

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL

Date of transcription 2/2/98

ISABELE YOUNG WATKINS, Executive Assistant to U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, BILL RICHARDSON, was made available for interview by her attorney, FREDERICK P. HAFETZ, at the law firm GOLDMAN & HAFETZ, 500 Fifth Ave., New York, NY. HAFETZ was present during the interview. Both were advised of the purpose of the interview and the official identity of Office of Independent Counsel Investigator [REDACTED] and Federal Bureau of Investigation Special Agent [REDACTED]. WATKINS thereafter provided the following information:

WATKINS has been employed in her present position since February 1997. Prior to her current position, WATKINS was BILL RICHARDSON's Chief of Staff in his congressional office from September 1988 to January 1997.

WATKINS summarized her job responsibilities as being RICHARDSON's "gate keeper." If anyone calls RICHARDSON, WATKINS is the one who receives the calls and screens them. Because of the number of years she has worked for RICHARDSON, WATKINS knows who RICHARDSON wants to talk to and who he prefers to talk to at a later time. If RICHARDSON is not in at the time of the call, WATKINS prepares a "call sheet" listing the names of the individuals that called, date, time, number and short message. The call sheet is on their WANG Word Processor and WATKINS removes the names after RICHARDSON has returned the call or RICHARDSON has instructed her to remove the name.

WATKINS also takes care of RICHARDSON's scheduling, especially his visits to Washington D.C., since she worked in RICHARDSON's Washington, D.C., office for over ten years. WATKINS will normally make the initial calls to set up RICHARDSON's appointments. RICHARDSON's day is normally very busy with three or four events plus a number of face-to-face meetings. WATKINS described RICHARDSON as a "hands on" type person.

Most of the employees in the U.S. Mission are foreign service employees. There are also some civil servants, contract employees and political appointment positions. WATKINS' position is considered a political appointment position. Contract employees are hired to do specific tasks and are normally hired to assist when the General Assembly is in session. WATKINS

Investigation on 1/30/98 at New York City, NY File # 29D-LR-35063

by CI [REDACTED] Date dictated 2/2/98

29D-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of ISABELE YOUNG WATKINS , On 1/30/98 , Page 2

estimated that, during the General Assembly sessions, the Mission may have a staff of up to 300 employees. During this past October and November of 1997, Ambassador Richardson has been exceptionally busy, since during those months he was designated to be President of the Security Council.

Prior to mid-January 1998, WATKINS had two assistants, DEBBY NELSON, who has worked for the State Department for approximately 20 years, and FIONA HIGGINS, a contract employee, who left in mid-January to work for another ambassador.

Almost every week, RICHARDSON has three meetings in SANDY BERGER's office in the White House, one on Tuesday afternoon, another on Wednesday morning, and the third on Friday afternoon. Rather than flying back to New York and returning the next day, RICHARDSON normally stays in Washington D.C., at the WATERGATE HOTEL (where he maintains a suite for his use while in Washington) on Tuesday evenings.

In mid-October 1997, RICHARDSON came back to New York from one of his Washington D.C. meetings and mentioned to WATKINS that he ran into JOHN PODESTA while in Washington D.C. PODESTA told RICHARDSON that there was someone RICHARDSON should consider for a job within the U.N. Mission. RICHARDSON told WATKINS to "keep an eye out" for this person's resume that PODESTA was going to send to RICHARDSON's New York office. RICHARDSON receives a number of resumes from a number of different sources. WATKINS did not think it was unusual for PODESTA to be recommending someone to RICHARDSON because when ERSKINE BOWLES took over as White House Chief of Staff, he gave PODESTA the responsibility of handling the personnel selection for the White House.

WATKINS never received a fax or letter from PODESTA's office and did not follow up on the matter until a couple days later (but still before RICHARDSON's Congo trip) when RICHARDSON asked her if she had received the faxed resume that PODESTA was going to send them. WATKINS responded that she had not received it. RICHARDSON asked WATKINS to contact PODESTA's office to inquire about it. WATKINS called PODESTA's office and thought she probably spoke to PODESTA's secretary, SARAH Last Name Unknown (LNU). From WATKINS' conversation with SARAH, it appeared that SARAH did not know about the resume. SARAH told WATKINS that she would talk to PODESTA about the resume. It is

29D-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of ISABELE YOUNG WATKINS , On 1/30/98 , Page 3

WATKINS' recollection that at the time of her call to SARAH, neither of them had heard of the name MONICA LEWINSKY.

Later the same day, someone called back to verify the correct fax number, and then the office received a fax of LEWINSKY's resume. WATKINS did not recall there being a cover sheet, but knew it was from PODESTA's office because of the telephone prefix number (designates the White House) that was printed on the top of the fax. After receiving the fax, WATKINS thought she probably took it to MONA SUTPHEN. WATKINS vaguely recalls discussing with SUTPHEN whether they could arrange for RICHARDSON to meet with LEWINSKY during one of his weekly trips to Washington, D.C. WATKINS contacted LEWINSKY and scheduled the interview, but had to reschedule it because of RICHARDSON's trip to the Congo.

RICHARDSON returned to Washington, D.C., from his trip to the Congo on October 30, 1997. WATKINS initially scheduled LEWINSKY's interview with RICHARDSON for approximately 8:30 a.m. or 9:00 a.m., on October 31, 1997. Because of some changes in RICHARDSON's calendar, WATKINS had to reschedule the interview for 7:30 a.m., on October 31, 1997, at RICHARDSON's suite at the WATERGATE HOTEL. WATKINS' recollection is that it was arranged for SUTPHEN to meet LEWINSKY in the lobby of the WATERGATE HOTEL and SUTPHEN would take her up to RICHARDSON's suite for the interview. WATKINS was in New York on the date of LEWINSKY's interview. WATKINS had no further conversations with LEWINSKY.

If there were any discussions about what possible positions may be available on RICHARDSON's staff for LEWINSKY, WATKINS was not involved in them. After LEWINSKY's interview, WATKINS had no further discussions with RICHARDSON about LEWINSKY. The only other time WATKINS can recall talking to SUTPHEN about LEWINSKY was sometime in November 1997, when WATKINS was in SUTPHEN's office discussing the staffing of RICHARDSON's Washington, D.C., office. The office only had a small staff and a couple of them were leaving. WATKINS was concerned about the staffing problem in the Washington, D.C., office and thought that LEWINSKY may have been considered to replace one of the staff that was leaving. WATKINS asked SUTPHEN about LEWINSKY's status and SUTPHEN replied that she had talked to LEWINSKY and LEWINSKY decided she wanted a job in the private

29D-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of ISABELE YOUNG WATKINS , On 1/30/98 , Page 4

sector. This was the last discussion WATKINS had with anyone concerning LEWINSKY until LEWINSKY's name appeared in the press.

When LEWINSKY's name was mentioned in the press, WATKINS did not initially connect her name with the person RICHARDSON interviewed on October 31, 1997. It was not until KATHY LNU (assigned to the Protocol Section), brought it to WATKINS' attention that LEWINSKY's name was listed on RICHARDSON's schedule for October 31, 1997, that WATKINS connected LEWINSKY's name with the interview she had previously set up. Either on the day KATHY LNU talked to her or the next day, President CLINTON and LEWINSKY's picture together was shown in the press. WATKINS later talked to MONA SUTPHEN and REBECCA COOPER about LEWINSKY. Discussions with SUTPHEN and COOPER were related to recalling the date and time of LEWINSKY's interview with RICHARDSON. WATKINS never had any discussions about LEWINSKY with RICHARDSON after LEWINSKY's name was mentioned in the press.

WATKINS is not aware of President CLINTON, VERNON JORDAN, BETTY CURRIE, DEBBIE SCHIFF or ERSKINE BOWLES, having any involvement in recommending or setting up LEWINSKY's interview with RICHARDSON. WATKINS has not had any discussions with RICHARDSON regarding his statement that was released to the press.

WATKINS advised that a log is kept of all outgoing calls. The logs are kept by the four digit extensions. WATKINS provided the following extensions:

██████████
 ██████████
 ██████████

WATKINS' telephone
 RICHARDSON's telephone, although
 WATKINS uses it on occasion.
 MONA SUTPHEN

WATKINS heard of no discussions about making LEWINSKY her assistant or Ambassador RICHARDSON's personal secretary in New York. It was WATKINS' opinion that they had no vacancies in this area in the New York office. WATKINS described RICHARDSON as a "micro manager" who likes to interview all political appointees himself. WATKINS expressed the view that she wishes he wouldn't try to interview all appointees. There have been occasions in the past that RICHARDSON hired someone for a position that did not exist. WATKINS or someone else would have

29D-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of ISABELE YOUNG WATKINS, On 1/30/98, Page 5

to recontact the person to inform them that the position was not available or would not be available for at least several months.

WATKINS does not recall any involvement of PETER BURLEIGH, Chief Deputy Ambassador, in the interview process of MONICA LEWINSKY; however, he is the person who would be involved in the creation of a job description and the matching of an appropriate salary.

WATKINS is described as follows from observation and interview:

Name: [REDACTED]
Sex: [REDACTED]
Race: [REDACTED]
DOB: [REDACTED]
SSAN: [REDACTED]
Home Address: [REDACTED]
Home Telephone: [REDACTED]
Business Telephone: [REDACTED]
Business Fax Number: [REDACTED]

Isabelle Watkins, 5/27/98

Deposition

Page 2 to Page

CONDENSED TRANSCRIPT AND CONCORDANCE
PREPARED BY:

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 490-North
Washington, DC 20004
Phone: 202-514-8688
FAX: 202-514-8802

Page 2

Page 5

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL
 DEPOSITION OF : Wednesday, May 27, 1998
 ISABELLE WATKINS : Washington, D. C.
 Videotaped Deposition of
 ISABELLE WATKINS
 before the Independent Counsel, held at the law offices of
 Dickstein, Shapiro, Morin & Oshinsky, 2101 L Street, N.W.,
 Washington, D. C. 20037, beginning at 10:12 a.m., when were
 present:
 For the Independent Counsel:
 THOMAS H. BIENERT, JR., ESQ.
 Associate Independent Counsel
 CRAIG S. LERNER, ESQ.
 Associate Independent Counsel
 Videographer: Craig W. Murphy
 Court Reporter: Elizabeth A. Eastman

Q All right. Now, what we are doing here today is we
 are doing a video deposition of you in lieu of a grand jury
 appearance, and let me explain what we mean by that. In
 essence, what we are doing is, although you are not
 physically appearing before the grand jury, we are doing
 everything in a manner today consistent with what would
 happen if you were in front of the grand jury. The
 anticipation is that the videotape made of this deposition
 will be shown to the grand jury at some point. Do you
 understand that?
 A Yes.
 Q So, let me go ahead and explain to you what your
 rights and obligations are before a grand jury, since those
 are sort of the rules of the road that will control. Okay?
 A Uh-huh.
 Q All right.
 A Yes. Sorry.
 Q That's okay. Now, first of all, you have a couple
 of important rights when you appear before a grand jury. You
 have a Fifth Amendment right not to incriminate yourself.
 And what that means is, you have a right to refuse to answer
 any questions if you believe in good faith that the answer
 could subject you to criminal liability. Do you understand
 that?
 A Yes.

Page 3

Page 6

PROCEEDINGS
 VIDEOGRAPHER: My name is Craig W. Murphy and I am
 employed by Deposition Services, Incorporated. The date
 today is May 27th, 1998. The time is approximately (10:12)
 a.m.
 This deposition is being held at 2101 L Street,
 N.W., Washington, D. C. The name of the witness is Ms.
 Isabelle Watkins. This deposition of Ms. Watkins is being
 taken by the Office of the Independent Counsel In Re: Grand
 Jury Investigation.
 At this time, the attorneys will identify
 themselves.
 MR. BIENERT: My name is Thomas H. Bienert, Jr.
 MR. LERNER: Craig S. Lerner.
 VIDEOGRAPHER: At this time the court reporter will
 identify herself and swear in the witness, please.
 COURT REPORTER: My name is Elizabeth Eastman.
 WHEREUPON,
 ISABELLE WATKINS
 having been called for examination by the Office of the
 Independent Counsel, and having been first duly sworn by the
 notary, was examined and testified as follows:
 EXAMINATION BY OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL
 BY MR. BIENERT:
 Q Ma'am, my name is Tom Bienert and this is Craig

Q Do you have any questions about your Fifth
 Amendment right?
 A No.
 Q You also have the right to counsel. Now, the way
 that works in a grand jury setting is your attorney would not
 be allowed to be in the room with you in front of the grand
 jury, or for purposes of today in this deposition room, but
 you are absolutely entitled to be represented by counsel.
 You can have your counsel right outside the door and you
 would be able to consult with your counsel at any time during
 the proceeding. Do you understand that?
 A Yes.
 Q And, as I understand it, you are represented by
 counsel today, correct?
 A Yes.
 Q And is counsel's name Justin Simon?
 A Yes.
 Q And, in fact, we are at Mr. Simon's offices here
 today at Dickstein, Shapiro, correct?
 A Yes.
 Q And do you understand that if there is any point
 during the questioning by Mr. Lerner or myself that you would
 want to consult with Mr. Simon you are free to do so?
 A Yes.
 Q Okay. Do you have any questions about your right

Page 4

Page 7

Lerner. The two of us are Associate Independent Counsel.
 Basically we are attorneys working at the Independent
 Counsel's Office. I know you have also spoken, I believe, to
 some people from the office awhile back. Do you recall that?
 A Yes.
 Q What we are going to do today is, we are going to
 go ahead and ask you some more questions, but the format is a
 little different. And I would like to at least explain to
 you some of the important rights and obligations you have.
 Okay?
 A (Witness nodded indicating an affirmative
 response.)
 Q First of all, what I would ask that you do is,
 since the court reporter has to make sure that we get
 everything down as she is going to make a verbatim transcript
 of what is said, it is important that instead of nodding your
 head or using gestures, you speak out loud with words. Okay?
 A Yes.
 Q Second thing is, in order to make it easier for her
 to get everything down and so that it is easier when people
 view the videotape to know what was being said, it is
 important that we try not to talk over one another. So, what
 I will do is I will try not to speak when you are speaking,
 and I would ask that you do the same. Is that fair?
 A Yes.

to counsel?
 A No.
 Q Okay. Now, finally, you have an extremely
 important obligation, and that is to tell the truth. Because
 this is a proceeding that is in relation to a duly empaneled
 grand jury, and because you've just taken an oath
 administered by the court reporter, everything that you say
 here today is subject to the penalty of perjury.
 Now, perjury is a crime. And, in essence, what
 perjury means is that if you were to knowingly make a false
 statement, or you were to knowingly fail to give information
 that you knew you had responsive to a material question,
 you've committed the crime of perjury.
 Do you understand that, ma'am?
 A Yes.
 Q Okay. And do you understand that perjury is a
 criminal offense that, if prosecuted and convicted, could
 subject a person to up to five years in jail and a fine of up
 to \$250,000? Do you understand that?
 A Yes.
 Q Do you have any questions about --
 A I didn't know what the penalties were, but I
 understand.
 Q Okay. And do you have any questions about perjury
 or the concept of what it means?

Page 8

[1] A No.
 [2] Q All right. Then finally, of course, if you ever
 [3] have any questions where you don't understand a question I'm
 [4] asking, feel free to stop me and ask myself or Mr. Lerner for
 [5] clarification. Okay?
 [6] A Yes.
 [7] Q All right. Where do you work?
 [8] A I work at the U.S. Mission to the United Nations.
 [9] Q And how --
 [10] A It's in New York. I'm in the New York office.
 [11] Q How long have you worked there?
 [12] A Since February of last year.
 [13] Q And who do you work for?
 [14] A Ambassador Bill Richardson.
 [15] Q And what is your title and duties?
 [16] A I'm executive assistant, which covers a broad range
 [17] of activities. I do some of the scheduling.
 [18] Q What other types of things do you do for him?
 [19] A I help with the protocol events. I help with
 [20] making sure that he has his briefing materials. I just -- I
 [21] do a little bit of everything really.
 [22] Q And why don't you go ahead and focus our attention
 [23] on Monica Lewinsky. When is the first time that you recall
 [24] hearing anything about Monica Lewinsky or the person that you
 [25] later learned was Monica Lewinsky?

Page 9

[1] A Ambassador Richardson came back from a meeting in
 [2] Washington. He goes there fairly regularly. The general
 [3] rule is he goes to Washington two or three times a week. And
 [4] he came back from one of these visits to Washington and said
 [5] that they had someone that they, the people at the White
 [6] House, mentioned to him in passing -- the meetings are all at
 [7] the White House that he goes to -- that they wanted him to
 [8] consider for a position at USUN, and they were going to fax a
 [9] resume.
 [10] Q Do you know a rough timeframe on when this would
 [11] have happened, when it was that he would have said this to
 [12] you?
 [13] A It would have to be very rough. I'm, I'm assuming
 [14] it had to have been in September or October.
 [15] Q And what --
 [16] A Only because of when the appointment was finally
 [17] made.
 [18] Q And in case you don't have the dates readily in
 [19] your mind, I can tell you for purposes of just kind of
 [20] pinning dates down, I would submit to you that the date on
 [21] which the resume was faxed to your office, at least based on
 [22] the indication on the resume, was October 21st, and that the
 [23] day that the interview actually took place between Ambassador
 [24] Richardson and Ms. Lewinsky in Washington was on October
 [25] 31st, if those help you at all.

Page 10

[1] So, based on those dates, do you still believe this
 [2] probably would have been in September or October?
 [3] A Yes. I, I think that's, you know, reasonably
 [4] accurate. I think that between when the Ambassador mentioned
 [5] to me that he was going to be getting a resume and the actual
 [6] arrival of the resume, there was some delay.
 [7] MR. LERNER: Perhaps we should introduce the
 [8] calendar as an exhibit to assist Ms. Watkins.
 [9] MR. BIENERT: Okay. That would be fine.
 [10] MR. LERNER: This is just a blank calendar.
 [11] (Grand Jury Exhibit IW-1 was
 [12] marked for identification.)
 [13] BY MR. BIENERT:
 [14] Q Yes, that we keep handy in case there is anything
 [15] that you do want to look at. Today we have this marked as
 [16] IW-1, and it shows today's date.
 [17] A Uh-huh.
 [18] Q So, there we are in October. I think that's the
 [19] first one we start with.
 [20] MR. BIENERT: Is that right?
 [21] MR. LERNER: Right.
 [22] BY MR. BIENERT:
 [23] Q Okay. And again, just for purpose of just keeping
 [24] dates in mind, the 21st would have been, it looks like, a
 [25] Tuesday, and the 31st would have been a Friday.

Page 11

[1] Okay. Now, when Ambassador Richardson indicated to
 [2] you, I think the term you used was "they", meaning the White
 [3] House, had mentioned someone that they might want him to
 [4] interview, or asked that he interview, first of all, did he
 [5] indicate who "they" were?
 [6] A As I recall what the Ambassador said to me was that
 [7] he and John Podesta had run into each other in the hall, and
 [8] John said he had someone, that he was going to send a resume.
 [9] I don't think a name was mentioned at all. I'm not sure that
 [10] John knew who it was.
 [11] Q So, your recollection is that Ambassador Richardson
 [12] indicated that it was Mr. Podesta who mentioned this person,
 [13] who later turned out to be Monica Lewinsky, as a possible
 [14] candidate to be interviewed by the UN. Is that correct?
 [15] A I'm sorry. I'm not sure of what your question was.
 [16] Q I just want to clarify. It's your recollection
 [17] that when Ambassador Richardson told you about his meeting in
 [18] the White House where someone mentioned in passing about
 [19] possibly interviewing someone to work with you guys, that it
 [20] was Mr. Podesta that had said that to the Ambassador?
 [21] A What -- can you make it shorter? Can you make your
 [22] question shorter?
 [23] Q Did Ambassador Richardson use the name Podesta as
 [24] the person who asked him to interview Monica Lewinsky?
 [25] A That's what I recall was that the Ambassador said

Page 12

[1] that it was John that he ran into in the hall and mentioned
 [2] this to him. Yes.
 [3] Q The way that the Ambassador mentioned this to you,
 [4] did it suggest to you that he had spoken to more than one
 [5] person, namely, more than Mr. Podesta, about possibly
 [6] interviewing this person?
 [7] A No.
 [8] Q Okay. Now, after being told that by Ambassador
 [9] Richardson, what, if anything, did you do?
 [10] A I was expecting the resume, but it didn't come.
 [11] Q So, what happened next?
 [12] A That's why I'm unsure about the timing, because
 [13] Ambassador Richardson was making a number of trips in this
 [14] interval. And I'm not at all sure whether this could have
 [15] happened before either of his trips out of the country or in
 [16] between the trips. I just don't know.
 [17] In any case, at some point I told Ambassador
 [18] Richardson that I hadn't received the resume, and should I do
 [19] anything about following up.
 [20] Q And what, if anything, did he indicate to you?
 [21] A He suggested that I call John's office and ask if
 [22] they wanted to send the resume, did they still have an
 [23] interest in sending it.
 [24] MR. LERNER: If I could just interrupt for a
 [25] moment.

Page 13

[1] BY MR. LERNER:
 [2] Q From October 12th to October 19th, just to refresh
 [3] your memory, the Ambassador was in Latin America. I don't
 [4] know if you recall him taking a trip to Latin America with
 [5] the President and with Mr. Podesta. Does that --
 [6] A Oh, I know he went on a trip with the Ambassador --
 [7] I mean, with the President.
 [8] Q So, do you think that the first time that you had
 [9] heard that someone would soon be sending a resume, was that
 [10] before the Latin America trip, before October 12th?
 [11] A I would, I would assume that, yes, it was before
 [12] that date.
 [13] Q And do you think that the second time that the
 [14] Ambassador mentioned that someone might be sending a resume
 [15] from the White House, was that immediately after the Latin
 [16] America trip?
 [17] A I'm sorry. Your question has me confused. I don't
 [18] know what first and second times are.
 [19] Q I think you said before, when you met with the FBI
 [20] agents, that there were two times that the Ambassador said
 [21] that someone was going to be sending a resume from the White
 [22] House.
 [23] A No, that's not --
 [24] Q That's not correct?
 [25] A That's not what I said, I don't think. I didn't

Page 14

mean to say that, if I did.
 Q Okay.
 A What I meant to say was that the first time he mentioned, Ambassador Richardson mentioned it to me. The second time, I mentioned to him --
 Q Okay.
 A -- that I had not received it.
 Q And when did --
 A And he then told me to call and ask if they still wanted him to interview this person, that I hadn't received the resume. I thought there was a possibility that they had faxed it and I hadn't gotten it, because that happens too, you know. Sometimes people send you a fax and it gets lost in the process. So, I was just -- I did then call.
 BY MR. BIENERT:
 Q And then I think what Mr. Lerner is -- we are trying to bracket a time. This second instance where the resume was discussed, and you think you raised it with the Ambassador --
 A Uh-huh.
 Q -- do you believe that that would have been after he got back from the Latin American trip, which we believe was around the 19th of October but, of course, before the resume was actually faxed to you?
 A I really can't say when, when it was. My -- we had

Page 15

another trip. We had a trip to Africa in there, too. And I would have to really look at my schedules to know whether there was enough time between the two trips for us to have even discussed this. I really don't know.
 BY MR. LERNER:
 Q The trip to the Congo was October 23rd until roughly October 29th. In other words, Ambassador Richardson came back from Latin America October 19th, which was a Sunday, and he left for the Congo on the 23rd, which I believe is a Sunday -- I'm sorry, which I believe is a Thursday.
 BY MR. BIENERT:
 Q Why don't we do this, because this is confusing. Just to kind of make it easy, what I'm going to do is, I'm marking on Exhibit IW-1. I've drawn arrows from the 12th through the 19th, and I've written "Latin America". And then I'm going to draw an arrow from the 23rd through --
 MR. LERNER: The 29th, roughly.
 BY MR. BIENERT:
 Q We'll just give or take a few days, but we'll start on the 23rd through the 29th with a question mark, and we'll write "Congo", just to kind of make it -- and I'll also indicate on here -- and I'm going to give you this.
 A Okay.
 Q And I'll also indicate on here that the resume --

Page 16

unfortunately the copy I have is cut off, but I'll represent to you that the resume that we have that has the fax information at the top --
 A Okay.
 Q -- going from the White House to your office, has the date of the 21st. So, at least based on the indication on the resume, it was faxed to you on October 21st. So, I'm just going to put the word "Resume" --
 A Okay.
 Q -- on the day of the 21st. So, I'm placing IW-1 back in front of you.
 Obviously, any discussions that you would have had with the Ambassador about the faxing of this resume would have come before the 21st, correct?
 A I would assume that was true, yes.
 Q And I think you told us that you assumed, although you couldn't be positive, but that your best recollection would be that the first time that the Ambassador mentioned you to keep an eye out for this resume would have been prior to the trip to Latin America. So, we're looking at probably before the 12th of October?
 A I would assume that that's correct.
 Q And now I think where we --
 A I just don't remember though. I really -- you know, I don't have any notes that I can go on, the basis of

Page 17

that. I'm just assuming that's true.
 Q That's fine. And, keep in mind, all we want you to do is tell us as accurately as you can. And if you don't remember for sure, that's absolutely appropriate as well.
 Let me ask you this question. Do you have a recollection of when you had the second conversation with the Ambassador about the resume and mentioned to him that you hadn't gotten it yet, should you still be looking out for it, and he indicated to you to place a call to see what was up with the resume; do you believe that there was a significant amount of time that went by between the time you placed that call to the White House and the time that you actually got the resume? Do you think it was a couple of days or was it weeks later that the resume came?
 A No, I think that happened -- the followup, I think, was reasonably quick.
 Q Okay. So then if we kind of come back to the timing of when the Ambassador was back from Latin America, if he was back on the 19th, and then the 20th is the first Monday after that, and the 21st is the day that the resume came, do you believe that it is likely that this second conversation, the one where you asked him whether he wanted you to follow up, would have occurred probably around the 20th?
 A I would -- that would be a conclusion that I would

Page 18

reach, I think, looking at the calendar and so forth. I think that's probably accurate.
 Q Okay. Now, after Ambassador Richardson, in response to your question, said, go ahead and call the White House, that happened?
 A I called John Podesta's office, and I think I spoke -- I do not remember exactly -- I think I spoke with Sara, who is an assistant of his, and asked her if she knew anything about a resume that John was going to send us. And I think she said she really didn't have -- she wasn't exactly sure what it was that John had spoken about, but she would check.
 Q Okay. And then what happened?
 A I got a call and someone was checking our fax number. I gave him the fax number, and then after that the resume was sent.
 Q Okay. And if we can go ahead and place the next exhibit, which I believe will -- we are going to place before you what we will mark as IW-2. Then we will make this one 2-A.
 (Grand Jury Exhibits IW-2 and IW-2A were marked for identification.)
 BY MR. BIENERT:
 Q I am placing numbers 2 and 2-A before you, and I

Page 19

will represent they are the same. It's just that 2-A is the one I will ask you to look at because it has the fax information at the top. And reading aloud, that has the date of October 21st, 1997, Tuesday, 3:09. It shows a fax from 202 456-1210.
 Does that appear, ma'am, to be the resume that would have been faxed to your office on that day about Monica Lewinsky?
 A It certainly looks like it would have been, yes.
 Q Okay. Now, once you would have gotten this resume what would you have done with it?
 A I tried to schedule an appointment in Washington. I called the work number first to try to set up an appointment.
 Q Now, first of all, when you got the resume, would you have spoken, would you have given it to anyone, spoken to anyone about it?
 A I think I discussed it with Mona at one point. I can't remember exactly when it was.
 Q Okay. And that's Mona Sutphen?
 A Yes.
 Q And what is her position?
 A She works -- we all sort of work together as an assistant to the Ambassador.
 Q Okay. And why would you have spoken to Ms. Sutphen

Page 20

1 about the resume as opposed to, say, speaking directly to the
 2 Ambassador about it?
 3 A I just wanted to check with her to see what she
 4 expected, as far as his going to Washington, and whether or
 5 not we would try to schedule this in Washington or New York.
 6 or what she thought was the best thing for us to do. And my
 7 recollection is that I was going to -- I always had in mind
 8 that this would be in Washington, that we would try and do it
 9 in Washington.
 10 Q And did Ms. Sutphen indicate to you where it should
 11 be held?
 12 A Well, she said, see if we can put it on. And I
 13 think I tried to put it on before the trip to Africa. And I
 14 think I had to then move that from that week to the following
 15 week when he got back. Because I think instead of going to
 16 Washington as he had planned, he ended up having to stay in
 17 New York because of things that were going on at the UN.
 18 Q Okay. Well, what I'm going to do at this point is
 19 I'm going to show you some phone records, and we can use them
 20 as sort of starting points to talk about what might have been
 21 happening, in terms of who was being called and what about.
 22 So, we will take the next three exhibits, which
 23 will become Exhibits IW-3, IW-4, and IW-5.
 24
 25

Page 21

1 (Grand Jury Exhibits IW-3, IW-4
 2 and IW-5 were marked for
 3 identification.)
 4 BY MR. BIENERT:
 5 Q What I suggest you do, it might be the easiest, if
 6 you just lay the three out in front of you, because they are
 7 phone records from different sources, and so they sort of
 8 skip around, and we will try to take them chronologically.
 9 But to do that we have to go from one page to the other, to
 10 the other. Okay?
 11 A Yes. Now, these are phone records from?
 12 Q I'll represent to you that they are from, I think,
 13 three different sources. One of them is going to be phone
 14 records obtained from your office via subpoena. One of them
 15 is going to be phone records obtained from, I believe, the
 16 Pentagon?
 17 MR. LERNER: These two pages are produced by the
 18 United Nations. And this page integrates records from the
 19 Pentagon and Bell Atlantic.
 20 BY MR. BIENERT:
 21 Q So, basically going over the source of the
 22 documents, if you look at Exhibits 3 and 4, they were
 23 generated by your office. And the difference in them is one
 24 of them is showing calls to Ms. Lewinsky's home number, and
 25 one of them is showing calls to Ms. Lewinsky's work number, I

Page 22

1 believe. Number 3 shows work number, number 4 shows home
 2 number. Then Exhibit 5 is going to show documents obtained
 3 from the phone company reflecting calls from Ms. Lewinsky's
 4 Pentagon phone.
 5 MR. BIENERT: As well as her home phone, or just
 6 the Pentagon?
 7 MR. LERNER: It's just Pentagon.
 8 BY MR. BIENERT:
 9 Q Exhibit 5 is just calls from Ms. Lewinsky's
 10 Pentagon phone to your office.
 11 MR. LERNER: Actually, it's calls from Monica
 12 Lewinsky at various numbers.
 13 BY MR. BIENERT:
 14 Q Okay. So, it is various numbers, but that's what
 15 those are. And I can indicate to you, as we go through them,
 16 which numbers are which. I'm assuming you are going to
 17 recognize the numbers from your office, but I certainly
 18 wouldn't expect you to recognize the others. Okay?
 19 A Yes. Although I think the 703 would probably be
 20 her work number.
 21 MR. LERNER: That's right.
 22 BY MR. BIENERT:
 23 Q Correct.
 24 A Yeah.
 25 Q And the 202 number would typically be her residence

Page 23

1 number.
 2 A Somewhere in the District.
 3 Q All right. So, let's go ahead and kind of skimming
 4 over them, you'll note the dates are on the left-hand side on
 5 Exhibit 5, dates and times. Then on the exhibits provided by
 6 your office, 3 and 4, they are sort of in the middle.
 7 And just sort of generalizing at first, there
 8 appears to be one call on October 21st. I'll put a dot by
 9 that on Exhibit 4 as we go. Then there appear to be two
 10 calls on October 24th, and I'll put a dot by those two.
 11 There then appears to be approximately two calls on October
 12 27th, which are going to be two calls: one call right here on
 13 Exhibit 5 and then one call right here on Exhibit 4. We are
 14 going to come back to them one at a time, but I just want you
 15 to have a generalization of how many calls from each date.
 16 Then we have two calls on October 29th. And just
 17 so you'll know, ma'am, these are double calls. This is all
 18 the same call. You can look at the numbers on Exhibit 5.
 19 One of them is just a more specific to the second rendition
 20 of the first.
 21 A I'm sorry. You are saying it's the same call?
 22 Q Yes, ma'am.
 23 A They've recorded it twice?
 24 Q Yes, ma'am. It's listed twice here.
 25 A Oh.

Page 24

1 Q That's why I put a little bracket. So, two calls
 2 on the 29th. Actually --
 3 A And what does "EST" mean? Estimated?
 4 Q I'm assuming that's Eastern Standard Time, but that
 5 would be an assumption on my part.
 6 A Oh, okay.
 7 Q And actually, there is a third call on the 29th.
 8 Here we go. And then on the 30th, we have one, two, three,
 9 four, five calls. So, maybe we'll just focus on these first.
 10 Now, were you aware of the fact, ma'am, that the
 11 interview with Ms. Lewinsky occurred on October 31st?
 12 A Yes.
 13 Q Okay. So, in essence, what we are doing now is we
 14 are focusing on the calls prior to the interview. All right?
 15 A All right. Yes.
 16 Q So, let's start first with the call which would be
 17 on Exhibit 4, which is an October 21st call from phone number
 18 at your office that has the extension 4404, to 202 965-6355,
 19 which I'll represent to you is Monica Lewinsky's home phone.
 20 And that was a 5-minute and 42-second call. And looking at
 21 our calendar, that was on Tuesday, October 21st, the same day
 22 that the resume was received.
 23 Based on that, first of all, do you recognize the
 24 phone number with the extension 4404 from your office?
 25 A Yes. I certainly recognize the phone.

Page 25

1 Q Whose phone is that?
 2 A That's one that the Ambassador and I use.
 3 Q Okay. And when you say you and the Ambassador use
 4 it, where are the phones that have this extension located, in
 5 terms of your office versus his office?
 6 A Mine are -- it's right on my desk.
 7 Q So, you have a phone on your desk that has how many
 8 different lines on it?
 9 A It has at least four or five lines. But the
 10 principal lines that I have are 04 and 02.
 11 Q Is there any distinction in which ones you use for
 12 04 and which one you use for 02, the type of calls?
 13 A There isn't as far as, as I'm concerned.
 14 Q Okay. So, you use those two interchangeably?
 15 A I do.
 16 Q All right. What about inside the Ambassador's
 17 office? What phone lines, if any, does he have?
 18 A 04 is at his desk, and 02 and 04 are at the sofa.
 19 Q So, at the desk where he works, he has 04. And
 20 then he has a separate spot in his office with another phone
 21 that has both 02 and 04?
 22 A Yes.
 23 Q All right. So now, on the 21st of October, kind of
 24 keeping in mind the various things we've talked about, the
 25 timing, the time the resume came, do you know if you made a

Page 26

1 call to Monica Lewinsky for five minutes and 42 seconds on
2 that day?
3 A Can I back up one second?
4 Q Yes.
5 A Are there any calls on these other lists?
6 Q Not for the 21st, and you can skim them over. We
7 don't have any calls on the 21st or prior to that that appear
8 to be between your office and Ms. Lewinsky, at least her
9 phones.
10 A So, what you are saying, according to these
11 records, the first call that I made to her was at 7 o'clock
12 on the night of the 21st?
13 Q Well, I'm not saying who made the call, because --
14 A Well, I --
15 Q -- obviously that's what we're asking you about,
16 but --
17 A Well, it has to be me.
18 Q What I'm saying is that, based on the records that
19 we have, the first record that we see --
20 A Uh-huh.
21 Q -- largely based on records provided by your office
22 and supplemented by records that we've gotten from other
23 sources, that shows a call between a phone we know goes to
24 your office or a phone we know goes to Ms. Lewinsky is on the
25 21st. And that's a 5-minute and 42-second call.

Page 27

1 A All right. And may I see again the exhibit of when
2 I got, when the resume was sent to our office, the timing on
3 that?
4 Q Yes.
5 MR. LERNER: I think it was 3 o'clock.
6 THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, it may well be that the
7 first time I tried to set an appointment was at 7 o'clock at
8 night. And then the fact that I called her residence at
9 seven at night may well have been because I thought that's
10 where she would be at 7 o'clock at night.
11 BY MR. BIENERT:
12 Q Do you typically work until seven?
13 A I normally work until 7:30 or eight.
14 Q Okay. Now, first of all, this is a 5-minute and
15 42-second call. So, what would you have spoken about with
16 Ms. Lewinsky?
17 A I don't think I spoke that long with her. So, what
18 I, what I would assume -- I'm not, I don't like telephone
19 tag. So, generally what I try to do is, if I'm on a call and
20 I need to take another call, I will put people on hold, or
21 I'm happy to stay on hold while someone is coming to the
22 phone and that sort of thing. So, my guess is we didn't talk
23 for the whole five minutes. But I have no doubt that, you
24 know, if that's what the call shows, that it was a 5-minute
25 time that the phone was tied up.

Page 28

1 Q Okay. Well, tell me what, first of all, setting
2 aside when it would have happened, tell me about the first
3 conversation you had with Ms. Lewinsky.
4 A I really don't remember exactly, other than I was
5 trying to schedule a meeting for her with the Ambassador.
6 Q Okay. And in keeping with your recollection that
7 you would have been trying to schedule a meeting with the
8 Ambassador, what would be the types of things that you would
9 say to her and that you believe she said to you?
10 A Normally what I try to do is establish where the
11 meeting will be. I had assumed that since she worked in
12 Washington and lived in Washington, that she would want the
13 meeting to be in Washington. So, I probably suggested a
14 Washington meeting, and I most probably suggested a time when
15 I thought the Ambassador was going to be in Washington. And
16 she would then tell me whether that date worked or not.
17 My memory is that whatever date I offered her, she
18 said she could work that out, that she could do it, because
19 I'm always -- I try to be sensitive. I think of myself as a
20 public servant. So, when I talk with someone like this, even
21 though they are looking for a job, I try to accommodate their
22 schedule as well as the Ambassador's. And so I was trying to
23 figure a time that would work where she didn't have to leave
24 work. I was looking at either early in the day, lunchtime,
25 or later in the day, whatever, you know, would work with her

Page 29

1 schedule.
2 So, I'm sure that I came up with some time that I
3 think I put down at least tentatively on the schedule.
4 Q Okay. Other than your telling Ms. Lewinsky
5 available possible dates for the Ambassador, what do you
6 recall her saying?
7 A Just whether or not the times would work and that
8 was it.
9 Q And your recollection is she said the times would
10 work?
11 A Yes.
12 Q Because what I'm really trying to bracket down is,
13 what would account for the length of the call?
14 A I don't know the answer to that.
15 Q As you sit here now, is it accurate that you feel
16 confident that you didn't speak to her for five minutes?
17 A I really don't think I talked with her for five
18 minutes because I don't remember anything that we would have
19 talked about for that length of time.
20 The only other possible thing I can think of that
21 we might have discussed was if she was interested in coming
22 to New York to meet with the Ambassador. People frequently
23 suggest that when they are wanting to get together with the
24 Ambassador. I usually don't advise people to try to come to
25 New York, because it's just as -- there's as much chance of

Page 30

1 the meeting being cancelled in New York as there is in
2 Washington. So, I try to discourage people from spending the
3 money to come up on the shuttle to be interviewed in New
4 York.
5 Q How sure are you that you were the person who even
6 would have made that call on that date?
7 A Well, I mean, maybe someone else in the office mad
8 the call. It wasn't the Ambassador if it wasn't me. I mean,
9 it would have been me.
10 Q Okay. Well, first, when you say it wasn't the
11 Ambassador, how do you know?
12 A Because he just doesn't, he doesn't place his own
13 calls.
14 BY MR. LERNER:
15 Q Does it ever happen that you place the call, talk
16 to someone, and then patch through to the Ambassador?
17 A I do that on occasion. I did -- at some point, I
18 patched her through to Mona because we were trying to work
19 out Mona actually meeting with her and taking her to meet
20 with the Ambassador. That could well be what happened with
21 this as well. I could have transferred it through to Mona.
22 Q Could it have been that you patched it through to
23 the Ambassador?
24 A No.
25 Q You are absolutely certain about that?

Page 31

1 A Uh-huh.
2 Q Why do --
3 A Because there was no reason for him to talk with
4 her. There was no, there was no interest on his part, and
5 very few people -- I mean, his time is just too tight for us
6 to do that.
7 Q If there was no interest -- well, let me step back
8 for a little bit. Between receiving the resume at 3:09 and
9 placing this phone call, you never talked to the Ambassador
10 about receiving this resume?
11 A No.
12 Q But nonetheless you arranged to set up an interview
13 without having talked to the Ambassador?
14 A Well, he had told me that he would meet with the
15 person if we could find a convenient time, and that's always
16 the caveat with him, if there's a time that works. And so,
17 as I recall this particular appointment, I think I set it at
18 least two or three times. And I know the morning it occurred
19 I moved it a couple of times. And if it had eventually
20 fallen off on that date, that wouldn't have surprised me
21 either. I mean, it's the kind of thing that happens with his
22 schedule.
23 Our priorities at that point were getting him ready
24 to go on the trip on the first instance. And in the second
25 instance, was, we were working on fast track on the Hill.

Page 32

So. I was basically making appointments with Members for him to go to the Hill. And if this could happen before he went to the Hill, well and good.

BY MR. BIENERT:

Q And, I'm sorry, tell me again. Is it accurate then that you have a recollection of at least one call that you would have made and spoken to Ms. Lewinsky, but then also had Ms. Sutphen talk to her after that in the same call?

A I'm, I'm reasonably sure I transferred the call to Mona.

Q And why would you transfer the call to Mona?

A Mona was going to be with the Ambassador. That was my understanding. And I had said -- I'm always a little nervous about these young women who have to come, if they are going to meet with the Ambassador, in his suite at the hotel. I don't want them to feel nervous in any way about that. I want them to be sure that everything will be conducted in a businesslike way. And so I was having Mona meet her at the desk and take her to the room. And I let them make those arrangements once I had talked with Mona about it.

Q Okay. So, in other words, you kind of set up the general time of the meeting, but then Mona would talk to her a little bit more about the specifics of how it would be done at that time? Is that --

A Yes.

Page 33

Q -- a fair assessment?

A I normally -- it's not a general time. It's a specific time, because he's scheduled fairly tightly.

Q All right. Now, the next call that we see would be -- I'll just sort of check things off. I'll put a little mark next to the ones that we've been through, so we know that we don't have to cover them again.

The next call that I see, at least chronologically, is going to be over here on Exhibit 3. It's going to be a call on October 24th at 2:09 in the afternoon. And, if you look at your calendar, that would be Friday, the 24th. And that is going to be a call from [REDACTED].

A Uh-huh.

Q Which I believe you told us is one of the other --

A Right, that's one of mine.

Q -- numbers at your desk. And that call is at 2:09 in the afternoon for 30 seconds. What do you believe, if anything, was happening in that call?

A I think I was calling. That's the Pentagon number. I think, that I was calling.

Q Yes. It's a [REDACTED] number --

A Right.

Q -- of her office.

A And 30 seconds, my assumption is that I called and left word that I had called.

Page 34

Q And why would you have been calling Ms. Lewinsky on October 24th, that Friday?

A Well, if I was trying to reschedule a meeting that had been cancelled in this -- if I actually put something on the 22nd and then had to cancel it, then I would assume that on the 24th I might have been trying to reschedule.

Q Well, let me see if we can piece this together because, at least based on the records that we have, there is only one call that occurred from the time of the receiving of the resume until after the Ambassador left on his trip to the Congo. And that would have been the call we just discussed on October 21st at 7:01 at night.

So, I think you told us you believe that that would have been trying to schedule a meeting. If you scheduled the meeting for him, when do you believe you would have scheduled that interview for?

A I might have tried to do something on the 22nd.

Q And then is it your recollection that something happened after that to make you have to change the time?

A Yes. I think he was -- he didn't go to Washington at all.

Q How is it that you would have conveyed to Ms. Lewinsky that the meeting for the 22nd was not going to occur on that date?

A I don't know if there's not a call in there

Page 35

anywhere that I -- it may well have been that I told her in this that I would have to confirm with her, that we weren't going to be able to do it unless I called her back. I mean, that happens, too. I say to people, you know, I may try to schedule something before he leaves, but it may not be possible.

Q What --

A So, that's the only explanation I can see if there's not a call that I made to say it wasn't going to work.

Q Do you believe that if you scheduled a meeting with Ms. Lewinsky -- strike that.

Do you believe that if you talked to Ms. Lewinsky on the 21st about scheduling a time for her interview that you might have set it up for perhaps the 29th or 30th, after he was returning from the Congo?

A I -- it's certainly possible. I mean, that's conjecture. I really don't -- I just -- I have a feeling that I may have tried to do it first in Washington before he left. I can't remember now what time of day Bill left. And so I, you know, it's really hard for me to know.

Q Now --

A I know that I moved, I know that I moved the meeting when it actually occurred at least a couple of times so I could get it earlier. And I kept thinking she was going

Page 36

to say that's too early, but she never did. So.

Q Meaning that you moved, you actually moved the interview up to a date closer in time than --

A No, the time of day on Friday.

Q I've got you.

A I think we started at like 8:30 or 9, and it finally was 7:30. And I fully expected her to say that 7:30 is too early, and she didn't. So, she got five minutes and that was it.

Q Okay. Now, the next call that we see was on the 27th. Actually, let me just double-check and make sure we are covering everything.

On the 27th, we see two calls. If we look at the record, there is one call at 3:15 from your office, and specifically extension [REDACTED] to Ms. Lewinsky's home phone. And then there is a second call at 5:34 from Ms. Lewinsky's Pentagon number to extension [REDACTED]. Do you see those two calls?

What I'm going to do is I'll put a line next to the one on Exhibit 5, and a line on the one next to Exhibit 4. Do you see them, ma'am?

A Yes.

Q So, what these records reflect is at 5:15 in the afternoon a call for 36 seconds from extension [REDACTED] to Ms. Lewinsky's home phone, followed by a 1-minute and 45-second

Page 37

call -- let me get the right time here. This was on the 27th, a 1-minute and 45-second call from Ms. Lewinsky's work phone to your extension.

A Okay.

Q Do you believe that you would have been the person who was involved in those two calls?

A Yes. I think so.

Q First of all, why do you believe that? When you see extension [REDACTED], who else in the office would use that extension?

A No one uses my phone extension but me.

Q Well, I thought you had two extensions, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

A I actually have more than that, but I have those two, yes.

Q Well, why do you call that one, you just used the words "my phone", or "my extension". Why do you call that your extension?

A That's the direct line at my desk. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] are direct lines at my desk.

Q And that phone is also in Ambassador Richardson's office, correct?

A Right.

Q So, isn't it his phone, too?

A Yes. I think all of the office is his.

Page 38

Q So, when we see phone calls from extension [redacted], or to extension [redacted] is it accurate, ma'am, that those could be calls where the Ambassador is either making the call or receiving the call?

A Well, in some instances you would say that might be possible. However, you gave me a calendar that shows he's in the Congo at that point.

Q I'm just asking --

A So, I don't think the 27th it was his call.

Q I guess what I'm trying to pin down, ma'am, is your use of calling that line [redacted] your line. And let me just back up and preface it with this: If we look at the documents that were provided by your office to us, and if you look at these extensions, you will notice that the printout your office gave us assigns a name to each extension. And, if you look, you will see [redacted] has William Richardson; [redacted] had Isabelle Watkins. Do you see that?

A I do.

Q Do you know why it is that there are names assigned to those extensions?

A That's, I mean, that's the way the office looks at it. I must tell you that his card has [redacted] on it. So, it doesn't have [redacted]. So, as far as -- he doesn't place his own calls. He really doesn't. And so I do them alternately, really do. So.

Page 39

Q Okay. And, again, the reason I ask is because the record at least that we were given by your office shows [redacted] as your phone, and you just referred to it as your phone.

A Uh-huh.

Q And I'm just trying to pin down whether that really is your phone, or whether it's your phone and the Ambassador's phone. So, which is it?

A We share that phone. The one on his desk is [redacted].

Q Do you view [redacted] as his phone?

A Not especially, no.

Q So, --

A I mean, he and I use that one alternately, too.

Q All right. And you mentioned that one of the reasons that you don't believe that the [redacted] call, at least the one we're discussing now on the 27th, would have involved him is because that's a time when he was out of town?

A Right, he's out of the country. Right.

Q All right. So, anyway, it's your view that you were the person who was involved in the two calls we're discussing on October 27th; one to Ms. Lewinsky's home phone, and then a call later that day from Ms. Lewinsky's work phone to your extension?

A Right. If I read the records correctly, the one [redacted] was 5:15 that I called her residence, and she called me back [redacted] at, it looks like, 5:34.

Page 40

Q Okay.

A So, she may have gotten a voice mail or a message, or whatever, because this one was only 36 seconds again. So, I'm assuming that means I left word probably.

Q Do you have a recollection as to whether or not Ms. Lewinsky had a voice mail or an answering machine at her home phone?

A I don't remember. I remember leaving messages for her. I can't remember -- in instances at least at the Pentagon I think I spoke with a person as opposed to a voice mail, but they may have been transferring me to voice mail. People do that a lot.

Q When you called her home phone, you know you left a message, but you don't remember whether it was with a machine or a person? Is that right?

A No, I really don't.

Q But you do remember leaving messages?

A I left a number of messages for her in a number of places.

Q Okay. So, what do you believe you spoke about with Ms. Lewinsky for approximately, at least the phone record shows a call of 1-minute, 45-seconds on the 27th of October?

A Trying to schedule a meeting with the Ambassador for that week after he returned.

Q And then do you believe that this would have been a

Page 41

rescheduling of a meeting or this would have been the initial scheduling?

A I think I rescheduled. We don't have computers at USUN. I know that's hard to believe, but we have word processors. So, what happens is when I move a document, name and an appointment, it's -- the first one is gone, and then you have the new place. So, I can't go back and reconstruct what happened on the, on the first meeting.

Q Okay.

A It's one of my frustrations.

Q All right. Anything else that you think might have been discussed with Ms. Lewinsky in that conversation?

A No.

Q As you sit here now, do you know whether or not at the conclusion of that conversation you had agreed with Ms. Lewinsky that her interview would be at any particular time and place?

A I don't remember that.

Q All right. Meaning you don't recall at all; you are not saying it didn't happen that way? You just don't know?

A I really don't remember. I really don't remember.

Q All right. Now, the next batch of calls are going to be, I believe, four calls that occurred on the 29th of October, which, if we look at the calendar, the 29th was

Page 42

Wednesday, the 29th.

Going in order, the first of the four calls is on Exhibit 3. It's going to be a call -- let's put a one next to it -- at 11:51 in the morning, a 30-second call, from the extension [redacted], with your name next to it, to Ms. Lewinsky's office number. Then followed by a call at 12:11 that day for 49 seconds, from Ms. Lewinsky's office number to [redacted], with your name next to it. Do you see that? That would be number two.

Followed by another call at -- let me make sure I have the order right -- at 1:50 that day, the third call that day, from the phone with your extension number or your name assigned to it, to Ms. Lewinsky's office number.

A For another 30 seconds.

Q For another 30 seconds. Followed by number four for that day, which is going to be, it looks like a 56-second call, if I have it right.

BY MR. LERNER:

Q It looks like you may have played phone tag, despite your best wishes.

A Despite the fact I don't like phone tag. That's right.

BY MR. BIENERT:

Q That's what I was going to ask you about. First of all, this is phone tag, it looks like, correct?

Page 43

A Yes.

Q All right. Now, first of all, when you say you try to avoid phone tag, how do you avoid engaging in phone tag when you need to leave messages for people?

A Well, I try to establish when someone's going to be there and I try to call at the time that they say they will be available. I, I make a concerted effort to. And then I don't have voice mail myself. I don't have it for the Ambassador and I don't have it for myself. And I ask that people take messages or, if I'm there, that they ask people to hold so that I can talk with them.

Q So now on this occasion, for example, there are two calls from Ms. Lewinsky's number to yours, at least one of which was short and seems, based on the pattern, would you agree, to be in the midst of messages back and forth?

A I think it sounds as if she may have called me back and I wasn't available.

Q Who is it who would answer your phone when you're not available?

A Debbie Nelson or Fiona Higgins were both answering the phones.

Q Now, if we look at the fourth call on that day, which would be a 56-second call at 1:53, first of all, do you believe that you were the person who made the two calls that came from your office to Ms. Lewinsky's number?

Page 44

[1] A Yes. I would assume that was me.
 [2] Q And why do you assume that that was you?
 [3] A Because it is the [redacted] calling and I was calling
 [4] the Pentagon number that I have.
 [5] Q Do you believe that you might have been placing
 [6] that call for the Ambassador?
 [7] A No.
 [8] Q Why not?
 [9] A Because I was working on getting an appointment
 [10] with her. And as of this time, I don't think we had anything
 [11] set.
 [12] Q Now, as far as the calls that came back, and
 [13] particularly focusing I guess on the fourth call, which would
 [14] have been a 56-second call, do you believe that you spoke to
 [15] her that day?
 [16] A Well, I think it was more than that. I think it's
 [17] a minute and 56 seconds, if I understand your bracket.
 [18] You've got a minute there and you've got 56 seconds there.
 [19] Q Actually, as I understand these, and again this is
 [20] just in looking at them. I'm not going to necessarily
 [21] represent it's totally accurate, but I would submit to you
 [22] that the best reading of these is the first number simply
 [23] rounds off to the nearest minute. But the second number,
 [24] which reflects the same call, is more accurate because it
 [25] actually goes to the second.

Page 45

[1] So, for example, in this particular call --
 [2] A Oh, I see what you're saying.
 [3] Q -- the first one is saying it was a minute call *
 [4] because --
 [5] A I don't add them. I just --
 [6] Q Right. What I can tell you I have done, for
 [7] purposes of reviewing these, is just focusing on the second
 [8] number which seems to carry things down to the second, as
 [9] opposed to the minute. So, for purposes of this
 [10] conversation, we'll say that it was a 56-second call.
 [11] Do you believe that you would have spoken to Ms.
 [12] Lewinsky on that occasion?
 [13] A I have really -- you know, I have no idea. I don't
 [14] know if she was, you know, available. I don't know if she
 [15] was in the office. I could have, you know, held and then
 [16] spoken to a voice mail again. I don't know.
 [17] Q Okay. Now, first of all, let me ask you a general
 [18] question back on the whose-phones-are-whose issue. You've
 [19] told us, I believe, that you use [redacted] and [redacted]
 [20] interchangeably. Is that accurate?
 [21] A (Witness nodded indicating an affirmative
 [22] response.)
 [23] Q You have to speak out loud.
 [24] A Yes. Yes. Sorry.
 [25] Q And you've told us that one of those phones isn't

Page 46

[1] with particularity assigned to the Ambassador, that from your
 [2] standpoint he uses them interchangeably?
 [3] A I place calls for him on the 04 if he is sitting at
 [4] his desk. I place it on 02 if he is sitting at the sofa.
 [5] And it totally depends on where he is at the time that I
 [6] place the call.
 [7] Q And I guess the question I have is, if we count up
 [8] the calls, we have now reviewed eight calls. Of the eight,
 [9] one of them occurred on the 21st, a day when the Ambassador
 [10] was present, and seven of them occurred between the 23rd and
 [11] the 29th when the Ambassador was out of the country.
 [12] A Yes.
 [13] Q And there is a direct correlation, in terms of the
 [14] one call that is on the [redacted] extension is at the one time
 [15] when the Ambassador was present, and the seven calls that are
 [16] on the [redacted] extension happen to be at the time when the
 [17] Ambassador was gone.
 [18] And I would submit to you, is there any -- why
 [19] would that be, if the phone calls were being made
 [20] interchangeably on the two? Is there any reason for that?
 [21] A Most of the time I use the 02 extension.
 [22] Q What would prompt you to use the 04 extension?
 [23] A There might well be someone is on 02, someone would
 [24] be using my line. And so I would use the 04 instead.
 [25] Q Is there any reason why you would use the 04 line

Page 47

[1] -- strike that.
 [2] Would you ever use the [redacted] line for a call that
 [3] wasn't going to be patched through to the Ambassador if the
 [4] [redacted] line was available?
 [5] A I might very well.
 [6] Q Why?
 [7] A Because I hit it as the line I wanted to use.
 [8] Initially it was my -- at one point in our office, and I
 [9] can't remember the date it changed, the default line, if you
 [10] know what I mean -- when you pick up your phone, it
 [11] automatically goes to a line -- for me used to be [redacted]. And I
 [12] don't know whether that changed in August or September. It
 [13] was sometime in the fall that it changed.
 [14] Q Do you believe that it would have been changed by
 [15] mid-October?
 [16] A I would have thought it had changed by then, but I
 [17] could be wrong.
 [18] Q Is it accurate, ma'am --
 [19] A So, I was quite used to using [redacted] is what I'm
 [20] saying.
 [21] Q Is it accurate, ma'am, that once that default had
 [22] been changed that at least your intention was to use [redacted] for
 [23] your calls, and 04 for calls being made for the Ambassador?
 [24] That that was your general practice as of that time?
 [25] A I, I, I think that's characterizing it more

Page 48

[1] specifically than it really is.
 [2] Q Okay. Is it accurate, ma'am, that as of the time
 [3] the phones had been changed, that your general intention was
 [4] to use [redacted] for your calls, and that you would typically only
 [5] use [redacted] for your own calls when [redacted] wasn't available?
 [6] A Yes. I think that's probable.
 [7] Q Therefore, ma'am, if we were to obtain all of the
 [8] phone records for the [redacted] and the [redacted] timeframe for any
 [9] particular day -- and let's focus on, for example, October
 [10] 21st -- if at the same time that the call is made on the 04
 [11] line, on October 21st there were no call either incoming or
 [12] outgoing on the [redacted] line, that unless there was a mistake
 [13] there, you were not trying to place a call for yourself?
 [14] A The question's too long. I don't understand it.
 [15] Q Okay. Let me break it down. You typically would
 [16] use the 02 line for your own calls, correct?
 [17] A I use the [redacted] line for my calls, yes.
 [18] Q You don't use the [redacted] line for your calls unless the
 [19] 02 line is not available, correct?
 [20] A No. That's not correct. I use [redacted] other times as
 [21] well.
 [22] Q When?
 [23] A Whenever I feel like it. I make a huge number of
 [24] telephone calls. I mean, it's hard -- unless you're in the
 [25] kind of job that I am, it's hard to realize. I know you

Page 49

[1] probably have a lot of phone calls, too, as an attorney. But
 [2] I started counting one day. And by about 10 or 11 in the
 [3] morning, I had handled a hundred calls and I decided to stop
 [4] counting. It was too discouraging. I really do have an
 [5] enormous number of calls that I handle.
 [6] So, I really can't say that I, you know, only use
 [7] one line. It just isn't, it isn't the way it works.
 [8] Q Okay. And I guess my question a few minutes ago,
 [9] and I'll ask it again, is do you have a general practice, in
 [10] terms of which line you use for your calls versus which lines
 [11] you use for calls for the Ambassador?
 [12] A I generally use [redacted] for my calls.
 [13] Q And you generally use [redacted] for calls for the
 [14] Ambassador?
 [15] A If I'm placing a call for the Ambassador and he is
 [16] there, I make the call on [redacted].
 [17] Q Okay. But, to make sure I've got it accurately,
 [18] you've indicated that there can be a fair amount of
 [19] exceptions to that, is that fair?
 [20] A Oh, yes. There are, there can be exceptions. For
 [21] example, I will call someone and not -- I'll have them on [redacted]
 [22] and they'll ask if they can speak with the Ambassador. And I
 [23] will ask, I will beep him and ask him if he wants to talk
 [24] with them. And if he does, I'll have to transfer, I'll
 [25] transfer them to [redacted] because that's where he's sitting.

Page 50

[1] Q Okay. All right. Now, if we look at the next
[2] couple of calls, they occurred on the 30th. We have three
[3] calls on October 30th, the first of which is at 1:01 in the
[4] morning -- I'm sorry, in the afternoon.
[5] A I do work long hours, but not that long.
[6] Q Thankfully, I would assume. At 1:01 in the
[7] afternoon, there was a call from [REDACTED] to Ms. Lewinsky's
[8] Pentagon number for a minute and 18 seconds. So, I'll put a
[9] one by that one.
[10] And that call is followed by a call at 4:45 in the
[11] afternoon, for 42 seconds, from your extension to Ms.
[12] Lewinsky's home number; followed by a call -- let's make sure
[13] I'm getting the right timing on this. The third call that
[14] day was at 5:18 from the [REDACTED] extension to Ms. Lewinsky's
[15] number. Do you see that, ma'am?
[16] A Yes.
[17] Q Okay. Now, these calls would have been on the day
[18] before the interview, correct?
[19] A Yes. That would be correct.
[20] Q And this is at a time when the Ambassador was in
[21] town, correct?
[22] A Yes. The Ambassador was there.
[23] Q Do you believe that you were personally involved in
[24] talking to Ms. Lewinsky in any of these calls?
[25] A I would assume that all of those calls were for me.

Page 51

[1] Q Okay. And what do you believe was occurring during
[2] those calls?
[3] A I was in the process of trying to set up the --
[4] what was happening on Friday morning, and I was talking with
[5] the Hill at the same time, setting meetings with Members.
[6] And I well remember when I moved it to the 7:30.
[7] only because the Congressman's office had called and said the
[8] Congressman can meet with the Ambassador if he can be here at
[9] 8:30; he has a committee or something at nine, but he can
[10] meet with the Ambassador if he can be here at 8:30.
[11] So, I put that as the first thing on the Hill, and
[12] then called for -- as I say, we moved it back and forth a
[13] number of times. I can't remember how many times I spoke
[14] with her, or left word for her. But, in essence, I moved it
[15] to the 7:30. I know that happened late probably, or
[16] reasonably late on Thursday.
[17] Q Okay. First of all, what was your understanding of
[18] what job she was interviewing for?
[19] A I didn't know what job she was interviewing for.
[20] Q Did you have even any remote idea of what type of
[21] job it was involving?
[22] A We had an entry-level position at USUN/W in
[23] Washington, where a young woman who had been in our office,
[24] in the Congressional office, was leaving. And I -- if, in my
[25] own mind, and I don't do personnel, but in my own mind I had

Page 52

[1] an idea that we might be looking for someone to replace Gina,
[2] who was our, the person who was working in Washington.
[3] Q Did you have any understanding at that time, when
[4] you were setting up all these -- trying to set up the
[5] interview with Ms. Lewinsky, whether Ms. Lewinsky was
[6] interviewing for a position in Washington or New York?
[7] A No, I didn't at all. I sort of assumed, because
[8] she was working in Washington and that we had an office
[9] there, that she was applying for the Washington, you know,
[10] for a position in the Washington office.
[11] Q Were there any openings, to your knowledge,
[12] available in the New York office at that time?
[13] A I think there may well have been some in the public
[14] relations area in our press office.
[15] Q And is that actually in the same area where you
[16] are, or is that sort of another --
[17] A It's a different --
[18] Q -- department or section?
[19] A It's a different floor. Press is on the second
[20] floor.
[21] Q Were you aware of any openings in kind of your
[22] suite, or at least in the section where you worked in the New
[23] York office?
[24] A There weren't any openings in our area, I don't
[25] believe.

Page 53

[1] Q All right. Now, the interview occurred on the 31st
[2] in the morning, correct?
[3] A Yes, at 7:30.
[4] Q And you had no participation in the interview,
[5] correct?
[6] A I did not.
[7] Q Did you have any involvement with Ms. Lewinsky
[8] after the interview?
[9] A No.
[10] Q Did you make any phone calls to Ms. Lewinsky after
[11] the interview?
[12] A I don't recall making any phone calls.
[13] Q Can you think of any reason why you would have ma
[14] any phone calls to Ms. Lewinsky after the interview?
[15] A No.
[16] Q Do you recall having any discussions with anyone
[17] about Ms. Lewinsky -- and for purposes of the question,
[18] forget about after the news broke about the alleged
[19] relationship and things in January -- but let's just say
[20] between October, when you finished these calls on the 30th.
[21] So, let's say from October 31st through the end of the year,
[22] December 31st, do you remember having any discussions with
[23] anyone about Ms. Lewinsky?
[24] A I had a discussion with Mona about Gina's position
[25] and what was happening on that.

Page 54

[1] Q Now, who is Gina and what is that position?
[2] A Well, this was the entry-level position we had at
[3] USUN/W. Gina, as I say, had been on the staff when we were
[4] in the Congress. And she ended up doing almost everything in
[5] that office. I mean, she was answering the phones. She was
[6] doing all the, opening the mail. She was, she was the person
[7] that was there that you could get hold of and she would solve
[8] your problems.
[9] And I knew she was leaving, and I was concerned
[10] about who was replacing her. And I went in to ask Mona if
[11] the person that Bill had interviewed in Washington was, in
[12] fact, maybe going to fill that position. I didn't, we hadn't
[13] discussed it before that. And Mona said, no, actually she
[14] had recently spoken with that person and that they were
[15] saying they didn't want a job in the public sector. They
[16] wanted to go work in the private sector.
[17] Q Do you know when that conversation would have
[18] occurred?
[19] A No, I really -- I only remember the conversation.
[20] I don't remember the, the timing of it at all.
[21] Q Even a ballpark estimate?
[22] A No. The only way that I would really have a better
[23] handle would be if I knew about when Regina left, because we
[24] were in the process of, you know, gearing up to say goodbye
[25] to her as it were. And I probably -- that might have

Page 55

[1] prompted me to worry about the replacement.
[2] Q Okay. Now, I'm going to go ahead and direct you to
[3] a couple of other calls. But, based on what you've said, you
[4] may not know anything about them but we want to make sure
[5] that we have at least covered them.
[6] If you look at the next call chronologically, it
[7] would be on -- actually we covered the twelfth call, too,
[8] which would be 10-30. So, now, we are up to the thirteenth
[9] call.
[10] If you look at Exhibit 3, there is a call on
[11] November 3rd, which I'll direct you on the map, November 3rd
[12] was a Monday. In fact, it would be the first Monday after
[13] Friday the 31st, which was the day of the interview. Then
[14] there's the weekend of the 1st and 2nd, and then the 3rd is
[15] the first Monday after the interview. And there is a -- let
[16] me see where it is here.
[17] On Exhibit 3, there is a 2-minute and 54-second
[18] call from the [REDACTED] extension, with the assigned name William
[19] Richardson, to Ms. Lewinsky's Pentagon number. Do you know
[20] anything about that call?
[21] A I don't.
[22] Q Do you believe that you were the person who was
[23] speaking to Ms. Lewinsky on that call?
[24] A I, I don't recall the call at all. I really have
[25] no memory of that.

Page 56

[1] Q Again, can you think of any reason why you would
 [2] have been speaking with Ms. Lewinsky, after she interviewed
 [3] with the Ambassador and Ms. Sutphen, on the telephone?
 [4] A No. Rebecca was in that meeting, too. And so, you
 [5] know, I, I was. I really wasn't involved.
 [6] BY MR. LERNER:
 [7] Q And Rebecca is Rebecca Cooper?
 [8] A Right.
 [9] Q And she works here in D.C.?
 [10] A She was, she was the Washington chief of staff,
 [11] yes.
 [12] BY MR. BIENERT:
 [13] Q So, you don't believe that you would have been the
 [14] person speaking in that conversation with Ms. Lewinsky,
 [15] correct?
 [16] A If, in fact, somebody could tell me why I was
 [17] talking with her, maybe I would have, but I have no idea why
 [18] I would have been speaking with her at that point.
 [19] Q If Ambassador Richardson was speaking with her in
 [20] that call, do you know whether or not you would have placed
 [21] the call for him?
 [22] A I would have assumed that I would have placed the
 [23] call for him, yes, or -- but it's always possible that Deb,
 [24] who places calls for him, one of the other people in the
 [25] office could have placed the call.

Page 57

[1] Q Okay.
 [2] MR. BIENERT: Let's take a 5-minute break.
 [3] (Whereupon, the deposition was recessed from 11:22 a.m.
 [4] until 11:32 a.m.)
 [5] BY MR. BIENERT:
 [6] Q Now, ma'am, let's go back to the extension.
 [7] You've indicated to us that you would use that extension.
 [8] Ambassador Richardson would sometimes use that extension.
 [9] Who else would use that extension, to your knowledge?
 [10] A Other people could. I've just -- I've never
 [11] checked other people's phones. I really don't know what the
 [12] numbers are that they have on their phones, or if they, you
 [13] know, would come into my office and use the phone, or
 [14] whatever.
 [15] Q Are you aware of anyone else that, to your
 [16] knowledge, has that extension at their desk, other than you
 [17] and Ambassador Richardson?
 [18] A Debbie Nelson and Fiona. I think both those
 [19] phones, both of those have the extension on them.
 [20] Q And are these executive assistants as well to the
 [21] Ambassador?
 [22] A Yeah, they're actually secretaries who work out in,
 [23] outside of his office.
 [24] Q To your knowledge, do they also have other
 [25] extensions at their desks that might be assigned their name?

Page 58

[1] A Yes, I think so.
 [2] Q So, to the degree that they have extension at their
 [3] desk, it would be in addition to another line?
 [4] A Yes. And they also have extension. They have, they have
 [5] that line as well.
 [6] Q And is your understanding that on occasion these
 [7] other two persons would place calls for the Ambassador?
 [8] A Oh, yes.
 [9] Q And then once the call was placed, he would get on
 [10] the phone?
 [11] A Yes.
 [12] Q Now, in terms of the call of the 3rd that we were
 [13] discussing, let's mark IW-6.
 [14] (Grand Jury Exhibit IW-6 was
 [15] marked for identification.)
 [16] BY MR. BIENERT:
 [17] Q Now, ma'am, I am going to direct your attention to
 [18] IW-6, and I am going to draw a bracket around a particular
 [19] paragraph. And I am going to represent to you that this is
 [20] an e-mail from Monica Lewinsky to a person by the name of
 [21] C.A. Davis, who is being e-mailed in the Far East in Japan;
 [22] and further represent that, as a result of that, we believe
 [23] that the dates here are basically off by approximately a day
 [24] because of the international dateline change.
 [25] So that, in any event, I am directing your

Page 59

[1] attention to IW-6, which has a date of November 5th on it at
 [2] 2 a.m. in the morning, which could be November 4th. But, in
 [3] any event, it is the night of. I guess, the 4th-5th, at least
 [4] based on that date.
 [5] And I would ask that you would read the paragraph
 [6] that I have bracketed to yourself.
 [7] A Okay. Can I -- I would really like to read the
 [8] whole thing because I've never seen this before.
 [9] Q You can read the whole thing.
 [10] A I mean, is that all right?
 [11] Q Absolutely. Why don't you read the whole thing,
 [12] and obviously the part I'm going to ask you about is the
 [13] second paragraph.
 [14] (Witness reviewed the document.)
 [15] A This is an earlier -- is this a response to this
 [16] one?
 [17] Q Well, ma'am, I'm not going to characterize them.
 [18] You can read them and draw your own conclusions.
 [19] A Okay.
 [20] Q I agree with you, that the date does appear that it
 [21] was earlier. And, just to make a clarification, I'm
 [22] realizing that the top e-mail, since it was sent -- I would
 [23] submit to you that the dating issue and the timing issue is
 [24] accurate on the top e-mail because it was sent on East Coast
 [25] time, where we are. So, it would have been, based on the

Page 60

[1] document here anyway, November 5th in the wee hours of the
 [2] morning; so, the night of the 4th-5th.
 [3] The issue I raised to you about timing maybe being
 [4] a day off relates to the documents that were coming from the
 [5] Far East to the East Coast, which would be the bottom
 [6] document, because it would have to account for the change in
 [7] time from the Far East to East Coast.
 [8] What I can tell you, having said all that, is I
 [9] would submit it is a non-issue to the degree that the only
 [10] area I'm going to ask you about is going to be the bracketed
 [11] portion on Exhibit IW-6, which relates to an e-mail early in
 [12] the morning on November 5th of 1997. Okay?
 [13] A And this is an e-mail from where to where?
 [14] Q From Monica Lewinsky in Washington, or in the
 [15] Washington area, to a person named C.A. Davis in Japan.
 [16] A And was this, is this something at -- is this an
 [17] e-mail from the Pentagon?
 [18] Q I'm not sure if it was from the Pentagon or from
 [19] her residence.
 [20] A Oh, okay.
 [21] Q But I'll submit to you it's a document obtained
 [22] during the investigation, okay?
 [23] A Uh-huh.
 [24] Q Now, let's focus on this bracketed paragraph. Now,
 [25] ma'am, if we use the date of November 5th, or the night of

Page 61

[1] the 4th and 5th, based on our calendar, that would be the
 [2] night of Tuesday the 4th, or more specifically at 5 a.m. on
 [3] Wednesday, the 5th. Do you see that the 5th was a Wednesday?
 [4] A Uh-huh.
 [5] Q All right. The first line of this second paragraph
 [6] says, "The job thing on Friday went much better than
 [7] expected."
 [8] Is it accurate, ma'am, that using the 5th on the
 [9] calendar, the last Friday before that would have been Friday,
 [10] October 31st?
 [11] A That's true, yes.
 [12] Q And that's the date of the interview with
 [13] Ambassador Richardson, correct?
 [14] A Ambassador Richardson interviewed Monica on the
 [15] 31st.
 [16] Q Friday, the 31st, correct?
 [17] A Yes.
 [18] Q And if you look at the third sentence here, it
 [19] says, "Richardson is a great guy and I met two women who work
 [20] for him, also very cool."
 [21] What was your understanding of who the persons were
 [22] who met with Ms. Lewinsky?
 [23] A As I understand it, it was Ambassador Richardson,
 [24] Mona Sutphen, and Rebecca Cooper.
 [25] Q So, your understanding was that Ambassador

Page 62

[1] Richardson met with her along with two women who worked for
 [2] him, correct?
 [3] A Right those, those two women.
 [4] Q Now, if you look at the next sentence, "Yesterday"
 [5] -- which would be the day before the night of the 4th-5th, so
 [6] November 3rd -- "Richardson called me at work and told me
 [7] they were going to offer me a position. They didn't know
 [8] what yet, and they wanted to talk with me further."
 [9] Do you see that, ma'am?
 [10] A I read that.
 [11] Q So, you saw it?
 [12] A I've seen it on this piece of paper, yes.
 [13] Q All right. Now, ma'am, if a call was placed on
 [14] November 3rd, 1997 to Monica Lewinsky offering her a job,
 [15] would you have been the person to call her and offer her a
 [16] job?
 [17] A No.
 [18] Q Did you ever call Ms. Lewinsky and offer her a job?
 [19] A No, I did not.
 [20] Q Did you ever offer her a job in any setting,
 [21] whether it was in a call or --
 [22] A It would be inappropriate for me to do that. It's
 [23] not my role.
 [24] Q Whose role is that?
 [25] A It would probably have been -- I would assume it

Page 63

[1] would be either Mona or Rebecca, if they were doing this for
 [2] the Mission, or someone at the Mission.
 [3] Q Well, who is it who decides who gets hired?
 [4] A On a political position, the final decision is the
 [5] Ambassador's.
 [6] Q Is this a political position?
 [7] A I don't know what position that we are talking
 [8] about.
 [9] Q Well, ma'am, was it your understanding that it was
 [10] Ambassador Richardson who interviewed Monica Lewinsky?
 [11] A Along with Mona and Rebecca, yes.
 [12] Q Was it your understanding that it was Ambassador
 [13] Richardson who directed you to look for a resume for her?
 [14] A Ambassador Richardson asked me to get a resume that
 [15] he had been told was coming, yes.
 [16] Q And that turned out to be Monica Lewinsky's,
 [17] correct?
 [18] A That was Monica's, yes.
 [19] Q And it was Ambassador Richardson who indicated to
 [20] you that you should set up an interview with that person, who
 [21] turned out to be Monica Lewinsky, by Ambassador Richardson,
 [22] correct?
 [23] A Yes. It was his schedule.
 [24] Q When you were trying to finagle a time for Ms.
 [25] Lewinsky to meet with Ambassador Richardson -- sorry -- when

Page 64

[1] you were trying to set up a time for Ms. Lewinsky to have the
 [2] interview, you were doing that based on Ambassador
 [3] Richardson's availability and his schedule, correct?
 [4] A Yes, I was.
 [5] Q Okay. And Ambassador Richardson is the person who
 [6] had the ultimate authority within your office to decide
 [7] whether to extend her an offer, correct?
 [8] A He would have been the one to make that decision,
 [9] yes.
 [10] Q Now, let's look at some of the other calls that we
 [11] have. So, we just talked about the call on November 3rd,
 [12] 1997, from extension [REDACTED] to Ms. Lewinsky's Pentagon office.
 [13] Now, the next calls that we have --
 [14] (Discussion off the record.)
 [15] BY MR. BIENERT:
 [16] Q Now, ma'am, if you look at Exhibit 5, there is a
 [17] call on November 14th. Do you see that, ma'am?
 [18] A Yes.
 [19] Q That's a call on November 14th at 2:50 in the
 [20] afternoon, and we'll assume it was approximately a 1-minute
 [21] call from Ambassador Richardson, or at least the extension
 [22] assigned his name, [REDACTED] to Monica Lewinsky. Do you see
 [23] that, ma'am?
 [24] A Yes.
 [25] Q Do you know what that call was about?

Page 65

[1] A I have no idea.
 [2] Q You wouldn't have been speaking to Monica Lewinsk
 [3] on November 14th, would you?
 [4] A I don't, I don't think I ever spoke with her after
 [5] the appointment.
 [6] Q Meaning after October --
 [7] A The 31st.
 [8] Q Okay. Do you have any recollection of Ambassador
 [9] Richardson or anyone else asking you to place a call to Ms.
 [10] Lewinsky on the 14th of November?
 [11] A No.
 [12] Q The next call we go to is on Exhibit 4, which is on
 [13] November 19th. There was a call from extension 4029, which
 [14] is the extension with the name Mona Sutphen assigned to it,
 [15] for 48 seconds, to Ms. Lewinsky's home number. Do you see
 [16] that, ma'am?
 [17] A Yes.
 [18] Q Would you have been involved in that call?
 [19] A No.
 [20] Q Do you know what that call was about?
 [21] A No, I don't.
 [22] Q Similarly, we'll go to the next call, which
 [23] occurred on November 24th. There was a call from, once
 [24] again, the extension assigned -- actually, I guess this is a
 [25] call to Ms. Sutphen's extension, [REDACTED], from Ms. Lewinsky's

Page 66

[1] Pentagon number. Were you involved in that call?
 [2] A No.
 [3] Q Do you know what it was about?
 [4] A No, I do not.
 [5] Q And then finally, if you look at the call at the
 [6] bottom of the page of Exhibit 5, there was a call on January
 [7] 5th, from a D. Finerman, which I'll represent to you, ma'am,
 [8] that is the number of another residence where Ms. Lewinsky
 [9] would stay on occasion, to Ms. Sutphen's [REDACTED] number. It
 [10] last approximately a minute. Were you involved in that call?
 [11] A No, I was not.
 [12] Q Do you know what it was about?
 [13] A No, I don't.
 [14] Q All right. Do you ever speak to Vernon Jordan on
 [15] the telephone?
 [16] A I've spoken to his office.
 [17] Q Have you ever spoken to him personally?
 [18] A Over the years I probably have, yes.
 [19] Q Well, let's focus on in the last six months. Or
 [20] let's say from October of last year through the present.
 [21] Which, I guess time going faster than I ever think it should,
 [22] it's probably more like eight months, do you have any
 [23] recollection of speaking to Vernon Jordan?
 [24] A Only he got on the line when I was setting a
 [25] meeting that he and the Ambassador had in January, and just

Page 67

[1] said he had a cigar for Bill, and I should pass that word to
 [2] him.
 [3] Q Okay. Is it accurate then, ma'am, that any calls
 [4] between Vernon Jordan's office phone number and Ambassador
 [5] Richardson's [REDACTED] extension, to the degree that there was any
 [6] substantive discussions, they would not have involved you?
 [7] A I wouldn't have been on the phone for a substantive
 [8] -- I don't know what you are characterizing as a substantive
 [9] discussion.
 [10] Q Well, let's just do this. Let's just go through
 [11] the calls and you can tell me if you were the one who was
 [12] speaking to Mr. Jordan. And, if so, what you were speaking
 [13] to him about.
 [14] (Grand Jury Exhibits IW-7, IW-8
 [15] and IW-9 were marked for
 [16] identification.)
 [17] BY MR. BIENERT:
 [18] Q I'm going to hand you the next three exhibits which
 [19] are 7, 8, and 9. If you look at Exhibit IW-7, you'll see
 [20] highlighted at number five there is a call at 11:17 a.m. on
 [21] December 11th from Vernon Jordan to extension [REDACTED]. It was a
 [22] 3-minute, 12-second call.
 [23] Do you remember speaking with Vernon Jordan on
 [24] December 11th of last year?
 [25] A I don't believe that I had a 3-minute conversation

Page 68

[1] with Vernon Jordan. I don't remember that. I certainly
 [2] don't.
 [3] Q Do you know why, do you have any information or
 [4] knowledge as to why Vernon Jordan and Ambassador Richardson
 [5] would have been speaking around December 11th of last year?
 [6] A No, I really don't.
 [7] Q Are you aware of any topics or issues that were
 [8] ongoing that, to your knowledge, involved discussions between
 [9] Ambassador Richardson and Vernon Jordan in, let's say, late
 [10] 1997?
 [11] A They could have well have -- you know, I could
 [12] conjecture all sorts of things. I don't know if he was
 [13] helping the Ambassador at all with fast track. I don't even
 [14] know when the vote was on fast track at that point.
 [15] Q So, is the answer, ma'am, that you don't know?
 [16] A I really don't know. Yes.
 [17] Q And there's nothing specific that comes to mind
 [18] that you believe that you can say with any certainty that
 [19] they were talking about?
 [20] A No, I can't say one way or the other.
 [21] Q And if we look at the next call, which is Exhibit
 [22] IW-8, if you look at the third call on here, there is a 1-
 [23] minute and 24-second call from Vernon Jordan's office number
 [24] -- actually, I'll represent to you that's his secretary's
 [25] phone line -- to the [REDACTED] extension in your office.

Page 69

[1] Tell us, ma'am, if you believe that that would have
 [2] been a call to you?
 [3] A It certainly might well have been. I've spoken
 [4] with his secretary a number of times, and I did arrange a
 [5] get-together for them which, I think, ultimately took place
 [6] in January.
 [7] Q And I'll represent to you that we have records
 [8] indicating that Ambassador Richardson and Mr. Jordan had, I
 [9] believe, breakfast on January 6th.
 [10] A Right. I, I set that up.
 [11] Q So, you believe that you might have been involved
 [12] in calls between -- or a call by you to Mr. Jordan's
 [13] secretary about setting up some type of a breakfast?
 [14] A No. Actually, I started out -- Ambassador
 [15] Richardson was going to be in Washington and said he wanted
 [16] to just drop by and see Vernon for five minutes or something
 [17] like that, just to do a drop-by, and see if there was a time,
 [18] was he going to be in town and would it work. And so that
 [19] was how it started.
 [20] And then we actually put it on the schedule and it
 [21] had to cancel. And I don't remember the reason it had to
 [22] cancel. But when I called to say that we weren't going to be
 [23] able to keep the appointment, his secretary said he was going
 [24] to be in New York. He was coming to New York either that day
 [25] or whatever, and so maybe they could get together in New

Page 70

[1] York. And I said, well, we can see; maybe they could have
 [2] breakfast. And I suggested that to the Ambassador and he
 [3] said yes.
 [4] Q Did anyone indicate to you why they were meeting at
 [5] that time?
 [6] A I just assumed -- they're friends. They were going
 [7] to visit.
 [8] Q You had no --
 [9] A As I said, Vernon said he had a cigar for Bill when
 [10] he got on the phone at one point, but that was it.
 [11] Q So, as with the answer you gave us a few minutes
 [12] ago, it would be the same in this instance; namely, you have
 [13] no specific information as to why they were meeting?
 [14] A No. When I -- most of the time when I set meetings
 [15] like that for the Ambassador, I don't need to know. And so I
 [16] simply do what he's asked me to do.
 [17] Q You began working for the Ambassador approximately
 [18] February of last year?
 [19] A No. I've been working for Bill, Ambassador
 [20] Richardson, since 1988. I was his chief of staff in
 [21] Washington.
 [22] Q So, you've worked with him for several years when
 [23] he was a Congressman?
 [24] A Yes.
 [25] Q And then you came on board with him when he became

Page 71

[1] Ambassador to the United Nations?
 [2] A When he and Mrs. Richardson moved to New York, I
 [3] came that same day.
 [4] Q And that was approximately when?
 [5] A February of last year.
 [6] Q Okay. Since February of last year, how many times
 [7] have you arranged for meetings between Vernon Jordan and
 [8] Ambassador Richardson?
 [9] A I don't remember the number. I would say maybe
 [10] once, twice.
 [11] Q Well, we know that you had -- we're talking about a
 [12] call in late December that you believe was setting up a
 [13] meeting that we know took place in early January. Other than
 [14] that instance, do you have any recollection of ever arranging
 [15] for a meeting between Ambassador Richardson and Vernon
 [16] Jordan?
 [17] A Within this timeframe since we've been in New York?
 [18] Or?
 [19] Q Since February of 1997?
 [20] A I don't have a specific memory, no. I could, I
 [21] could look, but I don't, I don't believe there's
 [22] anything else. I think we searched the records when we were
 [23] doing the document search, and I don't think there was
 [24] anything else on there.
 [25] Q So, you believe, based on your memory and what you

Page 72

[1] saw in the records, that at least during the time when you
 [2] and the Ambassador were at the UN, this would be the only
 [3] meeting that you arranged?
 [4] A That's right. I believe that's true.
 [5] Q Okay. Let's back up to, where were you working in
 [6] the two years, say, leading up to February of '97?
 [7] A I was his chief of staff in Washington.
 [8] Q And that was --
 [9] A I was Ambassador Richardson's chief of staff.
 [10] Q And that was in Congress?
 [11] A That was in the Congress, yes.
 [12] Q In --
 [13] A We were in the Rayburn Building.
 [14] Q First of all, as chief of staff, would it have been
 [15] part of your duties to arrange meetings with people like --
 [16] A I still did his scheduling unfortunately. I've
 [17] done that since I came to work for him.
 [18] Q In the couple of years leading up to, let's say in
 [19] the years '95, '96, '97, just taking an arbitrary timeframe,
 [20] how many times do you believe you set up meetings between
 [21] Ambassador Richardson and Vernon Jordan?
 [22] A A number of times, where they've either spoken on
 [23] the phone or visited.
 [24] Q By "a number of times", what do you mean?
 [25] Ballpark?

Page 73

[1] A I'd, you know, I'd hesitate to guess. I really,
 [2] you know, I really wouldn't know.
 [3] Q More than 10?
 [4] A No. It's probably less than that. I would say
 [5] maybe less than five.
 [6] Q Okay.
 [7] (Discussion off the record.)
 [8] BY MR. BIENERT:
 [9] Q Finally, if you look at the next exhibit, Exhibit
 [10] IW-9, if you look at the highlighted conversation there at
 [11] 5:24 p.m., a call from Vernon Jordan's office number to your
 [12] extension, [REDACTED] a 3-minute call on December 30th, do you
 [13] believe that you participated in that call?
 [14] A I'm sorry. I was -- this is 5:24 on -- I'm sorry,
 [15] what is the date?
 [16] Q December 30th.
 [17] A Oh, the date's at the top.
 [18] Q Yes, ma'am.
 [19] A I'm sorry. I was missing that.
 [20] Q And then it was a call from his office to your
 [21] extension.
 [22] A I would assume yes. Would that have been his
 [23] secretary? Do we know?
 [24] Q I can tell you that 887 -- the last call we showed
 [25] you was his secretary's extension, namely [REDACTED] is

Page 74

[1] Mr. Jordan's inside line.
 [2] A Uh-huh.
 [3] Q So that is from his office line, as opposed to his
 [4] secretary's line, to your extension.
 [5] A Uh-huh.
 [6] Q Do you believe that you spoke to Mr. Jordan on that
 [7] occasion?
 [8] A I don't recall that conversation. I don't remember
 [9] having a conversation with him.
 [10] Q And focusing on the length of the call, namely
 [11] three minutes, do you believe that you would have spoken to
 [12] Mr. Jordan for three minutes?
 [13] A Since I have no idea of what the call was about, I
 [14] certainly can't say how long it would be.
 [15] Q Well, I think you told us before you have one
 [16] recollection of one call where he told you he had a cigar for
 [17] Bill.
 [18] A Right.
 [19] Q Correct?
 [20] A Uh-huh.
 [21] Q Probably -- I-have-a-cigar-for-Bill-tell-him-hello-
 [22] for-me -- maybe 10 or 15 seconds' worth of conversation?
 [23] A Uh-huh.
 [24] Q Is that accurate?
 [25] A Yes.

Page 75

[1] Q Have you ever spoken to Mr. Jordan for more than a
 [2] few seconds?
 [3] A I've, I actually have known Vernon Jordan longer
 [4] than Bill has. So, I mean, it's -- I come out of Atlanta,
 [5] and I knew him in Atlanta before he ever came to Washington.
 [6] So, it's not as if I didn't know him at all. I haven't -- on
 [7] a businesslike basis, I have no memory of this telephone
 [8] call.
 [9] I'm not at all sure that on December the 30th
 [10] either the Ambassador or I were in New York. I mean, I think
 [11] both of us were probably somewhere else over Christmas/New
 [12] Years.
 [13] Q Okay. And that brings up another issue. I'm
 [14] assuming, ma'am, that you have schedules that will show where
 [15] you and the Ambassador were located on given days in the
 [16] months of October, November and December, correct?
 [17] A I certainly have his schedules.
 [18] Q And what we are going to do, and we will address it
 [19] with your attorney, but we are going to ask if you guys can
 [20] provide those to us, so we can see when you were -- well, at
 [21] least when the Ambassador was in New York and whether you
 [22] were in New York, if it shows that.
 [23] Okay. Then the last issue I wanted to ask you
 [24] about is, do you ever call the White House?
 [25] A I have regular conversations with the White House.

Page 76

[1] Q Do you ever call the White House on behalf of the
 [2] Ambassador, to place a call for him to speak to someone at
 [3] the White House?
 [4] A I do place calls for him to the White House.
 [5] Q In terms of the White House general operator
 [6] number, which I believe is [REDACTED], are you familiar with
 [7] that number?
 [8] A I am.
 [9] Q When you place calls on your own behalf to the
 [10] White House, is that the number you would call, or do you
 [11] normally call a specific number at the White House?
 [12] A It depends entirely upon who I'm trying to reach.
 [13] If it's someone that I call regularly and I know their number
 [14] by heart, then I don't use the [REDACTED]. But if I either have
 [15] forgotten it or I, you know, I'm trying to reach someone I
 [16] don't normally call, I would use it.
 [17] Q Do you ever place calls to the White House so that
 [18] the Ambassador can speak to the President?
 [19] A No.
 [20] Q Do you know how the Ambassador goes about getting
 [21] in touch with the President if he needs to speak to him?
 [22] A I would assume he would go through Ops or something
 [23] of that sort, I would think.
 [24] BY MR. LERNER:
 [25] Q But he would place that call himself?

Page 77

[1] A No.
 [2] BY MR. BIENERT:
 [3] Q Okay. Let's back up. Is it accurate that you
 [4] typically do place many of his calls for him?
 [5] A I do.
 [6] BY MR. LERNER:
 [7] Q Not all, but most, or what?
 [8] A If, unless it's -- when he has a callback sheet,
 [9] with a lot of calls, he will give that sheet to, for example,
 [10] Debbie Nelson in our office, and she will place calls for
 [11] him.
 [12] But the President wouldn't be on a callback sheet.
 [13] It's like the Secretary of State. We don't put those people
 [14] on a callback sheet. We try to connect them as quickly as we
 [15] can, because we consider those priority calls.
 [16] BY MR. BIENERT:
 [17] Q Well, you just said "we try to connect them". By
 [18] that, are you saying that you sometimes do place calls to the
 [19] White House so that the Ambassador can speak to the
 [20] President?
 [21] A I've never done that.
 [22] Q So, you wouldn't know what number he would call to
 [23] try to get hold of the President?
 [24] A If I were trying to reach the President and -- I
 [25] would go through the White House Ops people, if I thought it

Page 78

[1] was an emergency, that we for some reason needed to reach
 [2] him.
 [3] BY MR. LERNER:
 [4] Q And White House Ops is what number?
 [5] A I'd, I'd have to, I'd have to look it up. I might
 [6] have to go through the switchboard to ask.
 [7] Q Which is [REDACTED]?
 [8] A Yeah.
 [9] Q And is Ops [REDACTED]? Does that ring a bell?
 [10] A I don't recall.
 [11] Q Okay.
 [12] BY MR. BIENERT:
 [13] Q Have you ever placed calls to the White House to
 [14] get hold of the President?
 [15] A No.
 [16] Q So, it's a hypothetical from your standpoint,
 [17] because you've never done it?
 [18] A Yeah. It would be extremely unusual and it hasn't
 [19] happened.
 [20] Q Do you have any understanding or idea as to how
 [21] often Ambassador Richardson speaks to the President by phone?
 [22] A No, I don't. I would say not very often probably.
 [23] Q And is it your understanding that usually when he
 [24] would speak to the President by phone it would be to address
 [25] a specific issue?

Page 0

[1] A I would certainly -- that's a hypothetical, but I
 [2] would assume so, certainly.
 [3] MR. BIENERT: That's all we have.
 [4] (Whereupon, at 12:03 p.m., the proceedings were
 [5] concluded.)
 [6] *****
 [7] CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER - NOTARY PUBL
 [8] I, Elizabeth A. Eastman, the officer before whom
 [9] the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that
 [10] the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing
 [11] deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said
 [12] witness was taken by me electronically and thereafter reduced
 [13] to typewriting by me; that said deposition is a true record
 [14] of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither
 [15] counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties
 [16] to the action in which this deposition was taken; and,
 [17] further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney
 [18] or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or
 [19] otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
 [20]
 [21] NOTARY PUBLIC FOR THE
 [22] DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
 [23] My Commission Expires:
 [24] July 31, 2000
 [25]

ACTIVITY SCHEDULE

OCTOBER 1997

SUN.	MONDAY	TUESDAY	WEDNESDAY	THURSDAY	FRIDAY	SAT.
NOTES:	SEPT S M T ① 2 7 8 9 14 15 16 21 22 23 28 29 30	NOVEMBER 1997 W T F S 5 6 7 8 ① 12 13 14 15 18 19 20 21 22 25 26 ② 28 29	1	2	3	4
			274	275	276	277
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
278	278	280	281	282	283	284
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
	COLUMBUS DAY HOLIDAY		L A T I N	A M E R I C A		
285	286	287	288	289	290	291
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
		Resume			Congo	
292	293	294	295	296	297	298
26	27	28	29	30	31	NOTES:
DST Ends						
299	300	301	302	303	304	

OCTOBER 1997

7540-01-337-4703
For 1998 Order 7540-01-337-8712

COMMUNICATE EEO

OPTIONAL FORM 67
(Formerly Ad-300) 5067-126

4493

ACTIVITY SCHEDULE

NOVEMBER 1997

SUN.	MONDAY	TUESDAY	WEDNESDAY	THURSDAY	FRIDAY	SAT.																																																																																																		
NOTES:				<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="7">OCTOBER 1997</th> <th colspan="7">DECEMBER 1997</th> </tr> <tr> <th>S</th><th>M</th><th>T</th><th>W</th><th>T</th><th>F</th><th>S</th> <th>S</th><th>M</th><th>T</th><th>W</th><th>T</th><th>F</th><th>S</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td><td>11</td> <td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td><td>11</td><td>12</td><td>13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12</td><td>13</td><td>14</td><td>15</td><td>16</td><td>17</td><td>18</td> <td>14</td><td>15</td><td>16</td><td>17</td><td>18</td><td>19</td><td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>19</td><td>20</td><td>21</td><td>22</td><td>23</td><td>24</td><td>25</td> <td>21</td><td>22</td><td>23</td><td>24</td><td>25</td><td>26</td><td>27</td> </tr> <tr> <td>26</td><td>27</td><td>28</td><td>29</td><td>30</td><td>31</td><td></td> <td>28</td><td>29</td><td>30</td><td>31</td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		OCTOBER 1997							DECEMBER 1997							S	M	T	W	T	F	S	S	M	T	W	T	F	S				1	2	3	4								5	6	7	8	9	10	11	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	26	27	28	29	30	31		28	29	30	31				1
OCTOBER 1997							DECEMBER 1997																																																																																																	
S	M	T	W	T	F	S	S	M	T	W	T	F	S																																																																																											
			1	2	3	4																																																																																																		
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	7	8	9	10	11	12	13																																																																																											
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	14	15	16	17	18	19	20																																																																																											
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	21	22	23	24	25	26	27																																																																																											
26	27	28	29	30	31		28	29	30	31																																																																																														
2	3	4	5	6	7	8																																																																																																		
306	307	308	309	310	311	312																																																																																																		
9	10	11 VETERANS DAY HOLIDAY	12	13	14	15																																																																																																		
313	314	315	316	317	318	319																																																																																																		
16	17	18	19	20	21	22																																																																																																		
320	321	322	323	324	325	326																																																																																																		
23	24	25	26	27 THANKSGIVING DAY HOLIDAY	28	29																																																																																																		
327				331																																																																																																				
30																																																																																																								
334	328	329	330	331	332	333																																																																																																		

4494

NOVEMBER 1997

7540-01-337-4703
For 1998 Order 7540-01-337-8712

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS

OPTIONAL FORM 67
(Formerly Ad-300) 5067-126

ACTIVITY SCHEDULE

DECEMBER 1997

SUN.	MONDAY	TUESDAY	WEDNESDAY	THURSDAY	FRIDAY	SAT.
NOTES:	1	2	3	4	5	6
	335	336	337	338	339	340
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
341	342	343	344	345	346	347
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
348	349	350	351	352	353	354
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
				CHRISTMAS DAY		
				HOLIDAY		
355	356	357	358	359	360	361
28	29	30	31	NOVEMBER 1997 S M T W T F S 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ⑪ 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 ⑳ 28 29 30		JANUARY 1998 S M T W T F S ① 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 ⑱ 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31
362	363	364	365			NOTES:

4495

DECEMBER 1997

7540-01-337-4703
For 1998 Order 7540-01-337-8712

EEO IS THE LAW

OPTIONAL FORM 67
(Formerly Ad-300) 5067-126

ACTIVITY SCHEDULE

JANUARY 1998

SUN.	MONDAY	TUESDAY	WEDNESDAY	THURSDAY	FRIDAY	SAT.				
NOTES:	DECEMBER 1997 S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31		FEBRUARY 1998 S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		1 NEW YEAR'S DAY HOLIDAY	2	3			
	4	5	6	7				8	9	10
	11	12	13	14				15	16	17
18	MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR'S BIRTHDAY HOLIDAY	20	21	22	23	24				
25	26	27	28	29	30	31				

4496

JANUARY 1998

7540-01-337-4703
 For 1998 Order 7540-01-337-8712

EEO IS FOR EVERYONE

OPTIONAL FORM 67
 (Formerly Ad-300) 5067-126

Monica S. Lewinsky
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] C. [REDACTED]
 ([REDACTED] [REDACTED] 2 [REDACTED])

(W14A)

Education:

Lewis and Clark College Portland, Oregon
 Bachelor of Science in Psychology May 1995



Experience:

Department of Defense The Pentagon Washington, D.C.
Confidential Assistant to the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Public Affairs
 April 1996 - present

Serve as principal assistant to the Assistant Secretary of Defense (ASD) for Public Affairs in support of his dual role as both Department of Defense spokesman and head of Department of Defense Public Affairs. Assist in preparing the ASD for bi-weekly press briefings. Interact with the national print and broadcast media on the ASD's behalf. Provide the ASD with timely updates of current media stories. Act as liaison with the offices of the Secretary, the White House, other Cabinet Secretaries and the National Security Council. Provide support to the Secretary of Defense and Assistant Secretary on frequent international travel which includes a contingent of traveling media. Handle the ASD's daily schedule and correspondence.

The White House Washington, D.C.

Staff Assistant to Director of Legislative Affairs Correspondence, November 1995 - April 1996
 Wrote drafts and correspondence for Staff Secretary's approval and ultimately the President's signature, which often required research of various Administration issues and policies. Coordinated mass mailings to Congress for the President and other Senior Administration officials. Processed and vetted all incoming mail to the President from Congress. Trained and supervised new interns on White House procedure and preparation of White House correspondence.

The White House Washington, D.C.

Summer Intern Office of the Chief of Staff, July - November 1995
 Drafted form letters and individual responses for the Chief of Staff's signature. Acted as a liaison for Chief of Staff's office to other White House offices, Cabinet agencies, and Congressional offices. Updated office manual. Supervised and coordinated intern and volunteer staff.

Metropolitan Public Defenders Portland, Oregon

Alternatives Staff, February - May 1995

Implemented new psychology expert reference techniques. Assisted attorneys in finding viable alternatives to prison for their clients. Directed clients in successful search for support, shelter, food and transportation. Updated files on resource materials.

Southeast Mental Health Network (Practicum) Portland, Oregon

Socialization Staff Assistant, January - June 1994

Assisted staff in teaching socialization skills to mentally ill clients to ease their integration back into society. Updated clients' confidential reports. Coordinated fund raising to benefit extra-curricular theatre activities.

Additional Information:

828-DC-00000012

- TS-SCI Clearance: Current
- Proficient in Macintosh for Microsoft Word 6.0, WordPerfect for Windows 5.2, Quorum, and Infosys.

Monica S. Lewinsky

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

U144A

Education:

Lewis and Clark College Portland, Oregon
 Bachelor of Science in Psychology May 1995

Experience:

Department of Defense The Pentagon Washington, D.C.
 Confidential Assistant to the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Public Affairs
 April 1996 - present

Serve as principal assistant to the Assistant Secretary of Defense (ASD) for Public Affairs in support of his dual role as both Department of Defense spokesman and head of Department of Defense Public Affairs. Assist in preparing the ASD for bi-weekly press briefings. Interact with the national print and broadcast media on the ASD's behalf. Provide the ASD with timely updates of current media stories. Act as liaison with the offices of the Secretary, the White House, other Cabinet Secretaries and the National Security Council. Provide support to the Secretary of Defense and Assistant Secretary on frequent international travel which includes a contingent of traveling media. Handle the ASD's daily schedule and correspondence.

The White House Washington, D.C.

Staff Assistant to Director of Legislative Affairs Correspondence, November 1995 - April 1996
 Wrote drafts and correspondence for Staff Secretary's approval and ultimately the President's signature, which often required research of various Administration issues and policies. Coordinated mass mailings to Congress for the President and other Senior Administration officials. Processed and vetted all incoming mail to the President from Congress. Trained and supervised new interns on White House procedure and preparation of White House correspondence.

The White House Washington, D.C.

Summer Intern Office of the Chief of Staff, July - November 1995
 Drafted form letters and individual responses for the Chief of Staff's signature. Acted as a liaison for Chief of Staff's office to other White House offices, Cabinet agencies, and Congressional offices. Updated office manual. Supervised and coordinated intern and volunteer staff.

Metropolitan Public Defenders Portland, Oregon

Alternatives Staff, February - May 1995

Implemented new psychology expert reference techniques. Assisted attorneys in finding viable alternatives to prison for their clients. Directed clients in successful search for support, shelter, food and transportation. Updated files on resource materials.

Southeast Mental Health Network (Practicum) Portland, Oregon

Socialization Staff Assistant, January - June 1994

Assisted staff in teaching socialization skills to mentally ill clients to ease their integration back into society. Updated clients' confidential reports. Coordinated fund raising to benefit extra-curricular theatre activities.

Additional Information:

828-DC-00000012

- TS-SCI Clearance: Current
- Proficient in Macintosh for Microsoft Word 6.0, WordPerfect for Windows 5.2, Quorum, and Infosys.

ST	DESCRIPTION	DATE	ROOM	TIME	DURATION	STATUS	LOCATION	NAME	INITIALS
IAM 29	12600 PRESS	03/14/97	ROOM 212A	12:30	1.9	WASZ 1B	VA	[REDACTED]	ADELE GILL 0.
IAMS	12615 PRESS	03/28/97	ROOM 212A	12:48	6.3	WASZ 1B	VA	[REDACTED]	ADELE GILL 0.
25	18671 PRESS	04/08/97	ROOM 212A	12:34	1.6	WASZ 1B	VA	[REDACTED]	ADELE GILL 0.
SS 77	24794 PRESS	06/11/97	ROOM 212D	14:48	5.2	WASZ 1B	VA	[REDACTED]	OFFICE PRE 0.
RE PRESS 32	24837 PRESS	06/23/97	ROOM 212F	11:48	2.1	WASZ 1B	VA	[REDACTED]	SPARE SPA 0.
RENCE .46	25308 REF/RESEARCH	06/17/97	REFERENCE DESK	10:08	3.0	WASZ 1B	VA	[REDACTED]	DESK REFE 0
OL. ROAN 46	29030 MILITARY STAFF	05/23/97	ROOM 720B	16:37	0.0	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	RICHARD C 0.
OL. ROAN 19	29031 MILITARY STAFF	05/23/97	ROOM 720B	16:50	0.0	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	RICHARD C 0.
WATKINS 0.08	50051 EXECUTIVE	X 10/24/97	EXEC-C	14:09	0:00:30	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	ISABELLE
WATKINS 0.08	50101 EXECUTIVE	(1) 10/29/97	EXEC-C	11:51	0:00:30	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	ISABELLE
WATKINS 0.08	50102 EXECUTIVE	(3) 10/29/97	EXEC-C	13:50	0:00:30	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	ISABELLE
WATKINS 0.08	50143 EXECUTIVE	(1) 10/30/97	EXEC-C	13:01	0:01:18	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	ISABELLE
ARDSON	9274 EXECUTIVE	* 11/03/97	EXEC	11:02	0:02:54	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	WILLIAM RI 0.
IAM 17	63728 PRESS	11/05/97	ROOM 212A	15:58	0:01:06	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	ADELE GILL 0.
IAM 08	63751 PRESS	11/17/97	ROOM 212A	19:29	0:01:00	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	ADELE GILL 0.
SS .29	63799 PRESS	11/20/97	ROOM 212B	13:23	0:01:54	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	SPARE PRE 0
EEDLER 11	63905 PRESS	11/18/97	ROOM 212C	07:34	0:01:24	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	REBECCA N 0.
TCHELL .79	64418 PRESS	11/05/97	ROOM 215	14:51	0:05:18	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	CALVIN MI 0
TCHELL .16	64474 PRESS	11/13/97	ROOM 215	17:46	0:02:00	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	CALVIN MI 0
TCHELL .23	64479 PRESS	11/14/97	ROOM 215	12:48	0:01:30	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	CALVIN MI 0
TCHELL .23	64546 PRESS	11/23/97	ROOM 215	17:14	0:03:00	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	CALVIN MI 0
ECIAL ASST. 97	66360 UNATTACHED	11/17/97	UNATTACHED	16:44	0:06:36	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	SHOCAS SP 0.
IAMS	71147 PRESS	12/18/97	ROOM 212A	12:30	0:01:18	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	ADELE GILL 0.
TCHELL .66	71465 PRESS	12/22/97	ROOM 215	15:16	0:04:24	WASZ 1B	V	[REDACTED]	CALVIN MI 0

(C)

X
(1)
(3)



828-DC-00000003

ST	EXECUTIVE	DATE	DURATION	DURATION	DESTINATION	DIALED DIS	CO
49735 CHARDSON 43	EXECUTIVE	10/21/97	EXEC 19:01	0:05:42	WASHINGTON D	[REDACTED]	WILLIAM RI O.
50074 WATKINS	EXECUTIVE	10/27/97	EXEC-C 17:15	0:00:36	WASHINGTON D	[REDACTED]	ISABELLE
50168 WATKINS J.12	EXECUTIVE	② 10/30/97	EXEC-C 16:45	0:00:42	WASHINGTON D	[REDACTED]	ISABELLE
50170 WATKINS J.05	EXECUTIVE	③ 10/30/97	EXEC-C 17:28	0:00:30	WASHINGTON D	[REDACTED]	ISABELLE
60857 SUTPHEN 13	EXECUTIVE-III	11/19/97	EXEC-III-G 11:28	0:00:48	WASHINGTON D	[REDACTED]	MONA KAI O.

828-DC-00000004



Date	Time	Duration	From Phone	From Name	To Phone	To Name	Call ID
02/27/1996	08:48:00	00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
04/04/1996	18:34:00	00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
04/04/1996	18:34:29	00:01:59	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
04/05/1996	17:38:00	00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
04/05/1996	17:38:24	00:00:49	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
04/19/1996	13:25:00	00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
04/19/1996	13:25:52	00:00:20	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
04/19/1996	15:14:00	00:11:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
04/19/1996	15:14:35	00:18:42	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
09/12/1996	07:58:00	00:02:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]
09/12/1996	07:58:18	00:02:25	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]
09/12/1996	08:19:00	00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]
09/12/1996	08:19:08	00:00:57	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]
10/27/1997	17:34:00	00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	WATKINS, ISABEL	[REDACTED]
10/27/1997	17:34:53	00:01:45	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	WATKINS, ISABEL	[REDACTED]
10/29/1997	12:11:00	00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	WATKINS, ISABEL	[REDACTED]
10/29/1997	12:11:45	00:00:49	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	WATKINS, ISABEL	[REDACTED]
10/29/1997	13:53:00	00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	WATKINS, ISABEL	[REDACTED]
10/29/1997	13:53:23	00:00:58	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	WATKINS, ISABEL	[REDACTED]
10/30/1997	19:17:00	EST 00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA	[REDACTED]	WATKINS, ISABEL	[REDACTED]
11/08/1997	15:51:00	EST 00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
11/08/1997	15:51:00	00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
11/14/1997	14:50:00	EST 00:02:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	RICHARDSON, WILLIAM AMBASSADOR	[REDACTED]
11/14/1997	14:50:00	00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	RICHARDSON, WILLIAM AMBASSADOR	[REDACTED]
11/19/1997	10:27:00	00:03:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
11/19/1997	10:27:00	EST 00:04:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
11/20/1997	10:48:00	00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
11/20/1997	10:48:00	EST 00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
11/24/1997	10:14:00	EST 00:07:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	SUTPHEN, MONA	[REDACTED]
11/24/1997	10:14:00	00:04:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	SUTPHEN, MONA	[REDACTED]
11/28/1997	09:18:00	EST 00:04:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
11/28/1997	09:18:00	00:03:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
12/22/1997	14:31:00	EST 00:06:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
12/22/1997	15:14:00	EST 00:01:00	[REDACTED]	LEWINSKY, MONICA; DONOVAN, JOHN/P	[REDACTED]	UN EXTENSION	[REDACTED]
01/05/1998	11:32:00	00:01:00	[REDACTED]	FINERMAN, D	[REDACTED]	SUTPHEN, MONA	[REDACTED]

1
4

4501



CA Davis

From: Lewinsky, Monica, [REDACTED]
 Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 1997 2:16 AM
 To: CA Davis
 Subject: RE: trouts?

oK. I have some bad news. I am off the next trip so i won't be coming to Tokyo. I am probably sormier than you are. the truth is it would have been so difficult to spend time together and it probably would have benn more frustrating than anything.

The job thing on Friday went much better than expected. It was nice; the big creep called thursday night and gave me a pep talk because i was so afraid I'd sound like an idiot. Richardson is a great guy and i met two women who work for him...also very cool. Yesterday, Richardson called me at work and told me they were going to offer me a position..they didn't know what yet, and they wanted to talk with me further. The problem is, I don't really wnat to work there (issue wise or location wise) I've already had the experience of working in a yucky building. It was awful, actually, because i feel a little trapped into taking it. HOPEFULLY, there will be some movement on the other tracks in NY too. I told mr. bacon I was planning to move and was in the process of looking...which is why i asked him if i could switch trips with tom. The biggest reason i need to do that was because the creep's friend who is supposed to help me with the private sector possibilities has been out of town the last two weeks. I feel like I'll lose momentum with them if i disappear for three weeks now (that's including Thanksgiving). Oy vey!

I'm glad to hear you guys had such a nice weekend. Honestly Cat, it sounds like such a wonderful fantasy to me. To be with yourr husband – as part of a couple with other couples doing couple-y kinds of things and having fun.

My Australian boyfriend CALLED me on Friday to let me know his e-mail was down.. He said it had become habit to e-mail me friday nights and he wanted to let me know he couldn't send anything. I know...when's the wedding???? Just kiddin'.

i miss you tons and am so sad I won't see you, but maybe we'll work something out soon. When do you guys come to the states..for holiday? and for good?

kisses and hugs
 Monica

From: CA Davis
 To: [REDACTED]
 Subject: trouts?
 Date: Monday, November 03, 1997 7:15PM

Hi, I sent you a message called 'quickie' last wk, but it was = undeliverable for awhile so I'm hoping this will reach you. You'd think = the fn Pentagon could have straightened out email! Anyway, how did = your 'meeting' go last week? I'm seeing the man on tellie alot because = of the Iraqi nonsense. I think that could be a cool job, maybe better = than the DOD.

I had a long wkend away from home. We went to a friend's office's = cottage at Lake Kawaguchi. Its Susan's office's cottage and she invited = us and 2 other couples for the 3day wkend- so 4 couples all together. = It was fun- we drank a lot, ate a lot, hiked, walked, lounged, played = games etc..I was about ready to go home Sunday evening but we left Mon. = morning. I was getting tired of being surrounded by Brits! Actually = one couple is made up of a German woman and a man, Gavin, who is =

4503

Canadian/Scottish/American. Anyway, Chris and I had Monday alone in the =
city because it was a holiday. We went shopping, had lunch and saw My =
Best Friend's Wedding. It was a thrill to go to the movies and see a =
current-ish US movie. I really miss going to the movies as part of a =
social life. In the US when you don't feel like having a big night you =
can just have dinner and go to a movie and at least you're out of =
thehouse, but here its expensive and the movies are crap generally so if =
you want o be mellow its renting a movie and eating in which gets =
tiresome.

Whoa, I have to go to work! Write back and thanks for the FWs. What =
did you pick for the 'psychological test'? love, Cat

1037-DC-00000023

CALLS MADE BY VERNON JORDAN

No.	Date	Time	Call from	Call to	Length of call
1	12/22/97	04:59 PM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	White House operator, [REDACTED]	2:12
2	12/22/97	05:03 PM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	Monica Lewinsky, Pentagon office, 7 [REDACTED]	0:18
3	12/22/97	05:04 PM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	Ambassador Richardson, UN office, [REDACTED]	1:24

4504



CALLS MADE BY VERNON JORDAN ON DECEMBER 11, 1997



No.	Time	Call from	Call to	Length of call
1	09:45 AM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	Peter Georgescu, Young & Rubicam, [REDACTED]	0:36
2	10:39 AM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	Barbara Neysmith, American Express, [REDACTED]	0:54
3	10:59 AM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	Barbara Neysmith, American Express, [REDACTED]	3:36
4	11:12 AM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	Howard Gittis, Revlon, [REDACTED]	4:24
5	11:17 AM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	Ambassador Richardson, United Nations, [REDACTED]	3:12
6	12:47 PM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	Barbara Neysmith, American Express, [REDACTED]	0:48
7	12:49 PM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	Peter Georgescu, Young & Rubicam, [REDACTED]	1:00
8	12:51 PM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	Howard Gittis, Revlon, [REDACTED]	1:06
9	01:06 PM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	Barbara Neysmith, American Express, [REDACTED]	0:30
10	01:07 PM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	Richard Halperin, Revlon, [REDACTED]	1:06

4505

12/30/97

No.	Time	Call from	Call to	Length of call
1	9:27 AM	President Clinton	Vernon Jordan, residence, [REDACTED]	25:00
2	9:42 AM	Peter Strauss residence, [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	2:00
3	10:02 AM	Peter Strauss residence, [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	1:00
4	1:54 PM	Peter Strauss residence, [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED]	7:00
5	1:54 PM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	White House, [REDACTED]	3:12
6	2:01 PM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	Frank Carter, Attorney, [REDACTED]	0:36
7	5:24 PM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	U.N. Ambassador William Richardson, [REDACTED]	3:00
8	6:09 PM	Vernon Jordan's office, [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	Rob Weiner, White House Counsel's office, [REDACTED]	1:42

4506

