

GAO

Testimony



144855

For Release
on Delivery
Expected at
9:30 am
Thursday
September 19, 1991

Hispanic Access To Health Care:
Significant Gaps Exist

Statement of
Eleanor Chelmsky

Assistant Comptroller General
Program Evaluation and
Methodology Division

Before the
House Select Committee on Aging
and the
Congressional Hispanic Caucus



Mr. Chairmen and Members of the Committee and Caucus:

It is a pleasure to be here to share with you the results of our work on Hispanic health in the United States. As you requested, we examined the reasons for the high rate of noninsurance among Hispanics. In our testimony today, we will present information on (1) the reasons why a higher percentage of Hispanics than non-Hispanics lack health insurance, (2) the reasons why the various Hispanic subgroups have differing rates of health insurance coverage, (3) the extent to which Medicaid and Medicare serve the Hispanic population, and (4) the data sources currently available to provide a profile of the health status of Hispanics in the United States.

Let me first briefly summarize our major findings:

- In 1989, 33 percent of all Hispanics--including 37 percent of Mexican-Americans, 16 percent of Puerto Ricans, and 20 percent of Cuban Americans--had no health insurance at all.

- To a large degree, the high rate of noninsurance among Hispanics is the result of being employed in jobs that do not provide health benefits. If Hispanic workers had the same rate of health insurance coverage as white

workers, the overall rate of noninsurance among members of Hispanic families would have been 18 percent.

- Many Hispanics are concentrated in states with the most stringent Medicaid eligibility criteria, such as Texas and Florida; they thus have difficulty gaining access to this public health insurance program.

- Despite recent efforts to collect data on Hispanic health, a comprehensive view of the morbidity and mortality trends of the different Hispanic subgroups is not available at this time.

BACKGROUND

To address these issues, we reviewed the literature, interviewed experts, and examined national sources of data on health insurance coverage. These data sources included the Census Bureau's Current Population Survey, the National Medical Expenditure Survey, and the Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, a study by the National Center for Health Statistics. In addition, we visited government officials and health care administrators in Texas, New York, and Florida to gain a focused understanding of Hispanic health insurance issues.

Before turning to the results of our work, I first will present a description of the Hispanic population in the United States, including both demographic and health profiles of this minority group.

Demographic Profile of Hispanics in the United States

The Hispanic population is the second largest--and the fastest growing--minority group in the United States. In 1990, an estimated 21 million persons living in the United States (8 percent of the total U.S. population) were of Hispanic origin.¹ This number represents a 40-percent increase since 1980, and according to a Census Bureau projection, the Hispanic population is expected to increase by an additional 200 percent (40 million persons) between 1990 and 2080. The Hispanic population is also relatively youthful (with a median age of 26 compared with 34 for non-Hispanics). Further, as a result of numerous advances in medicine and public health, gains in life expectancies have been experienced by all Americans, including blacks and Hispanics. In fact, since 1980, the Hispanic elderly population has increased by 75 percent.

Thus, the Hispanic population has been growing prodigiously in the United States. However, the same cannot be said for the


¹All data presented in this testimony on the Hispanic population in the United States exclude residents of Puerto Rico.

group's socioeconomic standing. More than one in four Hispanic persons (26 percent) lived in poverty in 1989--about the same as in 1980--compared to only one in nine (12 percent) of non-Hispanics.

Poverty rates are typically tied to low levels of educational attainment. Among persons aged 25 and over, only 51 percent of Hispanics have completed 4 years of high school or beyond, compared with 80 percent of non-Hispanics. Moreover, only 9 percent of Hispanics have completed 4 years of college, compared with 22 percent of non-Hispanics.

The Hispanic population in the United States represents a diverse array of ancestry, culture, socioeconomic conditions, and needs. It is most often categorized as consisting of five main subgroups: (1) Mexican-American, (2) Puerto Rican, (3) Cuban-American, (4) Central and South American, and (5) persons of other Hispanic origin. As figure 1 indicates, Mexican-Americans are by far the largest of these groups, representing nearly two thirds of the entire Hispanic population of the United States.

**Figure 1: U.S. Hispanic Population
by Subgroup, 1990**



**Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census,
Current Population Survey, 1990**

Although Hispanics reside in all parts of the United States, nearly 90 percent of the Hispanic population live in eight states. (See table 1.) Moreover, the different Hispanic subgroups tend to inhabit different regions of the country. For instance, almost three fourths of the Mexican-American population live in California and Texas (42 percent and 32 percent, respectively). Nearly one half of the Puerto Ricans who reside within the fifty states live in New York (49 percent), with another 12 percent living in New Jersey. Similarly, 58 percent of the Cuban-American population reside in Florida.

Table 1: U.S. Hispanic Population Distribution by State, 1989

<u>State</u>	<u>Hispanic population</u>	<u>Percent of total Hispanic population</u>
California	6,762,000	34%
Texas	4,313,000	22
New York	1,982,000	10
Florida	1,586,000	8
Illinois	855,000	4
Arizona	725,000	4
New Jersey	638,000	3
New Mexico	549,000	3
Total	17,410,000	88

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, 1989

Health Profile of Hispanics in the United States

The health profile of the Hispanic population compared to that of non-Hispanics again reflects the socioeconomic disparities discussed previously. The sparse data on Hispanic mortality indicate that while Hispanics live about as long as non-Hispanic whites, they tend to die from different causes. Among the major causes of death, accidents, diabetes, cirrhosis of the liver, and other liver diseases kill proportionally more Hispanics than non-Hispanic whites. Moreover, the top ten killers of Hispanics include homicide, AIDS, and perinatal conditions, whereas none of these conditions is among the major killers of non-Hispanic whites.

Hispanics are more likely than non-Hispanics to suffer from such ailments as hypertension, hyperlipidemia, hyperglycemia, cardiopulmonary problems, stroke, cirrhosis, obesity, and certain types of cancer. Among selected groups of Hispanics who are heavily addicted to intravenous drug use, the rising trend of AIDS cases represents a serious concern. Hispanics are also two to three times more likely than non-Hispanics to have diabetes, and such complications as blindness and amputation are likely to occur in the absence of treatment. For instance, a study of Texas border counties found that of all cases of diabetes-caused blindness identified in the study, 60 percent could have been prevented with proper treatment, as could 51 percent of kidney

failures and 67 percent of diabetes-related amputations of feet and legs.

In view of these data on the morbidity and mortality among Hispanics, their access to the health care system, and especially to health insurance coverage, becomes extremely important. Lack of health insurance is, in the United States, a primary barrier to the receipt of adequate and timely health care. For instance, uninsured persons are less likely to have a regular source of health care, less likely to have an ambulatory visit during the year, more likely to use an emergency room or hospital clinic as their usual source of care, and less likely to use preventive services, such as pap smears, blood pressure checks, and breast examinations. Moreover, among persons with chronic and serious illnesses, the uninsured make fewer visits to a physician than the insured. One of the primary concerns of health care providers is that when uninsured patients finally receive care, their physical complications are more advanced and therefore more difficult and costly to treat.

In 1989, over 33 million Americans (14 percent of the population) were not covered by any type of health insurance (public or private) at any time during the year. Although lack of health insurance is a problem that cuts across every demographic category, it is especially prevalent among Hispanics. For instance, according to the Current Population Survey, 33

percent of Hispanics (over 6 million persons) were uninsured during all or part of 1989, compared with about 19 percent of blacks and about 12 percent of whites. (See table 2.) The percentage of Hispanics not insured varies substantially across states. In addition, data from the Current Population Survey indicate that about 2.1 million undocumented aliens were living in the United States as of November 1989, with 1.6 million of these persons having been born in Mexico. We do not know the extent to which this population is included in the Current Population Survey's estimate that we are using. However, it seems reasonable to assume that these undocumented aliens are undercounted and less likely than other Hispanics to have insurance coverage.

Table 2: Estimates of Types of Health Insurance Coverage by Race/Ethnicity, 1989

<u>Type of Insurance</u>	<u>Percent of population covered^a</u>		
	<u>White</u>	<u>Black</u>	<u>Hispanic^b</u>
Private	78%	54%	50%
Medicare	14	10	6
Medicaid	6	23	15
Other public Insurance	4	4	3
Uninsured	13	19	33

^aFigures do not total 100 because persons may have more than one type of insurance coverage.

^bHispanic persons may be of any race.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, 1990.

Now let me turn to the results of our study. The first question you asked us to examine was why the Hispanic population has a higher rate of noninsurance for health care than other racial/ethnic groups in the United States. We have looked at this question in terms of several factors: (1) employment, (2) type of employer, and (3) income.

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH HIGH RATES OF NONINSURANCE AMONG HISPANICS

Employment

Table 3 shows that Hispanic families are more likely to be uninsured than either white or black families, and this is true regardless of whether there is an adult worker in the family. While whites are much more likely to be uninsured if there is no adult worker in the family, this is less true for blacks and essentially not true at all for Hispanics.

Table 3: Percent of Family Members Under Age 65 Who Are Uninsured, by Race/Ethnicity and Family Employment Status, 1987

<u>Family employment status</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Black</u>	<u>Hispanic</u>
An adult worker employed	13%	24%	35%
No adult worker employed	26	29	36

Source: National Center for Health Services Research and Health Care Technology Assessment, NMES, Round 1, 1987.

Employed persons can be insured either through private insurance--offered by employers or purchased by the worker--or by public insurance. Table 4 shows that the big difference between employed persons in the different racial and ethnic groups is in the extent of private insurance coverage. In families with adult workers, only fifty-seven percent of Hispanics compared with 69 percent of blacks and 84 percent of whites have private insurance coverage. If Hispanic families with adult workers had the same rate of coverage through private and public insurance that whites have, the overall rate of noninsurance for persons in Hispanic families would have been 18 instead of 35 percent. (The comparable figure for persons in white families is 14 percent.)

Table 4: Health Insurance Status of Family Members Under age 65, by Race/Ethnicity and Family Employment Status, 1987^a

<u>Family employment status</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Black</u>	<u>Hispanic</u>
An adult worker employed			
Any Private insurance	84%	69%	57%
Public insurance	3	7	8
Uninsured	13	24	35
Total	100	100	100
No adult worker employed			
Any Private insurance	47	12	10
Public insurance	27	59	54
Uninsured	26	29	36
Total	100	100	100
In all families			
Any Private insurance	82	49	47
Public insurance	6	25	18
Uninsured	14	26	35
Total	102	100	100

^aFigures may not total 100 because of rounding.

Source: National Center for Health Services Research and Health Care Technology Assessment, NMES, Round 1, 1987.

Notably, 78 percent of Hispanic family members under age 65 who are uninsured are in families with an adult worker. If a way could be found to increase private insurance through employers without causing negative effects on employment, this would be a highly effective single measure for reducing the large number of uninsured persons.

Table 4 also examines insurance status for families with no adult worker employed. In this situation, coverage by private insurers is much lower, reflecting the fact that most such coverage occurs as an employee benefit. Very few Hispanic families with no adult worker employed have private insurance, which is not the case for whites, and Medicaid and other public insurance makes up for only part of this difference.

Industry

Type of employment is also associated with health insurance coverage in that certain industries are more likely to provide (1) health insurance benefits to employees and/or (2) higher wages with which to purchase insurance. In comparison with whites and blacks, a greater proportion of Hispanics are employed in industries that are less likely to provide health insurance coverage (for example, personal services and agriculture). (See table 5.) Conversely, Hispanics are less likely than whites or blacks to be employed in

industries that routinely provide such coverage (for example, manufacturing, professional services, and public administration).

Table 5: Percent of Racial/Ethnic Population Employed in Industries by Level of Insurance Coverage, 1987

<u>Category</u>	<u>Percent of workers employed</u>		
	<u>White</u>	<u>Black</u>	<u>Hispanic</u>
Industries with high rates of uninsured employees (30-32%) ^a	15%	12%	20%
Industries with moderate rates of uninsured employees (21-22%) ^b	29	23	29
Industries with low rates of uninsured employees (7-11%) ^c	58	65	50

^aPersonal services, construction, agriculture, and entertainment

^bRepair services and sales

^cProfessional services, manufacturing, mining, transportation/communication/utilities, financial services, public administration

Sources: National Medical Expenditure Survey, 1987, and U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1991

Income

Income is, of course, also strongly related to lack of health insurance coverage in the United States. Among Hispanics, we have seen this reflected, in part in the higher rate of private insurance coverage among those families that had an adult worker compared with those that did not. An additional linkage of income to insurance can be seen by examining only those persons who were employed year-round, full-time, with incomes above and below the poverty level. Table 6 shows that employed Hispanic males with incomes above the poverty level had much higher rates of private insurance than did employed Hispanic males with incomes below the poverty level (67 versus 31 percent). Conversely, those Hispanic males with lower incomes were twice as likely to be uninsured (64 versus 30 percent). The higher income Hispanic males were probably both more likely to have insurance coverage through their employers and more likely to be able to afford private health insurance when it was not offered by their employers.

Table 6: Insurance Coverage of Those Hispanic Males Aged 16-64 Who Worked Year-Round, Full-Time, by Income Level, 1989

<u>Coverage Status</u>	<u>Above poverty line</u>		<u>Below poverty line</u>	
	<u>Number^a</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number^a</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Insured				
Private insurance	2,310	67%	81	31%
Public insurance	125	4	13	5
Uninsured	1,031	30	169	65
Total	3,466	100	263	101

^aIn thousands

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, 1990.

Experts whom we interviewed told us that it is not uncommon for persons to receive employer-related health benefits for themselves but not their families. The problem here is that, because of their low incomes, Hispanic persons are often less able to purchase additional coverage for their families. This problem is further exacerbated by the fact that, on average, Hispanics have larger families than non-Hispanics and, therefore, more persons for whom to purchase extended coverage.

Summary

While older Hispanics are almost all covered by Medicare or other health insurance, we found that about 35 percent of younger Hispanics are without insurance coverage. About 78 percent of this uninsured group are employed. We found that Hispanics are somewhat more likely to have jobs that are less likely to offer insurance coverage. Also, working Hispanics with low incomes--those below the poverty line--were much more likely to be uninsured than those with higher incomes. This situation probably reflects income differences among employment sectors, differential coverage between occupational levels, and differing capacity to afford the purchase of individual health insurance policies.

DIFFERENTIAL RATES OF COVERAGE BETWEEN THE VARIOUS HISPANIC
SUBGROUPS

The disparities that exist between the Hispanic and non-Hispanic populations are also evident within the Hispanic community--a population that is by no means homogeneous. Although the data are not always clear, it is generally believed that more than one third of the Mexican-American population (37 percent) was not insured in 1989 compared with 16 percent of the Puerto Rican and 20 percent of the Cuban-American population. As table 7 also indicates, Cuban-Americans are more likely than Mexican-Americans and Puerto Ricans to have private health insurance. However, because Puerto Ricans are more than twice as likely as the other subgroups to have Medicaid coverage, they have the lowest rate of noninsurance.

Table 7: Distribution of Type of Insurance for Hispanics, by Subgroup, 1989^a

<u>Type of Insurance</u>	<u>Mexican American</u>	<u>Puerto Rican</u>	<u>Cuban American</u>
Private	44%	44%	56%
Medicare	3	4	10
Medicaid	14	33	12
Other Public	3	5	2
Uninsured	37	16	20


^aFigures do not total 100 because persons may have more than one type of insurance coverage.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, 1989

We found that, as it did for the Hispanic population as a whole, type of employment also plays a role in the health insurance disparities among Hispanic subgroups. In 1990, Mexican-Americans were less likely to hold managerial and professional positions (10 percent) than either Puerto Ricans (16 percent) or Cuban-Americans (23 percent). Moreover, 8 percent of Mexican-Americans held farming, forestry, or fishing jobs, compared with only 2 percent of Puerto Ricans and 1 percent of Cuban-Americans. It is likely that these differences in occupation have contributed to the disparities in private health insurance coverage. (See table 7.)

Income disparities are also evident among Hispanic subgroups. In 1989, of all persons with earnings, 44 percent of Mexican-Americans earned less than \$10,000, compared with 32 percent of Puerto Ricans and 32 percent of Cuban-Americans. In addition, 28 percent of Mexican-Americans and 33 percent of Puerto Ricans lived in poverty, compared with 15 percent of Cuban-Americans. (See figure 2.) Thus, it is clear that, as a group, Cuban-Americans are more economically advantaged--and thus more able to purchase health insurance--than either Mexican-Americans or Puerto Ricans.

Figure 2: Percent of Persons Living in Poverty, by Hispanic Subgroup, 1989



Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, 1990

PUBLIC HEALTH INSURANCE: MEDICARE AND MEDICAID

You asked us to address the extent to which Medicare and Medicaid serve the Hispanic population. I will first present our findings for Medicare, and then discuss Medicaid.

Medicare

We found that Medicare coverage for the elderly is nearly universal in the United States, with 96 percent of persons aged 65 or over having coverage. The racial/ethnic breakdown is similar: 96 percent of elderly whites, 95 percent of elderly blacks, and 91 percent of elderly Hispanics are covered by Medicare. The reason for this widespread coverage is that Medicare eligibility is relatively straightforward. Persons over the age of 65 who are eligible to receive monthly Social Security benefits are automatically entitled to receive Medicare. However, although coverage is nearly universal, it remains true that 4 percent of elderly Hispanics (about 42,000 people) are not covered by Medicare or any other health insurance.

Medicaid

In explaining the lack of access to Medicaid among Hispanics, both the literature and the experts we interviewed point to the stringent eligibility criteria in a number of states with high

concentrations of Hispanics. Since eligibility criteria for Medicaid are determined, within federal guidelines, by each state, the criteria vary dramatically across states. Two of the most restrictive are Texas and Florida states, in which about 3 of every 10 Hispanics in the United States reside.

There are two broad classes of eligibility under Medicaid: categorically needy and medically needy. Categorically needy persons are generally those who qualify for assistance under the Aid for Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) or the Supplemental Security Income programs. They are automatically eligible for Medicaid. At the option of each state, Medicaid eligibility may be extended to medically needy persons, including certain groups (for example, the aged, the blind, families with dependent children, and so on) whose income or resources are in excess of the qualification standards for the categorically needy.

Table 8 illustrates the eligibility criteria for enrolling in Medicaid through AFDC and the medically needy program in those states in which most Hispanics reside. For instance, in California, a family of three must earn less than 79.1 percent of the federal poverty-line income to qualify for Medicaid through AFDC. In contrast, a family of three in Texas must earn less than 21.9 percent of the federal poverty-line income to qualify through the same program. Thus, in 1989, a family of three that earned \$6,500 (61 percent of the poverty-line income of \$10,600) would

have qualified for Medicaid through AFDC in California but not in Texas .

Table 8: Medicaid Eligibility Criteria for a Family of Three in Selected States, 1989

<u>State</u>	<u>AFDC eligibility</u>		<u>Medically needy eligibility</u>	
	<u>As a percent of poverty</u>	<u>Rank^a</u>	<u>As a percent of poverty</u>	<u>Rank^b</u>
Arizona	35.0%	38	c	c
California	79.1	1	106.4%	1
Florida	34.2	41	45.7	26
Illinois	40.8	33	54.6	20
New Jersey	50.6	17	67.5	12
New York	64.3	7	84.6	6
New Mexico	31.5	44	c	c
Texas	21.9	49	31.8	33

^aRank is based on the eligibility criteria of the 50 states. Higher rank indicates less stringent criteria.

^bOut of a total of 35 states that have a program for the medically needy.

^cArizona and New Mexico have no medically needy program.

Under medically needy programs, Medicaid eligibility may be extended to persons whose incomes are in excess of the income limit applicable under the categorically needy program. However, these persons must either "spend down" or accumulate enough medical expenses to deduct from their income to meet eligibility criteria. As shown in table 8, the income criteria vary across those states where many Hispanics reside, with California having the least stringent criteria, and Arizona and New Mexico not having a medically needy program at all.

The differences in eligibility criteria across states largely explain the discrepancy in Medicaid coverage across the Hispanic subgroups. For instance, as I noted previously, Mexican-Americans and Puerto Ricans both have high rates of poverty and low median incomes. However, Puerto Ricans (concentrated in New York and New Jersey) are much more likely than Mexican-Americans (with a substantial population in Texas) to meet the Medicaid eligibility criteria. As a result, a higher proportion of Puerto Ricans than Mexican-Americans are eligible for Medicaid.

I should point out that, although the greatest proportion of Mexican-Americans (41.6 percent) reside in California, and although California has the least stringent eligibility criteria in the nation, nevertheless, with over 30 percent of the Mexican-American population residing in Texas, the Texas Medicaid policies play a major role in restricting health care coverage for that group.

It is also the case that making the eligibility criteria less restrictive in order to include all persons below the poverty line would still leave many working people--who earn more than the poverty-line income, but not enough to afford health insurance--without coverage. The situation in California is a perfect example of this hole in the health insurance safety net. Despite California's less restrictive criteria, 23 percent (6 million persons) of the nonelderly population of California were uninsured in 1989. Only Texas, the District of Columbia, New Mexico, and Oklahoma had higher rates of noninsurance. Being employed in low-wage jobs that do not provide health insurance has been identified as one of the factors that contribute to California's noninsurance rate. Thus, raising the Medicaid thresholds closer to the poverty line would still leave many working persons uninsured.

In sum, Hispanics in general, and Mexican-Americans in particular, have difficulty gaining access to Medicaid because of the stringency in state Medicaid policies. While they suffer from similar economic disadvantages, Puerto Ricans are better able to gain access to this public health insurance program by virtue of their place of residence, thus ensuring some measure of health insurance coverage for this population. However, even states with less restrictive Medicaid policies, such as California, have difficulties providing health insurance coverage to the large

proportion of people who do not meet the criteria and still cannot afford health insurance.

Nonfinancial Barriers to Medicaid Access

We discussed the issues involved in gaining access to Medicaid with Medicaid officials in Texas, New York, and Florida. In addition to the low income-eligibility criteria in Texas and Florida noted earlier, the officials cited the complexity of the Medicaid system as a principal barrier to Medicaid access. There are numerous avenues to Medicaid enrollment that may involve such factors as family income, financial assets, family composition, age of children, and medical need. For instance, in Texas, there are nearly 10 different programs for Medicaid enrollment, each with its own criteria for eligibility (for example, pregnant women with incomes up to 133 percent of the poverty line; children born before January 2, 1982, who are eligible for AFDC; children born before October 1, 1983, with incomes between the AFDC and medically needy criteria; and so on). Officials in Texas told us that the Medicaid caseworkers engage in 4 weeks of training just to learn the eligibility criteria and how to communicate them to potential recipients.

Medicaid officials stated that they find it difficult to "market" Medicaid because of its complexity. For instance, officials in Texas said that it is difficult to enroll people in

the medically needy program because people do not want to accumulate medical bills before being reimbursed. They also noted that it is difficult to effectively communicate to people that they may not be eligible for Medicaid at the present time, but that they may be eligible in the future (for example, if a woman becomes pregnant). New York state officials noted that the process of enrolling people is complicated and burdensome, and that standing in line for a full day at the Medicaid office does not compete favorably with the practical alternative of receiving free care in an emergency room or a community health center.

Finally, let me turn to the issue of the data that are available, and are currently being collected, and how adequate a profile they provide of the health status of Hispanics in the United States.

DATA ON HISPANIC HEALTH

The health status of any group, including Hispanics, can be examined by looking at data on the mortality and morbidity of that group. Our discussions with experts revealed their general view that there is a lack of comprehensive data to assess the health status and needs of the Hispanic population. Nevertheless, they identified the following sources of data that do provide some useful information on the health status of the Hispanic population: (1) the census and the Current Population Survey, (2) vital

statistics, (3) Medicare and Medicaid, and (4) special surveys conducted by the Center for Disease Control and the National Center for Health Statistics, such as the Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, the National Health and Examination Survey, the National Medical Expenditure Survey, and the National Health Interview Survey.

Census data are necessary for an accurate description of the population, since they are the principal source for determining the total number of people residing in the United States. This information is critical for calculating the rates of disease and death in a given population. However, the census has been criticized for undercounting minorities in general, and Hispanics in particular. Further, the census is a decennial count and cannot provide the accurate, up-to-date information needed to keep abreast of conditions in a growing, highly mobile segment of the population. This limitation is partly overcome by a monthly survey, called the Current Population Survey, which investigates about 60,000 households.

The Current Population Survey depends on a sampling procedure. However, because Hispanics are a small proportion of that sample, subgroup data often represent a very small number of actual interviews. As a result, such information often remains unpublished because the sample sizes are too small to provide reliable estimates for Hispanic subgroups.

Mortality Statistics

Mortality statistics provide a readily available indicator of the frequency of occurrence of diseases leading to death in the population. They are routinely collected through the vital statistics registration system of the National Center for Health Statistics. As of 1988, only 30 states included an Hispanic identifier on their death certificate form. However, even among those states, poor reporting on the Hispanic origin item on the death certificate precludes the use of vital statistics for analytical purposes or for a general health assessment of the Hispanic population.

Morbidity Statistics

Morbidity statistics are another source for determining the health status of the population. There are two primary ways such information is collected: (1) administrative records of Medicare and Medicaid, and (2) special surveys.

Medicare and Medicaid require providers of health care to submit information on the morbidity of the population groups they serve for program management and reimbursement purposes. These data bases provide information on episodes of individual patient care such as the patient's demographic information, diagnosis, procedures performed, and charges. To the extent that such files

contain information on the use and content of health services, they are useful in developing health profiles. However, they frequently lack critical patient level information. There is often an absence of validation of many data elements. In addition, while coverage of the elderly in the Medicare program is nearly universal, the Medicaid data base is limited to only those individuals who meet the eligibility criteria. These data cannot provide health profiles of those who are not covered. Moreover, data on Hispanic origin are not reliably reported by all states. Thus, their use actually be misleading, and may point to erroneous conclusions about the health status of the Hispanic population.

Special Surveys

In recent years, several surveys have been conducted to gather information on the health status of the Hispanic population. The Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (HHANES) is probably the most comprehensive survey of the status of Hispanic health in the United States. Conducted for the first time by the National Center for Health Statistics from 1982 through 1984, HHANES provides information on demographics, economic conditions, health insurance coverage, health services use and satisfaction, acculturation, and assessments of health. However, there has been no follow-up to the original survey.

The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), conducted every ten years by the National Center for Health Statistics, provides comprehensive information on the health and nutrition status of the U.S. population that includes extensive medical and nutritional examinations. The sample sizes of Hispanic respondents, however, were never large enough to provide separate estimates for the Hispanic population as a whole, or for the subgroups.

The National Medical Expenditure Survey (NMES) is conducted every 8 to 10 years by what is now known as the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, with the most recent survey having been conducted in 1987. It collects information on health services utilization, expenditures, insurance coverage, and estimates of persons with functional disabilities and impairments. NMES oversamples the Hispanic population, allowing for national estimates of Hispanics, as well as of the Mexican-American, Puerto Rican, and Cuban-American subgroups.

The National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) is conducted annually by the National Center for Health Statistics and contains information about the prevalence, distribution, and effects of illness and disability in the United States. The most recent NHIS, conducted in 1989, oversampled Mexican-Americans, allowing for more precise national estimates concerning this Hispanic subgroup. It did not, however, oversample the remaining subgroups.

The Center for Disease Control collects and maintain records on abortion, AIDS, congenital anomalies, rubella, nosocomial infection, tuberculosis, and other conditions that may have a preventable component. As with the collection and recording of vital statistics, the responsibility for reporting disease is legally vested in the individual states. The list of diseases that must be reported and exact procedures for reporting vary somewhat from state to state. While there is some underreporting by physicians of certain diseases that carry a social stigma, such data are important for an understanding of community health. For example, the Center for Disease Control data have been very useful in determining the incidence of AIDS in various groups, including Hispanics.

In summary, no existing data base currently provides accurate, complete, and available data on the entire Hispanic population, including subgroups, residing in the United States.

GAO SITE VISITS

As noted previously, we visited El Paso, New York City, and Miami, to develop a more in-depth knowledge of the issues involved in health insurance coverage. In each of these cities, we met with officials from the local Medicaid offices, as well as officials from a public hospital and a community health center. Although officials from each city noted concerns particular to that

location, there was a high degree of consensus regarding the health care needs of Hispanics and barriers to health care for this population. All stated that a primary barrier was the shortage of physicians serving Hispanic communities. This problem was especially acute in El Paso, where only 30 of the city's 800 physicians (4 percent) maintain practices in the poorest part of the city--an area that houses 170,000 people (32 percent of the El Paso population).

This shortage of physicians is also related to the dearth of primary care facilities available to the Hispanic community. Again, this problem was especially acute in El Paso, where there are only two federally funded community health centers to serve the entire county. This shortage of primary care facilities results in a situation in which patients go to the community health centers or to the hospital emergency rooms in advanced stages of illness. This, in turn, makes treatment both more difficult and more expensive. Of particular concern is the high rate of diabetes among Hispanics, as well as the secondary complications that arise because of delayed treatment. Officials also noted the high degree of complications for women and children because of inadequate prenatal care.

Finally, I should note the special health concerns of the colonias in Texas. Colonias are unincorporated subdivisions on the outskirts of El Paso, along the Mexican border. The colonias

present a special health concern because many inhabitants of these communities do not have running water, sewers, or septic systems. Hand-dug wells may supply water to the families, but the wells are often too shallow and too close to outhouses. In addition, it is not uncommon for families to dump their waste into nearby irrigation ditches. As a result, families in the colonias are at a high risk of infection from drinking water that they or their neighbors have contaminated.

In summary, despite the vast differences between the Hispanic communities in Texas, New York, and Florida, we found that they share many similar health problems. Of particular concern is the shortage of health care providers who offer primary care to Hispanics. All the officials we interviewed noted that timely and adequate care in the early stages of sickness and disease would not only alleviate many medical problems, but would also reduce the fiscal problems currently draining public health care systems. Health care delayed exacerbates both medical problems and their costs.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on our review of the literature, interviews with experts in the area of Hispanic health, and site visits to locations with large concentrations of Hispanics, we have reported several critical findings.

First, many Hispanics, and particularly Mexican-Americans and Puerto Ricans, do not have private health insurance coverage because they are employed in jobs that do not provide such coverage and because their incomes are too low to allow them to purchase private health insurance coverage. If Hispanic workers had the same rate of health insurance coverage as white workers, the overall rate of noninsurance among members of Hispanic families would have been 18 instead of 35 percent in 1989.

Second, because many Hispanics are concentrated in states with stringent Medicaid eligibility criteria, they have difficulty gaining access to this public health-insurance program. This problem is particularly acute for Mexican-Americans, who experience approximately the same economic disadvantages as Puerto Ricans but whose rate of Medicaid coverage is much lower.

Third, despite recent efforts to collect data on Hispanic health, experts agree that the health status of Hispanics, especially Hispanic subgroups, is imprecisely known and has thus far been insufficiently analyzed. As a result, a comprehensive view of the morbidity and mortality trends of different Hispanic subgroups is not available at this time.

The high rate of noninsurance among Hispanics--and the paucity of data on Hispanic health--are especially troublesome in light of the information about Hispanics that is available. It is known,

for instance, that Hispanics experience a high degree of morbidity. Experts agree that diseases such as type II diabetes--an illness that strikes a younger age group and often leads to secondary complications such as blindness and amputation--can be ameliorated if treatment is accessible and timely. Initial steps toward achieving a more rational health care delivery process for the Hispanic population clearly involve more adequate health insurance coverage (both private and public), expanded neighborhood access to primary care, better sanitation in specific locations, and major improvements in available data to allow appropriate planning and evaluation.

Mr. Chairmen, this concludes my remarks. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have.