Table 2: HACCP Principles Side-By-Side

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
9 CFR ' 417; Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS).	21 CFR ' 123; Food and Drug Administration (FDA).	Adopted August 14, 1997, by the National Advisory Committee on Microbiological Criteria for Foods (NACMCF) as a revision of their 1992 adopted HACCP System document. From the Journal of Food Protection, Volume 61, Number 9, 1998, pages 1246-1259, and as noted in the article, this article may reproduced without permission. Tables, figures, appendixes, references, and some introductory text portions are not included in this comparison document.	Adopted June 1997; Codex Alimentarius Commission and the FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme, annex to CAC/RCP 1-1969, Rev. 3.
	************ NOTE: Order of information is realigned to match, where possible, 9 CFR § 417. ***********************************	*********** NOTE: Order of information is realigned to match, where possible, 9 CFR § 417. ***********************************	******************* NOTE: Order of information is realigned to match, where possible, 9 CFR § 417. ***********************************
' 417.2 Hazard Analysis and HACCP Plan:	' 123.6 Hazard Analysis and Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) Plan:	HACCP principles: HACCP is a systematic approach to the identification, evaluation, and control of food safety hazards based on the following seven principles.	Principles of the HACCP system: The HACCP system consists of the following seven principles.
 (a) Hazard analysis. (1) Every official establishment shall conduct, or have conducted for it, a hazard analysis to determine the food safety hazards reasonably likely to occur in the production process and identify the preventive 	(a) Hazard analysis. Every processor shall conduct, or have conducted for it, a hazard analysis to determine whether there are food safety hazards that are reasonably likely to occur for each kind of fish and fishery product processed by	(Realigned from page 1249 as one of the seven principles of HACCP). Conduct a hazard analysis (Principle 1): After addressing the preliminary tasks discussed above, the HACCP team conducts a hazard analysis and identifies appropriate control measures. The	(Realigned 6). List all potential hazards associated with each step, conduct a hazard analysis, and consider any measures to control identified hazards (SEE PRINCIPLE 1): The HACCP team should list all of the hazards that may be reasonably expected

FSIS FDA NACMCF CODEX

measures the establishment can apply to control those hazards. The hazard analysis shall include food safety hazards that can occur before, during, and after entry into the establishment. A food safety hazard that is reasonably likely to occur is one for which a prudent establishment would establish controls because it historically has occurred, or because there is a reasonable possibility that it will occur in the particular type of product being processed, in the absence of those controls.

that processor and to identify the preventive measures that the processor can apply to control those hazards. Such food safety hazards can be introduced both within and outside the processing plant environment, including food safety hazards that can occur before, during, and after harvest. A food safety hazard that is reasonably likely to occur is one for which a prudent processor would establish controls because experience, illness data, scientific reports, or other information provide a basis to conclude that there is a reasonable possibility that it will occur in the particular type of fish or fishery product being processed in the absence of those controls.

purpose of the hazard analysis is to develop a list of hazards that are of such significance that they are reasonably likely to cause injury or illness if not effectively controlled. Hazards that are not reasonably likely to occur would not require further consideration within an HACCP plan. It is important to consider in the hazard analysis the ingredients and raw materials, each step in the process, product storage and distribution, and final preparation and use by the consumer. When conducting a hazard analysis, safety concerns must be differentiated from quality concerns. A hazard is defined as a biological, chemical, or physical agent that is reasonably likely to cause illness or injury in the absence of its control. Thus, the word hazard as used in this document is limited to safety. A thorough hazard analysis is the key to preparing an effective HACCP plan. If the hazard analysis is not done correctly and the hazards warranting control within the HACCP system are not identified, the plan will not be effective regardless of how well it is followed. The hazard analysis and identification of associated control measures accomplish three

to occur at each step from primary production. processing, manufacture, and distribution until the point of consumption. The HACCP team should next conduct a hazard analysis to identify for the HACCP plan which hazards are of such a nature that their elimination or reduction to acceptable levels is essential to the production of a safe food. In conducting the hazard analysis, wherever possible the following should be included: the likely occurrence of hazards and severity of their adverse health effects; the qualitative and/or quantitative evaluation of the presence of hazards; survival or multiplication of microorganisms of concern; production or persistence in foods of toxins, chemicals or physical agents; and, conditions leading to the above. The HACCP team must then consider what control measures, if any, exist which can be applied for each hazard. More than one control measure may be required to control a specific hazard(s) and more than one hazard may be controlled by a specified control measure.

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
		objectives: Hazards that	
		are to be controlled in the	
		HACCP plan and associated	
		control measures are	
		identified. The analysis	
		may identify needed	
		modifications to a process	
		or product so that product	
		safety is further assured or	
		improved. The analysis	
		provides a basis for	
		determining CCPs in	
		Principle 2. The process of	
		conducting a hazard analysis	
		involves two stages. The	
		first, hazard	
		identification, can be	
		regarded as a brainstorming	
		session. During this stage,	
		the HACCP team reviews the	
		ingredients used in the	
		product, the activities	
		conducted at each step in	
		the process and the	
		equipment used, the final	
		product and its method of	
		storage and distribution,	
		and the intended use and	
		consumers of the product.	
		Based on this review, the	
		team develops a list of	
		potential biological,	
		chemical, or physical	
		hazards which may be	
		introduced, increased, or	
		controlled at each step in	
		the production process.	
		Appendix C (not included in	
		this comparison document)	
		lists examples of questions	
		that may be helpful to	
		consider when identifying	
		potential hazards. Hazard	
		identification focuses on	
		developing a list of	

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
		potential hazards associated	
		with each process step under	
		direct control of the food	
		operation. A knowledge of	
		any adverse health-related	
		events historically	
		associated with the product	
		will be of value in this	
		exercise. After the list of	
		potential hazards is	
		assembled, stage two, the	
		hazard evaluation, is	
		conducted. In stage two of	
		the hazard analysis, the	
		HACCP team decides which	
		potential hazards must be	
		addressed in the HACCP plan.	
		During this stage, each	
		potential hazard is	
		evaluated based on the	
		severity of the potential	
		hazard and its likely	
		occurrence. Severity is the	
		seriousness of the	
		consequences of exposure to	
		the hazard. Considerations	
		of severity (e.g., impact of	
		sequelae, and magnitude and	
		duration of illness or	
		injury) can be helpful in	
		understanding the public	
		health impact of the hazard.	
		Consideration of the likely	
		occurrence is usually based	
		on a combination of	
		experience, epidemiological	
		data, and information in the	
		technical literature. When	
		conducting the hazard	
		evaluation, it is helpful to	
		consider the likelihood of	
		exposure and severity of the	
		potential consequences if	
		the hazard is not properly	
		controlled. In addition,	
			l

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
		consideration should be	
		given to the effects of	
		short-term as well as long-	
		term exposure to the	
		potential hazard. Such	
		considerations do not	
		include common dietary	
		choices which lie outside of	
		HACCP. During the	
		evaluation of each potential	
		hazard, the food, its method	
		of preparation,	
		transportation, storage, and	
		persons likely to consume	
		the product should be	
		considered to determine how	
		each of these factors may	
		influence the likely	
		occurrence and severity of	
		the hazard being controlled.	
		The team must consider the	
		influence of likely	
		procedures for food	
		preparation and storage and	
		whether the intended	
		consumers are susceptible to	
		a potential hazard.	
		However, there may be	
		differences of opinion, even	
		among experts, as to the	
		likely occurrence and severity of a hazard. The	
		HACCP team may have to rely	
		upon the opinion of experts	
		who assist in the	
		development of the HACCP	
		plan. Hazards identified in	
		one operation or facility	
		may not be significant in	
		another operation producing	
		the same or a similar	
		product. For example,	
		because of differences in	
		equipment or maintenance	
		programs, the probability of	
		Language to brongstarch or	

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
		metal contamination may be	
		significant in one facility	
		but not in another. A	
		summary of the HACCP team	
		deliberations and the	
		rationale developed during	
		the hazard analysis should	
		be kept for future	
		reference. This information	
		will be useful during future	
		reviews and updates of the	
		hazard analysis and the	
		HACCP plan. Appendix D (not	
		included in this comparison	
		document) gives three	
		examples of using a logic	
		sequence in conducting a	
		hazard analysis. Although	
		these examples relate to	
		biological hazards, chemical	
		and physical hazards are	
		equally important to	
		consider. Appendix D (not	
		included in this comparison document) is for	
		illustration purposes to	
		further explain the stages	
		of hazard analysis for	
		identifying hazards. Hazard	
		identification and	
		evaluation as outlined in	
		Appendix D (not included in	
		this comparison document)	
		may eventually be assisted	
		by biological risk	
		assessments as they become	
		available. Although the	
		process and output of a risk	
		assessment is significantly	
		different from a hazard	
		analysis, the identification	
		of hazards of concern and	
		the hazard evaluation may be	
		facilitated by information	
		from risk assessments.	

Table 2: HACCP Principles Side-By-Side

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
		Thus, as risk assessments	
		addressing specific hazards	
		or control factors become	
		available, the HACCP team	
		should take these into	
		consideration. On	
		completion of the hazard	
		analysis, the hazards	
		associated with each step in	
		the production of the food	
		should be listed along with	
		any measure(s) that are used	
		to control the hazard(s).	
		The term control measure is	
		used because not all hazards	
		can be prevented, but	
		virtually all can be	
		controlled. More than one	
		control measure may be	
		required for a specific	
		hazard. On the other hand,	
		more than one hazard may be	
		addressed by a specific	
		control measure (e.g.	
		pasteurization of milk).	
		For example, if a HACCP team	
		were to conduct a hazard	
		analysis for the production	
		of frozen cooked beef	
		patties (Appendices B and D,	
		not included in this	
		comparison document),	
		enteric pathogens (e.g.,	
		Salmonella and verotoxin-	
		producing Escherichia coli)	
		in the raw meat would be	
		identified as hazards.	
		Cooking is a control measure	
		that can be used to	
		eliminate these hazards.	
		Table 1 (not included in	
		this comparison document) is	
		an excerpt from a hazard	
		analysis summary table for	
		this product. The hazard	

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
		analysis summary could be presented in several different ways. One format is a table such as Table 1 (not included in this comparison document). Another could be a narrative summary of the HACCP team's hazard analysis considerations and a summary table listing only the hazards and associated control measures.	
(2) A flow chart describing the steps of each process and product flow in the establishment shall be prepared,		(Realigned from page 1249 as one of the five preliminary tasks). Develop a flow diagram that describes the process: The purpose of a flow diagram is to provide a clear, simple outline of the steps involved in the process. The scope of the flow diagram must cover all the steps in the process that are directly under the control of the establishment. In addition, the flow diagram can include steps in the food chain that are before and after the processing that occurs in the establishment. The flow diagram need not be as complex as engineering drawings. A block-type flow diagram is sufficiently descriptive (see Appendix B, not included in this comparison document). Also, a simple schematic of the facility is often useful in understanding and evaluating product and process flow.	(Realigned 4). Construct flow diagram: The flow diagram should be constructed by the HACCP team. The flow diagram should cover all steps in the operation. When applying HACCP to a given operation, consideration should be given to steps preceding and following the specified operation.

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
		(Realigned from page 1249 as one of the five preliminary tasks). Verify the flow diagram: The HACCP team should perform an on-site review of the operation to verify the accuracy and completeness of the flow diagram. Modifications should be made to the flow diagram as necessary and documented.	(Realigned 5). On-site confirmation of flow diagram: The HACCP team should confirm the processing operation against the flow diagram during all stages and hours of operation and amend the flow diagram where appropriate.
((a)(2) continued) and the intended use or consumers of the finished product shall be identified.		(Realigned from page 1249 as one of the five preliminary tasks). Describe the intended use and consumers of the food: Describe the normal expected use of the food. The intended consumers may be the general public or a particular segment of the population (e.g., infants, immunocompromised individuals, the elderly, etc.).	(Realigned 3). Identify intended use: The intended use should be based on the expected uses of the product by the end user or consumer. In specific cases, vulnerable groups of the population, e.g., institutional feeding, may have to be considered.
(3) Food safety hazards might be expected to arise from the following:	(Realigned from § 123.6(c)(1)) Consideration should be given to whether any food safety hazards are reasonably likely to occur as a result of the following:		
(i) Natural toxins;	(i) Natural toxins		
<pre>(ii) Microbiological contamination;</pre>	(ii) Microbiological contamination;		
<pre>(iii) Chemical contamination;</pre>	(iii) Chemical contamination;		
(iv) Pesticides;	(iv) Pesticides;		

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
1		1	
(v) Drug residues;	(v) Drug residues		
_			
(vi) Zoonotic diseases;			
(vii) Decomposition;	(vi) Decomposition in scombroid toxin-forming species or in any other species where a food safety hazard has been associated with decomposition;		
(viii) Parasites;	(vii) Parasites, where the processor has knowledge or has reason to know that the parasite-containing fish or fishery product will be consumed without a process sufficient to kill the parasites, or where the processor represents, labels, or intends for the product to be so consumed;		
<pre>(ix) Unapproved use of direct or indirect food or color additives; and</pre>	(viii) Unapproved use of direct or indirect food or color additives; and		
(x) Physical hazards.	(ix) Physical hazards;		
(b) The HACCP plan. (1) Every establishment shall develop and implement a written HACCP plan covering each product produced by that establishment whenever a hazard analysis reveals one or more food safety hazards that are reasonably likely to occur, based on the hazard analysis conducted in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, including products in the	(b) The HACCP plan. Every processor shall have and implement a written HACCP plan whenever a hazard analysis reveals one or more food safety hazards that are reasonably likely to occur, as described in paragraph (a) of this section. A HACCP plan shall be specific to: (1) Each location where fish and fishery products are processed by that processor; and (2) Each kind of fish and fishery product		

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
following processing categories: (i) Slaughterall species. (ii) Raw product-ground. (iii) Raw productnot ground. (iv) Thermally processed-commercially sterile. (v) Not heat treated-shelf stable. (vi) Heat treated-shelf stable. (vii) Fully cooked-not shelf stable. (viii) Heat treated but not fully cooked-not shelf stable. (viii) Heat treated but not fully cooked-not shelf stable. (ix) Product with secondary inhibitors-not shelf stable. (2) A single HACCP plan may encompass multiple products within a single processing category identified in this paragraph, if the food safety hazards, critical control points, critical limits, and procedures required to be identified and performed in paragraph (c) of this section are essentially the same, provided that any required features of the plan that are unique to a specific product are clearly delineated in the plan and are observed in practice. 3) HACCP plans for thermally	processed by the processor. The plan may group kinds of fish and fishery products together, or group kinds of production methods together, if the food safety hazards, critical control points, critical limits, and procedures required to be identified and performed in paragraph (c) of this section are identical for all fish and fishery products so grouped or for all production methods so grouped. (Realigned) (e) Products		
processed/commercially sterile products do not have to address the food safety hazards associated with microbiological contamination if the product	subject to other regulations. For fish and fishery products that are subject to the requirements of part 113 or 114 of this chapter, the HACCP plan need		

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
is produced in accordance with the requirements of part 318, subpart G, or part 381, subpart X, of this chapter.	not list the food safety hazard associated with the formation of Clostridium botulinum toxin in the finished, hermetically sealed container, nor list the controls to prevent that food safety hazard. A HACCP plan for such fish and fishery products shall address any other food safety hazards that are reasonably likely to occur.		
(c) The contents of the HACCP plan shall, at a minimum:	(c) The contents of the HACCP plan shall, at a minimum:		
(1) List the food safety hazards identified in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, which must be controlled for each process.	(1) List the food safety hazards that are reasonably likely to occur, as identified in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, and that thus must be controlled for each fish and fishery product.		
(2) List the critical control points for each of the identified food safety hazards, including, as appropriate: (i) Critical control points designed to control food safety hazards that could be introduced in the establishment, and (ii) Critical control points designed to control food safety hazards introduced outside the establishment, including food safety hazards that occur before, during, and after entry into the establishment;	<pre>(2) List the critical control points for each of the identified food safety hazards, including as appropriate: (i) Critical control points designed to control food safety hazards that could be introduced in the processing plant environment; and (ii) Critical control points designed to control food safety hazards introduced outside the processing plant environment, including food safety hazards that occur before, during, and after harvest;</pre>	(Realigned from page 1250 as one of the seven principles of HACCP). Determine the critical control points (CCPs) (Principle 2): A critical control point is defined as a step at which control can be applied and is essential to prevent or eliminate a food safety hazard or reduce it to an acceptable level. The potential hazards that are reasonably likely to cause illness or injury in the absence of their control must be addressed in determining CCPs. Complete	(Realigned 7). Determine Critical Control Points (SEE PRINCIPLE 2): There may be more than one CCP at which control is applied to address the same hazard. The determination of a CCP in the HACCP system can be facilitated by the application of a decision tree (e.g. Diagram 2, not included in this comparison document), which indicates a logic reasoning approach. Application of a decision tree should be flexible, given whether the operation is for production,

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
		and accurate identification of CCPs is fundamental to controlling food safety hazards. The information developed during the hazard analysis is essential for the HACCP team in identifying which steps in the process are CCPs. One strategy to facilitate the identification of each CCP is the use of a CCP decision tree (Examples of decision trees are given in Appendices E and F, not included in this comparison document). Although application of the CCP decision tree can be useful in determining if a particular step is a CCP for a previously identified hazard, it is merely a tool and not a mandatory element of HACCP. A CCP decision tree is not a substitute for expert knowledge. Critical control points are located at any step where hazards can be either prevented, eliminated, or reduced to acceptable levels. Examples of CCPs may include thermal processing, chilling, testing ingredients for chemical residues, product formulation control, and testing product for metal contaminants. CCPs must be carefully developed and documented. In addition, they must be used only for purposes of product safety. For example, a specified heat process, at a given	slaughter, processing, storage, distribution or other. It should be used for guidance when determining CCPs. This example of a decision tree may not be applicable to all situations. Other approaches may be used. Training in the application of the decision tree is recommended. If a hazard has been identified at a step where control is necessary for safety, and no control measure exists at that step, or any other, then the product or process should be modified at that step, or at any earlier or later stage, to include a control measure.

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
(3) List the critical limits that must be met at each of the critical control points. Critical limits shall, at a minimum, be designed to ensure that applicable targets or performance standards established by FSIS, and any other requirement set forth in this chapter pertaining to the specific process or product, are met;	(3) List the critical limits that must be met at each of the critical control points;	time and temperature designed to destroy a specific microbiological pathogen, could be a CCP. Likewise, refrigeration of a precooked food to prevent hazardous microorganisms from multiplying, or the adjustment of a food to a pH necessary to prevent toxin formation could also be CCPs. Different facilities preparing similar food items can differ in the hazards identified and the steps that are CCPs. This can be because of differences in each facility's layout, equipment, selection of ingredients, processes employed, etc. (Realigned from page 1251 as one of the seven principles of HACCP). Establish critical limits (Principle 3): A critical limit is a maximum and/or minimum value to which a biological, chemical, or physical parameter must be controlled at a CCP to prevent, eliminate, or reduce to an acceptable level the occurrence of a food safety hazard. A critical limit is used to distinguish between safe and unsafe operating conditions at a CCP. Critical limits should not be confused with operational limits, which are established for reasons other than food safety. Each CCP will have one or	(Realigned 8). Establish critical limits for each CCP (SEE PRINCIPLE 3): Critical limits must be specified and validated if possible for each Critical Control Point. In some cases more than one critical limit will be elaborated at a particular step. Criteria often used include measurements of temperature, time, moisture level, pH, Aw, available chlorine, and sensory parameters such as visual appearance and texture. Since the publication of the decision tree by Codex, its use has been implemented many times for training purposes. In many instances, while this tree has been useful to explain the logic

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
		more control measures to	and depth of understanding
		assure that the identified	needed to determine CCPs, it
		hazards are prevented,	is not specific to all food
		eliminated, or reduced to	operations, e.g. slaughter,
		acceptable levels. Each	and therefore it should be
		control measure has one or	used in conjunction with
		more associated critical	professional judgement, and
		limits. Critical limits may	modified in some cases.
		be based on factors such as:	
		temperature, time, physical	
		dimensions, humidity,	
		moisture level, water	
		activity (a_w) , pH, titratable	
		acidity, salt concentration,	
		available chlorine,	
		viscosity, preservatives, or	
		sensory information such as	
		aroma and visual appearance.	
		Critical limits must be	
		scientifically based. For	
		each CCP, there is at least	
		one criterion for food	
		safety that is to be met.	
		An example of a criterion is	
		a specific lethality of a	
		cooking process such as a 5D	
		reduction in Salmonella.	
		The critical limits and	
		criteria for food safety may	
		be derived from sources such	
		as regulatory standards and	
		guidelines, literature	
		surveys, experimental	
		results, and experts. An	
		example is the cooking of	
		beef patties (Appendix B not	
		included in this comparison	
		document). The process	
		should be designed to ensure	
		the production of a safe	
		product. The hazard	
		analysis for cooked meat	
		patties identified enteric	
		pathogens (e.g.,	
		verotoxigenic <u>E.</u> <u>coli</u> such	

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
		as <u>E.</u> <u>coli</u> 0157:H7, and	
		salmonellae) as significant	
		biological hazards.	
		Furthermore, cooking is the	
		step in the process at which	
		control can be applied to	
		reduce the enteric pathogens	
		to an acceptable level. To	
		ensure that an acceptable	
		level is consistently	
		achieved, accurate	
		information is needed on the	
		probable number of the	
		pathogens in the raw	
		patties, their heat	
		resistance, the factors that	
		influence the heating of the	
		patties, and the area of the	
		patty that heats the	
		slowest. Collectively, this	
		information forms the	
		scientific basis for the	
		critical limits that are	
		established. Some of the	
		factors that may affect the	
		thermal destruction of	
		enteric pathogens are listed	
		in Table 2 (not included in	
		this comparison document).	
		In this example, the HACCP	
		team concluded that a	
		thermal process equivalent	
		to 155°F for 16 seconds would be necessary to assure the	
		_	
		safety of this product. To ensure that this time and	
		temperature are attained,	
		the HACCP team for one facility determined that it	
		would be necessary to	
		establish critical limits	
		for the oven temperature and	
		humidity, belt speed (time	
		in oven), patty thickness	
		and composition (e.g., all	

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
(4) List the procedures, and the frequency with which those procedures will be performed, that will be used to monitor each of the critical control points to ensure compliance with the critical limits;	(4) List the procedures, and frequency thereof, that will be used to monitor each of the critical control points to ensure compliance with the critical limits;	beef, beef and other ingredients). Control of these factors enables the facility to produce a wide variety of cooked patties, all of which will be processed to a minimum internal temperature of 155°F for 16 seconds. In another facility, the HACCP team may conclude that the best approach is to use the internal patty temperature of 155°F and hold for 16 seconds as critical limits. In this second facility the internal temperature and hold time of the patties are monitored at a frequency to ensure that the critical limits are constantly met as they exit the oven. The example given in Table 2 (not included in this comparison document) applies to the first facility. (Realigned from page 1251 as one of the seven principles of HACCP). Establish monitoring procedures (Principle 4): Monitoring is a planned sequence of observations or measurements to assess whether a CCP is under control and to produce an accurate record for future use in verification. Monitoring serves three main purposes: First, monitoring is essential to food safety management in that it facilitates tracking of the operation. If monitoring indicates that there is a trend toward loss of	9. Establish a monitoring system for each CCP (SEE PRINCIPLE 4): Monitoring is the scheduled measurement or observation of a CCP relative to its critical limits. The monitoring procedures must be able to detect loss of control at the CCP. Further, monitoring should ideally provide this information in time to make adjustments to ensure control of the process to prevent violating the critical limits. Where possible, process adjustments should be made when monitoring results

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
FSIS	FDA	control, then action can be taken to bring the process back into control before a deviation from a critical limit occurs. Second, monitoring is used to determine when there is loss of control and a deviation occurs at a CCP, i.e., exceeding or not meeting a critical limit. When a deviation occurs, an appropriate corrective action must be taken. Third, it provides written documentation for use in verification. An unsafe food may result if a process is not properly controlled and a deviation occurs. Because of the potentially serious consequences of a critical limit deviation, monitoring procedures must be effective. Ideally, monitoring should be continuous, which is possible with many types of physical and chemical methods. For example, the temperature and time for the scheduled thermal process of low-acid canned foods is recorded continuously on temperature recording charts. If the temperature falls below the scheduled temperature of the time is insufficient, as recorded on the chart, the product from the retort is retained and the disposition determined as in Principle 5. Likewise, pH measurement may be performed continually in	indicate a trend towards loss of control at a CCP. The adjustments should be taken before a deviation occurs. Data derived from monitoring must be evaluated by a designated person with knowledge and authority to carry out corrective actions when indicated. If monitoring is not continuous, then the amount or frequency of monitoring must be sufficient to guarantee the CCP is in control. Most monitoring procedures for CCPs will need to be done rapidly because they relate to online processes and there will not be time for lengthy analytical testing. Physical and chemical measurements are often preferred to microbiological testing because they may be done rapidly and can often indicate the microbiological control of the product. All records and documents associated with monitoring CCPs must be signed by the person(s) doing the monitoring and by a responsible reviewing official(s) of the company.

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
		fluids or by testing each	
		batch before processing.	
		There are many ways to	
		monitor critical limits on a	
		continuous or batch basis	
		and record the data on	
		charts. Continuous	
		monitoring is always	
		preferred when feasible.	
		Monitoring equipment must be	
		carefully calibrated for	
		accuracy. Assignment of the	
		responsibility for	
		monitoring is an important	
		consideration for each CCP.	
		Specific assignments will	
		depend on the number of CCPs	
		and control measures and the	
		complexity of monitoring.	
		Personnel who monitor CCPs	
		are often associated with	
		production (e.g., line	
		supervisors, selected line	
		workers, and maintenance	
		personnel) and, as required,	
		quality control personnel.	
		Those individuals must be	
		trained in the monitoring	
		technique for which they are	
		responsible, fully	
		understand the purpose and	
		importance of monitoring, be	
		unbiased in monitoring and	
		reporting, and accurately	
		report the results of	
		monitoring. In addition,	
		employees should be trained	
		in procedures to follow when	
		there is a trend toward loss	
		of control so that	
		adjustments can be made in a	
		timely manner to assure that	
		the process remains under	
		control. The person	
		responsible for monitoring	

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
		must also immediately report	
		a process or product that	
		does not meet critical	
		limits. All records and	
		documents associated with	
		CCP monitoring should be	
		dated and signed or	
		initialed by the person	
		doing the monitoring. When	
		it is not possible to	
		monitor a CCP on a	
		continuous basis, it is	
		necessary to establish a	
		monitoring frequency and	
		procedure that will be	
		reliable enough to indicate	
		that the CCP is under	
		control. Statistically	
		designed data collection or	
		sampling systems lend	
		themselves to this purpose.	
		Most monitoring procedures	
		need to be rapid because	
		they relate to on-line,	
		"real-time" processes and	
		there will not be time for	
		lengthy analytical testing.	
		Examples of monitoring	
		activities include visual	
		observations and measurement	
		of temperature, time, pH,	
		and moisture level.	
		Microbiological tests are	
		seldom effective for	
		monitoring because of their	
		time-consuming nature and	
		problems with assuring	
		detection of contaminants.	
		Physical and chemical	
		measurements are often	
		preferred because they are	
		rapid and usually more	
		effective for assuring	
		control of microbiological	
		hazards. For example, the	

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
(5) Include all corrective actions that have been developed in accordance with Sec. 417.3(a) of this part, to be followed in response to any deviation from a critical limit at a critical control point; and	(5) Include any corrective action plans that have been developed in accordance with Sec. 123.7(b), to be followed in response to deviations from critical limits at critical control points;	safety of pasteurized milk is based upon measurements of time and temperature of heating rather than testing the heated milk to assure the absence of surviving pathogens. With certain foods, processes, ingredients, or imports, there may be no alternative to microbiological testing. However, it is important to recognize that a sampling protocol that is adequate to reliably detect low levels of pathogens is seldom possible because of the large number of samples needed. This sampling limitation could result in a false sense of security by those who use an inadequate sampling protocol. In addition, there are technical limitations in many laboratory procedures for detecting and quantitating pathogens and/or their toxins. (Realigned from page 1252 as one of the seven principles of HACCP). Establish corrective actions (Principle 5): The HACCP system for food safety management is designed to identify health hazards and to establish strategies to prevent, eliminate, or reduce their occurrence. However, ideal circumstances do not always prevail, and deviations from established	10. Establish corrective actions (SEE PRINCIPLE 5): Specific corrective actions must be developed for each CCP in the HACCP system in order to deal with deviations when they occur. The actions must ensure that the CCP has been brought under control. Actions taken must also include proper disposition of the affected product. Deviation and product disposition
		processes may occur. An	procedures must be

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
FSIS FSIS		important purpose of corrective actions is to prevent foods that may be hazardous from reaching consumers. Where there is a deviation from established critical limits, corrective actions are necessary. Therefore, corrective actions should include the following elements: (a) determine and correct the cause of noncompliance; (b) determine the disposition of noncompliant product; and (c) record the corrective actions that have been taken. Specific corrective actions should be developed in advance for each CCP and included in the HACCP plan. As a minimum, the HACCP plan should specify what is done when a deviation occurs, who is responsible for implementing the corrective actions, and that a record of the actions taken will be developed and maintained. Individuals who have a thorough understanding of the process, product, and HACCP plan should be	documented in the HACCP record keeping.
		developed and maintained. Individuals who have a thorough understanding of the process, product, and HACCP plan should be assigned the responsibility for oversight of corrective	
(6) Provide for a	(Realigned 7). Provide for	actions. As appropriate, experts may be consulted to review the information available and to assist in determining disposition of noncompliant product. (Realigned from page 1253 as	(Realigned 12). Establish
recordkeeping system that documents the monitoring of	a recordkeeping system that documents the monitoring of	one of the seven principles of HACCP). Establish	Documentation and Record Keeping (SEE PRINCIPLE 7):

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
the critical control points. The records shall contain the actual values and observations obtained during monitoring.	the critical control points. The records shall contain the actual values and observations obtained during monitoring.	record-keeping and documentation procedures (Principle 7): Generally, the records maintained for the HACCP System should include the following: 1. A summary of the hazard analysis, including the rationale for determining hazards and control measures. 2. The HACCP Plan (listing of the HACCP team and assigned responsibilities; description of the food, its distribution, intended use, and consumer; verified flow diagram); HACCP Plan Summary Table that includes information for: (Steps in the process that are CCPs; the hazard(s) of concern; critical limits; monitoring*; corrective actions*; verification procedures and schedule*; record-keeping procedures*. [* A brief summary of position responsible for performing the activity and the procedures and frequency should be provided.] Table 4 (not included in this comparison document) is an example of the format for a HACCP plan summery table. 3. Support documentation such as validation records. 4. Records that are generated during the operation of the plan. Examples of HACCP records are given in Appendix H (not included in this comparison document).	Efficient and accurate record keeping is essential to the application of a HACCP system. HACCP procedures should be documented. Documentation and record keeping should be appropriate to the nature and size of the operation. Documentation examples are: Hazard analysis; CCP determination; critical limit determination. Record examples are: CCP monitoring activities; deviations and associated corrective actions; modifications to the HACCP system. An example of a HACCP worksheet is attached as Diagram 3 (not included in this comparison document).

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
(7) List the verification procedures, and the frequency with which those procedures will be performed, that the establishment will use in accordance with Sec. 417.4 of this part.	(realigned) (6) List the verification procedures, and frequency thereof, that the processor will use in accordance with Sec. 123.8(a);	(Realigned from page 1252 as one of the seven principles of HACCP). Establish verification procedures (Principle 6): Verification is defined as those activities, other than monitoring, that determine the validity of the HACCP plan and that the system is operating according to the plan. The National Academy of Sciences pointed out that the major infusion of science in a HACCP system centers on proper identification of the hazards, critical control points, critical limits, and instituting proper verification procedures. These processes should take place during the development and implementation of the HACCP plan and maintenance of the HACCP system. An example of a verification schedule is given in Table 3 (not included in the comparison document). One aspect of verification is evaluating whether the facility's HACCP system is functioning according to the HACCP plan. An effective HACCP system requires little end-product testing, because sufficient validated safeguards are built in early in the process. Therefore, rather than relying on end-product testing, firms should rely on frequent reviews of their	(Realigned 11). Establish verification procedures (SEE PRINCIPLE 6): Establish procedures for verification. Verification and auditing methods, procedures and tests, including random sampling and analysis, can be used to determine if the HACCP system is working correctly. The frequency of verification should be sufficient to confirm that the HACCP system is working effectively. Examples of verification activities include: Review of the HACCP system and its records; review of deviations and product dispositions; confirmation that CCPs are kept under control. Where possible, validation activities should include actions to confirm the efficacy of all elements of the HACCP plan.

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
		HACCP plan, verification	
		that the HACCP plan is being	
		correctly followed, and	
		review of CCP monitoring and	
		corrective action records.	
		Another important aspect of	
		verification is the initial	
		validation of the HACCP plan	
		to determine that the plan	
		is scientifically and	
		technically sound, that all	
		hazards have been	
		identified, and that if the	
		HACCP plan is properly	
		implemented these hazards	
		will be effectively	
		controlled. Information	
		needed to validate the HACCP	
		plan often include (1)	
		expert advice and scientific	
		studies and (2) in-plant	
		observations, measurements,	
		and evaluations. For	
		example, validation of the	
		cooking process for beef	
		patties should include the	
		scientific justification of	
		the heating times and	
		temperatures needed to	
		obtain an appropriate	
		destruction of pathogenic	
		microorganisms (i.e.,	
		enteric pathogens) and	
		studies to confirm that the	
		conditions of cooking will	
		deliver the required time	
		and temperature to each beef	
		patty. Subsequent	
		validations are performed	
		and documented by a HACCP	
		team or an independent	
		expert as needed. For	
		example, validations are	
		conducted when there is an	
		unexplained system failure;	

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
		a significant product,	
		process, or packaging change	
		occurs; or new hazards are	
		recognized. In addition, a	
		periodic comprehensive	
		verification of the HACCP	
		system should be conducted	
		by an unbiased, independent	
		authority. Such authorities	
		can be internal or external	
		to the food operation. This	
		should include a technical	
		evaluation of the hazard	
		analysis and each element of	
		the HACCP plan as well as	
		on-site review of all flow	
		diagrams and appropriate	
		records from operation of	
		the plan. A comprehensive	
		verification is independent	
		of other verification	
		procedures and must be	
		performed to ensure that the	
		HACCP plan is resulting in	
		the control of the hazards.	
		If the results of the	
		comprehensive verification	
		identifies deficiencies, the	
		HACCP team modifies the	
		HACCP plan as necessary.	
		Verification activities are	
		carried out by individuals	
		within a company, third	
		party experts, and	
		regulatory agencies. It is	
		important that individuals	
		doing verification have	
		appropriate technical	
		expertise to perform this	
		function. The role of	
		regulatory and industry in	
		HACCP was further described	
		by the NACMCF. Examples of	
		verification activities are	
		included as Appendix G (not	

Table 2: HACCP Principles Side-By-Side

FSIS	FDA	NACMCF	CODEX
I	I	included in this comparison	İ
		document).	