

### Wyoming Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 99.4%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 96%.</p> <p>The State reported timely correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 for this indicator.</p>	<p>The State revised its baseline and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP's March 13, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to revise its State standard for timely services in its FFY 2005 APR. The State provided a revised definition of timely services in its SPP and APR and OSEP accepts this revision.</p> <p>OSEP's March 13, 2006 SPP response letter indicated that the State must include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). The data in the FFY 2005 APR show progress toward achieving compliance, and the State reported timely correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 97.2%. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data of 86%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 86.2%.</p> <p>The State reported timely correction for this indicator regarding natural environments' justification statements on IFSPs under 34 CFR §303.344(d)(ii).</p>	<p>The State revised its targets for FFYs 2006 – 2010 for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>The State also indicated that it is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to ensure compliance. It is important that the State continue to monitor to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate</p>	<p>Entry data provided.</p>	<p>The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities with the FFY 2006 APR, due February</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>		1, 2008.
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>Baseline, targets, and improvement activities provided.</p> <p>The State's reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <p>4A. 78.3%</p> <p>4B. 85.2%</p> <p>4C. 86.5%</p>	The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 1.91%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 1.9%.</p>	The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
B. National data. [Results Indicator]		
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:  A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and  B. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 4.31%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 4%.	The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 97%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 96.4%.  The State reported timely correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 for this indicator.	The State revised the baseline for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  OSEP's March 13, 2006 SPP response letter indicated that the State must include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a). The data in the FFY 2005 APR show progress toward achieving compliance, and the State reported timely correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.
8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  A. IFSPs with transition steps	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 93.6%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.  OSEP could not determine whether the State made progress because the baseline data from FFY 2004 were not comparable. See explanation	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  OSEP's March 13, 2006 SPP response letter indicated that the State must include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h). Although the data in the FFY 2005 APR show noncompliance, the State reported timely correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.  OSEP's March 13, 2006 SPP response letter also required the State to use, in the

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>and services; [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>under “Analysis Column.” The State reported timely correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 for this indicator.</p>	<p>FFY 2005 APR, the required measurement so that the number of children who had IFSPs with transition steps and services included all children exiting Part C, not just those children potentially eligible for Part B. The State reported that it used the correct measurement in its FFY 2005 APR. The State did not revise its SPP baseline.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and  [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State met its target. OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 96.9%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 46.9%.  The State reported timely correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 for this indicator.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP’s March 13, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR data demonstrating compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i), as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9). The data in the FFY 2005 APR show progress toward achieving compliance, and the State reported timely correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.</p> <p>The State reported that it “used the appropriate computation related to exceptional family circumstances for the baseline data submitted in 2005.”</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>Part B. [Compliance Indicator]</p>		<p>However, the State did not report data regarding the number of delays due to documented exceptional family circumstances. If the State collects these data and wishes to include it in the measurement, the number of children for whom the timeline was not met due to documented exceptional family circumstances would be included in both the numerator and the denominator of the measurement for this indicator in the FFY 2006 APR, and the State must provide the specific numbers for its calculation.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i), as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.  [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported timely correction for this indicator.</p>	<p>The State revised the baseline and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP's March 13, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR documentation that the State corrected its noncompliance identified through monitoring as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification by the State. The State provided data for this indicator indicating 100% compliance and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts.</p> <p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.510(b). In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. The State must, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A and 8C specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional</p>	<p>No signed written complaints were filed during the reporting period.</p>	<p>The State described improvement activities it completed.</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>		
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>No hearing requests were filed during the reporting period.</p>	<p>The State described improvement activities it completed.</p> <p>The State should revise the description in the SPP for this indicator so that it is consistent with the State's adoption of Part C due process procedures.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>The State has not adopted Part B due process procedures.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>No mediations were held.</p>	<p>The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 91.6%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. This represents slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported timely correction of an error that the State identified</p>	<p>The State reported that 1 of 14 regions did not submit updated data for one 618 Table. The State found this error and planned to submit its revised 618 Table to OSEP by February 15, 2007.</p> <p>The State described in its APR how it would proceed in the future to avoid this problem with 618 data collection and reporting.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
	in one 618 Table submitted to OSEP on November 1, 2006.	303.540.