

# Boehner v. Pelosi: Votes to Increase Production of American Energy

## Energy Scorecard, 102nd -- 110th Congress

### 46 Boehner Pro-Energy Votes, Compared to 2 Cast by the Speaker

Date	Bill	Congress	Brief Overview	Boehner	Pelosi	Result
22-May-08	H.R. 5658	110th	<b>Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2009:</b> MTR included a provision to increase the use of alternative fuels like clean coal-to-liquids and oil from oil shale and sands, by repealing Sc. 526 of the Democrat energy bill H.R. 6. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	NV	Failed 186-223
23-Apr-08	H.R. 5819	110th	<b>SBIR/STTR Reauthorization Act:</b> MTR would promote alternative fuels and projects that have the potential to lower gasoline and diesel prices. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	NV	Failed 195-215
27-Feb-08	H.R. 5351	110th	<b>Energy Taxes and Renewable Tax Credits.</b> Vote on final passage of H.R. 5351, the Renewable Energy and Energy Conservation Tax Act of 2008. Bill included renewal of energy efficiency and renewable energy tax credits slated to expire at the end of 2008, but also included language that would have imposed billions of dollars of taxes on domestic oil and gas industry, would lead to higher energy/gasoline costs. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Passed 236-182
4-Aug-07	H.R. 3221	110th	<b>MTR H.R.3221:</b> The Republican MTR would strike the entire text of HR 3221 and replace it with pro-American energy production provisions including more oil and gas from ANWR, OCS, and oil shale, more refineries, more clean coal, as well as promote more efficiencies and energy technology R&D. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Failed 169-244
4-Aug-07	H.R. 3221 H.Amdt. 748	110th	<b>RPS (Udall Amendment):</b> A one-size-fits-all federal Renewable Portfolio Standard mandate that ignores the available energy resources and economic needs of the individual states. There are significant regional differences in availability, amount and types of renewable energy resources, resulting in different regions of the country relying on different fuel mixes. The Udall RPS requirement could cost electricity consumers billions of dollars in higher electricity prices, but with no guarantee that additional renewable generation will actually be developed. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Passed 220-190
4-Aug-07	H.R. 2776	110th	<b>Punitive Energy Taxes:</b> The bill would raise taxes on American energy production to pay for "green pork" projects. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Passed 221-189
27-Jun-07	HR 2643 H.Amdt. 448	110th	<b>Oil Shale (Udall Amendment).</b> Rep. Udall (CO) offered an amendment to prohibit production of the 1.5 Trillion barrels of oil in oil shale on federal lands. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Passed 219-215.

26-Jun-07	H.R. 2643 H.Amdt 405	110th	<b>Expanding U.S. Energy Supplies.</b> Vote on a Peterson (R-PA) amendment that would have lifted the federal moratoria with respect to natural gas preleasing, leasing, and related activities beyond 25 miles from U.S. coastlines. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	NV	Failed 196-233
20-Jun-07	H.R. 2641 H.Amdt.350	110th	<b>Transmission Corridors (Hinchey/E&amp;W amendment):</b> Hinchey amendment would prohibit the siting of new transmission lines that could be used to bring clean electricity from renewable and alternative sources to American consumers. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	NV	Failed 174-257
24-Apr-07	H.R. 363	110th	<b>Sowing the Seeds Through Science and Engineering Research Act:</b> MTR would promote expanded domestic energy production and use through coal-to-liquids technology and advanced nuclear reprocessing. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	NV	Passed 264-154
8-Feb-07	H.R. 547	110th	<b>Advanced Fuels Infrastructure Research and Development Act</b> MTR to increase supply of alternative clean coal-to-liquids fuels. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	NV	Failed 200-207
18-Jan-07	H.R. 6	110th	<b>Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007:</b> Summary of taxes and mandates. The Heritage Foundation said the bill was "loaded down with counterproductive measures that would have raised energy prices." <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Passed 264-163
8-Dec-06	H.R. 6111	109th	<b>Tax Relief and Health Care Act:</b> Contained the Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act of 2006 language which required leasing for oil and gas in 8.3 million acres in the GOM, including 5.8 million acres that were previously held under Congressional moratoria. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	Yes	Passed 367-45
29-Jun-06	H.R. 4761	109th	<b>Deep Ocean Energy Exploration:</b> This measure would have provided incentives to coastal states to permit offshore oil and natural gas exploration under strict environmental protection. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 232-187
7-Jun-06	H.R. 5254	109th	<b>Refinery Regulatory Reform:</b> This measure sought to lower gas prices by expediting the permitting process and judicial review for expansion of refineries. No new refinery has been built in the U.S. since the 1970s, and even expansions of existing refineries have not kept pace with growing demand for gasoline in recent years. Consequently, the price consumers pay at the pump reflects not only high oil prices due to tight supplies, but also high refining costs due to tight refining capacity. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 238-179

25-May-06	H.R. 5429	109th	<b>ANWR:</b> To direct the Secretary of the Interior to establish and implement a competitive oil and gas leasing program that will result in an environmentally sound program for the exploration, development, and production of the oil and gas resources of the Coastal Plain of Alaska, and for other purposes. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 225-201
7-Oct-05	H.R. 3893	109th	<b>Gasoline for America (GAS) Act:</b> The bill was crafted to increase refinery capacity for gasoline, heating oil, diesel fuel, and jet fuel. To accomplish this goal, the bill sought to reduce red-tape that prevented refinery expansion. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 212-210
28-Jul-05	H.R. 6	109th	<b>Energy Policy Act of 2005 Conference Report:</b> Although conferees dropped the provision permitting ANWR exploration, the final conference report included numerous measures to increase domestic energy supplies. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 275-156
24-May-05	H.R. 2419 H.Amdt.197	109th	<b>Yucca Mountain (Markey/E&amp;W Amendment):</b> Amendment sought to reduce funding for reprocessing and nuclear waste management. The intent of the amendment was to further hinder new nuclear power. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Failed 110-312
19-May-05	H.R. 2361 H.Amdt.172	109th	<b>Natural Gas Exploration:</b> Natural gas exploration on much of the Outer Continental Shelf has largely been prohibited under a federal moratorium since 1990. In an effort to locate additional domestic energy resources, Representative John Peterson (R-PA) offered an amendment to the FY 2006 Interior Appropriations bill to exempt natural gas from the moratorium. <b>The pro energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Failed 157-262
21-Apr-05	H.R. 6	109th	<b>Energy Policy Act of 2005:</b> A comprehensive pro-American energy bill promoting increased oil and gas production, renewable and alternative energies, as well as conservation and efficiencies, and energy technologies. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 249-183
21-Apr-05	H.R.6 H.Amdt.99	109th	<b>Siting of Liquefied Natural Gas Terminals.</b> Vote on a Castle (R-DE) amendment to energy bill H.R. 6 that would have allowed states to block Federal Energy Regulatory Commission decisions for siting liquefied natural gas (LNG) facilities. To keep pace with growing demand, new gas supply options from multiple sources are needed, including the importation of LNG. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Failed 194- 237
20-Apr-05	H.R. 6 H.Amdt.83	109th	<b>Expansion of Refineries:</b> The Energy Policy Act included several provisions to boost domestic oil production and refinery capacity. This amendment offered by Rep. Solis (D-CA) would strip the provisions designed to give incentives to increase gasoline supplies through increased refinery capacity. The section included language streamlining the permitting rules and reviews. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Failed 182-248

20-Apr-05	H.R. 6 H.Amdt.72	109th	<b>ANWR (Markey Amdt.):</b> The Energy Policy Act contained a provision allowing environmentally sound production of oil and gas on the Arctic coastal plain. This amendment by Rep. Ed Markey (D-MA) would strike the ANWR language. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Failed 200-231
16-Jun-04	H.R. 4517	108th	<b>Oil Refinery Expansion:</b> This bill provided incentives to increase supplies of gasoline by expanding refinery capacity. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 239-192
16-Jun-04	H.R. 4545	108th	<b>Gas Price Reduction Act:</b> The objective of the bill is to reduce the number of so-called boutique fuel blends. The growth in such blends, caused by the necessity of meeting air quality standards in certain areas, results in tighter supplies and higher prices. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Failed 194-236
15-Jun-04	H.R. 4503	108th	<b>Energy Policy Act of 2004:</b> To enhance energy conservation and research and development, to provide for security and diversity in the energy supply for the American people, and for other purposes. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 244-178
15-Jun-04	H.R. 4513	108th	<b>Regulatory Relief for Alternative Energy:</b> A bill to streamline licensing and siting rules for alternative energy projects on federal lands. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes</b>	Yes	No	Passed 229-186
18-Nov-03	H.R. 6	108th	<b>Energy Bill Conference Report:</b> To enhance energy conservation and research and development, to provide for security and diversity in the energy supply for the American people, through increased and environmentally sound production of U.S. oil and gas resources, renewable and alternatives like hydropower and geothermal, clean coal, and authorizing the Alaska natural gas pipeline. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 246-180
15-Oct-03	H.R. 6	108th	<b>Off-Shore Energy Study:</b> A provision in the Energy Policy Act would have instructed the Interior Department to conduct an inventory of the entire OCS for potential oil and gas resources. Representative Lois Capps (D-CA) opposed this study and offered a motion to instruct conferees to exclude the inventory provision from the final conference report. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Passed 229-182
11-Apr-03	H.R. 6	108th	<b>Energy Policy Act of 2003:</b> A comprehensive bill to increase environmentally sound production of American energy, including from oil and gas in ANWR, alternative and renewable energies such as hydropower, as well as nuclear, efficiencies and new energy technologies. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 247-175
10-Apr-03	H.R. 6 H.Amdt.69	108th	<b>ANWR (Markey Amdt.):</b> The Energy Policy Act contained a provision allowing environmentally sound production of oil and gas on the Arctic coastal plain. This amendment by Rep. Ed Markey (D-MA) would strike the ANWR language. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Failed 197-228

17-Jul-02	H.R. 5093 H.Amdt.540	107th	<b>Deep Ocean Drilling:</b> During consideration of the FY 2003 Interior Appropriations bill, Representative Lois Capps (D-CA) offered an amendment to prohibit potential drilling in 36 California off-shore leases. There was no drilling ongoing when the amendment was offered, but some Members of the California delegation sought to preemptively foreclose any future exploration. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Passed 252-172
8-May-02	H.J. Res. 87	107th	<b>Nuclear:</b> Approving the site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, for the development of a repository for the disposal of high-level radioactive waste and spent nuclear fuel, pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 306-117
2-Aug-01	H.R. 4	107th	<b>Securing America's Future Energy Act:</b> The omnibus bill included dozens of reforms designed to increase the security and diversity of the domestic energy supply. After the defeat of the Markey Amendment the final bill included language permitting exploration of ANWR, and represented a step toward energy independence and lower energy prices for the United States. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 240-189
1-Aug-01	H.R. 4 H.Amdt.298	107th	<b>ANWR (Markey Amdt.):</b> The Energy Policy Act contained a provision allowing environmentally sound production of oil and gas on the Arctic coastal plain. This amendment by Rep. Ed Markey (D-MA) would strike the ANWR language. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Failed 206-223
28-Jun-01	H.R. 2311 H.Amdt.127	107th	<b>Great Lakes Energy:</b> Energy production has occurred under the Great Lakes since 1979, but a moratorium had been put in place against new drilling. Rep. Bonior's (D-MI) amendment would further prohibit production of energy in the Great Lakes. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Passed 265-157
21-Jun-01	H.R. 2217 H.Amdt.107	107th	<b>Gulf of Mexico Energy:</b> An amendment by Rep. Davis (FL) that would prohibit the environmentally sound production of oil and gas in the deep ocean leases of Lease 181. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Passed 247-164
22-Mar-00	S.1287	106th	<b>Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 2000:</b> An original bill to provide for the storage of spent nuclear fuel pending completion of the nuclear waste repository, and for other purposes. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 253-167
30-Oct-97	H.R.1270	105th	<b>To amend the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982.</b> Instruct the Secretary of Energy (the Secretary) to: (1) develop and operate a repository for the permanent geologic disposal of spent nuclear fuel and fuel and high-level radioactive waste; (2) accept spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste by no later than January 31, 2002; (3) provide for the transportation of such wastes; and (4) pursue expeditiously the development of each component of the integrated management system. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 307-120

21-May-96	H.R.3415	104th	<b>Gas Tax Increase Repeal:</b> To amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to repeal the 4.3-cent increase in the transportation motor fuels excise tax rates enacted by the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993 and dedicated to the general fund of the Treasury. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 301-108
20-Nov-95	H.R. 2491	104th	<b>ANWR (On Agreeing to the Senate Amendment of Balanced Budget Act of 1995):</b> The bill contained provisions to open a small portion of the Arctic coastal plain to environmentally sound production of oil and gas. This provision survived both the House and Senate but was vetoed by President Clinton on December 12, 1995. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 235-192 Clinton Vetoed 12/06/95
8-Nov-95	S.395	104th	<b>OCS:</b> This bill established a program to incentivize the environmentally sound production of oil and natural gas from the deep ocean resources. According to the U.S. Department of Interior, since this provision passed, it has contributed to a 550 percent increase in oil production in the deepwater through the year 2004. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 289-134
26-Oct-95	H.R. 2491	104th	<b>Balanced Budget Act of 1995: ANWR:</b> The bill contained provisions to open a small portion of the Arctic coastal plain to environmentally sound production of oil and gas. This provision survived both the House and Senate but was vetoed by President Clinton on December 12, 1995. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	No	Passed 227-203
10-Aug-93	H.R.2264	103rd	<b>BTU Tax (Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993):</b> The bill included a pay for in the form of a "Btu tax" that would have imposed a tax on coal, crude oil, natural gas, hydropower, and nuclear power. It would have affected imports as well as domestically produced energy. Estimates put the cost to every U.S. household at between \$400 and \$500 per year, and would result in the loss of between 400,000 and 600,000 U.S. jobs. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Passed 219-213
5-Oct-92	H.R. 776	102nd	<b>Energy Policy Act of 1992 (Conference Report):</b> The Bill created a framework for a competitive wholesale electricity generation market to increase competition, provided for R&D for fuels from oil shale and clean coal, and promoted the production natural gas through a coal bed methane recovery program. <b>The pro-energy vote was yes.</b>	Yes	Yes	Passed 363-60