

Table B – Connecticut Part C
Previously Identified Issues

Issue	State Submission	OSEP Analysis	Required Action
<p>SPP Indicator 8C –</p> <p>OSEP’s October 14, 2005 letter responding to Connecticut’s March 28, 2005 APR required the State to provide, in the SPP, updated data that demonstrate compliance with the transition conference planning requirement at 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i).</p> <p>OSEP’s October 2005 letter noted that from State fiscal year (SFY) 01 to SFY 03, the State reported data indicating improvement in compliance with this requirement from 69% to 73%.</p>	<p>The State reported, in the SPP at page 28, a 95% level of compliance with the requirement at 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i).</p>	<p>The State’s data reflects significant improvement in its efforts to ensure compliance with the requirements of 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i). While this level of compliance is below 100% and requires continued implementation of improvement activities to achieve full compliance, OSEP recognizes the effort made by the State in working toward compliance with this requirement.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing data under Indicator 8C in the APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement.</p>