CONFIDENTIAL

January 16, 2007

Audit and Review Committee Board of Regents Smithsonian Institution Washington, D.C. 20560

Dear Members of the Audit and Review Committee:

Attached please find the Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures to a review of the Secretary's expenses, compensation, and donations for 2000 through 2005, which was conducted at the Secretary's and your request.<sup>1</sup>

In this transmittal we offer comments and recommendations based on our oversight of the independent accountant's review, focusing on the Secretary's expenses. We note those transactions that we believe the Regents could find to be appropriate, even if the transactions did not strictly comply with Institution policies, as well as those that we believe the Regents could find inappropriate. In our view, the Institution would benefit from providing more specific guidance on expenses. In addition, we offer some observations on the Secretary's employment agreement and suggest that it be revised.

Our comments follow the order of the attached report.

## The Secretary's Expenses

As a trust instrumentality of the United States and as a charitable organization under the Internal Revenue Code, the Smithsonian must ensure that expenses incurred by individuals in carrying out its mission are reasonable. The Smithsonian must also ensure that such expenses are properly documented; that they are for a Smithsonian purpose and not for personal benefit; and that they are not lavish or extravagant.

Overall, we saw no evidence of fraud or abuse associated with the expense transactions reviewed. We also saw no evidence that the expenses reviewed were solely for personal benefit. All the transactions for which there was support had a Smithsonian business purpose. However, many transactions were not properly documented or were not in accordance with Smithsonian policies. Additionally, some transactions might be considered lavish or extravagant.

A separate report on a review of the expenses and compensation of the Chief Executive Officer of Smithsonian Business Ventures is forthcoming.

Unsupported or Inadequately Supported Expense Transactions (Schedule B-1)

Only 42 (or 4%) of the 1,040 transactions reviewed (totaling \$846,312.34) had inadequate support (documentation);<sup>2</sup> out of those 42, only 12 (or 1%, worth \$7,108.89) had no support at all. We note that where there is no support or inadequate support for the expense, there may be tax consequences to the Secretary.

 Accordingly, we recommend that the Board of Regents ask the General Counsel to review the tax implications, if any, of the Institution reimbursing unsupported and inadequately supported expenses.

Unauthorized Travel Transactions (Schedule B-1)

The report identifies nine travel transactions (related to four trips) as unauthorized. Six of these transactions involved a single, round-trip charter flight to San Antonio, Texas (totaling \$14,509.40), where the Secretary attended the opening of an affiliate museum and a function held by a potential major donor and then needed to return for a Board of Regents meeting.<sup>3</sup> While the trip had a legitimate Smithsonian purpose and the charter flight ensured that the Secretary would arrive back in time for the Regents, the use of a charter flight was not authorized by his employment agreement or any Smithsonian policy and we therefore believe the cost was excessive. First-class roundtrip airfare – to which the Secretary is entitled under his employment agreement – between Washington, D.C. and San Antonio, Texas, at that time was approximately \$2,000.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The majority (34 out of 42) of these transactions date from fiscal years 2000 and 2001. It is not surprising that some percentage of records could not be located, given the time elapsed, the relocation of the Office of the Comptroller (including its voluminous and at that point poorly organized records) in 2000, and the turnover in personnel since then.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> We note that a Washington Post account a few months after the trip cites an Institution spokesperson as saying that the Secretary paid for the trip from a discretionary fund with his own money. That characterization is inaccurate. The trip was paid for with Smithsonian funds. It is true that the Secretary has been very generous in his donations to the Institution (he gave almost \$430,000 in cash and securities in the period covered by the review), and these donations are allocated to a discretionary trust fund from which he may make expenditures on behalf of the Institution. However, once an individual has donated money to the Institution (and taken any resulting tax benefit), the donation becomes the property of the Institution and is subject to expenditure guidelines for "reasonableness" and other limitations flowing from the Institution's status as a trust and a 501(c)(3) organization. If the Secretary had paid for the charter flight with his own funds, the expenditure would not be subject to these restrictions, but it also could not be claimed as a charitable donation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Two other transactions did not involve excessive expenditures. One involved a charter flight from Washington, D.C. to Pennsylvania (\$1,348.75) for a Smithsonian-related function, and that flight may not have cost much more than a commercial flight and attendant ground transportation to the area. The other was an overnight stay at a motel (\$67.06) near Dulles Airport when the Secretary was attending numerous functions related to the opening of the Udvar-Hazy Center.

 Accordingly, we recommend that the Board of Regents consider whether the Secretary's use of the charter flight was reasonable under the circumstances and if it was not, ask the Secretary to reimburse the Institution for the difference between first-class airfare and the cost of the charter flight, and ask the General Counsel to review the tax implications, if any, of reimbursing the cost of the charter flight.

The other travel transaction of concern is a reimbursement to the Secretary's wife for a trip she took to Cambodia with Smithsonian National Board members. Again, the trip clearly had a legitimate Smithsonian purpose, and we note that Smithsonian travel policy does allow the Institution to pay the travel costs of spouses of Smithsonian employees who are traveling to attend an official function "if their services in an official capacity can be demonstrated in advance." The independent accountant, however, was not provided with evidence of prior authorization or approval. We also note that the Institution's reimbursement of the Secretary's wife's travel expenses in this instance, and possibly in all instances, may have tax implications.

 Accordingly, we recommend that the Board of Regents ask the General Counsel to review the tax implications, if any, of reimbursing the Secretary's wife's travel expenses.

Unauthorized Non-Travel Transactions (Schedule B-2)

The independent accountant's report lists approximately 200 transactions (totaling \$67,865.40) as unauthorized non-travel costs, or 8% of the cost of all expense transactions reviewed. The majority of these transactions arguably were not inappropriate. Specifically, all but approximately \$5,700 of these non-travel transactions, while not allowed under then-existing Smithsonian policies, would be authorized under current policy or if a different category of Trust funds had been used. The expenditures were for Smithsonian purposes, and were not for personal benefit. Smithsonian policies at the time, however, were either ambiguous or did not necessarily recognize the purpose involved. According to the Secretary's staff, they believed that all these expenditures were allowed under Smithsonian policy or that the Secretary could waive any policy if it applied.<sup>5</sup>

There were 15 transactions (totaling \$2,679.73) involving the use of the Institution's "401 funds" (a type of Trust fund) for the purchase of alcoholic beverages for official Smithsonian functions prior to issuance of the new policy governing the expenditure of Trust funds in December 2004. Before that time, the policy was silent on the purchase of alcoholic beverages. The new policy allows the Secretary and other specified staff to purchase alcoholic beverages for official occasions using the Institution's "402 funds."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> We are aware of no written authority for the Secretary to waive Smithsonian policies.

The independent accountant notes 104 transactions (totaling \$45,140.78) involving staff breakfasts and other meals with staff that the Secretary hosted through November 2004, which, according to the Secretary's staff, were working meals or staff morale-building occasions. In December 2004, Institution policy governing the expenditure of Trust funds was explicitly changed to authorize such expenditures by the Secretary. Thus, currently, these expenditures are allowed. We note, however, that there is no guidance that would help ensure that expenditures for these meals are kept within reasonable limits. In some instances, in our view, the cost of staff meals listed in the report might exceed what would be prudent under the circumstances. We also note that the policy on the expenditure of trust funds is an attachment to a trust fund allocation memo, rather than a Smithsonian Directive, the form Institution policies of long-term significance take.

The independent accountant also lists 66 gift transactions (totaling \$14,387.89) as unauthorized. As noted in the report, Institution policy does not permit the expenditure of Trust funds for gifts and therefore the expenses were unauthorized. While generally that rule is appropriate, we believe the Regents should consider authorizing the Secretary (and perhaps other Institution executives involved in development, such as directors of museums and other programs) to spend Trust money on gifts for donors and board members in gratitude for their generosity and service to the Institution, so long as those gifts are not lavish or extravagant. Such gifts strengthen relationships with donors, potential donors, board members, and volunteers. Some gifts to employees may also be appropriate, as they enhance employee morale. However, we also believe that there should be limits on gifts to employees and that those limits should be spelled out in appropriate guidance. For example, gifts could be restricted to the retirement of longtime employees or condolence flowers or memorial contributions on the death of an employee. In all instances, the Institution should be acknowledged as the source of the donation or gift. In addition, we note that, as with the expenditures on meals, some of the gifts listed in the report appear to be lavish.

- Accordingly, we recommend that the Board of Regents consider reviewing the transactions involving meals with staff and the purchase of alcoholic beverages for official functions to determine whether reimbursement would be warranted, recognizing that current Smithsonian policies would permit these expenditures.
- We also recommend that the Board of Regents direct the Institution to revise its policy governing the expenditure of Trust funds to allow the Secretary and other officials to use such funds for token gifts on behalf of the Institution to donors, board members, and volunteers, and for token gifts to employees on limited occasions; and to direct the Institution to develop a Smithsonian Directive, with Board of Regents approval, providing guidance on appropriate levels of expenditures for these purposes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> There were six occasions on which the Secretary had the Institution pay for personal lunches, but the Secretary reimbursed the Smithsonian for the full amount (\$700) on December 12, 2006, as well as for a spousal privilege fee (\$33.50) at the Cosmos Club that was reimbursed erroneously in 2000.

Finally, we note that there was a \$4,811.50 cash award in 2000 to an assistant to the Secretary that the independent accountant lists as unauthorized. Evidence provided to the independent accountant indicated that the purpose for the award did not meet Smithsonian standards for the granting of such awards, and no documentation was provided demonstrating otherwise.

## The Secretary's Employment Agreement

The terms of the Secretary's employment agreement posed numerous challenges to the review of his expenses and, in particular, of his housing allowance. To avoid similar issues in the future and, more importantly, to clarify the agreement's terms, we suggest that the Board of Regents and the Secretary revisit the agreement, with the assistance of the Institution's General Counsel.

#### Travel Provisions

The Secretary's employment agreement explicitly authorizes the Secretary to fly first-class and states that Smithsonian travel policies – which follow the Federal Travel Regulation (FTR) – otherwise apply. Thus, on its face the agreement does not appear to allow the Secretary to exceed FTR limits on hotel charges and apparent limits on using car services. But the Secretary's travel costs did not always come within those limits. The representation letters to the independent accountant nonetheless established that the parties to the agreement (the Secretary and Board of Regents through its Executive Committee) believe that the agreement entitled him to premium travel in all regards, not just premium air fare. The independent accountant therefore did not ultimately categorize the expenditures for car service and premium hotel accommodations as unauthorized. While we do not suggest the Secretary be subject to the FTR (or limits other than his travel expenses should further the Institution's mission and not be lavish or extravagant), we believe there should be greater clarity and accountability.

 Accordingly, we recommend that the Board of Regents consider amending the Secretary's employment agreement to specify what level of travel service the Secretary is entitled to and what limitations, if any, should apply to his travel-related costs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> For example, the Secretary spent approximately \$27,000 on car service while on travel over the course of the 6-year review period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> We note that the Panel on the Nonprofit Sector's Summer 2005 Report to Congress and the Nonprofit Sector opposes limiting amounts paid by charitable organizations for travel, meals and accommodations to the federal government rate because doing so "would place an unreasonable barrier to many activities of [an] organization."

## Housing Allowance Provisions

The Secretary's employment agreement also provides him with a housing allowance, as historically the Institution has provided its Secretary with a house and expects the Secretary to use it for official Smithsonian hospitality. As with the provisions having to do with travel, it appeared that the literal language of two provisions of the agreement was not followed: that the allowance is not to exceed a specified amount "in compensation for up to fifty percent (50%) of the actual costs of his housing;" and that payment will be made upon the Secretary's "presentation monthly of records of housing operating and maintenance expenditures including but not to be limited to: homeowner's insurance, utilities, ordinary maintenance and cleaning, grounds service, real estate taxes, mortgage interest or equivalent costs of home ownership, etc., but not capital expenditures."

We understand that for administrative ease, the Regents, a few months after the Secretary's arrival, ceased requiring monthly records of the Secretary's housing expenditures but did not amend the employment agreement accordingly. The Regents treated the allowance payments – which increased each year with his salary – as if they were lump-sum payments due in the same manner as his salary. As a result, when this review began, the monthly records of actual expenses had to be assembled for the independent accountant's review.

Further, the most significant housing expense listed is the Secretary's hypothetical mortgage interest, which is characterized as an equivalent cost of home ownership. The agreement does not explain how this imputed interest would be calculated. The independent accountant did not review the underlying assumptions or otherwise verify the resulting numbers. Yet those costs were the largest portion of the total housing allowance expenses. With different assumptions, it is possible the Secretary's costs would not have met the threshold necessary to receive the full amount of the housing allowance. <sup>10</sup>

 Accordingly, we recommend that the Board of Regents consider revising the Secretary's employment agreement to make the housing allowance a single yearly payment with no documentation of expenses or minimum amount required to qualify for the allowance; or, specify more clearly in the agreement what costs qualify for the allowance and what recordkeeping and reporting are required.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> In their representation letters to the Independent Accountant, the parties to the agreement stated that they intended the Secretary's imputed mortgage interest to be an actual cost of housing that would count toward his housing allowance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> For example, had an adjustable rate mortgage been used to impute the mortgage interest, the overall costs could have been lower. The calculations prepared by the Office of the Secretary used a 30-year fixed rate mortgage rate of 8.32 percent from January, 2000. In 2000, the average 1-year ARM was 7.04 percent, and interest rates declined steadily to an average of 3.90 percent in 2004, then increased in 2005 to 4.49 percent. Alternatively, refinancing might have been an option; average 30-year fixed mortgage rates declined significantly from 2000 (when they averaged 8.05 percent) through 2005 (when they averaged 5.87 percent).

## Recordkeeping Practices

Finally, as a result of this review, it became apparent that the recordkeeping practices of the Secretary's office and the Office of the Comptroller need to be refined to allow for the separate tracking and categorizing of the Secretary's expenses. The records of the Secretary's expenses were not segregated from those of the Secretary's office as a whole (for such expenditures as office products; travel for the Regents; furniture and other items for the Castle; and the like). It was therefore initially difficult to determine which expenditures were attributable to the Secretary, find supporting documentation going back 7 years, and decide what types of expenditures were involved without having to look at the original receipts and other voluminous support.

Recognizing these problems, the Office of the Secretary, working with the Office of the Comptroller, the Office of the Chief Information Officer and our office, has already implemented a new coding system to track and categorize the Secretary's expenses more methodically beginning in fiscal year 2007.

Beginning this year, this office will conduct annual reviews of the Secretary's expenses. In an era of severe budget constraints and of congressional and public scrutiny of nonprofit executive compensation and expenses, reviews such as this one help assure that the Smithsonian is using its limited assets prudently and solely for the benefit of the Institution's mission. Examining the Secretary's expenses increases transparency and accountability and will thereby strengthen the trust and confidence of the public, Congress, and donors in the Institution.

We look forward to your responses to our recommendations. Please do not hesitate to call me on 202.633.7095 if you have any questions or would like any further information.

Very truly yours,

A. Sprightley Ryan

Acting Inspector General

cd Sijoby ly-

CC Lawrence M. Small, Secretary
Sheila P. Burke, Deputy Secretary and Chief Operating Officer
John E. Huerta, General Counsel
Alice C. Maroni, Chief Financial Officer
Andrew J. Zino, Comptroller
James M. Hobbins, Executive Assistant to the Secretary



Cotton & Company ELP 635 Slaters Lane 4th Floor Alexandria, VA 22314

P: 703.836.6701 P: 703.836.0941 www.cottoncpa.com

# INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

December 22, 2006

To the Audit and Review Committee of the Smithsonian Board of Regents:

Cotton & Company LLP performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Smithsonian Institution Office of the Inspector General and the Institution's Chief Financial Officer, solely to assist you in evaluating compensation of the Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution and in determining if travel and other reimbursable expenditures incurred by the Secretary were reasonable in the context of a business expense related to the Smithsonian mission. The Smithsonian was responsible for preparing the four schedules provided for our review: Schedule of Expenditures of the Office of the Secretary, Schedule of Compensation for the Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution, Schedule of Housing Allowances for the Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution, and Schedule of Donations from the Secretary to the Smithsonian Institution.

We conducted this agreed-upon procedures engagement in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

#### **OBJECTIVES**

The Smithsonian identified its overall objectives as follows:

- Determine if transactions included on the Schedule of Expenditures were properly supported.
- Determine if transactions included on the Schedule of Expenditures were valid business expenses
  related to the Smithsonian mission or were not incurred in accordance with Smithsonian policies
  and guidance.
- 3. Verify total compensation paid to the Secretary of the Smithsonian, to include, if applicable:
  - Salary
  - Bonuses
  - Benefits
  - Housing allowances
  - Honoraria
  - Loans or cash advances
  - Housing or relocation expenses

- Automobile allowances
- Other remuneration or compensation, including severance and deferred compensation
- 4. Verify the total amount of donations or securities contributions made by the Secretary to the Institution.
- 5. Verify the total amount of related matching gifts associated with the Secretary's donations to the Smithsonian.

#### BACKGROUND AND SCOPE

The Secretary of the Smithsonian, on behalf of the Audit and Review Committee of the Board of Regents, requested an independent third-party review of the Secretary's expenditures and compensation. The Smithsonian contracted with Cotton & Company to review the Schedules of Expenditures, Compensation, Housing Allowances, and Donations prepared by the Smithsonian's Chief Financial Officer (CFO). The period of the agreed-upon procedures was Fiscal Years (FYs) 2000 through 2005.

To gain an understanding of the requirements of this agreed-upon procedures engagement, Cotton & Company met with the acting Inspector General (IG) and senior managers from other Smithsonian organizations on July 26, 2006, and on subsequent dates as necessary. We reviewed schedules prepared by the Smithsonian Office of the Chief Financial Officer, as well as the supporting documentation. We also interviewed Smithsonian officials who assist with daily administration and operation of the Secretary's office.

In addition, we reviewed policies and procedures, references, handbooks, and memorandums provided by the Smithsonian as guidance to assist us in performing the agreed-upon procedures (See Appendix A for a comprehensive list of references and guidance.) To the extent that the Secretary's employment agreement did not address, or was ambiguous regarding, reimbursement of certain expenditures, we obtained clarification from the Secretary's office and the Board of Regents on the intent of that agreement. We provided periodic status updates to the acting IG and Smithsonian staff, as well as the draft report documenting the results of our agreed-upon procedures.

#### AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

A-1. Trace all expenditures reported on the Schedule of Expenditures<sup>1</sup> to source documentation to determine if expenditures were properly supported.

The Smithsonian provided adequate documentation to support 998 of the 1,040 transactions we reviewed. Documentation could not be located for 12, and available documentation for the other 30 was not adequate to substantiate the business validity of the transaction. These unsupported transactions are identified in Schedule B-1. We classified the supported transactions as either travel reimbursement or other, as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Schedule of Expenditures was prepared by the Smithsonian and was not reviewed by Cotton & Company for completeness.

Cost Category	Number of Transactions	Dollar Value of Transactions	
Travel	260	\$298,735.28	
Other	738	519,011.48	
Unsupported	<u>42</u>	28,565.58	
Total	<u>1,040</u>	<u>\$846,312.34</u>	

# A-2. Review supporting documentation for all transactions identified on the Schedule of Expenditures to identify expenses not fulfilling the Smithsonian mission or not incurred in accordance with Smithsonian policies and guidance provided by Smithsonian staff.

Smithsonian policies and guidance provided to us are listed in Appendix A. We identified unauthorized transactions totaling \$89,554.61 that were not incurred within limits prescribed by Smithsonian policies and guidance or that did not appear necessary to fulfill the Smithsonian mission. Detail for those transactions and the reason why each item was identified as unauthorized is provided in Schedule B-2.

# B-1. Trace amounts reported on the Schedule of Compensation<sup>2</sup> to taxable wage amounts reported on IRS Forms 990 (Non-Profit Tax Returns), Smithsonian's Statements of Earnings and Leave, Secretary's IRS Form W-2s (Record of Compensation), and employment agreement.

Amounts shown on the Schedule of Compensation were supported by Smithsonian Statements of Earnings, IRS Form W-2s, and employment agreement. Amounts reported on the W-2s reconciled to the Smithsonian's Statement of Earnings and Leave, both of which are on a *calendar year* basis. Amounts reported on the Smithsonian's Statement of Earnings and Leave converted to a *fiscal year* basis did not, however, reconcile to taxable wage amounts reported on the Forms 990 for several fiscal years, as follows:

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
Taxable wage amounts on Form 990 Statement of Earnings	\$356,700	\$655,904	\$746,069	\$746,713	\$790,440	\$819,323
and Leave	<u>356,700</u>	<u>649,176</u>	<u>731,947</u>	745,606	<u>827,196</u>	<u>819,322</u>
Difference	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$6,728</u>	<u>\$14,122</u>	<u>\$1,107</u>	<u>\$(36,756)</u>	<u>\$1</u>

The Schedule of Compensation did not include expenditures for honoraria, loans or cash advances, or automobile advances.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Schedule of Compensation was prepared by the Smithsonian and was not reviewed by Cotton & Company for completeness.

# B-2. Trace amounts reported on the Schedule of Housing Allowances<sup>3</sup> to supporting documentation as follows to ensure existence of actual expenditures:

We performed the following verifications:

Type of Reimbursement	Verification Performed
Utilities	Traced a sample of 2 transactions each year to supporting invoices
Insurance	Traced all transactions to supporting invoices
Real Estate Taxes	Traced all transactions to supporting invoices
Grounds Service	Traced all transactions over \$2,000 to supporting invoices
Cleaning (Housekeepers)	Traced total cost to the housekeepers' W-2s and the Employment Quarterly Contribution and Wage Report (unemployment tax)
Maintenance	Traced all transactions over \$2,000 and 5 transactions under \$2,000 to supporting invoices
Mortgage Interest or Equivalent	No testing was performed
Cost of Home Ownership*	

\* This is an imputed cost on the Schedule of Housing Allowances based on the \$3,488,095 estimated market price of the Secretary's home at the time his employment agreement was signed and the average interest rate of 8.32% for a 30-year fixed-rate mortgage at that time. Because this imputed cost was based on those assumptions, we did not perform testing on the calculation.

All expenditures tested were supported by invoices, work orders, receipts or payroll records.

## B-3. Compare the annual housing allowance ceiling (as reported on the Secretary's employment agreement) to costs incurred and imputed as reported on the Schedule of Housing Allowances.

The ceiling identified in the Secretary's employment agreement is reported as "\$150,000 per year...for up to fifty percent (50%) of the actual costs of his housing." The housing allowance ceiling was increased each year as part of the Secretary's compensation package. Because the housing allowance is approved on an annual calendar year basis, we compared the ceiling to costs incurred each calendar year.

In each year, incurred and imputed costs reported on the Schedule of Housing Allowances exceeded the ceiling allowance. A summary of these costs follows:

	CY 2000	CY 2001	CY 2002	CY 2003	CY 2004	CY 2005
Costs Incurred	\$132,441	\$156,333	\$151,441	\$162,456	\$159,263	\$139,103
Imputed Costs	290,208	290,208	<u>290,208</u>	<u>290,208</u>	<u>290,208</u>	<u>290,208</u>
Total Costs	\$422,649	\$446,541	\$441,649	\$452,664	\$449,471	\$429,311
50% of Total Costs	\$211,325	\$223,270	\$220,824	\$226,332	\$224,736	\$214,656
Ceiling	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$157,155	\$162,027	\$169,172	\$179,322

A significant portion of the Secretary's housing costs are imputed as described above. The Board of Regents clarified that it intended that these amounts be considered "equivalent costs of home ownership" and thus reimbursable in accordance with the employment agreement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Schedule of Housing Allowances was prepared by the Smithsonian and was not reviewed by Cotton & Company for completeness.

## B-4. Compare the annual housing allowance ceiling (as reported on the Secretary's employment agreement) to actual payments made to the Secretary.

Payments to the Secretary were made periodically, based on the employment agreement ceiling, instead of on documented actual expenses. While incurred and imputed costs did exceed the ceiling, differences were noted between ceilings and actual payments (based on the Secretary's Statements of Earnings and Leave), as follows:

49						
	CY 2000	CY 2001	CY 2002	CY 2003	CY 2004	CY 2005
Ceiling Actual payments made	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$157,155	\$162,027	\$169,172	\$179,322
to the Secretary	150,000	150,000	<u>156,558</u>	162,027	140,977	<u>179,322</u>
Ceiling Amount						
Exceeding Payments	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$597</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$28,195</u>	<u>\$0</u>

C-1. Trace all amounts from the Schedule of Donations<sup>4</sup> (cash or securities) to acknowledgement letters from the Smithsonian and accounting records documenting receipt of the transaction (i.e., general ledger) to determine if the amounts were accurately recorded. Trace all securities transactions on the Schedule of Donations to available supporting documentation to ensure that transactions were appropriately valued. Trace all matching gifts made by third parties contingent upon the Secretary's donations to available supporting documentation and accounting records documenting receipt of the transaction.

Amounts reported on the Schedule of Donations represented four types of transactions, as shown below:

Transaction Type	Number of Transactions	Dollar Value of Transactions
Secretary's Cash Donations	7	\$2,938.31
Secretary's Securities Donations	8	426,355.67
Third-Party Matching Donations	11	120,000.00
In-Honor-Of Donations	<u>11</u>	<u>55,000.00</u>
Total	<u>37</u>	<u>\$604,293.98</u>

We traced all transactions to supporting documentation and traced receipts to the Smithsonian general ledger. Amounts were accurately recorded and valued. The general ledger balance for donations did not reflect receipts for 2 transactions totaling \$321. Transactions listed as "In Honor Of" were not contributions of the Secretary or matching contributions; we did, however, trace amounts to supporting documentation and verified receipt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Schedule of Donations was prepared by the Smithsonian and was not reviewed by Cotton & Company for completeness.

D-1. Obtain management representation letters from Smithsonian management and from the Board of Regents to confirm to the best of their knowledge that representations were accurate and pertained to the period under review.

We requested and received management representation letters from Smithsonian management and representatives from the Board of Regents.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of opinions on the Schedules described in the first paragraph. Accordingly, we do not express such opinions. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you. This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Office of the Inspector General and the Smithsonian Board of Regents and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

COTTON & COMPANY LLP

Sam Hadley, CPA, CGFM

Partner

## APPENDIX A

REFERENCE MATERIAL AND GUIDANCE PROVIDED BY THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

# APPENDIX A REFERENCE MATERIAL AND GUIDANCE PROVIDED BY THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

FY 1999 Federal Salaries & Expenses and Unrestricted General Trust Fund Budget Allocations, Attachment 6 - Use of Trust Funds for Representational and Special Event Expenses

Use of Trust Funds for Representational and Special Event Expenses, FY 2005 401 Allocation Memorandum

Trust Budget Allocations and Spending Plans, FYs 2000-2005

Decision Brief for the Under Secretary, August 4, 1998

Smithsonian Institution Travel Policies and Procedures Manual, in effect from June 29, 2000 through May 22, 2005

Smithsonian Directive (SD) 312, Travel, May 23, 2005

Smithsonian Institution Travel Handbook, May 23, 2005

Smithsonian Institution Employment Agreement for the Secretary

Smithsonian Institution: Compensation for Secretary Lawrence M. Small, Executive Committee of the Board of Regents, FYs 2001-2005

Smithsonian Directive (SD) 213, Trust Personnel Handbook, Common Types of Incentive Awards

OIG's Conclusions on the Applicability of Smithsonian Travel Policies, September 28, 2006

Interpretation of Paragraph 7 of Secretary Small's Employment Agreement, October 11, 2006

## APPENDIX B

SCHEDULE OF UNSUPPORTED AND INADEQUATELY SUPPORTED TRANSACTIONS

SCHEDULE OF UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS

SCHEDULE B-1
SCHEDULE OF UNSUPPORTED AND INADEQUATELY SUPPORTED TRANSACTIONS

Invoice			
Date	Vendor	Amount	Status of Support
01/05/2000	Fredrick Miley & Assoc.	\$46.00	No invoice, purchase order only
01/05/2000	Fredrick Miley & Assoc.	2,774.50	No invoice, purchase order only
01/07/2000	Hodges Original	6,442.80	No invoice, purchase order only
01/11/2000	L'enfant AP	327.35	No invoice, memo only
01/11/2000	L'enfant AP	944.43	No invoice, memo only
01/26/2000	Shepherd Electric Co, Inc.	4,600.00	No invoice, purchase order only
02/07/2000	SI	202.13	Invoices of \$57.19 are illegible
02/17/2000	Travel (Citibank Account)	212.00	No documentation provided
03/01/2000	Travel (Citibank Account)	97.00	No invoice, SFS invoice only
03/14/2000	Lawrence M. Small	124.59	No invoice, memo only
03/14/2000	Lawrence M. Small	142.00	No invoice, memo only
03/23/2000	Travel (Citibank Account)	2,493.80	No documentation provided
03/27/2000	Lawrence M. Small	287.53	No invoice, memo only
03/27/2000	L'enfant AP	287.53	No documentation provided
04/05/2000	August Georges	70.00	No invoice, purchase order only
04/05/2000	August Georges	2,043.00	No invoice, purchase order only
04/18/2000	ACE Beverage	138.58	No invoice, event schedule only
04/18/2000	Party Rentals, Ltd.	587.43	No invoice, event schedule only
04/27/2000	Bernhard Furniture	400.00	No invoice, purchase order only
04/27/2000	Bernhard Furniture	427.00	No invoice, purchase order only
05/25/2000	Lawrence M. Small	212.50	No invoice, memo only
06/09/2000	Lawrence M. Small	277.05	No documentation provided
06/27/2000	Lawrence M. Small	443.80	No invoice, memo only
08/31/2000	Palace Florist	115.27	Inadequately documented business purpose
09/25/2000	Travel (Citibank Account)	97.00	No documentation provided
10/03/2000	Travel (Citibank Account)	108.00	No documentation provided
10/20/2000	Lawrence M. Small	402.32	No documentation provided
10/25/2000	Lawrence M. Small	108.00	No documentation provided
10/31/2000	Palace Florist	117.00	Inadequately documented business purpose
01/12/2001	Travel (Citibank Account)	91.50	No receipt, wrong receipt provided
04/18/2001	Travel (Citibank Account)	91.50	No receipt, wrong receipt provided
04/18/2001	Travel (Citibank Account)	91.50	No receipt, travel voucher only
09/19/2001	ACE Beverage	3.31	No documentation provided
09/30/2001	Catering By Windows	2,487.38	No documentation provided
03/05/2002	Restaurant Associates	100.00	Inadequately documented business purpose
04/02/2002	Restaurant Associates	100.00	No documentation provided
05/03/2002	Travel (Citibank Account)	150.50	Travel voucher, no receipts
09/14/2002	Restaurant Associates	100.00	Inadequately documented business purpose
01/17/2003	Citibank	184.00	Travel authorization only
12/19/2003	Citibank	532.50	No documentation provided
04/06/2004	Palace Florist	18.15	Inadequately documented business purpose
07/25/2005	Citibank	<u>86.63</u>	No invoice, credit card statement only
		<u>\$28,565.58</u>	

## SCHEDULE B-2 SCHEDULE OF UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS

TRAVEL COSTS

Invoice Date	Vendor	Total Amount	Unauthorized Amount	Description	Reason (see Note)
11/30/2000	Citibank (South	\$1,408.70	\$1,348.75	Charter flight from	A
	Dakota), N.A.			Washington, DC, to Lackawanna Station, PA	
05/22/2001	Martin Air, Inc.	5.50	5.50	Charter flight cost: domestic segment fee	Α
05/22/2001	Martin Air, Inc.	272.00	272.00	Charter flight cost: net of fuel surcharge and credit for flight delay	<b>A</b>
05/22/2001	Martin Air, Inc.	650.00	650.00	Charter flight cost: landing/parking	Α
05/22/2001	Martin Air, Inc.	1,000.00	1,000.00	Charter flight cost: aircraft overnight	. <b>A</b> .
05/22/2001	Martin Air, Inc.	1,011.90	1,011.90	Charter flight cost: Federal excise tax	Α
05/22/2001	Martin Air, Inc.	11,570.00	11,570.00	Charter flight cost for round trip from Washington to San Antonio	Α
12/23/2003	Lawrence M. Small	67.06	67.06	Hotel, Chantilly VA	В
07/15/2004	Sandra H. Small	17,274.75	<u>5,764.00</u>	Trip to Cambodia: tour package	С
			\$21,689.21		

OTHER COSTS

Invoice Date	Vendor	Total Amount	Unauthorized Amount	Description	Reason (see Note)
02/08/2000	L'enfant AP	\$2,716.03	\$149.05	Lunches and spousal privilege fee	D <u>,</u> E
03/01/2000	SI	339.31	47.63	Meal with NASM director	E
04/06/2000	Occasions Caterers, Inc.	334.50	334.50	Lunch with Director of Policy & Analysis	E
05/23/2000	Design Cuisine	414.00	414.00	Staff breakfast	$\mathbf{E}$
05/25/2000	Splendid Fare Catering	321.75	321.75	Lunch with development officer	E
05/31/2000	Design Cuisine	430.00	430.00	Staff breakfast	E
06/02/2000	Design Cuisine	414.00	414.00	Staff breakfast	E
06/10/2000	Design Cuisine	405.50	405.50	Staff breakfast	$\mathbf{E}$
06/10/2000	Design Cuisine	421.50	421.50	Staff breakfast	· <b>E</b>
06/21/2000	Design Cuisine	421.50	421.50	Staff breakfast	E
07/05/2000	Design Cuisine	421.50	421.50	Staff breakfast	E
07/14/2000	Design Cuisine	421.50	421.50	Staff breakfast	$\mathbf{E}$
07/25/2000	ACE Beverage	193.81	5.96	Water, Secretary's direct report dinner	E
07/25/2000	Allan Woods Flowers/Gifts, Inc.	325.00	325.00	Flower arrangement, Secretary's direct report dinner	E

SCHEDULE B-2 SCHEDULE OF UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS

Invoice		Total	Unauthorized		Reason (se
Date	Vendor	Amount	Amount	Description	Note)
07/25/2000	Party Rentals, Ltd.	\$580.81	\$580.81	Flatware, tables, china,	E
			. *	glassware rental for the	
				Secretary's direct reports dinner	
07/25/2000	Harvest Moon Inc T/A	1,239.00	1,239.00	Catering for the Secretary's	E
	Equinox			direct reports dinner	
07/26/2000	Susan Gage Caterers	1,932.00	1,932.00	Catering for the Secretary's	Ε .
				direct reports	
08/02/2000	Design Cuisine	421.50	421.50	Staff breakfast	E
08/14/2000	Design Cuisine	421.50	421.50	Staff breakfast	E
08/14/2000	Design Cuisine	421.50	421.50	Staff breakfast	E
09/15/2000	Design Cuisine	449.00	449.00	Staff breakfast	$\mathbf{E}$
09/26/2000	Design Cuisine	497.00	497.00	Staff breakfast	Ē
10/03/2000	Design Cuisine	497.00	497.00	Staff breakfast	Ē
10/24/2000	Design Cuisine	497.00	497.00	Staff breakfast	Ē
11/08/2000	Design Cuisine	561.50	561.50	Staff breakfast	Ē
11/10/2000	Design Cuisine	286.00	286.00	Lunch with SI management	Ē
01/17/2001	Design Cuisine	505.00	505.00	Staff breakfast	E
01/29/2001	Design Cuisine	497.00	497.00	Staff breakfast	E
02/05/2001	Design Cuisine	497.00	497.00	Staff breakfast	E
02/05/2001	Design Cuisine	739.00	739.00	Staff farewell breakfast	E
02/20/2001	Design Cuisine  Design Cuisine	497.00	497.00	Staff breakfast	E .
03/07/2001	Design Cuisine  Design Cuisine	497.00	497.00	Staff breakfast	E . E
03/07/2001	Design Cuisine  Design Cuisine	497.00	497.00	Staff breakfast	E
					E
03/12/2001	Design Cuisine	497.00	497.00	Staff breakfast	E
03/14/2001	Design Cuisine	497.00	497.00	Staff breakfast	
03/16/2001	Restaurant Associates	150.00	150.00	Luncheon with SI museum directors	E
04/03/2001	Design Cuisine	533.00	533.00	Staff breakfast	${f E}$
04/06/2001	Design Cuisine	497.00	497.00	Staff breakfast	${f E}$
04/09/2001	Restaurant Associates	1,052.00	1,052.00	Staff breakfast	${f E}$
04/24/2001	Design Cuisine	533.00	533.00	Staff breakfast	E
04/25/2001	Design Cuisine	533.00	533.00	Staff breakfast	${f E}$
04/27/2001	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Luncheon with director of HMSG	E
05/01/2001	Design Cuisine	449.00	449.00	Staff breakfast	Е
05/03/2001	Design Cuisine  Design Cuisine	533.00	533.00	Staff breakfast	E
05/08/2001	Design Cuisine  Design Cuisine	506.00	506.00	Staff breakfast	E
06/05/2001				Staff breakfast	_
06/19/2001	Design Cuisine Design Cuisine	533.00	533.00		E
06/22/2001	Design Cuisine Design Cuisine	508.50	508.50	Staff breakfast	E
		506.00	506.00	Staff breakfast	E
07/02/2001	Design Cuisine	506.00	506.00	Staff breakfast	E
07/05/2001	Harvest Moon Inc.	1,100.00	1,100.00	Luncheon for direct reports	E
07/10/2001	Design Cuisine	1,127.00	1,127.00	Secretary's tea for the Under Secretary's staff	E
07/18/2001	Design Cuisine	533.00	533.00	Staff breakfast	E
07/25/2001	Allan Woods	225.00	225.00	Luncheon for direct reports	E
07/30/2001	Restaurant Associates	411.60	411.60	Luncheon with the Under	E
				Secretary's directors	

SCHEDULE B-2
SCHEDULE OF UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS

Invoice		Total	Unauthorized		Reason (see
Date	<u>Vend</u> or	Amount	Amount	Description	Note)
07/31/2001	Party Rentals, Ltd.	\$304.56	\$304.56	China, glassware, flatware, and	E
	. 49			linens rental for direct reports	
				luncheon	
08/03/2001	Restaurant Associates	294.75	294.75	Luncheon with the Under	E
				Secretary's directors	
08/14/2001	Design Cuisine	128.00	128.00	Service charges for canceled	E
				staff breakfast	
09/25/2001	Design Cuisine	534.00	534.00	Staff breakfast	E
09/28/2001	Design Cuisine	555.50	555.50	Staff breakfast	E
10/16/2001	Restaurant Associates	376.00	376.00	Staff breakfast	
					E
10/26/2001	Design Cuisine	489.00	489.00	Staff breakfast	E
10/31/2001	Design Cuisine	412.00	412.00	Staff breakfast	E
11/02/2001	Design Cuisine	495.50	495.50	Staff breakfast	E
12/05/2001	Restaurant Associates	380.00	380.00	Staff breakfast	E
12/14/2001	Restaurant Associates	380.00	380.00	Staff breakfast	E
12/29/2001	Restaurant Associates	350.00	350.00	Service charges for canceled	E
				staff breakfast	
01/09/2002	Restaurant Associates	365.00	365.00	Staff breakfast	E
02/05/2002	Restaurant Associates	380.00	380.00	Staff breakfast	E
03/04/2002	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Lunch with HMSG director	E
05/14/2002	Susan Gage Caterers	1,725.00	1,725.00	Dinner to welcome new director	E
				of development	
05/18/2002	Restaurant Associates	585.00	585.00	Refreshments for direct reports	E
				and unit heads	
05/23/2002	Allan Woods	340.00	340.00	Centerpiece, foyer arrangement,	E
	Flowers/Gifts, Inc.			powder room for dinner to	
				welcome new director of	
				development	
06/17/2002	Design Cuisine	406.00	406.00	Staff breakfast	E
06/25/2002	Design Cuisine	471.50	471.50	Staff breakfast	E
06/28/2002	SI	281.18	124.50	Dinner with development	E
				director	
07/06/2002	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Luncheon with SAO director	E
07/20/2002	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Luncheon with acting NMNH	E
				director	
09/14/2002	Restaurant Associates	400.00	400.00	Office of the Secretary staff	$\mathbf{E}_{\cdot}$
				lunch	
09/23/2002	Harvest Moon Inc.	1,368.00	1,368.00	Direct reports dinner	E
09/25/2002	Design Cuisine	455.00	455.00	Staff breakfast	E
09/27/002	DC Party Rental LLC	775.20	775.20	Glassware, flatware, china, and	Е
	and the second second			linen rental for direct reports	
				dinner	
10/24/2002	Allan Woods	300.00	300.00	Flower arrangements for direct	E
				reports dinner	
12/20/2002	Design Cuisine	427.50	427.50	Staff breakfast	E
01/15/2003	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Lunch with NMAH director	E
02/28/2003	Design Cuisine	462.00	462.00	Staff breakfast	E

SCHEDULE B-2
SCHEDULE OF UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS

Invoice	*	Total	Unauthorized		Reason (see
Date	<u>Vendor</u>	Amount	Amount	<u>Description</u>	Note)
03/08/2003	Restaurant Associates	\$100.00	\$100.00	Lunch with development director	E
03/15/2003 -	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Lunch with acting NMNH director	E
04/12/2003	Restaurant Associates	2,083.00 <sup>5</sup>	100.00	Lunch with NMAH director	E
06/28/2003	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Lunch with NMAH director	E
07/21/2003	Design Cuisine	453.00	453.00	Staff breakfast	E
07/21/2003	Design Cuisine	462.00	462.00	Staff breakfast	E
09/19/2003	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Lunch with NMAH director	E
10/06/2003	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Lunch with HMSG director	<b>E</b> .
10/09/2003	Design Cuisine	466.50	466.50	Staff breakfast	E
10/24/2003	Restaurant Associates	350.00	350.00	Farewell lunch for the Under	$\mathbf{E}$
				Secretary	
12/04/2003	Restaurant Associates	300.00	300.00	Luncheon with SI employees	$\mathbf{E}$
03/18/2004	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Lunch with NMAH director	E
05/27/2004	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Lunch with NMAH director	E
06/09/2004	Restaurant Associates	410.00	410.00	Staff breakfast	E
06/30/2004	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Lunch with FSG director	E
07/20/2004	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Lunch with NMAH director	E
07/26/2004	Lawrence M. Small	33.77	33.77	Breakfast with SBV Board	Е
0,,,20,,200		551, 1		Member	
10/05/2004	Restaurant Associates	488.70	488.70	Staff breakfast	Е
11/25/2004	Citibank	550.00	100.00	Lunch with NMAH director	E
03/14/2000	Lawrence M. Small	266.59	142.00	Meal in 1999	F
05/06/2000	ACE Beverage	15.99	15.99	Alcoholic beverages	G
05/17/2000	ACE Beverage	160.68	140.88	Alcoholic beverages	G
07/25/2000	ACE Beverage	193.81	187.85	Alcoholic beverages, Secretary's	Ğ
0772372000	TOE BUTTINGS		107.05	direct report dinner	
09/18/2000	ACE Beverage	437.08	412.81	Alcoholic beverages	G
10/10/2000	ACE Beverage	253.33	248.86	Alcoholic beverages	. <b>G</b>
11/21/2000	ACE Beverage	539.17	448.09	Alcoholic beverages	G
02/23/2001	ACE Beverage	163.38	163.38	Alcoholic beverages	G
05/24/2001	ACE Beverage	80.94	80.94	Alcoholic beverages	G
05/30/2001	ACE Beverage	260.54	238.83	Alcoholic beverages	G
11/05/2001	ACE Beverage	55.96	55.96	Alcoholic beverages	G
01/16/2002	ACE Beverage	107.92	107.92	Alcoholic beverages	G
05/14/2002	ACE Beverage	133.14	109.41	Alcoholic beverages	G
05/31/2002	ACE Beverage	161.27	142.89	Alcoholic beverages	G
09/24/2002	Ace Beverages	186.04	186.04	Alcoholic beverages	G
06/19/2004	Ace Beverages	139.88	139.88	Alcoholic beverages	G
01/22/2004	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Lunch for personal contact	H
03/18/2004	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Lunch for personal contact	H
04/08/2004	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Lunch for personal contact	H
05/21/2004	Restaurant Associates	100.00	100.00	Lunch for personal contact	H
10/25/2004	Citibank	580.00	200.00	Lunch for personal contact	H
07/25/2005	Citibank	950.00	100.00	Lunch for personal contact	H
06/02/2000	L'enfant AP	4,811.50	4,811.50	Cash award to the Executive	Ī
		.,	.,	Assistant to the Secretary	_

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  Actual invoice and amount paid to the vendor were \$100. The \$2,083.00 was a system error.

SCHEDULE B-2
SCHEDULE OF UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS

Invoice	V	Total	Unauthorized	Towns of a December	Reason (se
Date_	Vendor	Amount	Amount	Transaction Description	Note)
06/30/2000	Palace Florist	\$690.70	\$690.70	Floral arrangements to former SI	J
			. •	employees and balance forward on	
0=10110000				account	
07/31/2000	Palace Florist	54.65	54.65	Floral arrangement to SI employee	J
09/15/2000	Palace Florist	164.23	164.23	Floral arrangement to SI employee	J
09/18/2000	SI	137.56	137.56	Gifts for donors	J
10/31/2000	Palace Florist	276.88	276.88	Floral arrangements to SI employees	J
11/21/2000	SI	269.85	19.96	Donor gifts	J
11/21/2000	SI	456.02	424.00	Gifts	J
11/30/2000	Palace Florist	275.90	275.90	Floral arrangements to SI employees	J
12/04/2000	SI	318.24	97.60	Donor gifts	J
12/30/2000	Palace Florist	212.95	212.95	Floral arrangement to SI employee	J
01/31/2001	Palace Florist	119.95	119.95	Floral arrangement to SI employee	J
02/22/2001	SI	136.85	52.56	Donor gifts	J
02/28/2001	Palace Florist	72.45	72.45	Floral arrangement to donor	J
04/03/2001	Palace Florist	118.70	118.70	Floral arrangement to donor	J
04/04/2001	SI	48.54	48.54	Books for a donor	J
05/31/2001	Palace Florist	112.95	112.95	Floral arrangement to former SI	J
				employee	
06/30/2001	Palace Florist	315.95	315.95	Floral arrangement to SI employees	J
08/01/2001	SI	70.16	15.99	Gift for donor	J
10/31/2001	SI	349.98	349.98	Champagne and a gift basket for SI	J
•				employees	
12/06/2001	SI	26.00	26.00	Gift for a donor	J
12/31/2001	Palace Florist	325.90	325.90	Floral arrangement to SI employees	J
12/31/2001	Palace Florist	338.85	338.85	Floral arrangements to SI employees	J
02/28/2002	Palace Florist	577.70	577.70	Floral arrangements to SI employees,	J
				former employee, former Regent and	
				spouse of donor	
03/22/2002	SI	71.18	13.69	Gift for donor	J
03/22/2002	SI	80.00	40.00	Book for former Chair of SNB	J
03/30/2002	Palace Florist	340.90	340.90	Floral arrangements to spouse of	J
		0.000	0.002	employee, donor, and spouse of	·
				donor	
04/30/2002	Palace Florist	388.85	388.85	Floral arrangements to SI employees	J
06/02/2002	Palace Florist	212.95	212.95	Floral arrangement to SI employee	J
07/16/2002	Palace Florist	338.85	338.85	Floral arrangements to SI employees	J
0771072002	T didoc T lollst	550.05	330.03	and donor	. •
08/30/2002	Palace Florist	112.95	112.95	Floral arrangement to SI employee	. <b>J</b>
09/27/2002	SI	9.00	9.00	Museum ticket for donor	J
09/30/2002	Palace Florist	275.90	275.90	Floral arrangements to SI employees	J
10/31/2002	Palace Florist	501.80	501.80	Floral arrangements to SI employees	J
10/01/2002	I WINNE I WILD	501.00	301.00	and balance forward	,
11/30/2002	Palace Florist	285.90	285.90	Floral arrangements to former SI	J
113012002	I WIGOU I TOTISE	203.90	203.70	employee and Regent	J
12/31/2002	Palace Florist	35.00	35.00	Floral arrangement to SI employee	T
01/31/2003	Palace Florist	260.90	260.90	Floral arrangements to SI employees	J
03/31/2003	Palace Florist Palace Florist				J
03/31/2003	Palace Florist	27.95	27.95	Floral arrangement to donor	J
07/12/2003	r alace riorist	260.90	260.90	Floral arrangement to Regent and	J

SCHEDULE B-2
SCHEDULE OF UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS

Invoice		Total	Unauthorized		Reason (see
Date	Vendor	Amount	Amount	Transaction Description	Note)
08/31/2003	Palace Florist	\$66.57	\$66.57	Floral arrangement to SI employee	J
08/31/2003	Palace Florist	~ 536.80	536.80	Floral arrangements to SI employee	J
				and a former Regent, includes	
				balance forward	
10/31/2003	Palace Florist	44.80	44.80	Floral arrangement to a supporter	J
11/30/2003	Palace Florist	224.60	224.60	Floral arrangements to donors	J
12/31/2003	Palace Florist	119.44	119.44	Floral arrangement to SI employee	J
03/31/2004	Palace Florist	158.05	158.05	Floral arrangement to former chair of SNB	J
04/06/2004	Palace Florist	242.75	242.75	Floral arrangement to former SI	J
				employee and balance forward	
04/21/2004	Lawrence M. Small	257.60	257.60	Gift to chair of SNB	J
06/21/2004	Palace Florist	68.90	68.90	Floral arrangement to former Regent	J
06/26/2004	Palace Florist	163.95	163.95	Floral arrangement to former Regent	J
06/30/2004	Palace Florist	139.90	139.90	Floral arrangement to former SI	J
				employee	
07/31/2004	Palace Florist	731.55	731.55	Floral arrangements to SI employees	J
				and a SNB board member, includes	
				balance forward	
09/15/2004	Palace Florist	114.95	114.95	Floral arrangement to SI employee	J
12/03/2004	Lawrence M. Small	404.76	404.76	Gifts to donors	J
12/25/2004	Citibank	1,806.76	664.96	Smithsonite for donors	J
01/25/2005	Citibank	114.95	114.95	Floral arrangement to SI employee	J.
01/28/2005	Lawrence M. Small	458.04	248.51	Gift to a Regent	<b>J</b> .
02/25/2005	Citibank	121.51	121.51	Floral arrangement to donor	J
03/25/2005	Citibank	174.38	174.38	Floral arrangement to SI employee	J
04/18/2005	Lawrence M. Small	20.79	20.79	Book for a Regent	J
04/25/2005	Citibank	242.01	242.01	Floral arrangements to donors	J
05/25/2005	Citibank	173.38	173.38	Floral arrangement to SI employee	J
06/22/2005	Lawrence M. Small	224.12	224.12	Floral arrangement to donor	J
06/25/2005	Citibank	265.31	265.31	Floral arrangements to SI employees	J
07/25/2005	Citibank	121.51	121.51	Floral arrangement to SI employee	J
08/11/2005	Lawrence M. Small	248.83	248.83	Gift to former Secretary of SI	J
08/25/2005	Citibank	492.57	492.57	Floral arrangements to SI employees	J
09/25/2005	Citibank	64.95	64.95	Floral arrangement to Regent staffer	J
			\$67,865.40		

### SCHEDULE B-2 NOTES

- A. The Secretary took charter flights from Washington, DC, to Scranton, Pennsylvania, on November 30, 2000, and from Washington, DC, to San Antonio, Texas, on May 22, 2001, to attend Smithsonian-related social functions. The Smithsonian travel policy states that travelers should select "the mode of transportation that is most advantageous to SI when cost and other factors are considered...", and that special conveyances (such as private aircraft) may be used if authorized. SI could not provide authorization for these flights. Justification for the San Antonio charter indicated that there were commercial flights available, but the charter flight was chosen because of concerns about potential flight delays.
- B. The Secretary had overnight accommodations at a hotel in Chantilly, Virginia, for Udvar-Hazy events on December 22, 2003. Chantilly is approximately 24 miles from the Secretary's Washington, DC, office, which is considered his official duty station. The Smithsonian's travel policy states that per diem starts when an employee departs his home, office, or duty station. Due to the proximity of the events, a Chantilly destination is considered local travel and thus not eligible for lodging reimbursement.
- C. The Secretary and his spouse attended a Smithsonian National Board (SNB) meeting in China in May 2004. Before returning to the United States, Mrs. Small took a side trip to Cambodia with the SNB, but without the Secretary. She later received reimbursement for that trip. The Smithsonian travel policy states that spouses of SI employees who are traveling to attend an official function may be authorized to travel if their services in an official capacity can be demonstrated in advance, and the travel is approved by the Under Secretary. Smithsonian representatives could not provide support to document that the trip was authorized in advance, or approved by the Under Secretary.
- D. The Secretary received reimbursement for his membership in the Cosmos Club, which provides the option of spousal privilege. The Secretary opted to pay the \$34 spousal privilege fee and was reimbursed from the Smithsonian for the year 2000. The Secretary's employment agreement does not authorize spousal privilege at Smithsonian expense, and Mrs. Small was not an employee who would be entitled to such membership.
- E. The Secretary frequently worked through lunch or dinner with his staff and charged meal costs on these occasions. He also hosted a number of staff breakfasts. The costs of these meals were charged to Funds 401 and 402. The 1999 Use of Trust Funds for Representational and Special Event Expenses, the Institution's official policy regarding the use of trust funds, states:

Trust funds may not be used to cover costs of working luncheons involving only SI staff members.

### Further, it states:

Smithsonian-provided meals are limited to occasions where they are judged essential to efficient, successful completion of the project.

This guidance was updated on December 1, 2004, to state that trust funds can only be used for staff meetings and luncheons if "authorized for use by the Secretary...to support staff breakfast/lunch meetings." We therefore classified only those staff meal costs incurred before December 1, 2004, as unauthorized.

- F. The Secretary was reimbursed in March 2000 for a December 8, 1999, lunch with a Smithsonian employee. The Secretary was not yet a Smithsonian employee in December. Therefore, the reimbursement is unauthorized.
- G. Costs of alcoholic beverages served at dinners hosted by the Secretary were paid out of the 401 Fund. The 1999 Use of Trust Funds for Representational and Special Event Expenses does not list alcoholic beverages as an allowable expense, while the 2004 version explicitly states that the 401 Fund cannot be used for alcoholic beverages.<sup>6</sup>
- H. The Secretary was reimbursed for lunches with personal contacts. Those lunches were not hosted for Smithsonian business purposes. Therefore, reimbursement of personal contact lunches is not authorized.
- I. The Secretary awarded a \$4,812 cash bonus to the Executive Assistant to the Secretary in June 2000. The Smithsonian bonus policy, Common Types of Incentive Awards, identifies two types of cash awards: cash awards for sustained superior performance and for special acts or services. Based on the evidence provided, the Executive Assistant's bonus did not qualify under either of these descriptions and is therefore unauthorized.
- J. The Secretary purchased various gifts (such as flowers, plants, books, ties, and smithsonite) for Smithsonian employees, donors, and others. These gifts were charged against 401 and 402 funds. The 1999 Use of Trust Funds for Representational and Special Event Expenses does not list gifts as an authorized expense, while the 2004 version explicitly states that trust funds cannot be used for gifts for any purpose for Smithsonian staff, volunteers, donors, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Secretary's staff believed that he was authorized to use Smithsonian funds to purchase alcoholic beverages and gifts because the FY 2004 and 2005 trust fund spending guidelines (issued by the Office of Planning, Management & Budget) state that "In addition to general authorized use of allocated central trust funds for representational and special event purposes, these funds are available to the Office of the Secretary to allow the Secretary to carryout [sic] his official duties." We do not believe, however, that this language allows the Secretary to use the funds in ways otherwise not authorized by the policy.

### APPENDIX C

ACRONYMS USED BY THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

APPENDIX C

ACRONYMS USED BY THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

Acronyms	Full Name			
CFO	Chief Financial Officer			
FSG	Freer and Sackler Galleries			
FY	Fiscal Year			
HMSG	Hirshhorn Museum and Sculpture Garden			
NASM	National Air & Space Museum			
NMAH	National Museum of American History			
NMNH	National Museum of Natural History			
OIG	Office of the Inspector General			
SAO	Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory			
SI	Smithsonian Institution			
SBV	Smithsonian Business Ventures			
SNB	Smithsonian National Board			