

North Dakota Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98.07%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 59.26%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State provided updated data demonstrating that the remaining noncompliance related to this indicator that was reported under Indicator 9 of its FFY 2005 APR was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that it has corrected the remaining four findings. The State reported that it made a new finding related to this indicator in FFY 2006. The State must also report separately on the timely correction of that finding in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 92.07%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 98.26%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 96.4%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, criteria for defining "same age peers." The State provided the required information.</p>

North Dakota Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																
<p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</p>	<p>Social Emotional</p>	<p>Knowledge & Skills</p>	<p>Appropriate Behavior</p>	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>																
	<p>a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</p>	<p>50%</p>	<p>50%</p>	<p>50%</p>																	
	<p>b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p>0%</p>	<p>0%</p>	<p>0%</p>																	
	<p>c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</p>	<p>0%</p>	<p>0%</p>	<p>0%</p>																	
	<p>d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p>50%</p>	<p>50%</p>	<p>50%</p>																	
	<p>e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p>0%</p>	<p>0%</p>	<p>0%</p>																	
	<p>The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.</p>																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 1130 1419 1448"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2005 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Target</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights.</td> <td>84.51%</td> <td>85.12%</td> <td>85%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.</td> <td>88.68%</td> <td>88.46%</td> <td>89%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn.</td> <td>85.29%</td> <td>85.79%</td> <td>87%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	A. Know their rights.	84.51%	85.12%	85%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	88.68%	88.46%	89%	C. Help their children develop and learn.	85.29%	85.79%	87%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
	FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target																		
A. Know their rights.	84.51%	85.12%	85%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	88.68%	88.46%	89%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn.	85.29%	85.79%	87%																		

North Dakota Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>These data represent progress for 4A and 4C and slippage for 4B from the FFY 2005 data.</p> <p>The State met its target for 4A and did not meet its targets for 4B and 4C.</p>	
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.92%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.58%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 1.78%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 3.11%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data under IDEA section 618 of 3.02%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 2.98%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 56.25%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 39.39%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that initial IFSPs were completed for 31 of 32 children within 45 days of referral to the Part C program or the delays were due to documented family circumstances. However, the State also reported that only 18 of these 32 children (56.25%) had evaluations conducted in all five developmental areas. The State reported that the remaining 14 evaluations addressed all domains except hearing, which is data OSEP's June 15, 2007 SPP/APR FFY 2005 response table required the State to report regarding completion of evaluations in all five developmental areas (and specifically addressing vision and hearing).</p>	<p>As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State provided updated data demonstrating that the remaining noncompliance related to this indicator that was reported under Indicator 9 of its FFY 2005 APR was partially corrected. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it has ordered needed audiology equipment, assigned appropriate personnel, and revised its procedures to ensure correction. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that it has corrected the remaining four findings.</p> <p>In addition, the State must include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, data demonstrating that it is in compliance with</p>

North Dakota Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2)(i), 303.322(c)(3)(ii) and 303.322(e)(1) that evaluations in five developmental areas are conducted within 45 days of referral of the child to the Part C program (and specifically addressing vision and hearing).</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 95.52%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>

North Dakota Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]</p>		
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 83.3%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State provided updated data demonstrating that the remaining noncompliance related to this indicator that was reported under Indicator 9 of its FFY 2005 APR was corrected. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 44%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 28.57%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. For the uncorrected noncompliance related to Indicator 7, the State reported that it has ordered needed audiology equipment, assigned appropriate personnel, and revised its procedures to ensure correction.</p>	<p>As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State provided updated data demonstrating that the remaining noncompliance that was reported under Indicator 9 of its FFY 2005 APR was partially corrected. As noted above, the State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that it has corrected the remaining uncorrected findings for Indicators 1 and 7. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State is in compliance with the timely correction requirements in IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501. In addition, in responding to Indicators 1 and 7, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>

North Dakota Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 93.7%. However, OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 79.8%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State is in compliance with the data reporting requirements under IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p>