

Wisconsin Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|--|---|
| <p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State did not provide FFY 2005 APR data for this indicator because the State indicated that it wanted the data to reflect its revised timely standard. The State provided data of 95.6% for the period from July 1 2006 through December 30, 2006. However, these data are not valid and reliable because they do not reflect the measurement for this indicator. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> | <p>The State revised its timely standard for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.</p> <p>OSEP’s March 20, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR a revised timely standard and data based on the timely provision of all early intervention services on the IFSP, not just the primary service. The State submitted its revised timely standard, which is: “The IFSP must identify resources, supports and services for each outcome established. All services on the IFSP must start within 30 days of the IFSP meeting date.” The State also confirmed that the IFSP meeting date is when the parent consents to the provision of early intervention services.</p> <p>However, the State did not provide FFY 2005 APR data. Instead, the State reported data of 95.6% for the period from July through December 2006, so as to include its revised timely standard, which was implemented on July 1, 2006. However, these data do not reflect the measurement for this indicator, because they only measure the timely initiation of Part C services on initial IFSPs and do not include the initiation of new services on subsequent IFSPs.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that both: (1) measure the timeliness of initiation for all new Part C services on all IFSPs (not just initial IFSPs); and (2) demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).</p> |
| <p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> | <p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 95.1%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 95% or above.</p> | <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>It is also important that the State monitor to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements.</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|--|---|---|
| [Results Indicator] | | |
| <p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p> | Entry data provided. | The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities with the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008. |
| <p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p> | <p>The State's reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4A. 85.4% 4B. 92.6% 4C. 93.9% | The State provided baseline, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator. |
| 5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared | The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA | OSEP's March 20, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR the required comparisons with other States that have |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|---|--|
| <p>to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p> | <p>section 618 are 1.03%. This represents slippage from FFY 2004 data of 1.12%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 1.13%.</p> | <p>similar eligibility definitions and with the national data. The State provided comparison data in its FFY 2005 APR.</p> <p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p> |
| <p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 2.88%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 2.80%.</p> | <p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> |
| <p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 74.6%. This represents progress from the revised FFY 2004 baseline data of 73.3%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not report on timely correction of noncompliance related to this indicator.</p> | <p>The State revised its FFY 2004 baseline data in its FFY 2005 APR and OSEP accepts that revision. The State must reflect that revision in its revised SPP.</p> <p>OSEP's March 20, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR statewide data demonstrating compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a), and specific data for County C. The State's FFY 2005 statewide data of 74.6% show noncompliance with these requirements. The State also reported FFY 2005 data of 71.8% for County C. While these data indicate progress from 53.4% in January through June 2005, the data continue to show noncompliance for County C. The State reported that a corrective plan has been developed and implemented for the County and it continues to be monitored for compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements.</p> <p>It is unclear whether the State included instances of delay due to documented exceptional family circumstances in its calculation for this indicator. If the State collects this data and wishes to include it in the FFY 2006 APR, the number of children for whom the timeline was not met due to documented exceptional family circumstances would be included in both the numerator and the</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>denominator of the measurement for this indicator, and the State must provide the specific numbers for its calculation.</p> <p>The State must also review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a), including the correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and specifically on the correction of the continuing noncompliance identified in County C.</p> |
| <p>8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> | <p>OSEP’s March 20, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR valid and reliable data in reporting under this indicator. The State’s FFY 2005 APR data, based on record reviews, show compliance with the transition steps and service requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with these requirements.</p> |
| <p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 83.45%. OSEP could not determine whether this represents progress or slippage, because the FFY 2004 data were based on survey data, which are not reliable as the sole source of data. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not report on timely correction of noncompliance related</p> | <p>OSEP’s March 20, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR valid and reliable data in reporting under this indicator. The State’s FFY 2005 APR data, which appear to be based on file reviews, show 83.45% compliance with the LEA notification requirements.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including the correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|--|--|
| | to this indicator. | |
| <p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 66.2%. OSEP could not determine whether this represents progress or slippage, because the FFY 2004 data were based on survey data, which are not reliable as the sole source of data.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not report on timely correction of noncompliance related to this indicator.</p> | <p>OSEP's March 20, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR valid and reliable data in reporting under this indicator. The State's FFY 2005 APR data, based on file reviews, show noncompliance with the transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i).</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirement in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9), including the correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p> |
| <p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 92%. This represents slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 96%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> | <p>OSEP's March 20, 2006 SPP response letter indicated that OSEP looked forward to data in the February 1, 2007 APR that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.501 regarding the correction of identified noncompliance, as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. The State's reported FFY 2005 data are 92%, based on 11 of 12 findings made by the State in nonpriority areas that were timely corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b), including specific data on the correction of continuing noncompliance related to Indicator 7 for County C.</p> <p>As noted above, the State did not report findings that compare to APR indicators. In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicators 7, 8B, 8C, and 14, specifically</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|--|---|
| | | identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators. |
| 10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator] | The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2005 reporting period. | The State did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2005 reporting period. |
| 11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator] | The State reported that it did not receive any hearing requests during the FFY 2005 reporting period. | The State did not receive any hearing requests during the FFY 2005 reporting period. |
| 12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator; New] | Not applicable. | The State has not adopted Part B due process procedures. |
| 13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator] | The State reported that there were no mediations held during the FFY 2005 reporting period. | The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted. |
| 14. State reported data (618 and | The State's FFY 2005 reported data | While the State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%, OSEP |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|---|---|
| <p>State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>for this indicator are 100%. However, in Indicator 1, the State did not provide FFY 2005 data on timely service provision. In addition, OSEP's January 24, 2007 verification visit letter required the State to submit a plan to ensure the accuracy of its section 618 settings and exiting data. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> | <p>notes that the State did not provide FFY 2005 APR data for Indicator 1. In addition, OSEP's January 24, 2007 verification visit letter required the State to submit to OSEP its plan to ensure that in its February 2008 submission of data under section 618 of the IDEA, the data will reflect: (1) a corrected HSRS service location coding system, specifically the "other setting" code, consistent with the Federal settings; (2) settings data based on the most recent IFSP, and not just the initial IFSP, for each child; and (3) exiting data that are complete and accurate data from all counties in the State.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.</p> |