

Table B
Previously Identified Issues
INDIANA PART C

Issue	State Submission	OSEP Analysis	Required Action
<p>Indicator 8C: Whether there is compliance with the transition planning conference requirements at 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i).</p> <p>OSEP identified this issue as an area of noncompliance based on data from the State's 2001 Self Assessment and 2002 Improvement Plan. OSEP's February 2004 letter accepted the State's Improvement Plan and required the State to submit a final Progress Report showing correction of the noncompliance by September 3, 2004.</p> <p>In its FFY 2003 APR, the State reported that 71.1% of families whose child exited Part C when the child turned three had a transition meeting more than 90 days prior to the child's third birthday, and, of the families who did not have a transition planning meeting, 72.6% did not indicate the reason.</p> <p>OSEP's November 14, 2005 letter responding to the APR stated that it was unclear whether the data measured compliance with the requirements of 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i), because the State also noted that of the families who responded, 80.9% chose not to have the meeting, which would not constitute noncompliance. OSEP's letter required the State to clarify whether its transition conference data represented compliance with 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i), and, if they did not, to submit any additional or revised strategies to ensure compliance as soon as possible.</p>	<p>The State reported on pages 29 and 30 of the SPP, a 93% level of compliance with the Indicator 8C transition planning conference requirements at 34 CFR §303.348(b)(2)(i).</p>	<p>The State did not address whether it included in its calculation families who did not provide approval for the transition conference. While the State referenced the Indiana Family Transition Survey in the SPP appendix as the source of the data, the survey was not attached.</p> <p>As noted in Table A, Indicator 8, survey data may not serve as the sole basis for determining compliance with this indicator.</p> <p>See Table A for issues identified in the State Performance Plan relating to Indicator 8B.</p>	<p>The State must ensure that it is implementing improvement strategies that enable the State to include data in the APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement.</p> <p>Failure to demonstrate compliance at that time will affect OSEP's determination of the State's status under section 616(d) of the IDEA.</p>