letter on behalf of the Secretary of Interior from the Designated Federal Official, transmitted the authorization for the state park to effect disposition of the human remains and associated funerary objects of the culturally unidentifiable individuals to the three Indian tribes listed above contingent on the publication of a Notice of Inventory Completion in the **Federal Register**. This notice fulfills that requirement.

Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with the human remains and associated funerary objects should contact Brian Jaeschke, Registrar, Mackinac State Historic Parks, P.O. Box 873, Mackinaw City, MI 40701, telephone (231) 436-4100, fax (231) 436-4210, before January 5, 2009. Disposition of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the Bay Mills Indian Community, Michigan; Little Traverse Bay Band of Odawa, Michigan; and Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of Michigan may proceed after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

Mackinac State Historic Parks is responsible for notifying the Bay Mills Indian Community, Michigan; Grand Traverse Bay Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Little Traverse Bay Band of Odawa, Michigan; and Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of Michigan; and the Michigan Anishnaabek Cultural Preservation and Repatriation Alliance (MACPRA), a non-Federally recognized Indian group, that this notice has been published.

Dated: October 21, 2008

#### Sherry Hutt,

Manager, National NAGPRA Program. [FR Doc. E8–28697 Filed 12–3–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4312–50–S

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-656]

In the Matter of Certain Integrated Circuits and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

# ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 7) granting the joint motion to terminate the captioned investigation based on a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at *http://www.usitc.gov.* The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on September 18, 2008, based on a complaint filed by Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., of Austin, Texas ("Freescale"). 73 FR 54164 (September 18, 2008). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain integrated circuits or products containing the same that infringe one or more of claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,467,455; 5,776,798; and 6,473,349. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named LSI Corporation of Milpitas, California ("LSI"), as the sole respondent.

Ôn October 27, 2008, Freescale and LSI jointly moved to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement. On November 6, 2008, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting the motion.

On November 10, 2008, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation based on the settlement agreement. The ALJ found that the motion complied with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21 (19 CFR 210.21). The ALJ also concluded that, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)), there is no evidence that termination of this investigation will prejudice the public interest. No petitions for review of this ID were filed. The Commission has determined not

to review the ID. The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission. Issued: November 29, 2008.

#### William R. Bishop,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E8–28698 Filed 12–3–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701–TA–456 and 731– TA–1151–1152 (Final)]

## Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Canada and China

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Scheduling of the final phase of countervailing duty and antidumping investigations.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of the final phase of countervailing duty investigation No. 701–TA–456 (Final) under section 705(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)) (the Act) and the final phase of antidumping investigation Nos. 731-TA-1151-1152 (Final) under section 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) to determine whether an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury, or the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded, by reason of subsidized imports from China and less-than-fair-value imports from Canada and China of citric acid and certain citrate salts, provided for in subheadings 2918.14.00, 2918.15.10, 2918.15.50, and 3824.90.92 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For purposes of these investigations, the Department of Commerce hasdefined the subject merchandise as "all grades and granulation sizes of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate in their unblended forms, whether dry or in solution, and regardless of packaging type. The scope also includes blends of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate; as well as blends with other ingredients, such as sugar, where the unblended form(s) of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate constitute 40 percent or more, by weight, of the blend. The scope of this investigation also includes all forms of crude calcium citrate, Continued