Serving Communities – Safeguard Lives, Property and Assets; Advance Scientific Knowledge; and Improve the Quality of Life for Communities We Serve

Performance Summary

FIGURE 2-10

End Outcome Goal	Me (inclu	Measures Met (including estimates)	let nates)	Meas (includ	Measures Not Met (including estimates)	Met lates)	Measu	Measures Containing Preliminary Data	aining ata	Measu	Measures Containing No Reports	aining		Costs (in millions)	
	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY04	FY05	FY06
Goal #1 - Protect lives, resources and property	20	16	15	4	9	9	0	0	0	2	4	4	\$2,305	\$2,326	\$2,386
Goal #2 - Advance knowledge through scientific leadership and inform decisions through the application of science	5	9	9	~	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$959	996\$	\$953
Goal #3 - Fulfill Indian fiduciary trust responsibilities	22	24	12	8	3	2	0	0	0	2	5	17	\$773	\$728	\$648
Goal #4 - Advance quality communities for Tribes and Alaska Natives	11	11	2	2	5	3	0	0	0	7	2	10	\$2,402	\$2,395	\$2,141
Goal #5 - Increase economic self-sufficiency of insular areas	3	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	\$342	\$445	\$392
Total	61	69	40	18	15	11	0	0	0	9	11	32	\$6,781	\$6,860	\$6,519
% of Total Serving Communities Measures	72%	%69	48%	21%	18%	13%	%0	%0	%0	7%	13%	39%			

Selected Trends and Items of Note

Ref #154 – Since FY 2004, missing owner information (accounts) recovered has declined from 51% to 33%. The downward trend is due to the fairly aggressive search methods and tools that the Office of the Special Trustee (OST) has applied in recent years. However, as the population of WAU (Whereabouts Unknown) decreases, it becomes increasingly difficult to locate remaining WAUs. Therefore, the rate of finding WAU accounts is expected to decline. The declining number of WAUs and the rates of recovery are expected to continue downward toward a "floor" range of WAU accounts. After the floor range is reached, the rate of locating WAUs is expected to level off.

No Reports – due to the discontinued use of select performance measures by the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Serving Communities experienced an increase in No Reports for 2006, 13% to 39%. This resulted in a decrease within Serving Communities of goals met or exceeded from 69% in 2005 to 48% in 2006.

	MISSION: SERVING COMMUNITIES - Safeguard Lives	Property and Assets:	Advance Scientific K	nowledge: and Impre	ove the Quality of Life	e for Communities	Ne Serve
	MISSION. SERVING COMMONITIES - Saleguard Eives		: Protect lives, resource		ove the Quality of En	e for Communices	We Serve
		END OUTCOME GOAL			EVOCAC Plan	EVOCOS A street	Ocal Mato
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
103	DESCRIPTION: Loss of life from severe, unplanned and unwanted wildland fire is eliminated	Totals:	Goal Not Met. The prog avoiding harm is a difficu	1 fatalities gram believes that the only ult proposition, especially in an extreme fire season	under hazardous condition	ons associated with wild	land fire
		Steps to Improve:		t of zero for fatalities was ities and safety will contin			e the only
		Data Source:	Safety Management Info	ormation System (SMIS)			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	Baseline Established	341 injuries	0 injuries	270 injuries	
104	DESCRIPTION: Firefighter injuries from severe, unplanned and unwanted wildland fire are reduced	Performance Explanation	fighting fires and fire-rela				
		Steps to Improve:	injuries given the danger	e ground practices, and of rous conditions associate		Il continue to be reinford	ed to minimize
		Data Source:	Safety Management Info		EV2006 Blos	EV2000 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Damage to communities and the		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Wet?
105	environment from severe, unplanned and unwanted wildland fire are reduced	Totals:	·	No Report	No Target	No Report	
	wildiand lire are reduced	Performance Explanation:	· ·				
		Data Source:	No source information. FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:		0.11%	0.05%	0.15%	Goal Met:
	DESCRIPTION: Amount of time lost from firefighter	Totals:					
106	injury in proportion to the number of days worked across all agencies	Performance Explanation:	owing to the virtual unpr	g to projected number of f redictability of the severity ent increase in personnel	of fire seasons. The rep	orting year proved to be	an extreme fire
		Steps to Improve:		e ground practices, and of en the dangerous condition			ed to minimize
		Data Source:	Safety Management Info		one decediated with what	and mo.	
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
107	DESCRIPTION: Number of homes and significant	Totals:	Baseline Established	No Report	No Target	No Report	
	structures lost as a result of wildland fire	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data. It is FY 2006.	s estimated that 166 units	have been lost; however	r, no specific target was	established for
		Data Source:	ICS-209 - Incident Statu	s Summary data			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals	Baseline Established	No Report	0 fatalities	27 fatalities	
108	DESCRIPTION: Visitor lives lost due to illegal activities on DOI lands and in DOI facilities	Performance Explanation:	Goal Not Met. In order safeguards.	r to meet this goal in the fi	uture, the Department ne	eds to improve awarene	ess and increas
	(incidents per 100,000 visitor/resident days)	Steps to Improve:	DOI Law Enforcement p	ersonnel are meeting with	n States to improve awar	eness and increase safe	eguards.
		Data Source:		nalysis Reporting System Activity Report (SAR); Re-			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Visitor serious injuries due to illegal	Totals:	Baseline Established	No Report	No Target	No Report	
109	activities on DOI lands and in DOI facilities (incidents per 100,000 visitor/resident days)	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data. 14 2006.	4 injuries have been estir	mated on BLM lands. Ho	wever, no target was es	tablished for F
	America per 100,000 Historife Sideric days	Data Source:	Significant Activity Repo	ort (SAR); Recreation Mar em (IMARS) will be the so			lanagement
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
110	DESCRIPTION: Percent of communities using DOI science on hazard mitigation, preparedness and	Totals:	43%	45%	48%	48%	V
110	avoidance for each hazard management activity	Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	National Seismic Hazard	d Maps and USGS; Comr	nunity Response Plans		

			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	160,257 people	2,244,458 people	160,000 people	393,728 people	V
111	DESCRIPTION: Number of people with reduced exposure potential to safety risks from abandoned mine lands	Performance Explanation:	An additional 144,375 per communities, recreational data other than the calcu- identified above, or less		ve projects in Wyoming doortion of a national park. o site conditions that may only the Census data cal	ue to the sites' proximity States are provided the impact more people su culation was used for al	y to e option of using ich as those II acres
		Data Source:	Abandoned Mine Land Ir	nventory System (AMLIS)		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	3 fatalities	6 fatalities	5 fatalities	9 fatalities	
		Performance Explanation:	can be identified, the unit During FY 2006, the offs damage caused by hurri	shore industry in the Gulf canes Katrina and Rita ir g on the OCS has been v and qualified people to c y incidents resulting from	el during FY 2006 is mos of Mexico has been enga August and September well above that of recent y onduct the recovery and operations regulated by the	at likely a significant con- aged in recovering from 2005. The number of re- years, dramatically affec- repair operations. MMS the United States Coast	tributing factor. the record pair operations ting the includes the Guard (USCG)
112	DESCRIPTION: Reduced number of fatalities among workers in DOI permitted or contracted activities	Steps to Improve:	MMS will receive information help MMS better understaken to prevent them. It comments on options for procedures in their operanext step to enhance saf	tand the causes and cont in May 2006, MMS also pit r requiring operators to in	nts, as well as a significa tributing factors of incider ublished an Advance Not corporate specific safety d industry comments and	nt number of minor incidents so that appropriate a ice of Proposed Rulema and environmental man	dents. This will actions can be aking requesting nagement
			structural design standar Offshore Safety Forum (has ongoing initiatives re	facilities during major sto Offshore Drilling Rig stat rds for OCS platforms. M IRF) to address safety co egarding performance me	orms. The initiatives inclu- ion keeping standards ar MS also continues to wor encerns common to IRF in easurement, crane/lifting of	de developing interim and identifying improvement with the International nember countries. Curre	nd long-term ents to Regulators ently, the IRF
		Data Source:	OMM Technical Informat	tion Management System	1		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	FY2004 Actual			FY2006 Actual 29 serious injuries	
		Totals: Performance Explanation:	FY2004 Actual 29 serious injuries Goal Not Met. While no can be identified, the unu During FY 2006, the offs damage caused by hurri and man-hours operating availability of equipment	FY2005 Actual 23 serious injuries o single cause for the incusual offshore activity lev shore industry in the Gulf canes Katrina and Rita ir g on the OCS has been v and qualified people to c y incidents resulting from	FY2006 Plan 24 serious injuries rease in fatalities and ser el during FY 2006 is mos of Mexico has been enga August and September vell above that of recent yonduct the recovery and operations regulated by y	29 serious injuries ious injuries in FY 2006 It likely a significant con ged in recovering from 2005. The number of re years, dramatically affec repair operations. MMS the United States Coast	i from FY 2005 tributing factor. the record pair operations ting the includes the Guard (USCG)
113	DESCRIPTION: Reduced number of serious injuries among workers in DOI permitted or contracted activities		FY2004 Actual 29 serious injuries Goal Not Met. While not can be identified, the und During FY 2006, the offs damage caused by hurri and man-hours operating availability of equipment fatality and serious injury if the incident occurs at a regulated by the USCG. In April 2006, MMS publi MMS will receive informahelp MMS better underst taken to prevent them. In comments on options for	FY2005 Actual 23 serious injuries o single cause for the incusual offshore activity lev shore industry in the Gulf canes Katrina and Rita ir g on the OCS has been v and qualified people to c y incidents resulting from an OCS facility; four of th sished a final rule that revi ation on all serious incide tand the causes and con'n May 2006, MMS also pur requiring operators to in attentions. MMS has reviewe	rease in fatalities and ser el during FY 2006 is mos of Mexico has been enga. August and September vell above that of recent yonduct the recovery and operations regulated by en innefatalities reported beset the Bureau's incidents, as well as a significar irbuing factors of incider ublished an Advance Not corporate specific safety d industry comments and	29 serious injuries in FY 2006 ti likely a significant con a good of the likely a significant con 2005. The number of revers, dramatically affective areas, dramatically affective in the United States Coast by MMS involve operation. The porting requirements in number of minor incits to that appropriate a sice of Proposed Rulema and environmental man and environmental man.	of from FY 2005 tributing factor, the record pair operations ting the includes the Gaurd (USCG) ons that are
113	among workers in DOI permitted or contracted	Performance Explanation:	FY2004 Actual 29 serious injuries Goal Not Met. While no can be identified, the und During FY 2006, the offs damage caused by hurri and man-hours operating availability of equipment fatality and serious injury if the incident occurs at a regulated by the USCG. In April 2006, MMS publi MMS will receive informately MMS will receive informately MMS will receive informately MMS better undersitaken to prevent them. In comments on options for procedures in their operanct step to enhance saff Since the 2005 hurricans and survivability of OCS improvements to Mobile structural design standard Offshore Safety Forum (has ongoing initiatives re	PY2005 Actual 23 serious injuries o single cause for the incusual offshore activity levishore industry in the Gulf canes Katrina and Rita ir g on the OCS has been vand qualified people to cy incidents resulting from an OCS facility; four of the companies of the cause and confident and the causes and confined the causes and confined and the causes and confined the cause and cause	rease in fatalities and ser el during FY 2006 is mos of Mexico has been enga. August and September vell above that of recent yonduct the recovery and operations regulated by en innefatalities reported beset the Bureau's inciden ints, as well as a significar into the service of incider indistributing factors of incider indistribution factors of incider indistributions. The initiatives incluion keeping standards ar MS also continues to wo incerns common to IRF in assurement, crane/lifting in	29 serious injuries ious injuries in FY 2006 ti likely a significant con gged in recovering from 2005. The number of re years, dramatically affec repair operations, MMS the United States Coast by MMS involve operation treporting requirements int number of minor incit tas so that appropriate a ice of Proposed Rulema and environmental man t is considering the mos tives with industry to add de developing interim and didentifying improvement k with the International nember countries. Curre	of from FY 2005 tributing factor. The record pair operations ting the record pair operations ting the includes the Guard (USCG) ins that are 3. With this rule, definition of the record pair operations that are as with this rule, definition of the record pair
113	among workers in DOI permitted or contracted	Performance Explanation:	FY2004 Actual 29 serious injuries Goal Not Met. While not can be identified, the und During FY 2006, the offs damage caused by hurri and man-hours operating availability of equipment fatality and serious injury if the incident occurs at a regulated by the USCG. In April 2006, MMS publi MMS will receive informately MMS better undersitaten to prevent them. In comments on options for procedures in their operanext step to enhance saf Since the 2005 hurricane and survivability of OCS improvements to Mobile structural design standar Offshore Safety Forum (has ongoing initiatives recommended).	PY2005 Actual 23 serious injuries o single cause for the incusual offshore activity levishore industry in the Gulf canes Katrina and Rita ir g on the OCS has been vand qualified people to cy incidents resulting from an OCS facility; four of the company of the	rease in fatalities and ser el during FY 2006 is mos of Mexico has been enga August and September vell above that of recent yonduct the recovery and operations regulated by the ninefatalities reported by a ninefatalities reported by a ninefatalities reported by the ninefatalities reported by the ninefatalities reported by a ninefatalities reported by the ninefatalities reported by the ninefatalities reported by the ninefatalities as a significant of the ninefatalities and Advance Not corporate specific safety dindustry comments and an agement on the OCS. undertaken several initiarms. The initiatives incluion keeping standards are MS also continues to won oncerns common to IRF reasurement, crane/lifting the	29 serious injuries ious injuries in FY 2006 tt likely a significant con gged in recovering from 2005. The number of re years, dramatically affect repair operations. MMS the United States Coast by MMS involve operation tt reporting requirements int number of minor incit ts so that appropriate a ice of Proposed Rulema and environmental man t is considering the mos tives with industry to add de developing interim an did identifying improveme the with the International member countries. Curre operation safety, and dr	I from FY 2005 tributing factor. the record pair operations ting the includes the .Guard (USCG) ins that are .S. With this rule, dents. This will ctions can be aking requesting nagement t appropriate dress the safety dlong-terments to .Regulators and ty, the IRF illing safety.
1113	among workers in DOI permitted or contracted	Performance Explanation: Steps to Improve:	FY2004 Actual 29 serious injuries Goal Not Met. While not can be identified, the und During FY 2006, the offs damage caused by hurri and man-hours operating availability of equipment fatality and serious injury if the incident occurs at a regulated by the USCG. In April 2006, MMS publi MMS will receive informathelp MMS better understaken to prevent them. In comments on options for procedures in their operanct step to enhance saff since the 2005 hurricane and survivability of OCS improvements to Mobile structural design standar Offshore Safety Forum (has ongoing initiatives recommended).	FY2005 Actual 23 serious injuries o single cause for the incusual offshore activity lev shore industry in the Gulf canes Katrina and Rita ir g on the OCS has been v and qualified people to c y incidents resulting from an OCS facility; four of th ished a final rule that reviation on all serious incide tand the causes and con'n May 2006, MMS also p r requiring operators to in tations. MMS has reviewe fety and environmental m es eseason, MMS has also facilities during major st Offshore Drilling Rig stat rds for OCS platforms. M IRF) to address safety ce garding performance me tion Management Systen FY2005 Actual	rease in fatalities and ser el during FY 2006 is mos of Mexico has been enga. A ugust and September vell above that of recent yonduct the recovery and operations regulated by the ninefatalities reported be a ninefatalities reported be sed the Bureau's incidents, as well as a significaributing factors of incider ublished an Advance Not corporate specific safety of industry comments and anagement on the OCS. undertaken several initiations. The initiatives inclusion keeping standards ar MS also continues to wor incerns common to IRF reasurement, crane/lifting in FY2006 Plan	29 serious injuries ious injuries in FY 2006 ti likely a significant con gged in recovering from 2005. The number of re years, dramatically affec repair operations. MMS the United States Coast by MMS involve operation treporting requirements nt number of minor incic tats so that appropriate a ice of Proposed Rulema and environmental man t is considering the mos tives with industry to add de developing interim and id identifying improveme k with the International nember countries. Curre operation safety, and dr	of from FY 2005 tributing factor. The record pair operations ting the record pair operations ting the includes the Guard (USCG) ins that are 3. With this rule, definition of the record pair operations that are as with this rule, definition of the record pair
113	among workers in DOI permitted or contracted activities	Performance Explanation: Steps to Improve: Data Source:	FY2004 Actual 29 serious injuries Goal Not Met. While not can be identified, the und During FY 2006, the offs damage caused by hurri and man-hours operating availability of equipment fatality and serious injury if the incident occurs at a regulated by the USCG. In April 2006, MMS publi MMS will receive informathelp MMS better understaken to prevent them. In comments on options for procedures in their operanct step to enhance saff since the 2005 hurricane and survivability of OCS improvements to Mobile structural design standar Offshore Safety Forum (has ongoing initiatives recommended).	PY2005 Actual 23 serious injuries o single cause for the incusual offshore activity levishore industry in the Gulf canes Katrina and Rita ir g on the OCS has been vand qualified people to cy incidents resulting from an OCS facility; four of the company of the	rease in fatalities and ser el during FY 2006 is mos of Mexico has been enga August and September vell above that of recent yonduct the recovery and operations regulated by the ninefatalities reported by a ninefatalities reported by a ninefatalities reported by the ninefatalities reported by the ninefatalities reported by a ninefatalities reported by the ninefatalities reported by the ninefatalities reported by the ninefatalities as a significant of the ninefatalities and Advance Not corporate specific safety dindustry comments and an agement on the OCS. undertaken several initiarms. The initiatives incluion keeping standards are MS also continues to won oncerns common to IRF reasurement, crane/lifting the	29 serious injuries ious injuries in FY 2006 tt likely a significant con gged in recovering from 2005. The number of re years, dramatically affect repair operations. MMS the United States Coast by MMS involve operation tt reporting requirements int number of minor incit ts so that appropriate a ice of Proposed Rulema and environmental man t is considering the mos tives with industry to add de developing interim an did identifying improveme the with the International member countries. Curre operation safety, and dr	I from FY 2005 tributing factor. the record pair operations ting the includes the .Guard (USCG) ins that are .S. With this rule, dents. This will ctions can be aking requesting nagement t appropriate dress the safety dlong-terments to .Regulators and ty, the IRF illing safety.
1113	among workers in DOI permitted or contracted	Performance Explanation: Steps to Improve: Data Source:	FY2004 Actual 29 serious injuries Goal Not Met. While not can be identified, the und During FY 2006, the offs damage caused by hurri and man-hours operating availability of equipment fatality and serious injury if the incident occurs at a regulated by the USCG. In April 2006, MMS publi MMS will receive informathelp MMS better undersit taken to prevent them. It comments on options for procedures in their operanext step to enhance saft saft of CS improvements to Mobile structural design standar Offshore Safety Forum (has ongoing initiatives re OMM Technical Informatify 100 FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. Estimat (Example: Less winter si	FY2005 Actual 23 serious injuries o single cause for the incusual offshore activity levishore industry in the Gulf canes Katrina and Rita ir go nthe OCS has been vand qualified people to cy incidents resulting from an OCS facility; four of the common ocs facilities during major stout of the common occurrence of the common occurrence occurre	rease in fatalities and ser el during FY 2006 Plan 24 serious injuries rease in fatalities and ser el during FY 2006 is mos of Mexico has been enga. August and September vell above that of recent yonduct the recovery and operations regulated by en innefatalities reported by the serious production of the serious and operations regulated by en innefatalities reported by the serious product produ	29 serious injuries ious injuries in FY 2006 tt likely a significant con ged in recovering from 2005. The number of re years, dramatically affec repair operations. MMS the United States Coast by MMS involve operation treporting requirements and number of minor incit ats so that appropriate a cice of Proposed Rulema and environmental mar and environmental mar it is considering the mos tives with industry to add de developing interim and didentifying improveme k with the International nember countries. Curre poperation safety, and dr FY2006 Actual 158 (E) n fewer/lower safety ha rer water-related incider	of from FY 2005 tributing factor. the record pair operations ting the includes the Guard (USCG) one that are so with this rule, dents. This will citions can be aking requesting lagement trappropriate dress the safety and long-terminity, the IRF illing safety. Goal Met?

			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	9,006	5,175	7,900	7,242 (E)	
115	DESCRIPTION: Reduced number of serious injuries on DOI managed or influenced lands and waters	Performance Explanation:	(Example: Less winter s renewed emphasis on s	ated Data. Favorable wea now leading to less vigore afety within the park syste review safety processes	ous runoff resulting in few ems had a positive effect.	er water-related incider	nts.) Also a
		Data Source:	Performance Manageme	ent Data System (PMDS)			
		INTERMEDIATE O	SOAL: Improve fire man	agement			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
116	DESCRIPTION: Percent of unplanned and unwanted wildland fires controlled during initial attack	Totals:	98%	97%	95%	98% (E)	/
	whichand lifes controlled during initial attack	Performance Explanation:	Goal Met. Estimated Da	ata. Trend Data: FY03 =	97%; FY02 = 94%; FY01	= 95%	
		Data Source:	National Interagency Fire	e Coordination Center (N	IFC) Daily Situation Repo	ort	
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Number of acres burned by	Totals:	8,094,531 acres	8,681,252 acres	5,135,013 acres	3,074,232 acres (E)	
117	unplanned and unwanted wildland fires	Performance Explanation:		ated Data. The planned n acres burned can vary si			
		Data Source:	National Interagency Fire	e Coordination Center (N	IFC) Daily Situation Repo	ort	
	DESCRIPTION: Number of acres treated that are in		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
118	the wildland-urban interface and are identified as	Totals:	490,110 acres	542,568 acres	472,000 acres	527,000 acres (E)	
	high priority through collaboration consistent with the 10-Year Implementation Plan - in total	Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. Estima the wildland urban interf	ated Data. On the ground	, fire and fuels conditions	dictated a redirection o	f effort toward
	·	Data Source:		ating and Reporting Syste	em (NFPORS)		
	DESCRIPTION: Number of acres treated that are in		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
119	the wildland-urban interface and are identified as high priority through collaboration consistent with	Totals:	39%	43%	44%	49% (E)	/
	the 10-Year Implementation Plan as X percent of all acres treated	Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. Estimathe wildland urban interf	ated Data. On the ground face.	, fire and fuels conditions	dictated a redirection o	f effort toward
		Data Source:	National Fire Plan Opera	ating and Reporting Syste	em (NFPORS)		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
400	DESCRIPTION: Number of acres treated in the	Totals:	4,248 acres	4,092 acres	3,553 acres	3,985 acres (E)	~
120	wildland-urban interface per million dollars gross investment	Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. Estima dictating a redirection of continued collaboration		es treated in WUI were du d urban interface. Addition	ue to on-the-ground fire nal efficiencies were a re	threat conditions esult of
		Data Source:	National Fire Plan Opera	ating and Reporting Syste	em (NFPORS)		
	INTERMEDIATE	GOAL: Improve public safe	ty and security and pro	tect public resources fr	om damage		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
404	DESCRIPTION: Percent of physical and chemical	Totals:	Baseline Established	69%	46%	57% (E)	V
121	hazards mitigated within 120 days to ensure visitor or public safety	Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. Estima	ated Data.			•
		Data Source:	Refuges Annual Perform Safety Program Reports	nance Plan (RAPP); Envir	onmental Cleanup Liabil	ity (ECL) report on DOI/	OMB; Dam
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Buildings (eg, administrative,	Totals:	0.131	0.139	0.136	No Report	
122	employee housing) in fair to good condition as measured by the Facilities Condition Index	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				
		Data Source:		nance Plan (RAPP); Facil Current Replacement Val			S Database -
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Other facilities, including roads, dams (non-BOR), trails, and bridges (non-BIA) are in	Totals:	0.250	0.130	0.168	0.127 (E)	
123	fair to good condition as measured by a Facilities Condition Index	Performance Explanation:		ated Data. During FY 200 effort. Many roads which vood condition.			
		Data Source:	Refuges Annual Perform	nance Plan (RAPP); Facil	ity Management Software	e System (FMSS)	

	INTERMEDIATE GO	OAL: Provide information to	FIGURE 2-11 assist communities in	managing risks from na	atural hazards		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Percent of sampled stakeholders	Totals:		99%	> or = 80%	100%	
124	reporting adequacy of science base to inform decision-making for each hazard management			33 /6	7 OI - 60 %	100 %	
	activity	Performance Explanation:					
			Survey results				
		INTERMEDIATE GOAL:	Promote respect for pi	rivate property			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
125	DESCRIPTION: Average number of months that active non-probate cases are before the Office of	Totals:	14.3 months	13.0 months	12.1 months	10.8 months	~
	Hearings and Appeals	Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. An inc	crease in newer cases lov	vered the age of older ca	ses.	
		Data Source:	Quarterly Case Load Re	ports.			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
126	DESCRIPTION: Average number of months that active non-probate cases in the oldest quartile are	Totals:	32.1 months	27.0 months	23.3 months	23.6 months	~
120	before the Office of Hearings and Appeals	Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Quarterly Case Load Re	ports.			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Number of pending cases of permits	Totals:	1,007 cases	1,117 cases	1,750 cases	1,350 cases	
127	and lease applications that are in backlog status for		Goal Exceeded. Althor	ugh the BLM State Office	and Field Office lands st	aff worked diligently to	process the
	rights-of-way	Performance Explanation:		nt-of-way applications, app			
		Data Source:	Case Recordation (LR20				
	END OUTCOME GOAL: Advance	e knowledge through scien	ntific leadership and infe	orm decisions through t	he applications of scie	nce	
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Soundness of methodology,	Tatala				100%	
128	accuracy, and reliability of science (program evaluation, peer review)	Totals:		100%	100%	100%	
	evaluation, peer review)	Performance Explanation:					
		Data Source:	Annual Management Co		F)/0000 Bl-+-	EVOCAL A street	01.11-10
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
129	DESCRIPTION: Improved stakeholder access to needed science information	Totals:	90%	92%	90%	87%	
		Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Survey results				
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
420	DESCRIPTION: Stakeholders reporting that	Totals:	93%	95%	90%	96%	
130	information helped achieve goal	Performance Explanation:		erent set of products is sa vious year. The intent is to			
		Data Causas	target).				
	INTERMEDIATE	Data Source:	Survey results	nagement and technical	assistance		
	INTERMEDIATE	CO. L. Improve information	,				
	DESCRIPTION D		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
404	DESCRIPTION: Percent of surface area with temporal and spatial monitoring, research, and	Totals:	55%	59%	70%	80%	
131	assessment/data coverage to meet land use planning and monitoring requirements	Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. Chan	ge due to greater than an	ticipated influx of reimbur	rsable funding.	
	planning and monitoring requirements	Data Source:		GS National Geologic Ma ational Hydrographic Data		tellite Land Remote Se	nsing Data
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
132	DESCRIPTION: Percent of studies validated through	Totals:	100%	100%	100%	100%	V
132	appropriate peer review or independent review	Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
			Lists of publication titles	maintained			
		Data Source:	Lists of publication titles	maintained			

			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	0.172	0.172	0.172	0.172	
133	DESCRIPTION: Facilities are in fair to good condition as measured by the Facilities Condition Index	Performance Explanation:	during a comprehensive assessments for the ass There are a total number calculation, comprising of completed in FY 2007.	condition assessment. Ones in this category were or of six installations that rower 90% of the CRV for the category.	replacement value calcula Condition assessments at not completed during the nake up this FCI. The thr this particular measure, w	e performed on a 5-yr o se years resulting in no se largest installations in	cycle. The change in FCI. n this
		Data Source:	Condition assessments	data			
		END OUTCOME GOAL: Fu	Ifill Indian fiduciary trus	st responsibilities			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
134	DESCRIPTION: Percent of financial information accurately processed in Trust beneficiary accounts	Totals:	18%	100%	98%	100%	\
	accurately processed in Trust beneficiary accounts	Performance Explanation	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Error Logs; Trust Fund A	Accounting System.			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
135	DESCRIPTION: Percent timeliness of financial account information provided to Trust beneficiaries	Totals:	97%	99%	100%	100%	
	account information provided to Trust beneficiaries	Performance Explanation:					
		Data Source:	Trust Fund Accounting S	System			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
136	DESCRIPTION: Percent of Federal Managers Financial Integrity Act management control plans	Totals:		97%	95%	100%	~
150	with corrective actions in place	Performance Explanation:	requirements of Circular		d on risk management thr sting requirements of FM		
		Data Source:	this year. Risk Management Plus S	System			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
137	DESCRIPTION: Percent of Tribes with trust program-	Totals:	Baseline Established	54%	45%	No Report	
107	related performance-based PL 93-638 agreements	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				
		Data Source:	P.L. 93-638 contracts				
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
138	DESCRIPTION: Percent of Tribes with trust program-	Totals:	Baseline Established	40%	40%	No Report	
	related performance-based PL 103-413 agreements	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				
		Data Source:	Self-governance funding	contracts			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
139	DESCRIPTION: Percent of formal applications for the withdrawal of tribal trust funds processed within	Totals:	New FY 2005 Measure	100%	100%	No Report	
	regulatory timeframes	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				
		Data Source:	OST's Office of External	Affairs spreadsheet of in	quiries/requests.		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
140	DESCRIPTION: Percent of DOI-supported Tribal judicial systems receiving an acceptable rating	Totals:	1%	16%	15%	15%	\
	under independent Tribal Court Reviews	Performance Explanation	Goal Met.				•
		Data Source:	Tribal Services Spreadsl	heet			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	580 MMBF	627 MMBF	585 MMBF	522 MMBF	
141	DESCRIPTION: Indian natural resource trust assets management - Volume of timber offered for sale	Performance Explanation:	Goal Not Met. Target r	not met for the year due t	o longer than average fire	season.	
	management - volume of thilder offered for sale	Steps to Improve:	If wildland fires do not in the same type of perform become a controlling fac	nance shortfall should no	n the coming reporting ye t be experienced - unless		
		Data Source:	Trust Services Spreadsh				
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
142	DESCRIPTION: Indian natural resource trust assets management - Volume of wood products offered	Totals	580 MMBF	627 MMBF	No Target	No Report	
	consistent with applicable management plans	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				
		Data Source:	Trust Services Spreadsh	neet			

	DESCRIPTION: Indian natural resource trust assets		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	management - Percent of eligible trust land acres that are under lease (% for energy development; %	Totals:	Baseline Not	Baseline Established	60%	No Report	
143	for non-energy mineral development; % for grazing		Established	Baselille Established	60 /6	No Report	
	land; % for agricultural use; % for commercial property use)	Performance Explanation:	Trust Services Spreadsl	noot			
		Data Source:	FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Indian natural resource trust assets management - Number of acres of energy and non-	Tatala	Baseline Not				Gour met.
144	energy trust resource land developed that are reclaimed to appropriate land condition and water	Totals:	Established	No Report	No Target	No Report	
	quality standards	Performance Explanation:					
	DESCRIPTION: In diagrams to see a second	Data Source:	No source information FY2004 Actual	EV/2005 A - turn!	EV/2006 Bl- ::	EVOCAC A street	O M - 40
	DESCRIPTION: Indian natural resource trust assets management - Percent of acres of forest, grazing		Baseline Not	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
145	and agricultural leases achieving desired conditions where condition is known and where	Totals:	Established	16%	46%	No Report	
	specified in management plans consistent with	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				
	applicable environmental laws and regulations	Data Source:	Trust Services Spreadsh	heet			
	DESCRIPTION: Indian natural resource trust assets		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
146	management - Percent change in baseline in the	Totals:	Baseline Established	7.2%	6.6%	No Report	
	number of acres infested with invasive plant species	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.	•			
		Data Source:	Trust Services Spreadsh	heet			
	DESCRIPTION I. diameter description		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
147	DESCRIPTION: Indian natural resource trust assets management - Percent of Interior/Tribal land use	Totals	Baseline Established	No Report	100%	No Report	
147	agreements that incorporate protections for Indian Sacred Sites and Sacred resources and their use	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				
	Capital Chies and Capital resources and their asc		No source information				
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Indian natural resource trust assets	Totals:	Baseline Not	70%	96%	No Report	
148	management - Percent of cultural properties in DOI	Performance Explanation:	No Report No Data				
	inventory in good condition						
		Data Source:	Curator Sioux Indian Mu	useum Reports / Curator N	luseum of Plains Indians	s; Curator Southern Plair	ns Museum
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Indian natural resource trust assets	Totals:	17%	22%	19%	34%	
149	management - Percent of collections in DOI inventory in good condition	Performance Explanation:		on the analysis of the 200 analysis was completed a			
				The goal was exceeded d			
		Data Source:	DOI checklist for location	ns housing museum prope	erty; American Association	on of Museum accredita	tion
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
150	DESCRIPTION: Indian natural resource trust assets management - Percent of paleontologic localities in	Totals:	Baseline Established	No Report	No Target	No Report	
	DOI inventory in good condition [BIA]	Performance Explanation	No Report. No Data.	•		•	
		Data Source:	No source information				
	INTE	RMEDIATE GOAL: Improve	e Indian trust ownership	and other information			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Percent of estates in which assets	Totals:	Baseline Established	34%	33%	No Report	
151	are distributed and all title information is updated in standard probate process cycle time	Performance Explanation:					
			Central Office Spreadsh	eet			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Percent of probate cases where	Totals:		37%	66%	No Report	
152	document preparation and post/record work has been completed			31 /6	00%	No Report	
		Performance Explanation:		and the same of th			
		Data Source	Central Office Spreadsh	icc:			

			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met
153	DESCRIPTION: Average number of months that active probate cases are before the Office of Hearings and Appeals	Totals:		6.0 months	6.0 months	5.7 months	V
	Troublings and Appears	Performance Explanation:			wered the age of older ca	ses.	
		Data Source:	Quarterly Case Load Re				
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met
154	DESCRIPTION: Percent of missing owner information (accounts) recovered	Totals:		36%	20%	33%	<u> </u>
	information (accounts) recovered	Performance Explanation:		al was exceeded due to to the defendance of contractor as			
		Data Source:	Trust Fund Accounting S	System; Open Data Repli	cation Tables		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met
55	DESCRIPTION: Percent of title encumbrances filed within 2 business days	Totals:	47%	59%	60%	No Report	
		Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				
		Data Source:	Central Office Spreadsh	eet			
	DESCRIPTION: Percent of tracts for which DOI has		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Me
56	data responsibility where real property ownership data are current, standardized and integrated and	Totals:	No Report	No Report	No Target	No Report	
	title status reports are provided within 10 days of	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.	•	•	•	
	request	Data Source:	Central Office Spreadsh	eet			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Me
	DESCRIPTION: Number of land acquisitions to	Totals:	48,470 acquisitions	72,547 acquisitions	41,000 acquisitions	77,577 acquisitions	V
57	Increase land use capabilities and reduce fractionation of land interests	Performance Explanation:	increased overall acres	et was exceeded because acquired; administrative s wed the program to choos	avings allowed additiona	I funds for acquisitions,	
	ctionation of land interests	Data Source:	Trust Services Spreadsl		·		
		Data Cource.	FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Me
		Totals:		114,529 acres	46,000 acres	160,049 acres	Goal Inte
58	DESCRIPTION: Acreage of land acquisitions to increase land use capabilities and reduce fractionation of land interests	Performance Explanation:	increased overall acres	et was exceeded because acquired; administrative s wed the program to choos	avings allowed additiona	I funds for acquisitions;	
		Data Source:	Trust Services Spreadsh	neet			
	INTER	MEDIATE GOAL: Improve r			ts		
				a matar ar 1000 ar 00 a 000			
	DESCRIPTION: Percent of revenue recorded in the		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Me
59	Trust Financial Accounting System within 24 hours	Totals:	98.7%	100.0%	98.5%	99.8%	
	of receipt	Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Trust Fund Accounting S	System; Process is also tr	acked via Access databa	se	
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Me
60	DESCRIPTION: Percent of revenue transferred to	Totals:	100%	100%	100%	100%	V
	OST within 24 hours of receipt	Performance Explanation:	Goal Met. Trend Data: I	FY03 = 99%			
				agement Support System	(MRMSS)		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Me
	DESCRIPTION: Percent of royalties for which lease	Totals:	84%	92%	80%	95%	/
61	distribution data are provided to BIA by first semi- monthly distribution	Performance Explanation:	process that would resu delayed distribution of so surpassed its target.	et target for FY 2006 was It in stricter enforcement come of the data to BIA. H	of industry reporting requi owever, MRM did not ma	rements. These change	s would hav
		Data Source:	Minerals Revenue Mana	agement Support System	(MRMSS)		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Me
	DESCRIPTION: Percent of ownership for which	Totals:	Baseline Not Established	Baseline Not Established	No Target	No Report	
162			Established	LStubilisticu			
62	lease data is matched within 10 days	Performance Explanation:		Lotabilotica			

			TIGORE 2-11				
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	94%	79%	95%	89%	
163	DESCRIPTION: Percent of appraisal reports completed within requestor business requirement	Performance Explanation	conducted throughout re	egional offices showing th	target was caused by mid at some appraisal reports fessional Appraisal Pract	were either completed	incorrectly or
		Steps to Improve:	Uniform Standards of Pr and distribution/impleme	ofessional Appraisal Pra	erformed either by contractice (USPAP). Also, an a ly, an appraisal checklist met.	ppraisal handbook has b	een develop
		Data Source:	Quarterly Reports				
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
164	DESCRIPTION: Percent of planned enhancement/reintroduction objectives completed	Totals:	151%	100%	50%	No Report	
	enhancement/reintroduction objectives completed	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				•
		Data Source:	Fisheries Information Ma	anagement System; Trus	t Services Spreadsheet		
	END O	JTCOME GOAL: Advance q	uality communities for	Tribes and Alaska Nativ	/es		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met
165	DESCRIPTION: Achieve parity between the Tribal community and US rural area national average on	Totals:	80%	82%	84%	No Report	
	high school graduation	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				
		Data Source:	BIA Center for School In	nprovement Form			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met
	DESCRIPTION: Achieve parity between the Tribal community and US rural area national average on	Totals:	Baseline Established	5%	12%	No Report	
	college graduation DESCRIPTION: Achieve parity between the Tribal community and US national average on rural unemployment rates and per capita income	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				•
		Data Source:	Annual Tribal Colleges a	and Universities Report F	orm - OMB No. 1076-010	5	
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met
67		Totals:	43%	43%	43%	No Report	
		Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				
		Data Source:	Tribal Services Spreads	heet			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met
68	DESCRIPTION: Achieve parity between the Tribal community and US national average on violent	Totals:	No Report	No Report	No Target	No Report	
	crime	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				
		Data Source:	Law Enforcement Service	ces Crime Data Spreadsh	eets		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met
69	DESCRIPTION: Percent of eligible Housing Improvement Program applicants whose need for	Totals:	9%	5%	7%	No Report	
	safe and sanitary housing in Indian Country is met	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				
		Data Source:	Housing Report Spreads	sheet			
	INTERMEDIATE	GOAL: Improve education	and welfare systems for	or Indian Tribes and Ala	ska Natives		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met
		Totals:	0.124	0.107	0.091	0.199	
70	DESCRIPTION: Facilities are in fair to good condition as measured by the Facilities Condition Index	Performance Explanation:	of major FI&R and Repla completion. This approa funding rather than the a	acement School projects ach, showing immediate i actual current condition of	Education facilities FCI of at the time of proposed p mprovement, was used to facilities. In FY 2006, ho nly after the project work	roject funding rather than o demonstrate the future owever, the Bureau recal	n after project impact of
		Steps to Improve:					
		Data Source:	FMIS Database				

			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals	Baseline Established	94%	78%	90% (E)	
171	DESCRIPTION: Percent of teacher proficiencies in select subject areas	Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. Estimate preliminary report indicate Qualified Core Area Teac	e 1,229 Number of Core	Area Teachers, with 1,11	12 or 90.48% as deeme	d Highly
		Data Source:	OIEP Center for School II				
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
172	DESCRIPTION: Teacher retention rate	Totals:	Baseline Established	88%	95%	No Report	
		Performance Explanation:	•				
		Data Source:	OIEP Center for School I				
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
173	DESCRIPTION: Student attendance rate	Totals:	87%	88%	91%	No Report	
		Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data. Tre	nd Data: FY03 = 89%; F	Y02 = 89%; FY01 = 90%		
		Data Source:	OIEP Center for School II	mprovement; Annual Co	nsolidated School Repor	t Form	
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Percent of children able to read	Totals:	48%	41%	54%	46%	
1/4	independently by the 3rd grade	Performance Explanation:	Goal Not Met.				
		Steps to Improve:					
		Data Source:	OIEP Center for School II		-		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Percent of students achieving high school graduation	Totals:	80%	82%	84%	No Report	
		Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.				
		Data Source:	OIEP Center for School I	mprovement; Annual Co	nsolidated School Repor	t Form	
	INTERME	PIATE GOAL: Promote the e	economic vitality of India	n Tribes and Alaska Na	atives		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
176	DESCRIPTION: Number of jobs created through	Totals:	1,719 jobs	1,922 jobs	1,800 jobs	1,838 jobs	<
	capital provided by DOI loans	Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Tribes Annual Reports				
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
177	DESCRIPTION: Percent of job retention one year out	Totals:		Baseline Not	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
177	DESCRIPTION: Percent of job retention one year out	Totals:	No Report				Goal Met?
177	DESCRIPTION: Percent of job retention one year out	Performance Explanation:	No Report	Baseline Not			Goal Met?
177	DESCRIPTION: Percent of job retention one year out	Performance Explanation:	No Report No Report. No Data.	Baseline Not			Goal Met?
177	DESCRIPTION: Percent of job retention one year out	Performance Explanation:	No Report No Report. No Data. State Wage Records FY2004 Actual	Baseline Not Established	No Target	No Report	
	DESCRIPTION: Percent of job retention one year out DESCRIPTION: Cost per job achieved	Performance Explanation Data Source:	No Report No Report. No Data. State Wage Records FY2004 Actual \$1,799	Baseline Not Established	No Target	No Report FY2006 Actual	
		Performance Explanation: Data Source:	No Report No Report No Data. State Wage Records FY2004 Actual \$1,799 Goal Not Met.	Baseline Not Established	No Target	No Report FY2006 Actual	
		Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Steps to Improve:	No Report No Report No Data. State Wage Records FY2004 Actual \$1,799 Goal Not Met.	Baseline Not Established FY2005 Actual \$2,190	No Target FY2006 Plan \$1,700	No Report FY2006 Actual \$2,141	Goal Met?
		Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Steps to Improve:	No Report No Report. No Data. State Wage Records FY2004 Actual \$1,799 Goal Not Met.	Baseline Not Established FY2005 Actual \$2,190	No Target FY2006 Plan \$1,700	No Report FY2006 Actual \$2,141	Goal Met?
178	DESCRIPTION: Cost per job achieved DESCRIPTION: Percent of miles of road in good or	Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Steps to Improve:	No Report No Report. No Data. State Wage Records FY2004 Actual \$1,799 Goal Not Met. OMB Approved Collection FY2004 Actual	Baseline Not Established FY2005 Actual \$2,190 In Reports Submitted by	No Target FY2006 Plan \$1,700 Tribes; OMB Form No. 26	FY2006 Actual \$2,141	Goal Met?
178	DESCRIPTION: Cost per job achieved	Performance Explanation: Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation: Steps to Improve: Data Source:	No Report No Report. No Data. State Wage Records FY2004 Actual \$1,799 Goal Not Met. OMB Approved Collection FY2004 Actual Baseline Established	FY2005 Actual \$2,190 In Reports Submitted by FY2005 Actual 21%	FY2006 Plan \$1,700 Fribes; OMB Form No. 20 FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual \$2,141 \$9A Annual Financial Ro	Goal Met?
178	DESCRIPTION: Cost per job achieved DESCRIPTION: Percent of miles of road in good or	Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Steps to Improve: Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation	No Report No Report. No Data. State Wage Records FY2004 Actual \$1,799 Goal Not Met. OMB Approved Collection FY2004 Actual Baseline Established	FY2005 Actual \$2,190 In Reports Submitted by FY2005 Actual 21% ed Data.	FY2006 Plan \$1,700 Fribes; OMB Form No. 26 FY2006 Plan 16%	FY2006 Actual \$2,141 69A Annual Financial Re FY2006 Actual 17% (E)	Goal Met?
178	DESCRIPTION: Cost per job achieved DESCRIPTION: Percent of miles of road in good or	Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Steps to Improve: Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation	No Report No Report No Data. State Wage Records FY2004 Actual \$1,799 Goal Not Met. OMB Approved Collection FY2004 Actual Baseline Established Goal Exceeded. Estimate	FY2005 Actual \$2,190 In Reports Submitted by FY2005 Actual 21% ed Data.	FY2006 Plan \$1,700 Fribes; OMB Form No. 26 FY2006 Plan 16%	FY2006 Actual \$2,141 69A Annual Financial Re FY2006 Actual 17% (E)	Goal Met?
178	DESCRIPTION: Cost per job achieved DESCRIPTION: Percent of miles of road in good or better condition based on the Service Level Index	Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Steps to Improve: Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation	No Report No Report. No Data. State Wage Records FY2004 Actual \$1,799 Goal Not Met. OMB Approved Collection FY2004 Actual Baseline Established Goal Exceeded. Estimate Annual Deferred Mainten FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual \$2,190 In Reports Submitted by FY2005 Actual 21% ed Data. ance Report; Structure in	FY2006 Plan \$1,700 Fribes; OMB Form No. 26 FY2006 Plan 16%	FY2006 Actual \$2,141 S9A Annual Financial RefY2006 Actual 17% (E)	Goal Met?
178	DESCRIPTION: Cost per job achieved DESCRIPTION: Percent of miles of road in good or better condition based on the Service Level Index	Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Steps to Improve: Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Data Source:	No Report No Report. No Data. State Wage Records FY2004 Actual \$1,799 Goal Not Met. OMB Approved Collection FY2004 Actual Baseline Established Goal Exceeded. Estimate Annual Deferred Mainten FY2004 Actual Baseline Established	FY2005 Actual \$2,190 In Reports Submitted by FY2005 Actual 21% ed Data. ance Report; Structure In	FY2006 Plan \$1,700 Fribes; OMB Form No. 26 FY2006 Plan 16% nventory and Appraisal S FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual \$2,141 S9A Annual Financial Re FY2006 Actual 17% (E)	Goal Met?

		INTERMEDIATE	GOAL: Enhance public	c safety			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
181	DESCRIPTION: Part 1 violent rate per 100,000	Totals:	15%	No Report	No Target	No Report	
	inhabitants	Performance Explanation:	No Report. No Data.	•			•
		Data Source:	Office of Law Enforcement	ent Services Spreadsheet	s		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	0.169	0.123	0.145	0.091	V
182	DESCRIPTION: Law enforcement facilities in fair to good condition as measured by the Facilities Condition Index	Performance Explanation:	calculations reflected the funding rather than after demonstrate the future i	us to FY 2006, the Bureau e positive impact of major project completion. This mpact of funding rather the calculated the law enforce rk is completed.	FI&R and Replacement approach, showing immens the actual current cor	projects at the time of p ediate improvement, wa adition of facilities. In F	roposed projects used to 7 2006,
		Data Source:	FMIS Database				
	EN	D OUTCOME GOAL: Increa	se economic self-suffic	ciency of insular areas			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	0.26	0.25	0.33	0.28	
183	DESCRIPTION: Ratio of Federal revenue to total revenues in insular areas	Performance Explanation:	Fact Book is inconsister compliance efforts, more statements. This data is	006 target was based on titly available and inaccura e accurate and consistent reflected in the 2006 Act I on evaluations of the ins	ate for all the insular area data is now available fro ual figure based on the F	s. As a result of OIA's S m the insular area's and iscal Year 2005 Audits.	ingle Audit nual financial In the future,
		Data Source:	Audited General Fund F	inancial Statements.			
	INTERMI	EDIATE GOAL: Improve ins	ular governments finan	ncial management practi	ices		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
184	DESCRIPTION: Total average months late for all insular general fund financial statements	Totals:	8 months	19 months	12 months	12 months	V
	insular general fund financial statements	Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.				
		Data Source:	Audited General Fund F	inancial Statements.			
		INTERMEDIATE GOA	L: Increase economic	development			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Plan	FY2006 Actual	Goal Met?
	DESCRIPTION: Ratio of private sector jobs to total	Totals:	0.76	0.72	No Target	No Report	
185	employment	Performance Explanation:		This was a new goal in FY The insular areas do not			
		Data Source:	Audited General Fund F	inancial Statements.			