Archived Information

Appendix A: Survey Data and Analysis Methods

Schools Survey

In order to reduce the burden of response on charter schools, the Center on Reinventing Public Education (CRPE) cooperated with an ongoing study of charter schools being conducted by RPP International under contract to the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education. In the 1997–98 school year, RPP was in the third year of a multiyear national study of charter schools, collecting data from all charter schools then in existence. CRPE developed a number of questions about accountability approaches and practices, and these questions were incorporated into a larger survey being administered to all charter schools in existence in spring 1998. There were 373 charter schools in the universe of interest (i.e., charter schools in existence for at least one full academic year by spring 1998) and, of these, 294 (78.8 percent) responded. RPP gave the CRPE team access to all 3 years of data on these schools, thus providing extensive information on the initial experiences and growth of the vast majority of the charter schools that have survived the challenges of new laws, new regulations, and a new way of delivering public education. Information on the number of schools, school origin, grade levels, and authorizing agency for the schools in the RPP survey is provided in the table below.

Respondents to RPP Charter School Survey

				s	chool orig	in	Grade levels				Charter agency		
	Number of schools	Average years of operation	Average enroll- ment	New	Public	Private	Elemen- tary	Middle / jr high	High school	All grades	State	District	University
Total	294	2.9	296	175	67	38	139	64	47	30	117	91	42
Alaska	1	2.0	95	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Arizona	64	2.4	212	41	9	14	25	18	15	6	35	11	12
California	71	3.6	492	38	33	0	40	17	9	5	27	40	0
Colorado	30	3.2	222	26	3	1	14	10	1	5	4	22	0
Delaware	2	2.0	225	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
D.C.	1	2.0	95	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Florida	3	2.0	121	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	0
Georgia	8	2.4	706	0	8	0	6	1	1	0	7	1	0
Louisiana	3	2.0	83	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	0
Massachusetts	15	2.7	293	13	2	0	7	3	4	1	14	0	0
Michigan	53	2.5	204	34	5	14	31	7	7	8	5	6	29
Minnesota	15	3.9	136	12	2	1	7	3	1	4	10	4	0
New Mexico	4	4.0	968	0	4	0	1	1	2	0	3	1	0
Texas	14	1.9	253	7	0	7	3	6	0	0	13	0	1
Wisconsin	10	2.8	133	4	5	1	4	2	3	1	2	7	0

Authorizer Survey

CRPE contacted 54 chartering agencies in 6 states, interviewing all agencies in some states (where there was only 1 or a very few eligible chartering agencies) and a random sample of agencies in others. Responses were received from 53 of the agencies for a total response rate of 98 percent. These agencies together are responsible for a total of 513 charters in the 1998–99 school year with a range of 1 to 68 schools per agency with an average of 9.9 per agency. On average, state chartering agencies charter the largest number of schools (48), followed by colleges/universities (and average of 19.6) and, far behind, local school districts (4.4 schools per district, on average). The oldest had been in existence for 8 years at the time of the survey; the newest had been chartered in the 1998–99 school year.

Table 1

	Total	Local district	State	College	AZ	CA	СО	GA
Total agencies surveyed:	53	41	4	7	11	14	10	6
Year schools chartered:								
1992 and 1993	30	20	0	0	0	16	11	0
1994	120	22	35	63	20	10	5	8
1995	111	27	61	23	54	11	3	11
1996	99	27	47	25	38	9	8	16
1997	153	85	42	26	69	20	8	19
Total schools:	513	181	185	137	181	66	35	54
Range of charter schools per agency:	1-68	1-30	20-68	1-51	1-68	1-28	1-8	1-29
Average charter schools per agency:	9.9	4.4	48.3	19.6	16.5	4.7	3.5	9.0

The type of chartering agency (i.e., local district, state agency, or college/university) is more predictive of the operations of the agency than is the state in which the agency charters schools. Thus, if a state permits charters to be granted by a state agency, a local district and/or a college or university, the operations of the agencies will be more likely to resemble agencies of the same kind in another state more than they will agencies of a different type in the same state.

A- 2



Special Study on Accountability Issues Facing Charter Schools

OMB #1850-0748 Expires 9/30/99

Center on Reinventing Public Education University of Washington

Survey of Charter Authorizing Authorities

As part of the Special Study on Accountability Issues Facing Charter Schools, we are asking you to complete this survey which asks about your agency and the charter schools with which you have worked. Your responses will be kept strictly confidential and will not be shared with state agencies or individual charter schools. When we report data, we will do so in a grouped way so that no individual agency can be identified with its responses. You may respond by returning this form by e-mail, through the mail (using the enclosed pre-addressed postage-paid envelope) or over the telephone with the project Research Associate. A copy of the report will be sent to you at the completion of the study. We appreciate your cooperation!

Lawrence C. Pierce.

Th	e A	gency
1.	Na	me of authorizing agency
2.	Тур	pe of entity:
		Local school board Intermediate education board County superintendent (if different from local school board) State educational agency Community college Four-year college/university Other (please specify)
3.	Wh	nen were you first authorized to grant school charters?
4.	Α.	How many <u>individual</u> staff members are employed by this agency specifically to work with charter schools?
	В.	Please indicate in the spaces below the number of full-time-equivalent (FTE) staff who work with charter schools in either a support or a professional capacity:
		 (1) Support (administrative assistance, clerical, data entry, etc.) (2) Professional (supervision, financial or curriculum assistance, etc.) FTE

The Charter Application/Review Process

5.		t recent charter application cycle, did you formally announce that your agency was ations to operate charter schools?					
	l No	☐ Yes which of the following did you use? (Please check all that apply.)					
		 □ ads or stories we placed in local newspapers □ announcements on radio or TV □ newsletters for teacher unions, parent groups, etc. □ other (Please describe) 					
6.	hich of the following materials or services did you provide to potential charter applicants during your ost recent charter application cycle? (Please check all that apply)						
	Provided co	pies of State Charter law and regulations to applicants					
	Provided ad	Iditional materials/explanations about accountability issues					
	Notified pote	ential applicants of your agency priorities (things you will especially look for)					
	Sponsored i	meetings of, or informational sessions for, charter school applicants					
	Offered tech (Please desci	nnical assistance to applicants during the application process ribe below)					
	•	plicants to other forms of technical assistance during the application process be individuals/agencies to which you refer applicants)					

7. In the table below, please enter the approximate number of charter application packets or forms you have distributed each year since you have been a chartering agency, and the number of completed applications you received each year you have been in operation. (Non-profit organizations/groups might include a consortium of home-schooling families or a community organization. A completed application or a single charter covering more than one site should be recorded as a single application.)

		Number of completed applications received from:						
School year (e.g., 1992-93)	Estimated # of applications distributed	Existing public schools	Existing private schools	Non-profit organizations/ groups	For-profit groups	Other new entities		
1992-93								
1993-94								
1994-95								
1995-96								
1996-97								
1997-98								

8.	. Which of the following participated in either the review or selection of charter applications during your most recent cycle? Please check all that apply and then indicate whether the participation was in (1) reviewing the applications and/or (2) participating in the selection decision by voting, etc.						
			Review	Selection			
		Agency staff					
		Advisory board members					
		Members of State Educational Agency(if different from authorizing agency)					
		Public school administrator(s)					
		Local school board member(s)					
		Public school teacher(s)					
		Parent(s)					
		Community leaders					
		Others (Please describe below)					
9.	use´	eviewing charter applications during your most re? (Please check all that apply and please provided Please describe below Please check all that apply and please provided Please describe below Please check all that apply and please provided Please describe below Please check all that apply and please provided Please describe below Please check all that apply and please provided Please Plea	ne or more	es of these materials, if available.)			
	 Informal checklist of criteria influenced by state law and experience (Could you describe these criteria on a separate sheet or provide materials?) Informal, unwritten set of criteria based on state law and agency experience (Could you describe these criteria on a separate sheet or provide materials?) 						
	_	Sense of the overall quality of application as co	ompared to	competing applications			

10. For each year of your agency's operation, how many applications for charter schools have you approved and how many have you rejected or deferred until a later cycle? For approved applications, please indicate (in parentheses) the number of approved applications that have actually opened as of this date.

		nber /rejected:	Number of applications approved and (opened):						
School year (e.g., 1992-93)	Deferred	Rejected	Existing public schools	Existing private schools	Non-profit groups	For-profit groups	Other new entities		
1992-93									
1993-94									
1994-95									
1995-96									
1996-97									
1997-98									

11. If you have rejected one or more charter applications since the inception of your chartering authority, please indicate the importance of each reason and the estimated number of applications that were rejected primarily because of this characteristic.

	Often important	Sometimes important	Seldom important	# of rejected applications
Reason for not approving	-			
Incomplete application (not all requested information provided	d)3	2	1	
Inadequate planning	3	2	1	
Inadequate financing	3	2	1	
Lack of facility	3	2	1	
Lack of community outreach process	3	2	1	
Weak educational ideas	3	2	1	
Lack of parent support	3	2	1	
Opposition of local school board	3	2	1	
Opposition of teachers in existing school	3	2	1	
Opposition of teacher union	3	2	1	
Duplicates existing charters	3	2	1	
Lack of accountability system	3	2	1	
Weak accountability system	3	2	1	
Not responsive to authorizing agency priorities	3	2	1	
Quality of proposal not as high as others received	3	2	1	
Other significant reasons? (Please describe below)				

12. Does your state have a process by which rejected	l applica	ints can appeal for another review?
☐ Yes(Please go to next question)		No(Please skip to Question #14)

13. How many of the applicants rejected by your agency since the inception of your chartering authority actually used the state appeal process? How many of these applicants were subsequently approved on appeal?

Number of your rejections appeale Number who subsequently receive		om your agency?	
Monitoring/Reviewing Charte	Operations		
14. Do you provide written accoun provided in the charter school			standards are
Yes (Please attach a copy	of these guidelines to your res	sponse) \square No	
15. Once a charter has been fund (Please check all that apply below		(s) do you use?	
☐ Visit just prior to charter open	ing to assure that it is doing v	hat it said it would do	
☐ Conduct scheduled visits to so	hool (How many times per ye	ar?)	
☐ Conduct unscheduled, drop-in	visits to school (How many ti	mes per year?	
Require annual financial audit			
☐ Require written progress repo	ts (How many per year?)	
Other (please specify)		_	
16. Are you aware of any other ag audits of the schools you have		ral) that have conducted co	ompliance reviews or
Yes (Please go to next que	estion)	(Please skip to Question #18)	1
17. Please describe the agencies resulted from these reviews.	nvolved, the audits or revi	ews they conducted, and v	vhat reports/actions
18. Which of the following types of which do they provide to you?		I require your charter scho Provides to authorizing agency	ols to collect and
Parent satisfaction surveys			
Staff satisfaction surveys			
Portfolios of student work			
School attendance data			
School completion records (dropo	ut rate, etc.)		

		Collects	authorizing agency	
	Standardized achievement test data (What tests are used for what grades, including			
	tests when admitted to school? Please list belo	w:		
	Other (Please describe below:)			
19.	Do you have formal warning and/or probation s	ystems in pla	ce for your charter scl	nools?
	☐ Yes	L J 1	No	
20.	Have you ever conducted an investigation of a charters?	charter school	ol since you were auth	norized to grant
	Yes (Please continue with the questions below	w)	No (Please skip to Que	stion 22)
21.	When you have had to conduct an investigation investigation? For each situation listed, please investigation triggered primarily by the situation	indicate the	number of schools inv	olved in an
	,,		Resolution (# of scho	ols where:)
	# of school involv	ols No actio	5	Charter revoked
	Complaints received from parents			
	Declining enrollment			
	Financial irregularities			
	Low test scores			
	Disciplinary incidents			
	Complaints from teachers, others			
	Complaints from teacher unions			
	Failure to comply with terms of the charter.			
	Other ()			

Provides to

Renewing Charters 22. How often must the schools your agency has chartered apply for renewal? On demand Every three years Every five years Other (Please specify.) 23. Have you developed a formal charter renewal process that is distinct from the monitoring process described in the previous section? Yes (Please continue with the questions below) No (Please skip to Question 28) 24. Which of the following are parts of the charter renewal process? (Please check all that apply.) Final, summary report from school Strategic plan for future of school П Financial audit Formal record of school progress toward goals Summary site visit Completion of renewal application form Other (Please describe.) 25. What elements of school performance are most important to your agency as you consider renewal of a charter? Please rank each of the possible performance measures listed below in terms of its importance to you in considering school renewal, with the most important area given a "1," the second a "2" and so forth. Please add any additional measures you use to decide on renewal. Rank Academic achievement Student disciplinary incidents School attendance rates Ability of school to maintain student enrollment Parent satisfaction levels Teacher turnover rates Financial stability/financial record keeping

26	. Have you failed to renew one or more charter ag	reements?		
	Yes (Please continue with the questions below)			
	☐ No (Please skip to Question 28)			
27	. What were the most important reasons for failing t listed, please indicate the number of schools invol is, whether the decision was appealed, whether the withdrawn and the charter renewed.)	ved and indica	te how the situ	lation was resolved: that
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Reso	olution (# of school	ols where:)
	# of schools <u>involved</u>	Decision appealed	Decision upheld	Decision withdrawn; charter renewed
	Complaints received from parents			
	Declining enrollment			
	Financial irregularities			
	Low test scores			
	Disciplinary incidents			
	Complaints from teachers, others			
	Complaints from teacher unions			
	Failure to comply with terms of charter			
	Other (Please describe below)			
28.	Have you made changes in your accountability por monitoring and reviewing charter school performant		dures because	of your experience
	Yes (Please describe below)		No	
29	Based on your experience to date, what accounta suggest for charter schools in your state in the fut		es, regulations	or processes would you
30	. Finally, are you sending us any supporting materia	als in a separat	e mailing?	
	Yes		No	
		T I I	6	

Thank you for your assistance!