

**Table A – New Hampshire
Part C Issues Identified in the State Performance Plan**

SPP Indicator	Issue	Required Action
<p>Indicator 1: Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. (20 USC 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)</p>	<p>Noncompliance: The State reported on p. 11 of the SPP a 94% level of compliance for Indicator 1 in the SPP, specifically the requirements at 34 CFR §§303.322(e)(1), 303.322(e)(2) and 303.342(a). While this level of compliance is below 100% and requires improvement activities to achieve full compliance, OSEP recognizes the effort made by the State in working toward compliance with this requirement.</p> <p>Other: (1) The State's timeliness standard begins with when the "IFSP was approved." OSEP assumes that this is when parent consent is provided for early intervention services under 34 CFR §303.404(a)(2). In addition, the State's timeliness standards measure whether eligible children received all early intervention services over a six-month period, and for data-reporting purposes, the State used a standard of early intervention services provided within 30 days from IFSP approval.</p> <p>(2) In the State's computation of its baseline data for this compliance indicator, the State included in its reported percentage children for whom reasonable delays were attributable to exceptional child or family circumstances documented in the child's record.</p>	<p>Noncompliance: OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement.</p> <p>Other: (1) The State must review its standard to confirm that IFSP approval is equivalent to when a parent consents to the provision of early intervention services under 34 CFR §303.404(a)(2). The State must submit its revised standard in the FFY 2005 APR due February 1, 2007.</p> <p>(2) In the FFY 2005 APR, due February 1, 2007, the State should not include in its compliance percentage calculation children for whom the State has identified the cause for the delay as exceptional family circumstances documented in the child's record. The State must include in its discussion of data, the numbers it used to determine its calculation under this Indicator and report separately the number of documented delays attributable to child or family circumstances.</p>

**Table A – New Hampshire
Part C Issues Identified in the State Performance Plan**

SPP Indicator	Issue	Required Action
<p>Indicator 2: Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. (20 USC 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)</p>	<p>Other: While the State's targets for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments do not demonstrate an increase, OSEP recognizes that the State's improvement plan is designed to maintain a high level of performance. The State reported more than 95% of infants and toddlers are receiving services in natural environments and the State has established targets above 95% for this Indicator and is monitoring to ensure that the decision regarding natural environments is individualized. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to serve infants and toddlers with disabilities in natural environments to the maximum extent appropriate to the child's needs.</p>	<p>No action required.</p>
<p>Indicator 7: Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. (20 USC 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)</p>	<p>Noncompliance: The State reported a 96.6% level of compliance for this indicator, specifically the requirements at 34 CFR §§303.322(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). While this level of compliance is below 100% and requires improvement activities to achieve full compliance, OSEP recognizes the effort made by the State in working toward compliance with this requirement.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement.</p>

**Table A – New Hampshire
Part C Issues Identified in the State Performance Plan**

SPP Indicator	Issue	Required Action
<p>Indicator 8: Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. IFSPs with transition steps and services B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. <p>(20 USC 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)</p>	<p>Other: In the State's computation of its baseline data for this compliance indicator, the State may have included children for whom reasonable delays were attributable to exceptional child or family circumstances documented in the child's record.</p>	<p>In the FFY 2005 APR, due February 1, 2007, the State should not include in the calculation children for whom the State has identified the cause for the delay as exceptional child or family circumstances documented in the child's record.</p> <p>The State must include in its discussion of data, the numbers it used to determine its calculation under this Indicator and report separately the number of documented delays attributable to family circumstances.</p>
<p>Noncompliance: <u>Indicator 8A:</u> The State reported a 97% level of compliance for this indicator, specifically the requirement at 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p> <p>While this level of compliance is below 100% and requires improvement activities to achieve full compliance, OSEP recognizes the effort made by the State in working toward compliance with this requirement.</p> <p><u>Indicator 8 C:</u> See Table B.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement.</p>	<p><u>Indicator 8 C:</u> See Table B.</p>

**Table A – New Hampshire
Part C Issues Identified in the State Performance Plan**

SPP Indicator	Issue	Required Action
<p>Indicator 9: General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)</p>	<p>Noncompliance: The State reported that findings of noncompliance related to Indicators 1, 7 and 8 were not timely corrected within one year from identification and that timely correction for these indicators ranged from 24% (for Indicator #1), 31% (for Indicator #8C), to 67% (for Indicator #7). The State included improvement activities to address these findings.</p>	<p>The State must ensure that it timely corrects State-identified noncompliance and include data in the APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate compliance with this requirement.</p> <p>The State should review and, if necessary revise, its improvement strategies included in the SPP to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the APR, that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement. Failure to demonstrate compliance at that time may affect OSEP's determination of the State's status under section 616(d) of the IDEA.</p>