FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE **CONTACT:** Laura Capps/Melissa Wagoner
February 1, 2006 (202) 224-2633

***** Fact sheets on Bush's plan and Kennedy's solution are below*****

KENNEDY JOINS HOUSE AND SENATE DEMOCRATS TO RALLY FOR REAL HEALTHCARE REFORM
KENNEDY'S MEDICARE FOR ALL BILL WOULD SAVE BILLIONS AND GIVE ALL AMERICANS THE CARE THEY DESERVE

Washington, DC—Today, Senator Kennedy joined Senate Democratic Leader Harry Reid, House Democratic Leader Nancy Pelosi, and House and Senate Democrats to rally for real reforms that will benefit ordinary Americans. Last night, as he attempted to do last year with his Social Security privatization fiasco, President Bush will try to make the American people believe that the solution to rising health costs is to shift more and more of those costs to ordinary Americans, or to deny care to those in need. Kennedy believes that's the wrong prescription for health care. On Tuesday, Senator Kennedy introduced his plan to fix our fractured system of care, by extending Medicare to all Americans, from birth to the end of life, while allowing any American who wishes to stay in their current employer-sponsored plan to do so. Under Kennedy's bill, employers can tailor their health plans to provide additional services to their employees that wrap around Medicare coverage.

"America's failure to guarantee the basic right to health care for all its citizens was one of the great public policy failures of the 20th century, and we must not allow that failure to continue in this new century," Senator Kennedy said. "Like his Social Security privatization fiasco, President Bush's health savings accounts are a gimmick that will only make a bad situation worse. Our goal should be an America where no citizen of any age fears the cost of health care, and no employer stops creating jobs because of the high cost of providing health insurance."

Below is a fact sheet on President Bush's gimmick and a fact sheet on Senator Kennedy's health care solution.

BUSH'S HEALTH SAVINGS ACCOUNTS WILL MAKE A BAD SITUATION WORSE

Like Privatizing Social Security, HSA's Will Fail to Solve the Problem

After five years of ignoring our nation's healthcare crisis, President Bush is expected to announce at the State of the Union proposals to encourage health savings accounts (HAS). Last year, the White House launched a failed privatization social security plan and now they want to do the same to healthcare. Senator Kennedy believes that HSAs benefit the wealthiest and healthiest Americans but leave ordinary working families with higher medical costs and more people uninsured. The expanded use of tax deductions for health care would also primarily benefit high-income houses.

For example, a single woman suffering from breast cancer who makes $37,000 a year could face $32,000 in medical bills for cancer treatment and surgery in a single year. Right now, she's likely to buy an individual health plan for $2500 that provides full coverage of her costs after she pays a $2000 deductible – so her total costs would be $4,500 and insurance picks up the remaining $27,500.
However, under the Bush health care proposal, her health insurance will cost $2000, but it will carry

a $8000 spending limit, so her total costs would be $10,000 -- $5,500 more than under her old plan. She may have some money saved in her HSA, but with a costly illness like cancer, she won't have time to save enough for her treatments, and would likely go into debt.

As another example, today, the average single mother of three who makes $31,000 a year will pay $2200 for an employer-sponsored health plan, with an "out-of-pocket" spending limit of $2000. If one of her children has an unexpected illness or injury, she wouldn't have to pay more than $2000 in extra medical expenses. Under the Bush health care proposal, she'll pay just $1800 for her HSA health plan, but that plan has a $10,000 deductible. So if her child gets sick under the Bush proposal, she could end up spending over $11,800 - more than 30% of her income on health care – forcing her to cut back on other essential needs.

Below are the facts on HSA's:

Health savings accounts don't work for those who are not healthy.
Persons with chronic health conditions or who are hit with illness or injury will experience significantly greater out-of-pocket expenses than for traditional, comprehensive insurance.

Persons with high-deductible health plans are significantly more likely to avoid, skip or delay health care because of cost than those in comprehensive health insurance. This problem is most commonly seen in individuals with health problems or incomes below $50,000. Thirty-one percent (31%) of individuals in high-deductible health plans reported delaying or avoiding care, compared to 17% in comprehensive health plans.

Most health spending is by those with multiple chronic conditions. These individuals will never be able to accumulate funds in a health savings account and will have to find the funds to cover all their care before meeting their high-deductible plan begins to cover them.

Health savings accounts put coverage for low-income and less healthy individuals at risk.
Traditional insurance spreads risk among large groups. While those who are young and healthy may pay a bit more than they otherwise would, they know that if they are hit by illness or injury, have a baby born with health problems, or as they age, they will still be able to purchase insurance at a more affordable price. With health savings accounts, it's each man for himself, and those who need insurance most will face the greatest risk.

Several studies have found that if those who are young, healthy or wealthy move to health savings accounts while those who are not remain in the traditional insurance plans that provide the benefits and security they need, traditional insurance will become unaffordable, leaving those who most need protection at greater risk of becoming uninsured or underinsured.

Health savings accounts are not a solution for the uninsured. They are regressive, favoring the wealthy, while most of the uninsured have low or moderate-incomes.
Most of the uninsured are in low tax brackets so they don't receive much, if any benefit, from the health savings account deductions. More than half of the uninsured receive no benefit from tax-deductibility. The higher an account holder's income, the more benefit received from the tax deduction.

Health savings accounts allow wealthy individuals to shelter funds.
Funds grow over time and offer tax advantages regular savings vehicles -- including 401ks and IRAs -- don't provide. Individuals can fund a health savings account and receive tax-favored treatment regardless of income. The tax deduction available for contributions has no phase-out for high-income individuals.

This allows an additional tax-favored savings vehicle for high-income individuals who have maximized their contributions to retirement savings accounts or have incomes to high to qualify for a deduction.

Once an account holder turns 65, funds that have accrued tax free may be withdrawn for any reason with no penalty. For example, if an account holder wants to buy a sports car with their health savings account funds, there is no penalty.

Health savings accounts are another way of shifting costs.
Early evidence is that one-third of all businesses offering health savings accounts with a high-deductible plan to their workers make no contribution to the account. For firms that do make contributions, the average contribution is only $533 for individuals and $1,185 for families, while the average deductible for an individual was $1,901 and the average deductible for a family was $4,070.

Despite similar rates of health care use, individuals in consumer directed health plans and high deductible health plans are significantly more likely to spend a large share of their income on out-of-pocket health expenses than those in comprehensive health plans. Forty-two percent (42%) of those in high-deductible health plans spent 5% or more of their income on out-of-pocket expenses and premiums, compared to 12% of those in comprehensive health plans.

Health savings accounts already receive more favorable tax treatment than other health plans and retirement accounts.
Contributions to health savings accounts made by an employer are not considered income and are not taxed. They are deductible, whether or not a taxpayer chooses to itemize. Earnings in a health savings account accrue on a tax-free basis, and withdrawals for medical expenses are not taxed. All other similar accounts, including IRAs and 401(k) plans, are subject to tax either on contributions or withdrawals.

MEDICARE FOR ALL: QUALITY, AFFORDABLE, HEALTH CARE FOR ALL AMERICANS

America faces a health care crisis. Too many Americans are uninsured, and the number of the uninsured is increasing at an accelerating rate. No American family is more than one pink slip or one employer decision to drop coverage away from being uninsured. Health care costs are too high and are rising at double-digit rates. Our dysfunctional health care financing system makes it harder for American businesses to compete in the global economy, creates incentives to outsource jobs abroad, has slowed job growth even as the economy recovers, and has been an especially heavy burden on manufacturing.

America's failure to assure the basic human right to health care to all its citizens was one of the great public policy failures of the 20th century. Recent data emphasizes the urgency of redressing this failure. Forty-six million Americans are uninsured, and the most recent Census Bureau figures show that the number of uninsured increased by nearly one million Americans in 2005 alone.[i] <#_edn1>
Even these figures understate the problem. Over a two year period, 82 million Americans—one out of every three non-elderly Americans--will be uninsured for a significant period of time.[ii] <#_edn2>

After a brief period of stability in the mid-90s, health care costs are rising at unacceptable rates far in excess of inflation. Health insurance premiums have risen at double-digit rates since 2000, and have increased a whopping 73% in the last five years.[iii] <#_edn3> Health care spending reached 16% of GDP, the highest level in our nation's history.[iv] <#_edn4>

The high level of American health care costs combined with a financing system that places the burden of paying for coverage on employers who voluntarily choose to offer health insurance puts American firms at a competitive disadvantage. As a proportion of GDP spent on health care, America is first in the world by a large margin. By that standard, we spend 49% more than the Swiss, the next highest spending country, 88% more than the Germans, 150% more than the British, and 160% more than the Japanese, according to the latest data from the OECD.[v] <#_edn5> Our extraordinary level of health spending, however, is not reflected in better health outcomes. Among the world's leading industrialized countries, the United States ranks 22nd in average life expectancy and 25th in infant mortality.[vi] <#_edn6>

Not only are our health care costs much higher than our trading competitors, but our system forces employers to finance a much higher proportion of costs than firms abroad, because foreign systems rely much more on broad-based public financing.[vii] <#_edn7> The heavy burden the health care financing system adds to labor costs in the United States also acts as a drain on hiring and provides an additional incentive for outsourcing jobs abroad.

The Burden of Being Uninsured:

• □□□□In any given year, 1/3 of the uninsured go without needed medical care.[viii] <#_edn8>

• □□□□Eight million uninsured Americans fail to take medication their doctors prescribe--because they cannot afford to fill the prescription.[ix] <#_edn9>

• □□□□Two hundred and seventy thousand children suffering from asthma never see a doctor. Three hundred and fifty thousand children with recurrent earaches never see a doctor. More than three hundred and fifty thousand children with severe sore throats never see a doctor.[x] <#_edn10>

• □□□□27,000 uninsured women are diagnosed with breast cancer each year. They are twice as likely as insured women not to receive medical treatment until their cancer has already spread in their bodies. As a result, they are 50% more likely to die of the disease.[xi] <#_edn11>

• □□□□32,000 Americans with heart disease go without life-saving and life-enhancing bypass surgery or angioplasty – because they are uninsured.[xii] <#_edn12>

• □□□□Whether the disease is AIDS or mental illness or cancer or heart disease or diabetes, the uninsured are left out and left behind. In hospital and out, young or old, black or white, they receive less care, suffer more, and are 25% more likely to die than those who are insured.[xiii] <#_edn13>

• □□□□Medical costs account for about half of all bankruptcies, affecting over 2 million people annually.[xiv] <#_edn14> More than 9 million families spend more than 1/5 of their total income on medical costs.[xv] <#_edn15>

Characteristics of the Uninsured:

• □□□□81% of those without insurance are employees or family members of employees. Of these uninsured workers, most are members of families with at least one person working full-time.[xvi] <#_edn16>

• □□□□The uninsured are predominantly low and moderate income persons who cannot afford to buy coverage in the individual market. Approximately two thirds have incomes below 200% of poverty.[xvii] <#_edn17>

• □□□□8.3 million children are uninsured, one-fifth of the total without coverage.[xviii] <#_edn18>

• □□□□Large numbers of people in all racial/ethnic groups are uninsured, but minorities suffer the most. One in ten non-Hispanic whites are uninsured, one in five African-Americans are uninsured, and one in three Hispanics are uninsured.[xix] <#_edn19>

Health Care Costs

• □□□□Excessive inflation in health care costs not only burdens the economy but is a major factor in increasing the number of the uninsured.

• □□□□After several years of low growth, health care costs are now rising very rapidly. Health insurance premiums increased 10.9 percent in 2001, 12.9% in 2002, 13.9% in 2003, 11.2% in 2004, and 9.2% in 2005. The cumulative increase over the five years was 73%, while the cumulative increase in the CPI was only 13.6% [xx] <#_edn20>

• □□□□National health expenditures are projected to reach $3.6 trillion in 2014, growing at an average annual rate of 7.1 percent from 2003-2014. As a share of GDP, health spending is projected to reach 18.7 percent by 2014.[xxi] <#_edn21>

• □□□□Claims processing and other administrative functions cost the health care system $600 billion per year – money that could be better spent on patient care.[xxii] <#_edn22> America's fragmented health financing system is a major driver of these high administrative costs. In Canada, where health insurance is publicly financed and providers bill the government for all services rather than having to deal with a multiplicity of insurance companies with different rules and payment formulas, administrative costs are only about half as high as in the United States (16.7% of total health costs in Canada vs. 31% in the United States).[xxiii] <#_edn23>

• □□□□The administrative expense needed by the government to run the Medicare program accounts for only 3% of Medicare spending. By contrast, the amount of every premium dollar retained by

private insurance companies for marketing, administration and profit is 14%.[xxiv] <#_edn24>

• □□□□Healthcare is one of the least efficient industries in America. Settling a single transaction in health care can cost as much as $12 to $25, whereas banks have cut their costs to less than a penny per transaction by using modern information technology.

• □□□□According to a study conducted by the RAND Corporation, by utilizing advanced information technology and adopting electronic medical records for every patient, America could save over $160 billion a year by reducing duplicative care, lowering health care administrative costs, and improving quality.[xxv] <#_edn25>

Burden on U.S Competitiveness and Job Growth

• □□□□Government data, industry surveys, and interviews with employers indicate that many businesses remain reluctant to hire full-time employees because health insurance has become one of the fastest growing costs for companies.[xxvi] <#_edn26>

• □□□□A recent study found that employers have reduced hiring in response to rising health insurance premiums, and that industries with higher health care costs have had slower job growth. This has been especially true for the manufacturing sector.[xxvii] <#_edn27>

• □□□□U.S. employee benefit costs—of which health care is the largest component--for manufacturing firms are higher than most of its major competitors and the private share of overall health expenditures is much higher in the U.S. than it is for its major competitors.[xxviii] <#_edn28>

• □□□□Ten percent of the total cost of a ton of steel manufactured in the United States is consumed by retiree health benefits alone.[xxix] <#_edn29> Starbucks now spends more on health care than it does on coffee.[xxx] <#_edn30> The difference between the way health care is financed in Canada and the U.S. saves the Canadian auto industry $4.00 an hour in worker compensation compared to the U.S. The Canadian branches of the big three automakers have released a joint letter with Canadian Auto Workers Union stating that the Canadian health care system is a “strategic advantage for Canada” and “has been an important ingredient” in the success of Canada’s “most important export industry.”[xxxi] <#_edn31>

The Medicare for All proposal

The “Medicare for All" plan will make health care coverage available to every American by expanding the Medicare program to the under 65 population. To promote competition and choice, enrollees will also have the option of choosing any of the plans offered to members of Congress, the President, and Federal employees.

Costs will be reduced by administrative savings from moving to a Medicare-style financing system, by bringing modern information technology to health care, by improving quality of care, and by rewarding health care providers based on performance, not just on the number of procedures performed. International competitiveness and job creation will be enhanced by reduced costs and by shifting some of the burden of financing from business contributions to general revenues, as well as the healthier and more productive work-force that will result from universal health insurance coverage.

To ease the transition to the new system, coverage will be implemented in phases. In the first, coverage will be extended to individuals 55-65 and to children under 20 years old. In later phases, coverage will be extended to all other Americans not already covered under Medicare.

Benefits

Benefits available to the under 65 population under the expanded Medicare program will be the same as those provided under Medicare, with additional benefits appropriate to the wider age range served by the new program. These will include early and periodic screening, diagnosis and treatment, enhanced preventive care, home and community based care and other services deemed appropriate to meet the nation’s health needs. This plan provides comprehensive medical benefits, including prescription drug coverage, without the damaging gaps in coverage and chaotic administrative features of the Republican Medicare drug law.

Enrollees may also choose any private insurance plan available to members of Congress under the Federal Employees Health Benefit Program. These plans all have comprehensive benefit packages, but differ in specifics like dental coverage, vision coverage, and co-payments. HMO and PPO options are available under FEHBP.

Freedom of choice

Every enrollee in the expanded Medicare program is guaranteed the right to go to the doctor, hospital, or other health care provider of their choice, just as they are under the current Medicare program. This is a significant improvement over the current health financing system, under which insurers generally limit enrollees to providers chosen by the insurer or require them to pay high additional cost-sharing if they want to go to an "out-of-network" provider.

In addition, all enrollees have the right to choose one of the private health plans available to members of Congress, if that is their preference.

Private health care delivery system

While the new program will be largely publicly financed, the health system itself will remain private. Just as under the current Medicare program, doctors, hospitals and other providers will continue to operate as independent, private entities. As under Medicare, the program will be largely

be administered by private carriers and intermediaries.

Eligibility and enrollment

Any individual with a social security number is eligible to participate in the plan and will be automatically enrolled in the extended Medicare plan unless they choose one of the private options. There will be the opportunity to switch plans annually, just as there is under the FEHBP program.

Cost reduction through improved quality of care

Information technology can make a real difference in patient care. Computerized medical records, coupled with decision support software, can help avoid dangerous medical errors, assist in coordinating care for patients receiving services from multiple providers, help patients take responsibility for their own health, and improve the quality of care. Under Medicare for All, health care providers will be rewarded for improving the quality of care they give to patients. One measure of health care quality will be appropriate use of information technology systems that improve care quality and reduce costs.

Role of Medicaid

Medicaid will continue to provide wraparound services and cost-sharing assistance to very low income and disabled individuals.

Medicare

The current Medicare system will continue to provide insurance for the over-65 population and for eligible disabled individuals.

Cost of plan

The plan will create large savings--$380 billion a year--at the same time it provides quality, affordable care for all Americans. The Institute of Medicine has estimated that, with no other changes, there would be a savings of $130 billion from extending coverage to all. Increased utilization of health care services by the currently uninsured will be offset by reductions in cost as the result of better prevention and earlier treatment of illness and by the economic benefits of a healthier population.[xxxii] <#_edn32>

In addition, the plan will save over $160 billion a year as the result of universal adoption of an electronic medical record and advanced information technology, $70 billion a year in reduced insurance overhead costs, and $50 billion a year from reduced administrative costs to providers from dealing with a simpler, more uniform billing system.[xxxiii] <#_edn33>

While the plan will create large savings overall, there will be a significant cost-shift from individuals and businesses who now pay for the cost of health insurance to public financing sources. Preliminary estimates of the increase in federal spending are $600 billion a year, more than offset by reductions in costs to individuals and firms. The cost increase to the government will be fully covered by payroll taxes and general revenues, and will not add to the deficit.

Financing

The plan will be financed by a combination of payroll taxes and general revenues. Eighty-five percent of the financing will come from payroll taxes and 15% from general revenues. A preliminary

estimate of the payroll tax financing necessary will be a payment of 7% of payroll by businesses and 1.7% by workers. By comparison, businesses providing coverage today spend an average of 13% of payroll to cover their workers.

---

[i] <#_ednref1> . U.S. Census Bureau, "Income, Poverty and Health Insurance Coverage: 2004," August, 2005.

[ii] <#_ednref2> . Families USA, "One in Three: Non-elderly Americans Without Health Insurance, 2002-2003," June, 2004.

[iii] <#_ednref3> Kaiser Family Fund and Health Research and Education Trust, Employer Health Benefits 2005 Annual Survey

[iv] <#_ednref4> . CMS, Office of Actuary, "National Health Expenditures." 2006 report.

[v] <#_ednref5> OECD, *OECD Health Data 2005*

[vi] <#_ednref6> Ibid.

[vii] <#_ednref7> National Association of Manufacturers and Manufacturers Alliance, "How Structural Costs Imposed on U.S. Manufacturers Harm Workers and Threaten Competitiveness," December, 2003.

[viii] <#_ednref8> . The Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured. June 1998.

[ix] <#_ednref9> . *Ibid.*

[x] <#_ednref10> . Stoddard JJ et al., 1994, "Health Insurance Status and Ambulatory Care for Children," *New England Journal of Medicine*, 330(20): 1421-1425.

[xi] <#_ednref11> . Ayanian, J. Z., Kohler, B. A., Abe, T., Epstein, A. M. (1993), "The Relation Between Health Insurance Coverage and Clinical Outcomes Among Women with Breast Cancer", *New England Journal of Medicine*, 329: 326-331, Data extrapolated to national level.

[xii] <#_ednref12> . Wenneker, M. B., Weissman, J. S., Epstein, A. M. (1990), "The Association of Payer With Utilization of Cardiac Procedures in Massachusetts", *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 264: 1255-1260, Data extrapolated to the national population.

[xiii] <#_ednref13> . The Institute of Medicine, *Care without Coverage: Too Little, Too Late*, National Academy Press, 2002.

[xiv] <#_ednref14> . Himmelstein et al. “Illness And Injury As Contributors To Bankruptcy” *Health Affairs*. Web exclusive. Feb. 2, 2005.

[xv] <#_ednref15> . Sullivan, T.A., Warren, E., Westbrook, J. (2000) *The Fragile Middle Class: Americans in Debt.* Yale University Press.

[xvi] <#_ednref16> . Kaiser Family Foundation Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured. Fact Sheet, November 2005.

[xvii] <#_ednref17> . *Ibid.*

[xviii] <#_ednref18> . U.S. Census Bureau, “Income, Poverty, and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2004,” August, 2005.

[xix] <#_ednref19> . *Ibid.*

[xx] <#_ednref20> Kaiser Family Fund and Health Research and Education Trust, Employer Health Benefits 2005 Annual Survey; Bureau of Labor Statistics.

[xxi] <#_ednref21> . Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Office of the Actuary, “National Health Expenditure Projections.” January 2006.

[xxii] <#_ednref22> . Woolhandler, Campbell, and Himmelstein, “Costs of Health Care Administration in the United States and Canada,” *New England Journal of Medicine*, 2003, 349: 768-75.

[xxiii] <#_ednref23> Ibid.

[xxiv] <#_ednref24> CMS, Office of the Actuary, National Health Expenditures, Table 12

<#_ednref25>
[xxv]. Hillestad et al. “Can Electronic Medical Record Systems Transform Health Care? Potential Health Benefits, Savings, And Costs” *Health Affairs*. Vol. 24 No. 5; pp. 1103-1117; September/October 2005.

[xxvi] <#_ednref26> *New York Times*, August 19, 2004, p.1.

[xxvii] <#_ednref27> Sarah Reber and Laura Tyson, “Rising Health Insurance Costs Slow Job Growth and Reduce Wages and Job Quality,” August 19, 2004.

[xxviii] <#_ednref28> National Association of Manufacturers and Manufacturers Alliance, “How Structural Cost Imposed on U.S. Manufacturers Harm Workers and Threaten Competitiveness,” December, 2003.

[xxix] <#_ednref29> *IndustryWeek.com,* Interview with Wilbur L. Ross, Chairman and CEO of WL Ross and Co., January 7, 2005.

[xxx] <#_ednref30> *Forbes* "Starbucks' Schultz Bemoans Health Care Costs" September 15, 2005.

31 *IndustryWeek.com,* Interview with Wilbur L. Ross, Chairman and CEO of WL Ross and Co., January 7, 2005.

<#_ednref31> 32 Morton Mintz, *The Nation,* "Single-Payer: Good for Business," November 15, 2004.

<#_ednref32> 33 Institute of Medicine *Hidden Costs, Value Lost: Uninsurance in America* (2003)

<#_ednref33> 34 Hillestad et al. "Can Electronic Medical Record Systems Transform Health Care? Potential Health Benefits, Savings, And Costs" *Health Affairs*. Vol. 24 No. 5; pp. 1103-1117; September/October 2005, for information technology savings; Professor Ken Thorpe, Emory University for savings in insurance overhead; staff estimate for administrative savings to providers.