



Highlights of GAO-07-993, a report to congressional requesters

Why GAO Did This Study

The Legal Services Corporation (LSC) was federally created as a private nonprofit corporation to support legal assistance for low-income people to resolve their civil matters and relies heavily on federal appropriations. Due to its unique status, its governance and accountability requirements differ from those of federal entities and nonprofits. This report responds to a congressional request that GAO review LSC board oversight of LSC's operations and whether LSC has sufficient governance and accountability. GAO's report objectives are to (1) compare LSC's framework for corporate governance and accountability to others', (2) evaluate LSC's governance practices, and (3) evaluate LSC's internal control and financial reporting practices. We reviewed the LSC Act, legislative history, relevant standards and requirements, and LSC documentation and accountability requirements and interviewed board and staff.

What GAO Recommends

Congress should consider mandating additional LSC governance and accountability requirements modeled after federal agencies or government corporations. GAO also makes recommendations to LSC's board for modernizing and strengthening its governance and to LSC management for improving its practices. LSC's board and management agreed with the recommendations.

www.gao.gov/cgi-bin/getrpt?GAO-07-993.

To view the full product, including the scope and methodology, click on the link above. For more information, contact Jeanette Franzel at (202) 512-9471 or franzelj@gao.gov.

August 2007

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Governance and Accountability Practices Need to Be Modernized and Strengthened

What GAO Found

Although LSC has stronger federal accountability requirements than many nonprofit corporations, it is subject to governance and accountability requirements that are weaker than those of independent federal agencies and U.S. government corporations. Congress issued LSC's federal charter over 30 years ago. Established with governance and accountability requirements as they existed at the time, LSC has not kept up with evolving reforms aimed at strengthening internal control over an organization's financial reporting process and systems. Rigorous controls are important for the safeguarding and stewardship of \$348.6 million of taxpayer dollars. Although no single set of practices exists for both private and public entities, current accepted practices of federal agencies, government corporations, and nonprofit corporations offer models for strengthening LSC's governance and accountability, including effective board oversight of management; its performance; and its use of federal funds and resources.

The board members demonstrated active involvement in LSC through their regular board meeting attendance and participation in LSC oversight. Although LSC's Board of Directors was established with provisions in law that may have supported effective operation over 30 years ago, its practices fall short of modern board practices. The LSC board generally provides each new member an informal orientation to LSC and the board, but it does not have consistent, formal orientation and ongoing training with updates on new developments in governance and accountability standards and practice. The current board has four committees, but none are specifically targeted at providing critical audit, ethics, or compensation functions, which are important governance mechanisms commonly used in corporate governance structures. Because it has not taken advantage of opportunities to incorporate such practices, LSC's Board of Directors is at risk of not being able to fulfill its role of effective governance and oversight. A properly implemented governance and accountability structure may have prevented recent incidents of compensation rates in excess of statutory caps, questionable expenditures, and potential conflicts of interest.

LSC also has not kept up with current management practices. Of particular importance are key processes in risk assessment, internal control, and financial reporting. Management has not formally assessed the risks to the safeguarding of its assets and maintaining the effectiveness and efficiency of its operation, nor has it implemented internal controls or other risk mitigation policies. LSC is also at increased risk that conflicts of interest will occur and not be identified because senior management has not established comprehensive policies or procedures regarding ethical issues that are aimed at identifying potential conflicts and taking appropriate actions to prevent them. Finally, management has not performed its own assessment or analysis of accounting standards to determine the most appropriate standards for LSC to follow.