

Florida Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the baseline and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised its FFY 2004 baseline and FFY 2005 data to reflect the measurement for this indicator.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 60%. These data represent progress from the revised FFY 2005 data of 50%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that two of seven findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner and an additional four findings were corrected by January 15, 2008. For the one uncorrected finding of noncompliance, the State reported that the uncorrected finding of noncompliance was used as a factor in that EIS program's determination, the contract was terminated, a new contract was signed with another EIS program for that area, technical assistance was provided, and the State conducted an on-site follow-up visit to the new EIS program.</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to provide data in the February 1, 2008 APR based on the correct measurement. The Florida Department of Health (FDOH) provided revised FFY 2004, revised FFY 2005, and FFY 2006 data based on the correct measurement for this indicator.</p> <p>In addition, OSEP required FDOH to submit a revised definition of the IFSP service authorization date to OSEP with its FFY 2006 APR due February 1, 2008. On page 7 of the APR, FDOH confirmed that its policy for timely service delivery is "services must be initiated within three weeks from the date the service was agreed to by the IFSP team (which includes the parent and their provision of consent to early intervention services) and included on the IFSP." OSEP accepts this revision and no further action is required.</p> <p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was partially corrected. The State must report, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, on the correction of the one uncorrected FFY 2005 finding of noncompliance.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision</p>

Florida Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																								
		requirements, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.																								
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 71.6%. These data represent progress from the revised FFY 2005 data of 70.9% (which were submitted in the FFY 2006 APR to reflect the measurement for this indicator).</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 50%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																								
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 667 1419 1349"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 667 1062 841">06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1062 667 1178 841">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1178 667 1297 841">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1297 667 1419 841">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 841 1062 911">a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 841 1178 911">9.8%</td> <td data-bbox="1178 841 1297 911">11.9%</td> <td data-bbox="1297 841 1419 911">7.1%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 911 1062 1045">b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 911 1178 1045">65.8%</td> <td data-bbox="1178 911 1297 1045">40.5%</td> <td data-bbox="1297 911 1419 1045">47.6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1045 1062 1146">c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 1045 1178 1146">4.9%</td> <td data-bbox="1178 1045 1297 1146">23.8%</td> <td data-bbox="1297 1045 1419 1146">7.1%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1146 1062 1247">d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 1146 1178 1247">7.3%</td> <td data-bbox="1178 1146 1297 1247">9.5%</td> <td data-bbox="1297 1146 1419 1247">9.5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1247 1062 1349">e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 1247 1178 1349">12.2%</td> <td data-bbox="1178 1247 1297 1349">14.3%</td> <td data-bbox="1297 1247 1419 1349">28.6%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP (through FFY 2010).</p>	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	9.8%	11.9%	7.1%	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	65.8%	40.5%	47.6%	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	4.9%	23.8%	7.1%	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	7.3%	9.5%	9.5%	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	12.2%	14.3%	28.6%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009; and baseline data, targets, and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																							
a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	9.8%	11.9%	7.1%																							
b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	65.8%	40.5%	47.6%																							
c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	4.9%	23.8%	7.1%																							
d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	7.3%	9.5%	9.5%																							
e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	12.2%	14.3%	28.6%																							
<p>4. Percent of families participating</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR</p>																								

Florida Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																
<p>in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 331 1419 651"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2005 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Target</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights.</td> <td>55.9%</td> <td>53.8%</td> <td>55.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.</td> <td>52.5%</td> <td>50.0%</td> <td>52.5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn.</td> <td>57.6%</td> <td>64.4%</td> <td>57.6%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data for Indicators 4C and slippage for Indicators 4A and 4B.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 targets for Indicator 4C and did not meet its targets for Indicators 4A and 4B.</p>		FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	A. Know their rights.	55.9%	53.8%	55.9%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	52.5%	50.0%	52.5%	C. Help their children develop and learn.	57.6%	64.4%	57.6%	<p>response table required the State to provide in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 an analysis of whether its data for this indicator is based on a survey response group that is representative of the State's population. On page 17 of the APR, FDOH provided an analysis of the representativeness of its survey response group.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance for Indicator 4C and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance for Indicators 4A, 4B and 4C in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
	FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target															
A. Know their rights.	55.9%	53.8%	55.9%															
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	52.5%	50.0%	52.5%															
C. Help their children develop and learn.	57.6%	64.4%	57.6%															
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .60%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of .67%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of .68%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>																
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.68%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 1.80%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.87%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>																
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 86%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 85%.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR</p>																

Florida Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that three of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>§§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 79%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 64%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that two of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining finding was corrected by January 15, 2008.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFYs 2004 and 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 82%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 88%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that three of four findings of noncompliance identified in</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide</p>

Florida Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining finding was corrected by January 15, 2008.</p>	<p>data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in the SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 78%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 70%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected in a timely manner. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 67% (which represents correction of 29 of 43 FFY 2005 findings). These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 74%. The State reported updated data for this indicator that 41 of 43 FFY 2005 findings were corrected by April 14, 2008. The State reported in Indicators 1 and 9 program-specific follow-up activities for uncorrected FFY 2005 findings. Specifically, the State reported that it required additional reporting of the EIS program with continuing noncompliance, utilized information in the Early Steps data</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in Indicators 1 and 9 from FFY 2005. The State must review its improvement activities and revise, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006</p>

Florida Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>system as a means to verify progress toward correction of the noncompliance, and provided technical assistance on the use of Early Steps data to track six month and annual review of the IFSP.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>(2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with 20 U.S.C. 1232d(b)(3)(E) and 34 CFR §§300.149 and 300.600.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on two complaints.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to confirm in the February 1, 2008 APR that the complaints that were withdrawn in FFY 2005 were withdrawn within the applicable timeline and provide this information for its FFY 2006 data as well. On page 39 of the APR, FDOH reported that the three signed written complaints received during 2005-2006 and subsequently withdrawn were withdrawn by the complainants due to local resolution within the 60-day timeline. FDOH reported no complaints withdrawn for FFY 2006. No further action is required.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2006 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to confirm in the February 1, 2008 APR that the hearing request resolved through mediation in FFY 2005 was resolved within the 30-day timeline and provide this information for its FFY 2006 data as well. On page 40 of the APR, FDOH reported that the hearing request resolved in FFY 2005 through</p>

Florida Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>mediation was not resolved within the 30-day timeline due to FDOH's efforts to consult with legal counsel regarding the rights of the family and the responsibility of the lead agency because the child was over the age of three. No due process hearings were requested during FFY 2006. No further action is required.</p> <p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted Part B due process procedures.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to confirm in the February 1, 2008 APR that the one mediation request received in FFY 2005 that was withdrawn was withdrawn within the 30-day timeline for due process hearings if the request was related to a due process hearing. On page 42 of the APR, FDOH confirmed that the request was withdrawn within the timeline. No further action is required.</p> <p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>

Florida Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the Part C data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642 and 34 CFR §§ 76.720 and 303.540.</p>