

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 86.8%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 74%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the targets and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98.5%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p>	<p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																								
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="550 297 1419 982"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="550 297 1062 472">06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1062 297 1180 472">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1180 297 1297 472">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1297 297 1419 472">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="550 472 1062 540">a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 472 1180 540">.8%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 472 1297 540">2.8%</td> <td data-bbox="1297 472 1419 540">1.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="550 540 1062 677">b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 540 1180 677">.2%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 540 1297 677">.6%</td> <td data-bbox="1297 540 1419 677">.2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="550 677 1062 777">c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 677 1180 777">3.3%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 677 1297 777">15.6%</td> <td data-bbox="1297 677 1419 777">10.3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="550 777 1062 878">d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 777 1180 878">21.9%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 777 1297 878">26.2%</td> <td data-bbox="1297 777 1419 878">26.8%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="550 878 1062 982">e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 878 1180 982">73.9%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 878 1297 982">54.9%</td> <td data-bbox="1297 878 1419 982">60.7%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.</p>	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	.8%	2.8%	1.9%	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	.2%	.6%	.2%	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	3.3%	15.6%	10.3%	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	21.9%	26.2%	26.8%	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	73.9%	54.9%	60.7%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																							
a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	.8%	2.8%	1.9%																							
b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	.2%	.6%	.2%																							
c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	3.3%	15.6%	10.3%																							
d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	21.9%	26.2%	26.8%																							
e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	73.9%	54.9%	60.7%																							

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the baseline, targets and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="550 381 1409 698"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2005 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Target</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights.</td> <td>80%</td> <td>74.9%</td> <td>81%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.</td> <td>77%</td> <td>71.6%</td> <td>78%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn.</td> <td>86%</td> <td>85.9%</td> <td>87%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State used FFY 2006 data to re-establish its baseline for this indicator.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 targets.</p>		FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	A. Know their rights.	80%	74.9%	81%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	77%	71.6%	78%	C. Help their children develop and learn.	86%	85.9%	87%	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
	FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target															
A. Know their rights.	80%	74.9%	81%															
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	77%	71.6%	78%															
C. Help their children develop and learn.	86%	85.9%	87%															
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the targets for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The revised targets are less rigorous. However, the State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the revised targets.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 3.12%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 2.92%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 2.85%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 95%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 93.3%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 96%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 95%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 73.2%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP will review the State's opt-out policy, and respond separately.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p> <p>The State reported that it has adopted an opt-out policy under OSEP's 2004 <i>Letter to Elder</i> and has submitted the policy to OSEP. OSEP will review the State's policy and respond to it in a separate document.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98.4%.</p> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 97.7%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance identified under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501, and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it continues to be in compliance with these requirements.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on three complaints.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 95.6%. However, OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 96.8%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p>