

The Comptroller General of the United States

Washington, D.C. 20548

Decision

Matter of: Kempter-Rossman International

File: B-232402.2

Date: March 1, 1989

DIGEST

The General Accounting Office will not consider a matter that is essentially a dispute between private parties.

DECISION

Kempter-Rossman International protests the award of a contract to Arthur Beard Engineers, Inc., under request for proposals (RFP) No. DTFH61-88-R-00131, issued by the Federal Highway Administration for value engineering workshops. Kempter-Rossman asserts that one of its partners secretly collaborated with Arthur Beard in submitting a proposal. According to Kempter-Rossman, the contract award is tainted by misconduct because its partner offered his services in competition with Kempter-Rossman at the same time he was offering his services through Kempter-Rossman.

We will not consider the protest.

As we have previously held, such a matter essentially concerns a dispute between private parties which this Office will not adjudicate in the context of a bid protest.

Meldick Services Inc., B-231072, May 3, 1988, 88-1 CPD

433. Moreover, to the extent that the Kempter-Rossman partner's conduct impacts Arthur Beard's eligibility for award, it involves Arthur Beard's responsibility as a prospective contractor. Federal Acquisition Regulation 9.104-1. The decision as to whether a firm is responsible is within the discretion of the contracting officer, and our Office will not review an affirmative determination in that regard except in limited circumstances not applicable here.

4 C.F.R. § 21.3(m)(5) (1988); Urban Indian Council, Inc., B-225955.2, May 12, 1987, 87-1 CPD ¶ 500.

Accordingly, the protest is dismissed and there is no basis for reimbursement of Kempter-Rossman's claimed proposal preparation or protest costs. 4 C.F.R. §§ 21.3(m) and 21.6(d) (1988).

Robert M. Strong

Associate General Counsel