0       6         State Offshore       6       0       1       1       0       0       0       0       1       1         Utah       271       -18       12       16       0       0       4       0       0       1         West Virginia       8       2       4       0       0       0       0       0       0       <	RRC District 5	. 29	0	3	5	0	1	0	0	0	4	24	
RRC District 7C       188       15       23       13       30       6       4       0       0       16         RRC District 8       1,880       28       156       74       158       164       29       0       1       113       1         RRC District 8A       2,070       25       132       41       61       74       27       0       2       135       2         RRC District 9       104       17       13       14       10       14       3       0       1       15         RRC District 10       55       11       7       9       0       0       1       0       0       6         State Offshore       6       0       1       1       0       0       0       0       1       1         Utah       271       -18       12       16       0       0       4       0       0       1         West Virginia       8       2       4       0       0       0       0       0       1         Wyoming       489       5       92       22       93       81       18       0       0       46	RRC District 6	200	27	19	22	10	1	3	0	0	20	198	
RRC District 8       1,880       28       156       74       158       164       29       0       1       113       1         RRC District 8A       2,070       25       132       41       61       74       27       0       2       135       2         RRC District 9       104       17       13       14       10       14       3       0       1       15         RRC District 10       55       11       7       9       0       0       1       0       0       0       6         State Offshore       6       0       1       1       0       0       0       0       1       1         Utah       271       -18       12       16       0       0       4       0       0       12         West Virginia       8       2       4       0       0       0       0       0       1         Wyoming       489       5       92       22       93       81       18       0       0       46         Federal Offshore       4,835       3       521       403       24       35       125       290       110	RRC District 7B	. 91	31	10	9	36	5	1	0	0	11	82	
RRC District 8A       2,070       25       132       41       61       74       27       0       2       135       2         RRC District 9       104       17       13       14       10       14       3       0       1       15         RRC District 10       55       11       7       9       0       0       1       0       0       6         State Offshore       6       0       1       1       0       0       0       0       1       1         Utah       271       -18       12       16       0       0       4       0       0       1       1         West Virginia       8       2       4       0       0       0       0       0       0       1         Wyoming       489       5       92       22       93       81       18       0       0       46         Federal Offshore       4,835       3       521       403       24       35       125       290       110       483       5         Pacific (California)       547       1       24       8       0       0       32       0	RRC District 7C	. 188	15	23	13	30	6	4	0	0	16	177	
RRC District 9       104       17       13       14       10       14       3       0       1       15         RRC District 10       55       11       7       9       0       0       1       0       0       6         State Offshore       6       0       1       1       0       0       0       0       1       1         Utah       271       -18       12       16       0       0       4       0       0       12         West Virginia       8       2       4       0       0       0       0       0       0       1         Wyoming       489       5       92       22       93       81       18       0       0       46         Federal Offshore       4,835       3       521       403       24       35       125       290       110       483       5         Pacific (California)       547       1       24       8       0       0       32       0       0       31         Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana)       3,877       -2       401       264       23       32       92       260       110	RRC District 8	1,880	28	156	74	158	164	29	0	1	113	1,913	
RRC District 10       55       11       7       9       0       0       1       0       0       6         State Offshore       6       0       1       1       0       0       0       0       1       1         Utah       271       -18       12       16       0       0       4       0       0       12         West Virginia       8       2       4       0       0       0       0       0       0       1         Wyoming       489       5       92       22       93       81       18       0       0       46         Federal Offshore       4,835       3       521       403       24       35       125       290       110       483       5         Pacific (California)       547       1       24       8       0       0       32       0       0       31         Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana)       3,877       -2       401       264       23       32       92       260       110       395       4         Gulf of Mexico (Texas)       411       4       96       131       1       3       1       30 <td>RRC District 8A</td> <td>2,070</td> <td>25</td> <td>132</td> <td>41</td> <td>61</td> <td>74</td> <td>27</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>135</td> <td>2,093</td>	RRC District 8A	2,070	25	132	41	61	74	27	0	2	135	2,093	
State Offshore       6       0       1       1       0       0       0       0       1       1         Utah       271       -18       12       16       0       0       4       0       0       12         West Virginia       8       2       4       0       0       0       0       0       0       1         Wyoming       489       5       92       22       93       81       18       0       0       46         Federal Offshore       4,835       3       521       403       24       35       125       290       110       483       5         Pacific (California)       547       1       24       8       0       0       32       0       0       31         Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana)       3,877       -2       401       264       23       32       92       260       110       395       4         Gulf of Mexico (Texas)       411       4       96       131       1       3       1       30       0       57	RRC District 9	. 104	17	13	14	10	14	3	0	1	15	113	
Utah       271       -18       12       16       0       0       4       0       0       12         West Virginia       8       2       4       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       1         Wyoming       489       5       92       22       93       81       18       0       0       46         Federal Offshore       4,835       3       521       403       24       35       125       290       110       483       5         Pacific (California)       547       1       24       8       0       0       32       0       0       31         Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana)       3,877       -2       401       264       23       32       92       260       110       395       4         Gulf of Mexico (Texas)       411       4       96       131       1       3       1       30       0       57	RRC District 10	. 55	11	7	9	0	0	1	0	0	6	59	
West Virginia       8       2       4       0       0       0       0       0       0       1         Wyoming       489       5       92       22       93       81       18       0       0       46         Federal Offshore       4,835       3       521       403       24       35       125       290       110       483       5         Pacific (California)       547       1       24       8       0       0       32       0       0       31         Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana)       3,877       -2       401       264       23       32       92       260       110       395       4         Gulf of Mexico (Texas)       411       4       96       131       1       3       1       30       0       57	State Offshore	. 6	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	
Wyoming     489     5     92     22     93     81     18     0     0     46       Federal Offshore     4,835     3     521     403     24     35     125     290     110     483     5       Pacific (California)     547     1     24     8     0     0     32     0     0     31       Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana)     3,877     -2     401     264     23     32     92     260     110     395     4       Gulf of Mexico (Texas)     411     4     96     131     1     3     1     30     0     57	Utah	. 271	-18	12	16	0	0	4	0	0	12	241	
Wyoming     489     5     92     22     93     81     18     0     0     46       Federal Offshore     4,835     3     521     403     24     35     125     290     110     483     5       Pacific (California)     547     1     24     8     0     0     32     0     0     31       Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana)     3,877     -2     401     264     23     32     92     260     110     395     4       Gulf of Mexico (Texas)     411     4     96     131     1     3     1     30     0     57						0	0	0	0	0		13 <sup>a</sup>	
Federal Offshore     4,835     3     521     403     24     35     125     290     110     483     5       Pacific (California)     547     1     24     8     0     0     32     0     0     31       Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana)     3,877     -2     401     264     23     32     92     260     110     395     4       Gulf of Mexico (Texas)     411     4     96     131     1     3     1     30     0     57							81	18	0	0		524	
Pacific (California)     547     1     24     8     0     0     32     0     0     31       Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana)     3,877     -2     401     264     23     32     92     260     110     395     4       Gulf of Mexico (Texas)     411     4     96     131     1     3     1     30     0     57									290	110		5,009	
Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) 3,877 -2 401 264 23 32 92 260 110 395 4 Gulf of Mexico (Texas) 411 4 96 131 1 3 1 30 0 57												565	
Gulf of Mexico (Texas) 411 4 96 131 1 3 1 30 0 57	,											4,088	
												356	
11100011111111111111111111111111111111												15	
U.S. Total												22,677	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Indicates the estimate is associated with a sampling error (95 percent confidence interval) that exceeds 20 percent of the estimated value.

alndicates the estimate is associated with a sampling error (95 percent confidence interval) that exceeds 20 percent of the estimate blincludes Arizona, Missouri, Nevada, New York, South Dakota, Tennessee, and Virginia.

Note: The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves." They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for crude oil for 2002 contained in the Petroleum Supply Annual 2002, DOE/EIA-0340(02).

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

Figure 16. Crude Oil Proved Reserves by Area, 2002





Figure 17. Changes in Crude Oil Proved Reserves by Area, 2001 to 2002



Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

#### **Discussion of Reserves Changes**

**Figure 17** maps the change in crude oil proved reserves from 2001 to 2002 by area. Here's how the top four areas fared compared to the total United States:

Area	Change in U.S. Oil Reserves (million barrels)
Texas	+71
Alaska	-173
Gulf of Mexico Federal C	ffshore +156
California	+6
Area Total	+60
U.S. Total	+231

**Figure 2** in Chapter 2 shows the components of the changes in crude oil proved reserves for 2002 and the preceding 10 years.

#### **Total Discoveries**

Total discoveries are those reserves attributable to extensions of existing fields, new field discoveries, and new reservoir discoveries in old fields. They result from the drilling of exploratory wells.

Total discoveries of crude oil were 946 million barrels in 2002, 63 percent less than those of 2001. This is not surprising because 2001, which featured new proved reserves from bp's Thunder Horse Field, was an unusually successful year. Domestic field discoveries of that magnitude are not common. Only four areas had total discoveries exceeding 50 million barrels:

- The Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore had 493 million barrels of total discoveries, 52 percent of the National total.
- Texas had 98 million barrels of total discoveries, 10 percent of the National total.
- California had 88 million barrels of total discoveries, 9 percent of the National total.
- Louisiana had 56 million barrels of total discoveries, 6 percent of the National total.

The United States discovered an average of 1,014 million barrels of new crude oil proved reserves per year in the prior 10 years (1992 through 2001). Total discoveries in 2002 (946 million barrels) were 7 percent less than that average.

#### **Extensions**

Operators reported 492 million barrels of extensions in 2002. The highest volume of extensions was reported in the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (93 million barrels). Texas reported 91 million barrels of extensions. California was third with 88 million barrels of extensions in 2002.

In the prior 10 years, U.S. operators reported an average of 488 million barrels of extensions per year. The 2002 extensions were 1 percent more than that average.

#### **New Field Discoveries**

There were 300 million barrels of new field discoveries of crude oil reported in 2002. Only five areas in the United States reported any new field discoveries, and only the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore contributed a significant volume (97 percent; 290 million barrels).

In the prior 10 years, U.S operators reported an average of 354 million barrels of reserves from new field discoveries per year. Reserves from new field discoveries in 2002 were 15 percent less than that average volume.

#### New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields

Operators reported 154 million barrels of crude oil reserves from new reservoir discoveries in old fields in 2002. As with new field discoveries, the most significant portion of new reservoir discoveries in old fields was in the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore—110 million barrels or 71 percent of the total. Louisiana had 19 million barrels (12 percent), Alaska had 8 million barrels (5 percent), and Texas had 7 million barrels (5 percent).

In the prior 10 years, U.S. operators reported an average of 172 million barrels of reserves from new reservoir discoveries in old fields per year. Reserves from new reservoir discoveries in old fields in 2002 were 10 percent less than that average.

#### **Revisions and Adjustments**

Thousands of positive and negative revisions to proved reserves occur each year as infill wells are drilled, well performance is analyzed, new technology is applied, or economic conditions change. Adjustments are the annual changes in the published reserve estimates that cannot be directly attributed to the estimates for other reserve change categories because of the survey and statistical estimation methods employed.

There were 1,925 million barrels of revision increases, 1,205 million barrels of revision decreases, and 416 million barrels of adjustments in 2002. Combined, there were 1,136 million barrels of net revisions and adjustments for crude oil in 2002.

#### Sales and Acquisitions

Sales represents that volume of crude oil proved reserves deducted from an operator's total by selling or transferring operations in existing oil fields to another operator (not a volume of production "sold" at the wellhead). Similarly, acquisitions are that volume of proved reserves added to an operator's total through purchase or operations transfer in existing oil fields.

Fundamentally, tracking sales and acquisitions seems like an exercise in accounting, but it is not that simple. Since operators have different engineering staffs and resources, or different development plans, the estimate of proved reserves for a field can change with a change in ownership. Timing of the transfer of operations can also impact these values.

In 2002, there were 804 million barrels of sales transactions between operators, and 828 million barrels of acquisitions -- yielding a net difference of 24 million barrels in 2002.

#### **Production**

U.S. production of crude oil in 2002 was an estimated 1,875 million barrels. This volume does not include lease condensate. This was 2 percent lower than 2001's production of 1,915 million barrels. The Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore remained the largest crude oil producing area in 2002 with 452 million barrels of production (24 percent of the National total). Texas and Alaska were second and third with 20 percent and 19 percent of the total, respectively. California was fourth with 14 percent.

In 2002, the Form EIA-23 National production estimates (2,082 million barrels of crude oil and lease condensate) were 1 percent less than the comparable Petroleum Supply Annual (PSA) 2002 production volumes for crude oil and lease condensate combined (2,097 million barrels).

### Areas of Note: Large Discoveries and Reserves Additions

The following State and Area discussions summarize notable activities during 2002 concerning expected new field reserves, development plans, and possible production rates as reported in various trade publications. The citations do not necessarily reflect EIA's concurrence, but are considered important enough to be brought to the reader's attention.

The following areas were the major success stories for crude oil reserves and production for 2002.

#### **Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore**

The Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore led the Nation in total discoveries of crude oil proved reserves in 2002 with 493 million barrels of total discoveries, 52 percent of the National total.

On February 12, 2003, the Minerals Management Service (MMS) released information about 2002 deepwater Gulf of Mexico activity levels. "Calendar year 2002 was a year of significant deepwater activity in the Gulf of Mexico despite the general downturn in drilling. Twelve new deepwater discoveries were made and three of these were in 8,000 feet or greater water depths," said MMS's Gulf of Mexico Regional Director Chris C. Oynes. Fourteen new deepwater projects began production in 2002. These joined the 51 that were already in production for a new total of 65. "Deepwater development projects continue at a fast pace and the 14 new projects in the Gulf of Mexico, include 11 that were subsea production systems that tied back to another project," said Oynes. This raised the total number of subsea projects to 41 out of the 65 total deepwater projects. Three of the new deepwater starts utilized a spar as a production system. Oynes noted that "we expect a significant rise in the number of deepwater projects that will start production in the year 2003 – perhaps as many as 19." {38}

• Mad Dog Field: On February 13, 2002 BHP Billiton announced details about the development of the Mad Dog field, located in Green Canyon Block 826 of the Gulf of Mexico. BHP Billiton holds a 23.9 per cent working interest in Mad Dog with partners bp (the designated operator) 60.5 per cent interest, and Unocal 15.6 per cent. The Mad Dog field has estimated reserves in the range of 200-450 million barrels of oil equivalent. Mad Dog is located in water depths of 4,500 to 6,800 feet and

will be developed using proven technology - a truss SPAR with an integrated drilling rig, dry trees, and 16 well slots. The gross design capacity of the facility will be 80,000 barrels of oil per day and 40 million standard cubic feet of gas per day. First production is expected by the end of calendar year 2004, with production at full design capacity expected to occur within 12 months thereafter. The field has an estimated life of 20 years. The Mad Dog field is situated in the Atwater Foldbelt, 125 miles from the Louisiana coast. It is a very large structure, with over 4,000 feet of structural closure covering 26,500 acres. {39}

• Crosby Field: As of January 18, 2002, Shell Exploration & Production Company's Crosby subsea field is now online and sending production to the Ursa hub platform. The first subsea tieback to Ursa, the 3-well Crosby development, is located in Mississippi Canyon Blocks 898 and 899 in the Gulf of Mexico. Production from Crosby's first well began on Dec.17, 2001. Currently, all three wells are online and producing 20,000 barrels of oil per day. Peak production for Crosby was expected to be approximately 60,000 barrels of oil per day and 90 million cubic feet of gas per day by the end of the first quarter of 2002. Crosby is located about 160 miles southeast of New Orleans in approximately 4,400 feet of water. Crosby's production is carried from a six-slot manifold to Shell's Ursa tension leg platform, 10 miles away on Mississippi Canyon Block 809 in 3,950 feet of water. Once all of Crosby's wells are at peak production, Shell expects Ursa's total platform production to increase to 170,000 barrels of oil per day. "Like other subsea production systems that recently came online, Crosby is another example of SEPCo's growth in the Gulf of Mexico and its aggressive development of its Mars Basin discoveries," said Dave Lawrence, SEPCo vice president, Exploration & Development. "But, Crosby is the first field to begin production in the southern part of the Mars Basin. Ursa, Mars, King, and ultimately, Princess, are all located in the northern part of the Basin." {40}

#### **Other Gain Areas**

**Texas:** Texas reported a net increase of 71 million barrels of proved oil reserves in 2002, and had the second largest volume of new field discoveries in 2002 (98 million barrels). Operators in the Permian Basin developed extensions of their existing fields in 2002.

**Oklahoma:** Proved oil reserves in Oklahoma increased by 8 percent (42 million barrels) in 2002 compared to 2001. Production in Oklahoma increased by 9 percent (5 million barrels).

**Wyoming:** Wyoming's proved oil reserves increased by 7 percent (35 million barrels).

#### Areas of Note: Large Reserves Declines

The following areas had large declines in crude oil proved reserves due to downward revisions or unreplaced production.

#### Alaska

Alaskan crude oil proved reserves declined 4 percent (-173 million barrels) in 2002. Alaskan operators reported revision increases and extensions in 2002, but this did not offset Alaska's oil production—an estimated 361 million barrels in 2002. Alaska production increased 2 percent (+6 million barrels) from its 2001 level.

#### Louisiana

Louisiana's crude oil proved reserves declined 11 percent (-63 million barrels) in 2002. Operators also reported a production decrease of 20 percent (-15 million barrels) over the 2001 level. Hurricanes Isadore and Lili swept up through the Gulf of Mexico came ashore in Louisiana in October of 2002, disrupting operations.

#### Utah

There was a net decline of 11 percent (-30 million barrels) in Utah's crude oil proved reserves in 2002. Utah's crude oil production declined 8 percent (-1 million barrels) from its 2001 level.

#### **Other Decline Areas**

Discovery and development of new or existing oil fields was also outpaced by crude oil production in the following areas of the United States.

**New Mexico:** Proved oil reserves decreased by 1 percent (-5 million barrels).

**Florida:** Proved oil reserves decreased by 3 percent (-2 million barrels).

# Reserves in Nonproducing Status

Not all proved reserves of crude oil reported in 2002 were producing. Operators reported 5,195 million barrels of proved reserves in nonproducing status, 29 percent more than reported in 2001 (4,019 million barrels). Nonproducing crude oil reserves (not including lease condensate) are listed in **Table 7**.

Nonproducing reserves are those waiting for well workovers, drilling additional development or replacement wells, installing production or pipeline facilities, and awaiting depletion of other zones or reservoirs before recompletion in reservoirs not currently open to production.

Table 7. Reported Reserves in Nonproducing Status for Crude Oil, 2002 a (Million Barrels of 42 U.S. Gallons)

State and Subdivision	Nonproducing Crude Oil Reserves	State and Subdivision	Nonproducing Crude Oil Reserves
Alaska	546 4,725	North Dakota	. 8
Arkansas	5	Pennsylvania	. 1
California	336 61	Texas	. 11
Los Angeles Basin Onshore	122 111	RRC District 2 Onshore	
State Offshore	42	RRC District 4 Onshore	. 5
Colorado	56 7	RRC District 5RRC District 6	14
Illinois	15 0	RRC District 7B	_
Kansas	23	RRC District 8 . RRC District 8A	. 258
Kentucky	4 185	RRC District 9	. 16
North	16	RRC District 10State Offshore	
South Onshore	139 30	Utah	. 91
Michigan	4 42	Virginia	
Montana	65	Wyoming	
Nebraska	0 146	Pacific (California)	. 62
East	146	Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana)	154
West	0 0	Miscellaneous <sup>D</sup>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes only those operators who produced 400,000 barrels of crude oil or 2 billion cubic feet of natural gas, or both, during the report year (Category I or Category II operators).

Source: Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," 2002.

blincludes Arizona, Missouri, Nevada, South Dakota, and Tennessee.

### 4. Natural Gas Statistics

#### **Dry Natural Gas**

#### **Proved Reserves**

The United States had 186,946 billion cubic feet of dry natural gas reserves as of December 31, 2002, a 2 percent increase over the 2001 level (**Table 8**). All natural gas proved reserves data shown in this report exclude natural gas held in underground storage.

Reserves additions replaced 118 percent of production (Figure 18), however, gas production declined 2 percent in 2002. Sharp production declines in the Gulf of Mexico were partially offset by large production increases in the Rocky Mountain States.

In 2002, the Rocky Mountain States and Texas dominated gas reserves additions. These additions highlight a shift from conventional gas fields to unconventional gas fields, i.e., tight sands, shales, and coalbeds. As measured by proved reserves, 11 of the top 20 natural gas fields of 2002 are located in Rocky Mountain states.

Additions to dry gas reserves in 2002 were 22,839 billion cubic feet, 12 percent less than in 2001. U.S. total discoveries of dry natural gas reserves were 17,795 billion cubic feet in 2002, down 22 percent from 2001 (22,758 billion cubic feet).

Proved reserves by State are shown on the map in **Figure 19**. Six areas account for 72 percent of the Nation's dry natural gas proved reserves:

Area	Percent of U.S. Gas Reserves
Texas	24
Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore	13
Wyoming	11
New Mexico	9
Oklahoma	8
Colorado	7
Area Total	72

Figure 18. Replacement of U.S. Dry Natural Gas Production by Reserves Additions, 1993-2002.




Table 8. Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, 2002

(Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

		Changes in Reserves During 2002									
State and Subdivision	Published Proved Reserves 12/31/01	Adjustments (+,-)	Revision Increases (+)	Revision Decreases (-)	Sales (-)	Acquisitions (+)	Extensions (+)	New Field Discoveries (+)	New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields (+)	Estimated	Proved Reserves 12/31/02
		35	141	118	13	13	62	0	8	460	
Alaska		3, <b>692</b>	20,114	19,200	10,153	10,533	14,707	1,332	1,686	18,893	8,468 <b>178,478</b>
Alabama		72	130	155	6	0	289	0	4	365	3,884
Arkansas	,	27	114	107	66	80	111	24	8	157	1,650
California	,	29	202	127	49	48	93	0	5	291	2,591
Coastal Region Onshore		2	21	4	3	5	3	0	0	11	190
Los Angeles Basin Onshore.		0	24	6	0	0	11	0	0	9	207
San Joaquin Basin Onshore .		29	146	114	46	35	79	0	5	264	2,102
State Offshore		-2	11	3	0	8	0	0	0	7	92
Colorado		202	2,029	962	1,059	891	1,222	1	1	964	13,888
Florida	,	9	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	91
Kansas		210	436	350	43	22	71	5	2	471	4,983
Kentucky	,	-24	377	383	2	66	92	0	0	79	1,907
Louisiana		72	1,206	1,853	594	686	647	68	255	1,338	8,960
North	,	90	587	293	229	254	317	9	24	395	4,245
South Onshore		1	558	1,278	362	416	288	49	188	821	4,224
State Offshore		-19	61	282	3	16	42	10	43	122	491
Michigan		82	383	276	35	71	287	8	0	242	3,254
Mississippi		81	89	75	29	33	54	1	27	98	744
Montana		58	40	109	10	6	96	4	0	77	906
New Mexico		199	2,262	2,239	1,029	1,052	1,161	6	18	1,524	17,320
East		98	1,271	1,047	144	159	279	6	18	526	3,632
West		101	991	1,192	885	893	882	0	0	998	13,688
New York	. <sup>a</sup> 318	-23	63	22	23	21	13	3	0	35	315
North Dakota		18	79	20	46	42	8	0	0	53	471
Ohio		148	186	139	19	2	53	2	1	87	1,117
Oklahoma		904	2,159	1,513	767	845	1,186	14	18	1,518	14,886
Pennsylvania	1,775	206	330	151	5	6	170	0	18	133	2,216
Texas		765	4,734	4,904	3,889	4,249	4,404	84	365	5,038	44,297
RRC District 1	1,018	28	91	47	242	264	31	0	0	98	1,045
RRC District 2 Onshore		21	222	245	124	139	203	2	51	288	1,782
RRC District 3 Onshore	3,770	43	460	567	493	449	462	6	42	588	3,584
RRC District 4 Onshore	9,956	91	1,218	1,655	1,389	1,319	1,159	53	115	1,398	9,469
RRC District 5	4,231	57	539	785	374	467	800	5	39	377	4,602
RRC District 6	6,128	148	342	342	289	289	566	0	38	624	6,256
RRC District 7B	. 252	147	54	42	108	15	0	0	1	59	260
RRC District 7C		-25	391	224	388	548	399	0	8	327	3,702
RRC District 8	5,255	86	745	548	209	206	326	2	22	524	5,361
RRC District 8A	. 1,085	46	99	77	17	26	15	0	0	93	1,084
RRC District 9	2,289	231	167	43	51	229	312	1	0	258	2,877
RRC District 10	3,955	-106	365	262	203	294	129	2	1	337	3,838
State Offshore	. 467	-2	41	67	2	4	2	13	48	67	437
Utah	4,579	13	207	490	978	720	368	0	2	286	4,135
Virginia	. 1,752	1	127	166	0	0	34	0	0	75	1,673
West Virginia	. 2,678	423	445	250	1	0	255	0	4	194	3,360
Wyoming		164	1,295	1,161	772	850	3,069	15	57	1,388	20,527
Federal Offshore <sup>b</sup>	. 27,036	53	3,210	3,744	731	839	1,012	1,097	901	4,469	25,204
Pacific (California)		-1	35	23	0	0	10	0	0	46	515
Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>b</sup>		26	1,843	2,343	415	543	864	889	799	3,427	18,500
Gulf of Mexico (Texas)	6,775	28	1,332	1,378	316	296	138	208	102	996	6,189
Miscellaneous <sup>C</sup>	. 82	3	6	1	0	4	12	0	0	7	99
U.S. Total	. 183,460	3,727	20,255	19,318	10,166	10,546	14,769	1,332	1,694	19,353	186,946

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Indicates the estimate is associated with a sampling error (95 percent confidence interval) that exceeds 20 percent of the estimated value. Includes Federal offshore Alabama.

CIncludes Federal offshore Alabama.

CIncludes Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, South Dakota, and Tennessee.

Note: The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," and Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production." They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas for 2002 contained in the Natural Gas Annual 2002, DOE/EIA-0131(02). Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

Figure 19. Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves by Area, 2002





Figure 20. Changes in Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves by Area, 2001 to 2002



#### **Discussion of Reserves Changes**

**Figure 20** maps the change in dry gas proved reserves from 2001 to 2002 by area. Here's how the top six areas fared, compared to the total United States:

billion cubic feet)
+770
-1,807
+2,129
-94
+1,328
+1,361
+3,687
+3,486

**Figure 4** in Chapter 2 shows the components of change in dry natural gas proved reserves for 2002 and the preceding 10 years.

#### **Total Discoveries**

Total discoveries are those reserves attributable to field extensions, new field discoveries, and new reservoir discoveries in old fields; they result from drilling exploratory wells. Total discoveries of dry natural gas reserves were 17,795 billion cubic feet in 2002, a 22 percent decrease from the level reported in 2001. About 27 percent of the total discoveries were in Texas, 18 percent were in Wyoming, 17 percent were in the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore, 7 percent were in Colorado, and 7 percent were in New Mexico.

The largest component of total discoveries in 2002 were extensions of existing gas fields. Extensions were 14,769 billion cubic feet, 10 percent less than 2001 and 65 percent more than the prior 10-year average (8,931 billion cubic feet). Areas with the largest extensions and their percentage of total extensions were:

- Texas had 4,044 billion cubic feet of extensions (30 percent of the total)
- Wyoming had 3,069 billion cubic feet (21 percent)
- Colorado had 1,222 billion cubic feet (8 percent)
- Oklahoma had 1,186 billion cubic feet (8 percent).

New field discoveries were 1,332 billion cubic feet in 2002—63 percent less than in 2001. The areas with the largest new field discoveries were the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (with 1,097 billion cubic feet of new

field discoveries, 82 percent of the total), Texas (84 billion cubic feet, 6 percent), and Louisiana (68 billion cubic feet, 5 percent). In the prior 10 years, U.S. operators reported an average of 1,744 billion cubic feet of reserves from new field discoveries per year. Reserves from new field discoveries in 2002 were 24 percent less than that average.

New reservoir discoveries in old fields were 1,694 billion cubic feet, 40 percent less than 2001. Among the areas with the largest new reservoir discoveries in old fields and their percentage of the total were:

- Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (901 billion cubic feet, 53 percent)
- Texas (365 billion cubic feet, 22 percent)
- Louisiana (255 billion cubic feet, 15 percent).

In the prior 10 years, U.S. operators reported an average of 2,454 billion cubic feet of reserves from new reservoirs discovered in old fields per year. Reserves from new reservoirs discovered in old fields in 2002 were 69 percent of that average.

#### **Revisions and Adjustments**

There were 20,255 billion cubic feet of revision increases, 19,318 billion cubic feet of revision decreases, and 3,727 billion cubic feet of adjustments in 2002. Combined, there were 4,664 billion cubic feet of net revisions and adjustments in 2002, excluding reserves additions from net sales and acquisitions. This is 28 percent less than the average volume of net revisions and adjustments of the prior 10 years (6,466 billion cubic feet).

#### Sales and Acquisitions

Sales represents that volume of dry natural gas proved reserves deducted from an operator's total by selling or transferring operations in existing gas fields to another operator (not a volume of production "sold" at the wellhead). Similarly, acquisitions are that volume of proved reserves added to an operator's total by purchase or operations transfer in existing gas fields.

In 2002, there were 10,166 billion cubic feet of sales transactions between operators, and 10,546 billion cubic feet of acquisitions. The net difference was 380 billion cubic feet of dry natural gas reserves.

Table 9. Natural Gas Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, Wet After Lease Separation, 2002 (Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

		Changes in Reserves During 2002									
State and Subdivision	Published Proved Reserves 12/31/01	Adjustments (+,-)	Revision Increases (+)	Revision Decreases (-)	Sales (–)	Acquisitions (+)	Extensions (+)	New Field Discoveries (+)	New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields (+)	Estimated	Proved Reserves 12/31/02
Alaska	. 8,901	1	142	119	13	13	62	0	8	462	8,533
Lower 48 States		4,005	21,098	20,083	10,652	11,080	15,406	1,374	1,744	19,786	187,028
Alabama	. 3,958	66	133	157	6	0	293	0	4	369	3922
Arkansas	. 1,619	29	114	107	66	80	111	24	8	158	1,654
California	,	60	209	131	51	49	96	0	5	304	2,696
Coastal Region Onshore	,	2	21	4	3	5	3	0	0	12	197
Los Angeles Basin Onshore		2	25	5	0	0	11	0	0	10	218
San Joaquin Basin Onshore .		59	152	119	48	36	82	0	5	275	2,190
State Offshore	,	-3	11	3	0	8	0	0	0	7	91
Colorado		202	2,096	994	1,094	921	1,263	1	1	997	14,348
Florida		9	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	102
Kansas		219	466	374	46	24	76	5	2	503	5,329
Kentucky	,	-25	395	402	2	69	96	1	0	83	1,999
		116			610	706	664	71	265		
Louisiana	,		1,236	1,918						1,380	9,190
North		78	593	295	231	256	320	9	24	398	4,283
South Onshore		54	580	1,329	376	433	300	51	196	855	4,395
State Offshore		-16	63	294	3	17	44	11	45	127	512
Michigan		79	390	281	36	72	293	8	0	246	3,311
Mississippi		81	89	76	28	33	55	1	27	99	746
Montana		57	41	110	10	6	97	4	0	78	914
New Mexico	. 18,559	203	2,449	2,415	1,092	1,118	1,239	6	20	1,634	18,453
East	. 3,919	75	1,404	1,157	159	176	308	6	20	581	4,011
West		128	1,045	1,258	933	942	931	0	0	1,053	14,442
New York	. <sup>a</sup> 318	-23	63	22	23	21	13	3	0	35	315 <sup>a</sup>
North Dakota	. 495	18	88	22	51	46	9	0	0	59	524
Ohio	. 971	147	186	139	18	2	53	2	1	87 <sup>a</sup>	1,118 <sup>a</sup>
Oklahoma	. 14,366	937	2,285	1,601	811	894	1,255	15	19	1,606	15,753
Pennsylvania	. 1,782	206	331	151	5	6	171	0	18	133	2,225
Texas		998	5,075	5,198	4,134	4,532	4,672	88	381	5,385	47,491
RRC District 1	,	26	96	48	252	276	33	0	0	103	1,094
RRC District 2 Onshore	,	48	232	257	131	146	212	3	53	302	1,867
RRC District 3 Onshore	,	130	491	606	526	480	492	6	44	628	3,826
RRC District 4 Onshore	,	117	1,268	1,724	1,446	1,374	1,207	56	120	1456	9,861
RRC District 5	,	47	545	793	378	472	809	5	39	382	4,653
RRC District 6		153	359	359	302	303	593	0	40	655	6,561
RRC District 7B		162	61	48	123	17	0	0	1	66	294
RRC District 7C	,	-48	440	252	436	617	448	0	9	368	4,167
RRC District 8		27	841	619	237	232	369	2	26	592	6,056
RRC District 8A		28	106	82	19	28	16	0	0	100	1,167
RRC District 9		262	186	48	56	255	347	1	0	289	3,210
RRC District 10		49	409	294	226	328	144	2	1	377	4,299
State Offshore		-3	41	68	2	4	2	13	48	67	436
Utah	. 4,753	-6	214	507	1011	745	380	0	2	296	4,274
Virginia	. 1,752	1	127	166	0	0	34	0	0	75	1,673
West Virginia	. 2,825	404	463	260	1	0	265	0	4	202	3,498
Wyoming	. 19,399	71	1,358	1,217	810	892	3,218	16	60	1,456	21,531
Federal Offshore b	. 27,640	153	3,280	3,831	747	860	1,041	1,129	927	4,590	25,862
Pacific (California)	. 540	-1	35	23	0	0	10	0	0	46	515
Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>b</sup>		109	1,904	2,420	428	561	893	919	825	3,540	19,113
Gulf of Mexico (Texas)		45	1,341	1,388	319	299	138	210	102	1,004	6,234
Miscellaneous <sup>C</sup>		3	5	1	0	4	12	0	0	6	100
U.S. Total		4,006	21,240	20,202	10,665	11,093	15,468	1,374	1,752	20,248	195,561

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Indicates the estimate is associated with a sampling error (95 percent confidence interval) that exceeds 20 percent of the estimated value.

<sup>b</sup>Includes Federal offshore Alabama.

clincludes Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, South Dakota, and Tennessee.

Note: The prouction estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves." They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas for 2002 contained in the Natural Gas Annual 2002, DOE/EIA-0131(02).

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

Table 10. Nonassociated Natural Gas Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, Wet After Lease Separation, 2002 (Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

		Changes in Reserves During 2002									
	Published Proved Reserves 12/31/01	Adjustments (+,-)	Revision Increases (+)	Revision Decreases (-)	Sales (–)	Acquisitions (+)	Extensions (+)	New Field Discoveries (+)	New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields (+)	Estimated	Proved Reserves 12/31/02
Alaska	2,309	1	58	77	13	13	62	0	4	200	2,157
Lower 48 States	,	3,504	17,595	17,488	9,588	10,172	14,554	1,145	1,417	17,060	163,863
Alabama		63	126	156	1	0	291	0	, 2	365	3,891
Arkansas		25	104	106	64	78	111	24	8	153	1,616
California		32	64	58	47	36	10	0	5	88	796
Coastal Region Onshore		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles Basin Onshore		-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Joaquin Basin Onshore		34	61	58	47	36	10	0	5	87	790
·		-1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
State Offshore								0	1		
Colorado		213	1,929	986	1,091	921	1,254	0	•	914	13,251
Florida		0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
Kansas		219	452	327	45	23	75	5	1	495	5,263
Kentucky		-25	395	402	2	69	96	1	0	83	1,974
Louisiana		139	1,113	1,723	591	669	627	69	255	1,283	8,520
North		89	564	267	228	247	304	9	24	377	4,124
South Onshore		53	503	1,216	360	405	285	49	187	797	3,968
State Offshore		-3	46	240	3	17	38	11	44	109	428
Michigan	2,873	60	311	248	24	49	286	8	0	218	3,097
Mississippi	637	76	83	71	21	24	52	0	27	94	713
Montana	822	49	34	96	2	0	83	0	0	70	820
New Mexico	17,112	176	2,124	2,252	975	993	1,176	5	9	1,397	16,971
East	2,571	51	1,095	1,000	71	80	246	5	9	354	2,632
West	14,541	125	1,029	1,252	904	913	930	0	0	1,043	14,339
New York	311	-21	63	18	22	21	13	3	0	35	315
North Dakota	225	-2	7	2	4	0	0	0	0	15	209
Ohio		110	130	67	2	2	28	0	0	60	772
Oklahoma		762	2,076	1,414	664	766	1,204	13	19	1,442	14,576
Pennsylvania		215	324	128	1	6	167	0	18	127	2,088
Texas		806	3,960	4,630	3,775	4,289	4,358	85	362	4,727	41,104
RRC District 1		25	87	45	249	270	32	0	0	97	1,047
RRC District 2 Onshore	,	44	206	244	128	140	207	3	52	281	1,797
RRC District 3 Onshore		102	376	514	508	469	372	6	36	531	3,219
RRC District 4 Onshore	,	118	1,225	1,699	1,440	1,372	1,192	56	120	1,439	9,711
RRC District 5	,	46	540	775	378	472	806	5	39	373	4,588
RRC District 6		145	311	340	286	298	590	0	38	611	6,161
		73	48	37	200	4	0	0	1	53	237
RRC District 7B								0			
RRC District 7C		-60	244	190	404	591	407		5	286	3,430
RRC District 8		18	343	412	102	99	269	0	25	361	3,284
RRC District 8A		23	19	13	0	0	6	0	0	16	101
RRC District 9		244	158	34	52	252	342	1	0	269	3,070
RRC District 10	,	31	363	260	224	318	135	1	1	345	4,028
State Offshore		-3	40	67	2	4	0	13	45	65	431
Utah	4,450	-9	141	483	1,007	730	366	0	2	275	3,915
Virginia		1	127	166	0	0	34	0	0	75	1,673
West Virginia		402	460	229	1	0	265	0	4	201	3,477
Wyoming		59	1,113	1,162	556	691	3,214	15	60	1,375	20,970
Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup>	18,990	152	2,455	2,763	693	801	832	917	644	3,563	17,772
Pacific (California)		0	7	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	56
Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup>	13,536	117	1,349	1,724	377	511	692	801	542	2,698	12,749
Gulf of Mexico (Texas)		35	1,099	1,039	316	290	138	116	102	862	4,967
Miscellaneous <sup>b</sup>		2	4	1	0	4	12	0	0	5	80
U.S. Total		3,505	17,653	17,565	9,601	10,185	14,616	1,145	1,421	17,260	166,020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes Federal offshore Alabama.
<sup>b</sup>Includes Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, South Dakota, and Tennessee.

Note: The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves." They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas for 2002 contained in the *Natural Gas Annual 2002*, DOE/EIA-0131(02).

Table 11. Associated-Dissolved Natural Gas Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, Wet After Lease Separation, 2002 (Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

			Changes in Reserves During 2002								
	Published Proved Reserves 12/31/01	Adjustments (+,-)	Revision Increases (+)	Revision Decreases (-)	Sales (-)	Acquisitions (+)	Extensions (+)	New Field Discoveries (+)	New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields (+)	Estimated	Proved Reserves 12/31/02
Alaska	6,592	0	84	42	0	0	0	0	4	262	6,376
Lower 48 States	23,232	499	3,503	2,595	1,064	908	852	229	327	2,726	23,165
Alabama	26	4	7	1	5	0	2	0	2	4	31
Arkansas	30	4	10	1	2	2	0	0	0	5	38
California	1,922	27	145	73	4	13	86	0	0	216	1,900
Coastal Region Onshore	185	2	21	4	3	5	3	0	0	12	197
Los Angeles Basin Onshore	194	3	25	5	0	0	11	0	0	10	218
San Joaquin Basin Onshore		24	91	61	1	0	72	0	0	188	1,400
State Offshore	,	-2	8	3	0	8	0	0	0	6	85
Colorado		-11	167	8	3	0	9	1	0	83	1,097
Florida	,	9	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	102
Kansas	105	0	14	47	1	1	1	0	1	8	66
	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
Kentucky											
Louisiana	796	-24	123	195	19	37	37	2	10	97	670
North	168	-11	29	28	3	9	16	0	0	21	159
South Onshore	483	0	77	113	16	28	15	2	9	58	427
State Offshore	145	-13	17	54	0	0	6	0	1	18	84
Michigan	159	19	79	33	12	23	7	0	0	28	214
Mississippi		5	6	5	7	9	3	1	0	5	33
Montana	85	8	7	14	8	6	14	4	0	8	94
New Mexico	1,447	27	325	163	117	125	63	1	11	237	1,482
East	1,348	24	309	157	88	96	62	1	11	227	1,379
West	99	3	16	6	29	29	1	0	0	10	103
New York	7	-2	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
North Dakota	270	20	81	20	47	46	9	0	0	44	315
Ohio	340	37	56	72	16	0	25	2	1	27	346
Oklahoma	1,109	176	209	187	147	128	51	2	0	164	1,177
Pennsylvania	168	-9	7	23	4	0	4	0	0	6	137
Texas	6,089	189	1,115	568	359	243	314	3	19	658	6,387
RRC District 1	43	0	9	3	3	6	1	0	0	6	47
RRC District 2 Onshore	65	4	26	13	3	6	5	0	1	21	70
RRC District 3 Onshore	533	27	115	92	18	11	120	0	8	97	607
RRC District 4 Onshore		-2	43	25	6	2	15	0	0	17	150
RRC District 5		1	5	18	0	0	3	0	0	9	65
RRC District 6		9	48	19	16	5	3	0	2	44	400
RRC District 7B		88	13	11	121	13	0	0	0	13	57
RRC District 7C	634	12	196	62	32	26	41	0	4	82	737
		9	498	207	135	133	100	2	-	231	
RRC District 8									1		2,772
RRC District 8A		5	87	69	19	28	10	0	0	84	1,066
RRC District 9	124	18	28	14	4	3	5	0	0	20	140
RRC District 10	255	18	46	34	2	10	9	1	0	32	271
State Offshore			1	1	0	0	2	0	3	2	5
Utah	303	3	73	24	4	15	14	0	0	21	359
Virginia		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
West Virginia	48	2	3	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	21
Wyoming		12	245	55	254	201	4	1	0	81	561
Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup>	8,649	2	825	1,068	54	59	209	212	283	1,027	8,090
Pacific (California)	490	-1	28	23	0	0	8	0	0	43	459
Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup>	6,753	-7	555	696	51	50	201	118	283	842	6,364
Gulf of Mexico (Texas)	1,406	10	242	349	3	9	0	94	0	142	1,267
Miscellaneous <sup>b</sup>	19	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	20
U.S. Total		499	3,587	2,637	1,064	908	852	229	331	2,988	29,541

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes Federal offshore Alabama.
<sup>b</sup>Includes Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, South Dakota, and Tennessee.

Note: The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves." They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas for 2002 contained in the *Natural Gas Annual 2002*, DOE/EIA-0131(02).

#### **Production**

The estimated 2002 U.S. dry natural gas production was 19,353 billion cubic feet, a decrease of 2 percent from 2001 (**Table 8**). Areas with the largest production and their percentage of total production were:

- Texas produced 5,038 billion cubic feet (BCF) of dry natural gas (26 percent of the total)
- Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore produced 4,423
   BCF (23 percent)
- New Mexico produced 1,524 BCF (8 percent)
- Oklahoma produced 1,518 BCF (8 percent)
- Wyoming produced 1,388 BCF (7 percent)
- Louisiana produced 1,338 BCF (7 percent).

In 2002, Wyoming's reported dry natural gas production exceeded that of Louisiana for the first time.

#### **Wet Natural Gas**

U. S. proved reserves of wet natural gas as of December 31, 2002 were 195,561 billion cubic feet, a 2 percent increase from the volume reported in 2001 (**Table 9**). At year-end 2002, proved wet natural gas reserves for the lower 48 States had increased by 2 percent compared to 2001, while those of Alaska had decreased by 4 percent.

The volumetric differences between the estimates reported in **Table 8** (dry) and **Table 9** (wet) result from the removal of natural gas liquids at natural gas processing plants. A discussion of the methodology used to generate wet and dry natural gas reserves tables in this report appears in Appendix F.

#### **Nonassociated Natural Gas**

#### **Proved Reserves**

Proved reserves of nonassociated (NA) natural gas, wet after lease separation, in the United States increased by 3 percent (4,099 billion cubic feet) in 2002 to 166,020 billion cubic feet (**Table 10**). The lower 48 States' NA wet natural gas proved reserves increased 3 percent to a level of 163,863 billion cubic feet, while Alaska had a 7 percent decline to a level of 2,157 billion cubic feet. Those States with the largest increases in NA wet natural gas reserves were Wyoming, Colorado, Oklahoma, and Texas.

#### **Total Discoveries**

NA wet natural gas *total discoveries* of 17,182 billion cubic feet in 2002 decreased 17 percent compared to 2001's total of 20,785 billion cubic feet. Areas with the most *total discoveries* in 2002 were Texas (4,805 billion cubic feet), Wyoming (3,289 billion cubic feet), the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (2,391 billion cubic feet), Colorado (1,255 billion cubic feet), and Oklahoma (1,236 billion cubic feet).

#### **Production**

U.S. production of NA wet natural gas decreased 1 percent from an estimated 17,451 billion cubic feet in 2001 to 17,260 billion cubic feet in 2002. The five leading producing areas were: Texas (27 percent), the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (21 percent), Oklahoma (8 percent), New Mexico (8 percent), and Louisiana (7 percent).

#### **Associated-Dissolved Natural Gas**

#### **Proved Reserves**

Proved reserves of associated-dissolved (AD) natural gas, wet after lease separation, in the United States declined 1 percent to 29,541 billion cubic feet in 2002 (**Table 11**). Proved reserves of AD wet natural gas in the lower 48 States decreased less than 1 percent (-67 billion cubic feet) to 23,165 billion cubic feet, and in Alaska declined 3 percent (-216 billion cubic feet) to 6,376 billion cubic feet in 2002. The areas of the country with the largest AD wet natural gas reserves and their percentage of the total were:

- Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (26 percent)
- Texas (22 percent)
- Alaska (22 percent)
- California (6 percent)
- New Mexico (5 percent).

These areas logically correspond to the areas of the country with the largest volumes of crude oil reserves.

#### **Production**

U.S. production of AD wet natural gas decreased slightly from an estimated 3,193 billion cubic feet in 2001 to 2,988 billion cubic feet in 2002 (**Table 11**). Production of AD wet natural gas in the lower 48 States

decreased from 2,940 billion cubic feet to 2,726 billion cubic feet in 2002, a decline of 7 percent. The areas of the country with the largest AD wet natural gas production and their percentage of the total were:

- Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (33 percent)
- Texas (22 percent)
- Alaska (9 percent)
- New Mexico (8 percent)
- California (7 percent).

Again, these areas logically correspond to the areas of the country with the largest volumes of crude oil production.

#### Coalbed Methane

#### **Proved Reserves**

In 2002, proved reserves of coalbed methane increased to 18,491 billion cubic feet, a 5 percent increase from the 2001 level (17,531 billion cubic feet). Coalbed methane accounted for 10 percent of all 2002 dry natural gas reserves (Table 12). EIA estimates that the 2002 proved gas reserves of fields identified as having coalbed methane are now more than quadruple the volume reported in 1989 (Figure 21). Five States (Colorado, New Mexico, Wyoming, Utah, and Alabama) currently have the majority (89 percent) of U.S. Coalbed methane proved reserves. Estimates of proved coalbed methane reserves increased 7 percent in Colorado, 1 percent in New Mexico, 3 percent in Wyoming, 2 percent in Utah, and 10 percent in Alabama in 2002.

Table 12. Coalbed Methane Proved Reserves and Production, 1989–2002 (Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

New **Eastern** Western United Year Alabama Colorado **Mexico** Utah Wyoming States<sup>a</sup> States<sup>b</sup> Others<sup>c</sup> **States** Reserves 1989 537 1,117 2,022 0 3,676 NA NA NA NA 1990 1.224 1.320 2.510 33 5,087 NA NA NA NA 2,076 1,714 4,206 167 8,163 1991 NA NA NA NA 1992 1,968 2,716 4,724 NA 626 10,034 NA NA NA 1993 1,237 3,107 4,775 1,065 10,184 NA NA NA 1994 976 2,913 4,137 NA NA NA NA 1,686 9,712 1995 972 3,461 4,299 1,767 10,499 NA NA NA NA 4,180 823 10,566 1996 3.711 1,852 NA NA NA NA 1997 1,077 3,890 4,351 NA NA NA NA 2,144 11,462 1998 1,029 4,211 4,232 NA NA NA NA 2,707 12,179 1999 4,826 4,080 3,263 13,229 1.060 NA NA NA NA 15,708 2000 1,241 5,617 4,278 1,592 1,540 1,399 41 1,162 6.252 1,685 2,297 2,371 1,453 17.531 2001 4.324 358 1,283 4,380 1,488 2002 6,691 1,725 553 18,491 **Production** 1989 56 0 91 23 12 NA NA NA 1990 36 26 133 196 NΑ NA NA NΑ 1991 68 48 229 NA 3 348 NA NA NA 1992 89 82 358 10 539 NA NA NA NA 1993 103 125 486 752 NA NA NA 18 NA 1994 108 179 530 34 851 NA NA NA NA 47 1995 109 226 574 956 NA NA NA NA 98 56 1,003 1996 274 575 NA NA NA NA 1997 111 312 597 NA NA NA 70 1,090 NA 1998 123 401 571 99 1,194 NA NA NA NA 1999 108 432 582 NA NA NA NA 130 1,252 2000 109 451 550 74 133 58 4 1,379 2001 111 490 517 83 278 69 14 1.562 2002 520 471 103 302 68 33 1,614

NA = Not applicable.

alncludes Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Includes Onlo, Ferris, Ivania, Fig. 1. June 1997 Includes Arkansas, Kansas, Montana, and Oklahoma.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Includes Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wyoming; these states are individually listed or grouped in Eastern States and Western States for 2000-2002.

1995

1997

Figure 21. Coalbed Methane Proved Reserves, 1989-2002

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

1991

1992

1993

1994

1990

#### **Production**

U.S. coalbed methane production grew by 3 percent in 2002 to 1,614 billion cubic feet. It accounted for 8 percent of U.S. dry gas production.

### Areas of Note: Large Discoveries and Reserves Additions

The following State or Area discussions summarize notable activities during the year concerning expected new field reserves, development plans, and possible production rates as extracted from various trade publications and company reports. The citations do not necessarily reflect EIA's concurrence, but are considered important enough to be brought to the reader's attention.

#### **Wyoming**

Wyoming's dry natural gas reserves increased by 2,129 billion cubic feet in 2002, the largest increase of any State. This was the result of development in the Pinedale and Jonah fields, and in coalbed methane fields located in the Powder River Basin.

• Pinedale Anticline: On March 4, 2003, Williams announced that its midstream business has been selected by Shell Exploration & Production Company affiliates to process incremental natural gas produced by Shell from the Pinedale Anticline in southwestern Wyoming. Williams plans to add a fourth cryogenic processing train at Williams' gas plant in Opal, Wyoming. The new cryogenic turbo expander plant will have a processing capacity of 350 MMcf/d and be capable of extracting more than 7,000 barrels per day of natural gas liquids. This project will boost Opal's overall processing capacity from 750 MMcf/d to more than 1.1 billion cubic feet per day, with the ability to recover in excess of 50,000 barrels per day of NGL products. [41]

2000

• Powder River Basin: This basin is located in northeastern Wyoming and southeastern Montana. Western Gas Resources, Inc. experienced double-digit net production growth from the Powder River Basin coalbed methane play for the fifth straight year in 2002, with an increase of 33 percent over 2001 to an average of 118 MMcf/d net. Western participated in 909 gross wells in 2002 and experienced a 98 percent success rate. Western plans to drill 845 gross wells during 2003 and anticipates increasing net production five to ten percent compared to 2002 as they transition from the relatively mature Wyodak coal on the eastern side of the basin to the more prolific Big George coal to the west. The Big George coal is located on Federal lands and is deeper, thicker, and at higher pressure than the Wyodak coal. As a result, reserves and production rates from a Big George coal well are expected to be higher. Wells within the Big George coal are expected to take twelve to twenty-four months to dewater versus six to nine months for a typical Wyodak well. [42]

#### Colorado

Colorado had a net increase of 1,361 billion cubic feet of dry natural gas proved reserves in 2002. This was primarily from development of the Mamm Creek Field in the Piceance Basin (northwestern Colorado).

In 2002, EnCana added production and reserves in the Piceance Basin. This multi-zone, tight gas region is one of EnCana's leading growth engines, and it is early in its life. Wells typically intersect 3,000 feet of gas-charged, multi-formation reservoir. With an inventory of more than 1,000 known well locations, the area has great potential. {43}

Development of coalbed methane fields and gas fields within the San Juan, Piceance, and Raton Basins continued. Coalbed methane fields now account for about half of Colorado's dry gas production.

#### Oklahoma

Oklahoma had a 10 percent increase in dry natural gas proved reserves in 2002 (+1,328 billion cubic feet). Production increased 2 percent (+37 billion cubic feet).

### Areas of Note: Large Reserves Declines

The following areas had large declines in dry natural gas proved reserves due to downward revisions or unreplaced production.

#### **Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore**

Proved dry natural gas reserves in the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore decreased by 5 percent (-1,807 billion cubic feet) in 2002. Production decreased 10 percent from 4,913 billion cubic feet in 2001 to 4,423 billion cubic feet in 2002.

#### Louisiana

Louisiana's proved dry natural gas reserves decreased by 9 percent (-851 billion cubic feet) in 2002. Production in Louisiana decreased 10 percent (-141 billion cubic feet) in 2002.

#### Utah

Utah's proved dry natural gas reserves decreased by 10 percent (-444 billion cubic feet) in 2002. Production in Utah decreased 1 percent (-2 billion cubic feet) in 2002.

# Reserves in Nonproducing Status

Nonproducing proved natural gas reserves (wet after lease separation) of 49,974 billion cubic feet were reported in 2002, 6 percent less than the 52,948 billion cubic feet reported in 2001 (**Appendix D, Table D10**). About 25 percent of the reserves in nonproducing status are located in Texas. Another 24 percent are in the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore, as most new deepwater reserves are in the nonproducing category. Wells or reservoirs are nonproducing due to any of several operational reasons. These include:

- waiting for well workovers
- waiting for additional development or replacement wells to be drilled
- production or pipeline facilities not yet installed
- awaiting depletion of other zones or reservoirs before recompletion in reservoirs not currently open to production (called "behind pipe" reserves).

# 5. Natural Gas Liquids Statistics

#### **Natural Gas Liquids**

#### **Proved Reserves**

U.S. natural gas liquids proved reserves increased 1 million barrels to 7,994 million barrels in 2002 (**Table 13**). Reserve additions replaced 100 percent of 2002 natural gas liquids production.

The reserves of six areas account for 81 percent of the Nation's natural gas liquids proved reserves.

Area	Percent of U.S. NGL Reserves
Texas	34
Gulf of Mexico Federal Offsh	nore 12
Utah - Wyoming	12
New Mexico	10
Oklahoma	9
Alaska	5
Area Total	82

The volumes of natural gas liquids proved reserves and production shown in **Table 13** are the sum of the natural gas plant liquid volumes listed in **Table 14** and the lease condensate volumes listed in **Table 15**.

#### **Discoveries**

Total discoveries of natural gas liquids reserves were 738 million barrels in 2002, a decrease of 26 percent from 2001 (997 million barrels). Areas with the largest total discoveries were:

- Texas (34 percent)
- Utah & Wyoming (19 percent)
- Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (17 percent)
- New Mexico (8 percent)
- Oklahoma (8 percent)
- Louisiana (6 percent).

New field discoveries in 2002 (48 million barrels) were 65 percent lower than in 2001(138 million barrels). Areas with the largest new field discoveries were the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (81 percent), Texas (6 percent), and Louisiana (6 percent).

New reservoir discoveries in old fields (78 million barrels) were 45 percent lower than they were in 2001 (142 million barrels). Areas with the largest new reservoir discoveries in old fields were the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (54 percent), Texas (23 percent), and Louisiana (18 percent).

Extensions were 612 million barrels in 2002, 15 percent lower than the 2001 volume of extensions (717 million barrels). Areas with the largest extensions were Texas (37 percent), Utah & Wyoming (23 percent), and New Mexico (9 percent).

#### **Revisions and Adjustments**

In 2002, there were 982 million barrels of revision increases, 951 million barrels of revision decreases and 62 million barrels of adjustments. The net of revisions and adjustments was 93 million barrels.

#### Sales and Acquisitions

There were 512 million barrels of acquisitions, and 458 million barrels of sales in 2002. The net of these transactions added 54 million barrels of natural gas liquids proved reserves.

#### **Production**

Natural gas liquids production was an estimated 884 million barrels in 2002, a decrease of less than 1 percent from 2001. Alaska production stayed level at 20 million barrels in 2002.

Six areas accounted for about 87 percent of the Nation's natural gas liquids production.

- Texas (34 percent)
- Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (21 percent)
- New Mexico (9 percent)
- Oklahoma (8 percent)
- Louisiana (8 percent)
- Utah-Wyoming (7 percent).

Table 13. Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, 2002 (Million Barrels of 42 U.S. Gallons)

						Changes i	n Reserves	During 2002	2		
	Published								New Reservoi	r	Proved Reserves 12/31/02
State and Subdivision	Proved Reserves 12/31/01	Adjustments (+,-)	Revision Increases (+)	Revision Decreases (–)	Sales (-)	Acquisitions (+)	Extensions (+)	New Field Discoveries (+)	Discoveries in Old Fields (+)		
Alaska	. 405	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	405
Lower 48 States	. 7,588	42	982	951	458	512	612	48	78	864	7,589
Alabama	. 64	-3	3	2	1	0	3	0	0	7	57
Arkansas		-1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
California		21	8	4	1	1	4	0	0	10	95
Coastal Region Onshore		0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	17
Los Angeles Basin Onshore	. 8	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	10
San Joaquin Basin Onshore .	. 52	21	5	4	1	1	3	0	0	9	68
State Offshore	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colorado		3	65	31	26	32	35	0	0	27	396
Florida		2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14
Kansas	. 302	-22	25	20	2	1	4	0	0	25	263
Kentucky			13	13	0	2	3	0	0	3	66
Louisiana		25	57	125	21	23	24	3	14	68	323
North			8	12	3	3	3	0	0	6	49
South Onshore		18	43	81	18	18	16	2	9	50	226
State Offshore		13	6	32	0	2	5	1	5	12	48
Michigan			8	4	2	2	5	0	0	4	47
Mississippi		3	1	4	2	2	0	0	0	2	8
Montana			0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	6
New Mexico		-4	142	156	48	53	58	1	1	82	838
East		5	102	83	12	17	23	1	1	43	290
West		-9	40	73	36	36	35	0	0	39	548
North Dakota		-10	7	2	5	4	1	0	0	5	47
Oklahoma		-10	107	80	38	44	56	1	1	72	695
Texas		38	315	271	209	238	227	3	18	301	2,711
RRC District 1	,	0	4	2	209	9	1	0	0	4	39
RRC District 2 Onshore		8	10	11	7	7	7	0	2	12	71
RRC District 3 Onshore			29	43	33	31	31	0	4	42	241
		38	29 51		50	47	44	3	<del>4</del> 5	42 57	370
RRC District 4 Onshore		15 -6		66 7	4	47 5	7	0	0	4	50
RRC District 5			10					-	_		
RRC District 6		-2	26	15	12	11	24	0	2 0	26	277
RRC District 7B		10	5	4	10	1	0	0	•	6	25
RRC District 7C		19	38	23	36	55	38	0	1	31	351
RRC District 8		-17	74	57	21	20	34	0	2	50	510
RRC District 8A		-62	17	13	3	4	3	0	0	16	181
RRC District 9		20	14	5	5	21	26	0	0	22	238
RRC District 10		16	36	24	19	27	12	0	0	30	353
State Offshore			1	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	5
Utah and Wyoming		-36	65	66	54	55	140	1	2	66	938
West Virginia			13	7	0	0	7	0	0	6	99
Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup>			149	163	49	55	43	39	42	184	973
Pacific (California)			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup>			105	133	14	21	34	38	37	149	783
Gulf of Mexico (Texas)	. 182	7	44	30	35	34	9	1	5	35	182
Miscellaneous <sup>b</sup>	. 7	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	9
U.S. Total	. 7,993	62	982	951	458	512	612	48	78	884	7,994

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes Federal offshore Alabama.

<sup>b</sup>Includes Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, New York, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, and Virginia.

Tennessee, and Virginia.

\*Appual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas

Note: The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," and Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production." They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas and natural gas liquids for 2002 contained in the publications *Petroleum Supply Annual 2002*, DOE/EIA-0340(02) and *Natural Gas Annual 2002* DOE/EIA-0131(02).

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

Table 14. Natural Gas Plant Liquids Proved Reserves and Production, 2002 (Million Barrels of 42 U.S. Gallons)

State and Subdivision	2002 Reserves	2002 Production	State and Subdivision	2002 Reserves	2002 Production
Alaska	405	20	North Dakota	41	5
Lower 48 States	6,243	657	Oklahoma	601	61
Alabama	28	3	Texas	2,368	256
Arkansas	3	0	RRC District 1	34	4
California	95	10	RRC District 2 Onshore	60	10
Coastal Region Onshore	17	1	RRC District 3 Onshore	170	28
Los Angeles Basin Onshore	10	0	RRC District 4 Onshore	279	41
San Joaquin Basin Onshore	68	9	RRC District 5	39	3
State Offshore	0	0	RRC District 6	213	21
Colorado	329	23	RRC District 7B	24	6
Florida	14	1	RRC District 7C	326	29
Kansas	261	25	RRC District 8	488	48
	65	3	RRC District 8A	180	16
Kentucky		-	RRC District 9	229	21
Louisiana	186	35	RRC District 10	326	29
North	30	3	State Offshore	0	0
South Onshore	119	23	Utah and Wyoming	806	55
State Offshore	37	9	West Virginia	98	6
Michigan	42	3	Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup>	511	94
Mississippi	2	1	Pacific (California)	0	0
Montana	6	1	Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup>	483	89
New Mexico	779	75	Gulf of Mexico (Texas)	28	5
East	266	38	Miscellaneous	8	0
West	513	37	U.S. Total	6,648	677

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes Federal Offshore Alabama.

blincludes Arizana, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, New York, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, and Virginia.

Note: The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," and Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production." They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas plant liquids for 2002 contained in the publications *Petroleum Supply Annual 2002*, DOE/EIA-0340(2002) and *Natural Gas Annual 2002*, DOE/EIA-0131(2002).

Table 15. Lease Condensate Proved Reserves and Production, 2002

(Million Barrels of 42 U.S. Gallons)

State and Subdivision	2002 Reserves	2002 Production	State and Subdivision	2002 Reserves	2002 Production
Alaska	0	0	North Dakota	6	0
Lower 48 States	1,346	207	Oklahoma	94	11
Alabama	29	4	Texas	343	45
Arkansas	1	0	RRC District 1	5	0
California	0	0	RRC District 2 Onshore	11	2
Coastal Region Onshore	0	0	RRC District 3 Onshore	71	14
Los Angeles Basin Onshore	0	0	RRC District 4 Onshore	91	16
San Joaquin Basin Onshore	0	0	RRC District 5	11	1
State Offshore	0	0	RRC District 6	64	5
Colorado	67	4	RRC District 7B	1	0
Florida	0	0	RRC District 7C	25	2
Kansas	2	0	RRC District 8	22	2
	2	0	RRC District 8A	1	0
Kentucky	1	0	RRC District 9	9	1
Louisiana	137	33	RRC District 10	27	1
North	19	3	State Offshore	5	1
South Onshore	107	27	Utah and Wyoming	132	11
State Offshore	11	3	West Virginia	1	0
Michigan	5	1	Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup>	462	90
Mississippi	6	1	Pacific (California)	1	0
Montana	0	0	Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup>	300	60
New Mexico	59	7	Gulf of Mexico (Texas)	154	30
East	24	5	Miscellaneous <sup>b</sup>	1	0
West	35	2	U.S. Total	1,346	207

Note: The estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves" 2002. Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

a Includes Federal Offshore Alabama.

b Includes Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, New York, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, and Virginia.

#### **Natural Gas Plant Liquids**

#### **Proved Reserves**

Natural gas plant liquids proved reserves increased in 2002 to 6,648 million barrels, a 1 percent increase from the 2001 level (6,595 million barrels) (**Table 14**). Six areas accounted for about 83 percent of the Nation's natural gas plant liquids proved reserves:

	Percent of
Area	U.S. Gas Plant Liquids
Texas	36
Utah-Wyoming	12
New Mexico	12
Oklahoma	9
Gulf of Mexico Federal Offsl	hore 8
Alaska	6
Area Total	83

#### **Production**

Natural gas plant liquids production increased less than 1 percent in 2002—from 675 million barrels in 2001 to 677 million barrels of production (**Table 14**). The top six areas for proved reserves of natural gas plant liquids accounted for about 83 percent of the Nation's natural gas plant liquids production:

- Texas (38 percent)
- Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (14 percent)
- New Mexico (11 percent)
- Oklahoma (9 percent)
- Utah and Wyoming (8 percent)
- Alaska (3 percent).

Natural gas processing plants are usually located in the same general area where the natural gas is produced. Table E4 in Appendix E lists the volumes of natural gas produced and processed in the same State, and the volumes of liquids extracted.

#### **Lease Condensate**

#### **Proved Reserves**

Proved reserves of lease condensate in the United States were 1,346 million barrels in 2002 (**Table 15**). This was 4 percent less than the volume reported in 2001 (1,398 million barrels). The reserves of five areas account for about 86 percent of the Nation's lease condensate proved reserves.

Area	Percent of U.S. Condensate Reserves
Gulf of Mexico Federal Off	fshore 34
Texas	25
Louisiana	10
Utah-Wyoming	10
Oklahoma	7
Area Total	86

#### **Production**

Production of lease condensate was 207 million barrels in 2002, a decrease of 4 percent from 2001's production (215 million barrels). The production of five areas account for about 91 percent of the Nation's lease condensate production.

- Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (43 percent)
- Texas (22 percent)
- Louisiana (16 percent)
- Utah and Wyoming (5 percent)
- Oklahoma (5 percent).

# Reserves in Nonproducing Status

Like crude oil and natural gas, not all lease condensate proved reserves were producing during 2002. Proved reserves of 489 million barrels of lease condensate, a decrease of 13 percent from 2001's level (562 million barrels), were reported in nonproducing status in 2002 (**Appendix D, Table D10**). About 51 percent of the nonproducing lease condensate reserves were located in the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore.

## References

- 1. Energy Information Administration. February 1980. U.S. Crude Oil and Natural Gas Reserves 1977 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(77). Washington, DC.
- 2. Energy Information Administration. September 1980. U.S. Crude Oil and Natural Gas Reserves 1978 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(78). Washington, DC.
- 3. Energy Information Administration. August 1981. *U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves* 1979 *Annual Report*. DOE/EIA-0216(79). Washington, DC.
- 4. Energy Information Administration. October 1981. *U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1980 Annual Report*. DOE/EIA-0216(80). Washington, DC.
- 5. Energy Information Administration. August 1982. *U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1981 Annual Report*. DOE/EIA-0216(81). Washington, DC.
- 6. Energy Information Administration. August 1983. *U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1982 Annual Report*. DOE/EIA-0216(82). Washington, DC.
- 7. Energy Information Administration. October 1984. *U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1983 Annual Report*. DOE/EIA-0216(83). Washington, DC.
- 8. Energy Information Administration. October 1985. *U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1984 Annual Report*. DOE/EIA-0216(84). Washington, DC.
- 9. Energy Information Administration. October 1986. *U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1985 Annual Report.* DOE/EIA-0216(85). Washington, DC.
- Energy Information Administration. October 1987.
   U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1986 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(86).
   Washington, DC.
- 11. Energy Information Administration. October 1988. U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1987 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(87). Washington, DC.

- 12. Energy Information Administration. September 1989. U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1988 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(88). Washington, DC.
- 13. Energy Information Administration. October 1990. *U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves* 1989 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(89). Washington, DC.
- 14. Energy Information Administration. September 1991. U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1990 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(90). Washington, DC.
- 15. Energy Information Administration. November 1992. U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1991 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(91). Washington, DC.
- 16. Energy Information Administration. October 1993. *U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves* 1992 *Annual Report*. DOE/EIA-0216(92). Washington, DC.
- 17. Energy Information Administration. October 1994. *U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves* 1993 *Annual Report*. DOE/EIA-0216(93). Washington, DC.
- 18. Energy Information Administration. October 1995. *U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves* 1994 *Annual Report*. DOE/EIA-0216(94). Washington, DC.
- 19. Energy Information Administration. November 1996. U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1995 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(95). Washington, DC.
- 20. Energy Information Administration. December 1997. U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1996 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(96). Washington, DC.
- 21. Energy Information Administration. December 1998. U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1997 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(97). Washington, DC.
- 22. Energy Information Administration. December 1999. U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1998 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(98). Washington, DC.

- 23. Energy Information Administration. December 2000. U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1999 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(99). Washington, DC.
- 24. Energy Information Administration. December 2001. U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 2000 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(2000). Washington, DC.
- 25. Energy Information Administration. December 2002. U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 2001 Annual Report. DOE/EIA-0216(2001). Washington, DC.
- 26. Energy Information Administration. October 2003. Sumary Statistics Table 1, Crude Oil Prices. *Petroleum Marketing Monthly*. DOE/EIA-0380(2003/10). Washington, DC.
- 27. Energy Information Administration. October 2003. Table 4, Selected National Average Natural Gas Prices, 1996-2002. *Natural Gas Monthly*. DOE/EIA-0130(2002/10). Washington, DC.
- 28. ConocoPhillips Corporation. Houston,TX. Press Release, March 12, 2002. (http://www.conocophillips.com/news/nr)
- 29. Unocal Corporation. El Segundo, CA. Press Release, October 9, 2002. (http://www.unocal.com/uclnews/2002news)
- 30. Iowa Energy News, Des Moines, Iowa. Natural Gas Stories, July 29, 2002. (http://www.iowaenergynews.com/NatGas)
- 31. Enterprise Products Partners L.P. Houston, TX. Press Release, August 1, 2002. (http://www.epplp.com)
- 32. American Petroleum Institute, American Gas Association, and Canadian Petroleum Association. Reserves of Crude Oil, Natural Gas Liquids, and Natural Gas in the United States and Canada as of December 31, 1979. Vol. 34. June 1980. Washington, DC.
- 33. Energy Information Administration, August 1990. *U.S. Oil and Gas Reserves by Year of Field Discovery*. DOE/EIA-0534. Washington, DC.

- 34. *Oil & Gas Journal*. December 2001. "Worldwide Look and Reserves and Production". Vol. 98, No. 52. Tulsa, OK.
- 35. World Oil. August 2002. "World Trends". Houston, TX.
- 36. Energy Information Administration. August 2002. Table 1.3, Energy Consumption by Source, 1949-2001. 2001 Annual Energy Review. DOE/EIA-0384(2001). Washington, DC. (http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/aer/contents.html)
- 37. Energy Information Administration. August 2002. Table 5.7, Petroleum Net Imports by Country of Origin, 1960-2001. 2001 Annual Energy Review. DOE/EIA-0384(2001). Washington, DC. (Http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/aer/contents.html)
- 38. U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region. New Orleans, LA. MMS News Releases, February 12, 2003.
- 39. BHP Billiton Petroleum. Melbourne, Australia. News Release, February 13, 2002.
- 40. Shell Exploration and Production Company. Houston, TX. Press Release, January 18, 2002. (http://www.shellus.com/news/press\_releases/2002)
- 41. Williams. Tulsa, OK. Press Release, March 4, 2003. (http://www.williams.com/newsmedia/2003)
- 42. Western Gas Resources. Denver, CO. Annual Report 2002, pg 9.
- 43. EnCana Corporation. Calgary, Alberta, Canada. 2002 Annual Report, pg. 29.
- 44. U.S. Department of Energy. *DOE This Month*. Vol. 15, No. 8. Washington, DC, August 1992. p. 13.
- 45. U.S. Department of the Interior. 1995. *National Assessment of the United States Oil and Gas Resources*. U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1118. Denver, CO, pp. 5-6.
- 46. U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico OCS Regional Office. August 1995. *Estimated Proved Oil and Gas Reserves, Gulf of Mexico, December 31, 1994*. OCS Report MMS 94-0045. New Orleans, LA, pp. 5-6.

# Appendix A

# **Operator Data by Size Class**

# **Operator Data by Size Class**

Appendix A provides a series of tables of the proved reserves and production by production size class for the years 1997 through 2002 for oil and gas well operators. The tables show the volumetric change and percent change from the previous year and from 1997. In addition they show the 2002 average per operator in each class. All companies that reported to EIA were ranked by production size for each of the 6 years. We computed company production size classes as the sum of the barrel oil equivalent of the crude oil production, lease condensate production, and wet gas production for each operator. The companies were then placed in the following production size classes: 1–10, 11–20, 21–100, 101–500, and all "other" oil and gas operators. The "other" category contains 22,323 small operators. We estimated production and reserves for small operators for 2002 from a sample of approximately 3 percent.

Class 1–10 contains the 10 highest producing companies each year on a barrel oil equivalent basis. These companies are not necessarily the same 10 companies each year.

We also include statistics for operator Category sizes at the bottom portion of tables in this appendix. These are the categories used by EIA in processing and assessing reserves surveys and are presented here as additional perspective. For further explanation of categories sizes see definitions and descriptions in Appendix E.

#### **Natural Gas**

#### **Proved Reserves**

The wet natural gas proved reserves reported for 1997 through 2002 have changed from 175,721 billion cubic feet to 195,561 billion cubic feet (Table A1). These proved reserves are highly concentrated in the larger companies. In 2002, the top 20 operators (Class 1–10 and Class 11–20) producing companies had 58 percent of the proved reserves of natural gas. The next two size classes contain 80 and 400 companies and account for 26 and 10 percent of the U.S. natural gas proved reserves, respectively. The top 20 operators had an increase of 18 percent in their natural gas proved

reserves from 1997 to 2002. The rest of the operators in (Class 21–100, Class 101–500, and Class Other) had an increase of 2 percent in their reserves in the same period. In 2002, the top 20 operators' natural gas reserves had no percentage change from 2001.

#### **Production**

Wet natural gas production has decreased from 20,642 billion cubic feet in 2001 to 20,248 billion cubic feet in 2002 (Table A2). In 2002, the top 20 producing companies had 59 percent of the production of wet natural gas. The next two size classes have 24 and 12 percent of the wet natural gas production, respectively. The top 20 operators had an increase of 13 percent in their wet natural gas production from 1997 to 2002. The rest of the operators had a decrease of 13 percent from 1997 to 2002. The top 20 operators' wet natural gas production had a decrease of 2 percent in 2002 from 2001.

#### Crude Oil

#### **Proved Reserves**

Proved reserves of crude oil are more highly concentrated in a few companies than those of natural gas. The 20 largest oil and gas producing companies in 2002 had 71 percent of U.S. proved reserves of crude oil (Table A3), in contrast to wet natural gas where these same companies operated 58 percent of the total proved reserves.

U.S. proved reserves of crude oil increased 1 percent in 2002. The top 20 producing companies proved reserves of crude oil during 2002 decreased 2 percent. The top 20 class had an increase of 12 percent in their crude oil proved reserves from 1997 to 2002.

#### **Production**

Crude oil production reported for 1997 to 2002 has decreased from 2,138 million barrels to 1,875 million barrels (Table A4). The 20 largest oil and gas producing companies had 68 percent of U.S. production of crude oil in 2002. In 1997 they accounted for 61 percent of

production. This is in contrast to wet natural gas where these same companies produced only 58 percent of the total. U.S. production of crude oil declined by 12 percent from 1997 to 2002. The top 20 operators had a decline of 3 percent in their oil production during the same period. U.S. production of crude oil decreased by 2 percent from 2001 to 2002, the top 20 operators production also decreased by 2 percent.

#### **Fields**

The number of fields in which Category I and Category II operators were active increased during the 1997–2002 period (Table A5). From 1997-2002, the number of fields in which the top 20 operators were active increased by 1,165 fields (24 percent) while in 2002 the number decreased by 18 fields from 2001.

Table A1. Natural Gas Proved Reserves, Wet After Lease Separation, by Operator Production Size Class, 1997-2002

(Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

Size Class	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2001–2002 Volume and Percent Change	1997–2002 Volume and Percent Change	2002 Average Reserves per Operator
Class 1-10	68,876	64,336	64,320	81,437	88,936	88,100	-837	19,224	8,809.961
Percent of Total	39.2%	37.3%	36.5%	43.7%	46.4%	45.0%	-0.9%	27.9%	
Class 11-20	27,705	28,338	24,925	22,590	24,588	25,938	1,350	-1,767	2,593.823
Percent of Total	15.8%	16.4%	14.1%	12.1%	12.8%	13.3%	5.5%	-6.4%	
Class 21-100	45,593	47,009	52,160	48,832	50,055	50,633	577	5,040	632.908
Percent of Total	25.9%	27.3%	29.6%	26.2%	26.1%	25.9%	1.2%	11.1%	
Class 101-500	23,338	24,471	25,967	22,620	19,046	19,723	677	-3,615	49.308
Percent of Total	13.3%	14.2%	14.7%	12.1%	9.9%	10.1%	3.6%	-15.5%	
Class Other (22,019) Percent of Total	10,209 5.8%	8,289 4.8%	8,289 5.0%	11,030 5.9%	9,118 4.8%	11,167 5.7%	2,049 22.5%	958 9.4%	0.500
Category I (179) Percent of Total	147,491 83.9%	146,458 84.9%	146,458 82.8%	162,144 86.9%	169,056 88.2%	173,325 88.6%	4,270 2.5%	25,834 17.5%	984.803
Category II (430)	17,764	18,033	18,033	13,123	13,346	11,051	-2,294	-6,713	23.024
Percent of Total	10.1%	10.5%	12.5%	7.0%	7.0%	5.7%	-17.2%	-37.8%	
Category III (22,519)	10,467	7,952	7,952	R11,243	9,342	11,184	1,843	717	0.505
Percent of Total	6.0%	4.6%	4.7%	R6.0%	4.9%	5.7%	19.7%	6.9%	
Total Published	175,721	172,443	176,159	186,510	191,743	195,561	3,818	19,840	8.569
Percent of Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2.0%	11.3%	

R = Revised

Note: There were 22,167 active Category III operators in the 2002 sample frame. The reserves and production of Category III operators were estimated from an adjusted sample of 861 Category III operators (Table E2). The "other" size class represents 22,323 operators in the 2002 frame (22,823 active operators minus the 500 largest operators). Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

Table A2. Natural Gas Production, Wet After Lease Separation, by Operator Production Size Class, 1997-2002

(Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

							2001–2002 Volume and Percent	1997–2002 Volume and Percent	2002 Average Production
Size Class	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	Change	Change	per Operator
Class 1-10	7,178	6,954	6,881	8,495	9,019	8,996	-24	1,818	899.569
Percent of Total	35.7%	35.4%	34.7%	42.1%	43.7%	44.4%	-0.3%	25.3%	
Class 11-20	3,286	3,317	3,560	2,886	3,064	2,854	-210	-432	285.386
Percent of Total	15.8%	16.4%	14.1%	14.3%	14.8%	14.1%	-6.9%	-13.2%	
Class 21-100	5,729	5,595	5,523	4,965	4,949	4,763	-186	-966	59.537
Percent of Total	25.9%	27.3%	29.6%	24.6%	24.0%	23.5%	-3.8%	-16.9%	
Class 101-500	2,665	2,721	2,793	2,780	2,609	2,475	-134	-190	6.187
Percent of Total	13.3%	14.2%	14.7%	13.8%	12.6%	12.2%	-5.1%	-7.1%	
Class Other (22,019) Percent of Total	1,276 5.8%	1,035 4.8%	1,099 5.0%	1,038 5.1%	1,000 4.8%	1,161 5.7%	161 16.1%	-115 -9.0%	0.052
Category I (179)	16,897	16,619	16,248	17,096	17,672	17,335	-337	438	98.493
Percent of Total	83.9%	84.9%	82.8%	84.8%	85.6%	85.6%	-1.9%	2.6%	
Category II (430)	1,979	2,019	2,556	1,921	1,932	1,738	-194	-241	3.620
Percent of Total	10.1%	10.5%	12.5%	9.5%	9.4%	8.6%	-10.1%	-12.2%	
Category III (22,519)	1,258	984	1,052	R1,147	1,038	1,176	137	-82	0.053
Percent of Total	6.0%	4.6%	4.7%	R5.7%	5.0%	5.8%	13.2%	-6.6%	
Total Published	20,134	19,622	19,856	20,164	20,642	20,248	-394	114	0.887
Percent of Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-1.9%	0.6%	

R = Revised

Note: There were 22,167 active Category III operators in the 2002 sample frame. The reserves and production of Category III operators were estimated from an adjusted sample of 861 Category III operators (Table E2). The "other" size class represents 22,323 operators in the 2002 frame (22,823 active operators minus the 500 largest operators). Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

Table A3. Crude Oil Proved Reserves by Operator Production Size Class, 1997–2002 (Million Barrels of 42 U.S. Gallons)

Size Class	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2001–2002 Volume and Percent Change	1997–2002 Volume and Percent Change	2002 Average Reserves per Operator
Class 1-10	11,434	11,501	11,121	12,367	13,590	13,346	-245	1,912	1,334.553
Percent of Total	50.7%	54.7%	51.1%	56.1%	60.5%	58.9%	-1.8%	16.7%	
Class 11-20	2,977	2,894	2,585	3,172	2,901	2,817	-85	-160	281.676
Percent of Total	13.2%	13.8%	11.9%	14.4%	12.9%	12.4%	-2.9%	-5.4%	
Class 21-100	4,384	3,677	4,338	2,505	2,856	3,230	375	-1,154	40.381
Percent of Total	19.4%	17.5%	19.9%	11.4%	12.7%	14.2%	13.1%	-26.3%	
Class 101-500	2,111	1,754	2,379	2,286	1,794	1,817	23	-294	4.541
Percent of Total	9.4%	8.3%	10.9%	10.4%	8.0%	8.0%	1.3%	-13.9%	
Class Other (22,019) Percent of Total	1,640 7.3%	1,208 5.7%	1,342 6.2%	1,716 7.8%	1,305 5.8%	1,468 6.5%	163 12.5%	-172 -10.5%	0.066
Category I (179)	19,461	18,819	18,952	19,421	20,325	20,213	-113	752	114.845
Percent of Total	86.3%	89.5%	87.1%	88.1%	90.6%	89.1%	-0.6%	3.9%	
Category II (430)	1,400	1,018	1,521	873	794	992	198	-408	2.068
Percent of Total	6.2%	4.8%	7.0%	4.0%	3.5%	4.4%	24.9%	-29.1%	
Category III (22,519)	1,685	1,197	1,293	R1,751	1,326	1,472	146	-213	0.066
Percent of Total	7.5%	5.7%	5.9%	R7.9%	5.9%	6.5%	11.0%	-12.7%	
Total Published	22,546	21,034	21,765	22,045	22,446	22,677	231	131	0.994
Percent of Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.0%	0.6%	

R = Revised

Note: There were 22,167 active Category III operators in the 2002 sample frame. The reserves and production of Category III operators were estimated from an adjusted sample of 861 Category III operators (Table E2). The "other" size class represents 22,323 operators in the 2002 frame (22,823 active operators minus the 500 largest operators).

**Table A4.** Crude Oil Production by Operator Production Size Class, 1997–2002 (Million Barrels of 42 U.S. Gallons)

Size Class	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2001–2002 Volume and Percent Change	1997–2002 Volume and Percent Change	2002 Average Production per Operator
Class 1-10	1,047	1,025	974	961	1,061	1,037	-24	-10	103.677
Percent of Total	49.0%	51.5%	49.9%	51.1%	55.4%	55.3%	-2.2%	-1.0%	
Class 11-20	262	255	241	304	240	233	-7	-29	23.329
Percent of Total	12.3%	12.8%	12.3%	16.2%	12.5%	12.4%	-2.9%	-11.0%	
Class 21-100	373	342	350	214	233	240	7	-133	3.001
Percent of Total	17.4%	17.2%	17.9%	11.4%	12.2%	12.8%	3.1%	-35.6%	
Class 101-500	237	206	208	211	195	181	-14	-56	0.453
Percent of Total	11.1%	10.3%	10.7%	11.2%	10.2%	9.7%	-7.3%	-23.6%	
Class Other (22,019) Percent of Total	219 10.2%	163 8.2%	179 9.2%	190 10.1%	186 9.7%	184 9.8%	-2 -1.2%	-35 -16.1%	0.008
Category I (179)	1,760	1,714	1,617	1,572	1,612	1,573	-39	-187	8.938
Percent of Total	82.3%	86.1%	82.8%	83.6%	84.2%	83.9%	-2.4%	-10.6%	
Category II (430)	157	118	160	111	112	115	3	-42	0.240
Percent of Total	7.3%	5.9%	8.2%	5.9%	5.8%	6.1%	3.1%	-26.6%	
Category III (22,519)	221	159	175	R197	191	187	-4	-34	0.008
Percent of Total	10.3%	8.0%	9.0%	R10.5%	10.0%	10.0%	-2.2%	-15.5%	
Total Published	2,138	1,991	1,952	1,880	1,915	1,875	-40	-263	0.082
Percent of Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-2.1%	-12.3%	

R = Revised

Note: There were 22,167 active Category III operators in the 2002 sample frame. The reserves and production of Category III operators were estimated from an adjusted sample of 861 Category III operators (Table E2). The "other" size class represents 22,323 operators in the 2002 frame (22,823 active operators minus the 500 largest operators).

Table A5. Operator Field Count by Operator Production Size Class, 1997–2002

Size Class	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2001–2002 Number and Percent Change	1997–2002 Number and Percent Change	2002 Average Number of Fields per Operator
Class 1-10	2,566	2,475	2,559	3,444	3,794	3,596	-198	1,030	359.600
Percent of Total	10.4%	9.5%	10.0%	13.0%	14.0%	12.9%	-5.2%	40.1%	
Class 11-20	2,257	1,822	1,514	1,923	2,212	2,392	180	135	239.200
Percent of Total	9.1%	7.0%	5.9%	7.2%	8.2%	8.6%	8.1%	6.0%	
Class 21-100	7,159	7,526	8,180	7,084	7,195	7,947	752	788	99.338
Percent of Total	28.9%	29.0%	32.0%	26.7%	26.5%	28.4%	10.5%	11.0%	
Class 101-500	12,878	12,817	12,344	12,580	12,435	12,661	226	-217	31.653
Percent of Total	52.0%	49.4%	48.2%	47.4%	45.9%	45.3%	1.8%	-1.7%	
Rest	1,332	1,524	1,287	1,529	1,480	1,349	-131	17	8.647
Percent of Total	5.4%	5.9%	5.0%	5.8%	5.5%	4.8%	-8.9%	1.3%	
Category I	15,232	15,666	15,120	16,174	16,196	17,049	853	1,817	96.869
Percent of Total	61.5%	60.4%	59.1%	60.9%	59.7%	61.0%	5.3%	11.9%	
Category II	R9,530	10,271	10,467	10,146	10,764	10,473	-291	943	21.819
Percent of Total	38.5%	39.6%	40.9%	38.2%	39.7%	37.5%	-2.7%	9.9%	
Total	R24,762	25,937	25,587	26,560	27,116	27,945	829	3,183	42.599
Percent of Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.00%	3.1%	12.9%	

R = Revised

Note: Includes only data from Category I and Category II operators. In 2002, there were 176 Category I operators and 480 Category II operators. The "rest" size class had 156 operators in 2002.

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

# Top 100 Oil and Gas Fields for 2002

#### Appendix B

# Top 100 Oil and Gas Fields for 2002

This appendix presents estimates of the proved reserves and production of the top 100 oil and gas fields. The oil field production and reserve data include both crude oil and lease condensate. The gas field production and reserve data is total wet natural gas (associated-dissolved natural gas and nonassociated natural gas, wet after lease separation). Several of the same fields are in both tables B1 and B2.

#### Table B1. Top 100 Oil Fields for 2002

The top 100 oil fields in the United States as of December 31, 2002, had 15,452 million barrels of **proved reserves** accounting for 64 percent of the total United States (**Table 6 and Table 14**). Although there is considerable grouping of field–level statistics within the tables, rough orders of magnitude can be estimated for the proved reserves and production of most fields. Many of the fields in the top 100 group are operated by only one or two operators, therefore, the totals for proved reserves are grouped as top 10, top 20, top 50, and top 100 to avoid revealing company proprietary data.

In the top 20 oil fields for 2002 there are six fields, Mississippi Canyon Block 778 (Thunder Horse), Mississippi Canyon Block 807 (Mars), Green Canyon Block 644 (Holstein), Mississippi Canyon Block 810 (Ursa), Green Canyon 826 (Mad Dog), and Mississippi Canyon 84 (King Horn Mountain) which are in the deep water of the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore.

The top 100 oil fields in the United States as of December 31, 2002, had 1,041 million barrels of **production**, or 50 percent of the total (**Table 6 and Table 14**). Many of the oil fields in the top 100 are very old. The oldest reported field to EIA, Coalinga in California, was discovered in 1887. The newest reported field was Main Pass Blk 61 in the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore. The oil fields with newer discovery dates are typically located in the Gulf of Mexico Offshore and Alaska.

#### Table B2. Top 100 Gas Fields for 2002

The top 100 gas fields in the United States as of December 31, 2002, had 98,376 billion cubic feet of wet natural gas **proved reserves**, or 50 percent of the total (**Table 9**).

The top 100 gas fields in the United States as of December 31, 2002, had 7,426 billion cubic feet of **production**, or 37 percent of the total (**Table 9**). Fewer of the gas fields in the top 100 are as old as the top100 oil fields. There were 22 gas fields in Table B2 that were discovered prior to 1950 and 55 gas fields were discovered after 1967. The oldest, Big Sandy in Kentucky, was discovered in 1881. The gas fields with newer discovery dates are located in the Gulf of Mexico Offshore, New Mexico, Colorado, and Wyoming.

# Table B3. Top U.S. Operators Ranked by Reported 2002 Operated Production Data

**Table B3** lists the top U.S. oil and gas operators ranked by reported 2002 operated production data.

Table B1. Top 100 U.S. Fields Ranked by Liquids Proved Reserves from Estimated 2002 Field Level Data<sup>a</sup> (Million Barrels of 42 U.S. Gallons)

	Field Name	Location	Discovery Year	Proved Reserves Rank Group	2002 Reported Production Volume
1	PRUDHOE BAY	AK	1967	(1-10)	151.6
2	MISSISSIPPI CANYON BLK 778	FG	1999	(1-10)	0.0
3	KUPARUK RIVER	AK	1969	(1-10)	58.6
4	BELRIDGE SOUTH	CA	1911	(1-10)	39.9
5	MIDWAY-SUNSET	CA	1901	(1-10)	50.4
6	WASSON	TX	1937	(1-10)	25.5
7	YATES	TX	1926	(1-10)	6.9
8	KERN RIVER	CA	1899	(1-10)	38.6
9	ELK HILLS	CA	1920	(1-10)	19.7
10	MISSISSIPPI CANYON BLK 807	FG	1989	(1-10)	52.1
	10 Volume Subtotal 10 Percentage of U.S. Total			7,407.4 30.8%	443.4 21.3%
	-	TV	1051	(44.00)	24.0
11		TX	1951	(11-20)	24.0
	ALPINE	AK	1994	(11-20)	35.0
	MILNE POINT	AK	1982	(11-20)	18.6
	SLAUGHTER	TX	1937	(11-20)	15.3
	HONDO	FP	1990	(11-20)	9.0
	GREEN CANYON BLK 644	FG	1999	(11-20)	0.0
17	GREEN CANYON BLK 826	FG	1999	(11-20)	0.0
	MISSISSIPPI CANYON BLK 810	FG	1996	(11-20)	31.8
19	CYMRIC	CA	1916	(11-20)	20.1
20	MISSISSIPPI CANYON 84	FG	1993	(11-20)	5.3
op	20 Volume Subtotal 20 Percentage of U.S. Total			9,966.3 41.5%	602.6 28.9%
	LEVELLAND	TX	1945	(21-50)	9.6
		CA	1910	' '	
	LOST HILLS NW			(21-50)	11.3
	WILMINGTON	CA	1932	(21-50)	15.1
	PESCADO	FP	1970	(21-50)	5.8
	SHO-VEL-TUM	OK	1905	(21-50)	9.5
	NORTHSTAR	AK	1984	(21-50)	17.6
27	HOBBS	NM	1928	(21-50)	2.7
28	COWDEN NORTH	TX	1930	(21-50)	7.3
29	ENDICOTT	AK	1978	(21-50)	8.7
30	POINT MCINTYRE	AK	1988	(21-50)	15.2
31	SAN ARDO	CA	1947	(21-50)	4.7
32	WATTENBERG	CO	1970	(21-50)	7.5
33	INGLEWOOD	CA	1924	(21-50)	2.8
	CEDAR HILLS	ND & MT & SD	1954	(21-50)	2.0
	VENTURA	CA	1916	(21-50)	4.7
	KELLY-SNYDER	TX	1948	(21-50)	4.8
	VACUUM	NM	1929	(21-50)	8.1
	SACATE	FP	1970		2.3
		FG FG		(21-50)	0.0
	GREEN CANYON BLK 339		2001	(21-50)	
	MCELROY	TX	1926	(21-50)	5.2
	BOREALIS	AK	2001	(21-50)	9.2
	GREATER ANETH	UT	1956	(21-50)	3.7
	ROBERTSON NORTH	TX	1956	(21-50)	3.9
	HAWKINS	TX	1975	(21-50)	3.3
ŀ5	MONUMENT BUTTE	UT	1964	(21-50)	1.7
16	MISSISSIPPI CANYON BLK 383	FG	1987	(21-50)	0.0
17	VIOSCA KNOLL BLK 786	FG	1996	(21-50)	17.7
18	FULLERTON	TX	1942	(21-50)	5.1
	COALINGA	CA	1887	(21-50)	6.9
	GOLDSMITH	TX	1935	(21-50)	4.1
				, ,	
	50 Volume Subtotal			13,148.5	803.3

Table B1. Top 100 U.S. Fields Ranked by Liquids Proved Reserves from Estimated 2002 Field Level Data<sup>a</sup> (Continued)

(Million Barrels of 42 U.S. Gallons)

Field Name	Location	Discovery Year	Proved Reserves Rank Group	2002 Reported Production Volume
51 RANGELY	60	1002	(E1 100)	E
	CO	1902	(51-100)	5.5
52 VIOSCA KNOLL BLK 990	FG	1981	(51-100)	12.2
3 REDOUBT SHOAL	AK	1968	(51-100)	0.0
4 MISSISSIPPI CANYON BLK 773	FG	2000	(51-100)	0.0
5 POLARIS	AK	2000	(51-100)	0.9
6 ARROYO GRANDE	CA	1906	(51-100)	0.7
7 SALT CREEK	TX	1942	(51-100)	5.2
8 JAY	MT & WY	1915	(51-100)	2.9
9 PENNEL	AL & FL	1970	(51-100)	2.2
0 WEST SAK	MT	1955	(51-100)	2.4
1 GREEN CANYON BLK 158	AK	1969	(51-100)	18.0
2 GIDDINGS	FG	1992	(51-100)	11.5
3 SEMINOLE	TX	1960	(51-100)	9.0
4 GREEN CANYON BLK 608	TX	1936	(51-100)	0.0
5 MEANS	FG	2000	(51-100)	3.7
6 EWING BANK BLK 873	TX	1934	(51-100)	9.0
7 GREEN CANYON BLK 205	FG	1991	(51-100)	17.2
8 JO-MILL	FG	1988	(51-100)	2.4
9 CEDAR LAKE	TX	1953	(51-100)	2.5
0 ANTON-IRISH	TX	1939	(51-100)	5.8
1 KERN FRONT	TX	1944	(51-100)	1.4
2 LAKE WASHINGTON	CA	1925	(51-100)	1.9
3 ELK BASIN	LA	1931	(51-100)	2.6
4 MISSISSIPPI CANYON BLK 582	FG	2000	(51-100)	0.0
5 ALAMINOS CANYON BLK 25	FG	1997	(51-100)	16.1
6 BEVERLY HILLS	CA	1900	(51-100)	1.4
7 TARN	AK	1991	(51-100)	11.8
8 WEST DELTA BLK 30	FG	1949	(51-100)	5.2
9 MISSISSIPPI CANYON BLK 899	FG	1998	(51-100)	13.3
0 ALTAMONT-BLUEBELL	UT	1949	(51-100)	2.6
1 GOLDEN TREND	OK	1945	(51-100)	2.2
2 WASSON 72	TX	1940	(51-100)	1.6
3 MONUMENT	NM	1935	(51-100)	2.6
4 VIOSCA KNOLL BLK 915	FG	1993	(51-100)	4.9
5 BELRIDGE NORTH	CA	1912	(51-100)	2.8
6 MISSISSIPPI CANYON BLK 935	FG	1994	(51-100)	6.0
7 EAST BREAKS BLK 602	FG	1999	(51-100)	6.2
8 BREA-OLINDA	CA	1897	(51-100)	1.8
9 GARDEN BANKS BLK 668	FG	2000	(51-100)	0.0
0 GREEN CANYON BLK 244	FG	1994	(51-100)	21.6
1 AURORA	AK	1999	(51-100)	2.2
2 LOOKOUT BUTTE EAST	MT	1986	(51-100)	1.7
3 FOSTER	TX	1985	(51-100)	2.6
4 MAIN PASS BLK 61	FG	2001	(51-100)	0.4
5 DOLLARHIDE	NM & TX	1945	(51-100)	3.0
6 SOUTH PASS EA BLK 62	FG	1974	(51-100)	2.1
7 EUNICE MONUMENT	NM	1974	,	1.1
			(51-100)	
8 BIG STICK	ND CA	1979	(51-100)	0.8
9 MONTEBELLO	CA	1917	(51-100)	1.0
00 GARDEN BANKS BLK 559	FG	1999	(51-100)	5.8
pp 100 Volume Subtotal pp 100 Percentage of U.S. Total			15,451.6 64.3%	1,041.3 50.0%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes lease condensate.

Notes: The U.S. total production estimate of 2,085 million barrels and the U.S. total reserves estimate of 24,023 million barrels, used to calculate the percentages in this table, are from the combined totals of Table 6 and Table 15 in this publication. Column totals may not add due to independent rounding.

Table B2. Top 100 U.S. Fields as Ranked by Gas Proved Reserves from Estimated 2002 Field Level Data<sup>a</sup> (Billion Cubic Feet)

Field Name	Location	Discovery Year	Proved Reserves Rank Group	2002 Reported Production Volume
1 BLANCO / IGNACIO-BLANCO	CO & NM	1927	(1-10)	841.7
2 BASIN	NM	1969	(1-10)	592.1
3 PRUDHOE BAY	AK	1967	(1-10)	196.5
4 HUGOTON GAS AREA	KS & OK & TX	1922	(1-10)	393.0
			` '	
5 PINEDALE	WY	1955	(1-10)	31.2
6 MADDEN	WY	1968	(1-10)	99.2
7 JONAH	WY	1977	(1-10)	224.7
8 WATTENBERG	CO	1970	(1-10)	175.4
9 ANTRIM	MI	1965	(1-10)	224.7
10 RATON BASIN GAS AREA	CO & NM	1999	(1-10)	71.3
op 10 Volume Subtotal op 10 Percentage of U.S. Total			44,246.6 22.6%	2,849.8 14.1%
op 10 i ercentage of 0.0. Total			22.070	14.170
11 NEWARK EAST	TX	1981	(11-20)	203.2
12 PRB COALBED	WY	1999	(11-20)	311.1
13 CARTHAGE	TX	1944	(11-20)	195.7
4 FOGARTY CREEK	WY	1975	(11-20)	32.0
15 NATURAL BUTTES	UT	1952	(11-20)	94.3
16 MOBILE BAY FIELDS	AL	1979	(11-20)	135.2
			` '	
17 BIG SANDY	KY & WV	1881	(11-20)	49.0
18 DRUNKARDS WASH	UT	1989	(11-20)	86.3
19 SPRABERRY TREND AREA	TX	1952	(11-20)	95.8
20 SAWYER	TX	1975	(11-20)	66.5
op 20 Volume Subtotal op 20 Percentage of U.S. Total			60,650.5 31.0%	4,119.1 20.3%
DANIHANDI E WECT	TV	1010	(04.50)	00.0
21 PANHANDLE WEST	TX	1918	(21-50)	99.6
22 OAKWOOD	VA	1990	(21-50)	47.3
23 MAMM CREEK	CO	1959	(21-50)	28.1
24 VERNON	LA	1967	(21-50)	31.3
25 RED OAK-NORRIS	OK	1910	(21-50)	53.7
26 MISSISSIPPI CANYON BLK 778	FG	1999	(21-50)	0.0
27 STRONG CITY DISTRICT	OK	1966	(21-50)	70.1
28 ELK HILLS	CA	1919	(21-50)	129.4
			` '	
29 OAK HILL	TX	1980	(21-50)	71.1
30 LAKE RIDGE	WY	1981	(21-50)	16.1
31 MISSISSIPPI CANYON BLK 810	FG	1996	(21-50)	49.9
32 MESA UNIT	WY	1981	(21-50)	25.4
33 GOMEZ	TX	1977	(21-50)	54.2
34 GRAND VALLEY	CO	1985	(21-50)	31.4
35 GIDDINGS	TX	1973	(21-50)	142.9
	AK	1962	(21-50)	43.8
			,	
37 COOK INLET NORTH	AK	1962	(21-50)	54.3
38 MOCANE-LAVERNE GAS AREA	KS & OK & TX	1947	(21-50)	89.6
39 RULISON	CO	1958	(21-50)	32.2
40 DEW	TX	1982	(21-50)	60.1
41 PANOMA GAS AREA	KS	1956	(21-50)	60.7
12 BALD PRAIRIE	TX	1976	(21-50)	30.0
43 WATONGA-CHICKASHA TREND	OK	1962	(21-50)	63.4
4 KINTA	OK	1926	` ,	45.8
			(21-50)	
FREESTONE	TX	1949	(21-50)	49.9
46 GOLDEN TREND	OK	1947	(21-50)	48.4
17 ELM GROVE	LA	1958	(21-50)	52.3
48 VIOSCA KNOLL BLK 956	FG	1985	(21-50)	78.9
	FG	1999	(21-50)	26.5
49 EAST BREAKS BLK 602		-	` '	
	FG	1994	(21-50)	43.5
49 EAST BREAKS BLK 602 50 EAST BREAKS BLK 945 50p 50 Volume Subtotal	FG	1994	(21-50) <b>82,254.5</b>	43.5 <b>5,749.0</b>

Table B2. Top 100 U.S. Fields as Ranked by Gas Proved Reserves from Estimated 2002 Field Level Data<sup>a</sup> (Continued)

(Billion Cubic Feet)

Field Name	Location	Discovery Year	Proved Reserves Rank Group	2002 Reported Production Volume
51 LOWER MOBILE BAY-MARY ANN	AL	1979	(51-100)	26.9
52 WAMSUTTER	WY	1958	(51-100)	33.6
3 WASSON	TX	1973	(51-100)	23.1
MISSISSIPPI CANYON BLK 807	FG	1989	(51-100)	66.6
5 PARACHUTE	CO	1985	(51-100)	15.6
66 WHITNEY CANYON-CARTER CRK	WY	1978	(51-100)	77.6
7 DOWDY RANCH	TX	1999	(51-100)	28.4
8 MAYFIELD NE	OK	1951	(51-100)	47.1
9 BRUFF	WY	1969	(51-100)	39.9
0 FARRAR	TX	1963	(51-100)	11.2
1 MISSISSIPPI CANYON BLK 731	FG	1987	(51-100)	76.2
2 WILBURTON	OK	1960	(51-100)	64.4
3 BLUE CREEK COAL DEGAS	AL	1988	(51-100)	25.9
4 MONTE CHRISTO NORTH	TX	1982	(51-100)	59.7
5 NORA	VA	1949	(51-100)	16.1
6 ELK CITY	OK	1947	(51-100)	49.4
7 BOB WEST	TX	1990	(51-100)	30.4
	TX		,	32.1
8 OZONA		1971	(51-100)	
9 WILD ROSE	WY	1975	(51-100)	24.2
0 STRATTON	TX	1981	(51-100)	16.3
1 ECHO SPRINGS	WY	1977	(51-100)	33.3
2 BELRIDGE SOUTH	CA	1911	(51-100)	17.9
3 CEMENT	OK	1917	(51-100)	45.5
4 VERDEN	OK	1948	(51-100)	31.3
5 STANDARD DRAW	WY	1979	(51-100)	28.6
6 MIMMS CREEK	TX	1978	(51-100)	27.7
7 KUPARUK RIVER	AK	1969	(51-100)	25.6
'8 GARDEN BANKS BLK 668	FG	2000	(51-100)	0.0
9 GARDEN BANKS BLK 877	FG	2001	(51-100)	0.0
0 LABARGE	WY	1924	(51-100)	26.9
1 MOBILE BLK 823	FG	1983	(51-100)	48.5
2 WILLOW SPRINGS	TX	1954	(51-100)	30.2
3 LA PERLA	TX	1958	(51-100)	64.3
4 BLANCO SOUTH	NM	1952	(51-100)	18.3
5 MATAGORDA ISLAND BLK 623	FG	1980	(51-100)	51.0
6 CEDAR COVE COAL DEGAS	AL	1983	(51-100)	18.0
7 BOONSVILLE	TX	1945	(51-100)	35.1
8 DOUBLE A WELLS	TX	1980	(51-100)	24.4
9 BROWN-BASSETT	TX	1953	(51-100)	28.3
0 DESOTO CANYON BLK 133	FG	1993	(51-100)	5.8
1 GRAND ISLE SA BLK 116	FG	1999	(51-100)	41.7
2 BLOCK 16	TX	1969	(51-100)	31.3
			,	
3 INDIAN BASIN	NM	1971	(51-100)	130.3
4 ELK BASIN	MT & WY	1915	(51-100)	7.1
5 SHO-VEL-TUM	OK	1905	(51-100)	20.2
6 CEDARDALE NE	OK TV	1957	(51-100)	21.7
7 AWP	TX	1981	(51-100)	13.9
8 RIO VISTA	CA	1936	(51-100)	22.6
9 CARPENTER	OK	1980	(51-100)	20.2
00 KNOX	OK	1916	(51-100)	42.3
op 100 Volume Subtotal op 100 Percentage of U.S. Total			98,375.5 50.3%	7,425.9 36.7%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Total wet gas after lease separation.

Note: The U.S. total production estimate of 20,248 billion cubic feet and the U.S. total reserves estimate of 195,561 billion cubic feet, used to calculate the percentages in this table, are from Table 9 in this publication. Column totals may not add due to independent rounding. Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

Table B3. Top U.S. Operators Ranked by Reported 2002 Operated Production Data

		Crude Oil Production			Total Natural Gas Production
Rank	Company Name	(thousand barrels/day)	Rank	Company Name	(million cubic feet/day
1	RD DI C		1	BP PLC	5 512
2		5	2	EXXONMOBIL CORP	2 420
3			3		
-		462	•	CHEVRONTEXACO INC	,
4			4	CONOCOPHILLIPS CO	
5			5	SHELL OIL CO	
6		EUM CORP 261	6	DEVON ENERGY CORP	-
7			7	EL PASO ENERGY	
8		' 106	8	BURLINGTON RESOURCE	•
9		JM CORP103	9	ANADARKO PETROLEUM	
10	MARATHON OIL CO		10	UNOCAL CORP	
op 10	Volume Subtotal	3,396	Top 10	Volume Subtotal	25,136
op 10	Percentage of U.S. Total	l 60%	Top 10	Percentage of U.S. Total .	
11	UNOCAL CORP		11	DOMINION RESOURCES	NC885
12	KERR-MCGEE CORP .		12	E O G RESOURCES INC.	
13	DEVON ENERGY CORF	P	13	KERR-MCGEE CORP	
14			14	MARATHON OIL CO	
15			15	APACHE CORP	
16			16	XTO ENERGY INC	
17		ES CORP 44	17	OCCIDENTAL PETROLEUI	
18			18	WILLIAMS ENERGY INC .	
19			19	NEWFIELD EXPLORATION	
20		ORP 28	20	ENCANA OIL & GAS INC.	
				Volume Subtotal	
_				Percentage of U.S. Total .	
21		SOURCES USA 28	21	AMERADA HESS CORP .	
22		CES OIL & GAS CO 27	22	CHESAPEAKE ENERGY C	
23		S INC 24	23	SAMSON INVESTMENT C	
24		ON CO23	24	OCEAN ENERGY INC	
25			25	PIONEER NATURAL RESC	
26		C	26	HOUSTON EXPLORATION	
27		INC 20	27	QUESTAR CORP	
28			28	WESTPORT RESOURCES	
29		NC 19	29	<b>EQUITABLE RESOURCES</b>	
30		_P 19	30	NOBLE AFFILIATES INC .	
31		S INC18	31	HUNT OIL CO	260
32		INC18	32	TOTALFINAELF SA	249
33	NOBLE AFFILIATES INC	5	33	WALTER OIL & GAS CORF	P 242
34	HILCORP ENERGY CO		34	YATES PETROLEUM COR	P 240
35	POGO PRODUCING CO	)	35	CABOT OIL & GAS CORP	
36	STONE ENERGY CORP		36	STONE ENERGY CORP .	221
37	XTO ENERGY INC		37	ENERGEN RESOURCES (	ORP221
38	ARGUELLO INC		38	POGO PRODUCING CO.	
39			39	CIMAREX ENERGY CO	
40		O	40	TOM BROWN INC	
41		NAGEMENT CO14	41	KAISER - FRANCIS OIL CO	
42		S CORP13	42	SPINNAKER EXPLORATION	
42		CO LP13	43	AGIP PETROLEUM CO INC	
		RODUCTION CO 12	43 44	HILCORP ENERGY CO	
44 45					
45		_S LP	45 46	HUBER J M CORP	
46		CORP12	46	HUNT PETROLEUM CORF	
47			47	MERIT ENERGY CO	
48		ORP	48	DENBURY RESOURCES II	
49		RCES INC	49	FOREST OIL CORP	
50			50	PATINA OIL & GAS CORP	
on 50	Volume Subtotal		Top 50	Volume Subtotal	41,321
		l		Percentage of U.S. Total .	

Note: Crude oil production includes production of lease condensate and total natural gas production is wet after lease separation.

# **Conversion to the Metric System**

#### Appendix C

### **Conversion to the Metric System**

Public Law 100–418, the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988, states: "It is the declared policy of the United States—

- (1) to designate the metric system of measurement as the preferred system of weights and measures for United States trade and commerce. . . .
- (2) to require that each Federal agency, by the end of Fiscal Year 1992, use the metric system of measurement in its procurements, grants, and other business–related activities." [44]

**Table C1** is in keeping with the spirit of this law. The petroleum industry in the United States is slowly moving in the direction prescribed by this law and the data collected by EIA are collected in the units that are still common to the U.S. petroleum industry, namely barrels and cubic feet. Standard metric conversion factors were used to convert the National level volumes in **Table 1** to the metric equivalents in **Table C1**. Barrels were multiplied by 0.1589873 to convert to cubic meters and cubic feet were multiplied by 0.02831685 to convert to cubic meters.

Table C1. U.S. Proved Reserves of Crude Oil, Dry Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids, in Metric Units, 1992 - 2002

Year	Adjustments (1)	Net Revisions (2)	Revisions <sup>a</sup> and Adjustments (3)	Net of Sales and Acquisitions (4)	Extensions (5)	New Field Discoveries (6)	New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields (7)	Total <sup>b</sup> Discoveries (8)	Estimated Production (9)	Proved <sup>C</sup> Reserves 12/31 (10)	Change from Prior Yea (11)
					Crude (	<b>Dil</b> (million cu	ıbic meters)				
1992	46.2	116.8	163.0	NA	62.2	1.3	13.5	77.0	388.9	3,775.2	-148.9
1993	43.1	78.7	121.8	NA	56.6	50.7	17.5	124.8	371.9	3,649.9	-125.3
1994	30.1	160.1	190.2	NA	63.1	10.2	17.6	90.9	360.6	3,570.4	-79.5
1995	19.4	163.4	182.8	NA	79.5	18.1	54.5	152.1	351.8	3,553.5	-16.9
1996	28.0	117.1	145.1	NA	86.3	38.6	22.4	147.3	345.5	3,500.4	-53.1
1997	82.6	145.4	228.0	NA	75.8	101.3	18.9	196.0	339.9	3,584.5	84.1
1998	-101.5	82.3	-19.2	NA	52.0	24.2	19.1	95.3	316.5	3,344.1	-240.4
1999	22.1	289.2	311.3	NA	41.2	51.0	23.1	115.3	310.3	3,460.4	116.3
2000	22.7	118.6	141.3	-3.2	121.8	43.9	39.6	205.3	298.9	3,504.9	44.5
2001	-0.6	-25.1	-25.8	-13.8	137.7	223.7	46.4	407.8	304.5	3,568.6	63.7
2002	66.1	114.5	180.6	3.8	78.2	47.7	24.5	150.4	298.1	3,605.4	36.8
					Dry Natura	al Gas (billior	n cubic meters)	)			
1992	63.29	172.53	235.82	NA	132.38	18.38	48.82	199.58	493.36	4,672.71	-57.96
1993	27.51	151.47	178.98	NA	172.82	25.46	52.84	251.12	503.73	4,599.08	-73.63
1994	55.08	155.29	210.37	NA	196.55	53.63	98.54	348.72	518.82	4,639.35	40.27
1995	16.42	219.00	235.42	NA	193.77	47.18	69.43	310.38	508.74	4,676.41	37.06
1996	107.18	115.70	222.88	NA	219.65	41.09	88.07	348.81	534.08	4,714.02	37.61
1997	-16.70	138.81	122.11	NA	299.73	75.92	67.45	443.10	544.00	4,735.23	21.21
1998	-46.30	162.54	116.24	NA	232.11	30.41	61.22	323.74	530.09	4,645.12	-90.11
1999	27.81	297.44	325.25	NA	199.44	44.40	62.18	306.02	535.98	4,740.41	95.29
2000	-25.23	197.14	171.91	114.15	418.72	56.15	67.05	541.93	544.22	5,024.17	283.76
2001	77.64	-65.64	12.01	74.47	463.83	101.32	79.29	644.44	560.08	5,195.01	170.84
2002	105.54	26.53	132.07	10.76	418.21	37.72	47.97	503.90	548.02	5,293.72	98.71
				N	latural Gas	<b>Liquids</b> (mill	ion cubic mete	ers)			
1992	35.7	41.5	77.2	NA	30.2	3.2	10.2	43.6	122.9	1,184.6	-2.1
1993	16.2	19.7	35.9	NA	39.0	3.8	10.2	53.0	125.3	1,148.2	-36.4
1994	6.9	31.3	38.2	NA	49.9	8.6	20.8	79.3	125.8	1,139.9	-8.3
1995	30.6	44.0	74.6	NA	68.7	8.1	10.7	87.6	125.8	1,176.3	36.4
1996	75.4	27.8	103.2	NA	71.7	10.3	17.3	99.4	135.1	1,243.8	67.4
1997	-2.2	45.9	43.7	NA	85.1	18.1	14.3	117.5	137.4	1,267.6	23.8
1998	-57.4	33.1	-24.3	NA	60.9	10.5	14.0	85.4	132.4	1,196.2	-71.4
1999	15.8	115.6	131.4	NA	49.8	8.1	14.0	71.9	142.5	1,257.0	60.8
2000	-13.2	73.0	59.8	23.1	102.5	14.6	16.2	133.4	146.4	1,326.7	69.7
2001	-68.2	-21.0	-89.2	16.2	114.0	21.9	22.6	158.5	141.5	1,270.8	-55.9
2002	9.9	4.9	14.8	8.6	97.3	7.6	12.4	117.3	140.5	1,270.9	0.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Revisions and adjustments = Col. 1 + Col. 2. <sup>b</sup>Total discoveries = Col. 5 + Col. 6 + Col. 7.

Notes: Old means discovered in a prior year. New means discovered during the report year. The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA–23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves" and Form EIA–64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production." The following conversion factors were used to convert data: barrels = 0.1589873 per cubic meter and cubic feet = 0.02831685 per cubic meter. Number of decimal digits varies in order to accurately reproduce corresponding equivalents shown on Table 1 in Chapter 2.
Source: U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves, 1992–2002 annual reports, DOE/EIA–0216.{16–25}

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup>Proved reserves = Col. 10 from prior year + Col. 3 + Col. 4 + Col. 8 - Col. 9.

## **Historical Reserves Statistics**

#### Appendix D

### **Historical Reserves Statistics**

These are selected historical data presented at the State and National level. All historical statistics included have previously been published in the annual reports of 1977 through 2001 of the EIA publication U.S. Crude Oil, Natural *Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves,* DOE EIA-0216.{1-25}

Liquid volumes are in million barrels of 42 U.S. gallons. Gas volumes are in billion cubic feet (Bcf), at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit. NA appears in this appendix wherever data are not available or are withheld to avoid disclosure of data which may be proprietary. An asterisk (\*) marks those estimates associated with sampling errors (95 percent confidence interval) greater than 20 percent of the value estimated.

		Dry	Natural				Dry	Natural
	Crude Oil	Natural	Gas			Crude Oil	Natural	Gas
Crude Oil	Indicated	Gas	Liquids		Crude Oil	Indicated	Gas	Liquids
Proved	Additional	Proved	Proved		Proved	Additional	Proved	Proved
Year Reserves	Reserves	Reserves	Reserves	Year	Reserves	Reserves	Reserves	Reserves

		Alabar	na				Alask	<b>a</b>	
1977	85	0	530	NA	1977	8,413	846	32,243	NA
1978	*74	0	514	NA	1978	9,384	398	32,045	NA
1979	45	NA	652	213	1979	8,875	398	32,259	23
1980	54	NA	636	226	1980	8,751	0	33,382	11
1981	55	NA	648	192	1981	8,283	0	33,037	10
1982	54	NA	<sup>a</sup> 648	193	1982	7,406	60	34,990	9
1983	51	NA	<sup>a</sup> 785	216	1983	7,307	576	34,283	8
1984	*68	NA	<sup>a</sup> 961	200	1984	7,563	369	34,476	19
1985	69	NA	<sup>a</sup> 821	182	1985	7,056	379	33,847	383
1986	55	20	<sup>b</sup> 951	177	1986	6,875	902	32,664	381
1987	55	20	b <sub>842</sub>	166	1987	7,378	566	33,225	418
1988	54	20	b <sub>809</sub>	166	1988	6,959	431	9,078	401
1989	43	20	<sup>b</sup> 819	168	1989	6,674	750	8,939	380
1990	44	<1	<sup>C</sup> 4,125	170	1990	6,524	969	9,300	340
1991	43	<1	<sup>C</sup> 5,414	145	1991	6,083	1,456	9,553	360
1992	41	0	<sup>c</sup> 5,802	171	1992	6,022	1,331	9,638	347
1993	41	0	<sup>C</sup> 5,140	158	1993	5,775	1,161	9,907	321
1994	44	0	<sup>C</sup> 4,830	142	1994	5,767	1,022	9,733	301
1995	43	0	<sup>C</sup> 4,868	120	1995	5,580	582	9,497	306
1996	45	0	<sup>c</sup> 5,033	119	1996	5,274	952	9,294	337
1997	47	0	<sup>C</sup> 4,968	93	1997	5,161	832	10,562	631
1998	39	0	<sup>C</sup> 4,604	81	1998	5,052	832	9,927	320
1999	49	0	<sup>C</sup> 4,287	107	1999	4,900	464	9,734	299
2000	34	NA	<sup>C</sup> 4,149	150	2000	4,861	NA	9,237	277
2001	42	NA	<sup>c</sup> 3,915	64	2001	4,851	NA	8,800	405
2002	51	NA	<sup>C</sup> 3,884	57	2002	4,678	NA	8,468	405

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Onshore only; offshore included in Louisiana.

bOnshore only; offshore included in Federal Offshore - Gulf of

Mexico (Louisiana).

Clncludes State Offshore: 2,519 Bcf in 1990; 3,191 Bcf in 1991; 3,233 Bcf in 1992; 3,364 Bcf in 1993; 3,297 Bcf in 1994; 3,432 Bcf in 1995; 3,509 Bcf in 1996; 3,422 Bcf in 1997; 3,144 Bcf in 1998; 2,853 Bcf in 1999; 2,645 Bcf in 2000; 2,454 Bcf in 2001; 2,290 Bcf

Note: See 1988 Chapter 4 discussion "Alaskan North Slope Natural Gas Reserves".

Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves
		Arkan	sas		- <del></del>	Californ	ia - Coastal	Region Ons	hore
1977	116	17	1,660	NA	1977	679	NA	334	NA
1978	111	8	1,681	NA	1978	602	NA	350	NA
1979	107	8	1.703	17	1979	578	NA	365	22
1980	107	11	1,774	16	1980	652	NA	299	23
1981	113	11	1,801	16	1981	621	NA	306	14
1982	107	4	1,958	15	1982	580	NA	362	16
1983	120	4	2,069	11	1983	559	NA	381	17
1984	114	6	2,227	12	1984	628	140	265	15
1985	97	11	2,019	11	1985	631	152	256	16
1986	88	9	1,992	16	1986	592	164	255	15
1987	82	Ő	1,997	16	1987	625	298	238	13
1988	77	<1	1,986	13	1988	576	299	215	13
1989	66	1	1,772	9	1989	731	361	224	11
1990	60	1	1,731	9	1990	588	310	217	12
		0		5		554		217	12
1991	*70		1,669		1991		327		
1992	58 65	<1	1,750	4	1992	522	317	203	10
1993	65	0	1,552	4	1993	528	313	189	12
1994	51	0	1,607	6	1994	480	238	194	11
1995	48	0	1,563	6	1995	456	234	153	8
1996	58	0	1,470	4	1996	425	261	156	9
1997	45	0	1,475	7	1997	430	43	164	9
1998	47	0	1,328	5	1998	354	40	106	9
1999	48	0	1,542	5	1999	491	40	192	31
2000	48	NA	1,581	5	2000	455	NA	234	27
2001	43	NA	1,616	5	2001	385	NA	177	16
2002	49	NA	1,650	4	2002	404	NA	190	17
		California	- Total			California	- Los Angel	es Basin Or	shore
1977	5,005	1,047	4,737	NA	1977	910	NA	255	NA
1978	4,974	968	4,947	NA	1978	493	NA	178	NA
1979	5,265	960	5,022	111	1979	513	NA	163	10
1980	5,470	891	5,414	120	1980	454	NA	193	15
1981	5,441	660	5.617	82	1981	412	NA	154	6
1982	5,405	616	5,552	154	1982	370	NA	96	6
1983	5,348	576	5,781	151	1983	343	NA	107	6
1984	5,707	674	5,554	141	1984	373	126	156	5
1985	d <sub>4</sub> ,810	.590	d <sub>4</sub> ,325	d <sub>146</sub>	1985	420	86	181	6
1986	d <sub>4,734</sub>	, <sup>d</sup> 616	d <sub>3,928</sub>	d <sub>134</sub>	1986	330	66	142	8
1987	d <sub>4,709</sub>	d <sub>1,493</sub>	d <sub>3,740</sub>	d <sub>130</sub>	1987	361	105	148	8
1988	d <sub>4,879</sub>	d <sub>1,440</sub>	d <sub>3,519</sub>	d <sub>123</sub>	1988	391	106	151	7
1989	d <sub>4</sub> ,816	d <sub>1,608</sub>	d3,374	d113	1989	342	32	137	4
1990	d <sub>4,658</sub>	d <sub>1,425</sub>	d <sub>3,185</sub>	d <sub>105</sub>	1990	316	3	106	5
1991	d <sub>4,217</sub>	d1,471	d3,004	d <sub>92</sub>	1991	272	4	115	4
1992	d <sub>3,893</sub>	d <sub>1,299</sub>	d <sub>2,778</sub>	d <sub>99</sub>	1992	236	4	97	5
1993	d <sub>3,764</sub>	d <sub>965</sub>	d2,682	d <sub>104</sub>	1993	238	4	102	5 6
1994	d <sub>3,573</sub>	d <sub>835</sub>	d <sub>2,402</sub>	d <sub>92</sub>	1994	221	4	103	5
1995	d <sub>3,462</sub>	d <sub>823</sub>	d <sub>2,243</sub>	d <sub>92</sub>	1995	227	4	111	4
1996	d <sub>3,437</sub>	, d <sub>905</sub>	d <sub>2,082</sub>	d <sub>92</sub>	1996	234	0	109	3
1997	d <sub>3,750</sub>	d <sub>1,264</sub>	d <sub>2,273</sub>	d <sub>95</sub>	1997	268	0	141	4
1998	d <sub>3,843</sub>	d <sub>1,297</sub>	d <sub>2,244</sub>	d <sub>72</sub>	1997	207	0	141	<del>+</del> 5
1999	d <sub>3,934</sub>	d <sub>1,400</sub>	d <sub>2,387</sub>	d <sub>98</sub>	1999	297	0	168	5 7
2000	d <sub>3,813</sub>	NA	d <sub>2,849</sub>	d <sub>101</sub>	2000	292	NA	193	10
2000	d <sub>3,627</sub>	NA	d <sub>2,681</sub>	d <sub>76</sub>	2001	297	NA	187	8
2001	d <sub>3,633</sub>	NA	d <sub>2,591</sub>	d <sub>95</sub>	2002	330	NA NA	207	10
2002	5,055	11/7	۱ ور	90	2002	330	INA	201	10

d Excludes Federal offshore; now included in Federal Offshore-Pacific (California).

Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves
	California	- San Joaqu	ıin Basin Or	shore		Ca	lifornia - Sta	te Offshore	
1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	2,965 3,099 3,294 3,360 3,225 3,081 3,032 3,197 3,258 3,270 3,208 3,439 3,301 3,334 3,126 2,898 2,772 2,577 2,597 2,871 3,127 2,949 2,870	NA 384 350 368 1,070 1,029 1,210 1,109 1,139 977 648 593 585 644 1,221 1,257 1,330 NA	3,784 3,960 3,941 4,344 4,163 3,901 3,685 3,574 3,277 3,102 2,912 2,782 2,670 2,614 2,415 2,327 2,044 1,920 1,768 1,968 1,912 1,945 1,951 2,331	NA NA 77 81 57 124 117 105 120 109 107 101 95 86 75 83 85 75 80 80 82 58 60 64	1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1999 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	181 519 632 604 NA NA NA 501 542 515 473 442 420 265 237 226 225 202 181 181 155 197 196	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 25 0 18 18 6 5 3 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	114 213 231 164 NA NA NA 314 254 252 241 231 192 59 63 64 61 59 49 56 49 56	NA NA 2 1 NA NA NA NA 2 2 2 3 2 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0
2001	2,766 2,702	NA NA	2,232 2,102	52 68	2001 2002	179 197	NA NA	85 92	0
			Federal Offs				fornia - Fede		
1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002	451 780 880 1,004 1,183 1,374 1,414 1,509 1,492 1,516 1,552 1,497 1,429 1,382 1,050 971 899 878 773 699 709 623 750 792 726 762	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	364 457 553 578 994 1,193 1,474 1,448 1,433 1,579 1,704 1,793 1,727 1,646 1,221 1,181 1,163 1,231 1,324 1,293 600 524 612 667 625 607	NA NA 2 1 5 8 11 16 16 17 19 23 28 20 19 21 26 22 25 23 14 12 4 9 8	1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002	270 261 248 400 NA NA NA 991 974 1,037 1,024 987 962 785 734 673 653 571 518 528 468 553 596 547 565	NA NA NA NA NA NA O 2 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	250 246 322 414 NA NA NA 1,119 1,325 1,452 1,552 1,496 1,454 1,162 1,118 1,099 1,170 1,265 1,244 544 480 536 576 540 515	NA NA 0 NA NA NA 12 15 17 21 25 18 20 25 21 25 23 14 4 9 8

Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	
		Colora	do				Illinois			
1977	230	73	2,512	NA	1977	*150	1	NA	NA	
1978	194	75	2,765	NA	1978	*158	1	NA	NA	
1979	159	43	2,608	177	1979	*136	1	NA	NA	
1980	*183	46	2,922	194	1980	113	2	NA	NA	
1981	147	47	2,961	204	1981	129	1	NA	NA	
1982	169	100	3,314	186	1982	150	1	NA	NA	
1983	186	113	3,148	183	1983	135	1	NA	NA	
1984	198	119	*2,943	155	1984	153	1	NA	NA	
1985	198	119	2,881	173	1985	136	1	NA	NA	
1986	207	95	3,027	148	1986	135	1	NA	NA	
1987	272	67	2,942	166	1987	153	5	NA	NA	
1988	257	67	3,535	181	1988	143	<1	NA	NA	
1989	359	8	4,274	209	1989	123	<1	NA	NA	
1990	305	8	4,555	169	1990	131	0	NA	NA	
1991	329	33	5,767	197	1991	128	52	NA	NA	
1992	304	34	6,198	226	1992	138	0	NA	NA	
1993	284	22	6,722	214	1993	116	Ö	NA	NA	
1994	271	22	6,753	248	1994	117	Ö	NA	NA	
1995	252	24	7,256	273	1995	119	Ö	NA	NA	
1996	231	22	7,710	287	1996	94	Ö	NA	NA	
997	198	22	6,828	264	1997	92	Ö	NA	NA	
998	212	21	7,881	260	1998	81	Ö	NA	NA	
1999	203	21	8,987	303	1999	100	Ö	NA	NA	
2000	217	NA	10,428	316	2000	111	NÄ	NA	NA	
2001	196	NA	12,527	345	2001	92	NA	NA	NA	
2002	214	NA	13,888	396	2002	107	NA	NA	NA	
		Florid	la				Indiar	 na		
1977	213	1	151	NA	1977	*20	0	NA	NA	
1978	168	1	119	NA	1978	*29	0	NA	NA	
1979	128	1	77	21	1979	*40	0	NA	NA	
1980	134	1	84	27	1980	23	0	NA	NA	
981	109	1	69	NA	1981	23	0	NA	NA	
982	97	1	64	17	1982	28	1	NA NA	NA	
983	78	4	49	11	1983	34	3	NA	NA	
984	82	2	65	17	1984	*33	2	NA	NA	
985	77	2	55	17	1985	*35	2	NA	NA	
986	67	2	49	14	1986	*32	2	NA	NA	
1987	61	0	49	9	1987	23	2	NA	NA	
1988	59	0	51	16	1988	*22	0	NA	NA	
1989	59 50	0	46	10	1989	*16	0	NA NA	NA NA	
			45		1990	12				
990	42 37	0 0	45 38	8 7	1990	*16	0 0	NA NA	NA NA	
992	36	0	30 47	8	1991	17	0	NA NA	NA NA	
1992	40		50	9	1992	17		NA NA	NA NA	
1993	71	0 0	98	18	1993	15	0 0	NA NA	NA NA	
1994	7 1 71	0	98 92	17	1994	13	0	NA NA	NA NA	
1995	7 I 97		92 96	22	1995	13				
		0					0	NA	NA	
1997	91 71	0	96	17	1997	*10	0	NA	NA	
1998	71 95	0	88	18 16	1998	13	0	NA	NA	
1999	85 76	0	84	16	1999	10	0	NA	NA	
2000	76	NA	82	11	2000	15	NA	NA	NA	
2001	75 72	NA NA	84	12	2001	12 15	NA	NA	NA	
2002	73	NA	91	14	2002	15	NA	NA	NA	

Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves
		Kansa	ıs				Louisiana	- Total	
1977	*349	3	11,457	NA	1977	3,600	139	57,010	NA
1978	303	3	10.992	NA	1978	3,448	143	55,725	NA
1979	*377	3	10,243	402	1979	2,780	76	50.042	1,424
1980	310	2	9,508	389	1980	2,751	62	47,325	1,346
1981	371	2	9,860	409	1981	2,985	50	47,377	1,327
1982	378	13	9,724	302	1982	2,728	49	e44,916	1,295
1983	344	13	9,553	443	1983	2,707	45	e <sub>42,561</sub>	1,332
1984	377	2	9,387	424	1984	2,661	55	<sup>e</sup> 41,399	1,188
1985	423	<1	9,337	373	1985	<sup>†</sup> 883	<sub>.</sub> 35	<sup>†</sup> 14,038	<sup>†</sup> 546
1986	312	<1	10,509	440	1986	<sup>†</sup> 826	<sup>†</sup> 47	<sup>†</sup> 12,930	<sup>†</sup> 524
1987	357	<1	10,494	462	1987	<sup>†</sup> 807	<sup>†</sup> 56	<sup>1</sup> 12,430	<sup>†</sup> 525
1988	327	<1	10,104	345	1988	<sup>†</sup> 800	<sup>†</sup> 69	<sup>1</sup> 12,224	<sup>†</sup> 517
1989	338	3	10,091	329	1989	<sup>†</sup> 745	<sup>†</sup> 63	<sup>1</sup> 12,516	<sup>†</sup> 522
1990	321	<1	9,614	313	1990	<sup>†</sup> 705	<sup>†</sup> 22	<sup>1</sup> 11,728	<sup>†</sup> 538
1991	300	<1	9,358	428	1991	<sup>†</sup> 679	<sup>†</sup> 44	<sup>†</sup> 10,912	<sup>†</sup> 526
1992	310	0	9,681	444	1992	<sup>†</sup> 668	, <sup>†</sup> 35	<sup>1</sup> 9,780	<sup>1</sup> 495
1993	271	0	9,348	380	1993	<sup>†</sup> 639	<sup>1</sup> 338	<sup>1</sup> 9,174	<sup>†</sup> 421
1994	260	0	9,156	398	1994	<sup>†</sup> 649	<sup>1</sup> 340	<sup>1</sup> 9,748	<sup>†</sup> 434
1995	275	<1	8,571	369	1995	<sup>†</sup> 637	<sup>†</sup> 475	<sup>1</sup> 9,274	<sup>†</sup> 601
1996	266	<1	7,694	338	1996	<sup>†</sup> 658	<sup>T</sup> 331	<sup>1</sup> 9,543	<sup>†</sup> 543
1997	238	0	6,989	271	1997	<sup>†</sup> 714	<sup>T</sup> 313	<sup>1</sup> 9,673	<sup>†</sup> 437
1998	246	0	6,402	334	1998	<sup>†</sup> 551	<sup>1</sup> 316	<sup>1</sup> 9,147	<sup>T</sup> 411
1999	175	0	5,753	358	1999	<sup>†</sup> 600	<sup>†</sup> 278	<sup>1</sup> 9,242	<sup>†</sup> 457
2000	237	NA	5,299	306	2000	<sup>†</sup> 529	NA	<sup>1</sup> 9,239	<sup>†</sup> 436
2001 2002	216 237	NA NA	5,101 4,983	302 263	2001 2002	<sup>†</sup> 564 <sup>f</sup> 501	NA NA	<sup>1</sup> 9,811 f <sub>8,960</sub>	<sup>†</sup> 391 f <sub>323</sub>

eIncludes State and Federal offshore Alabama.

fExcludes Federal offshore; now included in Federal Offshore-Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana).

		Kentuc	ky		Louisiana - North				
1977	30	0	451	NA	1977	244	78	3,135	NA
1978	*40	Ö	545	NA	1978	255	78	3,203	NA
1979	25	Ő	468	26	1979	216	NA	2,798	96
1980	*35	12	508	25	1980	248	NA	3,076	95
1981	29	13	530	25	1981	*317	NA	3,270	99
1982	*36	13	551	35	1982	*240	NA	2,912	85
1983	35	12	554	31	1983	223	NA	2,939	74
1984	*41	0	613	24	1984	165	9	2,494	57
1985	*42	0	766	27	1985	196	5	2,587	65
1986	*31	Ő	841	29	1986	160	7	2,515	57
1987	25	0	909	23	1987	175	3	2,306	50
1988	*34	0	923	24	1988	154	23	2,398	56
1989	33	0	992	16	1989	123	22	2,652	60
1990	33	0	1,016	25	1990	120	<1	2,588	58
1991	*31	0	1,155	24	1991	127	<1	2,384	59
1992	34	0	1,084	32	1992	125	<1	2,311	60
1993	26	0	1,003	26	1992	108	0	2,325	57
1994	26	0	969	39	1993	108	0	2,537	69
1995	24	0	1,044	43	1994	108	0	2,788	79
1996	21	0	983	46			0		
1990	*20	0	1,364	48	1996	128	<1	3,105	85 80
	23			40 54	1997	136	· ·	3,093	57
1998		0	1,222		1998	101	0	2,898	
1999	24	0	1,435	69 50	1999	108	0	3,079	61
2000	24	NA	1,760	56 70	2000	97	NA	3,298	61
2001	17	NA	1,860	72	2001	87	NA	3,881	62
2002	27	NA	1,907	66	2002	75	NA	4,245	49

Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves
	Lou	ıisiana - Sοι	ıth Onshore				Michig	an	
1977	1,382	46	18,580	NA	1977	*233	0	*1,386	NA
1978	1,242	38	17,755	NA	1978	*220	9	*1,422	NA
1979	682	NA	13,994	676	1979	159	23	1,204	112
1980	682	NA	13,026	540	1980	*205	14	*1,406	112
1981	642	NA	12,645	544	1981	*240	17	1,118	102
1982	611	NA	11,801	501	1982	184	34	1,084	97
1983	569	NA	11,142	527	1983	209	48	1,219	105
1984	585	20	10,331	454	1984	180	46	1,112	84
1985	565	16	9,808	442	1985	191	37	985	67
1986	547	30	9,103	428	1986	146	34	1,139	88
1987	505	22	8,693	429	1987	151	27	1,451	111
1988	511	35	8,654	421	1988	132	27	1,323	99
1989	479	30	8,645	411	1989	128	8	1,342	97
1990	435	11	8,171	431	1990	124	3	1,243	81
1991	408	33	7,504	417	1991	119	0	1,334	72
1992	417	26	6,693	380	1992	102	0	1,223	68
1993	382	329	5,932	334	1993	90	0	1,160	57
1994	391	331	6,251	337	1994	91	1	1,323	54
1995	387	324	5,648	495	1995	76 74	1	1,294	45
1996	382	322	5,704	411	1996	74	0	2,061	53
1997	427	309	5,855	333	1997	68	2	2,195	50
1998	353	307	5,698	325	1998	44	0	2,328	51
1999	384	278	5,535	364	1999	52	0	2,255	48
2000	310	NA	5,245	337	2000	56	NA	2,729	35
2001	341	NA	5,185	269	2001	46	NA	2,976	43 47
2002	335	NA	4,224	226	2002	61	NA	3,254	47
	Loi	uisiana - Sta	te Offshore				Mississ	іррі	
1977	1,974	15	35,295	NA	1977	241	9	1,437	NA
1978	1,951	27	34,767	NA	1978	*250	27	1,635	NA
1979	1,882	14	33,250	652	1979	238	24	1,504	16
1980	1,821	13	31,223	711	1980	202	36	1,769	20
1981	2,026	16	31,462	684	1981	209	93	2,035	18
1982	1,877	21	e <sub>30,203</sub>	709	1982	223	85	1,796	18
1983	1,915	15	<sup>e</sup> 28,480	731	1983	205	77	1,596	19
1984	1,911	27	<sup>e</sup> 28,574	6ृ77	1984	201	50	1,491	15
1985	<sup>f</sup> 122	, 2	<sup>†</sup> 1,643	f <sub>39</sub>	1985	184	53	1,360	12
1986	<sup>f</sup> 119	<sup>f</sup> 10	f <sub>1</sub> ,312	<sup>1</sup> 39	1986	199	16	1,300	11
1987	<sup>f</sup> 127	f <sub>22</sub>	<sup>†</sup> 1,431	<sup>†</sup> 46	1987	202	12	1,220	11
1988	<sup>f</sup> 135	<sup>f</sup> 11	<sup>†</sup> 1,172	f <sub>40</sub>	1988	221	10	1,143	12
1989	<sup>f</sup> 143	<sup>f</sup> 11	<sup>†</sup> 1,219	<sup>f</sup> 51	1989	218	6	1,104	12
1990	<sup>f</sup> 150	!11	f 1969	<sup>†</sup> 49	1990	227	8	1,126	11
1991	f144	<sup>f</sup> 11	f <sub>1,024</sub>	f <sub>50</sub>	1991	194	8	1,057	10
1992	<sup>†</sup> 126	f <sub>9</sub>	<sup>f</sup> 776	<sup>†</sup> 55	1992	165	7	869	9
1993	<sup>†</sup> 149	fo	<sup>†</sup> 917	<sup>†</sup> 30	1993	133	44	797	11
1994	<sup>†</sup> 150	f <sub>9</sub>	<sup>f</sup> 960	<sup>f</sup> 28	1994	151	40	650	9
1995	<sup>†</sup> 142	f <sub>151</sub> f <sub>9</sub> f <sub>4</sub>	<sup>†</sup> 838	f <sub>27</sub>	1995	140	6	663	8
1996	f <sub>148</sub>	f <sub>4</sub>	<sup>f</sup> 734	f <sub>47</sub>	1996	164	6	631	7
1997	†151	'4 fo	<sup>†</sup> 725	124 foo	1997	183	0	582	6
1998	<sup>†</sup> 97 <sup>f</sup> 108	f <sub>2</sub> f <sub>0</sub>	<sup>f</sup> 551 <sup>f</sup> 628	f <sub>24</sub> f <sub>29</sub> f <sub>32</sub>	1998	141	0	658	8
1999	108 122		<sup>1</sup> 628 f <sub>696</sub>	f <sub>38</sub>	1999	163	0	677	10
2000 2001	f <sub>1,36</sub>	NA NA	f745	f <sub>60</sub>	2000 2001	182 167	NA NA	618 661	8 10
2001	f <sub>91</sub>	NA NA	f <sub>491</sub>	f <sub>48</sub>	2001	179	NA NA	744	8
2002		INA	491	40	2002	179	INA	/44	0

eIncludes State and Federal offshore Alabama.

fExcludes Federal offshore; now included in Federal Offshore-Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana).

Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves
		Monta	na		- <u></u>		New Mexico	o - Total	
1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1998 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001	175 158 152 179 186 216 234 224 232 248 246 241 225 221 201 193 171 175 178 168 159 167 207 235 260	27 27 38 13 11 6 8 4 3 27 <1 0 <1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NA	*887 926 825 *1,287 *1,321 847 896 802 857 803 780 819 867 899 831 859 673 717 782 796 762 782 841 885 898	NA NA 10 16 11 18 19 18 21 16 16 11 16 15 14 12 8 8 7 5 8 4 5	1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1999 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001	605 579 563 547 555 563 576 660 688 644 654 661 665 687 721 757 707 718 732 744 735 620 718 719 715	97 90 77 58 93 76 75 87 99 225 235 241 256 256 275 293 211 215 185 148 146 168 168 165 NA	12,000 12,688 13,724 13,287 13,870 12,418 11,676 11,364 10,900 11,808 11,620 17,166 15,434 17,260 18,539 18,998 18,619 17,228 17,491 16,485 15,514 14,987 15,449 17,322 17,414	NA NA 530 541 560 531 551 511 445 577 771 1,023 933 990 908 1,066 996 1,011 943 1,059 869 929 954 896 873
2002	288	NA	906	6	2002	710	NA	17,320	838
4077		Nebras					New Mexic		
1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002	22 30 25 *46 41 *32 44 *46 42 *45 33 42 26 26 26 20 22 25 28 *21 18 17 18 15 18	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	NA N	1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002	576 554 542 518 522 537 542 625 643 593 608 621 619 633 694 731 688 702 713 731 719 610 705 705 703 699	95 88 77 58 93 76 75 87 98 225 230 235 252 253 275 293 211 215 185 148 146 168 165 NA NA	3,848 3,889 4,031 3,530 3,598 3,432 3,230 3,197 3,034 2,694 2,881 2,945 3,075 3,256 3,206 3,130 3,034 3,021 2,867 2,790 2,642 2,693 3,037 3,537 3,518 3,632	NA NA 209 209 214 209 232 221 209 217 192 208 196 222 205 223 233 234 247 299 273 262 255 333 279 290

Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves
		New Mexico	o - West				North Da	ıkota	
1977	*29	2	8,152	NA	1977	155	10	361	NA
1978	*25	2	8,799	NA	1978	162	4	374	NA
1979	21	0	9,693	321	1979	211	6	439	47
1980	*29	0	9,757	332	1980	214	6	537	61
1981	*33	0	10,272	346	1981	223	8	581	68
1982	26	0	8,986	322	1982	237	8	629	71
1983	34	0	8,446	319	1983	258	53	600	69
1984	35	0	8,167	290	1984	260	54	566	73
1985	45	1	7,866	236	1985	255	34	569	74
1986	51	0	9,114	360	1986	218	35	541	69
1987	46	5	8,739	579	1987	215	33	508	67
1988	40	6	14,221	815	1988	216	39	541	52
1989	46	4	12,359	737	1989	246	31	561	59
1990	54	3	14,004	768	1990	285	0	586	60
1991	27	0	15,333	703	1991	232	4	472	56
1992	26	0	15,868	843	1992	237	3	496	64
1993	19	0	15,585	763	1993	226	7	525	55
1994	16	0	14,207	777	1994	226	2	507	55
1995	19	0	14,624	696	1995	233	6	463	53
1996	13	0	13,695	760	1996	248	6	462	48
1997	16	0	12,872	596	1997	279	6	479	47
1998	10	0	12,294	667	1998	245	1	447	48
1999	13	0	12,412	699	1999	262	1	416	53
2000	14	NA	13,785	563	2000	270	NA	433	54
2001	12	NA	13,896	594	2001	328	NA	443	57
2002	11	NA	13,688	548	2002	342	NA	471	47
		New Yo	ork				Ohio	)	
1977	NA	NA	165	NA	1977	*74	0	495	NA
1978	NA	NA	193	NA NA	1978	69	0	684	NA
1979	NA	NA	211	0	1979	*82	0	*1,479	0
1980	NA	NA	208	0	1980	*116	0	*1,699	0
1981	NA	NA	*264	0	1981	*112	0	965	0
1982	NA	NA	229	NA	1982	111	0	1,141	NA
1983	NA	NA	295	NA	1983	130	0	2,030	NA
1984	NA	NA	389	NA NA	1984	*116	0	1,541	NA
1985	NA	NA	*369	NA	1985	79	0	1,331	NA
1986	NA	NA	*457	NA	1986	72	Ö	1,420	NA
1987	NA	NA	410	NA	1987	66	Ö	1,069	NA
1988	NA	NA	351	NA	1988	64	0	1,229	NA
1989	NA	NA	368	NA	1989	56	0	1,275	NA
1990	NA	NA	354	NA NA	1990	65	0	1,214	NA
1991	NA	NA	331	NA	1991	66	ő	1,181	NA
1992	NA	NA	329	NA NA	1992	58	0	1,161	NA
	NA	NA	*264	NA NA	1993	54	0	1,104	NA
1993		NA	242	NA NA	1994	58	0	1,104	NA
	NΔ	14/7		NA NA	1995	53	0	1,054	NA
1994	NA NA	NΔ	147		1990		U	1,004	
1994 1995	NA	NA NA	197 232			53	Ω	1 112	NIΔ
1994 1995 1996	NA NA	NA	232	NA	1996	53 *43	0	1,113 985	NA NA
1994 1995 1996 1997	NA NA NA	NA NA	232 *224	NA NA	1996 1997	*43	0	985	NA
1994 1995 1996 1997 1998	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA	232 *224 218	NA NA NA	1996 1997 1998	*43 40	0 0	985 890	NA NA
1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	NA NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA	232 *224 218 221	NA NA NA NA	1996 1997 1998 1999	*43 40 51	0 0 0	985 890 1,179	NA NA NA
1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA	232 *224 218	NA NA NA	1996 1997 1998	*43 40	0 0	985 890	NA NA

Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	
		Oklaho	ma		Texas - Total					
1977	1,109	69	13,889	NA	1977	9,751	637	56,422	NA	
1978	979	33	14,417	NA	1978	8,911	533	55,583	NA	
1979	1.014	35	13,816	583	1979	8,284	471	53,021	2,482	
1980	930	27	13,138	604	1980	8,206	384	50,287	2,452	
1981	950	43	14,699	631	1981	8,093	459	50,469	2,646	
1982	971	25	16,207	745	1982	7,616	377	49,757	2,771	
1983	931	27	16,211	829	1983	7,539	421	50,052	3,038	
1984	940	40	16,126	769	1984	7,557	735	49,883	3,048	
1985	935	37	16,040	826	1985	97,782	609	941,775	92,981	
1986	874	35	16,685	857	1986	97,152	1,270	940,574	92,964	
1987	788	56	16,711	781	1987	<sup>9</sup> 7,112	1,028	<sup>9</sup> 38,711	92,822	
1988	796	79	16,495	765	1988	97,043	1,099	<sup>9</sup> 38,167	<sup>9</sup> 2,617	
1989	789	63	15,916	654	1989	<sup>9</sup> 6,966	805	<sup>9</sup> 38,381	92,563	
1990	734	37	16,151	657	1990	<sup>9</sup> 7,106	618	<sup>9</sup> 38,192	92,575	
1991	700	54	14,725	628	1991	96,797	756	<sup>9</sup> 36,174	92,493	
1992	698	54	13,926	629	1992	<sup>9</sup> 6,441	<sup>9</sup> 612	935,093	92,402	
1993	680	40	13,289	643	1993	<sup>9</sup> 6,171	9581	934,718	92,469	
1994	689	47	13,487	652	1994	95,847	9491	935,974	92,414	
1995	676	48	13,438	674	1995	95,743	9395	936,542	92,524	
1996	632	43	13,074	684	1996	<sup>9</sup> 5,736	9358	938,270	<sup>9</sup> 2,606	
1997	605	20	13,439	685	1997	<sup>9</sup> 5,687	9479	<sup>9</sup> 37,761	<sup>9</sup> 2,687	
1998	599	59	13,645	698	1998	94,927	9400	937,584	92,544	
1999	621	58	12,543	749	1999	95,339	9426	940,157	92,584	
2000	610	NA	13,699	734	2000	95,273	NA	940,082	<sup>9</sup> 2,819	
2001	556	NA	13,558	694	2001	94,944	NA	943,527	<sup>9</sup> 2,653	
2002	598	NA	14,886	695	2002	95,015	NA	944,297	92,711	

 $g_{\mbox{\footnotesize Excludes}}$  Federal offshore; now included in Federal Offshore-Gulf of Mexico (Texas).

		Pennsylv	vania		Texas - RRC District 1				
1977	*57	0	769	NA	1977	*174	0	1,319	NA
1978	27	0	899	NA	1978	111	2	986	NA
1979	33	0	*1,515	1	1979	110	0	919	23
1980	35	0	951	0	1980	*150	0	829	24
1981	32	0	*1,264	0	1981	127	5	*1,022	26
1982	37	0	1,429	NA	1982	129	6	892	29
1983	41	0	1,882	NA	1983	165	6	1,087	43
1984	*40	0	1,575	NA	1984	173	4	838	39
1985	*38	0	*1,617	NA	1985	177	8	967	40
1986	*26	0	*1,560	1	1986	144	1	913	35
1987	26	0	1,647	NA	1987	143	1	812	27
1988	*27	0	2,072	NA	1988	136	1	1,173	30
1989	26	0	1,642	NA	1989	139	1	1,267	25
1990	22	0	1,720	NA	1990	252	0	1,048	26
1991	15	0	1,629	NA	1991	227	0	1,030	28
1992	16	0	1,528	NA	1992	185	0	933	27
1993	14	0	1,717	NA	1993	133	0	698	26
1994	15	0	1,800	NA	1994	100	1	703	26
1995	11	0	1,482	NA	1995	90	6	712	26
1996	10	0	1,696	NA	1996	86	1	906	46
1997	17	0	1,852	NA	1997	83	<1	953	54
1998	15	0	1,840	NA	1998	61	0	1,104	38
1999	16	0	1,772	NA	1999	66	0	1,008	167
2000	15	NA	1,741	NA	2000	87	NA	1,032	55
2001	10	NA	1,775	NA	2001	46	NA	1,018	40
2002	12	NA	2,216	NA	2002	50	NA	1,045	39

Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves
	Texas	- RRC Distr	ict 2 Onsho	re	-	Texas	- RRC Distr	ict 4 Onsho	re
1977	395	80	3,162	NA	1977	145	7	9,621	NA
1978	334	1	2,976	NA	1978	123	3	9,031	NA
1979	292	1	2,974	64	1979	113	4	8,326	248
1980	252	1	2,502	64	1980	96	3	8,130	252
1981	229	1	2,629	88	1981	97	6	8,004	260
1982	206	0	2,493	75	1982	87	7	8,410	289
1983	192	0	2,534	99	1983	96	3	8,316	292
1984	192	<1	2,512	103	1984	99	3	8,525	295
1985	168	0	2,358	100	1985	98	2	8,250	269
1986	148	<1	2,180	89	1986	87	2	8,274	281
1987 1988	137 117	0	2,273 2,037	102 92	1987 1988	80 65	2 1	7,490 7,029	277 260
1989	107	0	2,03 <i>1</i> 1,770	72	1989	77	<1	7,029	260
1990	91	0	1,770	80	1990	67	<1	7,111	279
1991	90	0	1,737	75	1990	52	<1	7,473	273
1992	86	0	1,389	80	1992	50	<1	6,739	272
1993	77	0	1,321	86	1993	59	<1	7,038	278
1994	74	Ö	1,360	86	1994	41	<1	7,547	290
1995	61	Ö	1,251	93	1995	50	<1	7,709	287
1996	63	<1	1,322	93	1996	51	0	7,769	323
1997	66	0	1,634	87	1997	70	<1	8,099	347
1998	45	<1	1,614	85	1998	40	0	8,429	363
1999	53	0	1,881	76	1999	42	0	8,915	422
2000	54	NA	1,980	72	2000	34	NA	9,645	406
2001	48	NA	1,801	67	2001	32	NA	9,956	378
2002	54	NA	1,782	71	2002	28	NA	9,469	370
	Texas	- RRC Distr	ict 3 Onsho	re		T	exas - RRC	District 5	
1977	937	33	7,518	NA	1977	68	0	931	NA
1978	794	22	7,186	NA	1978	*68	0	*1,298	NA
1979	630	32	6,315	231	1979	55	1	1,155	34
1980	581	11	5,531	216	1980	52	0	1,147	44
1981	552	11	5,292	230	1981	49	0	1,250	49
1982	509	22	4,756	265	1982	45	0	1,308	53
1983	517	27	4,680	285	1983	42	0	1,448	73
1984	522	25	4,708	270	1984	36	<1	1,874	74
1985	471	6	4,180	260	1985	*59	1	2,058	77
1986	420	3	3,753	237	1986	*53	1	2,141	86
1987	386	4	3,632	241	1987	54	0	2,119	88
1988 1989	360	16	3,422 3,233	208	1988	48 46	0	1,996	81 80
1990	307 275	11 13	3,233 2,894	213 181	1989 1990	46 47	0 0	1,845 1,875	80 81
1990	300	28	2,885	208	1990	46	0	1,863	71
1992	304	27	2,684	211	1992	56	0	1,747	71
1993	327	31	2,972	253	1993	52	0	1,867	64
1994	330	61	3,366	254	1994	49	0	2,011	59
1995	267	27	3,866	272	1995	34	0	1,862	54
1996	281	27	4,349	289	1996	29	0	2,079	5 <del>4</del>
1997	259	28	4,172	286	1997	54	Ő	1,710	35
1998	211	28	3,961	246	1998	40	Ö	1,953	35
1999	221	25	3,913	226	1999	37	Ö	2,319	32
2000	213	NA	3,873	209	2000	44	NA	3,168	49
2001	195	NA	3,770	226	2001	29	NA	4,231	49
2002	218	NA	3,584	241	2002	24	NA	4,602	50

Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves
	Т	exas - RRC	District 6		- <u></u>	To	exas - RRC [	District 7C	
1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1998 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001	1,568 1,444 1,177 1,115 1,040 947 918 889 851 750 733 685 631 605 504 442 406 424 409 359 348 308 245 213 200	12 3 6 6 7 6 5 5 4 2 3 5 4 6 7 7 <1 <1 1 1 0 4 NA	3,214 3,240 3,258 4,230 4,177 4,326 4,857 4,703 4,822 4,854 4,682 4,961 5,614 5,753 5,233 5,317 5,508 5,381 5,726 5,899 5,887 5,949 5,857 5,976 6,128	NA NA 272 321 308 278 342 298 293 277 264 263 266 247 243 251 248 265 271 290 260 276 223 283 269	1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	191 202 206 207 230 229 228 240 243 213 220 212 247 274 253 255 199 221 204 219 227 173 209 206 188	NA NA NA NA NA NA 24 21 22 25 31 16 8 9 33 15 14 8 5 4 1 3 NA	2,831 2,821 2,842 2,378 2,503 2,659 2,568 2,866 2,914 2,721 2,708 2,781 3,180 3,514 3,291 3,239 3,215 3,316 3,107 3,655 3,407 3,113 3,178 3,504 3,320	NA NA 182 135 186 199 219 233 256 246 243 238 238 256 241 289 273 265 274 303 327 282 305 434 290
2002	198	NA DV2S PPC F	6,256	277	2002	177	NA PPC	3,702	351 
1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002	250 190 208 196 254 199 217 218 239 193 200 205 204 198 184 163 *171 145 126 136 155 115 123 124 91 82	PXAS - RRC I NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 62 63 64 46 42 11 8 8 11 7 5 4 4 3 0 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	699 743 *751 *745 804 805 1,027 794 708 684 697 704 459 522 423 455 477 425 440 520 478 442 416 312 252 260	NA NA 64 85 102 105 133 106 104 109 92 98 73 76 82 68 79 62 70 65 59 51 36 34 29 25	1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002	2,915 2,795 2,686 2,597 2,503 2,312 2,350 2,342 2,333 2,183 2,108 2,107 2,151 2,152 2,114	127 102 88 86 105 75 99 363 325 592 399 412 366 282 328 260 262 256 187 217 308 272 279 NA NA NA	11,728 11,093 10,077 9,144 8,546 8,196 8,156 7,343 7,330 7,333 6,999 7,058 6,753 6,614 6,133 5,924 5,516 5,442 5,441 5,452 5,397 4,857 5,434 5,388 5,255 5,361	NA NA 505 498 537 588 681 691 665 717 640 547 554 558 477 444 439 414 444 429 459 491 495 526 525 510

Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves
	т.	exas - RRC D	Nictrict QA			т	exas - RRC [	District 10	
4077									
1977 1978	2,626 2,439	291 330	1,630 1,473	NA NA	1977 1978	*120 90	4 0	7,744 7,406	NA NA
1976	2,439	270	1,473	351	1976	90 97	2	6,784	375
1980	2,504	196	1,057	290	1980	89	2	6,435	369
1981	2,538	247	1,071	335	1981	107	2	6,229	364
1982	2,481	200	1,041	296	1982	112	2	6,210	391
1983	2,366	203	966	262	1983	105	6	5,919	413
1984	2,413	217	907	282	1984	108	6	5,461	440
1985	2,711	147	958	283	1985	*140	5	5,469	433
1986	2,618	559	845	331	1986	*104	5	5,276	428
1987	2,735	525 560	876 832	307	1987	102	2	4,962	417
1988 1989	2,800 2,754	569 377	032 1,074	326 332	1988 1989	99 97	4 3	4,830 4,767	363 342
1990	2,734	285	1,074	354	1909	99	3	4,707	328
1991	2,763	363	1,073	333	1991	95	2	4,589	356
1992	2,599	273	1,239	257	1992	89	- <1	4,409	336
1993	2,435	264	1,043	298	1993	83	<1	4,040	329
1994	2,223	154	1,219	267	1994	75	<1	4,246	326
1995	2,233	156	941	284	1995	80	6	4,436	353
1996	2,207	99	931	262	1996	74	4	4,391	332
1997	2,098	131	847 807	290	1997	79 62	4	4,094	382
1998 1999	1,895 2,089	99 115	1,257	226 223	1998 1999	62 61	0	4,273 4,424	354 217
2000	2,009	NA	1,101	217	2000	67	NA	4,424	369
2001	2,070	NA	1,085	251	2001	55	NA	3,955	335
2002	2,093	NA	1,084	181	2002	59	NA	3,838	353
	T	exas - RRC	District 9			Texas -	State and F	ederal Offsh	ore
1977	260	28	724	NA	1977	102	0	5,301	NA
1978	190	27	*908	NA	1978	131	1	6,422	NA
1979	200	30	*700	79	1979	139	0	7,865	54
1980 1981	218 225	37 34	649 953	92 86	1980 1981	149 142	0	7,510 7,989	62 75
1982	219	17	*1,103	119	1981	142	0	7,558	75 84
1983	220	18	932	121	1983	123	0	7,562	75
1984	214	25	900	119	1984	111	Ö	8,452	98
1985	285	27	892	111	1985	119	0	8,129	90
1986	237	19	868	119	1986	103	0	8,176	109
1987	206	21	834	115	1987	96	0	7,846	98
1988	202	18	783	106	1988	85	0	7,802	94
1989	200	16	703	94	1989	75 77	0	7,573	84
1990 1991	193 162	12 11	776 738	104 101	1990 1991	77 67	0 0	7,758 7,150	87 84
1992	176	1	670	92	1992	197	0	7,130	122
1993	168	2	688	92	1993	196	Ő	6,996	119
1994	159	<u>-</u> <1	728	98	1994	209	10	6,613	105
1995	149	<1	738	94	1995	257	16	6,838	136
1996	144	0	705	119	1996	218	5	6,288	133
1997	144	0	794	98	1997	366	5	6,277	124
1998	111	0	734	93	1998	311	0	5,996	147
1999	123	0	1,137	158	1999	305	0	6,271	165
2000 2001	131 104	NA NA	1,626 2,289	161 189	2000 2001	428 417	NA NA	6,782 7,242	157 187
2001	113	NA NA	2,269 2,877	238	2001	362	NA NA	6,626	187
2002	110	14/ 1	2,011	200	2002	002	14/ 1	0,020	101

Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves
	Т	exas - State	Offshore				Virgin	ia	
1977	NA	NA	NA	NA	1977	NA	NA	NA	NA
1978	NA	NA	NA	NA	1978	NA	NA	NA	NA
1979	NA	NA	NA	NA	1979	NA	NA	NA	NA
1980	NA	NA	NA	12	1980	NA	NA	NA	NA
1981	NA	NA	NA	13	1981	NA	NA	118	NA
1982	NA	NA	NA	18	1982	NA	NA	122	NA
1983	NA	NA	NA	11	1983	NA	NA	175	NA
1984	NA	NA	NA	10	1984	NA	NA	216	NA
1985	7	0	869	10	1985	NA	NA	235	NA
1986	2	0	732	9	1986	NA	NA	253	NA
1987	8	0	627	9	1987	NA	NA	248	NA
1988	7	0	561	5	1988	NA	NA	230	NA
1989	6	0	605	6	1989	NA	NA	217	NA
1990	6	0	458	5	1990	NA	NA	138	NA
1991	7	0	475	5	1991	NA	NA	225	NA
1992	5	0	348	4	1992	NA	NA	904	NA
1993	4	0	335	4	1993	NA	NA	1,322	NA
1994	4	0	230	2	1994	NA	NA	1,833	NA
1995	8	0	313	2	1995	NA	NA	1,836	NA
1996	8	0	292	1	1996	NA	NA	1,930	NA
1997	4	0	289	3	1997	NA	NA	2,446	NA
1998	1	0	348	4	1998	NA	NA	1,973	NA
1999	3	0	418	4	1999	NA	NA	2,017	NA
2000	5	NA	398	4	2000	NA	NA	1,704	NA
2001	6	NA	467	5	2001	NA	NA	1,752	NA
2002	6	NA	437	5	2002	NA	NA	1,673	NA
		Utah	<u> </u>				West Vir	ginia	
1977	252	6	877	NA	1977	21	0	1,567	NA
1978	188	7	925	NA	1978	*30	0	1,634	NA
1979	201	NA	948	59	1979	*48	0	1,558	74
1980	198	NA	1,201	127	1980	30	8	*2,422	97
1981	190	NA	1,912	277	1981	30	8	1,834	85
1982	173	NA	2,161	(h)	1982	48	8	2,148	79
1983	187	NA	2,333	(h)	1983	49	0	2,194	91
1984	172	8	2,080	(h)	1984	*76	0	2,136	80
1985	276	13	1,999	(h)	1985	40	0	2,058	85
1986	269	14	1,895	(h)	1986	37	0	2,148	87
1987	284	22	1,947	(h)	1987	34	0	2,242	87
1988	260	21	1,298	(h)	1988	33	0	2,306	92
1989	246	50	1,507	(h)	1989	30	0	2,201	100
1990	249	44	1,510	(h)	1990	*31	0	2,207	86
1991	233	66	1,702	(h)	1991	26	0	2,528	103
1992	217	65	1,830	(h)	1992	27	0	2,356	97
1993	228	54	2,040	(h)	1993	24	0	2,439	108
1994	231	70	1,789	(h)	1994	25	0	2,565	93
1995	216	50	1,580	(h)	1995	28	0	2,499	62
1996	237	46	1,633	(h)	1996	25	0	2,703	61
1997	234	70	1,839	(h)	1997	26	0	2,846	71
1998	201	56	2,388	(h)	1998	17	0	2,868	72
1999	268	42	3,213	(h)	1999	21	0	2,936	73
2000	283	NA	4,235	(h)	2000	12	NA	2,900	105
2001	271	NA	4,579	(h)	2001	8	NA	2,678	106
2002	241	NA	4,135	(h)	2002	13	NA	3,360	99

h<sub>Included with Wyoming.</sub>

Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves
		Wyomi	na	
4077	054			NIA.
1977	851	31	6,305	NA
1978	845	36	7,211	NA
1979	841	40	7,526	285
1980	928	28	9,100	341
1981	840	53	9,307	384
1982	856	58	9,758	<sup>1</sup> 681
1983	957	61	10,227	<sup>1</sup> 789
1984	954	71	10,482	!860
1985	951	18	10,617	<sup>1</sup> 949
1986	849	126	9,756	<sup>1</sup> 950 i924
1987	854	27 35	10,023	.924 ja a <i>s</i> a
1988	815 825	35 46	10,308	<sup>i</sup> 1,154 <sup>i</sup> 896
1989		40 42	10,744 9,944	i <sub>812</sub>
1990 1991	794 757	42 24		i <sub>748</sub>
1991	689	24 18	9,941 10,826	i <sub>660</sub>
1992	624	12	10,626	i <sub>600</sub>
1993	565	13	10,933	i <sub>564</sub>
1995	605	12	12,166	i <sub>593</sub>
1996	603	14	12,100	i <sub>727</sub>
1997	627	11	13,562	i761
1998	547	10	13,650	i675
1999	590	5	14,226	i <sub>615</sub>
2000	561	NA NA	16,158	i <sub>947</sub>
2000	489	NA NA	18,398	i <sub>897</sub>
2002	524	NA	20,527	i938

Dry

Natural

)	590	5	14,226	<u>'</u> 615

Crude Oil Proved Year Reserves		Indicated Additional Reserves	Gas Proved Reserves	Liquids Proved Reserves
	Federal (	Offshore - Pa	acific (Califo	ornia)
1985	991	NA	1,119	12
1986	974	2	1,325	15
1987	1,037	2	1,452	17
1988	1,024	0	1,552	21
1989	987	0	1,496	25
1990	962	0	1,454	18
1991	785	0	1,162	16
1992	734	0	1,118	20
1993	673	0	1,099	25
1994	653	0	1,170	21
1995	571	0	1,265	25
1996	518	0	1,244	23
1997	528	0	544	14
1998	468	0	480	12
1999	553	0	536	4
2000	596	NA	576	4

Crude Oil

2001

2002

Natural Gas

Dry Natural

540

515

9 8

	_			_	
Nota:	Data	not	tahulatad	for veare	1977-1984.

NA

NA

547

565

	Federal Offshore - Total										
1985	2,862	11	<sup>j</sup> 34,492	702							
1986	2,715	16	<sup>j</sup> 34,223	681							
1987	2,639	21	<sup>J</sup> 31,931	638							
1988	2,629	21	<sup>J</sup> 32,264	622							
1989	2,747	32	<sup>J</sup> 32,651	678							
1990	2,805	49	31,433	619							
1991	2,620	18	29,448	640							
1992	2,569	31	27,767	610							
1993	2,745	18	27,143	630							
1994	2,780	53	28,388	624							
1995	3,089	62	29,182	655							
1996	3,085	45	29,096	776							
1997	3,477	41	28,466	920							
1998	3,261	7	26,902	931							
1999	3,297	5	25,987	998							
2000	3,770	NA	26,748	1,078							
2001	4,835	NA	27,036	976							
2002	5,009	NA	25,204	973							

j Includes State offshore Alabama. Note: Data not tabulated for years 1977-1984.

<sup>İ</sup>Utah and Wyoming are combined.

Fed	leral Offsho	ore - Gulf o	of Mexico (Lo	uisiana)
1985	1,759	11	<sup>f</sup> 26,113	610
1986	1,640	14	<sup>f</sup> 25,454	566
1987	1,514	19	<sup>†</sup> 23,260	532
1988	1,527	21	<sup>†</sup> 23,471	512
1989	1,691	32	<sup>f</sup> 24,187	. 575
1990	1,772	49	<sup>K</sup> 22.679	<sup>k</sup> 519
1991	1,775	18	<sup>K</sup> 21.611	k <sub>545</sub>
1992	1,643	31	K <sub>19,653</sub>	k <sub>472</sub>
1993	1,880	18	K <sub>19,383</sub>	k <sub>490</sub>
1994	1,922	43	<sup>K</sup> 20,835	k <sub>500</sub>
1995	2,269	46	<sup>K</sup> 21.392	<sup>k</sup> 496
1996	2,357	40	<sup>K</sup> 21,856	<sup>k</sup> 621
1997	2,587	36	<sup>K</sup> 21,934	k <sub>785</sub>
1998	2,483	7	<sup>K</sup> 20.774	k <sub>776</sub>
1999	2,442	5	K <sub>19.598</sub>	k <sub>833</sub>
2000	2,751	NA	K <sub>19,788</sub>	<sup>k</sup> 921
2001	3,877	NA	K <sub>19.721</sub>	k <sub>785</sub>
2002	4,088	NA	k <sub>18,500</sub>	k <sub>783</sub>

functudes State and Federal offshore Alabama.
Kincludes Federal offshore Alabama.
Note: Data not tabulated for years 1977-1984.

Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves	Year	Crude Oil Proved Reserves	Crude Oil Indicated Additional Reserves	Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves	Natural Gas Liquids Proved Reserves
	Federal Of	fshore - Gulf	f of Mexico (	(Texas)			Miscellan	eous	
1985	112	0	7,260	80	1977	23	0	102	NA
1986	101	0	7,444	100	1978	24	0	109	NA
1987	88	0	7,219	89	1979	22	1	*153	2
1988	78	0	7,241	89	1980	*38	0	176	3
1989	69	0	6,968	78	1981	40	7	191	21
1990	71	0	7,300	82	1982	33	0	69	4
1991	60	0	6,675	79	1983	30	8	78	5
1992	192	0	6,996	118	1984	23	0	75	5
1993	192	0	6,661	115	1985	35	0	76	3
1994	205	10	6,383	103	1986	33	0	133	2
1995	249	16	6,525	134	1987	30	0	65	4
1996	210	5	5,996	132	1988	34	0	83	5
1997	362	5	5,988	121	1989	39	0	83	5
1998	310	0	5,648	143	1990	43	1	*70	3
1999	302	0	5,853	161	1991	42	5	75	8
2000	423	NA	6,384	153	1992	29	0	92	8
2001	411	NA	6,775	182	1993	34	0	94	8
2002	356	NA	6,189	182	1994	20	0	65	8
NI	D-111-	le de la fermione	4077 4004		1995	*22	0	*69	7
INO	te: Data not ta	bulated for years	3 1977-1984.		1996	18	0	67	7
					1997	19	0	*43	9
					1998	14	0	38	8
					1999	15	0	66	10
					2000	17	NA	42	7
					2001	21	NA	82	7

2002

15

Note: States included may vary for different report years and hydrocarbon types.

99

9

NA

		Lower 48	States		U.S. Total						
1977	23,367	2,168	175,170	NA	1977	31,780	3,014	207,413	NA		
1978	21,971	1,964	175,988	NA	1978	31,355	2,362	208,033	NA		
1979	20,935	1,878	168,738	6,592	1979	29,810	2,276	200,997	6,615		
1980	21,054	1,622	165,639	6,717	1980	29,805	1,622	199,021	6,728		
1981	21,143	1,594	168,693	7,058	1981	29,426	1,594	201,730	7,068		
1982	20,452	1,478	166,522	7,212	1982	27,858	1,478	201,512	7,221		
1983	20,428	1,548	165,964	7,893	1983	27,735	2,124	200,247	7,901		
1984	20,883	1,956	162,987	7,624	1984	28,446	2,325	197,463	7,643		
1985	21,360	1,662	159,522	7,561	1985	28,416	2,041	193,369	7,944		
1986	20,014	2,597	158,922	7,784	1986	26,889	3,499	191,586	8,165		
1987	19,878	3,084	153,986	7,729	1987	27,256	3,649	187,211	8,147		
1988	19,866	3,169	158,946	7,837	1988	26,825	3,600	168,024	8,238		
1989	19,827	2,999	158,177	7,389	1989	26,501	3,749	167,116	7,769		
1990	19,730	2,514	160,046	7,246	1990	26,254	3,483	169,346	7,586		
1991	18,599	2,810	157,509	7,104	1991	24,682	4,266	167,062	7,464		
1992	17,723	2,451	155,377	7,104	1992	23,745	3,782	165,015	7,451		
1993	17,182	2,292	152,508	6,901	1993	22,957	3,453	162,415	7,222		
1994	16,690	2,129	154,104	6,869	1994	22,457	3,151	163,837	7,170		
1995	16,771	2,087	155,649	7,093	1995	22,351	2,669	165,146	7,399		
1996	16,743	1,924	157,180	7,486	1996	22,017	2,876	166,474	7,823		
1997	17,385	2,375	156,661	7,342	1997	22,546	3,207	167,223	7,973		
1998	15,982	2,328	154,114	7,204	1998	21,034	3,160	164,041	7,524		
1999	16,865	2,400	157,672	7,515	1999	21,765	2,865	167,406	7,906		
2000	17,184	NA NA	168,190	8,068	2000	22,045	NA	177,427	8,345		
2001	17,595	NA	174,660	7,588	2001	22,446	NA	183,460	7,993		
2002	17,999	NA	178,478	7,589	2002	22,677	NA	186,946	7,994		

Table D1. U.S. Proved Reserves of Crude Oil, 1976–2002

Year	Adjustments <sup>a</sup> (1)	Net Revisions (2)	Revisions <sup>b</sup> and Adjustments (3)	Net of Sales and Acquisitions (4)	Extensions (5)	New Field Discoveries (6)	New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields (7)	Total <sup>C</sup> Discoveries (8)	Estimated Production (9)	Proved <sup>d</sup> Reserves 12/31 (10)	Change from Prior Year (11)
1976	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	e <sub>33,502</sub>	_
1977	f <sub>-40</sub>	386	346	NA	496	168	130	794	2,862	31,780	-1,722
1978	366	1,390	1,756	NA	444	267	116	827	3,008	31,355	-425
1979	337	437	774	NA	424	108	104	636	2,955	29,810	-1,545
1980	219	1,889	2,108	NA	572	143	147	862	2,975	29,805	-5
1981	138	1,271	1,409	NA	750	254	157	1,161	2,949	29,426	-379
1982	-83	434	351	NA	634	204	193	1,031	2,950	27,858	-1,568
1983	462	1,511	1,973	NA	629	105	190	924	3,020	27,735	-123
1984	159	2,445	2,604	NA	744	242	158	1,144	3,037	28,446	711
1985	429	1,598	2,027	NA	742	84	169	995	3,052	28,416	-30
1986	57	855	912	NA	405	48	81	534	2,973	26,889	-1,527
1987	233	2,316	2,549	NA	484	96	111	691	2,873	27,256	367
1988	364	1,463	1,827	NA	355	71	127	553	2,811	26,825	-431
1989	213	1,333	1,546	NA	514	112	90	716	2,586	26,501	-324
1990	86	1,483	1,569	NA	456	98	135	689	2,505	26,254	-247
1991	163	223	386	NA	365	97	92	554	2,512	24,682	-1,572
1992	290	735	1,025	NA	391	8	85	484	2,446	23,745	-937
1993	271	495	766	NA	356	319	110	785	2,339	22,957	-788
1994	189	1,007	1,196	NA	397	64	111	572	2,268	22,457	-500
1995	122	1,028	1,150	NA	500	114	343	957	2,213	22,351	-106
1996	175	737	912	NA	543	243	141	927	2,173	22,017	-334
1997	520	914	1,434	NA	477	637	119	1,233	2,138	22,546	529
1998	-638	518	-120	NA	327	152	120	599	1,991	21,034	-1,512
1999	139	1,819	1,958	NA	259	321	145	725	1,952	21,765	731
2000	143	746	889	-20	766	276	249	1,291	1,880	22,045	280
2001	-4	-158	-162	-87	866	1,407	292	2,565	1,915	22,446	401
2002	416	720	1,136	24	492	300	154	946	1,875	22,677	231

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes operator reported corrections for the years 1978 through 1981. After 1981 operators included corrections with revisions.

Notes: Old means discovered in a prior year. New means discovered during the report year. The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves". They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for crude oil contained in the *Petroleum Supply Annual*, DOE/EIA-0340.

bRevisions and adjustments = Col. 1 + Col. 2.

Total discoveries = Col. 5 + Col. 6 + Col. 7.

dProved reserves = Col. 10 from prior year + Col. 3 + Col. 4 + Col. 8 - Col. 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Based on following year data only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>Consists only of operator reported corrections and no other adjustments.

<sup>- =</sup> Not applicable.

Table D2. U.S. Lower 48 Proved Reserves of Crude Oil, 1976-2002

Year	Adjustments <sup>a</sup> (1)	Net Revisions (2)	Revisions <sup>b</sup> and Adjustments (3)	Net of Sales and Acquisitions (4)	Extensions (5)	New Field	New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields (7)	Total <sup>C</sup> Discoveries (8)	Estimated Production (9)	Proved <sup>d</sup> Reserves 12/31 (10)	Change from Prior Year (11)
1976	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	e <sub>24,928</sub>	_
1977	f_40	383	343	NA	496	168	130	794	2,698	23,367	-1,561
1978	-48	509	461	NA	444	142	116	702	2,559	21,971	-1,396
1979	342	429	771	NA	424	108	104	636	2,443	20,935	-1,036
1980	210	1,524	1,734	NA	479	143	147	769	2,384	21,054	119
1981	276	1,009	1,285	NA	750	254	157	1,161	2,357	21,143	89
1982	-82	684	602	NA	633	204	193	1,030	2,323	20,452	-691
1983	462	949	1,411	NA	625	105	190	920	2,355	20,428	-24
1984	160	1,587	1,747	NA	742	207	158	1,107	2,399	20,883	455
1985	361	1,667	2,028	NA	581	84	169	834	2,385	21,360	477
1986	70	359	429	NA	399	48	81	528	2,303	20,014	-1,346
1987	233	1,353	1,586	NA	294	38	101	433	2,155	19,878	-136
1988	359	1,181	1,540	NA	340	43	127	510	2,062	19,866	-12
1989	214	1,113	1,327	NA	342	108	87	537	1,903	19,827	-39
1990	151	1,001	1,152	NA	371	98	135	604	1,853	19,730	-97
1991	164	50	214	NA	327	97	87	511	1,856	18,599	-1,131
1992	297	277	574	NA	279	8	84	371	1,821	17,723	-876
1993	250	198	448	NA	343	319	109	771	1,760	17,182	-541
1994	187	527	714	NA	316	64	111	491	1,697	16,690	-492
1995	117	756	873	NA	434	114	333	881	1,673	16,771	81
1996	172	728	900	NA	479	115	141	735	1,663	16,743	-28
1997	514	695	1,209	NA	459	520	119	1,098	1,665	17,385	642
1998	-639	315	-324	NA	299	56	120	475	1,554	15,982	-1,403
1999	138	1,669	1,807	NA	253	242	145	640	1,564	16,865	883
2000	144	622	766	132	540	276	157	973	1,552	17,184	319
2001	-5	-71	-76	-87	716	1,126	292	2,134	1,560	17,595	411
2002	414	567	981	24	467	300	146	913	1,514	17,999	404

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes operator reported corrections for the years 1978 through 1981. After 1981 operators included corrections with revisions.

Notes: Old means discovered in a prior year. New means discovered during the report year. The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves". They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for crude oil contained in the *Petroleum Supply Annual*, DOE/EIA-0340.

bRevisions and adjustments = Col. 1 + Col. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Total discoveries = Col. 5 + Col. 6 + Col. 7.

dProved reserves = Col. 10 from prior year + Col. 3 + Col. 4 + Col. 8 - Col. 9.

Based on following year data only.

Consists only of operator reported corrections and no other adjustments.

<sup>– =</sup> Not applicable.

Table D3. U.S. Proved Reserves of Dry Natural Gas, 1976–2002

(Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

Year	Adjustments <sup>a</sup> (1)	Net Revisions (2)	Revisions <sup>b</sup> and Adjustments (3)	Net of Sales and Acquisitions (4)	Extensions (5)	New Field	New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields (7)	Total <sup>C</sup> Discoveries (8)	Estimated Production (9)	Proved <sup>d</sup> Reserves 12/31 (10)	Change from Prior Year (11)
1976	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	e <sub>213,278</sub>	_
1977	f_20	-1,605	-1,625	NA	8,129	3,173	3,301	14,603	18,843	207,413	-5,865
1978	2,429	-1,025	1,404	NA	9,582	3,860	4,579	18,021	18,805	208,033	620
1979	-2,264	-219	-2,483	NA	8,950	3,188	2,566	14,704	19,257	200,997	-7,036
1980	1,201	1,049	2,250	NA	9,357	2,539	2,577	14,473	18,699	199,021	-1,976
1981	1,627	2,599	4,226	NA	10,491	3,731	2,998	17,220	18,737	201,730	2,709
1982	2,378	455	2,833	NA	8,349	2,687	3,419	14,455	17,506	201,512	-218
1983	3,090	-15	3,075	NA	6,909	1,574	2,965	11,448	15,788	200,247	-1,265
1984	-2,241	3,129	888	NA	8,299	2,536	2,686	13,521	17,193	197,463	-2,784
1985	-1,708	2,471	763	NA	7,169	999	2,960	11,128	15,985	193,369	-4,094
1986	1,320	3,572	4,892	NA	6,065	1,099	1,771	8,935	15,610	191,586	-1,783
1987	1,268	3,296	4,564	NA	4,587	1,089	1,499	7,175	16,114	187,211	-4,375
1988	2,193	-15,060	-12,867	NA	6,803	1,638	1,909	10,350	16,670	168,024	-19,187
1989	3,013	3,030	6,043	NA	6,339	1,450	2,243	10,032	16,983	167,116	-908
1990	1,557	5,538	7,095	NA	7,952	2,004	2,412	12,368	17,233	169,346	2,230
1991	2,960	4,416	7,376	NA	5,090	848	1,604	7,542	17,202	167,062	-2,284
1992	2,235	6,093	8,328	NA	4,675	649	1,724	7,048	17,423	165,015	-2,047
1993	972	5,349	6,321	NA	6,103	899	1,866	8,868	17,789	162,415	-2,600
1994	1,945	5,484	7,429	NA	6,941	1,894	3,480	12,315	18,322	163,837	1,422
1995	580	7,734	8,314	NA	6,843	1,666	2,452	10,961	17,966	165,146	1,309
1996	3,785	4,086	7,871	NA	7,757	1,451	3,110	12,318	18,861	166,474	1,328
1997	-590	4,902	4,312	NA	10,585	2,681	2,382	15,648	19,211	167,223	749
1998	-1,635	5,740	4,105	NA	8,197	1,074	2,162	11,433	18,720	164,041	-3,182
1999	982	10,504	11,486	NA	7,043	1,568	2,196	10,807	18,928	167,406	3,365
2000	-891	6,962	6,071	4,031	14,787	1,983	2,368	19,138	19,219	177,427	10,021
2001	2,742	-2,318	424	2,630	16,380	3,578	2,800	22,758	19,779	183,460	6,033
2002	3,727	937	4,664	380	14,769	1,332	1,694	17,795	19,353	186,946	3,486

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes operator reported corrections for the years 1978 through 1981. After 1981 operators included corrections with revisions.

Notes: Old means discovered in a prior year. New means discovered during the report year. The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," and Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production". They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas contained in the *Natural Gas Annual*, DOE/EIA-0131.

bRevisions and adjustments = Col. 1 + Col. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Total discoveries = Col. 5 + Col. 6 + Col. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Proved reserves = Col. 10 from prior year + Col. 3 + Col. 4 + Col. 8 - Col. 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Based on following year data only.

Consists only of operator reported corrections and no other adjustments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup>An unusually large revision decrease to North Slope dry natural gas reserves was made in 1988. It recognizes some 24.6 trillion cubic feet of downward revisions reported during the last few years by operators because of economic and market conditions. EIA in previous years carried these reserves in the proved category.

<sup>- =</sup> Not applicable.

Table D4. U.S. Lower 48 Proved Reserves of Dry Natural Gas, 1976–2002

(Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

Year	Adjustments <sup>a</sup> (1)	Net Revisions (2)	Revisions <sup>b</sup> and Adjustments (3)	Net of Sales and Acquisitions (4)	Extensions (5)	New Field	New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields (7)	Total <sup>C</sup> Discoveries (8)	Estimated Production (9)	Proved <sup>d</sup> Reserves 12/31 (10)	Change from Prior Year (11)
1976	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	e <sub>180,838</sub>	_
1977	f_21	-1,540	-1,561	NA	8,056	3,173	3,301	14,530	18,637	175,170	-5,668
1978	2,446	-758	1,688	NA	9,582	3,860	4,277	17,719	18,589	175,988	818
1979	-2,202	-707	-2,909	NA	8,949	3,173	2,566	14,688	19,029	168,738	-7,250
1980	1,163	62	1,225	NA	9,046	2,539	2,577	14,162	18,486	165,639	-3,099
1981	1,840	2,506	4,346	NA	10,485	3,731	2,994	17,210	18,502	168,693	3,054
1982	2,367	-1,748	619	NA	8,349	2,687	3,419	14,455	17,245	166,522	-2,171
1983	3,089	421	3,510	NA	6,908	1,574	2,965	11,447	15,515	165,964	-558
1984	-2,245	2,617	372	NA	8,298	2,536	2,686	13,520	16,869	162,987	-2,977
1985	-1,349	2,500	1,151	NA	7,098	999	2,960	11,057	15,673	159,522	-3,465
1986	1,618	4,144	5,762	NA	6,064	1,099	1,761	8,924	15,286	158,922	-600
1987	1,066	2,645	3,711	NA	4,542	1,077	1,499	7,118	15,765	153,986	-4,936
1988	2,017	8,895	10,912	NA	6,771	1,638	1,909	10,318	16,270	158,946	4,960
1989	2,997	2,939	5,936	NA	6,184	1,450	2,243	9,877	16,582	158,177	-769
1990	1,877	4,572	6,449	NA	7,898	2,004	2,412	12,314	16,894	160,046	1,869
1991	2,967	3,860	6,827	NA	5,074	848	1,563	7,485	16,849	157,509	-2,537
1992	1,946	5,937	7,883	NA	4,621	649	1,724	6,994	17,009	155,377	-2,132
1993	915	4,779	5,694	NA	6,076	899	1,858	8,833	17,396	152,508	-2,869
1994	1,896	5,289	7,185	NA	6,936	1,894	3,480	12,310	17,899	154,104	1,596
1995	973	7,223	8,196	NA	6,801	1,666	2,452	10,919	17,570	155,649	1,545
1996	3,640	4,055	7,695	NA	7,751	1,390	3,110	12,251	18,415	157,180	1,531
1997	-609	3,192	2,583	NA	10,571	2,681	2,382	15,634	18,736	156,661	-519
1998	-1,463	5,696	4,233	NA	8,195	1,070	2,162	11,427	18,207	154,114	-2,547
1999	849	10,452	11,301	NA	7,041	1,512	2,173	10,726	18,469	157,672	3,558
2000	-914	8,755	7,841	4,214	12,838	1,983	2,355	17,176	18,713	168,190	10,518
2001	2,753	-2,216	537	2,630	16,321	3,504	2,796	21,621	19,318	174,660	6,470
2002	3,692	914	4,606	380	14,707	1,332	1,686	17,725	18,893	178,478	3,818

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes operator reported corrections for the years 1978 through 1981. After 1981 operators included corrections with revisions.

Notes: Old means discovered in a prior year. New means discovered during the report year. The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," and Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production". They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas contained in the Natural Gas Annual, DOE/EIA-0131.

bRevisions and adjustments = Col. 1 + Col. 2.

CTotal discoveries = Col. 5 + Col. 6 + Col. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Proved reserves = Col. 10 from prior year + Col. 3 + Col. 4 + Col. 8 - Col. 9.

Based on following year data only.

fConsists only of operator reported corrections and no other adjustments.

<sup>– =</sup> Not applicable.

Table D5. U.S. Proved Reserves of Wet Natural Gas, After Lease Separation, 1978–2002

(Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

Year	Adjustments <sup>a</sup> (1)	Net Revisions (2)	Revisions <sup>b</sup> and Adjustments (3)	Net of Sales and Acquisitions (4)	Extensions (5)	New Field	New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields (7)	Total <sup>C</sup> Discoveries (8)	Estimated Production (9)	Proved <sup>d</sup> Reserves 12/31 (10)	Change from Prior Year (11)
1978	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	e <sub>208,033</sub>	_
1979	5,356	-223	5,133	NA	9,332	3,279	2,637	15,248	20,079	208,335	302
1980	1,253	1,137	2,390	NA	9,757	2,629	2,648	15,034	19,500	206,259	-2,076
1981	2,057	2,743	4,800	NA	10,979	3,870	3,080	17,929	19,554	209,434	3,175
1982	2,598	455	3,053	NA	8,754	2,785	3,520	15,059	18,292	209,254	-180
1983	4,363	57	4,420	NA	7,263	1,628	3,071	11,962	16,590	209,046	-208
1984	-2,413	3,333	920	NA	8,688	2,584	2,778	14,050	18,032	205,984	-3,062
1985	-1,299	2,687	1,388	NA	7,535	1,040	3,053	11,628	16,798	202,202	-3,782
1986	2,137	3,835	5,972	NA	6,359	1,122	1,855	9,336	16,401	201,109	-1,093
1987	1,199	3,522	4,721	NA	4,818	1,128	1,556	7,502	16,904	196,428	-4,681
1988	2,180	-14,931	<sup>f</sup> -12,751	NA	7,132	1,677	1,979	10,788	17,466	<sup>f</sup> 176,999	-19,429
1989	2,537	3,220	5,757	NA	6,623	1,488	2,313	10,424	17,752	175,428	-1,571
1990	1,494	5,837	7,331	NA	8,287	2,041	2,492	12,820	18,003	177,576	2,148
1991	3,368	4,569	7,937	NA	5,298	871	1,655	7,824	18,012	175,325	-2,251
1992	2,543	6,374	8,917	NA	4,895	668	1,773	7,336	18,269	173,309	-2,016
1993	1,048	5,541	6,589	NA	6,376	927	1,930	9,233	18,641	170,490	-2,819
1994	1,977	5,836	7,813	NA	7,299	1,941	3,606	12,846	19,210	171,939	1,449
1995	889	8,091	8,980	NA	7,204	1,709	2,518	11,431	18,874	173,476	1,537
1996	4,288	4,277	8,565	NA	8,189	1,491	3,209	12,889	19,783	175,147	1,671
1997	-730	5,057	4,327	NA	11,179	2,747	2,455	16,381	20,134	175,721	574
1998	-1,624	5,982	4,358	NA	8,630	1,116	2,240	11,986	19,622	172,433	-3,288
1999	1,102	11,182	12,284	NA	7,401	1,622	2,265	11,288	19,856	176,159	3,726
2000	-1,295	7,456	6,161	4,286	15,550	2,055	2,463	20,068	20,164	186,510	10,351
2001	1,849	-2,438	-589	2,715	17,183	3,668	2,898	23,749	20,642	191,743	5,233
2002	4,004	1,038	5,042	428	15,468	1,374	1,752	18,594	20,248	195,561	3,816

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes operator reported corrections for the years 1978 through 1981. After 1981 operators included corrections with revisions.

Notes: Old means discovered in a prior year. New means discovered during the report year. The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves". They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas contained in the *Natural Gas Annual*, DOE/EIA-013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Revisions and adjustments = Col. 1 + Col. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Total discoveries = Col. 5 + Col. 6 + Col. 7.

dProved reserves = Col. 10 from prior year + Col. 3 + Col. 4 + Col. 8 - Col. 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Based on following year data only.

fAn unusually large revision decrease to North Slope wet natural gas reserves was made in 1988. It recognizes some 25 trillion cubic feet of downward revisions reported during the last few years by operators because of economic and market conditions. EIA in previous years carried these reserves in the proved category.

<sup>– =</sup> Not applicable.

Table D6. U.S. Lower 48 Proved Reserves of Wet Natural Gas, After Lease Separation, 1978–2002 (Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

Year	Adjustments <sup>a</sup> (1)	Net Revisions (2)	Revisions <sup>b</sup> and Adjustments (3)	Net of Sales and Acquisitions (4)	Extensions (5)	New Field Discoveries (6)	New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields (7)	Total <sup>C</sup> Discoveries (8)	Estimated Production (9)	Proved <sup>d</sup> Reserves 12/31 (10)	Change from Prior Year (11)
1978	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	e <sub>175,988</sub>	_
1979	5,402	-711	4,691	NA	9,331	3,264	2,637	15,232	19,851	176,060	72
1980	1,218	150	1,368	NA	9,446	2,629	2,648	14,723	19,287	172,864	-3,196
1981	2,270	2,650	4,920	NA	10,973	3,870	3,076	17,919	19,318	176,385	3,521
1982	2,586	-1,748	838	NA	8,754	2,785	3,520	15,059	18,030	174,252	-2,133
1983	4,366	493	4,859	NA	7,262	1,628	3,071	11,961	16,317	174,755	503
1984	-2,409	2,821	412	NA	8,687	2,584	2,778	14,049	17,708	171,508	-3,247
1985	-1,313	2,713	1,400	NA	7,463	1,040	3,053	11,556	16,485	167,979	-3,529
1986	2,114	4,410	6,524	NA	6,357	1,122	1,845	9,324	16,073	167,754	-225
1987	1,200	2,868	4,068	NA	4,772	1,116	1,556	7,444	16,553	162,713	-5,041
1988	2,025	9,390	11,415	NA	7,099	1,677	1,979	10,755	17,063	167,820	5,107
1989	2,545	3,128	5,673	NA	6,467	1,485	2,313	10,265	17,349	166,409	-1,411
1990	1,811	4,859	6,670	NA	8,232	2,041	2,492	12,765	17,661	168,183	1,774
1991	3,367	4,013	7,380	NA	5,281	871	1,614	7,766	17,657	165,672	-2,511
1992	2,265	6,217	8,482	NA	4,840	668	1,773	7,281	17,851	163,584	-2,088
1993	996	4,971	5,967	NA	6,349	927	1,922	9,198	18,245	160,504	-3,080
1994	1,924	5,613	7,537	NA	7,294	1,941	3,606	12,841	18,756	162,126	1,622
1995	1,304	7,525	8,829	NA	7,162	1,709	2,518	11,389	18,443	163,901	1,775
1996	4,219	4,246	8,465	NA	8,183	1,430	3,209	12,822	19,337	165,851	1,950
1997	-835	3,322	2,487	NA	11,165	2,747	2,455	16,367	19,657	165,048	-803
1998	-1,461	5,937	4,476	NA	8,628	1,112	2,240	11,980	19,104	162,400	-2,648
1999	958	11,130	12,088	NA	7,399	1,566	2,242	11,207	19,391	166,304	3,904
2000	-1,294	9,273	7,979	4,471	13,574	2,055	2,450	18,079	19,654	177,179	10,875
2001	1,849	-2,336	-487	2,715	17,123	3,593	2,894	23,610	20,175	182,842	5,663
2002	4,004	1,038	5,042	428	15,468	1,374	1,752	18,594	20,248	19,5561	3,816

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes operator reported corrections for the years 1978 through 1981. After 1981 operators included corrections with revisions.

Notes: Old means discovered in a prior year. New means discovered during the report year. The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves". They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas contained in the Natural Gas Annual, DÓE/EÍA-0131.

bRevisions and adjustments = Col. 1 + Col. 2.

CTotal discoveries = Col. 5 + Col. 6 + Col. 7.

Proved reserves = Col. 10 from prior year + Col. 3 + Col. 4 + Col. 8 - Col. 9.

eBased on following year data only.

<sup>-</sup> = Not applicable.

Table D7. U.S. Proved Reserves of Natural Gas Liquids, 1978–2002

Year	Adjustments <sup>a</sup> (1)	Net Revisions (2)	Revisions <sup>b</sup> and Adjustments (3)	Net of Sales and Acquisitions (4)	Extensions (5)	New Field	New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields (7)	Total <sup>C</sup> Discoveries (8)	Estimated Production (9)	Proved <sup>d</sup> Reserves 12/31 (10)	Change from Prior Year (11)
1978	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	e <sub>6,772</sub>	_
1979	f <sub>64</sub>	-49	15	NA	364	94	97	555	727	6,615	-157
1980	153	104	257	NA	418	90	79	587	731	6,728	113
1981	231	86	317	NA	542	131	91	764	741	7,068	340
1982	299	-21	278	NA	375	112	109	596	721	7,221	153
1983	849	66	915	NA	321	70	99	490	725	7,901	680
1984	-123	142	19	NA	348	55	96	499	776	7,643	-258
1985	426	162	588	NA	337	44	85	466	753	7,944	301
1986	367	223	590	NA	263	34	72	369	738	8,165	221
1987	231	191	422	NA	213	39	55	307	747	8,147	-18
1988	11	453	464	NA	268	41	72	381	754	8,238	91
1989	-277	123	-154	NA	259	83	74	416	731	7,769	-469
1990	-83	221	138	NA	299	39	73	411	732	7,586	-183
1991	233	130	363	NA	189	25	55	269	754	7,464	-122
1992	225	261	486	NA	190	20	64	274	773	7,451	-13
1993	102	124	226	NA	245	24	64	333	788	7,222	-229
1994	43	197	240	NA	314	54	131	499	791	7,170	-52
1995	192	277	469	NA	432	52	67	551	791	7,399	229
1996	474	175	649	NA	451	65	109	625	850	7,823	424
1997	-14	289	275	NA	535	114	90	739	864	7,973	150
1998	-361	208	-153	NA	383	66	88	537	833	7,524	-449
1999	99	727	826	NA	313	51	88	452	896	7,906	382
2000	-83	459	376	145	645	92	102	839	921	8,345	439
2001	-429	-132	-561	102	717	138	142	997	890	7,993	-352
2002	62	31	93	54	612	48	78	738	884	7,994	1

allincludes operator reported corrections for the years 1978 through 1981. After 1981 operators included corrections with revisions.

Notes: Old means discovered in a prior year. New means discovered during the report year. The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," and Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production". They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas liquids contained in the Natural Gas Annual, DOE/EÍA-0131.

bRevisions and adjustments = Col. 1 + Col. 2.

CTotal discoveries = Col. 5 + Col. 6 + Col. 7.

dProved reserves = Col. 10 from prior year + Col. 3 + Col. 4 + Col. 8 - Col. 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Based on following year data only.

Consists only of operator reported corrections and no other adjustments.

<sup>– =</sup> Not applicable.

Table D8. U.S. Lower 48 Proved Reserves of Natural Gas Liquids, 1978–2002

Year	Adjustments <sup>a</sup> (1)	Net Revisions (2)	Revisions <sup>b</sup> and Adjustments (3)	Net of Sales and Acquisitions (4)	Extensions (5)	New Field	New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields (7)	Total <sup>C</sup> Discoveries (8)	Estimated Production (9)	Proved <sup>d</sup> Reserves 12/31 (10)	Change from Prior Year (11)
1978	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	e <sub>6,749</sub>	_
1979	<sup>f</sup> 63	-49	14	NA	364	94	97	555	726	6,592	-157
1980	165	104	269	NA	418	90	79	587	731	6,717	125
1981	233	85	318	NA	542	131	91	764	741	7,058	341
1982	300	-21	279	NA	375	112	109	596	721	7,212	154
1983	850	66	916	NA	321	70	99	490	725	7,893	681
1984	-115	123	8	NA	348	55	96	499	776	7,624	-269
1985	70	152	222	NA	334	44	85	463	748	7,561	-63
1986	363	226	589	NA	263	34	72	369	735	7,784	223
1987	179	191	370	NA	212	39	55	306	731	7,729	-55
1988	10	452	462	NA	267	41	72	380	734	7,837	108
1989	-273	123	-150	NA	259	83	74	416	714	7,389	-448
1990	-60	221	161	NA	298	39	73	410	714	7,246	-143
1991	183	138	321	NA	187	25	55	267	730	7,104	-142
1992	225	254	479	NA	183	20	64	267	746	7,104	0
1993	101	124	225	NA	245	24	64	333	761	6,901	-203
1994	38	196	234	NA	314	54	131	499	765	6,869	-32
1995	204	230	434	NA	432	52	67	551	761	7,093	224
1996	417	178	595	NA	450	56	109	615	817	7,486	393
1997	-107	55	-52	NA	533	114	90	737	829	7,342	-144
1998	-74	208	134	NA	383	66	88	537	809	7,204	-138
1999	102	617	719	NA	304	50	86	440	848	7,515	311
2000	9	459	468	145	645	92	102	839	899	8,068	553
2001	-429	-280	-709	-102	717	138	142	997	870	7,588	-480
2002	42	31	73	54	612	48	78	738	864	7,589	1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes operator reported corrections for the years 1978 through 1981. After 1981 operators included corrections with revisions.

Notes: Old means discovered in a prior year. New means discovered during the report year. The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," and Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production". They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production natural gas liquids contained in the Natural Gas Annual, DOE/EIA-0131.

bRevisions and adjustments = Col. 1 + Col. 2.

CTotal discoveries = Col. 5 + Col. 6 + Col. 7.

dProved reserves = Col. 10 from prior year + Col. 3 + Col. 4 + Col. 8 - Col. 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Based on following year data only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>Consists only of operator reported corrections and no other adjustments.

<sup>– =</sup> Not applicable.

		<b>Gulf of Mexico</b>		Dept		
Year	Total	Louisiana <sup>a</sup>	Texas	Greater than 200 meters	Less than 200 meters	Deepwater Percentage
Dun de ett e e		Crude Oil	(million barrels	of 42 U.S. gallons)		
Production 1992	267	253	14	46	221	17.2
1992	266	252	14	46	220	17.2
1994	265	245	20	53	212	20.1
1995	292	262	30	77	215	26.4
1996	303	265	38	90	213	29.7
1990	342	298	44	123	219	36.0
1998	372	336	36	171	201	46.0
1999	421	376	45	228	193	54.2
2000	419	381	38	234	185	55.8
2001	459	417	42	286	173	62.2
2002	451	395	57	288	163	63.9
Reserves						
1992	1,835	1,643	192	557	1,278	30.4
1993	2,072	1,880	192	824	1,248	39.8
1994	2,127	1,922	205	877	1,250	41.2
1995	2,518	2,269	249	1,241	1,277	49.3
1996	2,567	2,357	210	1,311	1,256	51.1
1997	2,949	2,587	362	1,682	1,267	57.0
1998	2,793	2,483	310	1,611	1,182	57.8
1999	2,744	2,442	302	1,626	1,118	59.3
2000	3,174	2,751	423	2,021	1,153	63.7
2001	4,288	3,877	411	3,208	1,080	74.8
2002	4,444	4,088	356	3,372	1,072	75.9

#### (billion cubic feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

3.6 4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9
4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9
6.1 7.8 10.9
7.8 10.9
10.9
11.0
14.6
22.5
24.4
27.2
30.0
12.1
13.2
17.3
20.6
22.7
26.3
28.1
29.6
32.5
41.4
41.6

Table D9. Deepwater Production and Proved Reserves of the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore, 1992-2002 (continued)

Tatal	Gulf of Mexico		Dept		
Total	Louisiana <sup>a</sup>	Texas	Greater than 200 meters	Less than 200 meters	Deepwater Percentage
Natural Gas Liquids (million			parrels of 42 U.S. gall	ons)	
91	76	15	4	87	4.4
97				91	6.2
					6.1
					14.1
					12.9
					12.1
					18.7
					30.5
					42.2
					50.0
184	149	30	00	118	36.0
	,	4.45			
					15.4
					16.0
					18.2
					46.7
					39.8
					38.5
					42.1
					41.3
·					43.6
					45.8 42.2
Dry Nat	ural Gas (hillion	cubic feet at 1	4.73 nsia and 60° Fa	ahrenheit)	
Diy ital	urar ous (Simor		1.70 pola aria oo 1 c	11 11 O1 11 10 1t/	
4 500				<u> </u>	
4,508	3,233	1,275	162	4,346	3.6
4,508 4,577	3,233 3,319	1,258	162 224		3.6 4.9
4,577 4,725	3,319 3,440	1,258 1,285	224 288	4,346 4,353 4,437	4.9 6.1
4,577 4,725 4,627	3,319 3,440 3,376	1,258 1,285 1,251	224 288 361	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266	4.9 6.1 7.8
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285	224 288 361 544	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238	224 288 361 544 565	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144	224 288 361 544 565 711	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872 4,885	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728 3,721	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144 1,164	224 288 361 544 565 711 1,099	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161 3,786	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6 22.5
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872 4,885 4,773	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728 3,721 3,626	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144 1,164 1,147	224 288 361 544 565 711 1,099 1,165	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161 3,786 3,608	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6 22.5 24.4
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872 4,885 4,773 4,913	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728 3,721 3,626 3,735	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144 1,164 1,147 1,178	224 288 361 544 565 711 1,099 1,165 1,334	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161 3,786 3,608 3,578	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6 22.5 24.4 27.4
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872 4,885 4,773	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728 3,721 3,626	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144 1,164 1,147	224 288 361 544 565 711 1,099 1,165	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161 3,786 3,608	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6 22.5 24.4
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872 4,885 4,773 4,913 4,423	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728 3,721 3,626 3,735 3,427	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144 1,164 1,147 1,178 996	224 288 361 544 565 711 1,099 1,165 1,334 1,328	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161 3,786 3,608 3,578 3,095	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6 22.5 24.4 27.4 30.0
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872 4,885 4,773 4,913 4,423	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728 3,721 3,626 3,735 3,427	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144 1,164 1,147 1,178 996	224 288 361 544 565 711 1,099 1,165 1,334 1,328	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161 3,786 3,608 3,578 3,095	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6 22.5 24.4 27.4 30.0
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872 4,885 4,773 4,913 4,423 26,649 26,044	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728 3,721 3,626 3,735 3,427	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144 1,164 1,147 1,178 996	224 288 361 544 565 711 1,099 1,165 1,334 1,328	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161 3,786 3,608 3,578 3,095	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6 22.5 24.4 27.4 30.0
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872 4,885 4,773 4,913 4,423 26,649 26,044 27,218	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728 3,721 3,626 3,735 3,427  19,653 19,383 20,835	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144 1,164 1,147 1,178 996	224 288 361 544 565 711 1,099 1,165 1,334 1,328 3,225 3,438 4,709	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161 3,786 3,608 3,578 3,095 23,424 22,606 22,509	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6 22.5 24.4 27.4 30.0
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872 4,885 4,773 4,913 4,423 26,649 26,044 27,218 27,917	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728 3,721 3,626 3,735 3,427  19,653 19,383 20,835 21,392	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144 1,164 1,147 1,178 996 6,996 6,661 6,383 6,525	224 288 361 544 565 711 1,099 1,165 1,334 1,328 3,225 3,438 4,709 5,751	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161 3,786 3,608 3,578 3,095 23,424 22,606 22,509 22,166	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6 22.5 24.4 27.4 30.0 12.1 13.2 17.3 20.6
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872 4,885 4,773 4,913 4,423 26,649 26,044 27,218 27,917 27,852	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728 3,721 3,626 3,735 3,427  19,653 19,383 20,835 21,392 21,856	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144 1,164 1,147 1,178 996 6,996 6,661 6,383 6,525 5,996	224 288 361 544 565 711 1,099 1,165 1,334 1,328 3,225 3,438 4,709 5,751 6,322	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161 3,786 3,608 3,578 3,095 23,424 22,606 22,509 22,166 21,530	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6 22.5 24.4 27.4 30.0 12.1 13.2 17.3 20.6 22.7
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872 4,885 4,773 4,913 4,423 26,649 26,044 27,218 27,917 27,852 27,922	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728 3,721 3,626 3,735 3,427  19,653 19,383 20,835 21,392 21,856 21,934	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144 1,164 1,147 1,178 996  6,996 6,661 6,383 6,525 5,996 5,988	224 288 361 544 565 711 1,099 1,165 1,334 1,328 3,225 3,438 4,709 5,751 6,322 7,343	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161 3,786 3,608 3,578 3,095 23,424 22,606 22,509 22,166 21,530 20,579	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6 22.5 24.4 27.4 30.0  12.1 13.2 17.3 20.6 22.7 26.3
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872 4,885 4,773 4,913 4,423 26,649 26,044 27,218 27,917 27,852 27,922 26,422	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728 3,721 3,626 3,735 3,427  19,653 19,383 20,835 21,392 21,856 21,934 20,774	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144 1,164 1,147 1,178 996  6,996 6,661 6,383 6,525 5,996 5,988 5,648	224 288 361 544 565 711 1,099 1,165 1,334 1,328 3,225 3,438 4,709 5,751 6,322 7,343 7,425	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161 3,786 3,608 3,578 3,095 23,424 22,606 22,509 22,166 21,530 20,579 18,997	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6 22.5 24.4 27.4 30.0  12.1 13.2 17.3 20.6 22.7 26.3 28.1
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872 4,885 4,773 4,913 4,423 26,649 26,044 27,218 27,917 27,852 27,922 26,422 25,451	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728 3,721 3,626 3,735 3,427  19,653 19,383 20,835 21,392 21,856 21,934 20,774 19,598	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144 1,164 1,147 1,178 996  6,996 6,661 6,383 6,525 5,996 5,988 5,648 5,853	224 288 361 544 565 711 1,099 1,165 1,334 1,328 3,225 3,438 4,709 5,751 6,322 7,343 7,425 7,533	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161 3,786 3,608 3,578 3,095 23,424 22,606 22,509 22,166 21,530 20,579 18,997 17,918	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6 22.5 24.4 27.4 30.0  12.1 13.2 17.3 20.6 22.7 26.3 28.1 29.6
4,577 4,725 4,627 4,991 5,133 4,872 4,885 4,773 4,913 4,423 26,649 26,044 27,218 27,917 27,852 27,922 26,422	3,319 3,440 3,376 3,706 3,895 3,728 3,721 3,626 3,735 3,427  19,653 19,383 20,835 21,392 21,856 21,934 20,774	1,258 1,285 1,251 1,285 1,238 1,144 1,164 1,147 1,178 996  6,996 6,661 6,383 6,525 5,996 5,988 5,648	224 288 361 544 565 711 1,099 1,165 1,334 1,328 3,225 3,438 4,709 5,751 6,322 7,343 7,425	4,346 4,353 4,437 4,266 4,447 4,568 4,161 3,786 3,608 3,578 3,095 23,424 22,606 22,509 22,166 21,530 20,579 18,997	4.9 6.1 7.8 10.9 11.0 14.6 22.5 24.4 27.4 30.0  12.1 13.2 17.3 20.6 22.7 26.3 28.1
	98 85 101 140 139 167 199 192 184 590 605 603 630 753 906 919 994 1,074 967 965	98 83 85 71 101 84 140 123 139 120 167 136 199 164 192 147 184 149 590 472 605 490 603 500 630 496 753 621 906 785 919 776 994 833 1,074 921 967 785 965 783	98       83       15         85       71       14         101       84       17         140       123       17         139       120       19         167       136       31         199       164       35         192       147       45         184       149       35         590       472       118         605       490       115         603       500       103         630       496       134         753       621       132         906       785       121         919       776       143         994       833       161         1,074       921       153         967       785       182         965       783       182	98       83       15       6         85       71       14       12         101       84       17       13         140       123       17       17         139       120       19       26         167       136       31       51         199       164       35       84         192       147       45       96         184       149       35       66         590       472       118       91         605       490       115       97         603       500       103       110         630       496       134       294         753       621       132       300         906       785       121       349         919       776       143       387         994       833       161       411         1,074       921       153       468         967       785       182       443	98       83       15       6       92         85       71       14       12       73         101       84       17       13       88         140       123       17       17       123         139       120       19       26       113         167       136       31       51       116         199       164       35       84       115         192       147       45       96       96         184       149       35       66       118              590       472       118       91       499         605       490       115       97       508         603       500       103       110       493         630       496       134       294       336         753       621       132       300       456         906       785       121       349       557         919       776       143       387       532         994       833       161       411       583         1,074       921       153       468

Table D9. Deepwater Production and Proved Reserves of the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore, 1992-2002 (continued)

(COILLIII)	aeu,					
		<b>Gulf of Mexico</b>		Dep		
Year	Total	Louisiana <sup>a</sup>	Texas	Greater than 200 meters	Less than 200 meters	Deepwater Percentage
		Lease Conder	sate (million ba	arrels of 42 U.S. gallo	ons)	
Production						
1992	44	35	9	2	42	4.4
1993	46	35	11	3	43	6.2
1994	47	37	10	3	44	6.1
1995	49	40	9	7	42	14.1
1996	60	49	11	8	52	12.9
1997	70	59	11	8	62	12.1
1998	72	57	15	13	59	18.7
1999	87	61	26	27	60	30.5
2000	106	76	30	45	61	42.2
2001	101	60	41	51	50	50.2
2002	90	60	30	38	52	42.2
Reserves						
1992	310	226	84	48	262	15.4
1993	316	235	81	51	265	16.0
1994	311	233	78	57	254	18.2
1995	412	305	107	192	220	46.7
1996	527	422	105	210	317	39.8
1997	527	433	94	203	324	38.5
1998	557	435	122	234	323	42.1
1999	567	430	137	234	333	41.3
2000	560	433	127	244	316	43.6
2001	482	325	157	221	261	45.8
2002	454	300	154	195	259	43.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes Federal Offshore Alabama.

Source: Based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves."

Table D10. 2002 Reported Proved Nonproducing Reserves of Crude Oil, Lease Condensate, and Natural Gas<sup>a</sup>

State and Subdivision	Crude Oil (mbbls)	Lease Condensate (mbbls)	Nonassociated Gas (bcf)	Associated Dissolved Gas (bcf)	Tota Gas (bcf)
Alaska	546	0	570	29	599
Lower 48 States	4,725	489	42,831	6,544	49,375
	1	4	189	2	191
Alabama	•	· ·			
Arkansas	5	0	254	10	264
California	336	0	237	177	414
Coastal Region Onshore	61	0	0	49	49
Los Angeles Basin Onshore	122	0	0	94	94
San Joaquin Basin Onshore	111	0	237	13	250
State Offshore	42	0	0	21	21
Colorado	56	24	3,295	486	3,781
Florida	7	0	0	0	(
Kansas	23	0	109	3	112
Kentucky	4	0	117	0	117
ouisiana	185	47	3,352	309	3,661
North	16	2	1,541	71	1,612
South Onshore	139	40	1,651	212	1,863
State Offshore	30	5	160	26	186
Michigan	4	2	512	15	527
	42	0	98	13	11
Mississippi		0	92		
Montana	65	•		25	117
New Mexico	146	9	3,472	132	3,604
East	146	2	645	132	777
West	0	7	2,827	0	2,827
New York	0	0	29	0	29
North Dakota	62	3	42	21	63
Ohio	8	0	107	15	122
Oklahoma	105	26	3,085	121	3,206
Pennsylvania	1	0	400	66	466
Гехаs	753	83	11,441	1,237	12,678
RRC District 1	11	2	332	8	340
RRC District 2 Onshore	14	1	684	15	699
RRC District 3 Onshore	41	20	895	126	1,02
RRC District 4 Onshore	5	28	3,398	50	3,448
RRC District 5	4	1	1,792	28	1,820
RRC District 6	14	13	1,127	5	1,132
RRC District 7B	2	0	1	0	1,102
RRC District 7C	36	1	598	136	734
RRC District 8	258	5	532	471	1,003
RRC District 8A	345	0	31	336	367
RRC District 9	16	4	1,464	18	1,482
		0	,		,
RRC District 10	7	8	538	41	579
State Offshore	0	0	49	3	52
Jtah	91	2	1,002	200	1,202
/irginia	0	0	748	0	748
Vest Virginia	0	0	353	0	353
Nyoming	69	32	5,185	31	5,216
	2,746	257	8,698	3,680	12,378
Pacific (California)	62	8	49	117	166
Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>b</sup>	2,530	157	5,935	3,181	9,116
Gulf of Mexico (Texas)	154	92	2,714	382	3,096
Miscellaneous <sup>c</sup>	16	0	14	1	15
U.S. Total	5,271	489	43,401	6,573	49,974

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes only those operators who produced during the report year 400,000 barrels of crude oil or 2 billion cubic feet of wet natural gas, or more (Category I and Category II operators).

<sup>b</sup>Includes Federal offshore Alabama.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup>Includes Arizona, Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, Oregon, South Dakota and Tennessee.

Source: Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," 2002.

## **Summary of Data Collection Operations**

## **Summary of Data Collection Operations**

### Form EIA-23 Survey Design

The data collected on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," were used to produce this report. This section provides information concerning the survey design, response statistics, reporting requirements, and frame maintenance.

Form EIA-23 is mailed annually to all known large and intermediate size operators, and a scientifically selected sample of small operators. Operator size categories were based upon their annual production as indicated in various Federal, State, and commercial records. The term **State/subdivision** refers to an individual subdivision within a State or an individual State that is not subdivided. Operators were divided into the three size categories shown below.

- Category I Large Operators: Operators who produced 1.5 million barrels or more of crude oil, or 15 billion cubic feet or more of natural gas, or both.
- Category II *Intermediate Operators*: Operators who produced at least 400,000 barrels of crude oil or 2 billion cubic feet of natural gas, or both, but less than Category I operators.
- Category III *Small Operators:* Operators who produced less than the Category II operators.

Category III operators were further subdivided into operators sampled with Certainty (**Certainty**) and operators that were randomly sampled (**Noncertainty**).

Data were filed for calendar year 2002 by crude oil or natural gas well operators who were active as of December 31, 2002. EIA defines an operator as an organization or person responsible for the management and day-to-day operation of crude oil or natural gas wells. The purpose of this definition is to eliminate responses from royalty owners, working interest owners (unless they are also operators), and others not directly responsible for operations. An operator need not be a separately incorporated entity. To minimize reporting burden, corporations are permitted to report on the basis of operating units of the company convenient for them. A large corporation

may be represented by a single form or by several forms.

Table E1 shows a comparison of the EIA-23 sample and sampling frame between 1995 and 2002, and depicts the number of active operators, with 1995 showing the largest in the series. The 2002 sampling frame consisted of 176 Category I, 480 Category II, 388 Category III Certainty, and 21,779 Category III Noncertainty operators, for a total of 22,823 active operators. The survey sample consisted of 1,044 operators selected with certainty that included all of the Category I and II Certainty operators, the 388 smaller operators that were selected with certainty because of their size in relation to the area or areas in which they operated, and 533 Noncertainty operators selected as a systematic random sample of the remaining operators.

## Form EIA-23 Response Statistics

Each company and its parent company or subsidiaries were required to file Form EIA-23 if they met the survey specifications. Response to the 2002 survey is summarized in **Table E2**. EIA makes a considerable effort to gain responses from all operators. About 3.8 percent of those selected turned out to be nonoperators (those that reported being nonoperators during the report year and operators that could not be located). Of the 60 nonoperators, 0 had successor operators that had taken over the production of the nonoperator. These successor operators were subsequently sampled. The overall response rate for the 2002 survey was 99.8 percent. For the 3 operators that did not respond, production data was obtained from State or other sources.

## Form EIA-23 Reporting Requirements

The collection format for Form EIA-23 actually consists of two forms. The form the respondent is required to file is dependent upon the annual production levels of crude oil, natural gas, and lease condensate. Category I and Category II operators file a more detailed field

Table E1. Comparison of the EIA-23 Sample and Sampling Frame, 1995-2002

		Number of Operators								
Operator Category	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002		
Certainty										
Category I	161	176	180	178	177	175	179	176		
Category II	476	486	461	420	399	436	485	480		
Category III	1,596	3	1,194	862	648	854	559	388		
Sampled	2,233	665	1,835	1,460	1,224	1,465	1,223	1,044		
Percent Sampled	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		
Noncertainty										
Sampled	1,632	0	1,645	1,459	1,305	1,311	644	533		
Percent Sampled	8	0	8	7	6	6	3	3		
Total										
Active Operators	22,766	23,410	22,678	23,620	22,089	22,102	22,519	22,823		
Not Sampled	18,901	22,745	19,198	20,701	19,560	19,326	20,652	21,246		
Sampled	3,865	665	3,480	2,919	R2,529	2,776	1,867	1,577		
Percent Sampled	17	3	15	12	R11	13	8	7		

R=Revised data.

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

Table E2. Form EIA-23 Survey Response Statistics, 2002

Original Sample Selected	Successor <sup>a</sup> Operators	Net <sup>b</sup> Category Changes	Non- <sup>c</sup> operators	Adjusted <sup>d</sup> Sample	Oper	ators	Oper	ponding rators Percent
176	0	+1	-13	164	164	100.0	0	0.0
480	0	-35	-19	426	426	100.0	0	0.0
388	0	+34	-13	409	408	99.8	e <sub>1</sub>	0.2
1,044	0	0	-45	999	998	99.9	e <sub>1</sub>	0.1
533	0	0	-15	518	516	99.6	e <sub>2</sub>	0.4
1,577	0	0	-60	1,517	1,514	99.8	e <sub>3</sub>	0.2
	176 480 388 1,044 533	Sample Selected         Successor <sup>a</sup> Operators           176         0           480         0           388         0           1,044         0           533         0	Sample Selected         Successor <sup>a</sup> Operators         Category Changes           176         0         +1           480         0         -35           388         0         +34           1,044         0         0           533         0         0	Sample Selected         Successor <sup>a</sup> Operators         Category Changes         Non-coperators           176         0         +1         -13           480         0         -35         -19           388         0         +34         -13           1,044         0         0         -45           533         0         0         -15	Sample Selected         Successor <sup>a</sup> Operators         Category Changes         Non-c operators         Adjusted Sample           176         0         +1         -13         164           480         0         -35         -19         426           388         0         +34         -13         409           1,044         0         0         -45         999           533         0         0         -15         518	Sample Selected         Successor <sup>a</sup> Operators         Category Changes         Non-c operators         Adjusted <sup>d</sup> Sample         Operator           176         0         +1         -13         164         164           480         0         -35         -19         426         426           388         0         +34         -13         409         408           1,044         0         0         -45         999         998           533         0         0         -15         518         516	Sample Selected         Successor <sup>a</sup> Operators         Category Changes         Non-c operators         Adjusted Sample         Operators         Operators           176         0         +1         -13         164         164         100.0           480         0         -35         -19         426         426         100.0           388         0         +34         -13         409         408         99.8           1,044         0         0         -45         999         998         99.9           533         0         0         -15         518         516         99.6	Sample Selected         Successora Operators         Category Changes         Non-c Operators         Adjusted Sample         Operators         Operators         Operators           176         0         +1         -13         164         164         100.0         0           480         0         -35         -19         426         426         100.0         0           388         0         +34         -13         409         408         99.8         e1           1,044         0         0         -45         999         998         99.9         e1           533         0         0         -15         518         516         99.6         e2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Successor operators are those, not initially sampled, that have taken over the production of a sampled operator.

Source: Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves" 2002.

level data form. Category III operators file a summary report which is aggregated at a State/subdivision level.

The cover page required of all respondents identifies each operator by name and address (**Figure I1**, Appendix I). The oil and gas producing industry includes a large number of small enterprises. To minimize reporting burden, only a sample of small operators were required to file a summary report of Form EIA-23 (**Figures I2 and I3**, Appendix I). Report year production data were required by State/subdivision areas for crude oil, natural gas, and lease condensate. Proved reserves data for operators

were required only for those properties where estimates existed in the respondent's records.

All Category I and Category II operators were required to file field level data on Schedule A, "Operated Proved Reserves, Production, and Related Data by Field," for each oil and/or gas field in which the respondent operated properties (**Figure I4**, Appendix I). All Category I and those Category II operators who had reserve estimates were required to file on a total operated basis for crude oil, nonassociated natural gas, associated-dissolved natural gas, and lease condensate. The following data items were required to be filed: proved reserves at the beginning and the end of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Net of recategorized operators in the sample (excluding nonoperators).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup>Includes former operators reporting that they were not operators during the report year and operators that could not be located who are treated as nonoperators.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Adjusted sample equals original sample plus successor operators plus net category changes minus nonoperators.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>For the 3 operators (1 Category III operators and 2 Noncertainty operators) that did not respond, production data was obtained from State or other sources.

report year, revision increases and revision decreases, sales and acquisitions, extensions, new field discoveries, new reservoirs in old fields, production, indicated additional reserves of crude oil, nonproducing reserves, field discovery year, water depth, and field location information.

Category II operators who did not have reserves estimates were required to file the field location information and report year production for the four hydrocarbon types from properties where reserves were not estimated. These respondents used Schedule B, "Footnotes," to provide clarification of reported data items when required in the instructions, or electively to provide narrative or detail to explain any data item filed (**Figure I5**, Appendix I).

Crude oil and lease condensate volumes were reported rounded to thousands of barrels of 42 U.S. gallons at 60° Fahrenheit, and natural gas volumes were reported rounded to millions of cubic feet. All natural gas volumes were requested to be reported at 60° Fahrenheit and a pressure base of 14.73 pounds per square inch absolute. Other minor report preparation standards were specified to assure that the filed data could be readily processed.

### Oil and Gas Field Coding

A major effort to create standardized codes for all identified oil or gas fields throughout the United States was implemented during the 1982 survey year. Information from previous lists was reviewed and reconciled with State lists and a consolidated list was created. The publication of the *Oil and Gas Field Code Master List 2002*, in January of 2003, was the 21<sup>st</sup> annual report and reflected data collected through November 2002. This list was made available to operators to assist in identifying the field code data necessary for the preparation of Form EIA-23.

### Form EIA-23 Comparison with Other Data Series

Estimated crude oil, lease condensate, and natural gas production volumes from Form EIA-23 were compared with official EIA production data supplied by Federal and State oil and natural gas regulatory agencies and published in EIA's monthly and annual reports. Reports published by the Federal and State oil and natural gas regulatory agencies were used to compare specific operator production responses to these

agencies with Form EIA-23 responses. When significant differences were found, responses were researched to detect and reconcile possible reporting errors.

For 2002, Form EIA-23 National estimates of production were 2,082 million barrels for crude oil and lease condensate or 15 million barrels (less than 1 percent) lower than that reported in the *Petroleum Supply Annual 2002* for crude oil and lease condensate (2,097 million barrels). Form EIA-23 National estimates of production for dry natural gas were 19,353 billion cubic feet, 306 billion cubic feet (less than 2 percent) higher than the *Natural Gas Monthly, November 2003* for 2002 dry natural gas production (19,047 billion cubic feet).

## Form EIA-23 Frame Maintenance

Operator frame maintenance is a major data quality control effort. Extensive effort is expended to keep the frame as current as possible. The Form EIA-23 frame contains a listing of all crude oil and natural gas well operators in the United States and must be maintained and updated regularly in order to ensure an accurate frame from which to draw the sample for the annual crude oil and natural gas reserves survey. The original frame, created in 1977, is revised annually. In addition, outside sources, such as State publications and electronic data, and commercial information data bases such as IHS Energy Group, are used to obtain information on operator status and to update addresses for the frame each year.

A maintenance procedure is utilized in conjunction with State production records and commercial information data bases to update possible crude oil and natural gas well operators presently listed on EIA's master frame and add new operators to the master frame. This procedure identifies active operators and nonoperators which improves the frame for future sample selections for the annual survey. **Table E3** provides a summary of changes made to the Form EIA-23 frame of crude oil and natural gas well operators for the 2002 survey mailing. These changes resulted from all frame maintenance activities.

The Form EIA-23 operator frame contained a total of 68,616 entries as of December 14, 2002. Of these, 22,823 were confirmed operators. These are operators who have filed in the past or for whom the EIA has recent production data from an outside source. The remaining

Table E3. Summary of the 2002 Operator Frame Activity, Form EIA-23

Total 2001 Operator Frame	68,616 22,519 46,097
Changes to 2001 Operator Status  From Nonoperator to Operator <sup>a</sup> From Operator to Nonoperator	616 497 119
No Changes to 2001 Operator Status Operators	68,000 22,326 45,674
Additions to 2001 Operator Frame Operator	0 0 0
Total 2002 Operator Frame	<b>68,616</b> 22,823 45,793

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes operator frame activity through December 14, 2002.

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

operators (including both definite and probable nonoperators) exist as a pool of names and addresses that may be added to the active list if review indicates activity.

## Form EIA-64A Survey Design

The data for this report are also collected on Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production." This section provides information concerning the survey design, response statistics, reporting requirements, and frame maintenance for Form EIA-64A.

Form EIA-23 for report years 1977 and 1978 required natural gas well operators to report their natural gas data on a fully dry basis. It was discovered in the course of those surveys that many operators had little or no knowledge of the extraction of liquids from their produced natural gas streams once custody transfer had taken place. Therefore, these operators reverted to reporting the only natural gas volume data they had in their possession. These volume data were for dryer natural gas than that which had passed through the wellhead, but wetter than fully dry natural gas. With reference to **Figure E1**, they reported their volumes

either at the wellhead or after removal of lease condensate in their lease or field separation facilities.

Some of the larger operators, however, also owned or operated natural gas processing plants. They reported their volumes after removal of both lease condensate and plant liquids, as required by Form EIA-23. The aggregate volumes resulting from the 1977 and 1978 surveys, therefore, were neither fully dry (as was intended) nor fully wet. They do appear to have been more dry than wet simply because the operators who reported fully dry volumes also operated properties that contained the bulk of proved natural gas reserves.

The EIA recognized that its estimates of proved reserves of natural gas liquids (NGL) had to reflect not only those volumes extractable in the future under current economic and operating conditions at the lease or field (lease condensate), but also volumes (plant liquids) extractable downstream at existing natural gas processing plants. Form EIA-64, which already canvassed these processing plants, did not request that the plants' production volumes be attributed to source areas. Beginning with the 1979 survey, a new form to collect plant liquids production according to the area or areas where their input natural gas stream had been produced was mailed to all of the operating plants. The instructions for filing the Form EIA-23 were altered to collect data from natural gas well operators that reflected those volumes of natural gas dried only through the lease or field separation facilities. The reporting basis of these volumes are referred to as "wet after lease separation." The methodology used to estimate NGL reserves by State and State subdivision is provided in Appendix F.

### Form EIA-64A Response Statistics

EIA mailed EIA-64A forms to all known natural gas processing plant operators as of February 1, 2003. In addition, plant operators whose plants were shut down or dismantled during 2002 were required to complete forms for the portion of 2002 when the plants were in operation.

Natural gas processing plant operators were requested to file a Form EIA-64A for each of their plants. A total of 207 operators of 514 plants were sent forms. This number included 6 new plants, 6 reactivated plants, and 4 successor plants identified after the initial 2002 survey mailing. A total of 17 plants were reported as nonoperating according to the Form EIA-64A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Relatively few additions were made since EIA ID numbers are now being recycled when no useable data is available with a specific EIA ID number. This procedure will increase the number of Nonoperator to Operator changes more than usual.

Figure E1. Natural Gas Liquids Extraction Flows Wet Gas after Separation Dry Gas Wet Gas **Natural Gas Processing Plant** Lease Condensate Production Wellhead Lease or Field Separation **Facilities** Plant Liquids Production Lease Condensate Storage **TOTAL NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS PRODUCTION** 

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

definition. For the 15<sup>th</sup> consecutive year the response rate was 100 percent.

Form EIA-64A respondents were requested to report natural gas liquids production data by area of origin. **Table E4** summarizes the responses by plant operators of the volume and origin of natural gas delivered to the processing plants and the volume of the natural gas liquids extracted by the plants by State. The majority of the plant operators reported only one area of origin for the natural gas that was processed by a plant. The State or area of origin reported is generally also the plant's location.

## Form EIA-64A Reporting Requirements

Form EIA-64A consisted of the reporting schedule shown in Figure I6, Appendix I. The form identifies the plant, its geographic location, the plant operator's name and address, and the parent company name. The certification was signed by a responsible official of the operating entity. The form pertains to the volume of natural gas received and of natural gas liquids produced at the plant, allocated to each area of origin. Operators also filed the data pertaining to the amount

of natural gas shrinkage that resulted from extraction of natural gas liquids at the plant, and the amount of fuel used in processing.

Natural gas liquids volumes were reported rounded to thousands of barrels of 42 U.S. gallons at 60° Fahrenheit, and natural gas volumes were reported rounded to millions of cubic feet. All natural gas volumes were requested to be reported at 60° Fahrenheit and a pressure base of 14.73 pounds per square inch absolute. Other minor report preparation standards were specified to assure that the filed data could be readily processed.

## Form EIA-64A Comparison with Other Data Series

Form EIA-64A plant liquids production data were compared with data collected on Form EIA-816, "Monthly Natural Gas Liquids Report." Aggregated production from Form EIA-816 represents the net volume of natural gas processing plant liquid output less input for the report year. These data are published in EIA's *Petroleum Supply Annual* reports. The Form EIA-64A annual responses reflect all corrections and revisions to EIA's monthly estimates. Differences,

Table E4. Natural Gas Processed and Liquids Extracted at Natural Gas Processing Plants, 2002

	Volume of Nat	Volume of Natural Gas Delivered to Processing Plants						
Plant Location	State Production	Federal Production	Out of State Production	Natural Gas Processed	Total Liquids Extracted			
		(million cubic	c feet)		(thousand barrels)			
Alaska	2,997,824		0	2,997,824	29,102			
Alabama	44,507	244,274	1,383	290,164	10,431			
Arkansas	12,635		0	12,635	287			
California	248,274	1,397		249,671	9,933			
Colorado	534,295		0	534,295	22,856			
Florida	3,365		2,698	6,063	833			
Kansas	442,681		129,363	572,044	27,439			
Kentucky	41,078		0	41,078	1,290			
Louisiana	1,187,766	2,583,235		3,771,001	108,359			
Michigan	47,292		0	47,292	3,103			
Mississippi	2,525	260,931		263,456	12,615			
Montana	6,030		0	6,030	433			
North Dakota	59,894		0	59,894	4,610			
New Mexico	994,953		0	994,953	75,862			
Oklahoma	852,691		1,529	854,220	57,163			
Texas	3,815,008	23,925	22,181	3,861,114	254,960			
Utah	133,245		3,598	136,843	2,519			
West Virginia	95,942		31,102	127,044	7,114			
Wyoming	1,045,183		38,677	1,083,860	52,220			
Miscellanous <sup>a</sup>	11,281		149	11,430	517			
Total	12,576,469	3,113,762	230,680	15,920,911	681,646			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes Illinois, Ohio, and Pennsylvania.

Source: Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production," 2002

when found, were reconciled in both sources. For 2002, the Form EIA-64A National estimates were less than 1 percent (15 million barrels) lower than the *Petroleum Supply Annual* 2002 volume for natural gas plant liquids production.

#### Table E5. Form EIA-64A 2001 Plant Frame Activity

Frame as of 2000 survey mailing	525
Additions	121
Deletions	-131
Frame as of 2001 survey mailing	515

Note: Includes operator frame activity through January 31, 2003. Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

## Form EIA-64A Frame Maintenance

The Form EIA-64A plant frame contains data on all known active and inactive natural gas processing plants in the United States. The 2002 plant frame was compared to listings of natural gas processing plants from Form EIA-816, "Monthly Natural Gas Liquids Report"; the *LPG Almanac*; and the *Oil and Gas Journal*. A list of possible additions to the plant frame was compiled. **Table E5** summarizes the Form EIA-64A plant frame changes made as a result of the comparisons as of January 31, 2003.

## **Statistical Considerations**

## **Statistical Considerations**

### **Survey Methodology**

The Form EIA-23 survey is designed to provide reliable estimates for reserves and production of crude oil, natural gas, and lease condensate for the United States. Operators of crude oil and natural gas wells were selected as the appropriate respondent population because they have access to the most current and detailed information, and therefore, presumably have better reserve estimates than do other possible classes of respondents, such as working interest or royalty owners.

While large operators are quite well known, they comprise only a small portion of all operators. The small operators are not well known and are difficult to identify because they go into and out of business, alter their corporate identities, and change addresses frequently. As a result, EIA conducts extensive frame maintenance activities each year to identify all current operators of crude oil and natural gas wells in the country.

### **Sampling Strategy**

EIA publishes data on reserves and production for crude oil, natural gas, and lease condensate by State for most States, and by State subdivision for the States of California, Louisiana, New Mexico, and Texas. To meet the survey objectives, while minimizing respondent burden, a random sampling strategy has been used since 1977. Each operator reporting on the survey is asked to report production for crude oil, natural gas, and lease condensate for each State/subdivision in which he operates. The term **State/subdivision** refers to an individual subdivision within a State or an individual State that is not subdivided.

The total volume of production varies among the State/subdivisions. To meet the survey objectives while controlling total respondent burden, EIA selected the following target sampling error for the 2002 survey for each product class.

- 1.0 percent for National estimates.
- 1.0 percent for each of the 5 States having subdivisions: Alaska, California, Louisiana,

New Mexico, and Texas. For selected subdivisions within these States, targets of 1.0 percent or 1.5 percent as required to meet the State target.

- 2.5 percent for each State/subdivision having 1 percent or more of estimated U.S. reserves or production in 2001 (lower 48 States) for any product class.
- 4 percent for each State/subdivision having less than 1 percent of estimated U.S. reserves or production in 2001 (lower 48 States) for all 3 product classes.
- 8 percent for States not published separately. The combined production from these States was less than 0.2 percent of the U.S. total in 2001 for crude oil and for natural gas.

The Certainty stratum, therefore, has three components.

- Category I Large Operators: Operators who produced a total of 1.5 million barrels or more of crude, or 15 billion cubic feet or more of natural gas, or both in 2001.
- Category II Intermediate Operators: Operators who produced a total of at least 400,000 barrels of crude oil or 2 billion cubic feet of natural gas, or both, but less than Category I operators in 2001.
- Category III Small Operators: Operators who produced less than the Category II operators in 2001, but which were selected with certainty. Category III operators were subdivided into operators sampled with certainty (Certainty) and operators that were randomly sampled (Noncertainty).
  - Certainty A small operators who satisfied any of the following criteria based upon their production shown in the operator frame:
    - Operators with annual crude oil production of 200 thousand barrels or more, or reserves of 4 million barrels or more; or annual natural gas production of 1 billion cubic feet or more, or reserves of 20 billion cubic feet or more.
    - All other operators with production or reserves in a State/subdivision that

Table F1. 2002 EIA-23 Initial Number of Operators in Survey Sample

		Noncertainty Sample			
State and Subdivision	Number of Certainty Operators	Number of Single State Operators	Number of Multi-State Operators		
Alabama Onshore	47	2	6		
Alaska	7	0	0		
Arkansas	90	7	6		
California-Coastal Region Onshore	18	0	1		
California-Los Angeles Basin Onshore	25	3	0		
California-San Joaquin Basin Onshore	49	4	1		
Colorado	124	20	10		
Florida-Onshore	2	0	0		
llinois	32	11	14		
ndiana	31	7	9		
Kansas	168	70	24		
Kentucky	25	9	13		
ouisiana-North	165	9	7		
Louisiana-North Louisiana-South Onshore	190	4	7		
Michigan	42	5	3		
	91	1	2		
Mississippi-Onshore	63	1	4		
/lontana			=		
lebraska	33	2	11		
New Mexico-East	161	1	6		
New Mexico-West	58	1	0		
lew York	19	4	6		
North Dakota	64	1	1		
Ohio	31	50	4		
Oklahoma	302	124	34		
Pennsylvania	54	27	3		
exas-RRC District 1	138	8	12		
exas-RRC District 2 Onshore	154	2	7		
exas-RRC District 3 Onshore	236	7	11		
exas-RRC District 4 Onshore	179	5	8		
exas-RRC District 5	87	3	6		
exas-RRC District 6	170	4	9		
exas-RRC District 7B	225	23	15		
exas-RRC District 7C	169	2	10		
exas-RRC District 8	211	3	11		
exas-RRC District 8A	191	2	12		
exas-RRC District 9	170	8	11		
exas-RRC District 10	156	9	3		
Jtah	53	2	0		
/irginia	12	0	0		
Vest Virginia	60	25	7		
Vyoming	137	1	4		
Offshore Areas	273	0	0		
Other States <sup>a</sup>	18	3	1		
Total	b <sub>1,045</sub>	470	<sup>b</sup> 162		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes Arizona, Connecticut, Delaware, Georgia, Idaho, Iowa, Massachusetts, Maryland, Minnesota, Missouri, North Carolina, New Hampshire, Nevada, New Jersey, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Washington, and Wisconsin.

<sup>b</sup>Nonduplicative count of operators by States.

Note: Sampling rate was 7 percent except in Alaska, Florida Onshore, Virginia, and Offshore areas where sampling rate was 100 percent. — = Not applicable.

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

- exceed selected cutoff levels for that State/subdivision.
- The largest operator in each State/subdivision regardless of level of production or reserves.
- Operators with production or reserves of oil or gas for six or more State/subdivisions.
- Noncertainties Small operators not in the certainty stratum were classified in a noncertainty stratum.
  - In most areas, data from the noncertainty operators were sampled at a rate of 3 percent.
  - Only the operators in the following 10 states were included in the noncertainty sample: Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, New York, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia.

In each State/subdivision the balance between the number of small certainty operators and the sample size was determined in an iterative procedure designed to minimize the number of total respondents. The iteration for each State/subdivision began with only the Category I and Category II operators in the certainty stratum. The size of the sample of small operators required to meet the target variance was calculated based on the variance of the volumes of those operators. For a number of State/subdivisions with high correlations between frame values across pairs of consecutive years, an adjusted target variance was calculated, that utilized the information about the correlations. This allowed the selection of a smaller sample that still met the target sampling error criteria. At each iteration a small operator, beginning with the largest of the Category III operators, was added to the certainty group and the required sample size was again calculated. The procedure of adding one operator at a time stopped when the proportion of operators to be sampled at random dropped below 3 percent. Independent samples of single location operators (operators who, according to the sampling frame, operate in only one State/subdivision) were selected from each State/subdivision using systematic random sampling.

An additional complexity is introduced because some small operators selected for the sample in another region or regions, sometimes report production volumes in a region in which EIA has no previous record of production.

State/subdivision volume estimates are calculated as the sum of the certainty strata and all of the estimates for the sampling strata in that region. The sampling variance of the estimated total is the sum of the sampling variances for the sampling strata. There is no sampling error associated with the certainty stratum. The square root of the sampling variance is the standard error. It can be used to provide confidence intervals for the State/subdivision totals.

For the States in which subdivision volume estimates are published, the State total is the sum of the individual volume estimates for the subdivisions. The U.S. total is the sum of the State estimates. A sampling variance is calculated for each State subdivision, State, and for the U.S. Total.

Sampling rates are shown in Table F1.

#### **Total U.S. Reserve Estimates**

Conceptually, the estimates of U.S. reserves and production can be thought of as the sum of the estimates for the individual States. Correspondingly, the estimates for the four States for which estimates are published separately by subdivision (California, Louisiana, New Mexico, and Texas) can be thought of as the sum of the estimates by subdivision. The remaining States are not subdivided and may be considered as a single subdivision.

The estimates of year-end proved reserves and annual production for any State/subdivision is the sum of the volumes in the State/subdivision reported by the certainty stratum operators and an estimate of the total volume in the State/subdivision by the noncertainty stratum operators. Mathematically, this may be stated as the following sum:

$$\hat{V}_s = V_{sc} + \hat{V}_{sr}$$

where

 $\hat{V}_s$  = estimated total volume in the State/subdivision

 $V_{SC}$  = total volume in the State/subdivision reported by Certainty operators

 $\hat{V}_{sr}$  = estimated total volume in the State/subdivision of Noncertainty operators.

The total volume of Certainty operators in the State/subdivision is simply the sum of individual operator's volumes:

$$V_{sc} = \sum_{m=1}^{n_{sc}} V_{scm}$$

where

 $n_{SC}$  = number of Certainty operators reporting production in the State/subdivision

 $V_{scm}$  = volume reported by the *m*-th certainty stratum operator in the State/subdivision.

The estimated total volume of Noncertainty operators in the State/subdivision is the weighted sum of the reports of the noncertainty sample operators:

$$\hat{V}_{sr} = \sum_{m=1}^{n_{sr}} W_{srm} V_{srm}$$

where

 $n_{SF}$  = number of Noncertainty operators reporting production in the State/subdivision

 $V_{SPM}$  = volume reported by the m-th Noncertainty sample operator in the State/subdivision

 $W_{Srm}$  = weight for the report by the m-th Noncertainty sample operator reporting production in the State/subdivision.

In many State/subdivisions, the accuracy of the oil and gas estimates was improved by using the probability proportional to size procedure. This procedure took advantage of the correlation between year-to-year production reports. The weights used for estimating the oil production for a State / subdivision were different from the weights used for estimating the gas production.

The weight used for the estimation is the reciprocal of the probability of selection for the stratum from which the sample operator was selected. In making estimates for a State/ subdivision, separate weights are applied as appropriate for noncertainty operators shown in the frame as having had production in only the State/ subdivision, for those shown as having had production in that State/subdivision and up to four other State/ subdivisions, and for operators with no previous record of production in the State/subdivision. National totals were then obtained by summation of the component totals.

### **Imputation for Operator Nonresponse**

The nonresponse rate for Certainty operators for the 2002 survey was 0.1 percent and for the Noncertainty operators 0.4 percent. An imputation was made for the production and reserves for these 3 nonresponding operators.

## Imputation and Estimation for Reserves Data

In order to estimate reserve balances for National and State/subdivision levels, a series of imputation and estimation steps at the operator level must be carried out. Year-end reserves for operators who provided production data only were imputed on the basis of their production volumes. Imputation was also applied to the small and intermediate operators as necessary to provide data on each of the reserve balance categories (i.e., revisions, extensions, or new discoveries). Finally, an imputation was required for the natural gas data of the small operators to estimate their volumes of associated-dissolved and nonassociated natural gas. The final manipulation of the data accounts for the differences caused by different sample frames from year to year. Each of these imputations generated only a small percentage of the total estimates. The methods used are discussed in the following sections.

There were 514 operators sampled proportional to size (Table E1) that responded as Category III Noncertainty operators. Only 193 of these, located in 10 states, had their data weighted and used to estimate the production and reserves of the operators that were not sampled in those states. The remaining 321 Noncertainty sampled operators were treated as certainty sampled operators with a weight of 1 and were used in states where the bulk of the operator production data was obtained from Auxillary State Data.

The data reported by operator category on Form EIA-23 and data imputed and estimated for report year 2002 are summarized in **Tables F2**, **F3**, **F4**, **and F5**. The reported data in **Table F2** shows that those responding operators accounted for 99.7 percent of the published production for wet natural gas and 95.5 percent of the reserves shown in **Table 9**. Data shown in **Table F3** indicate that those responding operators accounted for 99.7 percent of the nonassociated natural gas production and 95.6 percent of the reserves published in **Table 10**. The reported data shown in **Table F4** indicate that those responding operators accounted for 99.6 percent of published crude oil production and 94.9

Table F2. Summary of Form EIA-23 Reported, Imputed, and Estimated Natural Gas Data for 2002, Wet after Lease Separation (Million Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60 Degrees Fahrenheit)

Number of Operators	164 172,712,687 18,306,878 17,336,477 9,002,529 9,521,240 13,302,479 1,289,495	426 11,960,730 1,443,815 1,397,777 1,030,453	Certainty III  Reported  731 <sup>a</sup> 219,620  53,532  42,956	Noncertainty III 193 <sup>a</sup>	Auxillary State Data 11,539	Total
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/01	172,712,687 18,306,878 17,336,477 9,002,529 9,521,240 13,302,479	11,960,730 1,443,815 1,397,777 1,030,453	731 <sup>a</sup> 219,620 53,532	193 <sup>a</sup> -	11,539	12.052
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/01	172,712,687 18,306,878 17,336,477 9,002,529 9,521,240 13,302,479	11,960,730 1,443,815 1,397,777 1,030,453	219,620 53,532	193 <sup>a</sup> -	11,539	12 052
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/01	18,306,878 17,336,477 9,002,529 9,521,240 13,302,479	1,443,815 1,397,777 1,030,453	53,532	-		13,053
(-) Revision Decreases	17,336,477 9,002,529 9,521,240 13,302,479	1,397,777 1,030,453			-	184,893,037
(-) Sales	9,002,529 9,521,240 13,302,479	1,030,453	42 956	-	-	19,804,225
(+) Acquisitions	9,521,240 13,302,479		12,000	-	-	18,777,210
(+) Extensions	13,302,479		72,771	-	-	10,105,753
		1,040,754	6,156	-	-	10,568,150
(+) New Field Discoveries	1 220 706	1,715,041	7,359	-	-	15,024,879
		70,945	0	-	-	1,360,440
(+) New Reservoirs in Old Fields (-) Production With	1,290,049	395,853	105	-	-	1,686,007
Proved Reserves Reported (-) Production Without	17,272,536	1,417,427	112,537	6,318	-	18,808,818
Proved Reserves Reported	14,580	523,407	190,429	16,278	643,447	1,388,141
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/02	172,819,334	12,810,212	1,080,294	91,659	-	186,801,499
		Imput	ed and Esti	mated		
Number of Operators	0	0	0	9,770	0	9,770
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/01	0	0	-	-	-	0
(+) Revision Increases	10,121	523,583	349,103	81,204	471,886	1,435,898
(-) Revision Decreases	14,090	514,649	326,066	62,118	508,101	1,425,024
(-) Sales	6,104	171,757	121,178	5,101	254,987	559,128
(+) Acquisitions	7,247	203,950	106,835	5,475	201,020	524,527
(+) Extensions	6,068	199,713	94,141	20,889	122,722	443,533
(+) New Field Discoveries	287	6,065	3,070	1,205	3,044	13,671
<ul><li>(+) New Reservoirs in Old Fields</li><li>(-) Production With</li></ul>	1,479	24,260	12,179	1,503	26,693	66,114
Proved Reserves Reported (-) Production Without	0	0	0	16,323	-	16,323
Proved Reserves Reported Proved Reserves as of 12/31/02	0 83,824	0 3,756,375	0 1,377,404	31,899 563,041	0 2,978,940	31,899 8,759,584
Troved Neserves as of 12/51/02	03,024	3,730,373		303,041	2,370,340	0,700,004
			Total			
Number of Operators	164	426	731	9,963	11,539	22,823
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/01	172,712,687	11,960,730	219,620	-	-	184,893,037
(+) Revision Increases	18,316,999	1,967,398	402,635	81,204	471,886	21,240,123
(-) Revision Decreases	17,350,567	1,912,426	369,022	62,118	508,101	20,202,234
(-) Sales	9,008,633	1,202,210	193,949	5,101	254,987	10,664,881
(+) Acquisitions	9,528,487	1,244,704	112,991 101,500	5,475	201,020 122,722	11,092,677
(+) Extensions	13,308,547 1,289,782	1,914,754 77,010	3,070	20,889 1,205	3,044	15,468,412 1,374,111
(+) New Reservoirs in Old Fields	1,291,528	420,113	12,284	1,503	26,693	1,752,121
(-) Production With	, ,	•	•	•	,	
Proved Reserves Reported (-) Production Without	17,272,536	1,417,427	112,537	22,641	-	18,825,141
Proved Reserves Reported	14,580	523,407	190,429	48,177	643,447	1,420,040
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/02	172,903,158	16,566,587	2,457,698	654,700	2,978,940	195,561,083
			Summary			
Total Number of Operators	164 0.7%	426 1.9%	731 3.29	9,963 % 43.7%	11,539 50.6%	22,823 100.0%
Total Production in 2002 Percent of Total	17,287,116 85.4%	1,940,834 9.6%	302,966 1.59	70,818 % 0.3%	643,447 3.2%	20,245,181 100.0%
Total Proved Reserves 12/31/02 Percent of Total	172,903,158 88.4%	16,566,587 8.5%	2,457,298 1.29	654,700 % 0.3%	2,978,940 1.5%	195,561,083

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>There were 514 noncertainty responses, 193 were used with their sample weights and 324 were used like Certainty III operators. – = Not applicable.

Notes: Table 9 totals include imputed and estimated wet natural gas proved reserves rounded at the State/subdivision level. Field level data are reported volumes and may not balance due to submission of incomplete reserve component records. Source: Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," 2002.

Table F3. Summary of Form EIA-23 Reported, Imputed, and Estimated Nonassociated Natural Gas Data for 2002, Wet after Lease Separation (Million Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60 Degrees Fahrenheit)

Operator Category						
Level of Reporting	ı	II	Certainty III	Noncertainty III	Auxillary State Data	Total
			Reported			
Number of Operators	164	426	731 <sup>a</sup>	193 <sup>a</sup>	11,539	13,053
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/01	145,792,834	10,430,368	161,786	_	-	156,384,988
(+) Revision Increases	15,200,773	1,206,879	50,413	-	_	16,458,065
(-) Revision Decreases	15,236,917	1,139,366	256	-	_	16,376,539
(-) Sales	8,221,213	952,127	71,259	-	_	9,244,599
(+) Acquisitions	8,839,777	931,425	5,747	-	-	9,776,949
(+) Extensions	12,666,431	1,566,313	6,696	-	-	14,239,440
(+) New Field Discoveries	1,067,701	64,536	0	-	-	1,132,237
(+) New Reservoirs in Old Fields (-) Production With	978,536	380,937	0	-	-	1,359,473
Proved Reserves Reported (-) Production Without	14,723,152	1,251,908	95,645	6,042	-	16,076,747
Proved Reserves Reported	14,455	454,347	162,273	16,023	491,983	1,139,081
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/02	146,372,818	11,262,703	937,942	88,485	-	158,661,948
		Imput	ed and Esti	imated		
Number of Operators	0	0	0	9,770	0	9,770
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/01	0	0	-	-	-	0
(+) Revision Increases	10,081	457,306	299,439	73,165	355,243	1,195,235
(-) Revision Decreases	13,983	452,362	277,434	54,697	390,236	1,188,712
(-) Sales	4,565	113,946	80,650	3,562	153,977	356,701
(+) Acquisitions	6,751	165,267	85,381	4,203	146,444	408,046
(+) Extensions	6,012	176,024	80,434	18,908	94,938	376,315
(+) New Field Discoveries	298	5,818	2,950	1,211	2,708	12,985
<ul><li>(+) New Reservoirs in Old Fields</li><li>(-) Production With</li></ul>	1,555	23,458	11,459	1,557	23,609	61,639
Proved Reserves Reported (-) Production Without	0	0	0	14,030	-	14,030
Proved Reserves Reported	0	0	0	29,465	0	29,465
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/02	83,535	3,295,307	1,188,599	514,273	2,277,575	7,359,289
			Total			
Number of Operators	164	426	731	9,963	11,539	22,823
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/01	145,792,834	10,430,368	161,786	-	-	156,384,988
(+) Revision Increases	15,210,854	1,664,185	349,852	73,165	355,243	17,653,300
(-) Revision Decreases	15,250,900	1,591,728	277,690	54,697	390,236	17,565,251
(-) Sales	8,225,778	1,066,073	151,909	3,562	153,977	9,601,300
(+) Acquisitions	8,846,528	1,096,692	91,128	4,203	146,444	10,184,995
(+) Extensions	12,672,443	1,742,337	87,130	18,908	94,938	14,615,755
(+) New Field Discoveries	1,067,999	70,354	2,950	1,211	2,708	1,145,222
<ul><li>(+) New Reservoirs in Old Fields</li><li>(-) Production With</li></ul>	980,091	404,395	11,459	1,557	23,609	1,421,112
Proved Reserves Reported (-) Production Without	14,723,152	1,251,908	95,645	20,072	-	16,090,777
Proved Reserves Reported	14,455	454,347	162,273	45,488	491,983	1,168,5464
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/02	146,456,353	14,558,010	2,126,641	602,758	2,277,575	166,021,237
Total Number of Oresisters	404	400	Summary	0.000	44 500	20.000
Total Number of Operators	164 0.7%	426 1.9%	731 3.2°	9,963 % 43.7%	11,539 50.6%	22,823 100.0%
Total Production in 2002 Percent of Total	14,737,607 85.4%	1,706,255	257,918	65,560	491,983	17,259,323
Total Proved Reserves 12/31/02 Percent of Total	146,456,353 88.2%	14,558,010	2,126,541	602,758 % 0.4%	2,277,575 1.4%	166,021,237

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>There were 514 noncertainty responses, 193 were used with their sample weights and 324 were used like Certainty III operators. – = Not applicable.

Notes: Table 10 totals include imputed and estimated nonassociated wet natural gas proved reserves rounded at the State/subdivision level. Field level data are reported volumes and may not balance due to submission of incomplete reserve component records. Source: Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," 2002.

Table F4. Summary of Form EIA-23 Reported, Imputed, and Estimated Crude Oil Data for 2002,

(Thousand Barrels of 42 U.S. Gallons)

	Operator Category							
Level of Reporting	ı	II	Certainty III	Noncertainty III	Auxillary State Data	Total		
			Reported					
Number of Operators	164	426	731 <sup>a</sup>	193 <sup>a</sup>	11,539	13,053		
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/01	20,330,757	979,763	20,841	-		21,331,361		
(+) Revision Increases	1,561,926	161,251	4,237	-		1,727,414		
(-) Revision Decreases	903,968	100,503	1,602	-		1,006,073		
(-) Sales	632,808	40,957	3,059	-		676,824		
( +) Acquisitions	563,872	151,072	159	-		715,103		
(+) Extensions	407,816	48,049	1,466	-		457,331		
(+) New Field Discoveries	294,678	5,004	0	-		299,682		
<ul><li>(+) New Reservoirs in Old Fields</li><li>(-) Production With</li></ul>	140,296	9,976	240	-		150,512		
Proved Reserves Reported (-) Production Without	1,571,623	97,555	20,927	856	-	1,690,961		
Proved Reserves Reported	78	30,546	43,837	2,552	98,898	175,911		
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/02	20,190,946	1,117,537	197,280	8,047	-	21,513,810		
		Imput	ed and Esti	imated				
Number of Operators	0	0	0	9,770	0	9,770		
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/01	0	0	-	-	-	0		
(+) Revision Increases	32	31,107	73,855	6,421	86,472	197,886		
(-) Revision Decreases	35	25,990	65,258	1,659	106,430	199,371		
(-) Sales	7	13,415	41,067	824	72,184	127,497		
(+) Acquisitions	11	17,762	46,003	1,631	47,517	112,925		
(+) Extensions	9	6,848	12,776	349	14,686	34,668		
(+) New Field Discoveries	0	92	145	4	78	319		
<ul><li>(+) New Reservoirs in Old Fields</li><li>(-) Production With</li></ul>	3	814	1,076	45	1,772	3,710		
Proved Reserves Reported (-) Production Without	0	0	0	855	-	855		
Proved Reserves Reported	0	0	0	3,648	0	3,648		
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/02	241	255,225	326,907	35,755	546,635	1,164,763		
			Total					
Number of Operators	164	426	731	9,963	11,539	22,823		
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/01	20,330,757	979,763	20,841	-	-	21,331,361		
(+) Revision Increases	1,561,958	192,358	78,092	6,421	86,472	1,925,300		
(-) Revision Decreases	904,003	126,493	66,860	1,659	106,430	1,205,444		
(-) Sales	632,815	54,372	44,126	824	72,184	804,321		
(+) Acquisitions	563,883	168,834	46,162	1,631	47,517	828,028		
(+) Extensions	407,825	54,897	14,242	349	14,686	491,999		
(+) New Field Discoveries	294,678	5,096	145	4	78	300,001		
<ul><li>(+) New Reservoirs in Old Fields</li><li>(-) Production With</li></ul>	140,299	10,790	1,316	45	1,772	154,222		
Proved Reserves Reported (-) Production Without	1,571,623	97,555	20,927	1,711	-	1,691,816		
Proved Reserves Reported	78	30,546	43,837	6,200	98,898	179,559		
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/02	20,191,187	1,372,762	524,187	43,802	546,635	22,678,573		
			Summary					
Total Number of Operators	164 0.7%	426 1.9%	731 3.2°	9,963 % 43.7%	11,539 50.6%	22,823 100.0%		
Total Production in 2002 Percent of Total	1,571,701 84.0%	128,101 6.8%	64,764 3.5°	7,911 % 0.4%	98,898 5.3%	1,871,375 100.0%		
Total Proved Reserves 12/31/02 Percent of Total	20,191,187 89.0%	1,372,762 6.1%	524,187 2.3°	43,802 % 0.2%	546,635 2.4%	22,678,573 100.0%		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>There were 514 noncertainty responses, 193 were used with their sample weights and 324 were used like Certainty III operators. – = Not applicable.

Notes: Table 6 totals include imputed and estimated crude oil proved reserves rounded at the State/subdivision level. Field level data are reported volumes and may not balance due to submission of incomplete reserve component records. Source: Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," 2002.

Table F5. Summary of Form EIA-23 Reported, Imputed, and Estimated Lease Condensate Data for 2002, (Thousand Barrels of 42 U.S. Gallons)

Operator Cate				Category		
Level of Reporting	1	II	Certainty III	Noncertainty III	Auxillary State Data	Total
			Reported			
Number of Operators	164	426	731 <sup>a</sup>	193 <sup>a</sup>	11,539	13,053
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/01	1,260,452	91,957	369	-	-	1,352,778
(+) Revision Increases	232,807	15,422	1,240	-	-	249,469
(-) Revision Decreases	269,431	19,164	25	-	-	288,620
(-) Sales	77,854	14,339	911	-	-	93,104
(+) Acquisitions	108,381	8,223	2	-	-	116,606
(+) Extensions	96,181	9,660	0	-	-	105,841
(+) New Field Discoveries	17,578	282	0	-	-	17,860
<ul><li>(+) New Reservoirs in Old Fields</li><li>(-) Production With</li></ul>	27,261	4,932	0	-	-	32,193
Proved Reserves Reported (-) Production Without	181,389	14,968	355	6	-	196,718
Proved Reserves Reported	354	4,594	863	9	4,692	10,512
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/02	1,213,977	82,079	2,107	56	-	1,298,219
		Imput	ed and Esti	imated		
Number of Operators	0	0	0	9,770	0	9,770
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/01	0	0	-	-	-	0
(+) Revision Increases	323	5,424	1,386	33	5,067	12,232
(-) Revision Decreases	400	6,216	1,604	13	5,448	13,682
(-) Sales	117	1,688	538	9	2,499	4,852
(+) Acquisitions	112	2,636	695	11	2,384	5,838
(+) Extensions	103	1,592	352	6	1,206	3,259
(+) New Field Discoveries	10	88	8	0	33	139
<ul><li>(+) New Reservoirs in Old Fields</li><li>(-) Production With</li></ul>	45	521	110	3	451	1,129
Proved Reserves Reported (-) Production Without	0	0	0	2	-	2
Proved Reserves Reported	0	0	0	7	0	7
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/02	1,547	22,049	3,810	48	18,292	45,746
			Total			
Number of Operators	164	426	731	9,963	11,539	22,823
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/01	1,260,452	91,957	369	-	-	1,352,778
(+) Revision Increases	233,130	20,846	2,626	33	5,067	261,701
(-) Revision Decreases	269,831	25,380	1,629	13	5,448	302,302
(-) Sales	77,971	16,027	1,449	9	2,499	97,956
(+) Acquisitions	108,493	10,859	697	11	2,384	122,444
(+) Extensions	96,284	11,252	352	6	1,206	109,100
(+) New Field Discoveries	17,588	370	8	0	33	17,999
<ul><li>(+) New Reservoirs in Old Fields</li><li>(-) Production With</li></ul>	27,306	5,453	110	3	451	33,322
Proved Reserves Reported (-) Production Without	181,389	14,968	355	8	-	196,720
Proved Reserves Reported	354	4,594	863	16	4,692	10,519
Proved Reserves as of 12/31/02	1,215,524	104,128	5,917	104	18,292	1,343,965
			Summary			
Total Number of Operators Percent of Total	164 0.7%	426 1.9%	731 3.2°	9,963 % 43.7%	11,539 50.6%	22,823 100.0%
Total Production in 2002 Percent of Total	181,743 87.7%	19,562 9.4%	24 0.0°	1,218	4,692 2.3%	207,239 100.0%
Total Proved Reserves 12/31/02	1,215,524	104,128	104	5,917	18,292	1,343,965
Percent of Total	90.4%	7.7%				100.0%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>There were 514 noncertainty responses, 193 were used with their sample weights and 324 were used like Certainty III operators. – = Not applicable.

Notes: Table 15 totals include imputed and estimated lease condensate proved reserves rounded at the State/subdivision level. Field level data are reported volumes and may not balance due to submission of incomplete reserve component records. Source: Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," 2002.

percent of the reserves shown in **Table 6**. Additionally, **Table F5** indicates that those responding operators accounted for 100 percent of the published production and 96.4 percent of the published proved reserves for lease condensate shown in **Table 15**.

### Imputation of Year-End Proved Reserves

Category I operators were required to submit year-end estimates of proved reserves. Category II and Category III operators were required to provide year-end estimates of proved reserves only if such estimates existed in their records. Some of these respondents provided estimates for all of their operated properties, others provided estimates for only a portion of their properties, and still others provided no estimates for any of their properties. All respondents did, however, provide annual production data. The production reported by Noncertainty sample operators and the corresponding imputed reserves were weighted to estimate the full noncertainty stratum when calculating reserves and production as previously described in the section "Total U.S. Reserves Estimates" in this appendix.

#### **R/P Function**

A year-end proved reserves estimate was imputed from reported production data in each case where an estimate was not provided by the respondent. A R/P function was derived and used to calculate a reserves-to-production (R/P) ratio, based on operator size and the geographic region where the operator's

properties were located. The R/P function has the following functional form for each geographic region:

Calculated P/[P+R] = Beta \* EXP(Alpha \* ln (1 + MOS))

- *Alpha, Beta* = Regional Coefficients (calculated).
- MOS = Measure of size for a respondent, which is equal to the barrel oil equivalent volume of a respondent's 2002 oil, gas, and condensate production (in units of thousand barrels per year).

**Table F6** lists the coefficients used for each region and the number of observations on which it was based. The regional areas used are similar to the National Petroleum Council Regions (**Figure F1**). These regions generally follow the boundaries of geologic provinces wherein the stage of resource development tends to be somewhat similar.

Once the R/P ratio was obtained for an operator, it could be multiplied by the reported or estimated production to give a proved reserves estimate. Operators that had production plus end of year reserves equal to zero were excluded from the respondents selected to calculate the R/P coefficients.

In 2002, the R/P function was used to estimate the proved reserves of all noncertainty operators in these States -- Texas, California, Colorado, Louisiana, Montana, New Mexico, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming, rather than rely on a weighted sample. These States were chosen for this new procedure because of the many years of historical production and reserves data within EIA and availability of reliable

Table F6. Statistical Parameters of Reserves Estimation Equation by Region for 2002

		Number of Nonzero			<b>Equation Coefficients</b>			
Region Number	Region	Oil	R/P Pairs Gas	LC	Oil Alpha Beta	Gas Alpha Beta	LC Alpha Beta	
1	Alaska	8	10	0	-0.1331 0.3956	-0.1170 0.3465	-0.0816 0.3921	
2	Pacific Coast States	55	63	3	-0.1331 0.3426	-0.1170 0.4123	-0.0816 0.6527	
2A	Federal Offshore Pacific	6	6	0	-0.1331 0.2644	-0.1170 0.2979	-0.0816 0.3921	
3	Western Rocky Mountains	93	142	61	-0.1331 0.3169	-0.1170 0.2873	-0.0816 0.2201	
4	Northern Rocky Mountains	165	151	46	-0.1331 0.3169	-0.1170 0.2873	-0.0816 0.2201	
5	West Texas and East New Mexico	534	548	181	-0.1331 0.3127	-0.1170 0.3456	-0.0816 0.3853	
6	Western Gulf Basin	546	855	570	-0.1331 0.4273	-0.1170 0.4223	-0.0816 0.3541	
6A	Gulf of Mexico	71	131	106	-0.1331 0.6948	-0.1170 0.6550	-0.0816 0.5103	
7	Mid-Continent	340	422	157	-0.1331 0.3333	-0.1170 0.3201	-0.0816 0.2234	
8 + 9	Michigan Basin and Eastern Interior	94	65	14	-0.1331 0.2933	-0.1170 0.1863	-0.0816 0.2595	
10 + 11	Appalachians	29	79	8	-0.1331 0.2933	-0.1170 0.1863	-0.0816 0.2595	
	United States	1941	2472	1146	-0.1331 0.4062	-0.1170 0.3944	-0.0816 0.3921	

Source: Based on data filed on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves, 2002".

State government and commercial production data for these States. This technique improved the correlation of EIA data with State and commercial production data, and reduced the burden of reporting and analysis on both EIA and the noncertainty operators in these States.

## Imputation of Annual Changes to Proved Reserves by Component of Change

Category II and Category III operators that do not keep reserves data were not asked to provide estimates of beginning-of-year reserves or annual changes to proved reserves by component of change, i.e., revisions, extensions, and discoveries. When they did not provide estimates, these volumes were estimated by either:

- applying an algebraic allocation scheme which preserved the relative relationships between these items within each State/subdivision, as reported by Category I and Category II operators, or
- applying a modified version of the R/P function to each separate component of change, calculated with its own set of geographically dependent coefficients. This method was used in all four states where the R/P Function was applied to calculate end of year reserves.


Figure F1. Form EIA-23 Regional Boundaries

Both methods preserved an exact annual reserves balance of the following form:

Published Proved Reserves at End of Previous Report Year

- + Adjustments
- + Revision Increases
- Revision Decreases
- Sales
- + Acquisitions
- + Extensions
- + New Field Discoveries
- + New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields
- Report Year Production
- = Published Proved Reserves at End of Report Year

The algebraic allocation method used for all but nine states in the 2002 survey worked as follows: A ratio was calculated as the sum of the annual production and year-end proved reserves of those respondents who did not provide the reserves balance components, divided by the sum of year-end proved reserves and annual production of those respondents of similar size who did provide these quantities. This ratio was then multiplied by each of the reserves balance components reported by Category I and some Category II operators, to obtain imputed volumes for the reserves balances of the other Category II operators and Certainty and Noncertainty operators. These were then added to the State/subdivision totals.



Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

### **Imputation of Natural Gas Type Volumes**

Operators in the State/subdivision certainty and noncertainty strata were not asked to segregate their natural gas volumes by type of natural gas, i.e., nonassociated natural gas (NA) associated-dissolved natural gas (AD). The total estimated year-end proved reserves of natural gas and the total annual production of natural gas reported by, or imputed to, operators in the State/subdivision certainty and noncertainty strata were, therefore, subdivided into the NA and AD categories, by State/subdivision, in the same proportion as was reported by Category I and Category II operators in the same area.

### **Adjustments**

The instructions for Schedule A of Form EIA-23 specify that, when reporting reserves balance data, the following arithmetic equation must hold:

Proved Reserves at End of Previous Year

- + Revision Increases
- Revision Decreases
- Sales
- + Acquisitions
- + Extensions
- + New Field Discoveries
- + New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields
- Report Year Production
- = Proved Reserves at End of Report Year

Any remaining difference in the State/subdivision annual reserves balance between the published previous year-end proved reserves and current year-end proved reserves not accounted for by the imputed reserves changes was included in the adjustments for the area. One of the primary reasons that adjustments are necessary is the instability of the Noncertainty operators sampled each year. There is no guarantee that in the smaller producing States/subdivision the same number of small operators will be selected each year, or that the operators selected will be of comparable sizes when paired with operators selected in a prior year. Thus, some instability of this stratum from year to year is unavoidable, resulting in minor adjustments.

Some of the adjustments are, however, more substantial, and could be required for any one or more of the following reasons:

- The frame coverage may or may not have improved between survey years, such that more or fewer Certainty operators were included in 2002 than in 2001.
- One or more operators may have reported data incorrectly on Schedule A in 2002 or 2001, but not both, and the error was not detected by edit processing.
- Operation of properties was transferred during 2002 from operators not in the frame or Noncertainty operators not selected for the sample to Certainty operators or Noncertainty operators selected for the sample.
- Respondent changed classification of natural gas from NA to AD or vice versa.
- The trend in reserve changes imputed for the small operators, which was based on the trend reported by the large operators, did not reflect the actual trend for the small operators.
- Noncertainty operators, who have grown substantially in size since they were added to the frame, occasionally cause a larger standard error than expected.
- The Noncertainty sample for either year in a state may have been an unusual one.

The causes of adjustments are known for some but not all areas. The only problems whose effects cannot be expected to balance over a period of several years are those associated with an inadequate frame or those associated with any actual trend in reserves changes for small operators not being the same as those for large operators. EIA continues to attempt to improve sources of operator data to resolve problems in frame completeness.

### Sampling Reliability of the Estimates

The sample of Noncertainty operators selected is only one of the large number of possible samples that could have been selected and each would have resulted in different estimates. The standard error or sampling error of the estimates provides a measure of this variability. When probability sampling methods are used, as in the EIA-23 survey, the sampling error of estimates can also be estimated from the survey data.

The estimated sampling error can be used to compute a confidence interval around the survey estimate, with a prescribed degree of confidence that the interval covers the value that would have been obtained if all operators in the frame had been surveyed. If the estimated

volume is denoted by  $\hat{V}_s$  and its sampling error by S.E. (  $\hat{V}_s$ ), the confidence interval can be expressed as:

$$\hat{V}_s \pm k S.E.(\hat{V}_s)$$

where k is a multiple selected to provide the desired level of confidence. For this survey, k was taken equal to 2. Then there is approximately 95 percent confidence that the interval:

$$\hat{V}_s \pm 2S.E.(\hat{V}_s)$$

includes the universe value, for both the estimates of reserves and production volumes. Correspondingly, for approximately 95 percent of the estimates in this report, the difference between the published estimate and the value that would be found from a complete survey of all operators is expected to be less than twice the sampling error of the estimate. Tables F7 and F8 provide estimates for 2S.E.  $(\hat{V}_s)$  by product. These estimates are directly applicable for constructing approximate 95 percent confidence intervals. For example, the 95 percent confidence interval for dry natural gas proved reserves is 186,946 ±935 billion cubic feet. The sampling error of  $\hat{V}_s$  is equal to the sampling error of the noncertainty estimate  $\hat{V}_{sr}$  , because the certainty total is not subject to sampling error. The estimated sampling error of a noncertainty estimate is the square root of its estimated sampling variance.

The noncertainty estimate for a given State/subdivision had two separately weighted components based on reports of:

- Type 1 Operators shown in the frame as having crude oil or natural gas production in the State/subdivision.
- Type 2 Operators shown in the frame as having no crude oil or natural gas production in the State/subdivision.

Correspondingly, the sampling variance had two components associated with the estimated production from each component:

$$Var(\hat{V}_{sr}) = Var(\hat{V}_{sr1}) + Var(\hat{V}_{sr2})$$

The Var(Vsr) was estimated as the sum of the estimated variances of the two component estimates. The variance for any component, say component j, was estimated from the formula:

$$Var(\hat{V}_{srj}) = n_{srj}(\frac{W_{srj}-1}{W_{srj}})S_{srj}^2$$

In general,  $V_{srj}$  denotes the production estimate from component j for each of the two types of operator, and  $Var(\hat{V_{srj}})$  denotes its variance where:

 $n_{sri}$  = Number of operators in sample in component j

 $w_{srj}$  = Weight for operator reports in component j

 $S_{sj}^2$  = variance between operator reports in component j.

If the subscripts sr are dropped,  $S_{srj}^2$  can be expressed as:

$$S_{j}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n_{j}} V_{ji}^{'2} - \left(\sum_{i}^{n_{j}} V_{ji}^{'}\right)^{2} / n_{j}}{n_{i} - 1}$$

Where

 $V_{ji}^{'}$  = Weighted production or reserves volume for the *i*-th sample operator in the component *j*.

The variance of the estimated total volume for a State having subdivisions is the sum of corresponding Type 1 and Type 2 components where the classification of operators by type is with regard to the State as a whole; e.g. Type 2 operators at the State level are those that were not shown in the sample frame as having production anywhere in the State.

Since there are no operators in the frame who would be classified as Type 2 at the U.S. level, there would be no Type 2 components at the U.S. Level. Therefore, at the U.S. Level, there was only one sample variance component calculated for Type 1 operators.

## **Nonsampling Errors**

Several sources of possible error, apart from sampling error, are associated with the Form EIA-23 survey. These include bias due to nonresponse of operators in the sample, proved reserve estimation errors, and reporting errors on the part of the respondents to the survey. On the part of EIA, possible errors include inadequate frame coverage, data processing error, and errors associated with statistical estimates. Each of these sources is discussed below. An estimate of the

Table F7. Factors for Confidence Intervals (2S.E.) for Crude Oil Proved Reserves and Production, 2002 (Million Barrels of 42 U.S. Gallons)

State and Subdivision	2002 Reserves	2002 Production	State and Subdivision	2002 Reserves	2002 Production
United States	17	2	Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	0	0
Alabama <sup>D</sup>	0	0	Pennşylvania	4	1
Alaska <sup>a</sup>	0	0	Texas <sup>D</sup>	0	0
Arkansas <sup>D</sup>	0	0	RRC District 1 <sup>b</sup>	0	0
California <sup>b</sup>	0	0	RRC District 2 Onshore, b	0	0
Coastal Region Onshore <sup>D</sup>	0	0	RRC District 3 Onshore	0	0
Los Angeles Basin Onshore	0	0	RRC District 4 Onshore <sup>b</sup>	0	0
San Joaquin Basin Onshore <sup>D</sup>	0	0	RRC District 5, <sup>b</sup>	0	0
State Offshore	0	0	RRC District 6 <sup>b</sup>	Ô	0
Colorado <sup>D</sup>	0	0	RRC District 7B <sup>b</sup>	Ô	0
Florida <sup>a</sup>	0	0	RRC District 7C <sup>b</sup>	Ô	0
Kansas <sup>b</sup>	0	0	RRC District 8 <sup>b</sup>	0	0
Kentucky	7	0	RRC District 8A <sup>b</sup>	0	0
Louisiana <sup>D</sup>	0	0	RRC District 9 <sup>b</sup>	0	0
North <sup>b</sup>	0	0	RRC District 10 <sup>b</sup>	0	0
South Onshore <sup>b</sup>	0	0	State Offshore <sup>a</sup>	0	0
State Offshore <sup>a</sup>	0	0	Utah <sup>b</sup>	0	0
Michigan <sup>D</sup>	0	0	Virginia <sup>a</sup>	0	0
Mississippi <sup>b</sup>	0	0		0	0
Montana	0	0	West Virginia	5	0
New Męxico <sup>b</sup>	0	0	Wyoming <sup>D</sup>	0	0
East <sup>b</sup>	0	0	Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup>	0	0
West <sup>b</sup>	0	0	Pacific (California) <sup>a</sup>	0	0
New York	0	0	Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup>	0	0
North Dakota <sup>b</sup>	0	0	Gulf of Mexico (Texas) <sup>a</sup>	0	0
Ohio	3	0	Miscellaneous <sup>c</sup>	5	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Sampling rate was 100 percent in Alaska, Florida Onshore, Virginia, and Offshore areas.

Table F8. Factors for Confidence Intervals (2S.E.) for Natural Gas Proved Reserves and Production, Wet After Lease Separation, 2002 (Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60 Degrees Fahrenheit)

State and Subdivision	2002 Reserves	2002 Production	State and Subdivision	2002 Reserves	2002 Production
United States	453	39	Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	0	0
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	0	0	Pennsylvania	196	15
Alaska <sup>a</sup>	0	0	Texas <sup>b</sup>	0	0
Arkansas <sup>D</sup>	0	0	RRC District 1 <sup>b</sup>	0	0
California <sup>0</sup>	0	0	RRC District 2 Onshore <sup>b</sup>	0	0
Coastal Region Onshore <sup>b</sup> ٍ	0	0	RRC District 3 Onshore <sup>b</sup>	Ô	0
Los Angeles Basin Onshore	0	0	RRC District 4 Onshore <sup>b</sup>	0	0
San Joaquin Basin Onshore	0	0	RRC District 5 <sup>b</sup>	0	0
State Offshorea	0	0	RRC District 6 <sup>b</sup>	0	0
Colorado <sup>D</sup>	0	0	RRC District 7B <sup>b</sup>	0	0
Florida <sup>a</sup>	0	0	RRC District 7C <sup>b</sup>	0	0
Kansas <sup>D</sup>	0	0	RRC District 8 <sup>b</sup>	0	0
Kentucky	68	7	RRC District 8	0	0
Louisiana	0	0		0	0
North <sup>b</sup>	0	0	RRC District 9 <sup>b</sup>	0	0
South Onshore <sup>b</sup>	0	0	RRC District 10 <sup>b</sup>	0	0
State Offshore <sup>a</sup>	0	0	State Offshore <sup>a</sup>	0	0
Michigan <sup>D</sup>	0	0	Utah <sup>b</sup>	0	0
Mississippi <sup>D</sup>	0	0	Virginia <sup>a</sup>	0	0
Montana <sup>D</sup>	0	0	West Virginia	108	8
New Męxico <sup>b</sup>	0	0	Wyoming <sup>D</sup>	0	0
East <sup>D</sup>	0	0	Federal Offshore <sup>a c</sup>	0	0
West <sup>b</sup>	0	0	Pacific (California) <sup>a</sup>	0	0
New York	107	6	Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) a c	0	0
North Dakota <sup>b</sup>	0	0	Gulf of Mexico (Texas) <sup>a</sup>	0	0
Ohio	286	28	Miscellaneous <sup>d</sup>	0	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Sampling rate was 100 percent in Alaska, Florida Onshore, Virginia, and Offshore areas.

Sampling was not used. Estimates for each operator were made using an imputation function.

CIncludes Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, South Dakota, and Tennessee.

Notes: Confidence intervals are associated with Table 6 reserves and production data. Factors for confidence intervals for each State and the United States are independently estimated and do not add.

Source: Factor estimates based on data filed on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," 2002.

Sampling was not used. Estimates for each operator were made using an imputation function.

Clincludes Federal offshore Alabama.

Clincludes Federal offshore Alabama.

Clincludes Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, South Dakota, and Tennessee. Notes: Confidence intervals are associated with Table 8 reserves and production data. Factors for confidence intervals for each State and the United States are independently estimated and do not add.

Source: Factor estimates based on data filed on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," 2002

bias from nonresponse is presented in the section on adjustment for operator nonresponse.

## Assessing the Accuracy of the Reserve Data

The EIA maintains an evaluation program to assess the accuracy and quality of proved reserve estimates gathered on Form EIA-23. Field teams consisting of petroleum engineers from EIA's Reserves and Production Division conduct technical reviews of reserve estimates and independently estimate the proved reserves of a statistically selected sample of operator properties. The results of these reviews are used to evaluate the accuracy of reported reserve estimates. Operators are apprized of the team's findings to assist them in completing future filings. The magnitude of errors due to differences between reserve volumes submitted by operators on the Form EIA-23 and those estimated by EIA petroleum engineers on their field trips were generally within accepted professional engineering standards.

### **Respondent Estimation Errors**

The principal data elements of the Form EIA-23 survey consist of respondent estimates of proved reserves of crude oil, natural gas, and lease condensate. Unavoidably, the respondents are bound to make some estimation errors, i.e., until a particular reservoir has been fully produced to its economic limit and abandoned, its reserves are not subject to direct measurement but must be inferred from limited, imperfect, or indirect evidence. A more complete discussion of the several techniques of estimating proved reserves, and the many problems inherent in the task, appears in Appendix G.

## Reporting Errors and Data Processing Errors

Reporting errors on the part of respondents are of definite concern in a survey of the magnitude and complexity of the Form EIA-23 program. Several steps were taken by EIA to minimize and detect such problems. The survey instrument itself was carefully developed, and included a detailed set of instructions for filing data, subject to a common set of definitions similar to those already used by the industry. Editing software is continually developed to detect different kinds of probable reporting errors and flag them for resolution by analysts, either through confirmation of

the data by the respondent or through submission of amendments to the filed data. Data processing errors, consisting primarily of random keypunch errors, are detected by the same software.

### **Imputation Errors**

Some error, generally expected to be small, is an inevitable result of the various estimations outlined. These imputation errors have not yet been completely addressed by EIA and it is possible that estimation methods may be altered in future surveys. Nationally, 5 percent of the crude oil proved reserve estimates, 4 percent of the wet natural gas proved reserve estimates, and 3 percent of the lease condensate proved reserve estimates resulted from the imputation and estimation of reserves for those Certainty and Noncertainty operators who did not provide estimates for all of their properties, in combination with the expansion of the sample of Noncertainty operators to the full population. Errors for the latter were quantitatively calculated, as discussed in the previous section. Standard errors, for the former, would tend to cancel each other from operator to operator, and are, therefore, expected to be negligible, especially at the National level of aggregation. In States where a large share of total reserves is accounted for by Category III and smaller Category II operators, the errors are expected to be somewhat larger than in States where a large share of total reserves is accounted for by Category I and larger Category II operators.

### Frame Coverage Errors

Of all the sources of controllable error connected with the Form EIA-23 survey, errors in the operator frame were expected to be the most important. If the frame does not list all operators in a given State, the sample selected from the frame for the State will not represent the entire operator population, a condition called under coverage. Under coverage is a problem with certain States, but it does not appear to be a problem with respect to the National proved reserve estimates for either crude oil or natural gas. While it is relatively straightforward to use existing sources to identify large operators and find addresses for them, such is not the case for small operators. A frame such as that used in the 2002 survey is particularly likely to be deficient in States where a large portion of total reserves and production is accounted for by small operators. These States are not likely to allocate sufficient resources to keep track of all operators on a current basis. Some under coverage of this type seems to exist, particularly, with reference to natural gas operators. EIA is continuing to work to remedy the under coverage problem in those States where it occurred.

### Calculation of Reserves of Natural Gas Liquids and Dry Natural Gas

#### **Natural Gas Liquids Reserve Balance**

The published reserves, production, and reserves change statistics for crude oil, lease condensate, and natural gas, wet after lease separation, were derived from the data reported on Form EIA-23 and the application of the imputation methods discussed previously. The information collected on Form EIA-64A was then utilized in converting the estimates of the wet natural gas reserves into two components: plant liquids reserve data and dry natural gas reserve data. The total natural gas liquids reserve estimates presented in **Table 14** were computed as the sum of plant liquids estimates (**Table 15**) and lease condensate (**Table 16**) estimates.

To generate estimates for each element in the reserves balance for plant liquids in a given producing area, the first step was to group all natural gas processing plants that reported this area as an area-of-origin on their Form EIA-64A, and then sum the liquids production attributed to this area over all respondents. Next, the ratio of the liquids production to the total wet natural gas production for the area was determined. This ratio represented the percentage of the wet natural gas that was recovered as natural gas liquids. Finally, it was assumed that this ratio was applicable to the reserves and each component of reserve changes (except adjustments), as well as production. Therefore, each element in the wet natural gas reserves balance was multiplied by this recovery factor to yield the corresponding estimate for plant liquids. Adjustments of natural gas liquids were set equal to the difference between the end of previous year reserve estimates, based upon the current report year Form EIA-23 and Form EIA-64A surveys, and the end of current year reserve estimates published in the preceding year's annual reserves report.

#### **Natural Gas Reserve Balance**

This procedure involved downward adjustments of the natural gas data, wet after lease separation, in estimating the volumes of natural gas on a fully dry basis. These reductions were based on estimates of the gaseous equivalents of the liquids removed (in the case of production), or expected to be removed (in the case of reserves), from the natural gas stream at natural gas processing plants. Form EIA-64A collected the volumetric reduction, or shrinkage, of the input natural gas stream that resulted from the removal of the NGL at each natural gas processing plant.

The shrinkage volume was then allocated to the plant's reported area or areas of origin. Because shrinkage is, by definition, roughly in proportion to the NGL recovered, i.e. the NGL produced, the allocation was in proportion to the reported NGL volumes for each area of origin. However, these derived shrinkage volumes were rejected if the ratio between the shrinkage and the NGL production (gas equivalents ratio) fell outside certain limits of physical accuracy. The ratio was expected to range between 1,558 cubic feet per barrel (where NGL consists primarily of ethane) and 900 cubic feet per barrel (where NGL consists primarily of natural gasolines). When the computed gas equivalents ratio fell outside these limits, an imputed ratio was utilized to estimate the plant's natural gas shrinkage allocation to each reported area of origin.

This imputed ratio was that calculated for the aggregate of all other plants reporting production and shrinkage, and having a gas equivalent ratio within the aforesaid limits, from the area in question. The imputed area ratio was applied only if there were at least five plants to base its computation on. If there were less than five plants, the imputed ratio was calculated based on all plants in the survey whose individual gas equivalents ratio was within the acceptable limits. Less than one percent of the liquids production was associated with shrinkage volumes imputed in this manner. Based on the 2002 Form EIA-64A survey, the national weighted average gas equivalents ratio was computed to be 1,404 cubic feet of natural gas shrinkage per barrel of NGL recovered. The total shrinkage volume (reported plus imputed) for all plants reporting a given area of origin was then subtracted from the estimated value of natural gas production, wet after lease separation, yielding dry natural gas production for the area. The amount of the reduction in the wet natural gas production was then expressed as a percentage of the wet natural gas production. Dry natural gas reserves and reserve changes were determined by reducing the wet natural gas reserves and reserve changes by the same percentage reduction factor.

A further refinement of the estimation process was used to generate an estimate of the natural gas liquids reserves in those States with coalbed methane fields. The States where this procedure was applied were Alabama, Colorado, Kansas, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wyoming. The first step in the process was to identify all Form EIA-23 reported coalbed methane fields. The assumption was made that coalbed methane fields contained little or no extractable natural gas liquids. Therefore, when the normal shrinkage procedure was applied to the wet gas volume reserve components, the estimate of State coalbed methane volumes were excluded and were not reduced for liquid extraction. Following the computation for shrinkage, each coalbed field gas volume reserve components was added back to each of the dry gas volume reserve components in a State. The effect of this is that the large increases in

reserves in some States from coalbed methane fields did not cause corresponding increases in the State natural gas liquids proved reserves.

Adjustments of dry natural gas were set equal to the difference between the end of previous year reserves estimates, based upon the current report year Form EIA-23 and Form EIA-64A surveys, and the end of current year reserve estimates published in the preceding year's annual reserves report.

Each estimate of end of year reserves and report year production has associated with it an estimated sampling error. The standard errors for dry natural gas were computed by multiplying the wet natural gas standard errors by these same percentage reduction factors. **Table F7** provides estimates for 2 times the  $S.E.(\hat{V}_{\epsilon})$  for dry natural gas.

## Appendix G

# **Estimation of Reserves and Resources**

## **Estimation of Reserves and Resources**


#### Oil and Gas Resource Base

Universally accepted definitions have not been developed for the many terms used by geologists, engineers, accountants and others to denote various components of overall oil and gas resources. In part, this is because most of these terms describe estimated and therefore uncertain, rather than measured, quantities. The lack of standardized terminology sometimes leads to inaccurate understanding of the meaning and/or import of estimates. Particularly common is an apparently widespread lack of understanding of the substantial difference between the terms "reserves" and "resources", as indicated by the frequent misuse of either term in place of the other.

The total resource base of oil and gas is the entire volume formed and trapped in-place within the Earth before any production. The largest portion of this total resource base is nonrecoverable by current or foreseeable technology. Most of the nonrecoverable volume occurs at very low concentrations throughout the earth's crust and cannot be extracted short of mining the rock or the application of some other approach that would consume more energy than it produced. An additional portion of the total resource base cannot be recovered because currently available production techniques cannot extract all of the in-place oil and gas even when present in commercially viable concentrations. The inability to recover all of the in-place oil and gas from a producible deposit occurs because of unfavorable economics, intractable physical forces, or a combination of both. Recoverable resources, the subset of the total resource base that is of societal and economic interest, are defined so as to exclude these nonrecoverable portions of the total resource base.

The structure presented in **Figure G1** outlines the total resource base and its components. The total resource base first consists of the recoverable and nonrecoverable portions discussed above. The next level down divides recoverable resources into discovered and undiscovered segments. Discovered resources are further separated into cumulative (i.e., all

Figure G1. Components of the Oil and Gas Resource Base



Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

past) production, and reserves. Reserves are additionally subdivided into proved reserves and "other reserves".

#### Recoverable Resources

Discovered recoverable resources are those economically recoverable quantities of oil and gas for which specific locations are known. While the specific locations of estimated undiscovered recoverable resources are not yet known, they are believed to exist in geologically favorable settings.

Current estimates of undiscovered recoverable resources merit discussion in order to provide a useful sense of scale relative to proved reserves. The sources of official estimates of domestic undiscovered recoverable resources are two agencies of the Department of the Interior (DOI), the United States Geological Survey (USGS) for onshore areas and those offshore waters subject to State jurisdiction, and the Minerals Management Service (MMS) for those offshore waters under Federal jurisdiction.

The USGS defines undiscovered recoverable conventional resources as those expected to be resident in accumulations of sufficient size and quality that they could be produced using conventional recovery technologies, without regard to present economic viability. Therefore, only part of the USGS undiscovered recoverable conventional resource is economically recoverable now. The USGS also defines a class of resources that occur in "continuous-type" accumulations. Unlike conventional oil and gas accumulations, continuous-type accumulations do not occur in discrete reservoirs of limited areal extent. They include accumulations in low-permeability (tight) sandstones, shales, and chalks, and those in coal beds. Again, only part of the continuous-type technically recoverable resource is economically recoverable now. In fact, only a small portion of the in-place continuous-type resource accumulations are estimated to be technically recoverable now. Table G1 presents a compilation of USGS and MMS estimates.

Technically recoverable resources of dry natural gas (discovered, unproved, and undiscovered) are estimated at 1,431 trillion cubic feet (**Table G1**). Adding the 2002 U.S. proved reserves of 187 trillion cubic feet yields a technically recoverable resource target of 1,618 trillion cubic feet. This is about 84 times the 2002 dry gas production level.

Other organizations have also estimated unproven technically recoverable gas resources. For example, the Potential Gas Committee (PGC), an industry sponsored group, provides detailed geology–based gas resource estimates every 2 years. In 2000 the PGC mean estimate of potential gas resources was 1,091 trillion cubic feet, about 340 trillion cubic feet less than the estimates in **Table G1**. Another recent estimate was made by the National Petroleum Council (NPC), an industry–based group that serves in an advisory capacity to the U.S. Secretary of Energy. The NPC's estimate, based on data available at year–end 1999, was 1,555 trillion cubic feet, 124 trillion cubic feet more than the estimates summarized in **Table G1**. The differences among these

estimates are usually due to the availability of newer data, differences in coverage or resource category definitions, and legitimate but differing data interpretations.

While the estimation of undiscovered resources is certainly a more imprecise endeavor than is the estimation of proved reserves, it is clear that substantial volumes of technically recoverable oil and gas resources remain to be found and produced domestically. Current estimates indicate that as much domestic gas remains to be found and then produced as has been to date. Of course, much effort, investment and time will be required to bring this gas to market.

There is a perception that the oil resource base has been more intensively developed than the gas resource base. And in fact, more oil has been produced in the United States than is estimated as remaining recoverable. Nevertheless, the ratio of unproven technically recoverable oil resources to 2002 oil production (**Table G1**) was about 93 to 1, higher than the comparable gas ratio.

#### **Federal Land Resources**

Estimates of technically recoverable resources that underlie Federal jurisdiction lands are listed in **Table G1**. These estimates are based on National assessments performed by the USGS and the MMS. It is estimated that 60 percent of the technically recoverable resources of crude oil, 52.4 percent of the dry gas resources, and 34.7 percent of the natural gas liquids resources underlie Federal lands.

#### **Discovered Resources**

In addition to cumulative production, which is the sum of current year production and the production in all prior years, estimates of discovered recoverable resources include estimates of reserves. Broadly, reserves are those volumes that are believed to be recoverable in the future from known deposits through the eventual application of present or anticipated technology.

#### Reserves

Reserves include both **proved reserves** and **other reserves**. Several different reserve classification systems are in use by different organizations, as preferred for operational reasons. These systems utilize and incorporate various definitions of terms such as measured reserves, indicated reserves, inferred reserves,

Table G1. Mean Estimates of Technically Recoverable Oil and Gas Resources by Deposit Type and Location

Area	Jurisdiction	Crude Oil <sup>a</sup> (billion barrels)	Natural Gas (Dry) (trillion cubic feet)	Natural Gas Liquids (billion barrels)
Undiscovered Conventionally Reservoired Fields	<u> </u>			
Alaska Onshore + State Offshore	Federal	3.75	33.97	0.54
Alaska Onshore + State Offshore	Other	4.68	95.37	0.61
Alaska Federal Offshore	Federal	24.90	122.60	0.00
Lower 48 States Onshore + State Offshore	Federal	3.79	23.97	1.26
Lower 48 States Onshore + State Offshore	Other	17.83	166.41	5.64
Lower 48 States Federal Offshore	Federal	50.10	239.60	0.00
Alaska Subtotal		33.33	251.94	1.15
Alaska Percentage Federal		86.0%	62.1%	47.0%
Lower 48 States Subtotal		71.72	429.98	6.90
Lower 48 States Percentage Federal		75.1%	61.3%	18.3%
Technically Recoverable Resources in U.S. Undiscovered Conventionally Reservoired Fi	elds	105.05	681.92	8.05
Percentage Federal		78.6%	61.6%	22.4%
Ultimate Recovery Appreciation				
U.S. Onshore + State Offshore	Federal	14.33	118.70	4.94
U.S. Onshore + State Offshore	Other	45.67	203.30	8.46
U.S. Federal Offshore	Federal	7.70	68.00	0.00
Technically Recoverable Resources in U.S. from Ultimate Recovery Appreciation in Disconventionally Reservoired Fields	overed	67.70	390.00	13.40
U.S. Percentage Federal		32.5%	47.9%	36.9%
Continuous Type Deposits				
Non-coal bed	Federal	0.32	127.08	1.45
Non-coal bed	Other	1.75	181.72	0.67
Coal bed	Federal	0.00	16.08	0.00
Coal bed	Other	0.00	33.83	0.00
Non-coal bed Subtotal		2.07	308.80	2.12
Non-coal bed Percentage Federal		15.5%	41.2%	68.4%
Coal bed Subtotal		0.00	49.91	0.00
Coal bed Percentage Federal		0.0%	32.2%	0.0%
Technically Recoverable Resources in U.S. from Continuous Type Deposits		2.07	358.71	2.12
Continuous Type Percentage Federal		15.5%	39.9%	68.4%
U.S. Totals All Sources				
U.S. Onshore + State Offshore	Federal	22.19	319.80	8.19
U.S. Onshore + State Offshore	Other	69.93	680.63	15.38
Federal Offshore	Federal	82.70	430.20	0.00
Federal Subtotal		104.89	750.00	8.19
U.S. Technically Recoverable Resources		174.82	1,430.63	23.57
Percentage Federal		60.0%	52.4%	34.7%

Notes:

Proved Reserves are <u>not</u> included in these estimates.

Federal Onshore excludes Indian and Native lands even when Federally managed in trust.

Zero (0) indicates either that none exists in this area or that no estimate of this resource has been made for this area.

#### Table G1. Mean Estimates of Technically Recoverable Oil and Gas Resources by Deposit Type and Location (continued)

Notes (continued): Federal Offshore indicates MMS estimates for Federal Offshore jurisdictions (Outer Continental Shelf and deeper water areas seaward of State Offshore).

Probable and Possible reserves are considered by USGS definition to be part of USGS Reserve Growth, but are separately considered by the MMS as its Unproved Reserves term. The USGS did not set a time limit for the duration of Reserve Growth; the MMS set the year 2020 as the time limit in its estimates of Reserve Growth in existing fields of the Gulf of Mexico.

Excluded from the estimates are undiscovered oil resources in tar deposits and oil shales, and undiscovered gas resources in geopressured brines and gas hydrates.

Data Sources: National Oil and Gas Resource Assessment Team, 1996 National Assessment of United States Oil and Gas Resources,

Circular 1118, United States Geological Survey, Washington DC, 1995.

D.L Gautier, G.L. Dolton, and E.D. Atanasi, 1995 National Oil and Gas Assessment and Onshore Federal Lands, Open File Report 95-75-N, United States Geological Survey, Washington DC, January 1998.

Resource Evaluation Program, Outer Continental Shelf Petroleum Assessment 2000, Brochure 7, Minerals Management Service, Washington, DC, January 2001 at <a href="http://www.mms.gov/revaldiv/RedNatAssessment.htm">http://www.mms.gov/revaldiv/RedNatAssessment.htm</a>.

Resource Evaluation Program, An Assessment of the Undiscovered Hydrocarbon Potential of the Nation's Outer Continental Shelf, OCS Report MMS 96-0034, Minerals Management Service, Washington, DC, 1996.

Minerals Management Service, Mineral Revenues 1996, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC, 1997, Table 12 on p. 33 and Table 23 on p. 70.

Energy Information Administration, U.S. Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Natural Gas Liquids Reserves 1996 Annual Report, Washington, DC, December 1997, Table 15 on p. 39.

Energy Information Administration, Petroleum Supply Annual 1996, Washington, DC, June 1997, Volume 1, Table 14 on p. 96.

Energy Information Administration, Natural Gas Annual 1996, Washington, DC, September 1997, Table 3 on p. 12.

probable reserves, and possible reserves. As used by the different organizations, the definitions that attach to these terms sometimes overlap, or the terms may require a slightly different interpretation from one organization to the next. Nevertheless, all kinds of "other reserves" are generally less well known and therefore less precisely quantifiable than proved reserves, and their eventual recovery is less assured.

Measured reserves are defined by the USGS as that part of the identified (i.e., discovered) economically recoverable resource that is estimated from geologic evidence and supported directly by engineering data. [45] They are similarly defined by the MMS, although its system also subdivides them by degree of development and producing status. [46] Measured reserves are demonstrated with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions, and are essentially equivalent to proved reserves as defined by the EIA. Effectively, estimates of proved reserves may be thought of as reasonable estimates (as opposed to exact measures) of "on-the-shelf inventory".

Inferred reserves and indicated reserves, due to their more uncertain economic or technical recoverability, are included in the "other reserves" category. The USGS defines inferred reserves as that part of the identified economically recoverable resource, over and above both measured and indicated (see below) reserves, that will be added to proved reserves in the future through extensions, revisions, and the discovery of new pay zones in already discovered fields. [43] Inferred reserves are considered equivalent to

"probable reserves" by many analysts, for example, those of the PGC.

#### **Proved Reserves**

The EIA defines proved reserves as those volumes of oil and gas that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions.

When deterministic proved reserves estimation methods are used, the term reasonable certainty is intended to express a high degree of confidence that the estimated quantities will be recovered. When probabilistic methods are used there should be at least a 90 percent probability that the actual quantities recovered will exceed the estimate.

Proved reserves are either proved producing or proved nonproducing (i.e., resident in reservoirs that did not produce during the report year). The latter may represent a substantial fraction of total proved reserves.

### **Reserve Estimation Methodologies**

The adoption of a standard definition of proved reserves for each type of hydrocarbon surveyed by the Form EIA-23 program provided a far more consistent response from operators than if each operator had used their own definition. Such standards, however, do not guarantee that the resulting estimates themselves are determinate. Regardless of the definition selected, proved reserves cannot be measured directly. They are estimated quantities that are inferred on the basis of the best geological, engineering, and economic data available to the estimator, who generally uses considerable judgment in the analysis and interpretation of the data. Consequently, the accuracy of a given estimate varies with and depends on the quality and quantity of raw data available, the estimation method used, and the training and experience of the estimator. The element of judgment commonly accounts for the differences among independent estimates for the same reservoir or field.

## Data Used in Making Reserve Estimates

The raw data used in estimating proved reserves include the engineering and geological data for reservoir rock and its fluid content. These data are obtained from direct and indirect measurements. The data available for a given reservoir vary in kind, quality, and quantity. When a reservoir is first discovered only data from a single well are available, and prior to flow testing or actual production, proved reserves can only be inferred. As development of the reservoir proceeds, and flow tests are made or actual production commences, more and more data become available, enabling proved reserves estimates to become more accurate.

Many different kinds of data are useful in making reserves estimates. They may include: data on porosity, permeability, and fluid saturations of the reservoir rocks (obtained directly from core analysis or from various types of electrical measurements taken in a well or several wells); data on the production of fluids from a well or several wells; geologic maps of the areal extent, thickness, and continuity of the reservoir rocks (inferred from well logs, geophysical, and geological data); and reservoir pressure and temperature data. Also involved are economic data including the current price of crude oil and natural gas, and various developmental and operating costs.

### **Reserve Estimation Techniques**

Depending on the kinds and amounts of data available, and a judgment on the reliability of those data, the estimator will select one of several methods of making a proved reserves estimate. Methods based on production performance data are generally more accurate than those based strictly on inference from

**Table G2. Reserve Estimation Techniques** 

Comments
Applies to crude oil and natural gas reservoirs. Based on raw engineering and geologic data.
Applies to crude oil and natural gas reservoirs. Is used in estimating reserves. Usually of more value in predicting reserves, and reservoir performance.
Applies to nonassociated and associated gas reservoirs. The method is a special case of material balance equation in the absence of water influx.
Applies to crude oil and natural gas reservoirs during production decline (usually in the later stages of reservoir life).
nApplies to crude oil and natural gas reservoirs. Is used in estimating reserves. Usually of more value in predicting reservoir performance. Accuracy increases when matched with past pressure and production data.
Applied to crude oil and natural gas reservoirs. Based on rule of thumb or analogy with another reservoir or reservoirs believed to be similar; least accurate of methods used.

geological and engineering data. Such methods include the *Production Decline* method (for crude oil or natural gas reservoirs), the *Material Balance* method (for crude oil reservoirs), the *Pressure Decline* method (which is actually a material balance, for natural gas reservoirs), and the *Reservoir Simulation* method (for crude oil or natural gas reservoirs). The reservoir type and production mechanisms and the types and amounts of reliable data available determine which of these methods is more appropriate for a given reservoir. These methods are of comparable accuracy.

Methods not based upon production data include the *Volumetric* method (for crude oil or natural gas reservoirs) and the *Nominal* method. Of these, the *Volumetric* method is the more accurate. Both methods, however, are less accurate than those based on production data. **Table G2** summarizes the various methods.

## Judgmental Factors in Reserve Estimation

The determination of rock and hydrocarbon fluid properties involves judgment and is subject to some uncertainty; however, the construction of the geologic maps and cross sections and the determination of the size of the reservoir are the major judgmental steps in the Volumetric method, and are subject to the greatest uncertainty. Estimates made using the Material Balance method, the Reservoir Simulation method, or the Pressure Decline method are based on the estimator's judgment that the type of reservoir drive mechanism has been identified and on the specification of abandonment conditions. Estimates based on the Production Decline method are subject to judgment in constructing the trend line, and are based on the estimator's assumption of reservoir performance through abandonment.

Contributing to the degree of uncertainty inherent in the above methods for estimating reserves are other factors associated with economic considerations and the perceived reservoir limits, which together influence the final reserves estimate. A brief discussion of these other factors follows.

**Economic considerations**: There has been continuing debate about the effects of prices on proved reserves. Although no all–inclusive statement can be made on the impact of price, the points at issue can be discussed and some general remarks can be made about some circumstances where price may be a factor.

- Developed gas fields In a gas reservoir, price affects the economic limit (i.e., the production rate required to meet operating costs) and, therefore, the abandonment pressure. Thus, price change has some effect on the conversion of noneconomic hydrocarbon resources to the category of proved reserves. In both nearly depleted reservoirs and newly developed reservoirs, the actual increase in the quantity of proved reserves resulting from price rises is generally limited in terms of national volumes (even though the percentage increase for a given reservoir may be great).
- Developed oil fields In developed crude oil reservoirs many of the same comments apply; however, there is an additional consideration. If the price is raised to a level sufficient to justify initiation of an improved recovery project, and if the improved recovery technique is effective, then the addition to ultimate recovery from the reservoir can be significant. Because of the

speculative nature of predicting prices and costs many years into the future, proved reserves are estimated on the basis of current prices, costs, and operating practices in effect as of the date the estimation was made.

■ Successful exploration efforts — Price can have a major impact on whether a new discovery is produced or abandoned. For example, the decision to set casing in a new onshore discovery, or to install a platform as the result of an offshore discovery, are both price—sensitive. If the decision is made to set pipe or to install a platform, the discoveries in both cases will add to the proved reserves total. If such projects are abandoned, they will make no contribution to the proved reserves total.

Effect of operating conditions: Operating conditions are subject to change caused by changes in economic conditions, unforeseen production problems, new production practices or methods, and the operator's financial position. As with economic conditions, operating conditions to be expected at the time of abandonment are speculative. Thus, current operating conditions are used in estimating proved reserves. In considering the effect of operating conditions, a distinction must be made between processes and techniques that would normally be applied by a prudent operator in producing his oil and gas, and initiation of changes in operating conditions that would require substantial new investment.

- Compression ■ Compression – facilities normally installed when the productive capacity or deliverability of a natural gas reservoir or its individual wells declines. In other cases compression is used in producing shallow, low-pressure reservoirs or reservoirs in which the pressure has declined to a level too low for the gas to flow into a higher pressure pipeline. The application of compression increases the pressure and, when economical, is used to make production into the higher pressure pipeline possible. Compression facilities normally require a significant investment and result in a change in operating conditions. It increases the proved reserves of a reservoir, and reasonably accurate estimates of the increase can be made.
- Well stimulation Procedures that increase productive capacity (workovers, such as acidizing or fracturing, and other types of production practices) are routine field operations. The procedures accelerate the rate of production from the reservoir, or extend its life, and they have only small effect on proved

reserves. Reasonable estimates of their effectiveness can be made.

- Improved recovery techniques These techniques involve the injection of a fluid or fluids into a reservoir to augment natural reservoir energy. Because the response of a given reservoir to the application of an improved recovery technique cannot be accurately predicted, crude oil production that may ultimately result from the application of these techniques is classified as "indicated additional reserves of crude oil" rather than as proved reserves until response of the reservoir to the technique has been demonstrated. In addition, improved recovery methods are not applicable to all crude oil reservoirs. Initiation of improved recovery techniques may require significant investment.
- Infill drilling Infill drilling (drilling of additional wells within a field/reservoir) may result in a higher recovery factor, and, therefore, be economically justified. Predictions of whether infill drilling will be justified under current economic conditions are generally based on the expected production behavior of the infill wells.


**Reservoir limits**: The initial proved reserves estimate made from the discovery well is subject to significant uncertainty because one well provides little information on the size of the reservoir. The area proved by a discovery well is frequently estimated on the basis of experience in a given producing region. Where there is continuity of the producing formation over wide geographic areas, a relatively large proved area may be assigned. In some cases where reliable geophysical and geological data are available, a reasonable estimate of the extent of the reservoir can be made by drilling a relatively small number of delineation wells. Conversely, a relatively small proved area may be assigned when the producing formation is of limited continuity, owing to either structural or lithological factors.

Additional wells provide more information and reduce the uncertainty of the reserves estimate. As additional wells are drilled, the geometry of the reservoir and, consequently, its bulk volume, become more clearly defined. This process accounts for the large extensions to proved reserves typical of the early stages of most reservoir development.

## **Maps of Selected State Subdivisions**

# Maps of Selected State Subdivisions

Figure H1. Subdivisions of Alaska



Source: After U.S. Geological Survey.

Figure H2. Subdivisions of California




Figure H3. Subdivisions of Louisiana



Figure H4. Subdivisions of New Mexico




Figure H5. Subdivisions of Texas




Figure H6. Western Planning Area, Gulf of Mexico Outer Continental Shelf Region




Figure H7. Central Planning Area, Gulf of Mexico Outer Continental Shelf Region





Figure H8. Eastern Planning Area, Gulf of Mexico Outer Continental Shelf Region



# **Annual Survey Forms for Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves**

### Figure I1. Form EIA-23, Cover Page

## Energy Information Administration

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ENERGY INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION Washington, DC 20585

Form Approved OMB No. 1905-0057 Expiration Date: 12/31/03 (Revised 2000)

	•				(Revis	ed 2000)					
	FORM EIA	-23									
ANNUAL	SURVEY OF DOMESTIC		ND GAS RES	ERVES							
	REPORT YEAR	2 0	0 2								
This report is mandatory under the Federal Ener concerning the confidentiality of information and	gy Administration Act of 1974 (Publ	c Law 9	3-275). For the pro-		Resubmission?						
PART I. IDENTIFICATION	canonicin claremone, oce content			•	•						
		Affix	mailing label or en	ter mailing add	ess						
Complete and return by April 15, 2003 to:	EIA Identification Number:		0000								
Energy Information Administration U.S. Department of Energy		<u> </u>									
P O Box 20907	Company Name:										
Silver Spring, MD 20907 Attn: Form EIA-23	Street or P.O Box:										
OR Fax to: (202) 586-1076/ATTN: FORM EIA-23	City, State, Zip Code:										
	EIN:										
Questions? Call 1-800-879-1470											
1. Contact Information (person most kno	whedgeable about the reported	2. \	Nas your company	an oil and das	field operator at a	ny time					
data)			during calendar ye	_	-	-					
Contact Person (Please Print):		,	page 1)								
Phone Number: ( ) -	Ext.		(1) No C	omplete only iter	ns 3 through15 belo	w and					
Thore Number.	LAG	,	return this page.	omplete omy item	is 3 tillough 13 belo	w anu					
Fax Number: ()			(2) Yes Co	omplete rest of fo	orm.						
E-mail Address:											
3. Company Status, Name, and/or Address	Change or Correction. (Check ap	propriat	e box.)								
Name and address on mailing la	ahel are correct										
i –	et person, and/or mailing address,as	indicato	d bolow								
	•	liluicate	d below.								
Company was sold to or merged	, ,	<									
4. Change Company Name, Address, Empl	Operations transferred to company over Identification Number (EIN)	and/or	Contact Informatio	n to:							
Company Name:		X									
Street or P. O. Box:	B										
City, State, Zip Code:	C/V.										
EIN:	<del></del>										
Contact Person (Please Print):											
Phone Number: ( ) -	Ext. Fax number:	( )		E-Mail Addre	ess:						
Comments:											
PART II. PARENT COMPANY IDENT	TEICATION										
5. Is there a parent company which exercise	C C	Name			7. Parent Compa	any EIN					
control over your company?											
(1) \( \sum_{\text{No. Anomore 4.2 through 4.5}}\)	8. Address										
(1) No Answer 12 through 15.	10	State	11. Zip Code								
(2) Yes Answer 6 through 15.	9. City		10.								
PART III. ATTESTATION (I hereby sweat	ar or affirm that I have reviewed th	is Forn	n EIA-23 report and	am familiar wit	h its contents, and	I that to					
the best of my knowledge, information, and be 12. Attestor ( <i>Please Print</i> )	pelier, the information provided ar	d appe	nded is true and co	mplete.)							
12.7 Medici (Freddo Frink)			13. 1100								
14. Signature			<b>15</b> . Date								

Little 18 USC 1001 makes it a criminal offense for any person knowingly and willingly to make to any Agency or Department of the United States any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

Figure I2. Form EIA-23, Summary Report - Page 1

1.1 OPERATOR I.D. CODE		CRUDE OIL	(From properties for which reserves were Not Estimated) (MBbis)	RESERVES Proved Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MMCF) (D)	REPORT DATE	DUCTION  (From properties for which reserves were	RESERVES	SE CONDENS 2002 PRO	
STATE OR   GEOGRAPHIC   SUBDIVISION	Proved Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MBbls) (A)	2002 PRC (From properties for which reserves were Estimated) (MBbls)	(From properties for which reserves were Not Estimated) (MBbls)	Proved Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MMCF)	NATURAL GA  2002 PRO  (From properties for which reserves were Estimated) (MMCF)	DUCTION  (From properties for which reserves were	RESERVES	2002 PRO	
STATE OR   GEOGRAPHIC   SUBDIVISION	Proved Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MBbls) (A)	2002 PRC (From properties for which reserves were Estimated) (MBbls)	(From properties for which reserves were Not Estimated) (MBbls)	Proved Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MMCF)	NATURAL GA  2002 PRO  (From properties for which reserves were Estimated) (MMCF)	DUCTION  (From properties for which reserves were	RESERVES	2002 PRO	
STATE OR   GEOGRAPHIC   SUBDIVISION	Proved Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MBbls) (A)	2002 PRC (From properties for which reserves were Estimated) (MBbls)	(From properties for which reserves were Not Estimated) (MBbls)	Proved Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MMCF)	2002 PRO (From properties for which reserves were Estimated) (MMCF)	DUCTION  (From properties for which reserves were	RESERVES	2002 PRO	
GEOGRAPHIC SUBDIVISION           ALABAMA-ONSHORE         AL           ALABAMA-STATE OFFSHORE         ALOS           ALASKA-NORTH ONSHORE AND OFFSHORE         AK50           ALASKA-SOUTH ONSHORE         AK10           ALASKA-SOUTH STATE OFFSHORE         AK05           ARIZONA         AZ           ARIZONA         AZ           CALFORNIA-COASTAL REGION ONSHORE         CA50           CALIFORNIA-SAN JOAQUIM BASIN ONSHORE         CA10           CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE         CA05           COLORADO         CO           FLORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FLORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FLORIDA-ONSHORE         FL	Proved Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MBbls) (A)	2002 PRC (From properties for which reserves were Estimated) (MBbls)	(From properties for which reserves were Not Estimated) (MBbls)	Proved Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MMCF)	2002 PRO (From properties for which reserves were Estimated) (MMCF)	DUCTION  (From properties for which reserves were	RESERVES	2002 PRO	
GEOGRAPHIC SUBDIVISION           ALABAMA-ONSHORE         AL           ALABAMA-STATE OFFSHORE         ALOS           ALASKA-NORTH ONSHORE AND OFFSHORE         AK50           ALASKA-SOUTH ONSHORE         AK10           ALASKA-SOUTH STATE OFFSHORE         AK05           ARIZONA         AZ           ARIZONA         AZ           CALFORNIA-COASTAL REGION ONSHORE         CA50           CALIFORNIA-SAN JOAQUIM BASIN ONSHORE         CA10           CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE         CA05           COLORADO         CO           FLORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FLORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FLORIDA-ONSHORE         FL	Proved Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MBbls) (A)	(From properties for which reserves were Estimated) (MBbls)	(From properties for which reserves were Not Estimated) (MBbls)	Proved Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MMCF)	(From properties for which reserves were Estimated) (MMCF)	(From properties for which reserves were	Proved		DUCTION
SUBDIVISION           ALABAMA-ONSHORE         AL           ALABAMA-STATE OFFSHORE         AL05           ALASKA-NORTH ONSHORE AND OFFSHORE         AK50           ALASKA-SOUTH ONSHORE         AK10           ALASKA-SOUTH STATE OFFSHORE         AK05           ARIZONA         AZ           ARKANSAS         AR           CALIFORNIA-COASTAL REGION ONSHORE         CA50           CALIFORNIA-SAN JOAQUIN BASIN ONSHORE         CA06           CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE         CC01           CALORADO         CO           FLORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FL ORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FL ORIDA-STATE OFFSHORE         FL05	Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MBbls) (A)	which reserves were Estimated) (MBbls)	which reserves were Not Estimated) (MBbls)	Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MMCF)	which reserves were Estimated) (MMCF)	which reserves were			
SUBDIVISION           ALABAMA-ONSHORE         AL           ALABAMA-STATE OFFSHORE         AL05           ALASKA-NORTH ONSHORE AND OFFSHORE         AK50           ALASKA-SOUTH ONSHORE         AK10           ALASKA-SOUTH STATE OFFSHORE         AK05           ARIZONA         AZ           ARIZONA         AZ           CALFORNIA-COASTAL REGION ONSHORE         CA50           CALIFORNIA-COASTAL REGION ONSHORE         CA50           CALIFORNIA-SAN JOAQUIN BASIN ONSHORE         CA10           CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE         CC0           COLORADO         CO           FL ORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FL ORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FL ORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FL ORIDA-ONSHORE         FL	Dec. 31, 2002 (MBbls) (A)	which reserves were Estimated) (MBbls)	which reserves were Not Estimated) (MBbls)	Dec. 31, 2002 (MMCF)	which reserves were Estimated) (MMCF)	which reserves were		(From properties for	(From properties for
ALABAMA-STATE OFFSHORE ALO  ALASKA-NORTH ONSHORE AND OFFSHORE AK50  ALASKA-SOUTH ONSHORE AK10  ALASKA-SOUTH ONSHORE AK10  AK10  ALASKA-SOUTH STATE OFFSHORE AK20  ARIZONA  AZ  ARKANSAS  CALIFORNIA-COASTAL REGION ONSHORE CA50  CALIFORNIA-COASTAL REGION ONSHORE CA50  CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE CA10  CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE CA0  COLORADO  COLORADO  FLORIDA-ONSHORE	(B)	(C)	(D)		Not Estimated (MMCF)	Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MBbls)	which reserves were Estimated) (MBbls)	which reserves were Not Estimated) (MBbls)	
ALABAMA-STATE OFFSHORE ALO  ALASKA-NORTH ONSHORE AND OFFSHORE AK50  ALASKA-SOUTH ONSHORE AK10  ALASKA-SOUTH ONSHORE AK10  AK10  ALASKA-SOUTH STATE OFFSHORE AK20  ARIZONA  AZ  ARKANSAS  CALIFORNIA-COASTAL REGION ONSHORE CA50  CALIFORNIA-COASTAL REGION ONSHORE CA50  CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE CA10  CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE CA0  COLORADO  COLORADO  FLORIDA-ONSHORE			(5)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)	
ALASKA-NORTH ONSHORE AND OFFSHORE  ALASKA-SOUTH ONSHORE  ALASKA-SOUTH STATE OFFSHORE  AK05  ARIZONA  AZ  ARIKANSAS  AR  CALIFORNIA-COASTAL REGION ONSHORE  CALIFORNIA-SAN JOAQUIN BASIN ONSHORE  CALIFORNIA-SAN JOAQUIN BASIN ONSHORE  CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE  COLORADO  COLORADO  COFFINIA-STATE OFFSHORE  FLORIDA-ONSHORE  FLORIDA-ONSHORE  FL									
ALASKA-SOUTH ONSHORE AK10  ALASKA-SOUTH STATE OFFSHORE AK05  ARIZONA AZ  ARKANSAS AR  CALIFORNIA-COASTAL REGION ONSHORE CA50  CALIFORNIA-LOS ANGELES BASIN ONSHORE CA90  CALIFORNIA-SAN JOAQUIN BASIN ONSHORE CA10  CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE CA05  COLORADO CO  LORIGORIO-STATE OFFSHORE FL  FLORIDA-ONSHORE FL									
ALASKA-SOUTH STATE OFFSHORE									
ARIZONA         AZ           ARKANSAS         AR           CALIFORNIA-COASTAL REGION ONSHORE         CA50           CALIFORNIA-LOS ANGELES BASIN ONSHORE         CA90           CALIFORNIA-SAN JOAQUIN BASIN ONSHORE         CA10           CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE         CA05           COLORADO         CO           FLORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FLORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FLORIDA-STATE OFFSHORE         FL05									
CALIFORNIA-COASTAL REGION ONSHORE         CASO           CALIFORNIA-LOS ANGELES BASIN ONSHORE         CA90           CALIFORNIA-SAN JOAQUIN BASIN ONSHORE         CA10           CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE         CA05           COLORADO         CO           FLORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FLORIDA-STATE OFFSHORE         FL05									
CALIFORNIA-COASTAL REGION ONSHORE         CASO           CALIFORNIA-LOS ANGELES BASIN ONSHORE         CA90           CALIFORNIA-SAN JOAQUIN BASIN ONSHORE         CA10           CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE         CA05           COLORADO         CO           FLORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FLORIDA-STATE OFFSHORE         FL05									
CALIFORNIA-SAN JOAQUIN BASIN ONSHORE         CA10           CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE         CA05           COLORADO         CO           FLORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FLORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FLORIDA-STATE OFFSHORE         FL05									
CALIFORNIA-STATE OFFSHORE         CA05           COLORADO         CO           FLORIDA-ONSHORE         FL           FLORIDA-STATE OFFSHORE         FL05									
COLORADO CO FLORIDA-ONSHORE FL FLORIDA-STATE OFFSHORE FL05									
FLORIDA-ONSHORE FL FLORIDA-STATE OFFSHORE FL05									
FLORIDA-STATE OFFSHORE FL05									
I									
ILLINOIS IL									
INDIANA IN									
KANSAS KS									
KENTUCKY KY				1 4					
LOUISIANA-NORTH LA50									
LOUISIANA-SOUTH ONSHORE LA10				VA					
LOUISIANA-STATE OFFSHORE LA05				1//					
MARYLAND MD				7					
MICHIGAN MI									
MISSISSIPPI-ONSHORE MS									
MISSISSIPPI-STATE OFFSHORE MS05	5		ال-						
MISSOURI MO									
MONTANA MT									
NEBRASKA NE									
NEVADA NV									
NEW MEXICO-EAST NM10									ļ
NEW MEXICO-WEST NM50 NEW YORK NY	)								
NORTH DAKOTA ND OHIO OH									

Figure I3. Form EIA-23, Summary Report – Page 2

OFFICIAL USE ONLY	2002			PA	ARY REPOR	Г				ES				Form Approved 1B No. 1905-0057 on Date: 12/31/03 (Revised 2000)	
1.0 OPERATOR AND REPORT IDENTIFICA	ATION DATA	Report All Volumes of Crude Oil and Lease Condensate in Thousands of Barrels [MBbls] at 60°F Report All Volumes of Natural Gas in Millions of Cubic Feet [MMCF] at 14.73 psia and 60°F													
1.1 OPERATOR I.D. CODE		1.2 OPERATOR	1.2 OPERATOR NAME REPORT DATE							1.3 ORIGINAL 1.4 AMENDED					
				12 31 02											
2.0 PRODUCTION AND RESERVES DATA						<u> </u>	L :- L	0.   02	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
2.01 NODOGION AND NEOENTEO DANA			NATURAL GAS						LEA	SE CO	NDEN:	SATE			
STATE OR		RESERVES	2002 PRO	DUCTION	RESERVES	200	2 PRC	DUCT	ION	RESE	RVES	20	02 PRC	DUCTION	
		Proved							operties for						
GEOGRAPHIC SUBDIVISION		Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MBbls) (A)	(From properties for which reserves were Estimated) (MBbls) (MBbls) (MBbls) (MBbls)		Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MMCF) (D)	(From properties for which reserves were Estimated) (MMCF) (E)		which reserves were Not Estimated (MMCF) (F)		Proved Reserves Dec. 31, 2002 (MBbls) (G)		which reserves were Estimated) (MBbls) (H)		(From properties for which reserves were Not Estimated) (MBbls)	
OKLAHOMA	OK	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(-			r)		(G)		п)	(1)	
PENNSYLVANIA	PA														
SOUTH DAKOTA	SD													1	
TENNESSEE	TN														
TEXAS-RRC DISTRICT 1	TX10														
TEXAS-RRC DISTRICT 2 ONSHORE	TX20														
TEXAS-RRC DISTRICT 3 ONSHORE	TX30														
TEXAS-RRC DISTRICT 4 ONSHORE	TX40														
TEXAS-RRC DISTRICT 5	TX50														
TEXAS-RRC DISTRICT 6	TX60														
TEXAS-RRC DISTRICT 7B	TX70														
TEXAS-RRC DISTRICT 7C	TX75														
TEXAS-RRC DISTRICT 8	TX80														
TEXAS-RRC DISTRICT 8A	TX85														
TEXAS-RRC DISTRICT 9	TX90														
TEXAS-RRC DISTRICT 10	TX95				, V										
TEXAS-STATE OFFSHORE	TX05														
UTAH	UT				VV										
VIRGINIA	VA				1//										
WEST VIRGINIA	WV			2	11.										
WYOMING	WY			<b>N</b>	/~										
FEDERAL OFFSHORE-GULF OF MEXICO (ALABAN				CV	• •										
FEDERAL OFFSHORE-GULF OF MEXICO (FLORID FEDERAL OFFSHORE-GULF OF MEXICO (LOUISIA				<u> </u>											
FEDERAL OFFSHORE-GULF OF MEXICO (LOUISIA FEDERAL OFFSHORE-GULF OF MEXICO (MISSIS)				J											
FEDERAL OFFSHORE-GULF OF MEXICO (MISSIS: FEDERAL OFFSHORE-GULF OF MEXICO (TEXAS)										_		_			
FEDERAL OFFSHORE-PACIFIC (ALASKA)	AK00														
FEDERAL OFFSHORE-PACIFIC (ALASKA)  FEDERAL OFFSHORE-PACIFIC (CALIFORNIA)	CA00									_		_			
FEDERAL OFFSHORE-PACIFIC (CALIFORNIA)	OR00														
OTHER STATE (SPECIFY)	5100													-	
TOTAL (SUM EACH COLUMN)	US														

Figure I4. Form EIA-23, Detail Report - Schedule A

OFFICI	AL USE O	NLY	20	02	2 sci	-	E A - O	PERATED PR	ROVED RES	ERVES, PI	RODU	JCTION, AN	AS RESER  D RELATED D  at 60°F; 60°F and 14.73 psi	ATA BY FIELI	D 1	OMB No Expiration Da	rm Approved b. 1905-0057 ate: 12/31/03 evised 2000)
1.0 OPERA	ATOR AND	REPORT II	DENTIF	CATI	ON DATA												
1.1 OF	PERATOR I.	D. CODE		1	1.2 OPERATOR	NAME				REP	ORT DA	ATE	1.3 ORIGINAL	1.4 AMENDE	D	1.5 PAC	GE
										12	31	02				OF	
2.0 FIELD	•	ERATED BA															
	<ol> <li>STATE ABBR.</li> </ol>	2. SUBDIV. CODE	3. COU COD		I. FIELD CODE	5. MMS CODE	6. FIEL	D NAME				7. PROVED NONPI	RODUCING RESERVES				8. FOOTNOTE
2.1												CRUDE OIL (a) (MBbls)	ASSOC-DISSOLVE (b) GAS (MMCF)	(c) GAS (MM	CF) LEAS (d) DENS	SE CON- ATE (MBbls)	
9. WATER DE	PTH			10.	FIELD DISCOVER	Y YEAR				11. INDICATED	ADDITIC	ONAL RESERVES O	F CRUDE OIL (MBbls)				
TYI	PE OF HYDR	ROCARBON			TOTAL OVED RESERVES CEMBER 31, 2001 (A)		VISION REASES (B)	REVISION DECREASES (C)	SALES (D)	ACQUISITIO	ONS	EXTENSIONS (F)	NEW FIELD DISCOVERIES (G)	NEW RESERVOIRS IN OLD FIELDS (H)	CALENDAR YEAR PRODUCTION (I)	PROVED DECEMB	DTAL RESERVES BER 31, 2002 (J)
12. CRUDE (	OIL (MBbls)																
13. ASSOCIA	ATED-DISSOL	VED GAS (MM	CF)														
14. NONASS	OCIATED GA	S (MMCF)														+	
15. LEASE C	ONDENSATE	(MBbls)															
									l.	L		<u>l</u>			1		
	1. STATE ABBR.	<ol><li>SUBDIV. CODE</li></ol>	3. COU		. FIELD CODE	5. MMS CODE	6. FIEL	D NAME				7. PROVED NONPI	RODUCING RESERVES	- DECEMBER 31, 200	12		8. FOOTNOTE
2.2												CRUDE OIL (a) (MBbls)	ASSOC-DISSOLV (b) GAS (MMCF)	ED NONASS (c) GAS (M	OCIATED LEAS MCF) (d) DENS	SE CON- ATE (MBbls)	0.100111012
9. WATER DE	PTH			10.	FIELD DISCOVER	Y YEAR				11. INDICATED	ADDITIC	ONAL RESERVES O	F CRUDE OIL (MBbls)				
TYI	PE OF HYDR	ROCARBON			TOTAL OVED RESERVES CEMBER 31, 2001 (A)		VISION REASES (B)	REVISION DECREASES (C)	SALES (D)	ACQUISITIO	ONS	EXTENSIONS (F)	NEW FIELD DISCOVERIES (G)	NEW RESERVOIRS IN OLD FIELDS (H)	CALENDAR YEAR PRODUCTION (I)	PROVED DECEMB	OTAL RESERVES BER 31, 2002 (J)
12. CRUDE (	OIL (MBbls)										1						
13. ASSOCIA	TED-DISSOL	VED GAS (MM	CF)							_ \	V						
14. NONASS	OCIATED GA	S (MMCF)								.0							
15. LEASE C	ONDENSATE	(MBbls)							_	1//						+	
										<del>M,</del>			1	L	1	_	
	1. STATE ABBR.	2. SUBDIV. CODE	3. COUI		. FIELD CODE	5. MMS CODE	6. FIEL	D NAME	~ D	7		7. PROVED NONPI	RODUCING RESERVES	- DECEMBER 31, 200	12		a FOOTNOTE
2.3									<u> </u>			CRUDE OIL (a) (MBbls)	ASSOC-DISSOLV (b) GAS (MMCF)	ED NONASS (c) GAS (M	OCIATED LEAS	SE CON- ATE (MBbls)	8. FOOTNOTE
												(-) (	(-)	(-)	(,,		
9. WATER DE	PTH			10.	FIELD DISCOVER	Y YEAR				11. INDICATED	ADDITIC	ONAL RESERVES O	F CRUDE OIL (MBbls)				
TYI	PE OF HYDR	ROCARBON			TOTAL OVED RESERVES CEMBER 31, 2001 (A)		VISION REASES (B)	REVISION DECREASES (C)	SALES (D)	ACQUISITIO	ONS	EXTENSIONS (F)	NEW FIELD DISCOVERIES (G)	NEW RESERVOIRS IN OLD FIELDS (H)	CALENDAR YEAR PRODUCTION (I)	PROVED DECEMB	DTAL RESERVES BER 31, 2002 (J)
12. CRUDE (	OIL (MBbls)																
13. ASSOCIA	ATED-DISSOL	VED GAS (MM	CF)						1							1	
14. NONASS	OCIATED GA	S (MMCF)													1	1	
15. LEASE C	ONDENSATE	(MBbls)														1	

Figure I5. Form EIA-23, Detail Report - Schedule B

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

2002

## ANNUAL SURVEY OF DOMESTIC OIL AND GAS RESERVES SCHEDULE B – FOOTNOTES

Form Approved OMB No. 1905-0057 Expiration Date:12/31/03 (Revised 2000)

Page Number (a)	Field Designation (i.e., 2.1, 2.2 or 2.3) (b)	Item Number (c)	Column Designation (d)		12	PORT DAT	E 02		1.3 C	RIGINAI	-	1.4 A	MENDED	1.5	PAGE	
Page Number (a)	Field Designation (i.e., 2.1, 2.2 or 2.3) (b)	Item Number (c)	Column Designation (d)		12	31	02									
Page Number (a)	Field Designation (i.e., 2.1, 2.2 or 2.3) (b)	Item Number (c)	Column Designation (d)													
							Foo (	tnote e)							US	FOR DOE SE ONLY
							_									
							<b>/</b>									
					- 1/	$\leftarrow$										
					<del>/1</del>											
				<del>-C</del> Y	<u>~`</u>											
				<u>J</u> ,												

#### Figure I6. Form EIA-64A



#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ENERGY INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION Washington, DC 20585

Form Approved OMB No. 1905-0057 Expiration Date: 12/31/03

# ANNUAL REPORT OF THE ORIGIN OF NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS PRODUCTION FORM EIA-64A CALENDAR YEAR 2002

This report is mandatory under Public Law 93-275. Failure to comply may result in criminal fines, civil penalties and other sanctions as provided by law. For the sanctions and the provisions concerning the confidentiality of information submitted on this form, see Page 2 of the Instructions. Complete and return by April 1, 2003 to: **Energy Information Administration** P O Box 8279 Affix Mailing Label Silver Spring, MD 20907 Attn: EIA-64A Fax to (202) 586-1076 (Attn: EIA-64A) Questions?: Call 1-800-879-1470 PART I. PLANT AND PRODUCTION REPORT IDENTIFICATION 1.0 Does this report reflect active natural gas processing at the facility for the entire year? o (indicate number of months below) 2002 (Include Explanatory Notes in Section 7.0) Months covered by this report through 2.0 Submission Status Original Amended 3.0 Label Information (If label is incorrect or information is missing or no label is given, enter correct information below). 3.1 Parent Company's Name 3.2 Operator's Name 3.3 Plant Name 3.4 Geographic Location (Use Area of Origin Codes, Page 6) 3.5 Operator's Street Address/PO Box 3.6 City 3.7 State 3.8 Zip Code 3.9 Contact Name 3.11 Date 3.12 Telephone Number ( 3.14 E-mail Address: PART II. ORIGIN OF NATURAL GAS RECEIVED AND MATURAL GAS LIQUIDS PRODUCED Natural Gas Received Report in milions of cubic feet (MMCF) Area of Origin Natural Gas Liquids Production Report in thousands of barrels (MBbl) (C) Line Code 4 1 4 2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 47 48 TOTAL 5.0 Gas Shrinkage Resulting from Natural Gas Liquids Extracted (MMCF): 6.0 Natural Gas Used as Fuel in Processing (MMCF): 7.0 Explanatory Notes:

Title 18 USC 1001 makes it a criminal offense for any person knowingly and willingly to make to any Agency or Department of the United States any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

# Glossary

## **Glossary**

This glossary contains definitions of the technical terms used in this report and employed by respondents in completing Form EIA--23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," or Form EIA--64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production," for the report year 2001.

**Acquisitions:** The volume of proved reserves gained by the purchase of an existing fields or properties, from the date of purchase or transfer.

**Adjustments:** The quantity which preserves an exact annual reserves balance within each State or State subdivision of the following form:

Published Proved Reserves at End of Previous Report Year

- + Adjustments
- + Revision Increases
- Revision Decreases
- Sales
- + Acquisitions
- + Extensions
- + New Field Discoveries
- + New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields
- Report Year Production
- = Published Proved Reserves at End of Report Year

These adjustments are the yearly changes in the published reserve estimates that cannot be attributed to the estimates for other reserve change categories because of the survey and statistical estimation methods employed. For example, variations as a result of changes in the operator frame, different random samples or imputations for missing or unreported reserve changes, could contribute to adjustments.

Affiliated (Associated) Company: An "affiliate" of, or a person "affiliated" with, a specific person is a person that directly, or indirectly through one or more intermediaries: controls; or is controlled by; or is under common control with, the person specified. (See Person and Control)

**Control:** The term "control" (including the terms "controlling," "controlled by," and "under common control with") means the possession, direct or indirect, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a person, whether through the ownership of voting shares, by contract, or otherwise. (See **Person**)

Corrections: (See Revisions)

**Crude Oil:** A mixture of hydrocarbons that exists in the liquid phase in natural underground reservoirs and remains liquid at atmospheric pressure after passing through surface separating facilities. Crude oil may also include:

- 1. Small amounts of hydrocarbons that exist in the gaseous phase in natural underground reservoirs but are liquid at atmospheric pressure after being recovered from oil well (casinghead) gas in lease separators, and that subsequently are comingled with the crude stream without being separately measured
- 2. Small amounts of nonhydrocarbons produced with the oil.

When a State regulatory agency specifies a definition of crude oil which differs from that set forth above, the State definition is to be followed and its use footnoted on Schedule B of Form EIA--23.

**Extensions:** The reserves credited to a reservoir because of enlargement of its proved area. Normally the ultimate size of newly discovered fields, or newly discovered reservoirs in old fields, is determined by wells drilled in years subsequent to discovery. When such wells add to the proved area of a previously discovered reservoir, the increase in proved reserves is classified as an extension.

**Field:** An area consisting of a single reservoir or multiple reservoirs all grouped on, or related to, the same individual geological structural feature and/or stratigraphic condition. There may be two or more reservoirs in a field that are separated vertically by intervening impervious strata, or laterally by local geologic barriers, or by both.

**Field Area:** A geographic area encompassing two or more pools that have a common gathering and metering system, the reserves of which are reported as a single unit. This concept applies primarily to the Appalachian region. (See **Pool**)

**Field Discovery Year:** The calendar year in which a field was first recognized as containing economically recoverable accumulations of oil and/or gas.

**Field Separation Facility:** A surface installation designed to recover lease condensate from a produced natural gas stream frequently originating from more than one lease, and managed by the operator of one or more of these leases. (See **Lease Condensate**)

Gross Working Interest Ownership Basis: Gross working interest ownership is the respondent's working interest in a given property plus the proportionate share of any royalty interest, including overriding royalty interest, associated with the working interest. (See Working Interest and Royalty (including Overriding Royalty) Interest)

Indicated Additional Reserves of Crude Oil: Quantities of crude oil (other than proved reserves) which may become economically recoverable from existing productive reservoirs through the application of improved recovery techniques using current technology. These recovery techniques may:

- 1. Already be installed in the reservoir, but their effects are not yet known to the degree necessary to classify the additional reserves as proved
- 2. Be installed in another similar reservoir, where the results of that installation can be used to estimate the indicated additional reserves.

Indicated additional reserves are not included in proved reserves due to their uncertain economic recoverability. When economic recoverability is demonstrated, the indicated additional reserves must be transferred to proved reserves as positive revisions.

**Lease Condensate:** A mixture consisting primarily of pentanes and heavier hydrocarbons which is recovered as a liquid from natural gas in lease or field separation facilities, exclusive of products recovered at natural gas processing plants or facilities.

**Lease Separator:** A lease separator is a facility installed at the surface for the purpose of (a) separating gases from produced crude oil and water at the temperature and pressure conditions of the separator, and/or (b) separating gases from that portion of the produced natural gas stream which

liquefies at the temperature and pressure conditions of the separator.

Natural Gas: A mixture of hydrocarbon compounds and small quantities of various nonhydrocarbons existing in the gaseous phase or in solution with crude oil in natural underground reservoirs at reservoir conditions. The principal hydrocarbons normally contained in the mixture are methane, ethane, propane, butane, and pentanes. Typical nonhydrocarbon gases which may be present in reservoir natural gas are water vapor, carbon dioxide, helium, hydrogen sulfide, and nitrogen. Under reservoir conditions, natural gas and the liquefiable portions occur either in a single gaseous phase in the reservoir or in solution with crude oil, and are not distinguishable at the time as separate substances. (See Natural Gas, Associated--Dissolved and Natural Gas, Nonassociated)

**Natural Gas, Associated--Dissolved:** The combined volume of natural gas which occurs in crude oil reservoirs either as free gas (associated) or as gas in solution with crude oil (dissolved).

**Natural Gas, "Dry":** The actual or calculated volumes of natural gas which remain after:

- 1. The liquefiable hydrocarbon portion has been removed from the gas stream (i.e., gas after lease, field, and/or plant separation)
- 2. Any volumes of nonhydrocarbon gases have been removed where they occur in sufficient quantity to render the gas unmarketable.

**Natural Gas, Nonassociated:** Natural gas not in contact with significant quantities of crude oil in a reservoir.

Natural Gas Liquids: Those hydrocarbons in natural gas which are separated from the gas through the processes of absorption, condensation, adsorption, or other methods in gas processing or cycling plants. Generally such liquids consist of propane and heavier hydrocarbons and are commonly referred to as condensate, natural gasoline, or liquefied petroleum gases. Where hydrocarbon components lighter than propane are recovered as liquids, these components are included with natural gas liquids.

Natural Gas Processing Plant: A facility designed to recover natural gas liquids from a stream of natural gas which may or may not have passed through lease separators and/or field separation facilities. Another function of the facility is to control the quality of the processed natural gas stream. Cycling plants are considered natural gas processing plants.

Natural Gas, Wet After Lease Separation: The volume of natural gas remaining after removal of lease condensate in lease and/or field separation facilities, if any, and after exclusion of nonhydrocarbon gases where they occur in sufficient quantity to render the gas unmarketable. Natural gas liquids may be recovered from volumes of natural gas, wet after lease separation, at natural gas processing plants. (See Lease Condensate, Lease Separator, and Field Separation Facility)

**Net Revisions:** (See **Revisions**)

**New Field:** A field discovered during the report year.

**New Field Discoveries:** The volumes of proved reserves of crude oil, natural gas and/or natural gas liquids discovered in new fields during the report year.

**New Reservoir:** A reservoir discovered during the report year.

New Reservoir Discoveries in Old Fields: The volumes of proved reserves of crude oil, natural gas, and/or natural gas liquids discovered during the report year in new reservoir(s) located in old fields.

Nonproducing Reserves: Quantities of proved liquid or gaseous hydrocarbon reserves that have been identified, but which did not produce during the last calendar year regardless of the availability and/or operation of production, gathering or transportation facilities. This includes both proved undeveloped and proved developed non-producing reserves.

**Old Field:** A field discovered prior to the report year.

**Old Reservoir:** A reservoir discovered prior to the report year.

Operator, Gas Plant: The person responsible for the management and day--to--day operation of one or more natural gas processing plants as of December 31 of the report year. The operator is generally a working interest owner or a company under contract to the working interest owner(s). Plants shut down during the report year are also to be considered "operated" as of December 31. (See Person)

Operator, Oil and/or Gas Well: The person responsible for the management and day--to--day operation of one or more crude oil and/or natural gas wells as of December 31 of the report year. The operator is generally a working interest owner or a company under contract to the working interest owner(s). Wells included are those which have proved reserves of crude oil, natural gas, and/or lease condensate in the reservoirs associated with them, whether or not they are producing. Wells abandoned during the report year are also to be considered "operated" as of December 31. (See Person, Proved Reserves of Crude Oil, Proved Reserves of Natural Gas, Proved Reserves of Lease Condensate, Report Year, and Reservoir)

Ownership: (See Gross Working Interest Ownership Basis)

Parent Company: The parent company of a business entity is an affiliated company which exercises ultimate control over that entity, either directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries. (See Affiliated (Associated) Company and Control)

**Person:** An individual, a corporation, a partnership, an association, a joint--stock company, a business trust, or an unincorporated organization.

**Pool:** In general, a reservoir. In certain situations a pool may consist of more than one reservoir. (See **Field Area**)

**Plant Liquids:** Those volumes of natural gas liquids recovered in natural gas processing plants.

Production, Crude Oil: The volumes of crude oil which are extracted from oil reservoirs during the report year. These volumes are determined through measurement of the volumes delivered from lease storage tanks, (i.e., at the point of custody transfer) with adjustment for (1) net differences between opening and closing lease inventories, and for (2) basic sediment and water. Oil used on the lease is considered production.

**Production, Lease Condensate:** The volume of lease condensate produced during the report year. Lease condensate volumes include only those volumes recovered from lease or field separation facilities. (See **Lease Condensate**)

Production, Natural Gas, Dry: The volume of natural gas withdrawn from reservoirs during the

report year less (1) the volume returned to such reservoirs in cycling, repressuring of oil reservoirs and conservation operations; less (2) shrinkage resulting from the removal of lease condensate and plant liquids; and less (3) nonhydrocarbon gases where they occur in sufficient quantity to render the gas unmarketable. Volumes of gas withdrawn from gas storage reservoirs and native gas, which has been transferred to the storage category, are not considered production. This is not the same as marketed production, since the latter also excludes vented and flared gas, but contains plant liquids.

Production, Natural Gas, Wet after Lease Separation: The volume of natural gas withdrawn from reservoirs during the report year less (1) the volume returned to such reservoirs in cycling, repressuring of oil reservoirs and conservation operations; less (2) shrinkage resulting from the removal of lease condensate; and less (3) nonhydrocarbon gases where they occur in sufficient quantity to render the gas unmarketable. Volumes of gas withdrawn from gas storage reservoirs and native gas, which has been transferred to the storage category, are not considered production. This is not the same as marketed production, since the latter excludes vented and flared gas.

**Production, Natural Gas Liquids:** The volume of natural gas liquids removed from natural gas in lease separators, field facilities, gas processing plants or cycling plants during the report year.

**Production, Plant Liquids:** The volume of liquids removed from natural gas in natural gas processing plants or cycling plants during the report year.

Proved Reserves of Crude Oil: Proved reserves of crude oil as of December 31 of the report year are the estimated quantities of all liquids defined as crude oil, which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions.

Reservoirs are considered proved if economic producibility is supported by actual production or conclusive formation test (drill stem or wire line), or if economic producibility is supported by core analyses and/or electric or other log interpretations. The area of an oil reservoir considered proved includes (1) that portion delineated by drilling and defined by gas--oil and/or oil--water contacts, if any; and (2) the immediately adjoining portions not yet

drilled, but which can be reasonably judged as economically productive on the basis of available geological and engineering data. In the absence of information on fluid contacts, the lowest known structural occurrence of hydrocarbons is considered to be the lower proved limit of the reservoir.

Volumes of crude oil placed in underground storage are not to be considered proved reserves.

Reserves of crude oil which can be produced economically through application of improved recovery techniques (such as fluid injection) are included in the "proved" classification when successful testing by a pilot project, or the operation of an installed program in the reservoir, provides support for the engineering analysis on which the project or program was based.

Estimates of proved crude oil reserves do not include the following: (1) oil that may become available from known reservoirs but is reported separately as "indicated additional reserves"; (2) natural gas liquids (including lease condensate); (3) oil, the recovery of which is subject to reasonable doubt because of uncertainty as to geology, reservoir characteristics, or economic factors; (4) oil that may occur in undrilled prospects; and (5) oil that may be recovered from oil shales, coal, gilsonite, and other such sources. It is not necessary that production, gathering or transportation facilities be installed or operative for a reservoir to be considered proved.

Proved Reserves of Lease Condensate: Proved reserves of lease condensate as of December 31 of the report year are the volumes of lease condensate expected to be recovered in future years in conjunction with the production of proved reserves of natural gas as of December 31 of the report year, based on the recovery efficiency of lease and/or field separation facilities installed as of December 31 of the report year. (See Lease Condensate and Proved Reserves of Natural Gas)

Proved Reserves of Natural Gas: Proved reserves of natural gas as of December 31 of the report year are the estimated quantities which analysis of geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions.

Reservoirs are considered proved if economic producibility is supported by actual production or conclusive formation test (drill stem or wire line), or if economic producibility is supported by core analyses and/or electric or other log interpretations.

The area of a gas reservoir considered proved includes: (1) that portion delineated by drilling and defined by gas--oil and/or gas--water contacts, if any; and (2) the immediately adjoining portions not yet drilled, but which can be reasonably judged as economically productive on the basis of available geological and engineering data. In the absence of information on fluid contacts, the lowest known structural occurrence of hydrocarbons is considered to be the lower proved limit of the reservoir.

Volumes of natural gas placed in underground storage are not to be considered proved reserves.

For natural gas, wet after lease separation, an appropriate reduction in the reservoir gas volume has been made to cover the removal of the liquefiable portions of the gas in lease and/or field separation facilities and the exclusion of nonhydrocarbon gases where they occur in sufficient quantity to render the gas unmarketable.

For dry natural gas, an appropriate reduction in the gas volume has been made to cover the removal of the liquefiable portions of the gas in lease and/or field separation facilities, and in natural gas processing plants, and the exclusion of nonhydrocarbon gases where they occur in sufficient quantity to render the gas unmarketable.

It is not necessary that production, gathering, or transportation facilities be installed or operative for a reservoir to be considered proved. It is to be assumed that compression will be initiated if and when economically justified.

**Proved Reserves of Natural Gas Liquids:** Proved reserves of natural gas liquids as of December 31 of the report year are those volumes of natural gas liquids (including lease condensate) demonstrated with reasonable certainty to be separable in the future from proved natural gas reserves, under existing economic and operating conditions.

**Proved Ultimate Recovery:** The sum of proved reserves and cumulative production. It is expected to change over time for any field, group of fields, State, or Country. Proved Ultimate Recovery does not represent the maximum recoverable volume of resources for an area. It is instead a gauge of how much has already been produced plus proved reserves. Proved reserves of crude oil or natural gas are the estimated quantities of petroleum which

geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. When deterministic proved reserves estimation methods are used, the term reasonable certainty is intended to express a high degree of confidence that the estimated quantities will be recovered. When probabilistic methods are used there should be at least a 90 percent probability that the actual quantities recovered will exceed the estimate.

Report Year: The calendar year to which data reported in this publication pertain.

Reserves: (See Proved Reserves)

**Reserve Additions:** Consist of adjustments, net revisions, extensions to old reservoirs, new reservoir discoveries in old fields, and new field discoveries.

**Reserves Changes:** Positive and negative revisions, extensions, new reservoir discoveries in old fields, and new field discoveries, which occurred during the report year.

**Reservoir:** A porous and permeable underground formation containing an individual and separate natural accumulation of producible hydrocarbons (oil and/or gas) which is confined by impermeable rock or water barriers and is characterized by a single natural pressure system.

Revisions: Changes to prior year--end proved reserves estimates, either positive or negative, resulting from new information other than an increase in proved acreage (extension). Revisions include increases of proved reserves associated with the installation of improved recovery techniques or equipment. They also include correction of prior report year arithmetical or clerical errors and adjustments to prior year--end production volumes to the extent that these alter reported prior year reserves estimates.

Royalty (Including Overriding Royalty) Interests: These interests entitle their owner(s) to a share of the mineral production from a property or to a share of the proceeds therefrom. They do not contain the rights and obligations of operating the property, and normally do not bear any of the costs of exploration, development, and operation of the property.

**Sales:** The volume of proved reserves deducted from an operator's total reserves when selling an existing field or property, during the calendar year.

**Subdivision:** A prescribed portion of a given State or other geographical region defined in this publication for statistical reporting purposes.

**Subsidiary Company**: A company which is controlled through the ownership of voting stock, or a corporate joint venture in which a corporation is owned by a small group of businesses as a separate and specific business or project for the mutual benefit of the members of the group. (See **Control**)

**Total Discoveries:** The sum of extensions, new reservoir discoveries in old fields, and new field discoveries, which occurred during the report year.

**Total Liquid Hydrocarbon Reserves:** The sum of crude oil and natural gas liquids reserves volumes.

**Total Operated Basis:** The total reserves or production associated with the wells operated by an individual operator. This is also commonly known as the "gross operated" or "8/8ths" basis.

Working Interest: A working interest permits the owner(s) to explore, develop and operate a property. The working interest owner(s) bear(s) the costs of exploration, development and operation of the property, and in return is (are) entitled to a share of the mineral production from the property or to a share of the proceeds therefrom.