## Washington Part C SPP/FFY 2005 APR Response Table

	Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
1.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 87%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. OSEP cannot determine whether progress was made. The State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected.	The State revised its timely standard for this indicator as requested in OSEP's March 24, 2006 SPP response letter. The State also revised its SPP improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts both revisions. OSEP's March 24, 2006 SPP response letter also required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR data that demonstrate as soon as possible, but not later than one year, compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1). The State reported that prior noncompliance identified during FFY 2004 was corrected in a timely manner. The State also reported that correction for one Local Lead Agency (LLA) was pending from its FFY 2005 monitoring. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.
2.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 48%. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data of 45%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 50%. The State reported its FFY 2006 data under IDEA section 618 (as of 12/1/06) as 64% for this indicator. The State's FFY 2004 APR reported a 33% compliance rate with the individualized setting and IFSP natural environment requirements in 34 CFR §§303.12,	Although the State did not meet its FFY 2005 target in FFY 2005, it reported progress and OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008. OSEP's March 24, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR data demonstrating compliance with the individualized setting and IFSP natural environment requirements in 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18 and 303.344(d)(1)(ii). Although the State reported that four LLAs (for which findings were made by the State in FFY 2004) had completed their corrective action plans, the State's FFY 2005 Statewide monitoring data (which reviewed 219 IFSPs as of 12/1/05 to determine if they included the appropriate natural environment provisions) identified 58% compliance. The State's FFY 2005 compliance data represent progress, but continuing noncompliance with the individualized setting and IFSP

	Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		303.18 and 303.344(d)(1)(ii). Although the State reported that four LLAs, for which findings were made by the State in FFY 2004, had completed their corrective action plans, the State's FFY 2005 statewide monitoring data reflect 58% compliance.	natural environment requirements in 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18 and 303.344(d)(1)(ii). The State must report in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, FFY 2006 data demonstrating compliance with the individualized setting and IFSP natural environment requirements in 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18 and 303.344(d)(1)(ii), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.
3.	<ul><li>Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</li><li>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</li></ul>	Entry data not provided.	The State did not report the required entry data or activities. The State must provide entry and progress data and improvement activities in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.
	<ul> <li>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</li> </ul>		
	C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.		
	[Results Indicator; New]		
4.	Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:	Baseline data, targets and improvement activities provided. The State's FFY 2005 baseline data for this indicator are: 4A. 92%	The State provided in its May 2007 revised SPP for this indicator, baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.
	<ul><li>A. Know their rights;</li><li>B. Effectively communicate</li></ul>	4B. 92%	

	Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator; New]	4C. 92%	
5.	<ul><li>Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</li><li>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</li><li>B. National data.</li><li>[Results Indicator]</li></ul>	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 0.51%. This represents neither progress nor slippage from the State's FFY 2004 data under IDEA section 618 of 0.51%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 0.61%.	The State reported neither progress nor slippage and OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.
6.	<ul><li>Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</li><li>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</li><li>B. National data.</li><li>[Results Indicator]</li></ul>	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 1.79%. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data under IDEA section 618 of 1.68%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 1.76%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
7.	Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 85%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 62%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. OSEP's March 24, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR, data demonstrating compliance with the requirements at 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.222(e)(1), and 303.342(a), that an evaluation and assessment is conducted in all developmental areas and an initial IFSP meeting is conducted within the 45-day timeline. The State reported that the correction of noncompliance in FFY 2004 from one

	Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		corrected.	LLA was still pending. The State's FFY 2005 data represent progress.
			The State must implement and evaluate its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the 45- day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.222(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction by the one LLA identified in FFY 2004 and any noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.
	<ul> <li>Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</li> <li>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</li> </ul>	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 76%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 66%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.
			OSEP's March 24, 2006, FFY 2004 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR data demonstrating compliance with this indicator.
			The State reported that one of two LLAs that had findings in FFY 2004 had not corrected their findings with the IFSP transition planning requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).
	[Compliance Indicator]		The State must implement and evaluate its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the IFSP transition planning requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction by the one LLA identified in FFY 2004 and any noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.
8B.	Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 95%.	The State revised the baseline data and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.
		The State reported that there were no findings in FFY 2004 or FFY 2005 regarding the LEA notification requirements in this indicator.	OSEP's March 24, 2006, FFY 2004 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR data demonstrating compliance with this indicator.
third birthday B. Notificatio	<ul><li>birthday including:</li><li>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for</li></ul>		OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
Part B; and		
[Compliance Indicator]		
<ul><li>Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</li><li>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</li><li>[Compliance Indicator]</li></ul>	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 80%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 33%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected.	The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. OSEP's March 24, 2006, FFY 2004 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR data demonstrating compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as amended by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), that for all children receiving early intervention services under Part C and potentially eligible under Part B, a transition conference is convened, with the approval of the family, between the lead agency, the family, and the LEA at least 90 days and, at the discretion of the parties, up to nine months, before the child is eligible for the preschool services. The State reported that two of four LLAs that had findings in FFY 2004 had not corrected their findings with the transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as amended by IDEA section 637(a)(9)). The State must implement and evaluate its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the IFSP transition planning requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as amended by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).
General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 data do not reflect the measurement for this indicator. However, OSEP determined that the State did not meet its target of 100% for this indicator, because the State reported that two of six LLAs with findings from FFY 2004 had not completed corrective actions.	<ul> <li>OSEP's March 24, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR data demonstrating that noncompliance is corrected within one year of its identification, consistent with the provisions at 34 CFR §303.501(b).</li> <li>OSEP could not determine the percentage of compliance with this indicator because the State did not use the appropriate measurement to provide a percentage based on the number of findings (not the number of programs) that were timely corrected. The State s FFY 2005 data were based upon the FFY 2004 SPP template, which was revised for FFY 2005 APR reporting. The State</li> </ul>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		reported that two of six LLAs with findings from FFY 2004 did not correct noncompliance within one year of identification.
		The State must review its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA section 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b), including data on the correction of outstanding noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.
		In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. The State must, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8C and 14, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.
<ul> <li>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60- day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</li> <li>[Compliance Indicator]</li> </ul>	The State reported no signed written complaints filed during FFY 2005.	The State reported no signed written complaints filed during FFY 2005.
<ul><li>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</li><li>[Compliance Indicator]</li></ul>	The State reported no requests for due process hearings were made in FFY 2005.	The State reported no requests for due process hearings were made in FFY 2005.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part	Not applicable.	Not applicable because the State has not adopted Part B due process procedures.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator; New]		
<ul><li>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</li><li>[Results Indicator]</li></ul>	The State reported that no mediations were conducted in FFY 2005.	The State is not required to provide or meet its targets or provide improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.
<ul><li>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</li><li>[Compliance Indicator]</li></ul>	Although the State reported that it met its FFY 2005 target of 100%, the State did not provide any data under Indicator 4 and its FFY 2005 data under Indicator 9 above do not reflect the measurement.	As noted above, the State's FFY 2005 data for Indicator 9 do not reflect the measurement. In addition, the State failed to provide data under Indicator 4. The State must provide the required data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.