DHS Exhibit 300 Public Release BY09 (Form) / NPPD - United States Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology (US-VISIT) (2009) (Item)

Form Report, printed by: Administrator, System, **Feb 6, 2008**

OVERVIEW

General Information

1. Date of Submission:	Dec 14, 2007
2. Agency:	Department of Homeland Security
3. Bureau:	Preparedness (PREP)
4. Name of this Capital Asset:	NPPD - United States Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology (US-VISIT) (2009)
5. Unique ID:	024-65-01-02-01-5001-00
(For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)	

All investments

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009?

(Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Mixed Life Cycle

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2003

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap. [LIMIT: 2500 char]

The mission of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) United States Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology (US-VISIT) is to collect, maintain, and share information, including biometric identifiers, on foreign visitors to assist in determining whether an individual (1) should be prohibited from entering the United States; (2) can receive, extend, change, or adjust immigration status; (3) has overstayed or otherwise violated the terms of admission; (4) should be apprehended or detained for law enforcement action; or (5) needs special protection or attention (e.g., refugees).

US-VISIT has established four goals to accomplish its mission: (1) enhance the security of our citizens and visitors; (2) facilitate legitimate travel and trade; (3) ensure the integrity of our immigration system; and (4) protect the privacy of our visitors. US-VISIT is a key component of a multi-layered, multi-agency defense that is integral to domestic security.

US-VISIT satisfies legislative and departmental mandates. It contributes to DHS immigration and border management goals and provides American citizens and visitors with a more safe and facilitative border security and immigration process.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

Yes

9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?

Dec 2, 2006

10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

Yes

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?

Yes

12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

Yes

12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

No

12.b.1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

12.b.2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

12.b.3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?

Yes

If "yes," select the initiatives that apply:

Human Capital	Yes

Budget Performance Integration	
Financial Performance	
Expanded E-Government	Yes
Competitive Sourcing	
Faith Based and Community	
Real Property Asset Management	
Eliminating Improper Payments	
Privatization of Military Housing	
R and D Investment Criteria	
Housing and Urban Development Management and Performance	
Broadening Health Insurance Coverage through State Initiatives	
Right Sized Overseas Presence	
Coordination of VA and DoD Programs and Systems	

13.a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

US-VISIT leverages technology to share info. quickly and conveniently between federal, state, local governments, supporting Expanded e-Government and more efficient use of Human Capital. US-VISIT integrated previously non-integrated info. systems and provided new analytics to frontline federal personnel. Improved decision-making capabilities have been accomplished without impeding the flow of legitimate travelers at POEs where US-VISIT has been deployed.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

Yes

14.a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

No

14.b. If "yes," what is the name of the PART program assessed by OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool?

NPPD - US-VISIT - Exit and Entry System for Visitor

14.c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

Moderately Effective

15. Is this investment for information technology (See section 53 for definition)?

Yes

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 1

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)

(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)

Yes

19. Is this a financial management system?

No

19.a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?

19.a.1. If "yes," which compliance area: [LIMIT: 250 char]

19.a.2. If "no," what does it address? [LIMIT: 500 char]

Initially established to respond to specific congressional requirements, US-VISIT has evolved to become the DHS's Identity Management and Screening Services provider. In performing its mission, US-VISIT has and continues to satisfy legislative mandates by providing biometrics-based identity management services that better inform immigration & border management decision makers and improve upon information available to support the pre-entry, entry, status management, exit, & analysis processes.

19.b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52. [LIMIT: 2500 char]

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Area	Percentage	
Hardware	24.73	
Software	1.60	
Services	64.84	
Other	8.84	
Total	100.00	⭐

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?
Yes
23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?
No
Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:
24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?
No

SUMMARY OF SPENDING				

SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (In Millions)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

All amounts represent Budget Authority

	PY-1 & Earlier -2006	PY 2007	CY 2008	BY 2009
Planning:				
Budgetary Resources	259.090	109.400	124.085	127.400
Acquisition:				
Budgetary Resources	849.885	111.661	218.324	129.728
TOTAL, sum of stages:				
Budgetary Resources	1,108.975	221.061	342.409	257.128
Maintenance:				
Budgetary Resources	214.302	125.300	103.000	178.900
TOTAL, All Stages				
Budgetary Resources	1,323.277	346.361	445.409	436.028
Government FTE Costs	43.323	16.139	16.591	17.072
# of FTEs	204.00	102.00	102.00	119.00
Total, BR + FTE Cost	1,366.600	362.500	462.000	453.100

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).

Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

Yes

2.a. If "yes," how many and in what year? [LIMIT: 500 char]

Based on the DHS Secretary's Resource Allocation Decisions for FY 2009, US-VISIT will receive an additional 35 FTPs with 17 FTEs in FY 2009 and 18 FTEs in FY 2010.

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes. [LIMIT: 2500 char]

Based on the DHS Secretary's Resource Allocation Decisions for FY 2009, US-VISIT's budget level for FY 2009 has been revised to $425.3M. US-VISIT will also receive contributions from CBP for Operations and Maintenance beginning in FY 2009 with an initial value of $62.8M.