



DHS Exhibit 300 Public Release BY09 (Form) / TSA - Crew Vetting (2009) (Item)

Form Report, printed by: Administrator, System, Feb 6, 2008

OVERVIEW

General Information

1. Date of Submission:	Sep 7, 2007
2. Agency:	Department of Homeland Security
3. Bureau:	Security, Enforcement and Investigations (SEI)
4. Name of this Capital Asset:	TSA - Crew Vetting (2009)
5. Unique ID:	024-50-01-02-01-5633-00

(For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

All investments

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009?

(Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Operations and Maintenance

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2005

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap. [LIMIT: 2500 char]

This program vets airline crews entering, departing, or flying over U.S. airspace against terrorist-related information to determine if they are a potential threat to the aviation system. It uses computerized risk analysis and manual review of automated vetting results and matching analysis (Vetting Operations) to assess and evaluate potential threats of terrorists posing as cleared aviation or other transportation system personnel. The Crew Vetting program maintains a 24/7 operations center to receive and analyze Flight Crew Manifests (FCM) and Master Crew List (MCL) from the airlines throughout a 24 hour period. These individuals are then vetted against the various watchlists to identify potential security threats prior to an aircraft receiving authorization for departure.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

Yes

9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?

Dec 26, 2003

10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

Yes

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?

Yes

12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

Yes

12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

No

12.b.1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

12.b.2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

12.b.3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?

Yes

If "yes," select the initiatives that apply:

Human Capital	Yes
Budget Performance Integration	
Financial Performance	
Expanded E-Government	Yes

Competitive Sourcing	Yes
Faith Based and Community	
Real Property Asset Management	
Eliminating Improper Payments	
Privatization of Military Housing	
R and D Investment Criteria	
Housing and Urban Development Management and Performance	
Broadening Health Insurance Coverage through State Initiatives	
Right Sized Overseas Presence	
Coordination of VA and DoD Programs and Systems	

13.a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

Human Capital: CVP efficiently consolidates biographic screening activities for multiple TSA transportation programs
 Expanded E-Government: CVP shares information through an interoperable environment; data providers and customers transfer and receive data through secured electronic transfer
 Competitive Sourcing: The CVP O&M contract was competed through the TSA contracting process, allowing small and/or disadvantaged businesses to compete; equipment is acquired through competitive procurement

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

Yes

14.a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

Yes

14.b. If "yes," what is the name of the PART program assessed by OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool?

TSA - Transportation Vetting and Credentialing

14.c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

Results Not Demonstrated

15. Is this investment for information technology (See section 53 for definition)?

Yes

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 1

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)

(3) Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements

18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)

Yes

19. Is this a financial management system?

No

19.a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?

19.a.1. If "yes," which compliance area: [LIMIT: 250 char]

N/A


19.a.2. If "no," what does it address? [LIMIT: 500 char]

CVP addresses the critical capability gaps of: tactical vetting capabilities while facilitating the efficient movement of people, cargo, conveyances, and other potentially affected activities in commerce; tactical vetting of aircrew members on international flights flying into, out of, or over the continental United States; a coordinated and comprehensive approach to terrorist-related tactical vetting; and tactical vetting for credentialing yet insures the privacy of American citizens.

19.b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52. [LIMIT: 2500 char]

N/A

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Area	Percentage	
Hardware	8.60	
Software	3.58	
Services	53.05	
Other	34.77	
Total	100.00	

21. *If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?*

N/A

23. *Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?*

No

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. *Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?*

Yes

SUMMARY OF SPENDING

SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (In Millions)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

All amounts represent Budget Authority

	PY-1 & Earlier	PY	CY	BY
	-2006	2007	2008	2009
Planning:				
Budgetary Resources	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Acquisition:				
Budgetary Resources	3.500	0.000	0.000	0.000
TOTAL, sum of stages:				
Budgetary Resources	4.500	0.000	0.000	0.000
Maintenance:				
Budgetary Resources	30.300	10.600	10.850	15.225
TOTAL, All Stages				
Budgetary Resources	34.800	10.600	10.850	15.225
Government FTE Costs	5.700	4.100	4.200	5.700
# of FTEs	84.00	42.00	42.00	55.00
Total, BR + FTE Cost	40.500	14.700	15.050	20.925

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).

Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

Yes

2.a. If "yes," how many and in what year? [LIMIT: 500 char]

13 in FY09

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes. [LIMIT: 2500 char]

Due to the requirement to vet additional populations, additional FTE, HW/SW upgrades and facilities costs have been added to the total program costs.