

Georgia Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 78.6%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 92%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that one of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 was corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that its Quality Improvement Section conducted follow-up activities with each district, which involved the development of corrective action plans, technical assistance plans, and project plans to address improvement strategies.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance was corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99.7%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 96%.</p>	<p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p>	<p>The State reported the required progress data. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data, targets, and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due</p>

Georgia Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																
<p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</p>	<p>Social Emotional</p>	<p>Knowledge & Skills</p>	<p>Appropriate Behavior</p>	<p>February 1, 2010.</p>																
	<p>a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</p>	<p>13.7%</p>	<p>14.3%</p>	<p>12.7%</p>																	
	<p>b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p>13.3%</p>	<p>16.9%</p>	<p>12.3%</p>																	
	<p>c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</p>	<p>16.7%</p>	<p>20.8%</p>	<p>11.0%</p>																	
	<p>d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p>46.7%</p>	<p>40.7%</p>	<p>52.6%</p>																	
	<p>e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p>9.6%</p>	<p>7.3%</p>	<p>11.4%</p>																	
	<p>The State did not provide improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.</p>																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 1133 1419 1453"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2005 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Target</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights.</td> <td>90%</td> <td>87%</td> <td>90%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.</td> <td>92%</td> <td>96%</td> <td>92%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn.</td> <td>91%</td> <td>96%</td> <td>91%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	A. Know their rights.	90%	87%	90%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	92%	96%	92%	C. Help their children develop and learn.	91%	96%	91%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
	FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target																		
A. Know their rights.	90%	87%	90%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	92%	96%	92%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn.	91%	96%	91%																		

Georgia Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>These data represent progress for 4B and 4C and slippage for 4A from the FFY 2005 data.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 targets for 4B and 4C and did not meet its target for 4A.</p>	
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .45%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of .48%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of .59%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.26%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 1.34%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.45%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 91.3%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 98%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that there were no findings of noncompliance related to this indicator identified in FFY 2005.</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, correction data on noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342. Although the State does not have the documentation to provide that data (due to staffing changes in the lead agency Part C office), it reported that in the Fall of 2007, as part of the State's reorganization of its Babies Can't Wait program, it conducted two-day site visits with</p>

Georgia Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>each district to examine the district's performance with APR indicators.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 77.2%. OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State reported that its FFY 2006 and FFY 2005 data are not comparable.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that two of five findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that its Quality Improvement Section conducted follow-up activities with each district, which involved the development of corrective action plans, technical assistance plans, and project plans to address improvement strategies.</p>	<p>The State reported that its FFY 2006 data were from the State's data system for all of its 18 districts and the FFY 2005 data were based on a different data system for six districts.</p> <p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance was corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 67%. OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to clarify in</p>

Georgia Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>State reported that its FFY 2006 and FFY 2005 data are not comparable.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not provide the number of children whose parents opted out of LEA notification.</p> <p>The State reported that there were no findings of noncompliance related to this indicator identified in FFY 2005.</p>	<p>the February 1, 2008 APR whether the State had adopted an opt-out policy under IDEA section 637(a)(9), 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) and OSEP's 2004 <i>Letter to Elder</i>. The State reported that it has adopted an opt-out policy under OSEP's 2004 <i>Letter to Elder</i>. The State must submit its opt-out policy as part of Section II of the State's Part C Application or confirm that it has previously submitted its opt-out policy to OSEP.</p> <p>The State reported that its FFY 2006 data were from the State's data system for all of its 18 districts and the FFY 2005 data were based on a different data system for six districts.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR. In addition, the State must report in the FFY 2007 APR, a numerical count of the children whose families declined LEA notification under the State's opt-out policy.</p>

Georgia Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 91.5%. OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State reported that its FFY 2006 and FFY 2005 data are not comparable. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that there were no findings of noncompliance related to this indicator identified in FFY 2005.</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, correction data on noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)). Although the State does not have the documentation to provide that data (due to staffing changes in the lead agency Part C office), it reported that in the Fall of 2007, as part of the State's reorganization of its Babies Can't Wait program, it conducted two-day site visits with each district to examine the district's performance with APR indicators.</p> <p>The State reported that its FFY 2006 data were from the State's data system for all of its 18 districts and the FFY 2005 data were based on a different data system for six districts. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 43%. However, OSEP recalculated the data to be 30.77%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 80%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that its Quality</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, correction data on noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 under this indicator. As noted above in Indicators 7 and 8C, although the State does</p>

Georgia Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]	Improvement Section conducted follow-up activities with each district, which involved the development of corrective action plans, technical assistance plans, and project plans to address improvement strategies.	<p>not have the documentation to provide those data (due to staffing changes in the lead agency Part C office), it reported that in the Fall of 2007, as part of the State’s reorganization of its Babies Can’t Wait program, it conducted two-day site visits with each district to examine the district’s performance with APR indicators.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in Indicator 9 from FFY 2005.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on one complaint. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1,</p>

Georgia Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]		2009.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that the one mediation held resulted in a mediation agreement. The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2006. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State did not provide a percentage for its FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator or report on the accuracy of its FFY 2006 IDEA section 618 and SPP/APR data. OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 93.3%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating with the percentage measurement required for this indicator that the State is in compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.