

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

OFFICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION AND REHABILITATIVE SERVICES

MAR 14 2006

Honorable D. Kent King Commissioner Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education P.O. Box 480 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0480

Dear Commissioner King:

Thank you for your timely submission of Missouri's State Performance Plan (SPP) for review under Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Section 616(b) of the Act requires States to submit, within one year after the date of enactment of the reauthorized IDEA, an SPP that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describes how the State will improve implementation. We appreciate the State's efforts in preparing the SPP under a short timeline and in the face of many other competing priorities. In the SPPs, due by December 2, 2005, States were to include: (1) baseline data that reflect the State's efforts to implement Part C of the IDEA; (2) measurable and rigorous targets for the next six years for each of the indicators established by the Secretary in the priority areas under section 616(a) of the IDEA; and (3) activities the State will undertake to improve implementation of Part C.

The Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) is pleased to inform you that your State's SPP under Part C meets the requirements of section 616(b) to include measurable and rigorous targets and improvement activities. The State must make its SPP available through public means, including posting on the State lead agency's website, distribution to the media, and distribution through public agencies. (Section 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(I))

Under section 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(II) of the Act, the State must annually report to the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) on its performance under the SPP. The State's first Annual Performance Report (APR) on its progress in meeting its targets is due to OSEP by February 1, 2007. Attached to this letter you will find Table A that addresses issues identified during our review of the SPP that – while not requiring disapproval of your plan – will affect our annual determination of State performance and compliance based on data presented in the State's APR. As a result, your State needs to provide additional information as part of its February 2007 APR submission. Table B includes OSEP's analysis of your submission related to previously-identified noncompliance or other issues included in our October 27, 2005 letter that responded to your State's Federal fiscal year (FFY) 2003 APR, that also may require additional reporting.

The State's longstanding noncompliance, related to the requirements under 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (45-day timeline), and under 34 CFR §303.501 (timely correction of noncompliance) is reported under Indicators 7 and 9, respectively, in Table B. OSEP initially identified the noncompliance in both of these areas in March 2003 and required correction. In its May 7, 2004 letter responding to the State's FFY 2001 APR, OSEP required the State to submit final documentation demonstrating correction of the noncompliance by June 6, 2005. The State provided data in the SPP that demonstrate continuing noncompliance with those requirements. The level of compliance reported with the 45-day timeline (Indicator 7) was 75.4% for FFY 2004 (2004-2005) and 81.52% for the time period from January 1, 2005 to August 31, 2005. The level of compliance reported with the requirement for timely correction of noncompliance (Indicator 9A) was 60%. The State must provide data demonstrating compliance in both areas by June 1, 2006. Failure to provide data by that time demonstrating compliance may result in the State's being designated a "high risk" grantee or otherwise affect its FFY 2006 grant award.

The State's ICC had the option of using the State's SPP as its required Annual Performance Report under section 641(e)(1)(D) for FFY 2004. However, the State's ICC did not submit a certification with the SPP. States' APRs are due within 90 days of the end of the Federal fiscal year. Therefore, the State ICC must submit its FFY 2004 Performance Report to OSEP as soon as possible.

In addition to reporting to OSEP, the State must report annually to the public on the performance of each early intervention service (EIS) program located in the State on the targets in the State's performance plan. (Section 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(I)) The requirement for public reporting on EIS program performance is a critical provision related to ensuring accountability and focusing on improved results for infants and toddlers with disabilities. OSEP will be providing technical assistance regarding the reporting on EIS program performance at the National Accountability Conference, September 18 and 19, 2006 in Denver and through periodic technical assistance conference calls.

We hope that your State found the August 5, 2005 guidance on submission of the SPPs and the technical assistance that we provided through the August 11-12, 2005 Summer Institute, periodic conference calls, and the <u>SPP Resources</u> website helpful in this endeavor. If you have any feedback on our past technical assistance efforts or the needs of States for guidance, we would be happy to hear from you as we work to develop further mechanisms to support State improvement activities.

Thank you for your continued work to improve results for infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families. We encourage you to work closely with your State Contact as

Page 3 - Honorable D. Kent King

you proceed in implementing improvement activities and developing your APR. If you have any questions regarding the SPP or the APR, please contact Barbara Route at 202-245-7510.

Sincerely, Patricia J. Bush for

Troy R. Justesen Acting Director

Office of Special Education

Programs

Enclosures

Table A

Table B

cc: Pam Williams

Part C Coordinator

Part C ICC Chairperson